APEX CALCULUS Version 4.0

Gregory Hartman, Ph.D.

Department of Applied Mathematics Virginia Military Institute

Contributing Authors

Troy Siemers, Ph.D.

Department of Applied Mathematics Virginia Military Institute

Brian Heinold, Ph.D.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Mount Saint Mary's University

Dimplekumar Chalishajar, Ph.D.

Department of Applied Mathematics Virginia Military Institute

Editor

Jennifer Bowen, Ph.D.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science The College of Wooster



Copyright © 2018 Gregory Hartman Licensed to the public under Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International Public License

Contents

Tal	ole of	Contents	iii
Pre	eface		vii
1	Limit	ts	1
	1.1	An Introduction To Limits	1
	1.2	Epsilon-Delta Definition of a Limit	9
	1.3	Finding Limits Analytically	18
	1.4	One Sided Limits	30
	1.5	Continuity	37
	1.6	Limits Involving Infinity	46
2	Deri	vatives	59
	2.1	Instantaneous Rates of Change: The Derivative	59
	2.2	Interpretations of the Derivative	75
	2.3	Basic Differentiation Rules	82
	2.4	The Product and Quotient Rules	89
	2.5	The Chain Rule	100
	2.6	Implicit Differentiation	111
	2.7	Derivatives of Inverse Functions	122
3	The	Graphical Behavior of Functions	129
	3.1	Extreme Values	129
	3.2	The Mean Value Theorem	137
	3.3	Increasing and Decreasing Functions	142
	3.4	Concavity and the Second Derivative	151
	3.5	Curve Sketching	159
4	Appl	ications of the Derivative	167
	4.1	Newton's Method	167
	4.2	Related Rates	174
	4.3	Optimization	181
	4.4	Differentials	188

5	Integration195.1Antiderivatives and Indefinite Integration195.2The Definite Integral205.3Riemann Sums215.4The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus235.5Numerical Integration24	97 97 18 86
6	Techniques of Antidifferentiation266.1Substitution266.2Integration by Parts286.3Trigonometric Integrals296.4Trigonometric Substitution306.5Partial Fraction Decomposition316.6Hyperbolic Functions326.7L'Hôpital's Rule336.8Improper Integration34	53 33 94 94 13 21 32
7	Applications of Integration357.1Area Between Curves357.2Volume by Cross-Sectional Area; Disk and Washer Methods367.3The Shell Method377.4Arc Length and Surface Area377.5Work387.6Fluid Forces36	54 52 70 78 37
8	Sequences and Series408.1Sequences408.2Infinite Series418.3Integral and Comparison Tests438.4Ratio and Root Tests448.5Alternating Series and Absolute Convergence448.6Power Series448.7Taylor Polynomials478.8Taylor Series48	05 19 34 13 19 50 73
9	Curves in the Plane499.1Conic Sections499.2Parametric Equations519.3Calculus and Parametric Equations529.4Introduction to Polar Coordinates539.5Calculus and Polar Functions54	97 11 21 33

10	Vectors	559
	10.1 Introduction to Cartesian Coordinates in Space	559
	10.2 An Introduction to Vectors	574
	10.3 The Dot Product	588
	10.4 The Cross Product	601
	10.5 Lines	612
	10.6 Planes	
11	Vector Valued Functions	631
	11.1 Vector–Valued Functions	
	11.2 Calculus and Vector–Valued Functions	
	11.3 The Calculus of Motion	
	11.4 Unit Tangent and Normal Vectors	
	11.5 The Arc Length Parameter and Curvature	
42		602
12	Functions of Several Variables	683
	12.1 Introduction to Multivariable Functions	
	12.2 Limits and Continuity of Multivariable Functions	
	12.3 Partial Derivatives	
	12.4 Differentiability and the Total Differential	
	12.5 The Multivariable Chain Rule	
	12.6 Directional Derivatives	
	12.7 Tangent Lines, Normal Lines, and Tangent Planes	
	12.8 Extreme Values	749
13	Multiple Integration	759
	13.1 Iterated Integrals and Area	759
	13.2 Double Integration and Volume	769
	13.3 Double Integration with Polar Coordinates	780
	13.4 Center of Mass	787
	13.5 Surface Area	799
	13.6 Volume Between Surfaces and Triple Integration	806
	13.7 Triple Integration with Cylindrical and Spherical Coordinates	828
14	Vector Analysis	839
	14.1 Introduction to Line Integrals	840
	14.2 Vector Fields	
	14.3 Line Integrals over Vector Fields	859
	14.4 Flow, Flux, Green's Theorem and the Divergence Theorem	
	14.5 Parametrized Surfaces and Surface Area	
	14.6 Surface Integrals	
	14.7 The Divergence Theorem and Stokes' Theorem	
Δ	Solutions To Selected Problems	A.1
Ind	lex	A.37

Thank you for reading this short preface. Allow us to share a few key points about the text so that you may better understand what you will find beyond this page.

This text comprises a three–volume series on Calculus. The first part covers material taught in many "Calc 1" courses: limits, derivatives, and the basics of integration, found in Chapters 1 through 6.1. The second text covers material often taught in "Calc 2:" integration and its applications, along with an introduction to sequences, series and Taylor Polynomials, found in Chapters 5 through 8. The third text covers topics common in "Calc 3" or "multivariable calc:" parametric equations, polar coordinates, vector–valued functions, and functions of more than one variable, found in Chapters 9 through 14. All three are available separately for free at www.apexcalculus.com.

Printing the entire text as one volume makes for a large, heavy, cumbersome book. One can certainly only print the pages they currently need, but some prefer to have a nice, bound copy of the text. Therefore this text has been split into these three manageable parts, each of which can be purchased for about \$15 at Amazon.com.

For Students: How to Read this Text

Mathematics textbooks have a reputation for being hard to read. High–level mathematical writing often seeks to say much with few words, and this style often seeps into texts of lower–level topics. This book was written with the goal of being easier to read than many other calculus textbooks, without becoming too verbose.

Each chapter and section starts with an introduction of the coming material, hopefully setting the stage for "why you should care," and ends with a look ahead to see how the just–learned material helps address future problems.

Please read the text; it is written to explain the concepts of Calculus. There are numerous examples to demonstrate the meaning of definitions, the truth of theorems, and the application of mathematical techniques. When you encounter a sentence you don't understand, read it again. If it still doesn't make sense, read on anyway, as sometimes confusing sentences are explained by later sentences.

You don't have to read every equation. The examples generally show "all" the steps needed to solve a problem. Sometimes reading through each step is helpful; sometimes it is confusing. When the steps are illustrating a new technique, one probably should follow each step closely to learn the new technique. When the steps are showing the mathematics needed to find a number to be used later, one can usually skip ahead and see how that number is being used, instead of getting bogged down in reading how the number was found.

Most proofs have been omitted. In mathematics, proving something is always true is extremely important, and entails much more than testing to see if it works twice. However, students often are confused by the details of a proof, or become concerned that they should have been able to construct this proof on their own. To alleviate this potential problem, we do not include the proofs to most theorems in the text. The interested reader is highly encouraged to find proofs online or from their instructor. In most cases, one is very capable of understanding what a theorem *means* and *how to apply it* without knowing fully *why* it is true.

Interactive, 3D Graphics

New to Version 3.0 is the addition of interactive, 3D graphics in the .pdf version. Nearly all graphs of objects in space can be rotated, shifted, and zoomed in/out so the reader can better understand the object illustrated.

As of this writing, the only pdf viewers that support these 3D graphics are Adobe Reader & Acrobat (and only the versions for PC/Mac/Unix/Linux computers, not tablets or smartphones). To activate the interactive mode, click on the image. Once activated, one can click/drag to rotate the object and use the scroll wheel on a mouse to zoom in/out. (A great way to investigate an image is to first zoom in on the page of the pdf viewer so the graphic itself takes up much of the screen, then zoom inside the graphic itself.) A CTRL-click/drag pans the object left/right or up/down. By right-clicking on the graph one can access a menu of other options, such as changing the lighting scheme or perspective. One can also revert the graph back to its default view. If you wish to deactivate the interactivity, one can right-click and choose the "Disable Content" option.

Thanks

There are many people who deserve recognition for the important role they have played in the development of this text. First, I thank Michelle for her support and encouragement, even as this "project from work" occupied my time and attention at home. Many thanks to Troy Siemers, whose most important contributions extend far beyond the sections he wrote or the 227 figures he coded in Asymptote for 3D interaction. He provided incredible support, advice and encouragement for which I am very grateful. My thanks to Brian Heinold and Dimplekumar Chalishajar for their contributions and to Jennifer Bowen for reading through so much material and providing great feedback early on. Thanks to Troy, Lee Dewald, Dan Joseph, Meagan Herald, Bill Lowe, John David, Vonda Walsh, Geoff Cox, Jessica Libertini and other faculty of VMI who have given me numerous suggestions and corrections based on their experience with teaching from the text. (Special thanks to Troy, Lee & Dan for their patience in teaching Calc III while I was still writing the Calc III material.) Thanks to Randy Cone for encouraging his tutors of VMI's Open Math Lab to read through the text and check the solutions, and thanks to the tutors for spending their time doing so. A very special thanks to Kristi Brown and Paul Janiczek who took this opportunity far above & beyond what I expected, meticulously checking every solution and carefully reading every example. Their comments have been extraordinarily helpful. I am also thankful for the support provided by Wane Schneiter, who as my Dean provided me with extra time to work on this project. I am blessed to have so many people give of their time to make this book better.

APFX – Affordable Print and Electronic teXts

A^P_EX is a consortium of authors who collaborate to produce high–quality, low–cost textbooks. The current textbook–writing paradigm is facing a potential revolution as desktop publishing and electronic formats increase in popularity. However, writing a good textbook is no easy task, as the time requirements alone are substantial. It takes countless hours of work to produce text, write examples and exercises, edit and publish. Through collaboration, however, the cost to any individual can be lessened, allowing us to create texts that we freely distribute electronically and sell in printed form for an incredibly low cost. Having said that, nothing is entirely free; someone always bears some cost. This text "cost" the authors of this book their time, and that was not enough. *APEX Calculus* would not exist had not the Virginia Military Institute, through a generous Jackson–Hope grant, given the lead author significant time away from teaching so he could focus on this text.

Each text is available as a free .pdf, protected by a Creative Commons Attribution - Noncommercial 4.0 copyright. That means you can give the .pdf to anyone you like, print it in any form you like, and even edit the original content and redistribute it. If you do the latter, you must clearly reference this work and you cannot sell your edited work for money.

We encourage others to adapt this work to fit their own needs. One might add sections that are "missing" or remove sections that your students won't need. The source files can be found at github.com/APEXCalculus.

You can learn more at www.vmi.edu/APEX.

Version 4.0

Key changes from Version 3.0 to 4.0:

- Numerous typographical and "small" mathematical corrections (again, thanks to all my close readers!).
- "Large" mathematical corrections and adjustments. There were a number of places in Version 3.0 where a definition/theorem was not correct as stated. See www.apexcalculus.com for more information.
- More useful numbering of Examples, Theorems, etc. "Definition 11.4.2" refers to the second definition of Chapter 11, Section 4.
- The addition of Section 13.7: Triple Integration with Cylindrical and Spherical Coordinates
- The addition of Chapter 14: Vector Analysis.

1: LIMITS

Calculus means "a method of calculation or reasoning." When one computes the sales tax on a purchase, one employs a simple calculus. When one finds the area of a polygonal shape by breaking it up into a set of triangles, one is using another calculus. Proving a theorem in geometry employs yet another calculus.

Despite the wonderful advances in mathematics that had taken place into the first half of the 17th century, mathematicians and scientists were keenly aware of what they *could not do*. (This is true even today.) In particular, two important concepts eluded mastery by the great thinkers of that time: area and rates of change.

Area seems innocuous enough; areas of circles, rectangles, parallelograms, etc., are standard topics of study for students today just as they were then. However, the areas of *arbitrary* shapes could not be computed, even if the boundary of the shape could be described exactly.

Rates of change were also important. When an object moves at a constant rate of change, then "distance = rate \times time." But what if the rate is not constant – can distance still be computed? Or, if distance is known, can we discover the rate of change?

It turns out that these two concepts were related. Two mathematicians, Sir Isaac Newton and Gottfried Leibniz, are credited with independently formulating a system of computing that solved the above problems and showed how they were connected. Their system of reasoning was "a" calculus. However, as the power and importance of their discovery took hold, it became known to many as "the" calculus. Today, we generally shorten this to discuss "calculus."

The foundation of "the calculus" is the *limit*. It is a tool to describe a particular behavior of a function. This chapter begins our study of the limit by approximating its value graphically and numerically. After a formal definition of the limit, properties are established that make "finding limits" tractable. Once the limit is understood, then the problems of area and rates of change can be approached.

1.1 An Introduction To Limits

We begin our study of *limits* by considering examples that demonstrate key concepts that will be explained as we progress.

Consider the function $y = \frac{\sin x}{x}$. When x is near the value 1, what value (if any) is y near?

While our question is not precisely formed (what constitutes "near the value




Figure 1.1.1: sin(x)/x near x = 1.




Figure 1.1.2: $\sin(x)/x$ near x = 0.

x	$\sin(x)/x$
0.9	0.870363
0.99	0.844471
0.999	0.841772
1	0.841471
1.001	0.84117
1.01	0.838447
1.01	0.030447

Figure 1.1.3: Values of sin(x)/x with x near 1.

1"?), the answer does not seem difficult to find. One might think first to look at a graph of this function to approximate the appropriate *y* values. Consider Figure 1.1.1, where $y = \frac{\sin x}{x}$ is graphed. For values of *x* near 1, it seems that *y* takes on values near 0.85. In fact, when x = 1, then $y = \frac{\sin 1}{1} \approx 0.84$, so it makes sense that when *x* is "near" 1, *y* will be "near" 0.84.

Consider this again at a different value for x. When x is near 0, what value (if any) is y near? By considering Figure 1.1.2, one can see that it seems that y takes on values near 1. But what happens when x = 0? We have

$$y
ightarrow rac{\sin 0}{0}
ightarrow rac{\omega}{0} rac{\omega}{0}$$
".

The expression "0/0" has no value; it is *indeterminate*. Such an expression gives no information about what is going on with the function nearby. We cannot find out how y behaves near x = 0 for this function simply by letting x = 0.

Finding a limit entails understanding how a function behaves near a particular value of x. Before continuing, it will be useful to establish some notation. Let y = f(x); that is, let y be a function of x for some function f. The expression "the limit of y as x approaches 1" describes a number, often referred to as L, that y nears as x nears 1. We write all this as

$$\lim_{x \to 1} y = \lim_{x \to 1} f(x) = L.$$

This is not a complete definition (that will come in the next section); this is a pseudo-definition that will allow us to explore the idea of a limit.

Above, where $f(x) = \sin(x)/x$, we approximated

$$\lim_{x \to 1} \frac{\sin x}{x} \approx 0.84 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sin x}{x} \approx 1.$$

(We *approximated* these limits, hence used the " \approx " symbol, since we are working with the pseudo-definition of a limit, not the actual definition.)

Once we have the true definition of a limit, we will find limits *analytically*; that is, exactly using a variety of mathematical tools. For now, we will *approximate* limits both graphically and numerically. Graphing a function can provide a good approximation, though often not very precise. Numerical methods can provide a more accurate approximation. We have already approximated limits graphically, so we now turn our attention to numerical approximations.

Consider again $\lim_{x\to 1} \frac{\sin(x)}{x}$. To approximate this limit numerically, we can create a table of x and f(x) values where x is "near" 1. This is done in Figure 1.1.3.

Notice that for values of x near 1, we have sin(x)/x near 0.841. The x = 1 row is in bold to highlight the fact that when considering limits, we are *not* concerned

with the value of the function at that particular *x* value; we are only concerned with the values of the function when *x* is *near* 1.

Now approximate $\lim_{x\to 0} \sin(x)/x$ numerically. We already approximated the value of this limit as 1 graphically in Figure 1.1.2. The table in Figure 1.1.4 shows the value of $\sin(x)/x$ for values of x near 0. Ten places after the decimal point are shown to highlight how close to 1 the value of $\sin(x)/x$ gets as x takes on values very near 0. We include the x = 0 row in bold again to stress that we are not concerned with the value of our function at x = 0, only on the behavior of the function *near* 0.

This numerical method gives confidence to say that 1 is a good approximation of $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{\sin(x)}{x}$; that is,

$$\lim_{x\to 0} \sin(x)/x \approx 1.$$

Later we will be able to prove that the limit is *exactly* 1.

We now consider several examples that allow us explore different aspects of the limit concept.

Example 1.1.1 Approximating the value of a limit Use graphical and numerical methods to approximate

$$\lim_{x\to 3}\frac{x^2-x-6}{6x^2-19x+3}.$$

SOLUTION

To graphically approximate the limit, graph

$$y = (x^2 - x - 6)/(6x^2 - 19x + 3)$$

on a small interval that contains 3. To numerically approximate the limit, create a table of values where the *x* values are near 3. This is done in Figures 1.1.5 and 1.1.6, respectively.

The graph shows that when x is near 3, the value of y is very near 0.3. By considering values of x near 3, we see that y = 0.294 is a better approximation. The graph and the table imply that

$$\lim_{x\to 3}\frac{x^2-x-6}{6x^2-19x+3}\approx 0.294.$$

This example may bring up a few questions about approximating limits (and the nature of limits themselves).

- 1. If a graph does not produce as good an approximation as a table, why bother with it?
- 2. How many values of x in a table are "enough?" In the previous example, could we have just used x = 3.001 and found a fine approximation?

Notes:

x	$\sin(x)/x$
-0.1	0.9983341665
-0.01	0.9999833334
-0.001	0.9999998333
0	not defined
0.001	0.9999998333
0.01	0.9999833334
0.1	0.9983341665

Figure 1.1.4: Values of sin(x)/x with x near 0.




Figure 1.1.5: Graphically approximating a limit in Example 1.1.1.

x	$\frac{x^2 - x - 6}{6x^2 - 19x + 3}$
2.9	0.29878
2.99	0.294569
2.999	0.294163
3	not defined
3 3.001	not defined 0.294073
-	

Figure 1.1.6: Numerically approximating a limit in Example 1.1.1.




Figure 1.1.7: Graphically approximating a limit in Example 1.1.2.

x	$f(\mathbf{x})$
-0.1	0.9
-0.01	0.99
-0.001	0.999
0.001	0.999999
0.01	0.9999
0.1	0.99

Figure 1.1.8: Numerically approximating a limit in Example 1.1.2.

Graphs are useful since they give a visual understanding concerning the behavior of a function. Sometimes a function may act "erratically" near certain *x* values which is hard to discern numerically but very plain graphically. Since graphing utilities are very accessible, it makes sense to make proper use of them.

Since tables and graphs are used only to *approximate* the value of a limit, there is not a firm answer to how many data points are "enough." Include enough so that a trend is clear, and use values (when possible) both less than and greater than the value in question. In Example 1.1.1, we used both values less than and greater than 3. Had we used just x = 3.001, we might have been tempted to conclude that the limit had a value of 0.3. While this is not far off, we could do better. Using values "on both sides of 3" helps us identify trends.

Example 1.1.2 Approximating the value of a limit

Graphically and numerically approximate the limit of f(x) as x approaches 0, where

$$f(x) = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} x+1 & x < 0 \ -x^2+1 & x > 0 \end{array}
ight.$$

SOLUTION Again we graph f(x) and create a table of its values near x = 0 to approximate the limit. Note that this is a piecewise defined function, so it behaves differently on either side of 0. Figure 1.1.7 shows a graph of f(x), and on either side of 0 it seems the *y* values approach 1. Note that f(0) is not actually defined, as indicated in the graph with the open circle.

The table shown in Figure 1.1.8 shows values of f(x) for values of x near 0. It is clear that as x takes on values very near 0, f(x) takes on values very near 1. It turns out that if we let x = 0 for either "piece" of f(x), 1 is returned; this is significant and we'll return to this idea later.

The graph and table allow us to say that $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x) \approx 1$; in fact, we are probably very sure it *equals* 1.

Identifying When Limits Do Not Exist

A function may not have a limit for all values of x. That is, we cannot say $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L$ for some numbers L for all values of c, for there may not be a number that f(x) is approaching. There are three common ways in which a limit may fail to exist.

- 1. The function f(x) may approach different values on either side of c.
- 2. The function may grow without upper or lower bound as *x* approaches *c*.
- 3. The function may oscillate as *x* approaches *c* without approaching a specific value.

We'll explore each of these in turn.

Example 1.1.3 Different Values Approached From Left and Right Explore why $\lim_{x\to 1} f(x)$ does not exist, where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 - 2x + 3 & x \le 1 \\ x & x > 1 \end{cases}$$

SOLUTION A graph of f(x) around x = 1 and a table are given in Figures 1.1.9 and 1.1.10, respectively. It is clear that as x approaches 1, f(x) does not seem to approach a single number. Instead, it seems as though f(x) approaches two different numbers. When considering values of x less than 1 (approaching 1 from the left), it seems that f(x) is approaching 2; when considering values of x greater than 1 (approaching 1 from the right), it seems that f(x) is approaching 1. Recognizing this behavior is important; we'll study this in greater depth later. Right now, it suffices to say that the limit does not exist since f(x) is not approaching one value as x approaches 1.

Example 1.1.4 The Function Grows Without Bound

Explore why $\lim_{x \to 1} 1/(x-1)^2$ does not exist.

SOLUTION A graph and table of $f(x) = 1/(x - 1)^2$ are given in Figures 1.1.11 and 1.1.12, respectively. Both show that as x approaches 1, f(x) grows larger and larger.

We can deduce this on our own, without the aid of the graph and table. If x is near 1, then $(x - 1)^2$ is very small, and:

 $\frac{1}{\text{very small number}} = \text{very large number}.$

Since f(x) is not approaching a single number, we conclude that

$$\lim_{x\to 1}\frac{1}{(x-1)^2}$$

does not exist.

Example 1.1.5 The Function Oscillates

Explore why $\lim_{x \to \infty} \sin(1/x)$ does not exist.

SOLUTION Two graphs of $f(x) = \sin(1/x)$ are given in Figures 1.1.13. Figure 1.1.13(a) shows f(x) on the interval [-1, 1]; notice how f(x) seems to oscillate near x = 0. One might think that despite the oscillation, as x approaches

Notes:




Figure 1.1.9: Observing no limit as $x \rightarrow 1$ in Example 1.1.3.

x	$f(\mathbf{x})$
0.9	2.01
0.99	2.0001
0.999	2.000001
1.001	1.001
1.01	1.01
1.1	1.1

Figure 1.1.10: Values of f(x) near x = 1 in Example 1.1.3.





Figure 1.1.11: Observing no limit as $x \rightarrow$ 1 in Example 1.1.4.

x	$f(\mathbf{x})$
0.9	100.
0.99	10000.
0.999	$1. imes 10^6$
1.001	$1. imes 10^6$
1.01	10000.
1.1	100.

Figure 1.1.12: Values of f(x) near x = 1 in Example 1.1.4.

0, f(x) approaches 0. However, Figure 1.1.13(b) zooms in on sin(1/x), on the interval [-0.1, 0.1]. Here the oscillation is even more pronounced. Finally, in the table in Figure 1.1.13(c), we see sin(x)/x evaluated for values of x near 0. As x approaches 0, f(x) does not appear to approach any value.

It can be shown that in reality, as x approaches 0, sin(1/x) takes on all values between -1 and 1 infinitely many times! Because of this oscillation,






Figure 1.1.13: Observing that $f(x) = \sin(1/x)$ has no limit as $x \to 0$ in Example 1.1.5.

Limits of Difference Quotients

We have approximated limits of functions as *x* approached a particular number. We will consider another important kind of limit after explaining a few key ideas.

Let f(x) represent the position function, in feet, of some particle that is moving in a straight line, where x is measured in seconds. Let's say that when x = 1, the particle is at position 10 ft., and when x = 5, the particle is at 20 ft. Another way of expressing this is to say

$$f(1) = 10$$
 and $f(5) = 20$.

Since the particle traveled 10 feet in 4 seconds, we can say the particle's *average velocity* was 2.5 ft/s. We write this calculation using a "quotient of differences," or, a *difference quotient*:

$$\frac{f(5) - f(1)}{5 - 1} = \frac{10}{4} = 2.5$$
ft/s

This difference quotient can be thought of as the familiar "rise over run" used to compute the slopes of lines. In fact, that is essentially what we are doing:




Figure 1.1.14: Interpreting a difference quotient as the slope of a secant line.

given two points on the graph of *f*, we are finding the slope of the *secant line* through those two points. See Figure 1.1.14.

Now consider finding the average speed on another time interval. We again start at x = 1, but consider the position of the particle h seconds later. That is, consider the positions of the particle when x = 1 and when x = 1 + h. The difference quotient is now

$$\frac{f(1+h)-f(1)}{(1+h)-1}=\frac{f(1+h)-f(1)}{h}.$$

Let $f(x) = -1.5x^2 + 11.5x$; note that f(1) = 10 and f(5) = 20, as in our discussion. We can compute this difference quotient for all values of h (even negative values!) except h = 0, for then we get "0/0," the indeterminate form introduced earlier. For all values $h \neq 0$, the difference quotient computes the average velocity of the particle over an interval of time of length h starting at x = 1.

For small values of h, i.e., values of h close to 0, we get average velocities over very short time periods and compute secant lines over small intervals. See Figure 1.1.15. This leads us to wonder what the limit of the difference quotient is as h approaches 0. That is,

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(1+h) - f(1)}{h} = ?$$

As we do not yet have a true definition of a limit nor an exact method for computing it, we settle for approximating the value. While we could graph the difference quotient (where the *x*-axis would represent *h* values and the *y*-axis would represent values of the difference quotient) we settle for making a table. See Figure 1.1.16. The table gives us reason to assume the value of the limit is about 8.5.

Proper understanding of limits is key to understanding calculus. With limits, we can accomplish seemingly impossible mathematical things, like adding up an infinite number of numbers (and not get infinity) and finding the slope of a line between two points, where the "two points" are actually the same point. These are not just mathematical curiosities; they allow us to link position, velocity and acceleration together, connect cross-sectional areas to volume, find the work done by a variable force, and much more.

In the next section we give the formal definition of the limit and begin our study of finding limits analytically. In the following exercises, we continue our introduction and approximate the value of limits.






Figure 1.1.15: Secant lines of f(x) at x = 1and x = 1 + h, for shrinking values of h(i.e., $h \rightarrow 0$).

h	$\frac{f(1+h)-f(1)}{h}$
-0.5	9.25
-0.1	8.65
-0.01	8.515
0.01	8.485
0.1	8.35
0.5	7.75

Figure 1.1.16: The difference quotient evaluated at values of *h* near 0.

Exercises 1.1

Terms and Concepts

- 1. In your own words, what does it mean to "find the limit of f(x) as x approaches 3"?
- 2. An expression of the form $\frac{0}{0}$ is called _____.
- 3. T/F: The limit of f(x) as x approaches 5 is f(5).
- 4. Describe three situations where $\lim_{x\to c} f(x)$ does not exist.
- 5. In your own words, what is a difference quotient?
- 6. When x is near 0, $\frac{\sin x}{x}$ is near what value?

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 16, approximate the given limits both numerically and graphically.

- 7. $\lim_{x \to 1} x^2 + 3x 5$
- 8. $\lim_{x \to 0} x^3 3x^2 + x 5$
- 9. $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{x+1}{x^2+3x}$
- 10. $\lim_{x \to 3} \frac{x^2 2x 3}{x^2 4x + 3}$
- 11. $\lim_{x \to -1} \frac{x^2 + 8x + 7}{x^2 + 6x + 5}$
- 12. $\lim_{x \to 2} \frac{x^2 + 7x + 10}{x^2 4x + 4}$

13. $\lim_{x \to 2} f(x)$, where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x+2 & x \le 2\\ 3x-5 & x > 2 \end{cases}$$

14. $\lim_{x \to 3} f(x)$, where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 - x + 1 & x \le 3 \\ 2x + 1 & x > 3 \end{cases}$$

15. $\lim_{x \to 0} f(x)$, where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \cos x & x \leq 0\\ x^2 + 3x + 1 & x > 0 \end{cases}$$

16. $\lim_{x \to \pi/2} f(x)$, where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sin x & x \le \pi/2 \\ \cos x & x > \pi/2 \end{cases}$$

In Exercises 17 – 24, a function f and a value a are given. Approximate the limit of the difference quotient, $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{h}$, using $h = \pm 0.1, \pm 0.01$.

17.
$$f(x) = -7x + 2$$
, $a = 3$
18. $f(x) = 9x + 0.06$, $a = -1$
19. $f(x) = x^2 + 3x - 7$, $a = 1$
20. $f(x) = \frac{1}{x+1}$, $a = 2$
21. $f(x) = -4x^2 + 5x - 1$, $a = -3$
22. $f(x) = \ln x$, $a = 5$
23. $f(x) = \sin x$, $a = \pi$
24. $f(x) = \cos x$, $a = \pi$

1.2 Epsilon-Delta Definition of a Limit

This section introduces the formal definition of a limit. Many refer to this as "the epsilon-delta," definition, referring to the letters ε and δ of the Greek alphabet.

Before we give the actual definition, let's consider a few informal ways of describing a limit. Given a function y = f(x) and an x-value, c, we say that "the limit of the function f, as x approaches c, is a value L":

1. if "y tends to L" as "x tends to c."

- 2. if "y approaches L" as "x approaches c."
- **3.** if "y is near L" whenever "x is near c."

The problem with these definitions is that the words "tends," "approach," and especially "near" are not exact. In what way does the variable *x* tend to, or approach, *c*? How near do *x* and *y* have to be to *c* and *L*, respectively?

The definition we describe in this section comes from formalizing **3**. A quick restatement gets us closer to what we want:

3'. If x is within a certain *tolerance level* of c, then the corresponding value y = f(x) is within a certain *tolerance level* of L.

The traditional notation for the *x*-tolerance is the lowercase Greek letter delta, or δ , and the *y*-tolerance is denoted by lowercase epsilon, or ε . One more rephrasing of **3**['] nearly gets us to the actual definition:

3". If x is within δ units of c, then the corresponding value of y is within ε units of L.

We can write "x is within δ units of c" mathematically as

 $|x - c| < \delta$, which is equivalent to $c - \delta < x < c + \delta$.

Letting the symbol " \longrightarrow " represent the word "implies," we can rewrite **3**" as

 $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}| < \delta \longrightarrow |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{L}| < \varepsilon$ or $\mathbf{c} - \delta < \mathbf{x} < \mathbf{c} + \delta \longrightarrow \mathbf{L} - \varepsilon < \mathbf{y} < \mathbf{L} + \varepsilon$.

The point is that δ and ε , being tolerances, can be any positive (but typically small) values. Finally, we have the formal definition of the limit with the notation seen in the previous section.

Notes:

Note: the common phrase "the ε - δ definition" is read aloud as "the epsilon delta definition." The hyphen between ε and δ is not a minus sign.

Definition 1.2.1 The Limit of a Function *f*

Let *I* be an open interval containing *c*, and let *f* be a function defined on *I*, except possibly at *c*. The **limit of** f(x), as *x* approaches *c*, is *L*, denoted by

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = L,$$

means that given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all x in I, where $x \neq c$, if $|x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$.

(Mathematicians often enjoy writing ideas without using any words. Here is the wordless definition of the limit:

$$\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \text{ s.t. } 0 < |x-c| < \delta \longrightarrow |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon.)$$

Note the order in which ε and δ are given. In the definition, the *y*-tolerance ε is given *first* and then the limit will exist **if** we can find an *x*-tolerance δ that works.


An example will help us understand this definition. Note that the explanation is long, but it will take one through all steps necessary to understand the ideas.

Example 1.2.1 Evaluating a limit using the definition Show that $\lim \sqrt{x} = 2$.

SOLUTION Before we use the formal defir

SOLUTION Before we use the formal definition, let's try some numerical tolerances. What if the *y* tolerance is 0.5, or $\varepsilon = 0.5$? How close to 4 does *x* have to be so that *y* is within 0.5 units of 2, i.e., 1.5 < y < 2.5? In this case, we can proceed as follows:

So, what is the desired *x* tolerance? Remember, we want to find a symmetric interval of *x* values, namely $4 - \delta < x < 4 + \delta$. The lower bound of 2.25 is 1.75 units from 4; the upper bound of 6.25 is 2.25 units from 4. We need the smaller of these two distances; we must have $\delta < 1.75$. See Figure 1.2.1.



With $\varepsilon = 0.5$, we pick any $\delta < 1.75$.

Figure 1.2.1: Illustrating the $\varepsilon-\delta$ process.

Given the y tolerance $\varepsilon = 0.5$, we have found an x tolerance, $\delta < 1.75$, such that whenever x is within δ units of 4, then y is within ε units of 2. That's what we were trying to find.

Let's try another value of ε .

What if the *y* tolerance is 0.01, i.e., $\varepsilon = 0.01$? How close to 4 does *x* have to be in order for *y* to be within 0.01 units of 2 (or 1.99 < *y* < 2.01)? Again, we just square these values to get $1.99^2 < x < 2.01^2$, or

What is the desired *x* tolerance? In this case we must have $\delta < 0.0399$, which is the minimum distance from 4 of the two bounds given above.

What we have so far: if $\varepsilon = 0.5$, then $\delta < 1.75$ and if $\varepsilon = 0.01$, then $\delta < 0.0399$. A pattern is not easy to see, so we switch to general ε try to determine δ symbolically. We start by assuming $y = \sqrt{x}$ is within ε units of 2:

y-2 <arepsilon< th=""><th></th></arepsilon<>	
$-arepsilon < \mathbf{y} - 2 < arepsilon$	(Definition of absolute value)
$-\varepsilon < \sqrt{\mathbf{x}} - 2 < \varepsilon$	$(y = \sqrt{x})$
$2 - \varepsilon < \sqrt{\mathbf{x}} < 2 + \varepsilon$	(Add 2)
$(2 - \varepsilon)^{2} < \mathbf{x} < (2 + \varepsilon)^{2}$	(Square all)
$4 - 4\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 < x < 4 + 4\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2$	(Expand)
$4 - (4\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2) < \mathbf{x} < 4 + (4\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2).$	(Rewrite in the desired form)

The "desired form" in the last step is "4 – something < x < 4 + something." Since we want this last interval to describe an x tolerance around 4, we have that either $\delta < 4\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2$ or $\delta < 4\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2$, whichever is smaller:

$$\delta < \min\{4\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2, 4\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2\}.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$, the minimum is $\delta < 4\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2$. That's the formula: given an ε , set $\delta < 4\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2$.

We can check this for our previous values. If $\varepsilon = 0.5$, the formula gives $\delta < 4(0.5) - (0.5)^2 = 1.75$ and when $\varepsilon = 0.01$, the formula gives $\delta < 4(0.01) - (0.01)^2 = 0.399$.

So given any $\varepsilon > 0$, set $\delta < 4\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2$. Then if $|x - 4| < \delta$ (and $x \neq 4$), then $|f(x) - 2| < \varepsilon$, satisfying the definition of the limit. We have shown formally (and finally!) that $\lim_{x \to 4} \sqrt{x} = 2$.

The previous example was a little long in that we sampled a few specific cases of ε before handling the general case. Normally this is not done. The previous example is also a bit unsatisfying in that $\sqrt{4} = 2$; why work so hard to prove something so obvious? Many ε - δ proofs are long and difficult to do. In this section, we will focus on examples where the answer is, frankly, obvious, because the non-obvious examples are even harder. In the next section we will learn some theorems that allow us to evaluate limits *analytically*, that is, without using the ε - δ definition.

Example 1.2.2 Evaluating a limit using the definition Show that $\lim_{x\to 2} x^2 = 4$.

SOLUTION Let's do this example symbolically from the start. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given; we want $|y - 4| < \varepsilon$, i.e., $|x^2 - 4| < \varepsilon$. How do we find δ such that when $|x - 2| < \delta$, we are guaranteed that $|x^2 - 4| < \varepsilon$?

This is a bit trickier than the previous example, but let's start by noticing that $|x^2 - 4| = |x - 2| \cdot |x + 2|$. Consider:

$$|x^2-4| < \varepsilon \longrightarrow |x-2| \cdot |x+2| < \varepsilon \longrightarrow |x-2| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|x+2|}.$$
 (1.1)

Could we not set $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{|\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{2}|}$?

We are close to an answer, but the catch is that δ must be a *constant* value (so it can't contain *x*). There is a way to work around this, but we do have to make an assumption. Remember that ε is supposed to be a small number, which implies that δ will also be a small value. In particular, we can (probably) assume that $\delta < 1$. If this is true, then $|x - 2| < \delta$ would imply that |x - 2| < 1, giving 1 < x < 3.

Now, back to the fraction $\frac{\varepsilon}{|x+2|}$. If 1 < x < 3, then 3 < x+2 < 5 (add 2 to all terms in the inequality). Taking reciprocals, we have

$$\frac{1}{5} < \frac{1}{|x+2|} < \frac{1}{3}$$
 which implies
$$\frac{1}{5} < \frac{1}{|x+2|}$$
 which implies
$$\frac{\varepsilon}{5} < \frac{\varepsilon}{|x+2|}.$$
 (1.2)

This suggests that we set $\delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}$. To see why, let consider what follows when we assume $|x-2| < \delta$:

$$\begin{aligned} |x-2| &< \delta \\ |x-2| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \end{aligned} \qquad (Our \ choice \ of \ \delta) \\ |x-2| &\cdot |x+2| &< |x+2| \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \\ |x^2-4| &< |x+2| \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \end{aligned} \qquad (Multiply \ by \ |x+2|) \\ |x^2-4| &< |x+2| \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \\ |x+2| \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \end{aligned} \qquad (Combine \ left \ side) \\ |x^2-4| &< |x+2| \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{5} < |x+2| \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{|x+2|} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

We have arrived at $|x^2 - 4| < \varepsilon$ as desired. Note again, in order to make this happen we needed δ to first be less than 1. That is a safe assumption; we want ε to be arbitrarily small, forcing δ to also be small.

We have also picked δ to be smaller than "necessary." We could get by with a slightly larger δ , as shown in Figure 1.2.2. The dashed outer lines show the boundaries defined by our choice of ε . The dotted inner lines show the boundaries defined by setting $\delta = \varepsilon/5$. Note how these dotted lines are within the dashed lines. That is perfectly fine; by choosing *x* within the dotted lines we are guaranteed that f(x) will be within ε of 4.

In summary, given $\varepsilon > 0$, set $\delta = \varepsilon/5$. Then $|x-2| < \delta$ implies $|x^2 - 4| < \varepsilon$ (i.e. $|y-4| < \varepsilon$) as desired. This shows that $\lim_{x\to 2} x^2 = 4$. Figure 1.2.2 gives a visualization of this; by restricting x to values within $\delta = \varepsilon/5$ of 2, we see that f(x) is within ε of 4.

Make note of the general pattern exhibited in these last two examples. In some sense, each starts out "backwards." That is, while we want to

1. start with $|x - c| < \delta$ and conclude that

2.
$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{L}| < \varepsilon_{\mathbf{x}}$$

we actually start by assuming

- 1. $|f(x) L| < \varepsilon$, then perform some algebraic manipulations to give an inequality of the form
- 2. |x c| < something.

When we have properly done this, the *something* on the "greater than" side of the inequality becomes our δ . We can refer to this as the "scratch–work" phase of our proof. Once we have δ , we can formally start with $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}| < \delta$ and use algebraic manipulations to conclude that $|f(\mathbf{x}) - L| < \varepsilon$, usually by using the same steps of our "scratch–work" in reverse order.




Figure 1.2.2: Choosing $\delta=\varepsilon/{\rm 5}$ in Example 1.2.2.

We highlight this process in the following example.

Example 1.2.3 Evaluating a limit using the definition Prove that $\lim_{x \to 1} (x^3 - 2x) = -1$.

Solution We start our scratch-work by considering $|f(x) - (-1)| < \varepsilon$: $|f(x) - (-1)| < \varepsilon$ $|x^3 - 2x + 1| < \varepsilon$ (Now factor) $|(x - 1)(x^2 + x - 1)| < \varepsilon$ $|x - 1| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|x^2 + x - 1|}$. (1.3)

We are at the phase of saying that |x - 1| < something, where something = $\varepsilon/|x^2 + x - 1|$. We want to turn that something into δ .

Since x is approaching 1, we are safe to assume that x is between 0 and 2. So

$$0 < x < 2$$

 $0 < x^2 < 4.$ (squared each term)

Since 0 < x < 2, we can add 0, x and 2, respectively, to each part of the inequality and maintain the inequality.

 $\label{eq:rescaled} \begin{array}{l} 0 < x^2 + x < 6 \\ -1 < x^2 + x - 1 < 5. \end{array} \qquad \mbox{(subtracted 1 from each part)}$

In Equation (1.3), we wanted $|x - 1| < \varepsilon/|x^2 + x - 1|$. The above shows that given any x in [0, 2], we know that

$$x^{2} + x - 1 < 5$$
 which implies that

$$\frac{1}{5} < \frac{1}{x^{2} + x - 1}$$
 which implies that

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{5} < \frac{\varepsilon}{x^{2} + x - 1}.$$
 (1.4)

So we set $\delta < \varepsilon/5$. This ends our scratch–work, and we begin the formal proof (which also helps us understand why this was a good choice of δ).

Given ε , let $\delta < \varepsilon/5$. We want to show that when $|x - 1| < \delta$, then $|(x^3 -$

$$\begin{split} |2x) - (-1)| &< \varepsilon. \text{ We start with } |x - 1| < \delta: \\ &|x - 1| < \delta \\ &|x - 1| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \\ &|x - 1| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5} < \frac{\varepsilon}{|x^2 + x - 1|} \\ |x - 1| \cdot |x^2 + x - 1| < \varepsilon \\ &|x^3 - 2x + 1| < \varepsilon \\ &|(x^3 - 2x) - (-1)| < \varepsilon, \end{split}$$
 (for x near 1, from Equation (1.4))

which is what we wanted to show. Thus $\lim_{x \to 1} (x^3 - 2x) = -1$.

We illustrate evaluating limits once more.

Example 1.2.4 Evaluating a limit using the definition Prove that $\lim_{x\to 0} e^x = 1$.

Solution Symbolically, we want to take the equation $|e^x - 1| < \varepsilon$ and unravel it to the form $|x - 0| < \delta$. Here is our scratch–work:

$$\begin{split} |e^x - 1| < \varepsilon \\ -\varepsilon < e^x - 1 < \varepsilon & \text{(Definition of absolute value)} \\ 1 - \varepsilon < e^x < 1 + \varepsilon & \text{(Add 1)} \\ \ln(1 - \varepsilon) < x < \ln(1 + \varepsilon) & \text{(Take natural logs)} \end{split}$$

Making the safe assumption that $\varepsilon < 1$ ensures the last inequality is valid (i.e., so that $\ln(1-\varepsilon)$ is defined). We can then set δ to be the minimum of $|\ln(1-\varepsilon)|$ and $\ln(1+\varepsilon)$; i.e.,

$$\delta = \min\{|\ln(\mathbf{1} - \varepsilon)|, \ln(\mathbf{1} + \varepsilon)\} = \ln(\mathbf{1} + \varepsilon).$$

Now, we work through the actual the proof:

$$\begin{split} |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{0}| &< \delta \\ &-\delta < \mathbf{x} < \delta & \text{(Definition of absolute value)} \\ &-\ln(\mathbf{1} + \varepsilon) < \mathbf{x} < \ln(\mathbf{1} + \varepsilon). \\ &\ln(\mathbf{1} - \varepsilon) < \mathbf{x} < \ln(\mathbf{1} + \varepsilon). & \text{(since } \ln(\mathbf{1} - \varepsilon) < -\ln(\mathbf{1} + \varepsilon)) \end{split}$$

Notes:

Note: Recall $\ln 1 = 0$ and $\ln x < 0$ when 0 < x < 1. So $\ln(1 - \varepsilon) < 0$, hence we consider its absolute value.

The above line is true by our choice of δ and by the fact that since $|\ln(1-\varepsilon)| > \ln(1+\varepsilon)$ and $\ln(1-\varepsilon) < 0$, we know $\ln(1-\varepsilon) < -\ln(1+\varepsilon)$.

(Exponentiate)	$1 - \varepsilon < e^x < 1 + \varepsilon$
(Subtract 1)	$-\varepsilon < e^{x} - 1 < \varepsilon$

In summary, given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\delta = \ln(1 + \varepsilon)$. Then $|x - 0| < \delta$ implies $|e^x - 1| < \varepsilon$ as desired. We have shown that $\lim_{v \to 0} e^x = 1$.

We note that we could actually show that $\lim_{x\to c} e^x = e^c$ for any constant c. We do this by factoring out e^c from both sides, leaving us to show $\lim_{x\to c} e^{x-c} = 1$ instead. By using the substitution u = x-c, this reduces to showing $\lim_{u\to 0} e^u = 1$ which we just did in the last example. As an added benefit, this shows that in fact the function $f(x) = e^x$ is *continuous* at all values of x, an important concept we will define in Section 1.5.

This formal definition of the limit is not an easy concept grasp. Our examples are actually "easy" examples, using "simple" functions like polynomials, square–roots and exponentials. It is very difficult to prove, using the techniques given above, that $\lim_{x\to 0} (\sin x)/x = 1$, as we approximated in the previous section.

There is hope. The next section shows how one can evaluate complicated limits using certain basic limits as building blocks. While limits are an incredibly important part of calculus (and hence much of higher mathematics), rarely are limits evaluated using the definition. Rather, the techniques of the following section are employed.

Exercises 1.2

Terms and Concepts

1. What is wrong with the following "definition" of a limit?

"The limit of f(x), as x approaches a, is K" means that given any $\delta > 0$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that whenever $|f(x) - K| < \varepsilon$, we have $|x - a| < \delta$.

- 2. Which is given first in establishing a limit, the *x*-tolerance or the *y*-tolerance?
- 3. T/F: ε must always be positive.
- 4. T/F: δ must always be positive.

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 14, prove the given limit using an $\varepsilon-\delta$ proof.

5.
$$\lim_{x \to 4} (2x + 5) = 13$$

- 6. $\lim_{x\to 5}(3-x) = -2$
- 7. $\lim_{x \to 3} (x^2 3) = 6$
- 8. $\lim_{x \to 4} (x^2 + x 5) = 15$
- 9. $\lim_{x \to 1} (2x^2 + 3x + 1) = 6$
- 10. $\lim_{x\to 2} (x^3 1) = 7$
- 11. $\lim_{x \to 2} 5 = 5$
- 12. $\lim_{x\to 0} (e^{2x} 1) = 0$
- 13. $\lim_{x \to 1} \frac{1}{x} = 1$
- 14. $\limsup_{x\to 0} \sin x = 0$ (Hint: use the fact that $|\sin x| \le |x|$, with equality only when x = 0.)

1.3 Finding Limits Analytically

In Section 1.1 we explored the concept of the limit without a strict definition, meaning we could only make approximations. In the previous section we gave the definition of the limit and demonstrated how to use it to verify our approximations were correct. Thus far, our method of finding a limit is 1) make a really good approximation either graphically or numerically, and 2) verify our approximation is correct using a ε - δ proof.

Recognizing that ε - δ proofs are cumbersome, this section gives a series of theorems which allow us to find limits much more quickly and intuitively.

Suppose that $\lim_{x\to 2} f(x) = 2$ and $\lim_{x\to 2} g(x) = 3$. What is $\lim_{x\to 2} (f(x) + g(x))$? Intuition tells us that the limit should be 5, as we expect limits to behave in a nice way. The following theorem states that already established limits do behave nicely.

Theorem 1.3.1 Basic Limit Properties

Let *b*, *c*, *L* and *K* be real numbers, let *n* be a positive integer, and let f and g be functions defined on an open interval *I* containing *c* with the following limits:

$$\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = L \text{ and } \lim_{x \to c} g(x) = K.$$

The following limits hold.

1. Constants:	$\lim_{x\to c} b = b$
2. Identity	$\lim_{x\to c} x = c$
3. Sums/Differences:	$\lim_{x\to c} (f(x) \pm g(x)) = L \pm K$
4. Scalar Multiples:	$\lim_{x\to c} b \cdot f(x) = bL$
5. Products:	$\lim_{x\to c} f(x) \cdot g(x) = LK$
6. Quotients:	$\lim_{x\to c} f(x)/g(x) = L/K, (K\neq 0)$
7. Powers:	$\lim_{x\to c} f(x)^n = L^n$
8. Roots:	$\lim_{x\to c} \sqrt[n]{f(x)} = \sqrt[n]{L}$
	(If <i>n</i> is even then require $f(x) \ge 0$ on <i>I</i> .)
9. Compositions:	Adjust our previously given limit situation to:
	$\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L, \ \lim_{x\to L} g(x) = K \text{ and } g(L) = K.$
	Then $\lim_{x\to c} g(f(x)) = K.$

We make a note about Property #8: when n is even, L must be greater than

0. If *n* is odd, then the statement is true for all *L*.

We apply the theorem to an example.

Example 1.3.1 Using basic limit properties Let

$$\lim_{x \to 2} f(x) = 2, \quad \lim_{x \to 2} g(x) = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad p(x) = 3x^2 - 5x + 7.$$

Find the following limits:

1. $\lim_{x \to 2} (f(x) + g(x))$ 3. $\lim_{x \to 2} p(x)$

2.
$$\lim_{x \to 2} (5f(x) + g(x)^2)$$

SOLUTION

- 1. Using the Sum/Difference rule, we know that $\lim_{x\to 2} (f(x) + g(x)) = 2 + 3 = 5.$
- 2. Using the Scalar Multiple and Sum/Difference rules, we find that $\lim_{x\to 2} (5f(x) + g(x)^2) = 5 \cdot 2 + 3^2 = 19.$
- 3. Here we combine the Power, Scalar Multiple, Sum/Difference and Constant Rules. We show quite a few steps, but in general these can be omitted:

$$\lim_{x \to 2} p(x) = \lim_{x \to 2} (3x^2 - 5x + 7)$$

=
$$\lim_{x \to 2} 3x^2 - \lim_{x \to 2} 5x + \lim_{x \to 2} 7$$

=
$$3 \cdot 2^2 - 5 \cdot 2 + 7$$

=
$$9$$

Part 3 of the previous example demonstrates how the limit of a quadratic polynomial can be determined using the properties of Theorem 1.3.1. Not only that, recognize that

$$\lim_{x\to 2} p(x) = 9 = p(2);$$

i.e., the limit at 2 was found just by plugging 2 into the function. This holds true for all polynomials, and also for rational functions (which are quotients of polynomials), as stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3.2 Limits of Polynomial and Rational Functions

Let p(x) and q(x) be polynomials and c a real number. Then:

1.
$$\lim_{x \to c} p(x) = p(c)$$

2.
$$\lim_{x \to c} \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} = \frac{p(c)}{q(c)}, \text{ where } q(c) \neq 0.$$

Example 1.3.2 Finding a limit of a rational function Using Theorem 1.3.2, find

$$\lim_{x \to -1} \frac{3x^2 - 5x + 1}{x^4 - x^2 + 3}.$$

SOLUTION

Using Theorem 1.3.2, we can quickly state that

$$\lim_{x \to -1} \frac{3x^2 - 5x + 1}{x^4 - x^2 + 3} = \frac{3(-1)^2 - 5(-1) + 1}{(-1)^4 - (-1)^2 + 3}$$
$$= \frac{9}{3} = 3.$$

It was likely frustrating in Section 1.2 to do a lot of work to prove that

$$\lim_{x\to 2} x^2 = 4$$

as it seemed fairly obvious. The previous theorems state that many functions behave in such an "obvious" fashion, as demonstrated by the rational function in Example 1.3.2.

Polynomial and rational functions are not the only functions to behave in such a predictable way. The following theorem gives a list of functions whose behavior is particularly "nice" in terms of limits. In the next section, we will give a formal name to these functions that behave "nicely."

Theorem 1.3.3 Special Limits

Let c be a real number in the domain of the given function and let n be a positive integer. The following limits hold:

1. $\lim_{x\to c}\sin x = \sin c$	4. $\lim_{x\to c} \csc x = \csc c$	7. $\lim_{x\to c} a^x = a^c \ (a > 0)$
2. $\lim_{x\to c} \cos x = \cos c$	5. $\lim_{x\to c} \sec x = \sec c$	8. $\lim_{x\to c} \ln x = \ln c$
3. $\lim_{x \to c} \tan x = \tan c$	6. $\lim_{x \to c} \cot x = \cot c$	9. $\lim_{x\to c} \sqrt[n]{x} = \sqrt[n]{c}$

Example 1.3.3 Evaluating limits analytically

Evaluate the following limits.

- 1. $\lim_{x \to \pi} \cos x$ 2. $\lim_{x \to 3} (\sec^2 x - \tan^2 x)$ 3. $\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sin x}{x}$ 4. $\lim_{x \to 1} e^{\ln x}$ 5. $\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sin x}{x}$
- 3. $\lim_{x \to \pi/2} \cos x \sin x$

SOLUTION

- 1. This is a straightforward application of Theorem 1.3.3. $\lim_{x \to \pi} \cos x = \cos \pi = -1.$
- 2. We can approach this in at least two ways. First, by directly applying Theorem 1.3.3, we have:

$$\lim_{x \to 3} (\sec^2 x - \tan^2 x) = \sec^2 3 - \tan^2 3.$$

Using the Pythagorean Theorem, this last expression is 1; therefore

$$\lim_{x\to 3}(\sec^2 x - \tan^2 x) = 1.$$

We can also use the Pythagorean Theorem from the start.

$$\lim_{x\to 3}(\sec^2 x - \tan^2 x) = \lim_{x\to 3} 1 = 1,$$

using the Constant limit rule. Either way, we find the limit is 1.

3. Applying the Product limit rule of Theorem 1.3.1 and Theorem 1.3.3 gives

$$\lim_{x \to \pi/2} \cos x \sin x = \cos(\pi/2) \sin(\pi/2) = 0 \cdot 1 = 0.$$

4. Again, we can approach this in two ways. First, we can use the exponential/logarithmic identity that $e^{\ln x} = x$ and evaluate $\lim_{x \to 1} e^{\ln x} = \lim_{x \to 1} x = 1$.

We can also use the limit Composition Rule of Theorem 1.3.1. Using Theorem 1.3.3, we have $\lim_{x\to 1} \ln x = \ln 1 = 0$ and $\lim_{x\to 0} e^x = e^0 = 1$, satisfying the conditions of the Composition Rule. Applying this rule,

$$\lim_{x \to 1} e^{\ln x} = \lim_{x \to 0} e^x = e^0 = 1.$$

Both approaches are valid, giving the same result.

5. We encountered this limit in Section 1.1. Applying our theorems, we attempt to find the limit as

$$\lim_{x\to 0}\frac{\sin x}{x}\to \frac{\sin 0}{0}\to \frac{0}{0}.$$

This, of course, violates a condition of Theorem 1.3.1, as the limit of the denominator is not allowed to be 0. Therefore, we are still unable to evaluate this limit with tools we currently have at hand.

The section could have been titled "Using Known Limits to Find Unknown Limits." By knowing certain limits of functions, we can find limits involving sums, products, powers, etc., of these functions. We further the development of such comparative tools with the Squeeze Theorem, a clever and intuitive way to find the value of some limits.

Before stating this theorem formally, suppose we have functions f, g and hwhere g always takes on values between f and h; that is, for all x in an interval,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \leq g(\mathbf{x}) \leq h(\mathbf{x}).$$

If f and h have the same limit at c, and g is always "squeezed" between them, then q must have the same limit as well. That is what the Squeeze Theorem states.

Theorem 1.3.4	Squeeze Theorem
Let <i>f</i> , <i>g</i> and <i>h</i> be functions on an open interval <i>I</i> containing <i>c</i> such that for all <i>x</i> in <i>I</i> ,	
	$f(x) \leq g(x) \leq h(x).$
If	
	$\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L = \lim_{x\to c} h(x),$
then	
	$\lim_{x\to c}g(x)=L.$

It can take some work to figure out appropriate functions by which to "squeeze" a given function. However, that is generally the only place where work is necessary; the theorem makes the "evaluating the limit part" very simple.

We use the Squeeze Theorem in the following example to finally prove that sin x lim = **1**. Х

Notes:

 $x \rightarrow 0$


Example 1.3.4 Using the Squeeze Theorem

Use the Squeeze Theorem to show that

$$\lim_{x\to 0}\frac{\sin x}{x}=1.$$

SOLUTION We begin by considering the unit circle. Each point on the unit circle has coordinates $(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ for some angle θ as shown in Figure 1.3.1. Using similar triangles, we can extend the line from the origin through the point to the point $(1, \tan \theta)$, as shown. (Here we are assuming that $0 \le \theta \le \pi/2$. Later we will show that we can also consider $\theta \le 0$.)

Figure 1.3.1 shows three regions have been constructed in the first quadrant, two triangles and a sector of a circle, which are also drawn below. The area of the large triangle is $\frac{1}{2} \tan \theta$; the area of the sector is $\theta/2$; the area of the triangle contained inside the sector is $\frac{1}{2} \sin \theta$. It is then clear from the diagram that






Figure 1.3.1: The unit circle and related triangles.

$$\frac{1}{\cos\theta} \geq \frac{\theta}{\sin\theta} \geq 1.$$

Taking reciprocals reverses the inequalities, giving

$$\cos\theta \leq \frac{\sin\theta}{\theta} \leq \mathbf{1}$$

(These inequalities hold for all values of θ near 0, even negative values, since $\cos(-\theta) = \cos \theta$ and $\sin(-\theta) = -\sin \theta$.)

Now take limits.

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} \cos \theta \leq \lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} \leq \lim_{\theta \to 0} 1$$


$$\cos 0 \leq \lim_{ heta o 0} rac{\sin heta}{ heta} \leq 1$$

 $1 \leq \lim_{ heta o 0} rac{\sin heta}{ heta} \leq 1$
Clearly this means that $\lim_{ heta o 0} rac{\sin heta}{ heta} = 1$.

Two notes about the previous example are worth mentioning. First, one might be discouraged by this application, thinking "I would *never* have come up with that on my own. This is too hard!" Don't be discouraged; within this text we will guide you in your use of the Squeeze Theorem. As one gains mathematical maturity, clever proofs like this are easier and easier to create.

Second, this limit tells us more than just that as x approaches 0, $\sin(x)/x$ approaches 1. Both x and $\sin x$ are approaching 0, but the *ratio* of x and $\sin x$ approaches 1, meaning that they are approaching 0 in essentially the same way. Another way of viewing this is: for small x, the functions y = x and $y = \sin x$ are essentially indistinguishable.

We include this special limit, along with three others, in the following theorem.



A short word on how to interpret the latter three limits. We know that as x goes to 0, $\cos x$ goes to 1. So, in the second limit, both the numerator and denominator are approaching 0. However, since the limit is 0, we can interpret this as saying that " $\cos x$ is approaching 1 faster than x is approaching 0."

In the third limit, inside the parentheses we have an expression that is approaching 1 (though never equaling 1), and we know that 1 raised to any power is still 1. At the same time, the power is growing toward infinity. What happens to a number near 1 raised to a very large power? In this particular case, the result approaches Euler's number, *e*, approximately 2.718.

In the fourth limit, we see that as $x \to 0$, e^x approaches 1 "just as fast" as $x \to 0$, resulting in a limit of 1.

Our final theorem for this section will be motivated by the following example.

Example 1.3.5 Using algebra to evaluate a limit Evaluate the following limit:

$$\lim_{x\to 1}\frac{x^2-1}{x-1}.$$

SOLUTION We begin by attempting to apply Theorem 1.3.2 and substituting 1 for *x* in the quotient. This gives:

$$\lim_{x \to 1} \frac{x^2 - 1}{x - 1} = \frac{1^2 - 1}{1 - 1} = \frac{"}{0} \frac{0}{0},$$

an indeterminate form. We cannot apply the theorem.

By graphing the function, as in Figure 1.3.2, we see that the function seems to be linear, implying that the limit should be easy to evaluate. Recognize that the numerator of our quotient can be factored:

$$\frac{x^2-1}{x-1}=\frac{(x-1)(x+1)}{x-1}.$$

The function is not defined when x = 1, but for all other x,

$$\frac{x^2 - 1}{x - 1} = \frac{(x - 1)(x + 1)}{x - 1} = \frac{(x - 1)(x + 1)}{x - 1} = x + 1$$

Clearly $\lim_{x\to 1} x + 1 = 2$. Recall that when considering limits, we are not concerned with the value of the function at 1, only the value the function approaches as x approaches 1. Since $(x^2 - 1)/(x - 1)$ and x + 1 are the same at all points except x = 1, they both approach the same value as x approaches 1. Therefore we can conclude that

$$\lim_{x \to 1} \frac{x^2 - 1}{x - 1} = 2.$$

The key to the above example is that the functions $y = (x^2 - 1)/(x - 1)$ and y = x + 1 are identical except at x = 1. Since limits describe a value the function is approaching, not the value the function actually attains, the limits of the two functions are always equal.




Figure 1.3.2: Graphing *f* in Example 1.3.5 to understand a limit.

Theorem 1.3.6 Limits of Functions Equal At All But One Point

Let g(x) = f(x) for all x in an open interval, except possibly at c, and let $\lim_{x \to c} g(x) = L$ for some real number L. Then

 $\lim_{x\to c}f(x)=L.$

The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra tells us that when dealing with a rational function of the form g(x)/f(x) and directly evaluating the limit $\lim_{x\to c} \frac{g(x)}{f(x)}$ returns "0/0", then (x - c) is a factor of both g(x) and f(x). One can then use algebra to factor this term out, cancel, then apply Theorem 1.3.6. We demonstrate this once more.

Example 1.3.6 Evaluating a limit using Theorem 1.3.6 Evaluate $\lim_{x\to 3} \frac{x^3 - 2x^2 - 5x + 6}{2x^3 + 3x^2 - 32x + 15}$.

SOLUTION We attempt to apply Theorem 1.3.2 by substituting 3 for *x*. This returns the familiar indeterminate form of "0/0". Since the numerator and denominator are each polynomials, we know that (x-3) is factor of each. Using whatever method is most comfortable to you, factor out (x-3) from each (using polynomial division, synthetic division, a computer algebra system, etc.). We find that

$$\frac{x^3 - 2x^2 - 5x + 6}{2x^3 + 3x^2 - 32x + 15} = \frac{(x - 3)(x^2 + x - 2)}{(x - 3)(2x^2 + 9x - 5)}.$$

We can cancel the (x - 3) terms as long as $x \neq 3$. Using Theorem 1.3.6 we conclude:

$$\lim_{x \to 3} \frac{x^3 - 2x^2 - 5x + 6}{2x^3 + 3x^2 - 32x + 15} = \lim_{x \to 3} \frac{(x - 3)(x^2 + x - 2)}{(x - 3)(2x^2 + 9x - 5)}$$
$$= \lim_{x \to 3} \frac{(x^2 + x - 2)}{(2x^2 + 9x - 5)}$$
$$= \frac{10}{40} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

We end this section by revisiting a limit first seen in Section 1.1, a limit of a difference quotient. Let $f(x) = -1.5x^2 + 11.5x$; we approximated the limit $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(1+h) - f(1)}{h} \approx 8.5$. We formally evaluate this limit in the following example.

Example 1.3.7 Evaluating the limit of a difference quotient Let $f(x) = -1.5x^2 + 11.5x$; find $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(1+h) - f(1)}{h}$.

SOLUTION Since *f* is a polynomial, our first attempt should be to employ Theorem 1.3.2 and substitute 0 for *h*. However, we see that this gives us "0/0." Knowing that we have a rational function hints that some algebra will help. Consider the following steps:

$$\begin{split} \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(1+h) - f(1)}{h} &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{-1.5(1+h)^2 + 11.5(1+h) - \left(-1.5(1)^2 + 11.5(1)\right)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{-1.5(1+2h+h^2) + 11.5 + 11.5h - 10}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{-1.5h^2 + 8.5h}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{h(-1.5h^2 + 8.5)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \to 0} (-1.5h + 8.5) \quad (\text{using Theorem 1.3.6, as } h \neq 0) \\ &= 8.5 \quad (\text{using Theorem 1.3.3}) \end{split}$$

This matches our previous approximation.

This section contains several valuable tools for evaluating limits. One of the main results of this section is Theorem 1.3.3; it states that many functions that we use regularly behave in a very nice, predictable way. In Section 1.5 we give a name to this nice behavior; we label such functions as *continuous*. Defining that term will require us to look again at what a limit is and what causes limits to not exist.

Exercises 1.3

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Explain in your own words, without using ε - δ formality, why $\lim_{t \to 0} b = b$.
- 2. Explain in your own words, without using ε - δ formality, why $\lim_{t \to \infty} x = c$.
- 3. What does the text mean when it says that certain functions' "behavior is 'nice' in terms of limits"? What, in particular, is "nice"?
- Sketch a graph that visually demonstrates the Squeeze Theorem.
- 5. You are given the following information:
 - (a) $\lim_{x \to 1} f(x) = 0$
 - (b) $\lim_{x \to 1} g(x) = 0$
 - (c) $\lim_{x \to 1} f(x)/g(x) = 2$

What can be said about the relative sizes of f(x) and g(x) as x approaches 1?

6. T/F: $\lim_{x \to 1} \ln x = 0$. Use a theorem to defend your answer.

Problems

In Exercises 7 - 14, use the following information to evaluate the given limit, when possible. If it is not possible to determine the limit, state why not.

- $\lim_{x \to 9} f(x) = 6$, $\lim_{x \to 6} f(x) = 9$, f(9) = 6• $\lim_{x \to 9} g(x) = 3$, $\lim_{x \to 6} g(x) = 3$, g(6) = 9
- 7. $\lim_{x \to 9} (f(x) + g(x))$
- 8. $\lim_{x \to 9} (3f(x)/g(x))$
- 9. $\lim_{x \to 9} \left(\frac{f(x) 2g(x)}{g(x)} \right)$
- 10. $\lim_{x \to 6} \left(\frac{f(x)}{3 g(x)} \right)$
- 11. $\lim_{x \to 9} g(f(x))$
- 12. $\lim_{x \to 6} f(g(x))$
- 13. $\lim_{x \to 6} g(f(f(x)))$
- 14. $\lim_{x \to 0} f(x)g(x) f^2(x) + g^2(x)$

In Exercises 15 - 18, use the following information to evaluate the given limit, when possible. If it is not possible to determine the limit, state why not.

- $\lim_{x \to 1} f(x) = 2$, $\lim_{x \to 10} f(x) = 1$, f(1) = 1/5• $\lim_{x \to 1} g(x) = 0$, $\lim_{x \to 10} g(x) = \pi$, $g(10) = \pi$
- 15. $\lim_{x \to 1} f(x)^{g(x)}$
- 16. $\lim_{x \to 10} \cos(g(x))$
- 17. $\lim_{x \to 1} f(x)g(x)$
- 18. $\lim_{x \to 1} g(5f(x))$

In Exercises 19 - 34, evaluate the given limit.

19.
$$\lim_{x \to 3} x^2 - 3x + 7$$

20. $\lim_{x \to \pi} \left(\frac{x - 3}{x - 5} \right)^7$

21.
$$\lim_{x \to \pi/4} \cos x \sin x$$

22.
$$\lim_{x \to 1} \frac{2x-2}{x+4}$$

23. $\lim_{x\to 0} \ln x$

24.
$$\lim_{x \to 3} 4^{x^3 - 8x}$$

- 25. $\lim_{x \to \pi/6} \csc x$
- 26. $\lim_{x \to 0} \ln(1+x)$
- 27. $\lim_{x \to \pi} \frac{x^2 + 3x + 5}{5x^2 2x 3}$
- 28. $\lim_{x \to \pi} \frac{3x+1}{1-x}$
- 29. $\lim_{x \to 6} \frac{x^2 4x 12}{x^2 13x + 42}$
- 30. $\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{x^2 + 2x}{x^2 2x}$
- 31. $\lim_{x \to 2} \frac{x^2 + 6x 16}{x^2 3x + 2}$
- 32. $\lim_{x \to 2} \frac{x^2 10x + 16}{x^2 x 2}$
- 33. $\lim_{x \to -2} \frac{x^2 5x 14}{x^2 + 10x + 16}$

34.
$$\lim_{x \to -1} \frac{x^2 + 9x + 8}{x^2 - 6x - 7}$$

Use the Squeeze Theorem in Exercises 35 – 38, where appropriate, to evaluate the given limit.

35.
$$\lim_{x \to 0} x \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

- $36. \lim_{x \to 0} \sin x \cos \left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right)$
- 37. $\lim_{x \to 1} f(x)$, where $3x 2 \le f(x) \le x^3$.
- 38. $\lim_{x \to 3} f(x)$, where $6x 9 \le f(x) \le x^2$.

Exercises 39 – 43 challenge your understanding of limits but can be evaluated using the knowledge gained in this section.

39.
$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sin 3x}{x}$$

40.
$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sin 5x}{8x}$$

41.
$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\ln(1+x)}{x}$$

42. $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{\sin x}{x}$, where x is measured in degrees, not radians.

43. Let
$$f(x) = 0$$
 and $g(x) = \frac{x}{x}$.

- (a) Show why $\lim_{x\to 2} f(x) = 0$.
- (b) Show why $\lim_{x\to 0} g(x) = 1$.
- (c) Show why $\lim_{x\to 2} g(f(x))$ does not exist.
- (d) Show why the answer to part (c) does not violate the Composition Rule of Theorem 1.3.1.

1.4 One Sided Limits

We introduced the concept of a limit gently, approximating their values graphically and numerically. Next came the rigorous definition of the limit, along with an admittedly tedious method for evaluating them. The previous section gave us tools (which we call theorems) that allow us to compute limits with greater ease. Chief among the results were the facts that polynomials and rational, trigonometric, exponential and logarithmic functions (and their sums, products, etc.) all behave "nicely." In this section we rigorously define what we mean by "nicely."

In Section 1.1 we saw three ways in which limits of functions failed to exist:

- 1. The function approached different values from the left and right,
- 2. The function grows without bound, and
- 3. The function oscillates.

In this section we explore in depth the concepts behind #1 by introducing the *one-sided limit*. We begin with formal definitions that are very similar to the definition of the limit given in Section 1.2, but the notation is slightly different and " $x \neq c$ " is replaced with either "x < c" or "x > c."

Definition 1.4.1 One Sided Limits: Left- and Right-Hand Limits

Left-Hand Limit

Let *f* be a function defined on (a, c) for some a < c and let *L* be a real number.

The limit of f(x), as x approaches c from the left, is L, or, the left-hand limit of f at c is L, denoted by

$$\lim_{x \to c^{-}} f(x) = L$$

means given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all a < x < c, if $|x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$.

Right-Hand Limit

Let f be a function defined on (c, b) for some b > c and let L be a real number.

The limit of f(x), as x approaches c from the right, is L, or, the right-hand limit of f at c is L, denoted by

$$\lim_{x \to x^+} f(x) = L$$

means given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all c < x < b, if $|x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$.

Practically speaking, when evaluating a left-hand limit, we consider only values of x "to the left of c," i.e., where x < c. The admittedly imperfect notation $x \rightarrow c^-$ is used to imply that we look at values of x to the left of c. The notation has nothing to do with positive or negative values of either x or c. A similar statement holds for evaluating right-hand limits; there we consider only values of x to the right of c, i.e., x > c. We can use the theorems from previous sections to help us evaluate these limits; we just restrict our view to one side of c.

We practice evaluating left- and right-hand limits through a series of examples.

Example 1.4.1 Evaluating one sided limits Let $f(x) = \begin{cases} x & 0 \le x \le 1 \\ 3-x & 1 < x < 2 \end{cases}$, as shown in Figure 1.4.1. Find each of the following:

1. $\lim_{x \to 1^{-}} f(x)$	5. $\lim_{x \to 0^+} f(x)$
2. $\lim_{x \to 1^+} f(x)$	6. <i>f</i> (0)
3. $\lim_{x \to 1} f(x)$	7. $\lim_{x \to 2^{-}} f(x)$
4. <i>f</i> (1)	8. <i>f</i> (2)

SOLUTION For these problems, the visual aid of the graph is likely more effective in evaluating the limits than using f itself. Therefore we will refer often to the graph.

- 1. As x goes to 1 from the left, we see that f(x) is approaching the value of 1. Therefore $\lim_{x \to -\infty} f(x) = 1$.
- 2. As x goes to 1 from the right, we see that f(x) is approaching the value of 2. Recall that it does not matter that there is an "open circle" there; we are evaluating a limit, not the value of the function. Therefore $\lim_{x \to 1^+} f(x) = 2$.
- 3. *The* limit of *f* as *x* approaches 1 does not exist, as discussed in the first section. The function does not approach one particular value, but two different values from the left and the right.
- 4. Using the definition and by looking at the graph we see that f(1) = 1.
- 5. As x goes to 0 from the right, we see that f(x) is also approaching 0. Therefore $\lim_{x\to 0^+} f(x) = 0$. Note we cannot consider a left-hand limit at 0 as f is not defined for values of x < 0.




Figure 1.4.1: A graph of *f* in Example 1.4.1.

- 6. Using the definition and the graph, f(0) = 0.
- 7. As x goes to 2 from the left, we see that f(x) is approaching the value of 1. Therefore $\lim_{x\to 2^-} f(x) = 1$.
- 8. The graph and the definition of the function show that f(2) is not defined.

Note how the left and right-hand limits were different at x = 1. This, of course, causes *the* limit to not exist. The following theorem states what is fairly intuitive: *the* limit exists precisely when the left and right-hand limits are equal.

Theorem 1.4.1Limits and One Sided LimitsLet f be a function defined on an open interval l containing c. Then $\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = L$ if, and only if, $\lim_{x \to c^-} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x \to c^+} f(x) = L$.

The phrase "if, and only if" means the two statements are *equivalent*: they are either both true or both false. If the limit equals *L*, then the left and right hand limits both equal *L*. If the limit is not equal to *L*, then at least one of the left and right-hand limits is not equal to *L* (it may not even exist).

One thing to consider in Examples 1.4.1 - 1.4.4 is that the value of the function may/may not be equal to the value(s) of its left/right-hand limits, even when these limits agree.

Example 1.4.2 Evaluating limits of a piecewise–defined function

Let $f(x) = \begin{cases} 2-x & 0 < x < 1\\ (x-2)^2 & 1 < x < 2 \end{cases}$, as shown in Figure 1.4.2. Evaluate the following.

1. $\lim_{x \to 1^{-}} f(x)$	5. $\lim_{x \to 0^+} f(x)$
$2. \lim_{x \to 1^+} f(x)$	6. <i>f</i> (0)
3. $\lim_{x \to 1} f(x)$	7. $\lim_{x \to 2^{-}} f(x)$
4. <i>f</i> (1)	8. <i>f</i> (2)




Figure 1.4.2: A graph of *f* from Example 1.4.2



SOLUTION Again we will evaluate each using both the definition of *f* and its graph.

- 1. As x approaches 1 from the left, we see that f(x) approaches 1. Therefore $\lim_{x \to 1^-} f(x) = 1$.
- 2. As x approaches 1 from the right, we see that again f(x) approaches 1. Therefore $\lim_{x \to 1+} f(x) = 1$.
- 3. The limit of f as x approaches 1 exists and is 1, as f approaches 1 from both the right and left. Therefore $\lim_{x\to 1} f(x) = 1$.
- 4. f(1) is not defined. Note that 1 is not in the domain of f as defined by the problem, which is indicated on the graph by an open circle when x = 1.
- 5. As x goes to 0 from the right, f(x) approaches 2. So $\lim_{x \to 0^+} f(x) = 2$.
- 6. f(0) is not defined as 0 is not in the domain of f.
- 7. As x goes to 2 from the left, f(x) approaches 0. So $\lim_{x \to 2^-} f(x) = 0$.
- 8. f(2) is not defined as 2 is not in the domain of f.

Example 1.4.3 Evaluating limits of a piecewise–defined function

Let $f(x) = \begin{cases} (x-1)^2 & 0 \le x \le 2, x \ne 1 \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases}$, as shown in Figure 1.4.3. Evaluate the following.

1. $\lim_{x \to 1^{-}} f(x)$	3. $\lim_{x \to 1} f(x)$
2. $\lim_{x \to 1^+} f(x)$	4. <i>f</i> (1)

SOLUTION It is clear by looking at the graph that both the left and righthand limits of f, as x approaches 1, are 0. Thus it is also clear that *the* limit is 0; i.e., $\lim_{x \to 1} f(x) = 0$. It is also clearly stated that f(1) = 1.





Figure 1.4.3: Graphing f in Example 1.4.3

Example 1.4.4 Evaluating limits of a piecewise–defined function Let $f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 & 0 \le x \le 1\\ 2-x & 1 < x \le 2 \end{cases}$, as shown in Figure 1.4.4. Evaluate the following.





SOLUTION It is clear from the definition of the function and its graph that all of the following are equal:

$$\lim_{x \to 1^{-}} f(x) = \lim_{x \to 1^{+}} f(x) = \lim_{x \to 1} f(x) = f(1) = 1.$$

Figure 1.4.4: Graphing *f* in Example 1.4.4

In Examples 1.4.1 – 1.4.4 we were asked to find both $\lim_{x\to 1} f(x)$ and f(1). Consider the following table:

	$\lim_{x\to 1} f(x)$	f(1)
Example 1.4.1	does not exist	1
Example 1.4.2	1	not defined
Example 1.4.3	0	1
Example 1.4.4	1	1

Only in Example 1.4.4 do both the function and the limit exist and agree. This seems "nice;" in fact, it seems "normal." This is in fact an important situation which we explore in the next section, entitled "Continuity." In short, a *continuous function* is one in which when a function approaches a value as $x \to c$ (i.e., when $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L$), it actually *attains* that value at *c*. Such functions behave nicely as they are very predictable.


Exercises 1.4


Terms and Concepts


- 1. What are the three ways in which a limit may fail to exist?
- 2. T/F: If $\lim_{x \to 1^{-}} f(x) = 5$, then $\lim_{x \to 1} f(x) = 5$
- 3. T/F: If $\lim_{x \to 1^{-}} f(x) = 5$, then $\lim_{x \to 1^{+}} f(x) = 5$
- 4. T/F: If $\lim_{x \to 1} f(x) = 5$, then $\lim_{x \to 1^{-}} f(x) = 5$

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 12, evaluate each expression using the given graph of f(x).







(a) $\lim_{x \to a^-} f(x)$	(c) $\lim_{x\to a} f(x)$
(b) $\lim_{x \to a^+} f(x)$	(d) <i>f</i> (<i>a</i>)

In Exercises 13 – 21, evaluate the given limits of the piecewise defined functions *f*.

13.
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x+1 & x \le 1 \\ x^2 - 5 & x > 1 \end{cases}$$

(a)
$$\lim_{x \to 1^{-}} f(x)$$

(b)
$$\lim_{x \to 1^{+}} f(x)$$

14.
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x^2 + 5x - 1 & x < 0 \\ \sin x & x > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\int f(x) = \begin{cases} \sin x & x \ge 0 \\ \text{(a)} \lim_{x \to 0^-} f(x) & \text{(c)} \lim_{x \to 0} f(x) \\ \text{(b)} \lim_{x \to 0^+} f(x) & \text{(d)} f(0) \end{cases}$$

15.
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 - 1 & x < -1 \\ x^3 + 1 & -1 \le x \le 1 \\ x^2 + 1 & x > 1 \end{cases}$$
(a)
$$\lim_{x \to -1^-} f(x)$$
(b)
$$\lim_{x \to -1^+} f(x)$$
(c)
$$\lim_{x \to -1} f(x)$$
(d)
$$f(-1)$$
(e)
$$\lim_{x \to 1^+} f(x)$$
(f)
$$\lim_{x \to 1^+} f(x)$$
(g)
$$\lim_{x \to 1} f(x)$$
(h)
$$f(1)$$

16.
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \cos x & x < \pi \\ \sin x & x \ge \pi \end{cases}$$

(a)
$$\lim_{x \to \pi^{-}} f(x)$$

(b)
$$\lim_{x \to \pi^{+}} f(x)$$

(c)
$$\lim_{x \to \pi} f(x)$$

(d)
$$f(\pi)$$

17. $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \cos^2 x & x < a \\ \sin^2 x & x \ge a \end{cases}$, where *a* is a real number.

(a)
$$\lim_{x \to a^{-}} f(x)$$

(b) $\lim_{x \to a^{+}} f(x)$
(c) $\lim_{x \to a} f(x)$
(d) $f(a)$

18.
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x+1 & x < 1 \\ 1 & x = 1 \\ x-1 & x > 1 \end{cases}$$

(a)
$$\lim_{x \to 1^{-}} f(x)$$

(b)
$$\lim_{x \to 1^{+}} f(x)$$

(c)
$$\lim_{x \to 1} f(x)$$

(d)
$$f(1)$$

19.
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 & x < 2 \\ x+1 & x=2 \\ -x^2+2x+4 & x > 2 \end{cases}$$

(a)
$$\lim_{x \to 2^-} f(x) & (c) \lim_{x \to 2} f(x)$$

(b)
$$\lim_{x \to 2^+} f(x) & (d) f(2) \end{cases}$$

20. $f(x) = \begin{cases} a(x-b)^2 + c & x < b \\ a(x-b) + c & x \ge b \end{cases}$, where *a*, *b* and *c* are real numbers.

(a)
$$\lim_{x \to b^-} f(x)$$

(b) $\lim_{x \to b^+} f(x)$
(c) $\lim_{x \to b} f(x)$
(d) $f(b)$

21.
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{|x|}{x} & x \neq 0\\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

(a)
$$\lim_{x \to 0^{-}} f(x)$$
 (c)
$$\lim_{x \to 0} f(x)$$

(b)
$$\lim_{x \to 0^{+}} f(x)$$
 (d)
$$f(0)$$

Review

22. Evaluate the limit: $\lim_{x \to -1} \frac{x^2 + 5x + 4}{x^2 - 3x - 4}$

23. Evaluate the limit:
$$\lim_{x \to -4} \frac{x^2 - 16}{x^2 - 4x - 32}$$
.

24. Evaluate the limit:
$$\lim_{x \to -6} \frac{x^2 - 15x + 54}{x^2 - 6x}$$
.

25. Approximate the limit numerically: $\lim_{x \to 0.4} \frac{x^2 - 4.4x + 1.6}{x^2 - 0.4x}$.

26. Approximate the limit numerically:
$$\lim_{x \to 0.2} \frac{x^2 + 5.8x - 1.2}{x^2 - 4.2x + 0.8}$$
.

1.5 Continuity

As we have studied limits, we have gained the intuition that limits measure "where a function is heading." That is, if $\lim_{x\to 1} f(x) = 3$, then as x is close to 1, f(x) is close to 3. We have seen, though, that this is not necessarily a good indicator of what f(1) actually is. This can be problematic; functions can tend to one value but attain another. This section focuses on functions that *do not* exhibit such behavior.

Definition 1.5.1 Continuous Function

Let f be a function defined on an open interval I containing c.

- 1. *f* is continuous at *c* if $\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = f(c)$.
- 2. *f* is **continuous on** *l* if *f* is continuous at *c* for all values of *c* in *l*. If *f* is continuous on $(-\infty, \infty)$, we say *f* is **continuous everywhere**.

A useful way to establish whether or not a function *f* is continuous at *c* is to verify the following three things:

- 1. $\lim_{x\to c} f(x)$ exists,
- 2. f(c) is defined, and
- 3. $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = f(c).$

Example 1.5.1 Finding intervals of continuity


Let f be defined as shown in Figure 1.5.1. Give the interval(s) on which f is continuous.


SOLUTION We proceed by examining the three criteria for continuity.

- 1. The limits $\lim_{x\to c} f(x)$ exists for all c between 0 and 3.
- 2. f(c) is defined for all c between 0 and 3, except for c = 1. We know immediately that f cannot be continuous at x = 1.
- 3. The limit $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = f(c)$ for all c between 0 and 3, except, of course, for c = 1.

We conclude that f is continuous at every point of (0,3) except at x = 1. Therefore f is continuous on (0,1) and (1,3).

Our definition of continuity (currently) only applies to open intervals. After Definition 1.5.2, we'll be able to say that f is continuous on [0, 1) and (1, 3].








Figure 1.5.2: A graph of the step function in Example 1.5.2.

Example 1.5.2 Finding intervals of continuity

The *floor function*, $f(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor$, returns the largest integer smaller than, or equal to, the input *x*. (For example, $f(\pi) = \lfloor \pi \rfloor = 3$.) The graph of *f* in Figure 1.5.2 demonstrates why this is often called a "step function."

Give the intervals on which *f* is continuous.

SOLUTION We examine the three criteria for continuity.

- 1. The limits $\lim_{x\to c} f(x)$ do not exist at the jumps from one "step" to the next, which occur at all integer values of *c*. Therefore the limits exist for all *c* except when *c* is an integer.
- 2. The function is defined for all values of *c*.
- 3. The limit $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = f(c)$ for all values of c where the limit exist, since each step consists of just a line.

We conclude that f is continuous everywhere except at integer values of c. So the intervals on which f is continuous are

 $\ldots, (-2, -1), (-1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 2), \ldots$

Our definition of continuity on an interval specifies the interval is an open interval. We can extend the definition of continuity to closed intervals by considering the appropriate one-sided limits at the endpoints.

Definition 1.5.2 Continuity on Closed Intervals

Let *f* be defined on the closed interval [a, b] for some real numbers a < b. *f* is **continuous on** [a, b] if:

- 1. f is continuous on (a, b),
- 2. $\lim_{x \to a^+} f(x) = f(a)$ and
- 3. $\lim_{x \to b^-} f(x) = f(b).$

We can make the appropriate adjustments to talk about continuity on half– open intervals such as [a, b) or (a, b] if necessary.

Using this new definition, we can adjust our answer in Example 1.5.1 by stating that f is continuous on [0, 1) and (1, 3], as mentioned in that example. We

can also revisit Example 1.5.2 and state that the floor function is continuous on the following half–open intervals

$$\dots, [-2, -1), [-1, 0), [0, 1), [1, 2), \dots$$

This can tempt us to conclude that f is continuous everywhere; after all, if f is continuous on [0, 1) and [1, 2), isn't f also continuous on [0, 2)? Of course, the answer is *no*, and the graph of the floor function immediately confirms this.

Continuous functions are important as they behave in a predictable fashion: functions attain the value they approach. Because continuity is so important, most of the functions you have likely seen in the past are continuous on their domains. This is demonstrated in the following example where we examine the intervals of continuity of a variety of common functions.

Example 1.5.3 Determining intervals on which a function is continuous

For each of the following functions, give the domain of the function and the interval(s) on which it is continuous.

- 1. f(x) = 1/x 4. $f(x) = \sqrt{1 x^2}$
- 2. $f(x) = \sin x$ 5. f(x) = |x|
- 3. $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$

SOLUTION We examine each in turn.

- 1. The domain of f(x) = 1/x is $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$. As it is a rational function, we apply Theorem 1.3.2 to recognize that f is continuous on all of its domain.
- 2. The domain of $f(x) = \sin x$ is all real numbers, or $(-\infty, \infty)$. Applying Theorem 1.3.3 shows that $\sin x$ is continuous everywhere.
- 3. The domain of $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ is $[0, \infty)$. Applying Theorem 1.3.3 shows that $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ is continuous on its domain of $[0, \infty)$.
- 4. The domain of $f(x) = \sqrt{1 x^2}$ is [-1, 1]. Applying Theorems 1.3.1 and 1.3.3 shows that f is continuous on all of its domain, [-1, 1].
- 5. The domain of f(x) = |x| is $(-\infty, \infty)$. We can define the absolute value function as $f(x) = \begin{cases} -x & x < 0 \\ x & x \ge 0 \end{cases}$. Each "piece" of this piecewise defined function is continuous on all of its domain, giving that f is continuous on $(-\infty, 0)$ and $[0, \infty)$. We cannot assume this implies that f is continuous on $(-\infty, \infty)$; we need to check that $\lim_{x \to 0} f(x) = f(0)$, as x = 0 is the point where f transitions from one "piece" of its definition to the other. It is easy to verify that this is indeed true, hence we conclude that f(x) = |x| is continuous everywhere.

Continuity is inherently tied to the properties of limits. Because of this, the properties of limits found in Theorems 1.3.1 and 1.3.2 apply to continuity as well. Further, now knowing the definition of continuity we can re-read Theorem 1.3.3 as giving a list of functions that are continuous on their domains. The following theorem states how continuous functions can be combined to form other continuous functions, followed by a theorem which formally lists functions that we know are continuous on their domains.

Theorem 1.5.1 Properties of Continuous Functions

Let *f* and *g* be continuous functions on an interval *I*, let *c* be a real number and let *n* be a positive integer. The following functions are continuous on *I*.

1. Sums/Differences: $f \pm g$ 2. Constant Multiples: $c \cdot f$ 3. Products: $f \cdot g$ f/g 4. Quotients: (as long as $g \neq 0$ on I) fⁿ 5. Powers: √f 6. Roots: (If *n* is even then require $f(x) \ge 0$ on *I*.) 7. Compositions: Adjust the definitions of f and g to: Let f be continuous on *I*, where the range of *f* on *I* is *J*, and let g be continuous on J. Then $g \circ f$, i.e., g(f(x)), is continuous on *I*.

Theorem 1.5.2	Continuous Functions	
Let <i>n</i> be a positive	integer. The following functions ar	e continuous on their domains.
1. $f(x) = \sin x$	4. $f(x) = \csc x$	7. $f(x) = a^{x} (a > 0)$
2. $f(x) = \cos x$	5. $f(x) = \sec x$	8. $f(x) = \ln x$
3. $f(x) = \tan x$	6. $f(x) = \cot x$	9. $f(x) = \sqrt[n]{x}$

We apply these theorems in the following Example.

Example 1.5.4 Determining intervals on which a function is continuous

State the interval(s) on which each of the following functions is continuous.

1. $f(x) = \sqrt{x - 1} + \sqrt{5 - x}$ 2. $f(x) = x \sin x$ 3. $f(x) = \tan x$ 4. $f(x) = \sqrt{\ln x}$

SOLUTION We examine each in turn, applying Theorems 1.5.1 and 1.5.2 as appropriate.

- The square–root terms are continuous on the intervals [1,∞) and (-∞, 5], respectively. As *f* is continuous only where each term is continuous, *f* is continuous on [1, 5], the intersection of these two intervals. A graph of *f* is given in Figure 1.5.3.
- 2. The functions *y* = *x* and *y* = sin *x* are each continuous everywhere, hence their product is, too.
- 3. Theorem 1.5.2 states that $f(x) = \tan x$ is continuous "on its domain." Its domain includes all real numbers except odd multiples of $\pi/2$. Thus the intervals on which $f(x) = \tan x$ is continuous are

$$\ldots \left(-\frac{3\pi}{2},-\frac{\pi}{2}\right), \left(-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}\right), \left(\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{3\pi}{2}\right), \ldots,$$

4. The domain of $y = \sqrt{x}$ is $[0, \infty)$. The range of $y = \ln x$ is $(-\infty, \infty)$, but if we restrict its domain to $[1, \infty)$ its range is $[0, \infty)$. So restricting $y = \ln x$ to the domain of $[1, \infty)$ restricts its output is $[0, \infty)$, on which $y = \sqrt{x}$ is defined. Thus the domain of $f(x) = \sqrt{\ln x}$ is $[1, \infty)$.

A common way of thinking of a continuous function is that "its graph can be sketched without lifting your pencil." That is, its graph forms a "continuous" curve, without holes, breaks or jumps. While beyond the scope of this text, this pseudo-definition glosses over some of the finer points of continuity. Very strange functions are continuous that one would be hard pressed to actually sketch by hand.

This intuitive notion of continuity does help us understand another important concept as follows. Suppose f is defined on [1, 2] and f(1) = -10 and f(2) = 5. If f is continuous on [1, 2] (i.e., its graph can be sketched as a continuous curve from (1, -10) to (2, 5)) then we know intuitively that somewhere on [1, 2] f must be equal to -9, and -8, and -7, -6, ..., 0, 1/2, etc. In short, ftakes on all *intermediate* values between -10 and 5. It may take on more values; f may actually equal 6 at some time, for instance, but we are guaranteed all values between -10 and 5.




Figure 1.5.3: A graph of f in Example 1.5.4(1).

While this notion seems intuitive, it is not trivial to prove and its importance is profound. Therefore the concept is stated in the form of a theorem.

Theorem 1.5.3 Intermediate Value Theorem

Let *f* be a continuous function on [a, b] and, without loss of generality, let f(a) < f(b). Then for every value *y*, where f(a) < y < f(b), there is at least one value *c* in (a, b) such that f(c) = y.

One important application of the Intermediate Value Theorem is root finding. Given a function f, we are often interested in finding values of x where f(x) = 0. These roots may be very difficult to find exactly. Good approximations can be found through successive applications of this theorem. Suppose through direct computation we find that f(a) < 0 and f(b) > 0, where a < b. The Intermediate Value Theorem states that there is at least one c in (a, b) such that f(c) = 0. The theorem does not give us any clue as to where to find such a value in the interval (a, b), just that at least one such value exists.

There is a technique that produces a good approximation of *c*. Let *d* be the midpoint of the interval [a, b] and consider f(d). There are three possibilities:

- 1. f(d) = 0: We got lucky and stumbled on the actual value. We stop as we found a root.
- f(d) < 0: Then we know there is a root of f on the interval [d, b] we have halved the size of our interval, hence are closer to a good approximation of the root.
- 3. f(d) > 0: Then we know there is a root of f on the interval [a, d] again, we have halved the size of our interval, hence are closer to a good approximation of the root.

Successively applying this technique is called the **Bisection Method** of root finding. We continue until the interval is sufficiently small. We demonstrate this in the following example.

Example 1.5.5 Using the Bisection Method

Approximate the root of $f(x) = x - \cos x$, accurate to three places after the decimal.

SOLUTION Consider the graph of $f(x) = x - \cos x$, shown in Figure 1.5.4. It is clear that the graph crosses the *x*-axis somewhere near x = 0.8. To start the Bisection Method, pick an interval that contains 0.8. We choose [0.7, 0.9]. Note that all we care about are signs of f(x), not their actual value, so this is all we display.






Figure 1.5.4: Graphing a root of $f(x) = x - \cos x$.

Iteration 1: f(0.7) < 0, f(0.9) > 0, and f(0.8) > 0. So replace 0.9 with 0.8 and repeat.

Iteration 2: f(0.7) < 0, f(0.8) > 0, and at the midpoint, 0.75, we have f(0.75) > 0. So replace 0.8 with 0.75 and repeat. Note that we don't need to continue to check the endpoints, just the midpoint. Thus we put the rest of the iterations in Figure 1.5.5.

Notice that in the 12th iteration we have the endpoints of the interval each starting with 0.739. Thus we have narrowed the zero down to an accuracy of the first three places after the decimal. Using a computer, we have

$$f(0.7390) = -0.00014, \quad f(0.7391) = 0.000024.$$

Either endpoint of the interval gives a good approximation of where f is 0. The Intermediate Value Theorem states that the actual zero is still within this interval. While we do not know its exact value, we know it starts with 0.739.

This type of exercise is rarely done by hand. Rather, it is simple to program a computer to run such an algorithm and stop when the endpoints differ by a preset small amount. One of the authors did write such a program and found the zero of f, accurate to 10 places after the decimal, to be 0.7390851332. While it took a few minutes to write the program, it took less than a thousandth of a second for the program to run the necessary 35 iterations. In less than 8 hundredths of a second, the zero was calculated to 100 decimal places (with less than 200 iterations).

It is a simple matter to extend the Bisection Method to solve problems similar to "Find x, where f(x) = 0." For instance, we can find x, where f(x) = 1. It actually works very well to define a new function g where g(x) = f(x) - 1. Then use the Bisection Method to solve g(x) = 0.

Similarly, given two functions f and g, we can use the Bisection Method to solve f(x) = g(x). Once again, create a new function h where h(x) = f(x) - g(x) and solve h(x) = 0.

In Section 4.1 another equation solving method will be introduced, called Newton's Method. In many cases, Newton's Method is much faster. It relies on more advanced mathematics, though, so we will wait before introducing it.

This section formally defined what it means to be a continuous function. "Most" functions that we deal with are continuous, so often it feels odd to have to formally define this concept. Regardless, it is important, and forms the basis of the next chapter.

In the next section we examine one more aspect of limits: limits that involve infinity.

Iteration #	Interval	Midpoint Sign
1	[0.7, 0.9]	f(0.8) > 0
2	[0.7, 0.8]	f(0.75) > 0
3	[0.7, 0.75]	<i>f</i> (0.725) < 0
4	[0.725, 0.75]	<i>f</i> (0.7375) < 0
5	[0.7375, 0.75]	<i>f</i> (0.7438) > 0
6	[0.7375, 0.7438]	<i>f</i> (0.7407) > 0
7	[0.7375, 0.7407]	<i>f</i> (0.7391) > 0
8	[0.7375, 0.7391]	<i>f</i> (0.7383) < 0
9	[0.7383, 0.7391]	<i>f</i> (0.7387) < 0
10	[0.7387, 0.7391]	<i>f</i> (0.7389) < 0
11	[0.7389, 0.7391]	<i>f</i> (0.7390) < 0
12	[0.7390, 0.7391]	

Figure 1.5.5: Iterations of the Bisection Method of Root Finding


Exercises 1.5


Terms and Concepts


- 1. In your own words, describe what it means for a function to be continuous.
- In your own words, describe what the Intermediate Value Theorem states.
- 3. What is a "root" of a function?
- 4. Given functions f and g on an interval I, how can the Bisection Method be used to find a value c where f(c) = g(c)?
- 5. T/F: If f is defined on an open interval containing c, and $\lim_{x\to c} f(x)$ exists, then f is continuous at c.
- 6. T/F: If *f* is continuous at *c*, then $\lim_{x\to c} f(x)$ exists.
- 7. T/F: If *f* is continuous at *c*, then $\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = f(c)$.
- 8. T/F: If *f* is continuous on [a, b], then $\lim f(x) = f(a)$.
- 9. T/F: If *f* is continuous on [0, 1) and [1, 2), then *f* is continuous on [0, 2).
- 10. T/F: The sum of continuous functions is also continuous.

Problems

In Exercises 11 - 18, a graph of a function f is given along with a value a. Determine if f is continuous at a; if it is not, state why it is not.







In Exercises 19 - 22, determine if f is continuous at the indicated values. If not, explain why.

19.
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x = 0\\ \frac{\sin x}{x} & x > 0 \end{cases}$$
(a) $x = 0$
(b) $x = \pi$
20.
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^3 - x & x < 1\\ x - 2 & x \ge 1 \end{cases}$$
(a) $x = 0$
(b) $x = 1$
21.
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 + 5x + 4}{x^2 + 3x + 2} & x \ne -1\\ 3 & x = -1 \end{cases}$$
(a) $x = -1$
(b) $x = 10$
22.
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 - 64}{x^2 - 11x + 24} & x \ne 8\\ 5 & x = 8 \end{cases}$$
(a) $x = 0$
(b) $x = 8$

In Exercises 23 – 34, give the intervals on which the given function is continuous.

23. $f(x) = x^2 - 3x + 9$ 24. $g(x) = \sqrt{x^2 - 4}$ 25. $g(x) = \sqrt{4 - x^2}$ 26. $h(k) = \sqrt{1 - k} + \sqrt{k + 1}$ 27. $f(t) = \sqrt{5t^2 - 30}$ 28. $g(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}}$ 29. $g(x) = \frac{1}{1 + x^2}$ 30. $f(x) = e^x$

31.
$$g(s) = \ln s$$

32. $h(t) = \cos t$
33. $f(k) = \sqrt{1 - e^k}$
34. $f(x) = \sin(e^x + x^2)$

Exercises 35 - 38 test your understanding of the Intermediate Value Theorem.

- 35. Let f be continuous on [1, 5] where f(1) = -2 and f(5) = -10. Does a value 1 < c < 5 exist such that f(c) = -9? Why/why not?
- 36. Let g be continuous on [-3, 7] where g(0) = 0 and g(2) = 25. Does a value -3 < c < 7 exist such that g(c) = 15? Why/why not?
- 37. Let f be continuous on [-1, 1] where f(-1) = -10 and f(1) = 10. Does a value -1 < c < 1 exist such that f(c) = 11? Why/why not?
- 38. Let *h* be a function on [-1, 1] where h(-1) = -10 and h(1) = 10. Does a value -1 < c < 1 exist such that h(c) = 0? Why/why not?

In Exercises 39 - 42, use the Bisection Method to approximate, accurate to two decimal places, the value of the root of the given function in the given interval.

39.
$$f(x) = x^2 + 2x - 4$$
 on [1, 1.5].
40. $f(x) = \sin x - 1/2$ on [0.5, 0.55]
41. $f(x) = e^x - 2$ on [0.65, 0.7].
42. $f(x) = \cos x - \sin x$ on [0.7, 0.8].

Review

43. Let
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 - 5 & x < 5 \\ 5x & x \ge 5 \end{cases}$$
.
(a) $\lim_{x \to 5^-} f(x)$ (c) $\lim_{x \to 5} f(x)$
(b) $\lim_{x \to 5^+} f(x)$ (d) $f(5)$

44. Numerically approximate the following limits:

(a)
$$\lim_{x \to -4/5^+} \frac{x^2 - 8.2x - 7.2}{x^2 + 5.8x + 4}$$

(b)
$$\lim_{x \to -4/5^-} \frac{x^2 - 8.2x - 7.2}{x^2 + 5.8x + 4}$$

45. Give an example of function f(x) for which $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x)$ does not exist.




Figure 1.6.1: Graphing $f(x) = 1/x^2$ for values of x near 0.

1.6 Limits Involving Infinity

In Definition 1.2.1 we stated that in the equation $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L$, both c and L were numbers. In this section we relax that definition a bit by considering situations when it makes sense to let c and/or L be "infinity."

As a motivating example, consider $f(x) = 1/x^2$, as shown in Figure 1.6.1. Note how, as x approaches 0, f(x) grows very, very large – in fact, it grows without bound. It seems appropriate, and descriptive, to state that

$$\lim_{x\to 0}\frac{1}{x^2}=\infty.$$

Also note that as x gets very large, f(x) gets very, very small. We could represent this concept with notation such as

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{1}{x^2}=0.$$

We explore both types of use of ∞ in turn.

Definition 1.6.1 Limit of Infinity, ∞

Let *I* be an open interval containing *c*, and let *f* be a function defined on *I*, except possibly at *c*.

• The limit of f(x), as x approaches c, is infinity, denoted by

$$\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = \infty$$

means that given any N > 0, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all x in I, where $x \neq c$, if $|x - c| < \delta$, then f(x) > N.

• The limit of f(x), as x approaches c, is negative infinity, denoted by

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}f(x)=-\infty,$$

means that given any N < 0, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all x in I, where $x \neq c$, if $|x - c| < \delta$, then f(x) < N.

The first definition is similar to the ε - δ definition from Section 1.2. In that definition, given any (small) value ε , if we let x get close enough to c (within δ units of c) then f(x) is guaranteed to be within ε of L. Here, given any (large) value N, if we let x get close enough to c (within δ units of c), then f(x) will be

at least as large as *N*. In other words, if we get close enough to *c*, then we can make f(x) as large as we want. We define limits equal to $-\infty$ in a similar way.

It is important to note that by saying $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = \infty$ we are implicitly stating that *the* limit of f(x), as x approaches c, *does not exist*. A limit only exists when f(x) approaches an actual numeric value. We use the concept of limits that approach infinity because it is helpful and descriptive.

We define one-sided limits that approach infinity in a similar way.

Definition 1.6.2 One-Sided Limits of Infinity

• Let *f* be a function defined on (*a*, *c*) for some *a* < *c*.

The limit of f(x), as x approaches c from the left, is infinity, or, the left-hand limit of f at c is infinity, denoted by

$$\lim_{x\to c^-} f(x) = \infty,$$

means given any N > 0, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all a < x < c, if $|x - c| < \delta$, then f(x) > N.

• Let *f* be a function defined on (*c*, *b*) for some *b* > *c*.

The limit of f(x), as x approaches c from the right, is infinity, or, the right-hand limit of f at c is infinity, denoted by


 $\lim_{x\to c^+} f(x) = \infty,$


means given any N > 0, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all c < x < b, if $|x - c| < \delta$, then f(x) > N.

• The term **left- (or, right-) hand limit of** *f* **at c is negative infinity** is defined in a manner similar to Definition 1.6.1.

Example 1.6.1 Evaluating limits involving infinity Find $\lim_{x \to 1} \frac{1}{(x-1)^2}$ as shown in Figure 1.6.2.

SOLUTION In Example 1.1.4 of Section 1.1, by inspecting values of x close to 1 we concluded that this limit does not exist. That is, it cannot equal any real number. But the limit could be infinite. And in fact, we see that the function does appear to be growing larger and larger, as $f(.99) = 10^4$, $f(.999) = 10^6$, $f(.9999) = 10^8$. A similar thing happens on the other side of 1. In general, let a "large" value N be given. Let $\delta = 1/\sqrt{N}$. If x is within δ of 1, i.e., if









Figure 1.6.3: Evaluating $\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{1}{x}$



$$|x-1| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$$
$$(x-1)^2 < \frac{1}{N}$$
$$\frac{1}{(x-1)^2} > N,$$

which is what we wanted to show. So we may say $\lim_{x \to 1} 1/(x-1)^2 = \infty$.

Evaluating limits involving infinity Example 1.6.2 Find $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{1}{x}$, as shown in Figure 1.6.3.

It is easy to see that the function grows without bound near SOLUTION 0, but it does so in different ways on different sides of 0. Since its behavior is not consistent, we cannot say that $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{1}{x} = \infty$. However, we can make a statement about one-sided limits. We can state that $\lim_{x\to 0^+} \frac{1}{x} = \infty$ and $\lim_{x\to 0^-} \frac{1}{x} = -\infty$.

The graphs in the two previous examples demonstrate that if a function f has a limit (or, left- or right-hand limit) of infinity at x = c, then the graph of f looks similar to a vertical line near x = c. This observation leads to a definition.

Definition 1.6.3 Vertical Asymptote

Let I be an interval that either contains c or has c as an endpoint, and let f be a function defined on I, except possibly at c.

If the limit of f(x) as x approaches c from either the left or right (or both) is ∞ or $-\infty$, then the line x = c is a **vertical asymptote** of *f*.

Example 1.6.3 Finding vertical asymptotes Find the vertical asymptotes of $f(x) = \frac{3x}{x^2 - 4}$.

Vertical asymptotes occur where the function grows with-SOLUTION out bound; this can occur at values of c where the denominator is 0. When x is near c, the denominator is small, which in turn can make the function take on large values. In the case of the given function, the denominator is 0 at $x = \pm 2$. Substituting in values of x close to 2 and -2 seems to indicate that the function

tends toward ∞ or $-\infty$ at those points. We can graphically confirm this by looking at Figure 1.6.4. Thus the vertical asymptotes are at $x = \pm 2$.

When a rational function has a vertical asymptote at x = c, we can conclude that the denominator is 0 at x = c. However, just because the denominator is 0 at a certain point does not mean there is a vertical asymptote there. For instance, $f(x) = (x^2 - 1)/(x - 1)$ does not have a vertical asymptote at x = 1, as shown in Figure 1.6.5. While the denominator does get small near x = 1, the numerator gets small too, matching the denominator step for step. In fact, factoring the numerator, we get

$$f(x) = \frac{(x-1)(x+1)}{x-1}$$

Canceling the common term, we get that f(x) = x + 1 for $x \neq 1$. So there is clearly no asymptote; rather, a hole exists in the graph at x = 1.

The above example may seem a little contrived. Another example demonstrating this important concept is $f(x) = (\sin x)/x$. We have considered this function several times in the previous sections. We found that $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{\sin x}{x} = 1$; i.e., there is no vertical asymptote. No simple algebraic cancellation makes this fact obvious; we used the Squeeze Theorem in Section 1.3 to prove this.

If the denominator is 0 at a certain point but the numerator is not, then there will usually be a vertical asymptote at that point. On the other hand, if the numerator and denominator are both zero at that point, then there may or may not be a vertical asymptote at that point. This case where the numerator and denominator are both zero returns us to an important topic.

Indeterminate Forms


We have seen how the limits

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sin x}{x} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \to 1} \frac{x^2 - 1}{x - 1}$$

each return the indeterminate form "0/0" when we blindly plug in x = 0 and x = 1, respectively. However, 0/0 is not a valid arithmetical expression. It gives no indication that the respective limits are 1 and 2.

With a little cleverness, one can come up with 0/0 expressions which have a limit of ∞ , 0, or any other real number. That is why this expression is called *indeterminate*.

A key concept to understand is that such limits do not really return 0/0. Rather, keep in mind that we are taking *limits*. What is really happening is that






Figure 1.6.5: Graphically showing that $f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 1}{x - 1}$ does not have an asymptote at x = 1.

the numerator is shrinking to 0 while the denominator is also shrinking to 0. The respective rates at which they do this are very important and determine the actual value of the limit.

An indeterminate form indicates that one needs to do more work in order to compute the limit. That work may be algebraic (such as factoring and canceling) or it may require a tool such as the Squeeze Theorem. In a later section we will learn a technique called l'Hôspital's Rule that provides another way to handle indeterminate forms.

Some other common indeterminate forms are $\infty - \infty$, $\infty \cdot 0$, ∞ / ∞ , 0^0 , ∞^0 and 1^∞ . Again, keep in mind that these are the "blind" results of evaluating a limit, and each, in and of itself, has no meaning. The expression $\infty - \infty$ does not really mean "subtract infinity from infinity." Rather, it means "One quantity is subtracted from the other, but both are growing without bound." What is the result? It is possible to get every value between $-\infty$ and ∞ .

Note that 1/0 and $\infty/0$ are not indeterminate forms, though they are not exactly valid mathematical expressions, either. In each, the function is growing without bound, indicating that the limit will be ∞ , $-\infty$, or simply not exist if the left- and right-hand limits do not match.

Limits at Infinity and Horizontal Asymptotes

At the beginning of this section we briefly considered what happens to $f(x) = 1/x^2$ as x grew very large. Graphically, it concerns the behavior of the function to the "far right" of the graph. We make this notion more explicit in the following definition.

Definition 1.6.4 Limits at Infinity and Horizontal Asymptotes

Let *L* be a real number.

- 1. Let *f* be a function defined on (a, ∞) for some number *a*. The **limit of** *f* **at infinity is** *L*, or $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = L$, means for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists M > a such that if x > M, then $|f(x) L| < \varepsilon$.
- 2. Let *f* be a function defined on $(-\infty, b)$ for some number *b*. The **limit of** *f* **at negative infinity is** *L*, or $\lim_{x \to -\infty} f(x) = L$, means for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists M < b such that if x < M, then $|f(x) L| < \varepsilon$.
- 3. If $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = L$ or $\lim_{x\to-\infty} f(x) = L$, we say the line y = L is a horizontal asymptote of f.

We can also define limits such as $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = \infty$ by combining this definition with Definition 1.6.1.

Example 1.6.4 Approximating horizontal asymptotes

Approximate the horizontal asymptote(s) of $f(x) = \frac{x^2}{x^2 + 4}$.

SOLUTION We will approximate the horizontal asymptotes by approximating the limits

$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} \frac{x^2}{x^2 + 4} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{x^2}{x^2 + 4}.$$

Figure 1.6.6(a) shows a sketch of f, and part (b) gives values of f(x) for large magnitude values of x. It seems reasonable to conclude from both of these sources that f has a horizontal asymptote at y = 1.

Later, we will show how to determine this analytically.

Horizontal asymptotes can take on a variety of forms. Figure 1.6.7(a) shows that $f(x) = x/(x^2 + 1)$ has a horizontal asymptote of y = 0, where 0 is approached from both above and below.

Figure 1.6.7(b) shows that $f(x) = x/\sqrt{x^2 + 1}$ has two horizontal asymptotes; one at y = 1 and the other at y = -1.

Figure 1.6.7(c) shows that $f(x) = (\sin x)/x$ has even more interesting behavior than at just x = 0; as x approaches $\pm \infty$, f(x) approaches 0, but oscillates as it does this.




Figure 1.6.6: Using a graph and a table to approximate a horizontal asymptote in Example 1.6.4.




Figure 1.6.7: Considering different types of horizontal asymptotes.

We can analytically evaluate limits at infinity for rational functions once we understand $\lim_{x\to\infty} 1/x$. As x gets larger and larger, 1/x gets smaller and smaller, approaching 0. We can, in fact, make 1/x as small as we want by choosing a large enough value of x. Given ε , we can make $1/x < \varepsilon$ by choosing $x > 1/\varepsilon$. Thus we have $\lim_{x\to\infty} 1/x = 0$.

It is now not much of a jump to conclude the following:

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{1}{x^n}=0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x\to-\infty}\frac{1}{x^n}=0$$

Now suppose we need to compute the following limit:

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{x^3+2x+1}{4x^3-2x^2+9}.$$

A good way of approaching this is to divide through the numerator and denominator by x^3 (hence multiplying by 1), which is the largest power of x to appear in the function. Doing this, we get

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{x^3 + 2x + 1}{4x^3 - 2x^2 + 9} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1/x^3}{1/x^3} \cdot \frac{x^3 + 2x + 1}{4x^3 - 2x^2 + 9}$$
$$= \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{x^3/x^3 + 2x/x^3 + 1/x^3}{4x^3/x^3 - 2x^2/x^3 + 9/x^3}$$
$$= \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1 + 2/x^2 + 1/x^3}{4 - 2/x + 9/x^3}.$$

Then using the rules for limits (which also hold for limits at infinity), as well as the fact about limits of $1/x^n$, we see that the limit becomes

$$\frac{1+0+0}{4-0+0} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

This procedure works for any rational function. In fact, it gives us the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6.1 Limits of Rational Functions at Infinity

Let f(x) be a rational function of the following form:

$$f(x) = \frac{a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0}{b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + b_1 x + b_0}$$

where any of the coefficients may be 0 except for a_n and b_m .

- 1. If n = m, then $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = \lim_{x \to -\infty} f(x) = \frac{a_n}{b_m}$.
- 2. If n < m, then $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = \lim_{x \to -\infty} f(x) = 0$.
- 3. If n > m, then $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x)$ and $\lim_{x \to -\infty} f(x)$ are both infinite.

We can see why this is true. If the highest power of x is the same in both the numerator and denominator (i.e. n = m), we will be in a situation like the example above, where we will divide by x^n and in the limit all the terms will approach 0 except for $a_n x^n / x^n$ and $b_m x^m / x^n$. Since n = m, this will leave us with the limit a_n / b_m . If n < m, then after dividing through by x^m , all the terms in the numerator will approach 0 in the limit, leaving us with $0/b_m$ or 0. If n > m, and we try dividing through by x^n , we end up with all the terms in the denominator tending toward 0, while the x^n term in the numerator does not approach 0. This is indicative of some sort of infinite limit.

Intuitively, as x gets very large, all the terms in the numerator are small in comparison to a_nx^n , and likewise all the terms in the denominator are small compared to b_nx^m . If n = m, looking only at these two important terms, we have $(a_nx^n)/(b_nx^m)$. This reduces to a_n/b_m . If n < m, the function behaves like $a_n/(b_mx^{m-n})$, which tends toward 0. If n > m, the function behaves like a_nx^{n-m}/b_m , which will tend to either ∞ or $-\infty$ depending on the values of n, m, a_n , b_m and whether you are looking for $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x)$ or $\lim_{x\to-\infty} f(x)$.

With care, we can quickly evaluate limits at infinity for a large number of functions by considering the largest powers of x. For instance, consider again $\lim_{x \to \pm \infty} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1}}$, graphed in Figure 1.6.7(b). When x is very large, $x^2 + 1 \approx x^2$. Thus

$$\sqrt{x^2+1} \approx \sqrt{x^2} = |x|, \text{ and } \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+1}} \approx \frac{x}{|x|}.$$

This expression is 1 when x is positive and -1 when x is negative. Hence we get asymptotes of y = 1 and y = -1, respectively.

-40

0.5

-0.5

v

-20

-40

-30

10

-20

(a)

20

(b)

20

ν

0.5

-0.5

30

40


x

40

Example 1.6.5 Finding a limit of a rational function

Confirm analytically that y = 1 is the horizontal asymptote of $f(x) = \frac{x^2}{x^2 + 4}$, as approximated in Example 1.6.4.

SOLUTION Before using Theorem 1.6.1, let's use the technique of evaluating limits at infinity of rational functions that led to that theorem. The largest power of x in f is 2, so divide the numerator and denominator of f by x^2 , then take limits.



We can also use Theorem 1.6.1 directly; in this case n = m so the limit is the ratio of the leading coefficients of the numerator and denominator, i.e., 1/1 = 1.

Example 1.6.6 Finding limits of rational functions


Use Theorem 1.6.1 to evaluate each of the following limits.

1.
$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} \frac{x^2 + 2x - 1}{x^3 + 1}$$

3. $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{x^2 - 1}{3 - x}$
2. $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{x^2 + 2x - 1}{1 - x - 3x^2}$

SOLUTION

- 1. The highest power of *x* is in the denominator. Therefore, the limit is 0; see Figure 1.6.8(a).
- 2. The highest power of x is x^2 , which occurs in both the numerator and denominator. The limit is therefore the ratio of the coefficients of x^2 , which is -1/3. See Figure 1.6.8(b).
- 3. The highest power of x is in the numerator so the limit will be ∞ or $-\infty$. To see which, consider only the dominant terms from the numerator and denominator, which are x^2 and -x. The expression in the limit will behave like $x^2/(-x) = -x$ for large values of x. Therefore, the limit is $-\infty$. See Figure 1.6.8(c).



(c)

Chapter Summary

In this chapter we:

- defined the limit,
- found accessible ways to approximate the value of limits numerically and graphically,
- developed a not–so–easy method of proving the value of a limit (ε - δ proofs),
- explored when limits do not exist,
- defined continuity and explored properties of continuous functions, and
- considered limits that involved infinity.

Why? Mathematics is famous for building on itself and calculus proves to be no exception. In the next chapter we will be interested in "dividing by 0." That is, we will want to divide a quantity by smaller and smaller numbers and see what value the quotient approaches. In other words, we will want to find a limit. These limits will enable us to, among other things, determine *exactly* how fast something is moving when we are only given position information.

Later, we will want to add up an infinite list of numbers. We will do so by first adding up a finite list of numbers, then take a limit as the number of things we are adding approaches infinity. Surprisingly, this sum often is finite; that is, we can add up an infinite list of numbers and get, for instance, 42.

These are just two quick examples of why we are interested in limits. Many students dislike this topic when they are first introduced to it, but over time an appreciation is often formed based on the scope of its applicability.


Exercises 1.6

Terms and Concepts

- 1. T/F: If $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = \infty$, then we are implicitly stating that the limit exists.
- 2. T/F: If lim f(x) = 5, then we are implicitly stating that the limit exists.
- 3. T/F: If $\lim_{x \to 1^-} f(x) = -\infty$, then $\lim_{x \to 1^+} f(x) = \infty$
- 4. T/F: If $\lim_{x \to 5} f(x) = \infty$, then *f* has a vertical asymptote at x = 5.
- 5. T/F: $\infty/0$ is not an indeterminate form.
- 6. List 5 indeterminate forms.
- 7. Construct a function with a vertical asymptote at x = 5 and a horizontal asymptote at y = 5.
- 8. Let $\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = \infty$. Explain how we know that f is/is not continuous at x = 7.


Problems


In Exercises 9 – 14, evaluate the given limits using the graph of the function.



(a) $\lim_{x \to -1^-} f(x)$








11.
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{e^x + 1}$$

(a)
$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} f(x)$$

(b) $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x)$
(c) $\lim_{x \to 0^-} f(x)$
(d) $\lim_{x \to 0^+} f(x)$


(d)
$$\lim_{x\to 0^+} f(x)$$



12.
$$f(x) = x^2 \sin(\pi x)$$

(a)
$$\lim_{x\to -\infty} f(x)$$


(b)
$$\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x)$$



13.
$$f(x) = \cos(x)$$

(a)
$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} f(x)$$


(b) $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x)$



14. $f(x) = 2^x + 10$

(a)
$$\lim_{x\to -\infty} f(x)$$

(b)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x)$$



In Exercises 15 – 18, numerically approximate the following limits:

(a) $\lim_{x \to 3^{-}} f(x)$

(b) $\lim_{x \to 3^+} f(x)$

(c) $\lim_{x \to 3} f(x)$

15.
$$f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 1}{x^2 - x - 6}$$

16. $f(x) = \frac{x^2 + 5x - 36}{x^3 - 5x^2 + 3x + 9}$

17.
$$f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 11x + 30}{x^3 - 4x^2 - 3x + 18}$$

18.
$$f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 9x + 18}{x^2 - x - 6}$$

In Exercises 19 - 24, identify the horizontal and vertical asymptotes, if any, of the given function.

19.
$$f(x) = \frac{2x^2 - 2x - 4}{x^2 + x - 20}$$
20.
$$f(x) = \frac{-3x^2 - 9x - 6}{5x^2 - 10x - 15}$$
21.
$$f(x) = \frac{x^2 + x - 12}{7x^3 - 14x^2 - 21x}$$
22.
$$f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 9}{9x - 9}$$
23.
$$f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 9}{9x + 27}$$
24.
$$f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 1}{-x^2 - 1}$$

In Exercises 25 – 28, evaluate the given limit.

25.
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{x^3 + 2x^2 + 1}{x - 5}$$

26.
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{x^3 + 2x^2 + 1}{5 - x}$$

27.
$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} \frac{x^3 + 2x^2 + 1}{x^2 - 5}$$

28.
$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} \frac{x^3 + 2x^2 + 1}{5 - x^2}$$

Review

- 29. Use an $\varepsilon \delta$ proof to show that $\lim_{x \to 1} 5x 2 = 3.$
- 30. Let $\lim_{x\to 2} f(x) = 3$ and $\lim_{x\to 2} g(x) = -1$. Evaluate the following limits.

(a)
$$\lim_{x \to 2} (f+g)(x)$$

(b) $\lim_{x \to 2} (fg)(x)$
(c) $\lim_{x \to 2} (f/g)(x)$
(d) $\lim_{x \to 2} f(x)^{g(x)}$

- 31. Let $f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 1 & x < 3\\ x + 5 & x \ge 3 \end{cases}$. Is *f* continuous everywhere?
- 32. Evaluate the limit: $\lim_{x \to e} \ln x$.

2: DERIVATIVES

The previous chapter introduced the most fundamental of calculus topics: the limit. This chapter introduces the second most fundamental of calculus topics: the derivative. Limits describe *where* a function is going; derivatives describe *how fast* the function is going.

2.1 Instantaneous Rates of Change: The Derivative

A common amusement park ride lifts riders to a height then allows them to freefall a certain distance before safely stopping them. Suppose such a ride drops riders from a height of 150 feet. Students of physics may recall that the height (in feet) of the riders, *t* seconds after freefall (and ignoring air resistance, etc.) can be accurately modeled by $f(t) = -16t^2 + 150$.

Using this formula, it is easy to verify that, without intervention, the riders will hit the ground at $t = 2.5\sqrt{1.5} \approx 3.06$ seconds. Suppose the designers of the ride decide to begin slowing the riders' fall after 2 seconds (corresponding to a height of 86 ft.). How fast will the riders be traveling at that time?

We have been given a *position* function, but what we want to compute is a velocity at a specific point in time, i.e., we want an *instantaneous velocity*. We do not currently know how to calculate this.

However, we do know from common experience how to calculate an *average velocity*. (If we travel 60 miles in 2 hours, we know we had an average velocity of 30 mph.) We looked at this concept in Section 1.1 when we introduced the difference quotient. We have

$$\frac{\text{change in distance}}{\text{change in time}} = \frac{\text{"rise"}}{\text{run}} = \text{average velocity.}$$

We can approximate the instantaneous velocity at t = 2 by considering the average velocity over some time period containing t = 2. If we make the time interval small, we will get a good approximation. (This fact is commonly used. For instance, high speed cameras are used to track fast moving objects. Distances are measured over a fixed number of frames to generate an accurate approximation of the velocity.)

Consider the interval from t = 2 to t = 3 (just before the riders hit the ground). On that interval, the average velocity is

$$\frac{f(3) - f(2)}{3 - 2} = \frac{f(3) - f(2)}{1} = -80 \text{ ft/s},$$

where the minus sign indicates that the riders are moving *down*. By narrowing the interval we consider, we will likely get a better approximation of the instantaneous velocity. On [2, 2.5] we have

$$\frac{f(2.5) - f(2)}{2.5 - 2} = \frac{f(2.5) - f(2)}{0.5} = -72 \text{ ft/s}.$$

We can do this for smaller and smaller intervals of time. For instance, over a time span of $1/10^{\text{th}}$ of a second, i.e., on [2, 2.1], we have

$$\frac{f(2.1) - f(2)}{2.1 - 2} = \frac{f(2.1) - f(2)}{0.1} = -65.6 \text{ ft/s.}$$

Over a time span of 1/100th of a second, on [2, 2.01], the average velocity is

$$\frac{f(2.01) - f(2)}{2.01 - 2} = \frac{f(2.01) - f(2)}{0.01} = -64.16 \text{ ft/s}$$

What we are really computing is the average velocity on the interval [2, 2+h] for small values of *h*. That is, we are computing

$$\frac{f(2+h)-f(2)}{h}$$

 Average Velocity

 h
 ft/s

 1
 -80

 0.5
 -72

 0.1
 -65.6

 0.01
 -64.16

 0.001
 -64.016

Figure 2.1.1: Approximating the instantaneous velocity with average velocities over a small time period *h*.

where *h* is small.

We really want to use h = 0, but this, of course, returns the familiar "0/0" indeterminate form. So we employ a limit, as we did in Section 1.1.

We can approximate the value of this limit numerically with small values of h as seen in Figure 2.1.1. It looks as though the velocity is approaching -64 ft/s. Computing the limit directly gives

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(2+h) - f(2)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{-16(2+h)^2 + 150 - (-16(2)^2 + 150)}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{-64h - 16h^2}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} (-64 - 16h)$$
$$= -64$$

Graphically, we can view the average velocities we computed numerically as the slopes of secant lines on the graph of f going through the points (2, f(2)) and (2 + h, f(2 + h)). In Figure 2.1.2, the secant line corresponding to h = 1 is shown in three contexts. Figure 2.1.2(a) shows a "zoomed out" version of f with its secant line. In (b), we zoom in around the points of intersection between f and the secant line. Notice how well this secant line approximates f between

those two points – it is a common practice to approximate functions with straight lines.

As $h \rightarrow 0$, these secant lines approach the *tangent line*, a line that goes through the point (2, f(2)) with the special slope of -64. In parts (c) and (d) of Figure 2.1.2, we zoom in around the point (2, 86). In (c) we see the secant line, which approximates f well, but not as well the tangent line shown in (d).




Figure 2.1.2: Parts (a), (b) and (c) show the secant line to f(x) with h = 1, zoomed in different amounts. Part (d) shows the tangent line to f at x = 2.

We have just introduced a number of important concepts that we will flesh out more within this section. First, we formally define two of them.

Definition 2.1.1 Derivative at a Point

Let *f* be a continuous function on an open interval *I* and let *c* be in *I*. The **derivative of** *f* **at** *c*, denoted f'(c), is

$$\lim_{n\to 0} \frac{f(c+h) - f(c)}{h}$$

provided the limit exists. If the limit exists, we say that f is differentiable at c; if the limit does not exist, then f is not differentiable at c. If f is differentiable at every point in I, then f is differentiable on I.

Definition 2.1.2 Tangent Line

Let *f* be continuous on an open interval *I* and differentiable at *c*, for some *c* in *I*. The line with equation $\ell(x) = f'(c)(x-c) + f(c)$ is the **tangent line** to the graph of *f* at *c*; that is, it is the line through (c, f(c)) whose slope is the derivative of *f* at *c*.

Some examples will help us understand these definitions.

Example 2.1.1 Finding derivatives and tangent lines 1 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 5 + 5 = 7. Finding

Let $f(x) = 3x^2 + 5x - 7$. Find:

- 1. f'(1) 3. f'(3)
- The equation of the tangent line to the graph of *f* at x = 1.
 The equation of the tangent line to the graph *f* at x = 3.

SOLUTION

1. We compute this directly using Definition 2.1.1.

$$f'(1) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(1+h) - f(1)}{h}$$

= $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{3(1+h)^2 + 5(1+h) - 7 - (3(1)^2 + 5(1) - 7)}{h}$
= $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{3h^2 + 11h}{h}$
= $\lim_{h \to 0} (3h + 11) = 11.$

- 2. The tangent line at x = 1 has slope f'(1) and goes through the point (1, f(1)) = (1, 1). Thus the tangent line has equation, in point-slope form, y = 11(x 1) + 1. In slope-intercept form we have y = 11x 10.
- 3. Again, using the definition,

$$f'(3) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(3+h) - f(3)}{h}$$

= $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{3(3+h)^2 + 5(3+h) - 7 - (3(3)^2 + 5(3) - 7)}{h}$
= $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{3h^2 + 23h}{h}$
= $\lim_{h \to 0} (3h + 23)$
= 23.

- 4. The tangent line at x = 3 has slope 23 and goes through the point (3, f(3)) = (3, 35). Thus the tangent line has equation y = 23(x-3)+35 = 23x-34.
- A graph of *f* is given in Figure 2.1.3 along with the tangent lines at x = 1 and x = 3.

Another important line that can be created using information from the derivative is the **normal line.** It is perpendicular to the tangent line, hence its slope is the opposite–reciprocal of the tangent line's slope.

Definition 2.1.3 Normal Line

Let *f* be continuous on an open interval *l* and differentiable at *c*, for some *c* in *l*. The **normal line** to the graph of *f* at *c* is the line with equation


$$n(x) = \frac{-1}{f'(c)}(x-c) + f(c)$$


where $f'(c) \neq 0$. When f'(c) = 0, the normal line is the vertical line through (c, f(c)); that is, x = c.

Example 2.1.2 Finding equations of normal lines

Let $f(x) = 3x^2 + 5x - 7$, as in Example 2.1.1. Find the equations of the normal lines to the graph of f at x = 1 and x = 3.

SOLUTION In Example 2.1.1, we found that f'(1) = 11. Hence at x = 1,








Figure 2.1.4: A graph of $f(x) = 3x^2 + 5x - 7$, along with its normal line at x = 1.

the normal line will have slope -1/11. An equation for the normal line is

$$n(x) = \frac{-1}{11}(x-1) + 1.$$

The normal line is plotted with y = f(x) in Figure 2.1.4. Note how the line looks perpendicular to f. (A key word here is "looks." Mathematically, we say that the normal line *is* perpendicular to f at x = 1 as the slope of the normal line is the opposite–reciprocal of the slope of the tangent line. However, normal lines may not always *look* perpendicular. The aspect ratio of the picture of the graph plays a big role in this.)

We also found that f'(3) = 23, so the normal line to the graph of f at x = 3 will have slope -1/23. An equation for the normal line is

$$n(x) = \frac{-1}{23}(x-3) + 35.$$

Linear functions are easy to work with; many functions that arise in the course of solving real problems are not easy to work with. A common practice in mathematical problem solving is to approximate difficult functions with not–so–difficult functions. Lines are a common choice. It turns out that at any given point on the graph of a differentiable function f, the best linear approximation to f is its tangent line. That is one reason we'll spend considerable time finding tangent lines to functions.

One type of function that does not benefit from a tangent–line approximation is a line; it is rather simple to recognize that the tangent line to a line is the line itself. We look at this in the following example.

Example 2.1.3 Finding the derivative of a linear function

Consider f(x) = 3x + 5. Find the equation of the tangent line to f at x = 1 and x = 7.

SOLUTION We find the slope of the tangent line by using Definition 2.1.1.

$$f'(1) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(1+h) - f(1)}{h}$$

= $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{3(1+h) + 5 - (3+5)}{h}$
= $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{3h}{h}$
= $\lim_{h \to 0} 3$
= 3.

We just found that f'(1) = 3. That is, we found the *instantaneous rate of change* of f(x) = 3x + 5 is 3. This is not surprising; lines are characterized by being the *only* functions with a *constant rate of change*. That rate of change is called the *slope* of the line. Since their rates of change are constant, their *instantaneous* rates of change are always the same; they are all the slope.

So given a line f(x) = ax + b, the derivative at any point x will be a; that is, f'(x) = a.

It is now easy to see that the tangent line to the graph of f at x = 1 is just f, with the same being true for x = 7.

We often desire to find the tangent line to the graph of a function without knowing the actual derivative of the function. In these cases, the best we may be able to do is approximate the tangent line. We demonstrate this in the next example.

Example 2.1.4 Numerical approximation of the tangent line

Approximate the equation of the tangent line to the graph of $f(x) = \sin x$ at x = 0.

SOLUTION In order to find the equation of the tangent line, we need a slope and a point. The point is given to us: $(0, \sin 0) = (0, 0)$. To compute the slope, we need the derivative. This is where we will make an approximation. Recall that

$$f'(0) pprox rac{\sin(0+h) - \sin 0}{h}$$

for a small value of *h*. We choose (somewhat arbitrarily) to let h = 0.1. Thus

$$f'(0) pprox rac{\sin(0.1) - \sin 0}{0.1} pprox 0.9983.$$

Thus our approximation of the equation of the tangent line is y = 0.9983(x - 0) + 0 = 0.9983x; it is graphed in Figure 2.1.5. The graph seems to imply the approximation is rather good.

Recall from Section 1.3 that $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{\sin x}{x} = 1$, meaning for values of x near 0, $\sin x \approx x$. Since the slope of the line y = x is 1 at x = 0, it should seem reasonable that "the slope of $f(x) = \sin x$ " is near 1 at x = 0. In fact, since we *approximated* the value of the slope to be 0.9983, we might guess the *actual value* is 1. We'll come back to this later.

Consider again Example 2.1.1. To find the derivative of f at x = 1, we needed to evaluate a limit. To find the derivative of f at x = 3, we needed to again evaluate a limit. We have this process:





Figure 2.1.5: $f(x) = \sin x$ graphed with an approximation to its tangent line at x = 0.

input specific number c do something return to f and c number f'(c)

This process describes a *function*; given one input (the value of *c*), we return exactly one output (the value of f'(c)). The "do something" box is where the tedious work (taking limits) of this function occurs.

Instead of applying this function repeatedly for different values of c, let us apply it just once to the variable x. We then take a limit just once. The process now looks like:



The output is the "derivative function," f'(x). The f'(x) function will take a number *c* as input and return the derivative of *f* at *c*. This calls for a definition.

Definition 2.1.4 Derivative Function

Let *f* be a differentiable function on an open interval *I*. The function

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

is the derivative of f.

Notation:

Let y = f(x). The following notations all represent the derivative of f:

$$f'(x) = y' = \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{df}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx}(f) = \frac{d}{dx}(y)$$

Important: The notation $\frac{dy}{dx}$ is one symbol; it is **not** the fraction "dy/dx". The notation, while somewhat confusing at first, was chosen with care. A fraction–looking symbol was chosen because the derivative has many fraction–like properties. Among other places, we see these properties at work when we talk about the units of the derivative, when we discuss the Chain Rule, and when we learn about integration (topics that appear in later sections and chapters).

Examples will help us understand this definition.

Example 2.1.5 Finding the derivative of a function Let $f(x) = 3x^2 + 5x - 7$ as in Example 2.1.1. Find f'(x).

2.1 Instantaneous Rates of Change: The Derivative

SOLUTION

We apply Definition 2.1.4.

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

= $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{3(x+h)^2 + 5(x+h) - 7 - (3x^2 + 5x - 7)}{h}$
= $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{3h^2 + 6xh + 5h}{h}$
= $\lim_{h \to 0} (3h + 6x + 5)$
= $6x + 5$

So f'(x) = 6x + 5. Recall earlier we found that f'(1) = 11 and f'(3) = 23. Note our new computation of f'(x) affirm these facts.

Example 2.1.6 Finding the derivative of a function

Let
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x+1}$$
. Find $f'(x)$.

SOLUTION We apply Definition 2.1.4.

$$f'(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + h) - f(\mathbf{x})}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\frac{1}{\mathbf{x} + h + 1} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{x} + 1}}{h}$$

Now find common denominator then subtract; pull 1/h out front to facilitate reading.

$$\begin{split} &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \cdot \left(\frac{x+1}{(x+1)(x+h+1)} - \frac{x+h+1}{(x+1)(x+h+1)} \right) \\ &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \cdot \left(\frac{x+1-(x+h+1)}{(x+1)(x+h+1)} \right) \\ &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \cdot \left(\frac{-h}{(x+1)(x+h+1)} \right) \\ &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{-1}{(x+1)(x+h+1)} \\ &= \frac{-1}{(x+1)(x+1)} \\ &= \frac{-1}{(x+1)^2}. \end{split}$$

So $f'(x) = \frac{-1}{(x+1)^2}$. To practice using our notation, we could also state $\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right) = \frac{-1}{(x+1)^2}.$

Example 2.1.7 Finding the derivative of a function Find the derivative of $f(x) = \sin x$.

SOLUTION Before applying Definition 2.1.4, note that once this is found, we can find the actual tangent line to $f(x) = \sin x$ at x = 0, whereas we settled for an approximation in Example 2.1.4.

We have found that when $f(x) = \sin x$, $f'(x) = \cos x$. This should be somewhat surprising; the result of a tedious limit process and the sine function is a nice function. Then again, perhaps this is not entirely surprising. The sine function is periodic – it repeats itself on regular intervals. Therefore its rate of change also repeats itself on the same regular intervals. We should have known the derivative would be periodic; we now know exactly which periodic function it is.

Thinking back to Example 2.1.4, we can find the slope of the tangent line to $f(x) = \sin x$ at x = 0 using our derivative. We approximated the slope as 0.9983; we now know the slope is *exactly* $\cos 0 = 1$.

Example 2.1.8 Finding the derivative of a piecewise defined function Find the derivative of the absolute value function,

$$f(x) = |x| = \begin{cases} -x & x < 0 \\ x & x \ge 0 \end{cases}.$$

See Figure 2.1.6.

SOLUTION We need to evaluate $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$. As f is piecewise-defined, we need to consider separately the limits when x < 0 and when x > 0.




Figure 2.1.6: The absolute value function, f(x) = |x|. Notice how the slope of the lines (and hence the tangent lines) abruptly changes at x = 0.

When *x* < 0:

$$\frac{d}{dx}(-x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{-(x+h) - (-x)}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{-h}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} -1$$
$$= -1.$$

When x > 0, a similar computation shows that $\frac{d}{dx}(x) = 1$.

We need to also find the derivative at x = 0. By the definition of the derivative at a point, we have

$$f'(0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(0+h) - f(0)}{h}.$$

Since x = 0 is the point where our function's definition switches from one piece to the other, we need to consider left and right-hand limits. Consider the following, where we compute the left and right hand limits side by side.

$$\lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{f(0+h) - f(0)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(0+h) - f(0)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{h - 0}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{h - 0}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{+}} 1 = 1$$

The last lines of each column tell the story: the left and right hand limits are not equal. Therefore the limit does not exist at 0, and f is not differentiable at 0. So we have

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & x < 0 \\ 1 & x > 0 \end{cases}.$$

At x = 0, f'(x) does not exist; there is a jump discontinuity at 0; see Figure 2.1.7. So f(x) = |x| is differentiable everywhere except at 0.

The point of non-differentiability came where the piecewise defined function switched from one piece to the other. Our next example shows that this does not always cause trouble.

Example 2.1.9 Finding the derivative of a piecewise defined function

Find the derivative of
$$f(x)$$
, where $f(x) = \begin{cases} \sin x & x \le \pi/2 \\ 1 & x > \pi/2 \end{cases}$. See Figure 2.1.8.




Figure 2.1.7: A graph of the derivative of f(x) = |x|.




Figure 2.1.8: A graph of f(x) as defined in Example 2.1.9.




Figure 2.1.9: A graph of f'(x) in Example 2.1.9.

SOLUTION Using Example 2.1.7, we know that when $x < \pi/2$, $f'(x) = \cos x$. It is easy to verify that when $x > \pi/2$, f'(x) = 0; consider:

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1-1}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} 0 = 0$$

So far we have

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} \cos x & x < \pi/2 \\ 0 & x > \pi/2 \end{cases}$$

We still need to find $f'(\pi/2)$. Notice at $x = \pi/2$ that both pieces of f' are 0, meaning we can state that $f'(\pi/2) = 0$.

Being more rigorous, we can again evaluate the difference quotient limit at $x = \pi/2$, utilizing again left and right–hand limits:

$$\lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{f(\pi/2 + h) - f(\pi/2)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(\pi/2 + h) - f(\pi/2)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(\pi/2 + h) - f(\pi/2)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{\sin(\pi/2 + h) - \sin(\pi/2)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{\sin(\pi/2 + h) - f(\pi/2)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{1 - 1}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{0}{h} = 0$$

Since both the left and right hand limits are 0 at $x = \pi/2$, the limit exists and $f'(\pi/2)$ exists (and is 0). Therefore we can fully write f' as

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} \cos x & x \leq \pi/2 \\ 0 & x > \pi/2 \end{cases}.$$

See Figure 2.1.9 for a graph of this function.

Recall we pseudo-defined a continuous function as one in which we could sketch its graph without lifting our pencil. We can give a pseudo-definition for differentiability as well: it is a continuous function that does not have any "sharp corners." One such sharp corner is shown in Figure 2.1.6. Even though the function f in Example 2.1.9 is piecewise-defined, the transition is "smooth" hence it is differentiable. Note how in the graph of f in Figure 2.1.8 it is difficult to tell when f switches from one piece to the other; there is no "corner."

Differentiablity on Closed Intervals

When we defined the derivative at a point in Definition 2.1.1, we specified that the interval I over which a function f was defined needed to be an open interval. Open intervals are required so that we can take a limit at any point c in I, meaning we want to approach c from both the left and right.

Recall we also required open intervals in Definition 1.5.1 when we defined what it meant for a function to be continuous. Later, we used one-sided limits to extend continuity to closed intervals. We now extend differentiability to closed intervals by again considering one-sided limits.

Our motivation is three-fold. First, we consider "common sense." In Example 2.1.5 we found that when $f(x) = 3x^2 + 5x - 7$, f'(x) = 6x + 5, and this derivative is defined for all real numbers, hence f is differentiable everywhere. It seems appropriate to also conclude that f is differentiable on closed intervals, like [0, 1], as well. After all, f'(x) is defined at both x = 0 and x = 1.

Secondly, consider $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$. The domain of f is $[0, \infty)$. Is f differentiable on its domain – specifically, is f differentiable at 0? (We'll consider this in the next example.)

Finally, in later sections, having the derivative defined on closed intervals will prove useful. One such place is Section 7.4 where the derivative plays a role in measuring the length of a curve.

After a formal definition of differentiability on a closed interval, we explore the concept in an example.

Definition 2.1.5 Differentiability on a Closed Interval

Let f be continuous on [a, b] and differentiable on (a, b), and let the onesided limits

$$\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{h} \text{ and } \lim_{h \to 0^-} \frac{f(b+h) - f(b)}{h}$$

exist. Then we say *f* is differentiable on [a, b].

For all the functions f in this text, we can determine differentiability on [a, b] by considering the limits $\lim_{x\to a^+} f'(x)$ and $\lim_{x\to b^-} f'(x)$. This is often easier to evaluate than the limit of the difference quotient.

Example 2.1.10 Differentiability at an endpoint

Consider $f(x) = \sqrt{x} = x^{1/2}$ and $g(x) = \sqrt{x^3} = x^{3/2}$. The domain of each function is $[0, \infty)$. It can be shown that each is differentiable on $(0, \infty)$; determine the differentiability of each at x = 0.

 $y = x^{1/2}$ $y = x^{3/2}$ $y = x^{3/2}$

Figure 2.1.10: A graph of $y = x^{1/2}$ and $y = x^{3/2}$ in Example 2.1.10.

SOLUTION We start by considering *f* and take the right-hand limit of the difference quotient:

$$\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{\sqrt{0+h} - \sqrt{0}}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{\sqrt{h}}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h^{1/2}} = \infty.$$

The one-sided limit of the difference quotient does not exist at x = 0 for f; therefore f is differentiable on $(0, \infty)$ and not differentiable on $[0, \infty)$.

We state (without proof) that $f'(x) = 1/(2\sqrt{x})$. Note that $\lim_{x\to 0^+} f'(x) = \infty$; this limit was easier to evaluate than the limit of the difference quotient, though it required us to already know the derivative of f.

Now consider g:

$$\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{\sqrt{(0+h)^3} - \sqrt{0}}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{h^{3/2}}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0^+} h^{1/2} = 0.$$

As the one-sided limit exists at x = 0, we conclude g is differentiable on its domain of $[0, \infty)$.

We state (without proof) that $g'(x) = 3\sqrt{x}/2$. Note that $\lim_{x\to 0^+} g'(x) = 0$; again, this limit is easier to evaluate than the limit of the difference quotient.

The two functions are graphed in Figure 2.1.10. Note how $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ seems to "go vertical" as x approaches 0, implying the slopes of its tangent lines are growing toward infinity. Also note how the slopes of the tangent lines to $g(x) = \sqrt{x^3}$ approach 0 as x approaches 0.

Most calculus textbooks omit this topic and simply avoid specific cases where it could be applied. We choose in this text to not make use of the topic unless it is "needed." Many theorems in later sections require a function *f* to be differentiable on an *open* interval *I*; we could remove the word "open" and just use "... on an interval *I*," but choose to not do so in keeping with the current mathematical tradition. Our first use of differentiability on closed intervals comes in Chapter 7, where we measure the lengths of curves.

This section defined the derivative; in some sense, it answers the question of "What *is* the derivative?" The next section addresses the question "What does the derivative *mean*?"

Exercises 2.1

Terms and Concepts

- T/F: Let f be a position function. The average rate of change on [a, b] is the slope of the line through the points (a, f(a)) and (b, f(b)).
- 2. T/F: The definition of the derivative of a function at a point involves taking a limit.
- 3. In your own words, explain the difference between the average rate of change and instantaneous rate of change.
- 4. In your own words, explain the difference between Definitions 2.1.1 and 2.1.4.
- 5. Let y = f(x). Give three different notations equivalent to "f'(x)."
- 6. If two lines are perpendicular, what is true of their slopes?

Problems

In Exercises 7 - 14, use the definition of the derivative to compute the derivative of the given function.

7. f(x) = 6

- 8. f(x) = 2x
- 9. f(t) = 4 3t
- 10. $g(x) = x^2$
- 11. $h(x) = x^3$
- 12. $f(x) = 3x^2 x + 4$

13.
$$r(x) = \frac{1}{x}$$

14. $r(s) = \frac{1}{s-2}$

In Exercises 15 – 22, a function and an x-value c are given. (Note: these functions are the same as those given in Exercises 7 through 14.)

- (a) Give the equation of the tangent line at x = c.
- (b) Give the equation of the normal line at x = c.

15.
$$f(x) = 6$$
, at $x = -2$.

- 16. f(x) = 2x, at x = 3.
- 17. f(x) = 4 3x, at x = 7.

18.
$$g(x) = x^2$$
, at $x = 2$.

19. $h(x) = x^3$, at x = 4.

20.
$$f(x) = 3x^2 - x + 4$$
, at $x = -1$.
21. $r(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, at $x = -2$.

22.
$$r(x) = \frac{1}{x-2}$$
, at $x = 3$.

In Exercises 23 – 26, a function f and an x-value a are given. Approximate the equation of the tangent line to the graph of f at x = a by numerically approximating f'(a), using h = 0.1.


23.
$$f(x) = x^2 + 2x + 1, x = 3$$

24. $f(x) = \frac{10}{x+1}, x = 9$

25.
$$f(x) = e^x$$
, $x = 2$


26.
$$f(x) = \cos x, x = 0$$

- 27. The graph of $f(x) = x^2 1$ is shown.
 - (a) Use the graph to approximate the slope of the tangent line to f at the following points: (-1, 0), (0, -1) and (2, 3).
 - (b) Using the definition, find f'(x).
 - (c) Find the slope of the tangent line at the points (-1,0), (0,-1) and (2,3).




28. The graph of $f(x) = \frac{1}{x+1}$ is shown.

- (a) Use the graph to approximate the slope of the tangent line to f at the following points: (0, 1) and (1, 0.5).
- (b) Using the definition, find f'(x).
- (c) Find the slope of the tangent line at the points (0, 1) and (1, 0.5).



In Exercises 29 – 32, a graph of a function f(x) is given. Using the graph, sketch f'(x).





In Exercises 33 – 34, a graph of a function g(x) is given. Using the graph, answer the following questions.

1. Where is g(x) > 0? **1.** Where is g'(x) < 0?

2. Where is g'(x) > 0?

- **2.** Where is g(x) < 0?
- 3. Where is g(x) = 0? 3. Where is g'(x) = 0?





In Exercises 35 – 36, a function f(x) is given, along with its domain and derivative. Determine if f(x) is differentiable on its domain.

35.
$$f(x) = \sqrt{x^5(1-x)}$$
, domain = [0, 1], $f'(x) = \frac{(5-6x)x^{3/2}}{2\sqrt{1-x}}$
36. $f(x) = \cos(\sqrt{x})$, domain = $[0, \infty)$, $f'(x) = -\frac{\sin(\sqrt{x})}{2\sqrt{x}}$

Review

37. Approximate
$$\lim_{x \to 5} \frac{x^2 + 2x - 35}{x^2 - 10.5x + 27.5}$$
.

- 38. Use the Bisection Method to approximate, accurate to two decimal places, the root of $g(x) = x^3 + x^2 + x 1$ on [0.5, 0.6].
- 39. Give intervals on which each of the following functions are continuous.

(a)
$$\frac{1}{e^x + 1}$$
 (c) $\sqrt{5 - x}$
(b) $\frac{1}{x^2 - 1}$ (d) $\sqrt{5 - x^2}$

40. Use the graph of f(x) provided to answer the following.

(a)
$$\lim_{x \to -3^{-}} f(x) =$$
?
(b) $\lim_{x \to -3^{+}} f(x) =$?
(c) $\lim_{x \to -3} f(x) =$?
(d) Where is f continuous?
(e) $\int_{x \to -3^{+}} f(x) =$?
(f) $\int_{x \to -3^{+}} f(x) =$?
(h) \int_{x

2.2 Interpretations of the Derivative

The previous section defined the derivative of a function and gave examples of how to compute it using its definition (i.e., using limits). The section also started with a brief motivation for this definition, that is, finding the instantaneous velocity of a falling object given its position function. The next section will give us more accessible tools for computing the derivative, tools that are easier to use than repeated use of limits.

This section falls in between the "What is the definition of the derivative?" and "How do I compute the derivative?" sections. Here we are concerned with "What does the derivative mean?", or perhaps, when read with the right emphasis, "What *is* the derivative?" We offer two interconnected interpretations of the derivative, hopefully explaining why we care about it and why it is worthy of study.

Interpretation of the Derivative #1: Instantaneous Rate of Change

The previous section started with an example of using the position of an object (in this case, a falling amusement–park rider) to find the object's velocity. This type of example is often used when introducing the derivative because we tend to readily recognize that velocity is the *instantaneous rate of change of position*. In general, if *f* is a function of *x*, then f'(x) measures the instantaneous rate of change of *f* with respect to *x*. Put another way, the derivative answers "When *x* changes, at what rate does *f* change?" Thinking back to the amusement–park ride, we asked "When time changed, at what rate did the height change?" and found the answer to be "By –64 feet per second."

Now imagine driving a car and looking at the speedometer, which reads "60 mph." Five minutes later, you wonder how far you have traveled. Certainly, lots of things could have happened in those 5 minutes; you could have intentionally sped up significantly, you might have come to a complete stop, you might have slowed to 20 mph as you passed through construction. But suppose that you know, as the driver, none of these things happened. You know you maintained a fairly consistent speed over those 5 minutes. What is a good approximation of the distance traveled?

One could argue the *only* good approximation, given the information provided, would be based on "distance = rate \times time." In this case, we assume a constant rate of 60 mph with a time of 5/60 hours. Hence we would approximate the distance traveled as 5 miles.

Referring back to the falling amusement–park ride, knowing that at t = 2 the velocity was -64 ft/s, we could reasonably assume that 1 second later the rid-

ers' height would have dropped by about 64 feet. Knowing that the riders were *accelerating* as they fell would inform us that this is an *under–approximation*. If all we knew was that f(2) = 86 and f'(2) = -64, we'd know that we'd have to stop the riders quickly otherwise they would hit the ground.

Units of the Derivative

It is useful to recognize the *units* of the derivative function. If y is a function of x, i.e., y = f(x) for some function f, and y is measured in feet and x in seconds, then the units of y' = f' are "feet per second," commonly written as "ft/s." In general, if y is measured in units P and x is measured in units Q, then y' will be measured in units "P per Q", or "P/Q." Here we see the fraction–like behavior of the derivative in the notation:

the units of
$$\frac{dy}{dx}$$
 are $\frac{\text{units of } y}{\text{units of } x}$.

Example 2.2.1 The meaning of the derivative: World Population

Let P(t) represent the world population t minutes after 12:00 a.m., January 1, 2012. It is fairly accurate to say that P(0) = 7,028,734,178 (www.prb.org). It is also fairly accurate to state that P'(0) = 156; that is, at midnight on January 1, 2012, the population of the world was growing by about 156 *people per minute* (note the units). Twenty days later (or, 28,800 minutes later) we could reasonably assume the population grew by about 28, 800 · 156 = 4, 492, 800 people.

Example 2.2.2 The meaning of the derivative: Manufacturing

The term *widget* is an economic term for a generic unit of manufacturing output. Suppose a company produces widgets and knows that the market supports a price of \$10 per widget. Let P(n) give the profit, in dollars, earned by manufacturing and selling n widgets. The company likely cannot make a (positive) profit making just one widget; the start–up costs will likely exceed \$10. Mathematically, we would write this as P(1) < 0.

What do P(1000) = 500 and P'(1000) = 0.25 mean? Approximate P(1100).

SOLUTION The equation P(1000) = 500 means that selling 1,000 widgets returns a profit of \$500. We interpret P'(1000) = 0.25 as meaning that the profit is increasing at rate of \$0.25 per widget (the units are "dollars per widget.") Since we have no other information to use, our best approximation for P(1100) is:

$$P(1100) \approx P(1000) + P'(1000) \times 100 = $500 + 100 \cdot 0.25 = $525.$$

We approximate that selling 1,100 widgets returns a profit of \$525.

The previous examples made use of an important approximation tool that we first used in our previous "driving a car at 60 mph" example at the beginning of this section. Five minutes after looking at the speedometer, our best approximation for distance traveled assumed the rate of change was constant. In Examples 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 we made similar approximations. We were given rate of change information which we used to approximate total change. Notationally, we would say that

$$f(\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{h})\approx f(\mathbf{c})+f'(\mathbf{c})\cdot\mathbf{h}.$$

This approximation is best when *h* is "small." "Small" is a relative term; when dealing with the world population, h = 22 days = 28,800 minutes is small in comparison to years. When manufacturing widgets, 100 widgets is small when one plans to manufacture thousands.

The Derivative and Motion

One of the most fundamental applications of the derivative is the study of motion. Let s(t) be a position function, where t is time and s(t) is distance. For instance, s could measure the height of a projectile or the distance an object has traveled.

Let's let s(t) measure the distance traveled, in feet, of an object after t seconds of travel. Then s'(t) has units "feet per second," and s'(t) measures the *instantaneous rate of distance change* – it measures **velocity**.

Now consider v(t), a velocity function. That is, at time t, v(t) gives the velocity of an object. The derivative of v, v'(t), gives the *instantaneous rate of velocity change* – **acceleration**. (We often think of acceleration in terms of cars: a car may "go from 0 to 60 in 4.8 seconds." This is an *average* acceleration, a measurement of how quickly the velocity changed.) If velocity is measured in feet per second, and time is measured in seconds, then the units of acceleration (i.e., the units of v'(t)) are "feet per second per second," or (ft/s)/s. We often shorten this to "feet per second squared," or ft/s², but this tends to obscure the meaning of the units.

Perhaps the most well known acceleration is that of gravity. In this text, we use g = 32 ft/s² or g = 9.8m/s². What do these numbers mean?

A constant acceleration of 32(ft/s)/s means that the velocity changes by 32ft/s each second. For instance, let v(t) measures the velocity of a ball thrown straight up into the air, where v has units ft/s and t is measured in seconds. The ball will have a positive velocity while traveling upwards and a negative velocity while falling down. The acceleration is thus $-32ft/s^2$. If v(1) = 20ft/s, then when t = 2, the velocity will have decreased by 32ft/s; that is, v(2) = -12ft/s. We can continue: v(3) = -44ft/s, and we can also figure that v(0) = 52ft/s.

These ideas are so important we write them out as a Key Idea.




Figure 2.2.1: A graph of $f(x) = x^2$.




Figure 2.2.2: A graph of $f(x) = x^2$ and tangent lines.

Key Idea 2.2.1 The Derivative and Motion

- 1. Let s(t) be the position function of an object. Then s'(t) is the velocity function of the object.
- 2. Let v(t) be the velocity function of an object. Then v'(t) is the acceleration function of the object.

We now consider the second interpretation of the derivative given in this section. This interpretation is not independent from the first by any means; many of the same concepts will be stressed, just from a slightly different perspective.

Interpretation of the Derivative #2: The Slope of the Tangent Line

Given a function y = f(x), the difference quotient $\frac{f(c+h) - f(c)}{h}$ gives a change in y values divided by a change in x values; i.e., it is a measure of the "rise over run," or "slope," of the line that goes through two points on the graph of f: (c, f(c)) and (c+h, f(c+h)). As h shrinks to 0, these two points come close together; in the limit we find f'(c), the slope of a special line called the tangent line that intersects f only once near x = c.

Lines have a constant rate of change, their slope. Nonlinear functions do not have a constant rate of change, but we can measure their *instantaneous rate of change* at a given x value c by computing f'(c). We can get an idea of how f is behaving by looking at the slopes of its tangent lines. We explore this idea in the following example.

Example 2.2.3 Understanding the derivative: the rate of change

Consider $f(x) = x^2$ as shown in Figure 2.2.1. It is clear that at x = 3 the function is growing faster than at x = 1, as it is steeper at x = 3. How much faster is it growing?

SOLUTION We can answer this directly after the following section, where we learn to quickly compute derivatives. For now, we will answer graphically, by considering the slopes of the respective tangent lines.

With practice, one can fairly effectively sketch tangent lines to a curve at a particular point. In Figure 2.2.2, we have sketched the tangent lines to f at x = 1 and x = 3, along with a grid to help us measure the slopes of these lines. At

x = 1, the slope is 2; at x = 3, the slope is 6. Thus we can say not only is f growing faster at x = 3 than at x = 1, it is growing *three times as fast*.

Example 2.2.4 Understanding the graph of the derivative

Consider the graph of f(x) and its derivative, f'(x), in Figure 2.2.3(a). Use these graphs to find the slopes of the tangent lines to the graph of f at x = 1, x = 2, and x = 3.

SOLUTION To find the appropriate slopes of tangent lines to the graph of f, we need to look at the corresponding values of f'.

The slope of the tangent line to f at x = 1 is f'(1); this looks to be about -1. The slope of the tangent line to f at x = 2 is f'(2); this looks to be about 4. The slope of the tangent line to f at x = 3 is f'(3); this looks to be about 3. Using these slopes, the tangent lines to f are sketched in Figure 2.2.3(b). In-

cluded on the graph of f' in this figure are filled circles where x = 1, x = 2 and x = 3 to help better visualize the y value of f' at those points.

Example 2.2.5 Approximation with the derivative

Consider again the graph of f(x) and its derivative f'(x) in Example 2.2.4. Use the tangent line to f at x = 3 to approximate the value of f(3.1).

SOLUTION Figure 2.2.4 shows the graph of *f* along with its tangent line, zoomed in at x = 3. Notice that near x = 3, the tangent line makes an excellent approximation of *f*. Since lines are easy to deal with, often it works well to approximate a function with its tangent line. (This is especially true when you don't actually know much about the function at hand, as we don't in this example.)

While the tangent line to f was drawn in Example 2.2.4, it was not explicitly computed. Recall that the tangent line to f at x = c is y = f'(c)(x - c) + f(c). While f is not explicitly given, by the graph it looks like f(3) = 4. Recalling that f'(3) = 3, we can compute the tangent line to be approximately y = 3(x-3)+4. It is often useful to leave the tangent line in point–slope form.

To use the tangent line to approximate f(3.1), we simply evaluate y at 3.1 instead of f.

$$f(3.1) \approx y(3.1) = 3(3.1-3) + 4 = .1 * 3 + 4 = 4.3.$$

We approximate $f(3.1) \approx 4.3$.

To demonstrate the accuracy of the tangent line approximation, we now state that in Example 2.2.5, $f(x) = -x^3 + 7x^2 - 12x + 4$. We can evaluate f(3.1) = 4.279. Had we known *f* all along, certainly we could have just made this computation. In reality, we often only know two things:




Figure 2.2.3: Graphs of f and f' in Example 2.2.4, along with tangent lines in (b).




Figure 2.2.4: Zooming in on f and its tangent line at x = 3 for the function given in Examples 2.2.4 and 2.2.5.

1. what f(c) is, for some value of c, and

2. what f'(c) is.

For instance, we can easily observe the location of an object and its instantaneous velocity at a particular point in time. We do not have a "function f" for the location, just an observation. This is enough to create an approximating function for f.

This last example has a direct connection to our approximation method explained above after Example 2.2.2. We stated there that

$$f(c+h) \approx f(c) + f'(c) \cdot h$$

If we know f(c) and f'(c) for some value x = c, then computing the tangent line at (c, f(c)) is easy: y(x) = f'(c)(x - c) + f(c). In Example 2.2.5, we used the tangent line to approximate a value of f. Let's use the tangent line at x = cto approximate a value of f near x = c; i.e., compute y(c + h) to approximate f(c + h), assuming again that h is "small." Note:

$$y(c+h) = f'(c)((c+h) - c) + f(c) = f'(c) \cdot h + f(c).$$

This is the exact same approximation method used above! Not only does it make intuitive sense, as explained above, it makes analytical sense, as this approximation method is simply using a tangent line to approximate a function's value.

The importance of understanding the derivative cannot be understated. When f is a function of x, f'(x) measures the instantaneous rate of change of f with respect to x and gives the slope of the tangent line to f at x.


Exercises 2.2

Terms and Concepts

- 1. What is the instantaneous rate of change of position called?
- Given a function y = f(x), in your own words describe how to find the units of f'(x).
- 3. What functions have a constant rate of change?

Problems

- 4. Given f(5) = 10 and f'(5) = 2, approximate f(6).
- 5. Given P(100) = -67 and P'(100) = 5, approximate P(110).
- 6. Given z(25) = 187 and z'(25) = 17, approximate z(20).
- 7. Knowing f(10) = 25 and f'(10) = 5 and the methods described in this section, which approximation is likely to be most accurate: f(10.1), f(11), or f(20)? Explain your reasoning.
- 8. Given f(7) = 26 and f(8) = 22, approximate f'(7).
- 9. Given H(0) = 17 and H(2) = 29, approximate H'(2).
- Let V(x) measure the volume, in decibels, measured inside a restaurant with x customers. What are the units of V'(x)?
- 11. Let v(t) measure the velocity, in ft/s, of a car moving in a straight line t seconds after starting. What are the units of v'(t)?
- 12. The height *H*, in feet, of a river is recorded *t* hours after midnight, April 1. What are the units of H'(t)?
- P is the profit, in thousands of dollars, of producing and selling c cars.
 - (a) What are the units of P'(c)?
 - (b) What is likely true of P(0)?
- 14. *T* is the temperature in degrees Fahrenheit, *h* hours after midnight on July 4 in Sidney, NE.
 - (a) What are the units of T'(h)?
 - (b) Is T'(8) likely greater than or less than 0? Why?
 - (c) Is T(8) likely greater than or less than 0? Why?



Review

In Exercises 19 – 20, use the definition to compute the derivatives of the following functions.

19. $f(x) = 5x^2$

20. $f(x) = (x - 2)^3$

In Exercises 21 – 22, numerically approximate the value of f'(x) at the indicated x value.

21.
$$f(x) = \cos x$$
 at $x = \pi$.

22.
$$f(x) = \sqrt{x}$$
 at $x = 9$.

In Exercises 15 – 18, graphs of functions f(x) and g(x) are given. Identify which function is the derivative of the other.

2.3 Basic Differentiation Rules

The derivative is a powerful tool but is admittedly awkward given its reliance on limits. Fortunately, one thing mathematicians are good at is *abstraction*. For instance, instead of continually finding derivatives at a point, we abstracted and found the derivative function.


Let's practice abstraction on linear functions, y = mx + b. What is y'? Without limits, recognize that linear function are characterized by being functions with a constant rate of change (the slope). The derivative, y', gives the instantaneous rate of change; with a linear function, this is constant, m. Thus y' = m.

Let's abstract once more. Let's find the derivative of the general quadratic function, $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$. Using the definition of the derivative, we have:

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{a(x+h)^2 + b(x+h) + c - (ax^2 + bx + c)}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{ah^2 + 2ahx + bh}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} ah + 2ax + b$$
$$= 2ax + b.$$

So if $y = 6x^2 + 11x - 13$, we can immediately compute y' = 12x + 11.

In this section (and in some sections to follow) we will learn some of what mathematicians have already discovered about the derivatives of certain functions and how derivatives interact with arithmetic operations. We start with a theorem.



This theorem starts by stating an intuitive fact: constant functions have no rate of change as they are *constant*. Therefore their derivative is 0 (they change at the rate of 0). The theorem then states some fairly amazing things. The Power Rule states that the derivatives of Power Functions (of the form $y = x^n$) are very straightforward: multiply by the power, then subtract 1 from the power. We see something incredible about the function $y = e^x$: it is its own derivative. We also see a new connection between the sine and cosine functions.

One special case of the Power Rule is when n = 1, i.e., when f(x) = x. What is f'(x)? According to the Power Rule,

$$f'(x) = \frac{d}{dx}(x) = \frac{d}{dx}(x^1) = 1 \cdot x^0 = 1.$$

In words, we are asking "At what rate does f change with respect to x?" Since f is x, we are asking "At what rate does x change with respect to x?" The answer is: 1. They change at the same rate.

Let's practice using this theorem.

Example 2.3.1 Using Theorem 2.3.1 to find, and use, derivatives Let $f(x) = x^3$.

1. Find f'(x).

- 2. Find the equation of the line tangent to the graph of f at x = -1.
- 3. Use the tangent line to approximate $(-1.1)^3$.
- 4. Sketch f, f' and the found tangent line on the same axis.

SOLUTION

- 1. The Power Rule states that if $f(x) = x^3$, then $f'(x) = 3x^2$.
- 2. To find the equation of the line tangent to the graph of f at x = -1, we need a point and the slope. The point is (-1, f(-1)) = (-1, -1). The slope is f'(-1) = 3. Thus the tangent line has equation y = 3(x (-1)) + (-1) = 3x + 2.
- 3. We can use the tangent line to approximate $(-1.1)^3$ as -1.1 is close to -1. We have

$$(-1.1)^{3} \approx 3(-1.1) + 2 = -1.3.$$

We can easily find the actual answer; $(-1.1)^3 = -1.331$.

4. See Figure 2.3.1.




Figure 2.3.1: A graph of $f(x) = x^3$, along with its derivative $f'(x) = 3x^2$ and its tangent line at x = -1.

Theorem 2.3.1 gives useful information, but we will need much more. For instance, using the theorem, we can easily find the derivative of $y = x^3$, but it does not tell how to compute the derivative of $y = 2x^3$, $y = x^3 + \sin x$ nor $y = x^3 \sin x$. The following theorem helps with the first two of these examples (the third is answered in the next section).

Theorem 2.3.2 Properties of the Derivative

Let f and g be differentiable on an open interval I and let c be a real number. Then:

1. Sum/Difference Rule:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(f(x)\pm g(x)\right)=\frac{d}{dx}\left(f(x)\right)\pm \frac{d}{dx}\left(g(x)\right)=f'(x)\pm g'(x)$$

2. Constant Multiple Rule:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(c \cdot f(x)\right) = c \cdot \frac{d}{dx}\left(f(x)\right) = c \cdot f'(x).$$

Theorem 2.3.2 allows us to find the derivatives of a wide variety of functions. It can be used in conjunction with the Power Rule to find the derivatives of any polynomial. Recall in Example 2.1.5 that we found, using the limit definition, the derivative of $f(x) = 3x^2 + 5x - 7$. We can now find its derivative without expressly using limits:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(3x^2+5x+7\right) = 3\frac{d}{dx}\left(x^2\right) + 5\frac{d}{dx}\left(x\right) + \frac{d}{dx}\left(7\right)$$
$$= 3 \cdot 2x + 5 \cdot 1 + 0$$
$$= 6x + 5.$$

We were a bit pedantic here, showing every step. Normally we would do all the arithmetic and steps in our head and readily find $\frac{d}{dx}(3x^2+5x+7) = 6x+5$.

Example 2.3.2 Using the tangent line to approximate a function value Let $f(x) = \sin x + 2x + 1$. Approximate f(3) using an appropriate tangent line.

SOLUTION This problem is intentionally ambiguous; we are to *approximate* using an *appropriate* tangent line. How good of an approximation are we seeking? What does appropriate mean?

In the "real world," people solving problems deal with these issues all time. One must make a judgment using whatever seems reasonable. In this example, the actual answer is $f(3) = \sin 3 + 7$, where the real problem spot is $\sin 3$. What is $\sin 3$?

Since 3 is close to π , we can assume sin 3 $\approx \sin \pi = 0$. Thus one guess is $f(3) \approx 7$. Can we do better? Let's use a tangent line as instructed and examine the results; it seems best to find the tangent line at $x = \pi$.

Using Theorem 2.3.1 we find $f'(x) = \cos x + 2$. The slope of the tangent line is thus $f'(\pi) = \cos \pi + 2 = 1$. Also, $f(\pi) = 2\pi + 1 \approx 7.28$. So the tangent line to the graph of f at $x = \pi$ is $y = 1(x - \pi) + 2\pi + 1 = x + \pi + 1 \approx x + 4.14$. Evaluated at x = 3, our tangent line gives y = 3 + 4.14 = 7.14. Using the tangent line, our final approximation is that $f(3) \approx 7.14$.

Using a calculator, we get an answer accurate to 4 places after the decimal: f(3) = 7.1411. Our initial guess was 7; our tangent line approximation was more accurate, at 7.14.

The point is *not* "Here's a cool way to do some math without a calculator." Sure, that might be handy sometime, but your phone could probably give you the answer. Rather, the point is to say that tangent lines are a good way of approximating, and many scientists, engineers and mathematicians often face problems too hard to solve directly. So they approximate.

Higher Order Derivatives

The derivative of a function f is itself a function, therefore we can take its derivative. The following definition gives a name to this concept and introduces its notation.

Definition 2.3.1 Higher Order Derivatives

Let y = f(x) be a differentiable function on *I*. The following are defined, provided the corresponding limits exist.

1. The **second derivative of** *f* is:

$$f''(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(f'(x) \right) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{dy}{dx} \right) = \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = y''.$$

2. The **third derivative of** *f* is:

$$f^{\prime\prime\prime}(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(f^{\prime\prime}(x) \right) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} \right) = \frac{d^3 y}{dx^3} = y^{\prime\prime\prime}.$$

3. The **n**th derivative of *f* is:

$$f^{(n)}(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(f^{(n-1)}(x) \right) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{d^{n-1}y}{dx^{n-1}} \right) = \frac{d^n y}{dx^n} = y^{(n)}.$$

In general, when finding the fourth derivative and on, we resort to the $f^{(4)}(x)$

Notes:

Note: The second derivative notation could be written as

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \frac{d^2y}{(dx)^2} = \frac{d^2}{(dx)^2}(y).$$

That is, we take the derivative of y twice (hence d^2), both times with respect to x (hence $(dx)^2 = dx^2$).

notation, not f''''(x); after a while, too many ticks is confusing.

Let's practice using this new concept.

Example 2.3.3 Finding higher order derivatives Find the first four derivatives of the following functions:

1.
$$f(x) = 4x^2$$

2. $f(x) = \sin x$
3. $f(x) = 5e^x$

SOLUTION

1. Using the Power and Constant Multiple Rules, we have: f'(x) = 8x. Continuing on, we have

$$f''(x) = \frac{d}{dx}(8x) = 8;$$
 $f'''(x) = 0;$ $f^{(4)}(x) = 0.$

Notice how all successive derivatives will also be 0.

2. We employ Theorem 2.3.1 repeatedly.

$$f'(x) = \cos x;$$
 $f''(x) = -\sin x;$ $f'''(x) = -\cos x;$ $f^{(4)}(x) = \sin x.$

Note how we have come right back to f(x) again. (Can you quickly figure what $f^{(23)}(x)$ is?)

3. Employing Theorem 2.3.1 and the Constant Multiple Rule, we can see that

$$f'(x) = f''(x) = f'''(x) = f^{(4)}(x) = 5e^{x}.$$

Interpreting Higher Order Derivatives

What do higher order derivatives *mean*? What is the practical interpretation?

Our first answer is a bit wordy, but is technically correct and beneficial to understand. That is,

The second derivative of a function *f* is the rate of change of the rate of change of *f*.

One way to grasp this concept is to let f describe a position function. Then, as stated in Key Idea 2.2.1, f' describes the rate of position change: velocity. We now consider f'', which describes the rate of velocity change. Sports car

enthusiasts talk of how fast a car can go from 0 to 60 mph; they are bragging about the *acceleration* of the car.

We started this chapter with amusement–park riders free–falling with position function $f(t) = -16t^2 + 150$. It is easy to compute f'(t) = -32t ft/s and f''(t) = -32 (ft/s)/s. We may recognize this latter constant; it is the acceleration due to gravity. In keeping with the unit notation introduced in the previous section, we say the units are "feet per second per second." This is usually shortened to "feet per second squared," written as "ft/s²."

It can be difficult to consider the meaning of the third, and higher order, derivatives. The third derivative is "the rate of change of the rate of change of the rate of change of *f*." That is essentially meaningless to the uninitiated. In the context of our position/velocity/acceleration example, the third derivative is the "rate of change of acceleration," commonly referred to as "jerk."

Make no mistake: higher order derivatives have great importance even if their practical interpretations are hard (or "impossible") to understand. The mathematical topic of *series* makes extensive use of higher order derivatives.

Exercises 2.3

Terms and Concepts

1. What is the name of the rule which states that $\frac{d}{dx}(x^n) = nx^{n-1}$, where n > 0 is an integer?

2. What is
$$\frac{d}{dx}(\ln x)$$
?

- 3. Give an example of a function f(x) where f'(x) = f(x).
- 4. Give an example of a function f(x) where f'(x) = 0.
- 5. The derivative rules introduced in this section explain how to compute the derivative of which of the following functions?

• $f(x) = \frac{3}{x^2}$	• $j(x) = \sin x \cos x$
• $g(x) = 3x^2 - x + 17$	• $k(x) = e^{x^2}$
• $h(x) = 5 \ln x$	• $m(x) = \sqrt{x}$

- 6. Explain in your own words how to find the third derivative of a function *f*(*x*).
- 7. Give an example of a function where $f'(x) \neq 0$ and f''(x) = 0.
- 8. Explain in your own words what the second derivative "means."
- If f(x) describes a position function, then f'(x) describes what kind of function? What kind of function is f''(x)?
- Let f(x) be a function measured in pounds, where x is measured in feet. What are the units of f''(x)?

Problems

In Exercises 11 – 26, compute the derivative of the given function.

- 11. $f(x) = 7x^2 5x + 7$
- 12. $g(x) = 14x^3 + 7x^2 + 11x 29$
- 13. $m(t) = 9t^5 \frac{1}{8}t^3 + 3t 8$
- 14. $f(\theta) = 9\sin\theta + 10\cos\theta$
- 15. $f(r) = 6e^{r}$
- 16. $g(t) = 10t^4 \cos t + 7\sin t$
- 17. $f(x) = 2 \ln x x$

18.
$$p(s) = \frac{1}{4}s^4 + \frac{1}{3}s^3 + \frac{1}{2}s^2 + s + 1$$

19. $h(t) = e^t - \sin t - \cos t$

- 20. $f(x) = \ln(5x^2)$
- 21. $f(t) = \ln(17) + e^2 + \sin \pi/2$

22.
$$g(t) = (1+3t)^2$$

23.
$$g(x) = (2x - 5)^3$$

24.
$$f(x) = (1 - x)^3$$

25.
$$f(x) = (2 - 3x)^2$$

- 26. A property of logarithms is that $\log_a x = \frac{\log_b x}{\log_b a}$, for all bases $a, b > 0, \neq 1$.
 - (a) Rewrite this identity when b = e, i.e., using $\log_e x = \ln x$, with a = 10.
 - (b) Use part (a) to find the derivative of $y = \log_{10} x$.
 - (c) Use part (b) to find the derivative of $y = \log_a x$, for any $a > 0, \neq 1$.

In Exercises 27 – 32, compute the first four derivatives of the given function.

27. $f(x) = x^{6}$ 28. $g(x) = 2 \cos x$ 29. $h(t) = t^{2} - e^{t}$ 30. $p(\theta) = \theta^{4} - \theta^{3}$ 31. $f(\theta) = \sin \theta - \cos \theta$ 32. f(x) = 1,100

In Exercises 33 - 38, find the equations of the tangent and normal lines to the graph of the function at the given point.

33. $f(x) = x^3 - x$ at x = 134. $f(t) = e^t + 3$ at t = 035. $g(x) = \ln x$ at x = 136. $f(x) = 4 \sin x$ at $x = \pi/2$ 37. $f(x) = -2 \cos x$ at $x = \pi/4$ 38. f(x) = 2x + 3 at x = 5

Review

39. Given that $e^0 = 1$, approximate the value of $e^{0.1}$ using the tangent line to $f(x) = e^x$ at x = 0.

2.4 The Product and Quotient Rules

The previous section showed that, in some ways, derivatives behave nicely. The Constant Multiple and Sum/Difference Rules established that the derivative of $f(x) = 5x^2 + \sin x$ was not complicated. We neglected computing the derivative of things like $g(x) = 5x^2 \sin x$ and $h(x) = \frac{5x^2}{\sin x}$ on purpose; their derivatives are *not* as straightforward. (If you had to guess what their respective derivatives are, you would probably guess wrong.) For these, we need the Product and Quotient Rules, respectively, which are defined in this section.

We begin with the Product Rule.

Theorem 2.4.1 Product Rule

Let f and g be differentiable functions on an open interval I. Then fg is a differentiable function on I, and

$$\frac{d}{dx}\Big(f(x)g(x)\Big)=f(x)g'(x)+f'(x)g(x).$$

Important: $\frac{d}{dx}(f(x)g(x)) \neq f'(x)g'(x)!$ While this answer is simpler than the Product Rule, it is wrong.

We practice using this new rule in an example, followed by an example that demonstrates why this theorem is true.

Example 2.4.1 Using the Product Rule

Use the Product Rule to compute the derivative of $y = 5x^2 \sin x$. Evaluate the derivative at $x = \pi/2$.


SOLUTION To make our use of the Product Rule explicit, let's set $f(x) = 5x^2$ and $g(x) = \sin x$. We easily compute/recall that f'(x) = 10x and $g'(x) = \cos x$. Employing the rule, we have

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(5x^2\sin x\right) = 5x^2\cos x + 10x\sin x.$$

At $\mathbf{x} = \pi/2$, we have

$$\mathbf{y}'(\pi/2) = 5\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) + 10\frac{\pi}{2}\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 5\pi$$

We graph y and its tangent line at $x = \pi/2$, which has a slope of 5π , in Figure 2.4.1. While this does not *prove* that the Product Rule is the correct way to handle derivatives of products, it helps validate its truth.



We now investigate why the Product Rule is true.

Example 2.4.2 A proof of the Product Rule

Use the definition of the derivative to prove Theorem 2.4.1.

SOLUTION

By the limit definition, we have

$$\frac{d}{dx}\Big(f(x)g(x)\Big) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h)g(x+h) - f(x)g(x)}{h}.$$

We now do something a bit unexpected; add 0 to the numerator (so that nothing is changed) in the form of -f(x+h)g(x)+f(x+h)g(x), then do some regrouping as shown.

$$\frac{d}{dx}(f(x)g(x)) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h)g(x+h) - f(x)g(x)}{h} \quad \text{(now add 0 to the numerator)}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h)g(x+h) - f(x+h)g(x) + f(x+h)g(x) - f(x)g(x)}{h} \quad \text{(regroup)}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\left(f(x+h)g(x+h) - f(x+h)g(x)\right) + \left(f(x+h)g(x) - f(x)g(x)\right)}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h)g(x+h) - f(x+h)g(x)}{h} + \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h)g(x) - f(x)g(x)}{h} \quad \text{(factor)}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} f(x+h) \frac{g(x+h) - g(x)}{h} + \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}g(x) \quad \text{(apply limits)}$$

$$= f(x)g'(x) + f'(x)g(x).$$

It is often true that we can recognize that a theorem is true through its proof yet somehow doubt its applicability to real problems. In the following example, we compute the derivative of a product of functions in two ways to verify that the Product Rule is indeed "right."

Example 2.4.3 Exploring alternate derivative methods

Let $y = (x^2 + 3x + 1)(2x^2 - 3x + 1)$. Find y' two ways: first, by expanding the given product and then taking the derivative, and second, by applying the Product Rule. Verify that both methods give the same answer.

SOLUTION We first expand the expression for y; a little algebra shows that $y = 2x^4 + 3x^3 - 6x^2 + 1$. It is easy to compute y':

$$y' = 8x^3 + 9x^2 - 12x.$$

Now apply the Product Rule.

$$y' = (x^{2} + 3x + 1)(4x - 3) + (2x + 3)(2x^{2} - 3x + 1)$$

= $(4x^{3} + 9x^{2} - 5x - 3) + (4x^{3} - 7x + 3)$
= $8x^{3} + 9x^{2} - 12x$.

The uninformed usually assume that "the derivative of the product is the product of the derivatives." Thus we are tempted to say that $y' = (2x+3)(4x-3) = 8x^2 + 6x - 9$. Obviously this is not correct.

Example 2.4.4 Using the Product Rule with a product of three functions Let $y = x^3 \ln x \cos x$. Find y'.

SOLUTION We have a product of three functions while the Product Rule only specifies how to handle a product of two functions. Our method of handling this problem is to simply group the latter two functions together, and consider $y = x^3 (\ln x \cos x)$. Following the Product Rule, we have

$$y' = (x^3) (\ln x \cos x)' + 3x^2 (\ln x \cos x)$$

To evaluate $(\ln x \cos x)'$, we apply the Product Rule again:

$$= (x^{3}) \left(\ln x (-\sin x) + \frac{1}{x} \cos x \right) + 3x^{2} \left(\ln x \cos x \right)$$
$$= x^{3} \ln x (-\sin x) + x^{3} \frac{1}{x} \cos x + 3x^{2} \ln x \cos x$$

Recognize the pattern in our answer above: when applying the Product Rule to a product of three functions, there are three terms added together in the final derivative. Each term contains only one derivative of one of the original functions, and each function's derivative shows up in only one term. It is straightforward to extend this pattern to finding the derivative of a product of 4 or more functions.

We consider one more example before discussing another derivative rule.

Example 2.4.5 Using the Product Rule

Find the derivatives of the following functions.

1.
$$f(x) = x \ln x$$

2. $g(x) = x \ln x - x$.

SOLUTION Recalling that the derivative of $\ln x$ is 1/x, we use the Product Rule to find our answers.


1.
$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(x\ln x\right) = x \cdot 1/x + 1 \cdot \ln x = 1 + \ln x.$$

2. Using the result from above, we compute

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(x\ln x - x\right) = 1 + \ln x - 1 = \ln x.$$

This seems significant; if the natural log function $\ln x$ is an important function (it is), it seems worthwhile to know a function whose derivative is $\ln x$. We have found one. (We leave it to the reader to find another; a correct answer will be *very* similar to this one.)

We have learned how to compute the derivatives of sums, differences, and products of functions. We now learn how to find the derivative of a quotient of functions.



The Quotient Rule is not hard to use, although it might be a bit tricky to remember. A useful mnemonic works as follows. Consider a fraction's numerator and denominator as "HI" and "LO", respectively. Then

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{\mathrm{HI}}{\mathrm{LO}}\right) = \frac{\mathrm{LO}\cdot\mathrm{dHI} - \mathrm{HI}\cdot\mathrm{dLO}}{\mathrm{LOLO}},$$

read "low dee high minus high dee low, over low low." Said fast, that phrase can roll off the tongue, making it easy to memorize. The "dee high" and "dee low" parts refer to the derivatives of the numerator and denominator, respectively.

Let's practice using the Quotient Rule.

Example 2.4.6 Using the Quotient Rule Let $f(x) = \frac{5x^2}{\sin x}$. Find f'(x).

SOLUTION Directly applying the Quotient Rule gives:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{5x^2}{\sin x}\right) = \frac{\sin x \cdot 10x - 5x^2 \cdot \cos x}{\sin^2 x}$$
$$= \frac{10x \sin x - 5x^2 \cos x}{\sin^2 x}.$$

The Quotient Rule allows us to fill in holes in our understanding of derivatives of the common trigonometric functions. We start with finding the derivative of the tangent function.

Example 2.4.7 Using the Quotient Rule to find $\frac{d}{dx}(\tan x)$. Find the derivative of $y = \tan x$.

SOLUTION At first, one might feel unequipped to answer this question. But recall that $\tan x = \sin x / \cos x$, so we can apply the Quotient Rule.

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\tan x\right) = \frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{\sin x}{\cos x}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\cos x \cos x - \sin x(-\sin x)}{\cos^2 x}$$
$$= \frac{\cos^2 x + \sin^2 x}{\cos^2 x}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\cos^2 x}$$
$$= \sec^2 x.$$




Figure 2.4.2: A graph of $y = \tan x$ along with its tangent line at $x = \pi/4$.

This is a beautiful result. To confirm its truth, we can find the equation of the tangent line to $y = \tan x$ at $x = \pi/4$. The slope is $\sec^2(\pi/4) = 2$; $y = \tan x$, along with its tangent line, is graphed in Figure 2.4.2.

We include this result in the following theorem about the derivatives of the trigonometric functions. Recall we found the derivative of $y = \sin x$ in Example 2.1.7 and stated the derivative of the cosine function in Theorem 2.3.1. The derivatives of the cotangent, cosecant and secant functions can all be computed directly using Theorem 2.3.1 and the Quotient Rule.

Theorem 2.4.3Derivatives of Trigonometric Functions1. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sin x) = \cos x$ 2. $\frac{d}{dx}(\cos x) = -\sin x$ 3. $\frac{d}{dx}(\tan x) = \sec^2 x$ 4. $\frac{d}{dx}(\cot x) = -\csc^2 x$ 5. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sec x) = \sec x \tan x$ 6. $\frac{d}{dx}(\csc x) = -\csc x \cot x$

To remember the above, it may be helpful to keep in mind that the derivatives of the trigonometric functions that start with "c" have a minus sign in them.

Example 2.4.8 Exploring alternate derivative methods

In Example 2.4.6 the derivative of $f(x) = \frac{5x^2}{\sin x}$ was found using the Quotient Rule. Rewriting f as $f(x) = 5x^2 \csc x$, find f' using Theorem 2.4.3 and verify the two answers are the same.

SOLUTION We found in Example 2.4.6 that the $f'(x) = \frac{10x \sin x - 5x^2 \cos x}{\sin^2 x}$. We now find f' using the Product Rule, considering f as $f(x) = 5x^2 \csc x$.

$$f'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(5x^2 \csc x \right)$$

= $5x^2 (-\csc x \cot x) + 10x \csc x$ (now rewrite trig functions)
= $5x^2 \cdot \frac{-1}{\sin x} \cdot \frac{\cos x}{\sin x} + \frac{10x}{\sin x}$
= $\frac{-5x^2 \cos x}{\sin^2 x} + \frac{10x}{\sin x}$ (get common denominator)
= $\frac{10x \sin x - 5x^2 \cos x}{\sin^2 x}$

Finding f' using either method returned the same result. At first, the answers looked different, but some algebra verified they are the same. In general, there is not one final form that we seek; the immediate result from the Product Rule is fine. Work to "simplify" your results into a form that is most readable and useful to you.

The Quotient Rule gives other useful results, as shown in the next example.

Example 2.4.9 Using the Quotient Rule to expand the Power Rule Find the derivatives of the following functions.

1.
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$$

2. $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^n}$, where $n > 0$ is an integer.

SOLUTION We employ the Quotient Rule.

1.
$$f'(x) = \frac{x \cdot 0 - 1 \cdot 1}{x^2} = -\frac{1}{x^2}$$
.
2. $f'(x) = \frac{x^n \cdot 0 - 1 \cdot nx^{n-1}}{(x^n)^2} = -\frac{nx^{n-1}}{x^{2n}} = -\frac{n}{x^{n+1}}$.

The derivative of $y = \frac{1}{x^n}$ turned out to be rather nice. It gets better. Consider:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{1}{x^{n}}\right) = \frac{d}{dx}\left(x^{-n}\right) \qquad \text{(apply result from Example 2.4.9)}$$
$$= -\frac{n}{x^{n+1}} \qquad \text{(rewrite algebraically)}$$
$$= -nx^{-(n+1)}$$
$$= -nx^{-n-1}.$$

This is reminiscent of the Power Rule: multiply by the power, then subtract 1 from the power. We now add to our previous Power Rule, which had the restriction of n > 0.

Theorem 2.4.4 Power Rule with Integer Exponents Let $f(x) = x^n$, where $n \neq 0$ is an integer. Then $f'(x) = n \cdot x^{n-1}$.

Taking the derivative of many functions is relatively straightforward. It is clear (with practice) what rules apply and in what order they should be applied. Other functions present multiple paths; different rules may be applied depending on how the function is treated. One of the beautiful things about calculus is that there is not "the" right way; each path, when applied correctly, leads to

the same result, the derivative. We demonstrate this concept in an example.

Example 2.4.10 **Exploring alternate derivative methods** Let $f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 3x + 1}{x}$. Find f'(x) in each of the following ways:

- 1. By applying the Quotient Rule,
- 2. by viewing f as $f(x) = (x^2 3x + 1) \cdot x^{-1}$ and applying the Product and Power Rules, and
- 3. by "simplifying" first through division.

Verify that all three methods give the same result.

SOLUTION

1. Applying the Quotient Rule gives:

$$f'(x) = \frac{x \cdot (2x - 3) - (x^2 - 3x + 1) \cdot 1}{x^2} = \frac{x^2 - 1}{x^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{x^2}.$$

2. By rewriting *f*, we can apply the Product and Power Rules as follows:

$$f'(x) = (x^2 - 3x + 1) \cdot (-1)x^{-2} + (2x - 3) \cdot x^{-1}$$

= $-\frac{x^2 - 3x + 1}{x^2} + \frac{2x - 3}{x}$
= $-\frac{x^2 - 3x + 1}{x^2} + \frac{2x^2 - 3x}{x^2}$
= $\frac{x^2 - 1}{x^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{x^2}$,

the same result as above.

3. As $x \neq 0$, we can divide through by x first, giving $f(x) = x - 3 + \frac{1}{x}$. Now apply the Power Rule.

$$f'(x)=1-\frac{1}{x^2},$$

the same result as before.

Example 2.4.10 demonstrates three methods of finding f'. One is hard pressed to argue for a "best method" as all three gave the same result without too much difficulty, although it is clear that using the Product Rule required more steps. Ultimately, the important principle to take away from this is: reduce the answer

to a form that seems "simple" and easy to interpret. In that example, we saw different expressions for f', including:

$$1 - \frac{1}{x^2} = \frac{x \cdot (2x - 3) - (x^2 - 3x + 1) \cdot 1}{x^2} = (x^2 - 3x + 1) \cdot (-1)x^{-2} + (2x - 3) \cdot x^{-1}.$$

They are equal; they are all correct; only the first is "clear." Work to make answers clear.

In the next section we continue to learn rules that allow us to more easily compute derivatives than using the limit definition directly. We have to memorize the derivatives of a certain set of functions, such as "the derivative of sin x is cos x." The Sum/Difference, Constant Multiple, Power, Product and Quotient Rules show us how to find the derivatives of certain combinations of these functions. The next section shows how to find the derivatives when we *compose* these functions together.

Exercises 2.4

Terms and Concepts

- 1. T/F: The Product Rule states that $\frac{d}{dx}(x^2 \sin x) = 2x \cos x$.
- 2. T/F: The Quotient Rule states that $\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{x^2}{\sin x}\right) = \frac{\cos x}{2x}$.
- 3. T/F: The derivatives of the trigonometric functions that start with "c" have minus signs in them.
- 4. What derivative rule is used to extend the Power Rule to include negative integer exponents?
- 5. T/F: Regardless of the function, there is always exactly one right way of computing its derivative.
- 6. In your own words, explain what it means to make your answers "clear."

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 10:

- (a) Use the Product Rule to differentiate the function.
- (b) Manipulate the function algebraically and differentiate without the Product Rule.
- (c) Show that the answers from (a) and (b) are equivalent.
- 7. $f(x) = x(x^2 + 3x)$
- 8. $g(x) = 2x^2(5x^3)$
- 9. h(s) = (2s 1)(s + 4)
- 10. $f(x) = (x^2 + 5)(3 x^3)$

In Exercises 11 – 14:

- (a) Use the Quotient Rule to differentiate the function.
- (b) Manipulate the function algebraically and differentiate without the Quotient Rule.
- (c) Show that the answers from (a) and (b) are equivalent.

11. $f(x) = \frac{x^2 + 3}{x}$	32. $g(x) = e^2 (\sin(\pi/4))$
A	33. $g(t) = 4t^3e^t - \sin t c$
12. $g(x) = \frac{x^3 - 2x^2}{2x^2}$	34. $h(t) = \frac{t^2 \sin t + 3}{t^2 \cos t + 2}$
13. $h(s) = \frac{3}{4s^3}$	35. $f(x) = x^2 e^x \tan x$
14. $f(t) = \frac{t^2 - 1}{t + 1}$	36. $g(x) = 2x \sin x \sec x$

In Exercises 15 – 36, compute the derivative of the given function.

15.
$$f(x) = x \sin x$$

16. $f(x) = x^2 \cos x$
17. $f(x) = e^x \ln x$
18. $f(t) = \frac{1}{t^2}(\csc t - 4)$
19. $g(x) = \frac{x + 7}{x - 5}$
20. $g(t) = \frac{t^5}{\cos t - 2t^2}$
21. $h(x) = \cot x - e^x$
22. $f(x) = (\tan x) \ln x$
23. $h(t) = 7t^2 + 6t - 2$
24. $f(x) = \frac{x^4 + 2x^3}{x + 2}$
25. $f(x) = (3x^2 + 8x + 7)e^x$
26. $g(t) = \frac{t^5 - t^3}{e^t}$
27. $f(x) = (16x^3 + 24x^2 + 3x)\frac{7x - 1}{16x^3 + 24x^2 + 3x}$
28. $f(t) = t^5(\sec t + e^t)$
29. $f(x) = \frac{\sin x}{\cos x + 3}$
30. $f(\theta) = \theta^3 \sin \theta + \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta^3}$
31. $f(x) = \frac{\cos x}{x} + \frac{x}{\tan x}$
32. $g(x) = e^2(\sin(\pi/4) - 1)$
33. $g(t) = 4t^3e^t - \sin t \cos t$
34. $h(t) = \frac{t^2 \sin t + 3}{t^2 \cos t + 2}$

1

2

2

2

In Exercises 37 - 40, find the equations of the tangent and normal lines to the graph of g at the indicated point.

37.
$$g(s) = e^{s}(s^{2} + 2)$$
 at $(0, 2)$.

38. $g(t) = t \sin t$ at $(\frac{3\pi}{2}, -\frac{3\pi}{2})$

39.
$$g(x) = \frac{x^2}{x-1}$$
 at (2,4)

40. $g(\theta) = \frac{\cos \theta - 8\theta}{\theta + 1}$ at (0, 1)

In Exercises 41 – 44, find the *x*-values where the graph of the function has a horizontal tangent line.

41.
$$f(x) = 6x^2 - 18x - 24$$

42.
$$f(x) = x \sin x$$
 on $[-1, 1]$

$$43. \ f(x) = \frac{x}{x+1}$$

44.
$$f(x) = \frac{x^2}{x+1}$$


In Exercises 45 – 48, find the requested derivative.

45.
$$f(x) = x \sin x$$
; find $f''(x)$.

- 46. $f(x) = x \sin x$; find $f^{(4)}(x)$.
- 47. $f(x) = \csc x$; find f''(x).
- 48. $f(x) = (x^3 5x + 2)(x^2 + x 7)$; find $f^{(8)}(x)$.

Review

In Exercises 49 – 52, use the graph of f(x) to sketch f'(x).



2.5 The Chain Rule

We have covered almost all of the derivative rules that deal with combinations of two (or more) functions. The operations of addition, subtraction, multiplication (including by a constant) and division led to the Sum and Difference rules, the Constant Multiple Rule, the Power Rule, the Product Rule and the Quotient Rule. To complete the list of differentiation rules, we look at the last way two (or more) functions can be combined: the process of composition (i.e. one function "inside" another).

One example of a composition of functions is $f(x) = \cos(x^2)$. We currently do not know how to compute this derivative. If forced to guess, one would likely guess $f'(x) = -\sin(2x)$, where we recognize $-\sin x$ as the derivative of $\cos x$ and 2x as the derivative of x^2 . However, this is not the case; $f'(x) \neq -\sin(2x)$. In Example 2.5.4 we'll see the correct answer, which employs the new rule this section introduces, the **Chain Rule**.

Before we define this new rule, recall the notation for composition of functions. We write $(f \circ g)(x)$ or f(g(x)), read as "f of g of x," to denote composing f with g. In shorthand, we simply write $f \circ g$ or f(g) and read it as "f of g." Before giving the corresponding differentiation rule, we note that the rule extends to multiple compositions like f(g(h(x))) or f(g(h(j(x)))), etc.

To motivate the rule, let's look at three derivatives we can already compute.

Example 2.5.1 Exploring similar derivatives

Find the derivatives of $F_1(x) = (1 - x)^2$, $F_2(x) = (1 - x)^3$, and $F_3(x) = (1 - x)^4$. (We'll see later why we are using subscripts for different functions and an uppercase *F*.)

SOLUTION In order to use the rules we already have, we must first expand each function as $F_1(x) = 1 - 2x + x^2$, $F_2(x) = 1 - 3x + 3x^2 - x^3$ and $F_3(x) = 1 - 4x + 6x^2 - 4x^3 + x^4$. It is not hard to see that:

It is not hard to see that:

 $F'_1(x) = -2 + 2x,$ $F'_2(x) = -3 + 6x - 3x^2$ and $F'_3(x) = -4 + 12x - 12x^2 + 4x^3.$

An interesting fact is that these can be rewritten as

$$F_1'(x) = -2(1-x), \quad F_2'(x) = -3(1-x)^2 \text{ and } F_3'(x) = -4(1-x)^3.$$

A pattern might jump out at you; note how the we end up multiplying by the old power and the new power is reduced by 1. We also always multiply by (-1).

Recognize that each of these functions is a composition, letting g(x) = 1 - x:

$$\begin{split} F_1(x) &= f_1(g(x)), \quad \text{where } f_1(x) = x^2, \\ F_2(x) &= f_2(g(x)), \quad \text{where } f_2(x) = x^3, \\ F_3(x) &= f_3(g(x)), \quad \text{where } f_3(x) = x^4. \end{split}$$

We'll come back to this example after giving the formal statements of the Chain Rule; for now, we are just illustrating a pattern.

When composing functions, we need to make sure that the new function is actually defined. For instance, consider $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ and $g(x) = -x^2 - 1$. The domain of f excludes all negative numbers, but the range of g is only negative numbers. Therefore the composition $f(g(x)) = \sqrt{-x^2 - 1}$ is not defined for any x, and hence is not differentiable.

The following definition takes care to ensure this problem does not arise. We'll focus more on the derivative result than on the domain/range conditions.

Theorem 2.5.1 The Chain Rule

Let *g* be a differentiable function on an interval *I*, let the range of *g* be a subset of the interval *J*, and let *f* be a differentiable function on *J*. Then y = f(g(x)) is a differentiable function on *I*, and

$$y' = f'(g(x)) \cdot g'(x).$$

To help understand the Chain Rule, we return to Example 2.5.1.

Example 2.5.2 Using the Chain Rule

Use the Chain Rule to find the derivatives of the following functions, as given in Example 2.5.1.

SOLUTION Example 2.5.1 ended with the recognition that each of the given functions was actually a composition of functions. To avoid confusion, we ignore most of the subscripts here.

$$F_1(x) = (1 - x)^2$$
:

We found that

$$y = (1 - x)^2 = f(g(x))$$
, where $f(x) = x^2$ and $g(x) = 1 - x^2$.

To find y', we apply the Chain Rule. We need f'(x) = 2x and g'(x) = -1.

Part of the Chain Rule uses f'(g(x)). This means substitute g(x) for x in the equation for f'(x). That is, f'(x) = 2(1 - x). Finishing out the Chain Rule we have

$$y' = f'(g(x)) \cdot g'(x) = 2(1 - x) \cdot (-1) = -2(1 - x) = 2x - 2.$$

$$F_2(x) = (1 - x)^3:$$
Let $y = (1 - x)^3 = f(g(x))$, where $f(x) = x^3$ and $g(x) = (1 - x)$. We have $f'(x) = 3x^2$, so $f'(g(x)) = 3(1 - x)^2$. The Chain Rule then states
$$y' = f'(g(x)) \cdot g'(x) = 3(1 - x)^2 \cdot (-1) = -3(1 - x)^2.$$

$$F_3(x) = (1 - x)^4:$$

Finally, when $y = (1 - x)^4$, we have $f(x) = x^4$ and g(x) = (1 - x). Thus $f'(x) = 4x^3$ and $f'(g(x)) = 4(1 - x)^3$. Thus

$$y' = f'(g(x)) \cdot g'(x) = 4(1-x)^3 \cdot (-1) = -4(1-x)^3.$$

Example 2.5.2 demonstrated a particular pattern: when $f(x) = x^n$, then $y' = n \cdot (g(x))^{n-1} \cdot g'(x)$. This is called the Generalized Power Rule.

Theorem 2.5.2 Generalized Power Rule

Let g(x) be a differentiable function and let $n \neq 0$ be an integer. Then

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(g(x)^n\right)=n\cdot\left(g(x)\right)^{n-1}\cdot g'(x).$$

This allows us to quickly find the derivative of functions like $y = (3x^2 - 5x + 7 + \sin x)^{20}$. While it may look intimidating, the Generalized Power Rule states that

$$y' = 20(3x^2 - 5x + 7 + \sin x)^{19} \cdot (6x - 5 + \cos x).$$

Treat the derivative-taking process step-by-step. In the example just given, first multiply by 20, then rewrite the inside of the parentheses, raising it all to the 19th power. Then think about the derivative of the expression inside the parentheses, and multiply by that.

We now consider more examples that employ the Chain Rule.

Example 2.5.3 Using the Chain Rule

Find the derivatives of the following functions:

1.
$$y = \sin 2x$$
 2. $y = \ln(4x^3 - 2x^2)$ 3. $y = e^{-x^2}$

SOLUTION

1. Consider $y = \sin 2x$. Recognize that this is a composition of functions, where $f(x) = \sin x$ and g(x) = 2x. Thus

$$y' = f'(g(x)) \cdot g'(x) = \cos(2x) \cdot 2 = 2\cos 2x.$$

2. Recognize that $y = \ln(4x^3 - 2x^2)$ is the composition of $f(x) = \ln x$ and $g(x) = 4x^3 - 2x^2$. Also, recall that

$$\frac{d}{dx}\Big(\ln x\Big)=\frac{1}{x}.$$

This leads us to:

$$y' = \frac{1}{4x^3 - 2x^2} \cdot (12x^2 - 4x) = \frac{12x^2 - 4x}{4x^3 - 2x^2} = \frac{4x(3x - 1)}{2x(2x^2 - x)} = \frac{2(3x - 1)}{2x^2 - x}.$$

3. Recognize that $y = e^{-x^2}$ is the composition of $f(x) = e^x$ and $g(x) = -x^2$. Remembering that $f'(x) = e^x$, we have

$$y' = e^{-x^2} \cdot (-2x) = (-2x)e^{-x^2}.$$

Example 2.5.4 Using the Chain Rule to find a tangent line

Let $f(x) = \cos x^2$. Find the equation of the line tangent to the graph of f at x = 1.

SOLUTION The tangent line goes through the point $(1, f(1)) \approx (1, 0.54)$ with slope f'(1). To find f', we need the Chain Rule. $f'(x) = -\sin(x^2) \cdot (2x) = -2x \sin x^2$. Evaluated at x = 1, we have $f'(1) = -2 \sin 1 \approx -1.68$. Thus the equation of the tangent line is

$$y = -1.68(x - 1) + 0.54.$$

The tangent line is sketched along with f in Figure 2.5.1.

The Chain Rule is used often in taking derivatives. Because of this, one can become familiar with the basic process and learn patterns that facilitate finding derivatives quickly. For instance,

$$\frac{d}{dx}\Big(\ln(\text{anything})\Big) = \frac{1}{\text{anything}} \cdot (\text{anything})' = \frac{(\text{anything})'}{\text{anything}}.$$




Figure 2.5.1: $f(x) = \cos x^2$ sketched along with its tangent line at x = 1.

A concrete example of this is

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\ln(3x^{15} - \cos x + e^x)\right) = \frac{45x^{14} + \sin x + e^x}{3x^{15} - \cos x + e^x}$$

While the derivative may look intimidating at first, look for the pattern. The denominator is the same as what was inside the natural log function; the numerator is simply its derivative.

This pattern recognition process can be applied to lots of functions. In general, instead of writing "anything", we use u as a generic function of x. We then say

$$\frac{d}{dx}\Big(\ln u\Big)=\frac{u'}{u}$$

The following is a short list of how the Chain Rule can be quickly applied to familiar functions.

1.
$$\frac{d}{dx}(u^n) = n \cdot u^{n-1} \cdot u'.$$

2. $\frac{d}{dx}(e^u) = u' \cdot e^u.$
3. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sin u) = u' \cdot \cos u.$
4. $\frac{d}{dx}(\cos u) = -u' \cdot \sin u.$
5. $\frac{d}{dx}(\tan u) = u' \cdot \sec^2 u.$

Of course, the Chain Rule can be applied in conjunction with any of the other rules we have already learned. We practice this next.

Example 2.5.5 Using the Product, Quotient and Chain Rules Find the derivatives of the following functions.

1.
$$f(x) = x^5 \sin 2x^3$$
 2. $f(x) = \frac{5x^3}{e^{-x^2}}$.

SOLUTION

 We must use the Product and Chain Rules. Do not think that you must be able to "see" the whole answer immediately; rather, just proceed stepby-step.

$$f'(x) = x^5 (6x^2 \cos 2x^3) + 5x^4 (\sin 2x^3) = 6x^7 \cos 2x^3 + 5x^4 \sin 2x^3.$$

2. We must employ the Quotient Rule along with the Chain Rule. Again, pro-

ceed step-by-step.

$$f'(x) = \frac{e^{-x^2}(15x^2) - 5x^3((-2x)e^{-x^2})}{(e^{-x^2})^2} = \frac{e^{-x^2}(10x^4 + 15x^2)}{e^{-2x^2}}$$
$$= e^{x^2}(10x^4 + 15x^2).$$

A key to correctly working these problems is to break the problem down into smaller, more manageable pieces. For instance, when using the Product and Chain Rules together, just consider the first part of the Product Rule at first: f(x)g'(x). Just rewrite f(x), then find g'(x). Then move on to the f'(x)g(x) part. Don't attempt to figure out both parts at once.

Likewise, using the Quotient Rule, approach the numerator in two steps and handle the denominator after completing that. Only simplify afterward.

We can also employ the Chain Rule itself several times, as shown in the next example.

Example 2.5.6 Using the Chain Rule multiple times Find the derivative of $y = \tan^5(6x^3 - 7x)$.

SOLUTION Recognize that we have the $g(x) = \tan(6x^3 - 7x)$ function "inside" the $f(x) = x^5$ function; that is, we have $y = (\tan(6x^3 - 7x))^5$. We begin using the Generalized Power Rule; in this first step, we do not fully compute the derivative. Rather, we are approaching this step-by-step.

$$y' = 5\left(\tan(6x^3 - 7x)\right)^4 \cdot g'(x).$$

We now find g'(x). We again need the Chain Rule;

$$g'(x) = \sec^2(6x^3 - 7x) \cdot (18x^2 - 7).$$

Combine this with what we found above to give

$$y' = 5(\tan(6x^3 - 7x))^4 \cdot \sec^2(6x^3 - 7x) \cdot (18x^2 - 7)$$

= (90x² - 35) sec²(6x³ - 7x) tan⁴(6x³ - 7x).

This function is frankly a ridiculous function, possessing no real practical value. It is very difficult to graph, as the tangent function has many vertical asymptotes and $6x^3 - 7x$ grows so very fast. The important thing to learn from this is that the derivative can be found. In fact, it is not "hard;" one can take several simple steps and should be careful to keep track of how to apply each of these steps.

It is a traditional mathematical exercise to find the derivatives of arbitrarily complicated functions just to demonstrate that it *can be done*. Just break everything down into smaller pieces.

Example 2.5.7 Using the Product, Quotient and Chain Rules Find the derivative of $f(x) = \frac{x \cos(x^{-2}) - \sin^2(e^{4x})}{\ln(x^2 + 5x^4)}$.

SOLUTION This function likely has no practical use outside of demonstrating derivative skills. The answer is given below without simplification. It employs the Quotient Rule, the Product Rule, and the Chain Rule three times.

$$\begin{split} f'(x) &= \\ & \underbrace{ \left(\begin{array}{c} \ln(x^2 + 5x^4) \cdot \left[\left(x \cdot (-\sin(x^{-2})) \cdot (-2x^{-3}) + 1 \cdot \cos(x^{-2}) \right) - 2\sin(e^{4x}) \cdot \cos(e^{4x}) \cdot (4e^{4x}) \right] }_{-\left(x\cos(x^{-2}) - \sin^2(e^{4x}) \right) \cdot \frac{2x + 20x^2}{x^2 + 5x^4}}{\left(\ln(x^2 + 5x^4) \right)^2} \end{split} \end{split} \end{split}$$

The reader is highly encouraged to look at each term and recognize why it is there. (I.e., the Quotient Rule is used; in the numerator, identify the "LOdHI" term, etc.) This example demonstrates that derivatives can be computed systematically, no matter how arbitrarily complicated the function is.

The Chain Rule also has theoretic value. That is, it can be used to find the derivatives of functions that we have not yet learned as we do in the following example.

Example 2.5.8 The Chain Rule and exponential functions

Use the Chain Rule to find the derivative of $y = 2^x$.

SOLUTION We only know how to find the derivative of one exponential function, $y = e^x$. We can accomplish our goal by rewriting 2 in terms of *e*. Recalling that e^x and $\ln x$ are inverse functions, we can write

$$2 = e^{\ln 2}$$
 and so $y = 2^{x} = (e^{\ln 2})^{x} = e^{x(\ln 2)}$.

The function is now the composition y = f(g(x)), with $f(x) = e^x$ and $g(x) = x(\ln 2)$. Since $f'(x) = e^x$ and $g'(x) = \ln 2$, the Chain Rule gives

$$\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{x}(\ln 2)} \cdot \ln 2.$$

Recall that the $e^{x(\ln 2)}$ term on the right hand side is just 2^x , our original function. Thus, the derivative contains the original function itself. We have

$$y' = y \cdot \ln 2 = 2^x \cdot \ln 2.$$

We can extend this process to use any base a, where a > 0 and $a \neq 1$. All we need to do is replace each "2" in our work with "a." The Chain Rule, coupled with the derivative rule of e^x , allows us to find the derivatives of all exponential functions.

The comment at the end of previous example is important and is restated formally as a theorem.

Theorem 2.5.3 Derivatives of Exponential Functions

Let $f(x) = a^x$, for $a > 0, a \neq 1$. Then f is differentiable for all real numbers (i.e., differentiable everywhere) and

 $f'(x) = \ln a \cdot a^x.$

Alternate Chain Rule Notation

It is instructive to understand what the Chain Rule "looks like" using " $\frac{dy}{dx}$ " notation instead of y' notation. Suppose that y = f(u) is a function of u, where u = g(x) is a function of x, as stated in Theorem 2.5.1. Then, through the composition $f \circ g$, we can think of y as a function of x, as y = f(g(x)). Thus the derivative of y with respect to x makes sense; we can talk about $\frac{dy}{dx}$. This leads to an interesting progression of notation:

$$y' = f'(g(x)) \cdot g'(x)$$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = y'(u) \cdot u'(x) \quad \text{(since } y = f(u) \text{ and } u = g(x)\text{)}$$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy}{du} \cdot \frac{du}{dx} \quad \text{(using "fractional" notation for the derivative)}$$

Here the "fractional" aspect of the derivative notation stands out. On the right hand side, it seems as though the "du" terms cancel out, leaving

$$\frac{dy}{dx}=\frac{dy}{dx}.$$

It is important to realize that we *are not* canceling these terms; the derivative notation of $\frac{dy}{du}$ is one symbol. It is equally important to realize that this notation was chosen precisely because of this behavior. It makes applying the Chain Rule easy with multiple variables. For instance,




Figure 2.5.2: A series of gears to demonstrate the Chain Rule. Note how $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy}{du} \cdot \frac{du}{dx}$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{dy}{d\bigcirc} \cdot \frac{d\bigcirc}{d\triangle} \cdot \frac{d\triangle}{dt}$$

where \bigcirc and \triangle are any variables you'd like to use.

One of the most common ways of "visualizing" the Chain Rule is to consider a set of gears, as shown in Figure 2.5.2. The gears have 36, 18, and 6 teeth, respectively. That means for every revolution of the *x* gear, the *u* gear revolves twice. That is, the rate at which the *u* gear makes a revolution is twice as fast as the rate at which the *x* gear makes a revolution. Using the terminology of calculus, the rate of *u*-change, with respect to *x*, is $\frac{du}{dx} = 2$.

Likewise, every revolution of *u* causes 3 revolutions of *y*: $\frac{dy}{du} = 3$. How does *y* change with respect to *x*? For each revolution of *x*, *y* revolves 6 times; that is,

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy}{du} \cdot \frac{du}{dx} = 2 \cdot 3 = 6.$$

We can then extend the Chain Rule with more variables by adding more gears to the picture.

It is difficult to overstate the importance of the Chain Rule. So often the functions that we deal with are compositions of two or more functions, requiring us to use this rule to compute derivatives. It is also often used in real life when actual functions are unknown. Through measurement, we can calculate (or, approximate) $\frac{dy}{du}$ and $\frac{du}{dx}$. With our knowledge of the Chain Rule, we can find $\frac{dy}{dx}$.

In the next section, we use the Chain Rule to justify another differentiation technique. There are many curves that we can draw in the plane that fail the "vertical line test." For instance, consider $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, which describes the unit circle. We may still be interested in finding slopes of tangent lines to the circle at various points. The next section shows how we can find $\frac{dy}{dx}$ without first "solving for *y*." While we can in this instance, in many other instances solving for *y* is impossible. In these situations, *implicit differentiation* is indispensable.

Exercises 2.5

Terms and Concepts

- 1. T/F: The Chain Rule describes how to evaluate the derivative of a composition of functions.
- 2. T/F: The Generalized Power Rule states that $\frac{d}{dx}(g(x)^n) =$ $n(g(x))^{n-1}$.
- 3. T/F: $\frac{d}{dx}(\ln(x^2)) = \frac{1}{x^2}$. 4. T/F: $\frac{d}{dx}(3^x) \approx 1.1 \cdot 3^x$. 5. T/F: $\frac{dx}{dy} = \frac{dx}{dt} \cdot \frac{dt}{dy}$
- 6. $f(x) = (\ln x + x^2)^3$

7. $f(x) = (4x^3 - x)^{10}$

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 36, compute the derivative of the given function.

- 8. $f(t) = (3t 2)^5$ 9. $q(\theta) = (\sin \theta + \cos \theta)^3$ 10. $h(t) = e^{3t^2 + t - 1}$ 11. $f(x) = (\ln x + x^2)^3$ 12. $f(x) = 2^{x^3 + 3x}$ 13. $f(x) = (x + \frac{1}{x})^4$ 14. $f(x) = \cos(3x)$ 15. $g(x) = \tan(5x)$ 16. $h(\theta) = \tan(\theta^2 + 4\theta)$ 17. $g(t) = \sin(t^5 + \frac{1}{t})$ 18. $h(t) = \sin^4(2t)$ 19. $p(t) = \cos^3(t^2 + 3t + 1)$ 20. $f(x) = \ln(\cos x)$ (a) Using the Chain Rule, and 21. $f(x) = \ln(x^2)$ 22. $f(x) = 2 \ln(x)$
- 23. $q(r) = 4^r$ 24. $g(t) = 5^{\cos t}$ 25. $q(t) = 15^2$ 26. $m(w) = \frac{3^w}{2^w}$ 27. $h(t) = \frac{2^t + 3}{2^t + 2}$ 28. $m(w) = \frac{3^w + 1}{2^w}$ 29. $f(x) = \frac{3^{x^2} + x}{2^{x^2}}$ 30. $f(x) = x^2 \sin(5x)$ 31. $f(x) = (x^2 + x)^5 (3x^4 + 2x)^3$ 32. $q(t) = \cos(t^2 + 3t)\sin(5t - 7)$ 33. $f(x) = \sin(3x + 4)\cos(5 - 2x)$ 34. $g(t) = \cos(\frac{1}{t})e^{5t^2}$ 35. $f(x) = \frac{\sin(4x+1)}{(5x-9)^3}$ 36. $f(x) = \frac{(4x+1)^2}{\tan(5x)}$

In Exercises 37 – 40, find the equations of tangent and normal lines to the graph of the function at the given point. Note: the functions here are the same as in Exercises 7 through 10.

- 37. $f(x) = (4x^3 x)^{10}$ at x = 038. $f(t) = (3t - 2)^5$ at t = 139. $q(\theta) = (\sin \theta + \cos \theta)^3$ at $\theta = \pi/2$ 40. $h(t) = e^{3t^2 + t - 1}$ at t = -141. Compute $\frac{d}{dx}(\ln(kx))$ two ways:

 - (b) by first using the logarithm rule $\ln(ab) = \ln a + \ln b$, then taking the derivative.

- 42. Compute $\frac{d}{dx}(\ln(x^k))$ two ways:
 - (a) Using the Chain Rule, and
 - (b) by first using the logarithm rule $\ln(a^{p}) = p \ln a$, then taking the derivative.

Review

43. The "wind chill factor" is a measurement of how cold it "feels" during cold, windy weather. Let W(w) be the wind

chill factor, in degrees Fahrenheit, when it is 25° F outside with a wind of *w* mph.

- (a) What are the units of W'(w)?
- (b) What would you expect the sign of W'(10) to be?
- 44. Find the derivatives of the following functions.

(a)
$$f(x) = x^2 e^x \cot x$$

(b)
$$g(x) = 2^x 3^x 4^x$$

2.6 Implicit Differentiation

In the previous sections we learned to find the derivative, $\frac{dy}{dx}$, or y', when y is given *explicitly* as a function of x. That is, if we know y = f(x) for some function f, we can find y'. For example, given $y = 3x^2 - 7$, we can easily find y' = 6x. (Here we explicitly state how x and y are related. Knowing x, we can directly find y.)

Sometimes the relationship between y and x is not explicit; rather, it is *implicit*. For instance, we might know that $x^2 - y = 4$. This equality defines a relationship between x and y; if we know x, we could figure out y. Can we still find y'? In this case, sure; we solve for y to get $y = x^2 - 4$ (hence we now know y explicitly) and then differentiate to get y' = 2x.

Sometimes the *implicit* relationship between x and y is complicated. Suppose we are given $sin(y) + y^3 = 6 - x^3$. A graph of this implicit function is given in Figure 2.6.1. In this case there is absolutely no way to solve for y in terms of elementary functions. The surprising thing is, however, that we can still find y' via a process known as **implicit differentiation**.

Implicit differentiation is a technique based on the Chain Rule that is used to find a derivative when the relationship between the variables is given implicitly rather than explicitly (solved for one variable in terms of the other).

We begin by reviewing the Chain Rule. Let f and g be functions of x. Then

$$\frac{d}{dx}\Big(f(g(x))\Big)=f'(g(x))\cdot g'(x).$$

Suppose now that y = g(x). We can rewrite the above as

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(f(y)\right) = f'(y) \cdot y', \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{d}{dx}\left(f(y)\right) = f'(y) \cdot \frac{dy}{dx}.$$
 (2.1)

These equations look strange; the key concept to learn here is that we can find y' even if we don't exactly know how y and x relate.

We demonstrate this process in the following example.

Example 2.6.1 Using Implicit Differentiation

Find y' given that $sin(y) + y^3 = 6 - x^3$.

SOLUTION We start by taking the derivative of both sides (thus maintaining the equality.) We have :

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\sin(y)+y^3\right)=\frac{d}{dx}\left(6-x^3\right).$$




Figure 2.6.1: A graph of the implicit function $sin(y) + y^3 = 6 - x^3$.

The right hand side is easy; it returns $-3x^2$.

The left hand side requires more consideration. We take the derivative term– by–term. Using the technique derived from Equation 2.1 above, we can see that

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\sin y\right) = \cos y \cdot y'$$

We apply the same process to the y^3 term.

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(y^3\right) = \frac{d}{dx}\left((y)^3\right) = 3(y)^2 \cdot y'.$$

Putting this together with the right hand side, we have

$$\cos(y)y' + 3y^2y' = -3x^2$$
.

Now solve for y'.

$$cos(y)y' + 3y^2y' = -3x^2.$$
$$(cosy + 3y^2)y' = -3x^2$$
$$y' = \frac{-3x^2}{cosy + 3y^2}$$

This equation for y' probably seems unusual for it contains both x and y terms. How is it to be used? We'll address that next.

Implicit functions are generally harder to deal with than explicit functions. With an explicit function, given an *x* value, we have an explicit formula for computing the corresponding *y* value. With an implicit function, one often has to find *x* and *y* values *at the same time* that satisfy the equation. It is much easier to demonstrate that a given point satisfies the equation than to actually find such a point.

For instance, we can affirm easily that the point $(\sqrt[3]{6}, 0)$ lies on the graph of the implicit function sin $y + y^3 = 6 - x^3$. Plugging in 0 for y, we see the left hand side is 0. Setting $x = \sqrt[3]{6}$, we see the right hand side is also 0; the equation is satisfied. The following example finds the equation of the tangent line to this function at this point.

Example 2.6.2 Using Implicit Differentiation to find a tangent line

Find the equation of the line tangent to the curve of the implicitly defined function $\sin y + y^3 = 6 - x^3$ at the point $(\sqrt[3]{6}, 0)$.

SOLUTION In Example 2.6.1 we found that $v' = -\frac{-3x^2}{2}$

$$=\frac{1}{\cos y+3y^2}.$$

We find the slope of the tangent line at the point $(\sqrt[3]{6}, 0)$ by substituting $\sqrt[3]{6}$ for *x* and 0 for *y*. Thus at the point $(\sqrt[3]{6}, 0)$, we have the slope as

$$y' = \frac{-3(\sqrt[3]{6})^2}{\cos 0 + 3 \cdot 0^2} = \frac{-3\sqrt[3]{36}}{1} \approx -9.91.$$

Therefore the equation of the tangent line to the implicitly defined function $\sin y + y^3 = 6 - x^3$ at the point $(\sqrt[3]{6}, 0)$ is

$$y = -3\sqrt[3]{36}(x - \sqrt[3]{6}) + 0 \approx -9.91x + 18.$$

The curve and this tangent line are shown in Figure 2.6.2.

This suggests a general method for implicit differentiation. For the steps below assume y is a function of x.

- 1. Take the derivative of each term in the equation. Treat the *x* terms like normal. When taking the derivatives of *y* terms, the usual rules apply except that, because of the Chain Rule, we need to multiply each term by y'.
- 2. Get all the y' terms on one side of the equal sign and put the remaining terms on the other side.
- 3. Factor out y'; solve for y' by dividing.

Practical Note: When working by hand, it may be beneficial to use the symbol $\frac{dy}{dx}$ instead of y', as the latter can be easily confused for y or y^1 .

Example 2.6.3 Using Implicit Differentiation

Given the implicitly defined function $y^3 + x^2y^4 = 1 + 2x$, find y'.

SOLUTION We will take the implicit derivatives term by term. The derivative of y^3 is $3y^2y'$.

The second term, x^2y^4 , is a little tricky. It requires the Product Rule as it is the product of two functions of x: x^2 and y^4 . Its derivative is $x^2(4y^3y') + 2xy^4$. The first part of this expression requires a y' because we are taking the derivative of a y term. The second part does not require it because we are taking the derivative of x^2 .

The derivative of the right hand side is easily found to be 2. In all, we get:

$$3y^2y' + 4x^2y^3y' + 2xy^4 = 2.$$

Move terms around so that the left side consists only of the y' terms and the right side consists of all the other terms:

$$3y^2y' + 4x^2y^3y' = 2 - 2xy^4.$$




Figure 2.6.2: The function $\sin y + y^3 = 6 - x^3$ and its tangent line at the point $(\sqrt[3]{6}, 0)$.




Figure 2.6.3: A graph of the implicitly defined function $y^3 + x^2y^4 = 1 + 2x$ along with its tangent line at the point (0, 1).




Figure 2.6.4: A graph of the implicitly defined function $sin(x^2y^2) + y^3 = x + y$.

Factor out y' from the left side and solve to get

$$y' = \frac{2 - 2xy^4}{3y^2 + 4x^2y^3}$$

To confirm the validity of our work, let's find the equation of a tangent line to this function at a point. It is easy to confirm that the point (0, 1) lies on the graph of this function. At this point, y' = 2/3. So the equation of the tangent line is y = 2/3(x-0)+1. The function and its tangent line are graphed in Figure 2.6.3.

Notice how our function looks much different than other functions we have seen. For one, it fails the vertical line test. Such functions are important in many areas of mathematics, so developing tools to deal with them is also important.

Example 2.6.4 Using Implicit Differentiation

Given the implicitly defined function $sin(x^2y^2) + y^3 = x + y$, find y'.

SOLUTION Differentiating term by term, we find the most difficulty in the first term. It requires both the Chain and Product Rules.

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\sin(x^2y^2)\right) = \cos(x^2y^2) \cdot \frac{d}{dx}\left(x^2y^2\right)$$
$$= \cos(x^2y^2) \cdot \left(x^2(2yy') + 2xy^2\right)$$
$$= 2(x^2yy' + xy^2)\cos(x^2y^2).$$

We leave the derivatives of the other terms to the reader. After taking the derivatives of both sides, we have

$$2(x^2yy' + xy^2)\cos(x^2y^2) + 3y^2y' = 1 + y'.$$

We now have to be careful to properly solve for y', particularly because of the product on the left. It is best to multiply out the product. Doing this, we get

$$2x^2y\cos(x^2y^2)y' + 2xy^2\cos(x^2y^2) + 3y^2y' = 1 + y'.$$

From here we can safely move around terms to get the following:

$$2x^2y\cos(x^2y^2)y' + 3y^2y' - y' = 1 - 2xy^2\cos(x^2y^2).$$

Then we can solve for y' to get

$$y' = \frac{1 - 2xy^2 \cos(x^2 y^2)}{2x^2 y \cos(x^2 y^2) + 3y^2 - 1}.$$

A graph of this implicit function is given in Figure 2.6.4. It is easy to verify that the points (0,0), (0,1) and (0,-1) all lie on the graph. We can find the slopes of the tangent lines at each of these points using our formula for y'.

At (0, 0), the slope is -1.

At (0, 1), the slope is 1/2.

At (0, -1), the slope is also 1/2.

The tangent lines have been added to the graph of the function in Figure 2.6.5.

Quite a few "famous" curves have equations that are given implicitly. We can use implicit differentiation to find the slope at various points on those curves. We investigate two such curves in the next examples.

Example 2.6.5 Finding slopes of tangent lines to a circle Find the slope of the tangent line to the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ at the point $(1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$.

SOLUTION Taking derivatives, we get 2x+2yy' = 0. Solving for y' gives:

$$y'=rac{-x}{y}.$$

This is a clever formula. Recall that the slope of the line through the origin and the point (x, y) on the circle will be y/x. We have found that the slope of the tangent line to the circle at that point is the opposite reciprocal of y/x, namely, -x/y. Hence these two lines are always perpendicular.

At the point $(1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$, we have the tangent line's slope as

$$y' = rac{-1/2}{\sqrt{3}/2} = rac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} pprox -0.577.$$

A graph of the circle and its tangent line at $(1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$ is given in Figure 2.6.6, along with a thin dashed line from the origin that is perpendicular to the tangent line. (It turns out that all normal lines to a circle pass through the center of the circle.)

This section has shown how to find the derivatives of implicitly defined functions, whose graphs include a wide variety of interesting and unusual shapes. Implicit differentiation can also be used to further our understanding of "regular" differentiation.

One hole in our current understanding of derivatives is this: what is the derivative of the square root function? That is,

$$\frac{d}{dx}(\sqrt{x}) = \frac{d}{dx}(x^{1/2}) = ?$$




Figure 2.6.5: A graph of the implicitly defined function $sin(x^2y^2) + y^3 = x + y$ and certain tangent lines.




Figure 2.6.6: The unit circle with its tangent line at $(1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$.

We allude to a possible solution, as we can write the square root function as a power function with a rational (or, fractional) power. We are then tempted to apply the Power Rule and obtain

$$\frac{d}{dx}(x^{1/2}) = \frac{1}{2}x^{-1/2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}.$$

The trouble with this is that the Power Rule was initially defined only for positive integer powers, n > 0. While we did not justify this at the time, generally the Power Rule is proved using something called the Binomial Theorem, which deals only with positive integers. The Quotient Rule allowed us to extend the Power Rule to negative integer powers. Implicit Differentiation allows us to extend the Power Rule to rational powers, as shown below.

Let $y = x^{m/n}$, where *m* and *n* are integers with no common factors (so m = 2 and n = 5 is fine, but m = 2 and n = 4 is not). We can rewrite this explicit function implicitly as $y^n = x^m$. Now apply implicit differentiation.

$$y = x^{m/n}$$

$$y^{n} = x^{m}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}(y^{n}) = \frac{d}{dx}(x^{m})$$

$$n \cdot y^{n-1} \cdot y' = m \cdot x^{m-1}$$

$$y' = \frac{m}{n} \frac{x^{m-1}}{y^{n-1}} \quad (\text{now substitute } x^{m/n} \text{ for } y)$$

$$= \frac{m}{n} \frac{x^{m-1}}{(x^{m/n})^{n-1}} \quad (\text{apply lots of algebra})$$

$$= \frac{m}{n} x^{(m-n)/n}$$

$$= \frac{m}{n} x^{m/n-1}.$$

The above derivation is the key to the proof extending the Power Rule to rational powers. Using limits, we can extend this once more to include *all* powers, including irrational (even transcendental!) powers, giving the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6.1 Power Rule for Differentiation

Let $f(x) = x^n$, where $n \neq 0$ is a real number. Then f is differentiable on its domain, except possibly at x = 0, and $f'(x) = n \cdot x^{n-1}$.

This theorem allows us to say the derivative of x^{π} is $\pi x^{\pi-1}$.

We now apply this final version of the Power Rule in the next example, the second investigation of a "famous" curve.

Example 2.6.6 Using the Power Rule Find the slope of $x^{2/3} + y^{2/3} = 8$ at the point (8,8).

SOLUTION This is a particularly interesting curve called an *astroid*. It is the shape traced out by a point on the edge of a circle that is rolling around inside of a larger circle, as shown in Figure 2.6.7.

To find the slope of the astroid at the point $(\mathbf{8},\mathbf{8})$, we take the derivative implicitly.

$$\frac{2}{3}x^{-1/3} + \frac{2}{3}y^{-1/3}y' = 0$$
$$\frac{2}{3}y^{-1/3}y' = -\frac{2}{3}x^{-1/3}$$
$$y' = -\frac{x^{-1/3}}{y^{-1/3}}$$
$$y' = -\frac{y^{1/3}}{x^{1/3}} = -\sqrt[3]{\frac{y}{x}}.$$

Plugging in x = 8 and y = 8, we get a slope of -1. The astroid, with its tangent line at (8, 8), is shown in Figure 2.6.8.

Implicit Differentiation and the Second Derivative

We can use implicit differentiation to find higher order derivatives. In theory, this is simple: first find $\frac{dy}{dx}$, then take its derivative with respect to x. In practice, it is not hard, but it often requires a bit of algebra. We demonstrate this in an example.

Example 2.6.7 Finding the second derivative Given $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, find $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = y''$.

SOLUTION We found that
$$y' = \frac{dy}{dx} = -x/y$$
 in Example 2.6.5. To find y'' ,




Figure 2.6.7: An astroid, traced out by a point on the smaller circle as it rolls inside the larger circle.




Figure 2.6.8: An astroid with a tangent line.

we apply implicit differentiation to y'.

$$y'' = \frac{d}{dx}(y')$$

= $\frac{d}{dx}\left(-\frac{x}{y}\right)$ (Now use the Quotient Rule.)
= $-\frac{y(1) - x(y')}{y^2}$

replace y' with -x/y:

$$= -\frac{y - x(-x/y)}{y^2}$$
$$= -\frac{y + x^2/y}{y^2}.$$

While this is not a particularly simple expression, it is usable. We can see that y'' > 0 when y < 0 and y'' < 0 when y > 0. In Section 3.4, we will see how this relates to the shape of the graph.

Logarithmic Differentiation

Consider the function $y = x^x$; it is graphed in Figure 2.6.9. It is well–defined for x > 0 and we might be interested in finding equations of lines tangent and normal to its graph. How do we take its derivative?


The function is not a power function: it has a "power" of x, not a constant. It is not an exponential function: it has a "base" of x, not a constant.

A differentiation technique known as *logarithmic differentiation* becomes useful here. The basic principle is this: take the natural log of both sides of an equation y = f(x), then use implicit differentiation to find y'. We demonstrate this in the following example.

Example 2.6.8 Using Logarithmic Differentiation

Given $y = x^x$, use logarithmic differentiation to find y'.

SOLUTION As suggested above, we start by taking the natural log of



both sides then applying implicit differentiation.

$$y = x^{x}$$

$$\ln(y) = \ln(x^{x}) \quad (apply logarithm rule)$$

$$\ln(y) = x \ln x \quad (now use implicit differentiation)$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\ln(y) \right) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(x \ln x \right)$$

$$\frac{y'}{y} = \ln x + x \cdot \frac{1}{x}$$

$$\frac{y'}{y} = \ln x + 1$$

$$y' = y (\ln x + 1) \quad (substitute y = x^{x})$$

$$y' = x^{x} (\ln x + 1).$$




Figure 2.6.10: A graph of $y = x^x$ and its tangent line at x = 1.5.

To "test" our answer, let's use it to find the equation of the tangent line at x = 1.5. The point on the graph our tangent line must pass through is $(1.5, 1.5^{1.5}) \approx (1.5, 1.837)$. Using the equation for y', we find the slope as

$$y' = 1.5^{1.5} ($$
 ln $1.5 + 1) pprox$ 1.837 $(1.405) pprox$ 2.582.

Thus the equation of the tangent line is y = 1.6833(x - 1.5) + 1.837. Figure 2.6.10 graphs $y = x^x$ along with this tangent line.

Implicit differentiation proves to be useful as it allows us to find the instantaneous rates of change of a variety of functions. In particular, it extended the Power Rule to rational exponents, which we then extended to all real numbers. In the next section, implicit differentiation will be used to find the derivatives of *inverse* functions, such as $y = \sin^{-1} x$.

Exercises 2.6

Terms and Concepts

- 1. In your own words, explain the difference between implicit functions and explicit functions.
- 2. Implicit differentiation is based on what other differentiation rule?
- 3. T/F: Implicit differentiation can be used to find the derivative of $y = \sqrt{x}$.
- 4. T/F: Implicit differentiation can be used to find the derivative of $y = x^{3/4}$.

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 12, compute the derivative of the given function.

5. $f(x) = \sqrt{x} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$

6. $f(x) = \sqrt[3]{x} + x^{2/3}$

7. $f(t) = \sqrt{1 - t^2}$

8. $g(t) = \sqrt{t} \sin t$

9.
$$h(x) = x^{1.5}$$

10. $f(x) = x^{\pi} + x^{1.9} + \pi^{1.9}$

$$11. \ g(x) = \frac{x+7}{\sqrt{x}}$$

12. $f(t) = \sqrt[5]{t}(\sec t + e^t)$

In Exercises 13 – 25, find $\frac{dy}{dx}$ using implicit differentiation.

13.
$$x^{4} + y^{2} + y = 7$$

14. $x^{2/5} + y^{2/5} = 1$
15. $\cos(x) + \sin(y) = 1$
16. $\frac{x}{y} = 10$
17. $\frac{y}{x} = 10$
18. $x^{2}e^{2} + 2^{y} = 5$
19. $x^{2} \tan y = 50$
20. $(3x^{2} + 2y^{3})^{4} = 2$

21.
$$(y^2 + 2y - x)^2 = 200$$

22.
$$\frac{x^2 + y}{x + y^2} = 17$$

23.
$$\frac{\sin(x) + y}{\cos(y) + x} = 1$$

24.
$$\ln(x^2 + y^2) = e$$

25.
$$\ln(x^2 + xy + y^2) = 1$$

26. Show that $\frac{dy}{dx}$ is the same for each of the following implicitly defined functions.

(a)
$$xy = 1$$


(b) $x^2y^2 = 1$
(c) $\sin(xy) = 1$
(d) $\ln(xy) = 1$

In Exercises 27 - 32, find the equation of the tangent line to the graph of the implicitly defined function at the indicated points. As a visual aid, each function is graphed.

27.
$$x^{2/5} + y^{2/5} = 1$$


(a) At (1, 0).

(b) At (0.1,0.281) (which does not *exactly* lie on the curve, but is very close).




28.
$$x^4 + y^4 = 1$$

(a) At (1,0).
(b) At ($\sqrt{0.6}, \sqrt{0.8}$)
(c) At (0,1).




29.
$$(x^2 + y^2 - 4)^3 = 108y^2$$

- (a) At (0, 4).
- (b) At $(2, -\sqrt[4]{108})$.




30. $(x^2 + y^2 + x)^2 = x^2 + y^2$



31.
$$(x-2)^2 + (y-3)^2 = 9$$

(a) At
$$\left(\frac{7}{2}, \frac{6+3\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)$$
.
(b) At $\left(\frac{4+3\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)$.



32.
$$x^{2} + y^{3} + 2xy = 0$$

(a) At $(-1, 1)$.
(b) At $\left(-1, \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{5})\right)$.
(c) At $\left(-1, \frac{1}{2}(-1 - \sqrt{5})\right)$.

 $\begin{pmatrix} y \\ 2 \\ (-1, \frac{1}{2}(-1 - \sqrt{5}) \\ -2 \\ (-1, \frac{-1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}) \\ -2 \\ (-1, \frac{-1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}) \\ (-1, \frac{-1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}) \\ \end{pmatrix}$

In Exercises 33 – 36, an implicitly defined function is given. Find $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$. Note: these are the same problems used in Exercises 13 through 16.

33.
$$x^4 + y^2 + y = 7$$

34. $x^{2/5} + y^{2/5} = 1$
35. $\cos x + \sin y = 1$

36.
$$\frac{x}{y} = 10$$

In Exercises 37 – 42, use logarithmic differentiation to find $\frac{dy}{dx}$, then find the equation of the tangent line at the indicated *x*-value.

37.
$$y = (1+x)^{1/x}, x = 1$$

38. $y = (2x)^{x^2}, x = 1$
39. $y = \frac{x^x}{x+1}, x = 1$
40. $y = x^{\sin(x)+2}, x = \pi/2$
41. $y = \frac{x+1}{x+2}, x = 1$
42. $y = \frac{(x+1)(x+2)}{(x+3)(x+4)}, x = 0$




Figure 2.7.1: A function f along with its inverse f^{-1} . (Note how it does not matter which function we refer to as f; the other is f^{-1} .)




Figure 2.7.2: Corresponding tangent lines drawn to f and f^{-1} .

2.7 Derivatives of Inverse Functions

Recall that a function y = f(x) is said to be *one to one* if it passes the horizontal line test; that is, for two different x values x_1 and x_2 , we do *not* have $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$. In some cases the domain of f must be restricted so that it is one to one. For instance, consider $f(x) = x^2$. Clearly, f(-1) = f(1), so f is not one to one on its regular domain, but by restricting f to $(0, \infty)$, f is one to one.

Now recall that one to one functions have *inverses*. That is, if f is one to one, it has an inverse function, denoted by f^{-1} , such that if f(a) = b, then $f^{-1}(b) = a$. The domain of f^{-1} is the range of f, and vice-versa. For ease of notation, we set $g = f^{-1}$ and treat g as a function of x.

Since f(a) = b implies g(b) = a, when we compose f and g we get a nice result:

$$f(g(b)) = f(a) = b.$$

In general, f(g(x)) = x and g(f(x)) = x. This gives us a convenient way to check if two functions are inverses of each other: compose them and if the result is x, then they are inverses (on the appropriate domains.)

When the point (a, b) lies on the graph of f, the point (b, a) lies on the graph of g. This leads us to discover that the graph of g is the reflection of f across the line y = x. In Figure 2.7.1 we see a function graphed along with its inverse. See how the point (1, 1.5) lies on one graph, whereas (1.5, 1) lies on the other. Because of this relationship, whatever we know about f can quickly be transferred into knowledge about g.

For example, consider Figure 2.7.2 where the tangent line to f at the point (a, b) is drawn. That line has slope f'(a). Through reflection across y = x, we can see that the tangent line to g at the point (b, a) should have slope $\frac{1}{f'(a)}$.

This then tells us that $g'(b) = rac{1}{f'(a)}.$

Consider:

Information about <i>f</i>	Information about $g=f^{-1}$
(-0.5, 0.375) lies on f	(0.375, -0.5) lies on <i>g</i>
Slope of tangent line to f at $x = -0.5$ is $3/4$	Slope of tangent line to g at $x = 0.375$ is $4/3$
f'(-0.5) = 3/4	g'(0.375) = 4/3

We have discovered a relationship between f' and g' in a mostly graphical way. We can realize this relationship analytically as well. Let y = g(x), where again $g = f^{-1}$. We want to find y'. Since y = g(x), we know that f(y) = x. Using the Chain Rule and Implicit Differentiation, take the derivative of both sides of

this last equality.

$$\frac{d}{dx}(f(y)) = \frac{d}{dx}(x)$$

$$f'(y) \cdot y' = 1$$

$$y' = \frac{1}{f'(y)}$$

$$y' = \frac{1}{f'(g(x))}.$$

This leads us to the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7.1 Derivatives of Inverse Functions

Let *f* be differentiable and one to one on an open interval *I*, where $f'(x) \neq 0$ for all *x* in *I*, let *J* be the range of *f* on *I*, let *g* be the inverse function of *f*, and let f(a) = b for some *a* in *I*. Then *g* is a differentiable function on *J*, and in particular,

1.
$$(f^{-1})'(b) = g'(b) = \frac{1}{f'(a)}$$
 and 2. $(f^{-1})'(x) = g'(x) = \frac{1}{f'(g(x))}$

The results of Theorem 2.7.1 are not trivial; the notation may seem confusing at first. Careful consideration, along with examples, should earn understanding. In the next example we apply Theorem 2.7.1 to the arcsine function.

Example 2.7.1 Finding the derivative of an inverse trigonometric function Let $y = \arcsin x = \sin^{-1} x$. Find y' using Theorem 2.7.1.

SOLUTION Adopting our previously defined notation, let $g(x) = \arcsin x$ and $f(x) = \sin x$. Thus $f'(x) = \cos x$. Applying the theorem, we have

$$g'(x) = \frac{1}{f'(g(x))}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\cos(\arcsin x)}.$$

This last expression is not immediately illuminating. Drawing a figure will help, as shown in Figure 2.7.4. Recall that the sine function can be viewed as taking in an angle and returning a ratio of sides of a right triangle, specifically, the ratio "opposite over hypotenuse." This means that the arcsine function takes as input a ratio of sides and returns an angle. The equation $y = \arcsin x$ can be rewritten as $y = \arcsin(x/1)$; that is, consider a right triangle where the




Figure 2.7.4: A right triangle defined by $y = \sin^{-1}(x/1)$ with the length of the third leg found using the Pythagorean Theorem.




Figure 2.7.5: Graphs of $\sin x$ and $\sin^{-1} x$ along with corresponding tangent lines.

hypotenuse has length 1 and the side opposite of the angle with measure y has length x. This means the final side has length $\sqrt{1-x^2}$, using the Pythagorean Theorem.

Therefore
$$\cos(\sin^{-1} x) = \cos y = \sqrt{1 - x^2}/1 = \sqrt{1 - x^2}$$
, resulting in

$$\frac{d}{dx}(\arcsin x) = g'(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}.$$

Remember that the input *x* of the arcsine function is a ratio of a side of a right triangle to its hypotenuse; the absolute value of this ratio will never be greater than 1. Therefore the inside of the square root will never be negative.

In order to make $y = \sin x$ one to one, we restrict its domain to $[-\pi/2, \pi/2]$; on this domain, the range is [-1, 1]. Therefore the domain of $y = \arcsin x$ is [-1, 1] and the range is $[-\pi/2, \pi/2]$. When $x = \pm 1$, note how the derivative of the arcsine function is undefined; this corresponds to the fact that as $x \to \pm 1$, the tangent lines to arcsine approach vertical lines with undefined slopes.

In Figure 2.7.5 we see $f(x) = \sin x$ and $f^{-1}(x) = \sin^{-1} x$ graphed on their respective domains. The line tangent to $\sin x$ at the point $(\pi/3, \sqrt{3}/2)$ has slope $\cos \pi/3 = 1/2$. The slope of the corresponding point on $\sin^{-1} x$, the point $(\sqrt{3}/2, \pi/3)$, is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-(\sqrt{3}/2)^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-3/4}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1/4}} = \frac{1}{1/2} = 2,$$

verifying yet again that at corresponding points, a function and its inverse have reciprocal slopes.

Using similar techniques, we can find the derivatives of all the inverse trigonometric functions. In Figure 2.7.3 we show the restrictions of the domains of the standard trigonometric functions that allow them to be invertible.

			Inverse		
Function	Domain	Range	Function	Domain	Range
sin x	$[-\pi/2,\pi/2]$	[-1, 1]	$\sin^{-1} x$	[-1, 1]	$[-\pi/2,\pi/2]$
cos x	$[0,\pi]$	[-1, 1]	$\cos^{-1}x$	[-1, 1]	$[0,\pi]$
tan x	$(-\pi/2,\pi/2)$	$(-\infty,\infty)$	$\tan^{-1} x$	$(-\infty,\infty)$	$(-\pi/2,\pi/2)$
csc x	$[-\pi/2,0) \cup (0,\pi/2]$	$(-\infty,-1]\cup [1,\infty)$	$\csc^{-1} x$	$(-\infty,-1]\cup [1,\infty)$	$[-\pi/2,0) \cup (0,\pi/2]$
sec x	$[0,\pi/2)\cup(\pi/2,\pi]$	$(-\infty,-1]\cup [1,\infty)$	sec ⁻¹ x	$(-\infty,-1]\cup [1,\infty)$	$[0,\pi/2)\cup(\pi/2,\pi]$
cot x	$(0,\pi)$	$(-\infty,\infty)$	$\cot^{-1}x$	$(-\infty,\infty)$	$(0,\pi)$

Figure 2.7.3: Domains and ranges of the trigonometric and inverse trigonometric functions.

Theorem 2.7.2 Derivatives of Inverse Trigonometric Functions

The inverse trigonometric functions are differentiable on all open sets contained in their domains (as listed in Figure 2.7.3) and their derivatives are as follows:

1. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sin^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$	4. $\frac{d}{dx}(\cos^{-1}x) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$
2. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sec^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{ x \sqrt{x^2-1}}$	5. $\frac{d}{dx}(\csc^{-1}x) = -\frac{1}{ x \sqrt{x^2-1}}$
3. $\frac{d}{dx}(\tan^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$	6. $\frac{d}{dx}(\cot^{-1}x) = -\frac{1}{1+x^2}$

Note how the last three derivatives are merely the opposites of the first three, respectively. Because of this, the first three are used almost exclusively throughout this text.

In Section 2.3, we stated without proof or explanation that $\frac{d}{dx}(\ln x) = \frac{1}{x}$. We can justify that now using Theorem 2.7.1, as shown in the example.

Example 2.7.2 Finding the derivative of $y = \ln x$ Use Theorem 2.7.1 to compute $\frac{d}{dx}(\ln x)$.

SOLUTION View $y = \ln x$ as the inverse of $y = e^x$. Therefore, using our standard notation, let $f(x) = e^x$ and $g(x) = \ln x$. We wish to find g'(x). Theorem

2.7.1 gives:

$$g'(x) = rac{1}{f'(g(x))}$$
 $= rac{1}{e^{\ln x}}$
 $= rac{1}{x}.$

In this chapter we have defined the derivative, given rules to facilitate its computation, and given the derivatives of a number of standard functions. We restate the most important of these in the following theorem, intended to be a reference for further work.

Theorem 2.7.3 Glossary of	Derivatives of Elementary Functions
Let <i>u</i> and <i>v</i> be differentiable numbers, $a > 0$, $n \neq 0$.	functions, and let a , c and n be real
1. $\frac{d}{dx}(cu) = cu'$	2. $\frac{d}{dx}(u\pm v)=u'\pm v'$
$3. \ \frac{d}{dx}(u \cdot v) = uv' + u'v$	$4. \ \frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{u}{v}\right) = \frac{u'v - uv'}{v^2}$
5. $\frac{d}{dx}(u(v)) = u'(v)v'$	$6. \ \frac{d}{dx}(c) = 0$
7. $\frac{d}{dx}(x) = 1$	8. $\frac{d}{dx}(x^n) = nx^{n-1}$
9. $\frac{d}{dx}(e^x) = e^x$	10. $\frac{d}{dx}(a^x) = \ln a \cdot a^x$
11. $\frac{d}{dx}(\ln x) = \frac{1}{x}$	12. $\frac{d}{dx}(\log_a x) = \frac{1}{\ln a} \cdot \frac{1}{x}$
13. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sin x) = \cos x$	14. $\frac{d}{dx}(\cos x) = -\sin x$
15. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sec x) = \sec x \tan x$	16. $\frac{d}{dx}(\csc x) = -\csc x \cot x$
17. $\frac{d}{dx}(\tan x) = \sec^2 x$	$18. \ \frac{d}{dx}(\cot x) = -\csc^2 x$
19. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sin^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$	20. $\frac{d}{dx}(\cos^{-1}x) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$
21. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sec^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{ x \sqrt{x^2-1}}$	22. $\frac{d}{dx}(\csc^{-1}x) = -\frac{1}{ x \sqrt{x^2-1}}$
23. $\frac{d}{dx}(\tan^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$	24. $\frac{d}{dx}(\cot^{-1}x) = -\frac{1}{1+x^2}$

Exercises 2.7

Terms and Concepts

- 1. T/F: Every function has an inverse.
- 2. In your own words explain what it means for a function to be "one to one."
- 3. If (1, 10) lies on the graph of y = f(x), what can be said about the graph of $y = f^{-1}(x)$?
- 4. If (1, 10) lies on the graph of y = f(x) and f'(1) = 5, what can be said about $y = f^{-1}(x)$?

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 8, verify that the given functions are inverses.

5. f(x) = 2x + 6 and $g(x) = \frac{1}{2}x - 3$

6.
$$f(x) = x^2 + 6x + 11, x \ge 3$$
 and
 $g(x) = \sqrt{x-2} - 3, x \ge 2$

7.
$$f(x) = \frac{3}{x-5}, x \neq 5$$
 and
 $g(x) = \frac{3+5x}{x}, x \neq 0$

8.
$$f(x) = \frac{x+1}{x-1}$$
, $x \neq 1$ and $g(x) = f(x)$

In Exercises 9 – 14, an invertible function f(x) is given along with a point that lies on its graph. Using Theorem 2.7.1, evaluate $(f^{-1})'(x)$ at the indicated value.

9.
$$f(x) = 5x + 10$$

Point= (2, 20)
Evaluate $(f^{-1})'$ (20)

- 10. $f(x) = x^2 2x + 4, x \ge 1$ Point= (3, 7) Evaluate $(f^{-1})'(7)$
- 11. $f(x) = \sin 2x, -\pi/4 \le x \le \pi/4$ Point= $(\pi/6, \sqrt{3}/2)$ Evaluate $(f^{-1})'(\sqrt{3}/2)$
- 12. $f(x) = x^3 6x^2 + 15x 2$ Point= (1,8) Evaluate $(f^{-1})'$ (8)

13.
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + x^2}, x \ge 0$$

Point= $(1, 1/2)$
Evaluate $(f^{-1})'(1/2)$

14. $f(x) = 6e^{3x}$ Point= (0, 6) Evaluate $(f^{-1})'(6)$

In Exercises 15 – 24, compute the derivative of the given function.

15.
$$h(t) = \sin^{-1}(2t)$$

16. $f(t) = \sec^{-1}(2t)$
17. $g(x) = \tan^{-1}(2x)$
18. $f(x) = x \sin^{-1} x$
19. $g(t) = \sin t \cos^{-1} t$
20. $f(t) = \ln te^{t}$
21. $h(x) = \frac{\sin^{-1} x}{\cos^{-1} x}$
22. $g(x) = \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{x})$
23. $f(x) = \sec^{-1}(1/x)$
24. $f(x) = \sin(\sin^{-1} x)$

In Exercises 25 – 26, compute the derivative of the given function in two ways:

- (a) By simplifying first, then taking the derivative, and
- (b) by using the Chain Rule first then simplifying.

Verify that the two answers are the same.

25. $f(x) = \sin(\sin^{-1} x)$ 26. $f(x) = \tan^{-1}(\tan x)$

In Exercises 27 – 28, find the equation of the line tangent to the graph of f at the indicated x value.

27.
$$f(x) = \sin^{-1} x$$
 at $x = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$
28. $f(x) = \cos^{-1}(2x)$ at $x = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}$

Review

- 29. Find $\frac{dy}{dx}$, where $x^2y y^2x = 1$.
- 30. Find the equation of the line tangent to the graph of $x^2 + y^2 + xy = 7$ at the point (1, 2).
- 31. Let $f(x) = x^3 + x$. Evaluate $\lim_{s \to 0} \frac{f(x+s) - f(x)}{s}$.

3: THE GRAPHICAL BEHAVIOR OF FUNCTIONS

Our study of limits led to continuous functions, a certain class of functions that behave in a particularly nice way. Limits then gave us an even nicer class of functions, functions that are differentiable.

This chapter explores many of the ways we can take advantage of the information that continuous and differentiable functions provide.

3.1 Extreme Values

Given any quantity described by a function, we are often interested in the largest and/or smallest values that quantity attains. For instance, if a function describes the speed of an object, it seems reasonable to want to know the fastest/slowest the object traveled. If a function describes the value of a stock, we might want to know the highest/lowest values the stock attained over the past year. We call such values *extreme values*.

Definition 3.1.1 Extreme Values

Let *f* be defined on an interval *l* containing *c*.

- 1. f(c) is the minimum (also, absolute minimum) of f on I if $f(c) \le f(x)$ for all x in I.
- 2. f(c) is the maximum (also, absolute maximum) of f on I if $f(c) \ge f(x)$ for all x in I.

The maximum and minimum values are the **extreme values**, or **extrema**, of *f* on *I*.

Consider Figure 3.1.1. The function displayed in (a) has a maximum, but no minimum, as the interval over which the function is defined is open. In (b), the function has a minimum, but no maximum; there is a discontinuity in the "natural" place for the maximum to occur. Finally, the function shown in (c) has both a maximum and a minimum; note that the function is continuous and the interval on which it is defined is closed.

It is possible for discontinuous functions defined on an open interval to have both a maximum and minimum value, but we have just seen examples where they did not. On the other hand, continuous functions on a closed interval *always* have a maximum and minimum value.




Figure 3.1.1: Graphs of functions with and without extreme values.

Note: The extreme values of a function are "y" values, values the function attains, not the input values.




Figure 3.1.2: A graph of $f(x) = 2x^3 - 9x^2$ as in Example 3.1.1.

Note: The terms *local minimum* and *local maximum* are often used as synonyms for *relative minimum* and *relative maximum*.

As it makes intuitive sense that an absolute maximum is also a relative maximum, Definition 3.1.2 allows a relative maximum to occur at an interval's endpoint.

Theorem 3.1.1 The Extreme Value Theorem

Let f be a continuous function defined on a closed interval I. Then f has both a maximum and minimum value on I.

This theorem states that f has extreme values, but it does not offer any advice about how/where to find these values. The process can seem to be fairly easy, as the next example illustrates. After the example, we will draw on lessons learned to form a more general and powerful method for finding extreme values.

Example 3.1.1 Approximating extreme values

Consider $f(x) = 2x^3 - 9x^2$ on I = [-1, 5], as graphed in Figure 3.1.2. Approximate the extreme values of f.

SOLUTION The graph is drawn in such a way to draw attention to certain points. It certainly seems that the smallest *y* value is -27, found when x = 3. It also seems that the largest *y* value is 25, found at the endpoint of *l*, x = 5. We use the word *seems*, for by the graph alone we cannot be sure the smallest value is not less than -27. Since the problem asks for an approximation, we approximate the extreme values to be 25 and -27.

Notice how the minimum value came at "the bottom of a hill," and the maximum value came at an endpoint. Also note that while 0 is not an extreme value, it would be if we narrowed our interval to [-1, 4]. The idea that the point (0, 0) is the location of an extreme value for some interval is important, leading us to a definition of a *relative maximum*. In short, a "relative max" is a *y*-value that's the largest *y*-value "nearby."

Definition 3.1.2 Relative Minimum and Relative Maximum

Let *f* be defined on an interval *l* containing *c*.

- 1. If there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $f(c) \le f(x)$ for all x in I where $|x c| < \delta$, then f(c) is a **relative minimum** of f. We also say that f has a relative minimum at (c, f(c)).
- 2. If there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $f(c) \ge f(x)$ for all x in I where $|x c| < \delta$, then f(c) is a **relative maximum** of f. We also say that f has a relative maximum at (c, f(c)).

The relative maximum and minimum values comprise the **relative extrema** of *f*.

We briefly practice using these definitions.

Example 3.1.2 Approximating relative extrema

Consider $f(x) = (3x^4 - 4x^3 - 12x^2 + 5)/5$, as shown in Figure 3.1.3. Approximate the relative extrema of f. At each of these points, evaluate f'.

SOLUTION We still do not have the tools to exactly find the relative extrema, but the graph does allow us to make reasonable approximations. It seems *f* has relative minima at x = -1 and x = 2, with values of f(-1) = 0 and f(2) = -5.4. It also seems that *f* has a relative maximum at the point (0, 1).

We approximate the relative minima to be 0 and -5.4; we approximate the relative maximum to be 1.

It is straightforward to evaluate $f'(x) = \frac{1}{5}(12x^3 - 12x^2 - 24x)$ at x = 0, 1 and 2. In each case, f'(x) = 0.

Example 3.1.3 Approximating relative extrema

Approximate the relative extrema of $f(x) = (x-1)^{2/3}+2$, shown in Figure 3.1.4. At each of these points, evaluate f'.

SOLUTION The figure implies that f does not have any relative maxima, but has a relative minimum at (1, 2). In fact, the graph suggests that not only is this point a relative minimum, y = f(1) = 2 is *the* minimum value of the function.

We compute $f'(x) = \frac{2}{3}(x-1)^{-1/3}$. When x = 1, f' is undefined.

What can we learn from the previous two examples? We were able to visually approximate relative extrema, and at each such point, the derivative was either 0 or it was not defined. This observation holds for all functions, leading to a definition and a theorem.

Definition 3.1.3 Critical Numbers and Critical Points

Let f be defined at c. The value c is a **critical number** (or **critical value**) of f if f'(c) = 0 or f'(c) is not defined.

If c is a critical number of f, then the point (c, f(c)) is a **critical point** of f.






Figure 3.1.3: A graph of $f(x) = (3x^4 - 4x^3 - 12x^2 + 5)/5$ as in Example 3.1.2.









Figure 3.1.5: A graph of $f(x) = x^3$ which has a critical value of x = 0, but no relative extrema.

Theorem 3.1.2 Relative Extrema and Critical Points

Let a function f be defined on an open interval I containing c, and let f have a relative extremum at the point (c, f(c)). Then c is a critical number of f.

Be careful to understand that this theorem states "Relative extrema on open intervals occur at critical points." It does not say "All critical numbers produce relative extrema." For instance, consider $f(x) = x^3$. Since $f'(x) = 3x^2$, it is straightforward to determine that x = 0 is a critical number of f. However, f has no relative extrema, as illustrated in Figure 3.1.5.

Theorem 3.1.1 states that a continuous function on a closed interval will have both an absolute maximum and an absolute minimum. Common sense tells us "extrema occur either at the endpoints or somewhere in between." It is easy to check for extrema at endpoints, but there are infinitely many points to check that are "in between." Our theory tells us we need only check at the critical points that are in between the endpoints. We combine these concepts to offer a strategy for finding extrema.



We practice these ideas in the next examples.

Example 3.1.4 Finding extreme values

Find the extreme values of $f(x) = 2x^3 + 3x^2 - 12x$ on [0, 3], graphed in Figure 3.1.6(a).

SOLUTION We follow the steps outlined in Key Idea 3.1.1. We first eval-

uate f at the endpoints:

$$f(0) = 0$$
 and $f(3) = 45$.

Next, we find the critical values of f on [0, 3]. $f'(x) = 6x^2 + 6x - 12 = 6(x + 2)(x - 1)$; therefore the critical values of f are x = -2 and x = 1. Since x = -2 does not lie in the interval [0, 3], we ignore it. Evaluating f at the only critical number in our interval gives: f(1) = -7.

The table in Figure 3.1.6(b) gives f evaluated at the "important" x values in [0,3]. We can easily see the maximum and minimum values of f: the maximum value is 45 and the minimum value is -7.

Note that all this was done without the aid of a graph; this work followed an analytic algorithm and did not depend on any visualization. Figure 3.1.6 shows f and we can confirm our answer, but it is important to understand that these answers can be found without graphical assistance.

We practice again.

Example 3.1.5 Finding extreme values

Find the maximum and minimum values of f on [-4, 2], where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} (x-1)^2 & x \leq 0 \\ x+1 & x > 0 \end{cases},$$

graphed in Figure 3.1.7(a).

SOLUTION Here *f* is piecewise–defined, but we can still apply Key Idea 3.1.1 as it is continuous on [-4, 2] (one should check to verify that $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x) = f(0)$). Evaluating *f* at the endpoints gives:

$$f(-4) = 25$$
 and $f(2) = 3$.

We now find the critical numbers of f. We have to define f' in a piecewise manner; it is

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} 2(x-1) & x < 0 \\ 1 & x > 0 \end{cases}$$

Note that while *f* is defined for all of [-4, 2], f' is not, as the derivative of *f* does not exist when x = 0. (From the left, the derivative approaches -2; from the right the derivative is 1.) Thus one critical number of *f* is x = 0.

We now set f'(x) = 0. When x > 0, f'(x) is never 0. When x < 0, f'(x) is also never 0, so we find no critical values from setting f'(x) = 0.

So we have three important x values to consider: x = -4, 2 and 0. Evaluating f at each gives, respectively, 25, 3 and 1, shown in Figure 3.1.7(b). Thus the




Figure 3.1.6: Finding the extreme values of $f(x) = 2x^3 + 3x^2 - 12x$ in Example 3.1.4.




Figure 3.1.7: Finding the extreme values of a piecewise–defined function in Example 3.1.5.






Figure 3.1.8: Finding the extrema of $f(x) = \cos(x^2)$ in Example 3.1.6.





Note: We implicitly found the derivative of $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, the unit circle, in Example 2.6.5 as $\frac{dy}{dx} = -x/y$. In Example 3.1.7, half of the unit circle is given as $y = f(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}$. We found $f'(x) = \frac{-x}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$. Recognize that the denominator of this fraction is *y*; that is, we again found $f'(x) = \frac{dy}{dx} = -x/y$.

absolute minimum of *f* is 1, the absolute maximum of *f* is 25, confirmed by the graph of *f*.

Example 3.1.6 Finding extreme values

Find the extrema of $f(x) = \cos(x^2)$ on [-2, 2], graphed in Figure 3.1.8(a).

SOLUTION We again use Key Idea 3.1.1. Evaluating f at the endpoints of the interval gives: $f(-2) = f(2) = \cos(4) \approx -0.6536$. We now find the critical values of f.

Applying the Chain Rule, we find $f'(x) = -2x \sin(x^2)$. Set f'(x) = 0 and solve for x to find the critical values of f.

We have f'(x) = 0 when x = 0 and when $\sin(x^2) = 0$. In general, $\sin t = 0$ when $t = \ldots - 2\pi, -\pi, 0, \pi, \ldots$ Thus $\sin(x^2) = 0$ when $x^2 = 0, \pi, 2\pi, \ldots$ (x^2 is always positive so we ignore $-\pi$, etc.) So $\sin(x^2) = 0$ when $x = 0, \pm\sqrt{\pi}, \pm\sqrt{2\pi}$, etc. The only values to fall in the given interval of [-2, 2] are 0 and $\pm\sqrt{\pi}$, where $\sqrt{\pi} \approx 1.77$.

We again construct a table of important values in Figure 3.1.8(b). In this example we have 5 values to consider: $x = 0, \pm 2, \pm \sqrt{\pi}$.

From the table it is clear that the maximum value of f on [-2, 2] is 1; the minimum value is -1. The graph of f confirms our results.

We consider one more example.

Example 3.1.7 Finding extreme values

Find the extreme values of $f(x) = \sqrt{1 - x^2}$, graphed in Figure 3.1.9(a).

SOLUTION A closed interval is not given, so we find the extreme values of f on its domain. f is defined whenever $1 - x^2 \ge 0$; thus the domain of f is [-1, 1]. Evaluating f at either endpoint returns 0.

Using the Chain Rule, we find $f'(x) = \frac{-x}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$. The critical points of f are found when f'(x) = 0 or when f' is undefined. It is straightforward to find that f'(x) = 0 when x = 0, and f' is undefined when $x = \pm 1$, the endpoints of the interval. The table of important values is given in Figure 3.1.9(b). The maximum value is 1, and the minimum value is 0. (See also the marginal note.)

We have seen that continuous functions on closed intervals always have a maximum and minimum value, and we have also developed a technique to find these values. In the next section, we further our study of the information we can glean from "nice" functions with the Mean Value Theorem. On a closed interval, we can find the *average rate of change* of a function (as we did at the beginning of Chapter 2). We will see that differentiable functions always have a point at which their *instantaneous* rate of change is same as the *average* rate of change. This is surprisingly useful, as we'll see.

Exercises 3.1


Terms and Concepts

1. Describe what an "extreme value" of a function is in your own words.

- 2. Sketch the graph of a function f on (-1, 1) that has both a maximum and minimum value.
- 3. Describe the difference between absolute and relative maxima in your own words.
- 4. Sketch the graph of a function f where f has a relative maximum at x = 1 and f'(1) is undefined.
- 5. T/F: If *c* is a critical value of a function *f*, then *f* has either a relative maximum or relative minimum at x = c.
- 6. Fill in the blanks: The critical points of a function f are found where f'(x) is equal to _____ or where f'(x) is


Problems


In Exercises 7 – 8, identify each of the marked points as being an absolute maximum or minimum, a relative maximum or minimum, or none of the above.




9. $f(x) = \frac{2}{x^2 + 1}$ (0, 2) 10. $f(x) = x^2 \sqrt{6 - x^2}$ $(2, 4\sqrt{2})$ -2 (0,0) 2











In Exercises 9 – 16, evaluate f'(x) at the points indicated in the graph.











In Exercises 17 – 26, find the extreme values of the function on the given interval.

17. $f(x) = x^2 + x + 4$ on [-1, 2]. 18. $f(x) = x^3 - \frac{9}{2}x^2 - 30x + 3$ on [0, 6]. 19. $f(x) = 3 \sin x$ on $[\pi/4, 2\pi/3]$. 20. $f(x) = x^2\sqrt{4 - x^2}$ on [-2, 2]. 21. $f(x) = x + \frac{3}{x}$ on [1, 5]. 22. $f(x) = \frac{x^2}{x^2 + 5}$ on [-3, 5]. 23. $f(x) = e^x \cos x$ on $[0, \pi]$. 24. $f(x) = e^x \sin x$ on $[0, \pi]$. 25. $f(x) = \frac{\ln x}{x}$ on [1, 4]. 26. $f(x) = x^{2/3} - x$ on [0, 2].

Review

- 27. Find $\frac{dy}{dx}$, where $x^2y y^2x = 1$.
- 28. Find the equation of the line tangent to the graph of $x^2 + y^2 + xy = 7$ at the point (1, 2).
- 29. Let $f(x) = x^3 + x$. Evaluate $\lim_{s \to 0} \frac{f(x+s) - f(x)}{s}$.

3.2 The Mean Value Theorem

We motivate this section with the following question: Suppose you leave your house and drive to your friend's house in a city 100 miles away, completing the trip in two hours. At any point during the trip do you necessarily have to be going 50 miles per hour?

In answering this question, it is clear that the *average* speed for the entire trip is 50 mph (i.e. 100 miles in 2 hours), but the question is whether or not your *instantaneous* speed is ever exactly 50 mph. More simply, does your speedometer ever read exactly 50 mph?. The answer, under some very reasonable assumptions, is "yes."

Let's now see why this situation is in a calculus text by translating it into mathematical symbols.

First assume that the function y = f(t) gives the distance (in miles) traveled from your home at time t (in hours) where $0 \le t \le 2$. In particular, this gives f(0) = 0 and f(2) = 100. The slope of the secant line connecting the starting and ending points (0, f(0)) and (2, f(2)) is therefore

$$\frac{\Delta f}{\Delta t} = \frac{f(2) - f(0)}{2 - 0} = \frac{100 - 0}{2} = 50 \,\mathrm{mph}.$$

The slope at any point on the graph itself is given by the derivative f'(t). So, since the answer to the question above is "yes," this means that at some time during the trip, the derivative takes on the value of 50 mph. Symbolically,

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(2) - f(0)}{2 - 0} = 50$$

for some time $0 \le c \le 2$.

How about more generally? Given any function y = f(x) and a range $a \le x \le b$ does the value of the derivative at some point between a and b have to match the slope of the secant line connecting the points (a, f(a)) and (b, f(b))? Or equivalently, does the equation $f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}$ have to hold for some a < c < b?

Let's look at two functions in an example.

Example 3.2.1 Comparing average and instantaneous rates of change Consider functions

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}$$
 and $f_2(x) = |x|$

with a = -1 and b = 1 as shown in Figure 3.2.1(a) and (b), respectively. Both functions have a value of 1 at a and b. Therefore the slope of the secant line




Figure 3.2.1: A graph of $f_1(x) = 1/x^2$ and $f_2(x) = |x|$ in Example 3.2.1.




Figure 3.2.2: A graph of $f(x) = x^3 - 5x^2 + 3x + 5$, where f(a) = f(b). Note the existence of *c*, where a < c < b, where f'(c) = 0.

connecting the end points is 0 in each case. But if you look at the plots of each, you can see that there are no points on either graph where the tangent lines have slope zero. Therefore we have found that there is no c in [-1, 1] such that

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(1) - f(-1)}{1 - (-1)} = 0.$$

So what went "wrong"? It may not be surprising to find that the discontinuity of f_1 and the corner of f_2 play a role. If our functions had been continuous and differentiable, would we have been able to find that special value c? This is our motivation for the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2.1 The Mean Value Theorem of Differentiation

Let y = f(x) be a continuous function on the closed interval [a, b] and differentiable on the open interval (a, b). There exists a value c, a < c < b, such that

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}$$

That is, there is a value c in (a, b) where the instantaneous rate of change of f at c is equal to the average rate of change of f on [a, b].

Note that the reasons that the functions in Example 3.2.1 fail are indeed that f_1 has a discontinuity on the interval [-1, 1] and f_2 is not differentiable at the origin.

We will give a proof of the Mean Value Theorem below. To do so, we use a fact, called Rolle's Theorem, stated here.

Theorem 3.2.2 Rolle's Theorem

Let f be continuous on [a, b] and differentiable on (a, b), where f(a) = f(b). There is some c in (a, b) such that f'(c) = 0.

Consider Figure 3.2.2 where the graph of a function f is given, where f(a) = f(b). It should make intuitive sense that if f is differentiable (and hence, continuous) that there would be a value c in (a, b) where f'(c) = 0; that is, there would be a relative maximum or minimum of f in (a, b). Rolle's Theorem guarantees at least one; there may be more.

Rolle's Theorem is really just a special case of the Mean Value Theorem. If f(a) = f(b), then the *average* rate of change on (a, b) is 0, and the theorem guarantees some c where f'(c) = 0. We will prove Rolle's Theorem, then use it to prove the Mean Value Theorem.

Proof of Rolle's Theorem

Let *f* be differentiable on (a, b) where f(a) = f(b). We consider two cases. **Case 1:** Consider the case when *f* is constant on [a, b]; that is, f(x) = f(a) = f(b) for all *x* in [a, b]. Then f'(x) = 0 for all *x* in [a, b], showing there is at least one value *c* in (a, b) where f'(c) = 0.

Case 2: Now assume that *f* is not constant on [a, b]. The Extreme Value Theorem guarantees that *f* has a maximal and minimal value on [a, b], found either at the endpoints or at a critical value in (a, b). Since f(a) = f(b) and *f* is not constant, it is clear that the maximum and minimum cannot *both* be found at the endpoints. Assume, without loss of generality, that the maximum of *f* is not found at the endpoints. Therefore there is a *c* in (a, b) such that f(c) is the maximum value of *f*. By Theorem 3.1.2, *c* must be a critical number of *f*; since *f* is differentiable, we have that f'(c) = 0, completing the proof of the theorem.

We can now prove the Mean Value Theorem.

Proof of the Mean Value Theorem

Define the function

$$g(x) = f(x) - \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}x.$$

We know g is differentiable on (a, b) and continuous on [a, b] since f is. We can show g(a) = g(b) (it is actually easier to show g(b) - g(a) = 0, which suffices). We can then apply Rolle's theorem to guarantee the existence of c in (a, b) such that g'(c) = 0. But note that

$$0 = g'(c) = f'(c) - rac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a};$$

hence

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}$$

which is what we sought to prove.

Going back to the very beginning of the section, we see that the only assumption we would need about our distance function f(t) is that it be continuous and differentiable for t from 0 to 2 hours (both reasonable assumptions). By the Mean Value Theorem, we are guaranteed a time during the trip where our

instantaneous speed is 50 mph. This fact is used in practice. Some law enforcement agencies monitor traffic speeds while in aircraft. They do not measure speed with radar, but rather by timing individual cars as they pass over lines painted on the highway whose distances apart are known. The officer is able to measure the *average* speed of a car between the painted lines; if that average speed is greater than the posted speed limit, the officer is assured that the driver exceeded the speed limit at some time.

Note that the Mean Value Theorem is an *existence* theorem. It states that a special value *c exists*, but it does not give any indication about how to find it. It turns out that when we need the Mean Value Theorem, existence is all we need.

Example 3.2.2 Using the Mean Value Theorem

Consider $f(x) = x^3 + 5x + 5$ on [-3, 3]. Find *c* in [-3, 3] that satisfies the Mean Value Theorem.

SOLUTION

The average rate of change of f on [-3, 3] is:

$$\frac{f(3) - f(-3)}{3 - (-3)} = \frac{84}{6} = 14.$$

We want to find *c* such that f'(c) = 14. We find $f'(x) = 3x^2 + 5$. We set this equal to 14 and solve for *x*.

$$f'(x) = 14$$

$$3x^{2} + 5 = 14$$

$$x^{2} = 3$$

$$x = \pm\sqrt{3} \approx \pm 1.732$$

We have found 2 values *c* in [-3, 3] where the instantaneous rate of change is equal to the average rate of change; the Mean Value Theorem guaranteed at least one. In Figure 3.2.3 *f* is graphed with a dashed line representing the average rate of change; the lines tangent to *f* at $x = \pm\sqrt{3}$ are also given. Note how these lines are parallel (i.e., have the same slope) with the dashed line.

While the Mean Value Theorem has practical use (for instance, the speed monitoring application mentioned before), it is mostly used to advance other theory. We will use it in the next section to relate the shape of a graph to its derivative.




Figure 3.2.3: Demonstrating the Mean Value Theorem in Example 3.2.2.

Exercises 3.2

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Explain in your own words what the Mean Value Theorem states.
- 2. Explain in your own words what Rolle's Theorem states.

Problems

In Exercises 3 – 10, a function f(x) and interval [a, b] are given. Check if Rolle's Theorem can be applied to f on [a, b]; if so, find c in [a, b] such that f'(c) = 0.

- 3. f(x) = 6 on [-1, 1].
- 4. f(x) = 6x on [-1, 1].
- 5. $f(x) = x^2 + x 6$ on [-3, 2].
- 6. $f(x) = x^2 + x 2$ on [-3, 2].
- 7. $f(x) = x^2 + x$ on [-2, 2].
- 8. $f(x) = \sin x$ on $[\pi/6, 5\pi/6]$.
- 9. $f(x) = \cos x$ on $[0, \pi]$.

10.
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2 - 2x + 1}$$
 on [0, 2].

In Exercises 11 – 20, a function f(x) and interval [a, b] are given. Check if the Mean Value Theorem can be applied to f on [a, b]; if so, find a value c in [a, b] guaranteed by the Mean Value Theorem.

11. $f(x) = x^2 + 3x - 1$ on [-2, 2]. 12. $f(x) = 5x^2 - 6x + 8$ on [0, 5]. 13. $f(x) = \sqrt{9 - x^2}$ on [0, 3]. 14. $f(x) = \sqrt{25 - x}$ on [0, 9]. 15. $f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 9}{x^2 - 1}$ on [0, 2]. 16. $f(x) = \ln x$ on [1, 5]. 17. $f(x) = \tan x$ on $[-\pi/4, \pi/4]$. 18. $f(x) = x^3 - 2x^2 + x + 1$ on [-2, 2]. 19. $f(x) = 2x^3 - 5x^2 + 6x + 1$ on [-5, 2]. 20. $f(x) = \sin^{-1} x$ on [-1, 1].

Review

- 21. Find the extreme values of $f(x) = x^2 3x + 9$ on [-2, 5].
- 22. Describe the critical points of $f(x) = \cos x$.
- 23. Describe the critical points of $f(x) = \tan x$.




Figure 3.3.1: A graph of a function *f* used to illustrate the concepts of *increasing* and *decreasing*.




Figure 3.3.2: Examining the secant line of an increasing function.

3.3 Increasing and Decreasing Functions

Our study of "nice" functions f in this chapter has so far focused on individual points: points where f is maximal/minimal, points where f'(x) = 0 or f' does not exist, and points c where f'(c) is the average rate of change of f on some interval.

In this section we begin to study how functions behave *between* special points; we begin studying in more detail the shape of their graphs.

We start with an intuitive concept. Given the graph in Figure 3.3.1, where would you say the function is *increasing*? *Decreasing*? Even though we have not defined these terms mathematically, one likely answered that f is increasing when x > 1 and decreasing when x < 1. We formally define these terms here.

Definition 3.3.1 Increasing and Decreasing Functions

Let *f* be a function defined on an interval *I*.

- 1. *f* is increasing on *I* if for every a < b in *I*, f(a) < f(b).
- 2. *f* is **decreasing** on *l* if for every a < b in l, f(a) > f(b).

Informally, a function is increasing if as x gets larger (i.e., looking left to right) f(x) gets larger.

Our interest lies in finding intervals in the domain of f on which f is either increasing or decreasing. Such information should seem useful. For instance, if f describes the speed of an object, we might want to know when the speed was increasing or decreasing (i.e., when the object was accelerating vs. decelerating). If f describes the population of a city, we should be interested in when the population is growing or declining.

To find such intervals, we again consider secant lines. Let f be an increasing, differentiable function on an open interval I, such as the one shown in Figure 3.3.2, and let a < b be given in I. The secant line on the graph of f from x = a to x = b is drawn; it has a slope of (f(b) - f(a))/(b - a). But note:

$$\frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} \Rightarrow \frac{\text{numerator } > 0}{\text{denominator } > 0} \Rightarrow \text{ slope of the} \text{ secant line } > 0 \Rightarrow \text{ change of } f \text{ on} \\ [a, b] \text{ is } > 0.$$

We have shown mathematically what may have already been obvious: when f is increasing, its secant lines will have a positive slope. Now recall the Mean Value Theorem guarantees that there is a number c, where a < c < b, such that

$$f'(c) = rac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} > 0.$$

By considering all such secant lines in *I*, we strongly imply that f'(x) > 0 on *I*. A similar statement can be made for decreasing functions.

Our above logic can be summarized as "If f is increasing, then f' is probably positive." Theorem 3.3.1 below turns this around by stating "If f' is positive, then f is increasing." This leads us to a method for finding when functions are increasing and decreasing.

Theorem 3.3.1 Test For Increasing/Decreasing Functions

Let *f* be a continuous function on [a, b] and differentiable on (a, b).

1. If f'(c) > 0 for all c in (a, b), then f is increasing on [a, b].

2. If f'(c) < 0 for all c in (a, b), then f is decreasing on [a, b].

3. If f'(c) = 0 for all c in (a, b), then f is constant on [a, b].

Let *f* be differentiable on an interval *I* and let *a* and *b* be in *I* where f'(a) > 0and f'(b) < 0. If *f'* is continuous on [a, b], it follows from the Intermediate Value Theorem that there must be some value *c* between *a* and *b* where f'(c) = 0. (It turns out that this is still true even if *f'* is not continuous on [a, b].) This leads us to the following method for finding intervals on which a function is increasing or decreasing.

Key Idea 3.3.1 Finding Intervals on Which *f* is Increasing or Decreasing

Let f be a differentiable function on an interval I. To find intervals on which f is increasing and decreasing:

- 1. Find the critical values of *f*. That is, find all *c* in *I* where f'(c) = 0 or f' is not defined.
- 2. Use the critical values to divide *I* into subintervals.
- 3. Pick any point p in each subinterval, and find the sign of f'(p).
 - (a) If f'(p) > 0, then f is increasing on that subinterval.
 - (b) If f'(p) < 0, then f is decreasing on that subinterval.

We demonstrate using this process in the following example.

Notes:

Note: Parts 1 & 2 of Theorem 3.3.1 also hold if f'(c) = 0 for a finite number of values of *c* in *l*.




Figure 3.3.4: A graph of f(x) in Example 3.3.1, showing where f is increasing and decreasing.

Example 3.3.1 Finding intervals of increasing/decreasing

Let $f(x) = x^3 + x^2 - x + 1$. Find intervals on which f is increasing or decreasing.

SOLUTION Using Key Idea 3.3.1, we first find the critical values of f. We have $f'(x) = 3x^2 + 2x - 1 = (3x - 1)(x + 1)$, so f'(x) = 0 when x = -1 and when x = 1/3. f' is never undefined.

Since an interval was not specified for us to consider, we consider the entire domain of f which is $(-\infty, \infty)$. We thus break the whole real line into three subintervals based on the two critical values we just found: $(-\infty, -1)$, (-1, 1/3) and $(1/3, \infty)$. This is shown in Figure 3.3.3.




Figure 3.3.3: Number line for *f* in Example 3.3.1.

We now pick a value p in each subinterval and find the sign of f'(p). All we care about is the sign, so we do not actually have to fully compute f'(p); pick "nice" values that make this simple.

Subinterval 1, $(-\infty, -1)$: We (arbitrarily) pick p = -2. We can compute f'(-2) directly: $f'(-2) = 3(-2)^2 + 2(-2) - 1 = 7 > 0$. We conclude that f is increasing on $(-\infty, -1)$.

Note we can arrive at the same conclusion without computation. For instance, we could choose p = -100. The first term in f'(-100), i.e., $3(-100)^2$ is clearly positive and very large. The other terms are small in comparison, so we know f'(-100) > 0. All we need is the sign.

Subinterval 2, (-1, 1/3): We pick p = 0 since that value seems easy to deal with. f'(0) = -1 < 0. We conclude f is decreasing on (-1, 1/3).

Subinterval 3, $(1/3, \infty)$: Pick an arbitrarily large value for p > 1/3 and note that $f'(p) = 3p^2 + 2p - 1 > 0$. We conclude that f is increasing on $(1/3, \infty)$.

We can verify our calculations by considering Figure 3.3.4, where f is graphed. The graph also presents f'; note how f' > 0 when f is increasing and f' < 0 when f is decreasing.

One is justified in wondering why so much work is done when the graph seems to make the intervals very clear. We give three reasons why the above work is worthwhile.

First, the points at which f switches from increasing to decreasing are not precisely known given a graph. The graph shows us something significant happens near x = -1 and x = 0.3, but we cannot determine exactly where from the graph.

One could argue that just finding critical values is important; once we know the significant points are x = -1 and x = 1/3, the graph shows the increasing/decreasing traits just fine. That is true. However, the technique prescribed here helps reinforce the relationship between increasing/decreasing and the sign of f'. Once mastery of this concept (and several others) is obtained, one finds that either (a) just the critical points are computed and the graph shows all else that is desired, or (b) a graph is never produced, because determining increasing/decreasing using f' is straightforward and the graph is unnecessary. So our second reason why the above work is worthwhile is this: once mastery of a subject is gained, one has *options* for finding needed information. We are working to develop mastery.

Finally, our third reason: many problems we face "in the real world" are very complex. Solutions are tractable only through the use of computers to do many calculations for us. Computers do not solve problems "on their own," however; they need to be taught (i.e., *programmed*) to do the right things. It would be beneficial to give a function to a computer and have it return maximum and minimum values, intervals on which the function is increasing and decreasing, the locations of relative maxima, etc. The work that we are doing here is easily programmable. It is hard to teach a computer to "look at the graph and see if it is going up or down." It is easy to teach a computer to "determine if a number is greater than or less than 0."

In Section 3.1 we learned the definition of relative maxima and minima and found that they occur at critical points. We are now learning that functions can switch from increasing to decreasing (and vice–versa) at critical points. This new understanding of increasing and decreasing creates a great method of determining whether a critical point corresponds to a maximum, minimum, or neither. Imagine a function increasing until a critical point at x = c, after which it decreases. A quick sketch helps confirm that f(c) must be a relative maximum. A similar statement can be made for relative minimums. We formalize this concept in a theorem.

Theorem 3.3.2 First Derivative Test

Let *f* be differentiable on an interval *I* and let *c* be a critical number in *I*.

- If the sign of f' switches from positive to negative at c, then f(c) is a relative maximum of f.
- 2. If the sign of f' switches from negative to positive at c, then f(c) is a relative minimum of f.
- 3. If f' is positive (or, negative) before and after c, then f(c) is not a relative extrema of f.




Figure 3.3.5: A graph of f(x) in Example 3.3.2, showing where f is increasing and decreasing.

Example 3.3.2 Using the First Derivative Test

Find the intervals on which f is increasing and decreasing, and use the First Derivative Test to determine the relative extrema of f, where

$$f(x)=\frac{x^2+3}{x-1}.$$

SOLUTION We start by noting the domain of $f: (-\infty, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$. Key Idea 3.3.1 describes how to find intervals where f is increasing and decreasing when the domain of f is an interval. Since the domain of f in this example is the union of two intervals, we apply the techniques of Key Idea 3.3.1 to both intervals of the domain of f.

Since *f* is not defined at x = 1, the increasing/decreasing nature of *f* could switch at this value. We do not formally consider x = 1 to be a critical value of *f*, but we will include it in our list of critical values that we find next.

Using the Quotient Rule, we find

$$f'(x) = \frac{x^2 - 2x - 3}{(x - 1)^2}$$

We need to find the critical values of f; we want to know when f'(x) = 0 and when f' is not defined. That latter is straightforward: when the denominator of f'(x) is 0, f' is undefined. That occurs when x = 1, which we've already recognized as an important value.

f'(x) = 0 when the numerator of f'(x) is 0. That occurs when $x^2 - 2x - 3 = (x - 3)(x + 1) = 0$; i.e., when x = -1, 3.

We have found that f has two critical numbers, x = -1, 3, and at x = 1 something important might also happen. These three numbers divide the real number line into 4 subintervals:

$$(-\infty, -1),$$
 $(-1, 1),$ $(1, 3)$ and $(3, \infty).$

Pick a number p from each subinterval and test the sign of f' at p to determine whether f is increasing or decreasing on that interval. Again, we do well to avoid complicated computations; notice that the denominator of f' is *always* positive so we can ignore it during our work.

Interval 1, $(-\infty, -1)$: Choosing a very small number (i.e., a negative number with a large magnitude) p returns $p^2 - 2p - 3$ in the numerator of f'; that will be positive. Hence f is increasing on $(-\infty, -1)$.

Interval 2, (-1, 1): Choosing 0 seems simple: f'(0) = -3 < 0. We conclude f is decreasing on (-1, 1).

Interval 3, (1,3): Choosing 2 seems simple: f'(2) = -3 < 0. Again, f is decreasing.

Interval 4, $(3, \infty)$: Choosing an very large number *p* from this subinterval will give a positive numerator and (of course) a positive denominator. So *f* is increasing on $(3, \infty)$.

In summary, f is increasing on the intervals $(-\infty, -1)$ and $(3, \infty)$ and is decreasing on the intervals (-1, 1) and (1, 3). Since at x = -1, the sign of f' switched from positive to negative, Theorem 3.3.2 states that f(-1) is a relative maximum of f. At x = 3, the sign of f' switched from negative to positive, meaning f(3) is a relative minimum. At x = 1, f is not defined, so there is no relative extrema at x = 1.




Figure 3.3.6: Number line for *f* in Example 3.3.2.

This is summarized in the number line shown in Figure 3.3.6. Also, Figure 3.3.5 shows a graph of f, confirming our calculations. This figure also shows f', again demonstrating that f is increasing when f' > 0 and decreasing when f' < 0.

One is often tempted to think that functions always alternate "increasing, decreasing, increasing, decreasing,..." around critical values. Our previous example demonstrated that this is not always the case. While x = 1 was not technically a critical value, it was an important value we needed to consider. We found that f was decreasing on "both sides of x = 1."

We examine one more example.

Example 3.3.3 Using the First Derivative Test

Find the intervals on which $f(x) = x^{8/3} - 4x^{2/3}$ is increasing and decreasing and identify the relative extrema.

SOLUTION We again start with taking a derivative. Since we know we want to solve f'(x) = 0, we will do some algebra after taking the derivative.

$$f(x) = x^{\frac{8}{3}} - 4x^{\frac{2}{3}}$$
$$f'(x) = \frac{8}{3}x^{\frac{5}{3}} - \frac{8}{3}x^{-\frac{1}{3}}$$
$$= \frac{8}{3}x^{-\frac{1}{3}}\left(x^{\frac{6}{3}} - 1\right)$$

$$= \frac{8}{3}x^{-\frac{1}{3}}(x^2 - 1)$$
$$= \frac{8}{3}x^{-\frac{1}{3}}(x - 1)(x + 1).$$

This derivation of f' shows that f'(x) = 0 when $x = \pm 1$ and f' is not defined when x = 0. Thus we have 3 critical values, breaking the number line into 4 subintervals as shown in Figure 3.3.7.

Interval 1, $(\infty, -1)$: We choose p = -2; we can easily verify that f'(-2) < 0. So f is decreasing on $(-\infty, -1)$.

Interval 2, (-1, 0): Choose p = -1/2. Once more we practice finding the sign of f'(p) without computing an actual value. We have $f'(p) = (8/3)p^{-1/3}(p-1)(p+1)$; find the sign of each of the three terms.

$$f'(p) = \frac{8}{3} \cdot \underbrace{p^{-\frac{1}{3}}}_{<0} \cdot \underbrace{(p-1)}_{<0} \underbrace{(p+1)}_{>0}.$$

We have a "negative \times negative \times positive" giving a positive number; *f* is increasing on (-1, 0).

Interval 3, (0, 1): We do a similar sign analysis as before, using p in (0, 1).

$$f'(p) = rac{8}{3} \cdot \underbrace{p^{-rac{1}{3}}}_{>0} \cdot \underbrace{(p-1)}_{<0} \underbrace{(p+1)}_{>0}.$$

We have 2 positive factors and one negative factor; f'(p) < 0 and so f is decreasing on (0, 1).

Interval 4, $(1, \infty)$: Similar work to that done for the other three intervals shows that f'(x) > 0 on $(1, \infty)$, so f is increasing on this interval.

Figure 3.3.7: Number line for *f* in Example 3.3.3.

We conclude by stating that f is increasing on the intervals (-1, 0) and $(1, \infty)$ and decreasing on the intervals $(-\infty, -1)$ and (0, 1). The sign of f' changes from negative to positive around x = -1 and x = 1, meaning by Theorem 3.3.2 that f(-1) and f(1) are relative minima of f. As the sign of f' changes from positive to negative at x = 0, we have a relative maximum at f(0). Figure 3.3.8




Figure 3.3.8: A graph of f(x) in Example 3.3.3, showing where f is increasing and decreasing.

shows a graph of f, confirming our result. We also graph f', highlighting once more that f is increasing when f' > 0 and is decreasing when f' < 0.

We have seen how the first derivative of a function helps determine when the function is going "up" or "down." In the next section, we will see how the second derivative helps determine how the graph of a function curves.

Exercises 3.3

Terms and Concepts

- In your own words describe what it means for a function to be increasing.
- 2. What does a decreasing function "look like"?
- 3. Sketch a graph of a function on [0, 2] that is increasing, where it is increasing "quickly" near x = 0 and increasing "slowly" near x = 2.
- 4. Give an example of a function describing a situation where it is "bad" to be increasing and "good" to be decreasing.
- 5. T/F: Functions always switch from increasing to decreasing, or decreasing to increasing, at critical points.
- 6. A function f has derivative $f'(x) = (\sin x + 2)e^{x^2+1}$, where f'(x) > 1 for all x. Is f increasing, decreasing, or can we not tell from the given information?

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 14, a function f(x) is given.

- (a) Compute f'(x).
- (b) Graph f and f' on the same axes (using technology is permitted) and verify Theorem 3.3.1.
- 7. f(x) = 2x + 3
- 8. $f(x) = x^2 3x + 5$
- 9. $f(x) = \cos x$
- 10. $f(x) = \tan x$
- 11. $f(x) = x^3 5x^2 + 7x 1$
- 12. $f(x) = 2x^3 x^2 + x 1$
- 13. $f(x) = x^4 5x^2 + 4$

14.
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2 + 1}$$

In Exercises 15 – 24, a function f(x) is given.

- (a) Give the domain of f.
- (b) Find the critical numbers of *f*.
- (c) Create a number line to determine the intervals on which *f* is increasing and decreasing.
- (d) Use the First Derivative Test to determine whether each critical point is a relative maximum, minimum, or neither.

15. $f(x) = x^2 + 2x - 3$ 16. $f(x) = x^3 + 3x^2 + 3$

17.
$$f(x) = 2x^3 + x^2 - x + 3$$

18. $f(x) = x^3 - 3x^2 + 3x - 1$
19. $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2 - 2x + 2}$
20. $f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 4}{x^2 - 1}$
21. $f(x) = \frac{x}{x^2 - 2x - 8}$
22. $f(x) = \frac{(x - 2)^{2/3}}{x}$
23. $f(x) = \sin x \cos x$ on $(-\pi, \pi)$.
24. $f(x) = x^5 - 5x$

Review

- 25. Consider $f(x) = x^2 3x + 5$ on [-1, 2]; find *c* guaranteed by the Mean Value Theorem.
- 26. Consider $f(x) = \sin x$ on $[-\pi/2, \pi/2]$; find *c* guaranteed by the Mean Value Theorem.

3.4 Concavity and the Second Derivative

Our study of "nice" functions continues. The previous section showed how the first derivative of a function, f', can relay important information about f. We now apply the same technique to f' itself, and learn what this tells us about f.

The key to studying f' is to consider its derivative, namely f'', which is the second derivative of f. When f'' > 0, f' is increasing. When f'' < 0, f' is decreasing. f' has relative maxima and minima where f'' = 0 or is undefined.

This section explores how knowing information about f'' gives information about f.

Concavity

We begin with a definition, then explore its meaning.

Definition 3.4.1 Concave Up and Concave Down

Let f be differentiable on an interval I. The graph of f is **concave up** on I if f' is increasing. The graph of f is **concave down** on I if f' is decreasing. If f' is constant then the graph of f is said to have **no concavity**.

The graph of a function f is *concave up* when f' is *increasing*. That means as one looks at a concave up graph from left to right, the slopes of the tangent lines will be increasing. Consider Figure 3.4.1, where a concave up graph is shown along with some tangent lines. Notice how the tangent line on the left is steep, downward, corresponding to a small value of f'. On the right, the tangent line is steep, upward, corresponding to a large value of f'.

If a function is decreasing and concave up, then its rate of decrease is slowing; it is "leveling off." If the function is increasing and concave up, then the *rate* of increase is increasing. The function is increasing at a faster and faster rate.

Now consider a function which is concave down. We essentially repeat the above paragraphs with slight variation.

The graph of a function f is concave down when f' is decreasing. That means as one looks at a concave down graph from left to right, the slopes of the tangent lines will be decreasing. Consider Figure 3.4.2, where a concave down graph is shown along with some tangent lines. Notice how the tangent line on the left is steep, upward, corresponding to a large value of f'. On the right, the tangent line is steep, downward, corresponding to a small value of f'.

If a function is increasing and concave down, then its rate of increase is slowing; it is "leveling off." If the function is decreasing and concave down, then the *rate* of decrease is decreasing. The function is decreasing at a faster and faster rate.

Notes:






Figure 3.4.1: A function *f* with a concave up graph. Notice how the slopes of the tangent lines, when looking from left to right, are increasing.

Note: We often state that "*f* is concave up" instead of "the graph of *f* is concave up" for simplicity.

Note: A mnemonic for remembering what concave up/down means is: "Concave up is like a cup; concave down is like a frown." It is admittedly terrible, but it works.








Figure 3.4.3: Demonstrating the 4 ways that concavity interacts with increasing/decreasing, along with the relationships with the first and second derivatives.

Note: Geometrically speaking, a function is concave up if its graph lies above its tangent lines. A function is concave down if its graph lies below its tangent lines.




Figure 3.4.4: A graph of a function with its inflection points marked. The intervals where concave up/down are also indicated.

Our definition of concave up and concave down is given in terms of when the first derivative is increasing or decreasing. We can apply the results of the previous section and to find intervals on which a graph is concave up or down. That is, we recognize that f' is increasing when f'' > 0, etc.

Theorem 3.4.1 Test for Concavity

Let f be twice differentiable on an interval I. The graph of f is concave up if f'' > 0 on I, and is concave down if f'' < 0 on I.


If knowing where a graph is concave up/down is important, it makes sense that the places where the graph changes from one to the other is also important. This leads us to a definition.

Definition 3.4.2 Point of Inflection

A **point of inflection** is a point on the graph of *f* at which the concavity of *f* changes.

Figure 3.4.4 shows a graph of a function with inflection points labeled.

If the concavity of f changes at a point (c, f(c)), then f' is changing from increasing to decreasing (or, decreasing to increasing) at x = c. That means that the sign of f'' is changing from positive to negative (or, negative to positive) at x = c. This leads to the following theorem.



If (c, f(c)) is a point of inflection on the graph of f, then either f''(c) = 0 or f'' is not defined at c.

We have identified the concepts of concavity and points of inflection. It is now time to practice using these concepts; given a function, we should be able to find its points of inflection and identify intervals on which it is concave up or down. We do so in the following examples.

Example 3.4.1 Finding intervals of concave up/down, inflection points

Let $f(x) = x^3 - 3x + 1$. Find the inflection points of f and the intervals on which it is concave up/down.

SOLUTION We start by finding $f'(x) = 3x^2 - 3$ and f''(x) = 6x. To find the inflection points, we use Theorem 3.4.2 and find where f''(x) = 0 or where f'' is undefined. We find f'' is always defined, and is 0 only when x = 0. So the point (0, 1) is the only possible point of inflection.

This possible inflection point divides the real line into two intervals, $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(0, \infty)$. We use a process similar to the one used in the previous section to determine increasing/decreasing. Pick any c < 0; f''(c) < 0 so f is concave down on $(-\infty, 0)$. Pick any c > 0; f''(c) > 0 so f is concave up on $(0, \infty)$. Since the concavity changes at x = 0, the point (0, 1) is an inflection point.

The number line in Figure 3.4.5 illustrates the process of determining concavity; Figure 3.4.6 shows a graph of f and f'', confirming our results. Notice how f is concave down precisely when f''(x) < 0 and concave up when f''(x) > 0.

Example 3.4.2 Finding intervals of concave up/down, inflection points Let $f(x) = x/(x^2 - 1)$. Find the inflection points of f and the intervals on which it is concave up/down.


SOLUTION We need to find f' and f''. Using the Quotient Rule and simplifying, we find


$$f'(x) = rac{-(1+x^2)}{(x^2-1)^2}$$
 and $f''(x) = rac{2x(x^2+3)}{(x^2-1)^3}$

To find the possible points of inflection, we seek to find where f''(x) = 0 and where f'' is not defined. Solving f''(x) = 0 reduces to solving $2x(x^2 + 3) = 0$; we find x = 0. We find that f'' is not defined when $x = \pm 1$, for then the denominator of f'' is 0. We also note that f itself is not defined at $x = \pm 1$, having a domain of $(-\infty, -1) \cup (-1, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$. Since the domain of f is the union of three intervals, it makes sense that the concavity of f could switch across intervals. We technically cannot say that f has a point of inflection at $x = \pm 1$ as they are not part of the domain, but we must still consider these x-values to be important and will include them in our number line.

The important x-values at which concavity might switch are x = -1, x = 0 and x = 1, which split the number line into four intervals as shown in Figure 3.4.7. We determine the concavity on each. Keep in mind that all we are concerned with is the *sign* of f'' on the interval.

Interval 1, $(-\infty, -1)$: Select a number *c* in this interval with a large magnitude (for instance, c = -100). The denominator of f''(x) will be positive. In the numerator, the $(c^2 + 3)$ will be positive and the 2*c* term will be negative. Thus the numerator is negative and f''(c) is negative. We conclude *f* is concave down on $(-\infty, -1)$.








Figure 3.4.6: A graph of f(x) used in Example 3.4.1.




Figure 3.4.8: A graph of f(x) and f''(x) in Example 3.4.2.




Figure 3.4.9: A graph of S(t) in Example 3.4.3, modeling the sale of a product over time.

Interval 2, (-1, 0): For any number c in this interval, the term 2c in the numerator will be negative, the term $(c^2 + 3)$ in the numerator will be positive, and the term $(c^2 - 1)^3$ in the denominator will be negative. Thus f''(c) > 0 and f is concave up on this interval.

Interval 3, (0, 1): Any number *c* in this interval will be positive and "small." Thus the numerator is positive while the denominator is negative. Thus f''(c) < 0 and *f* is concave down on this interval.

Interval 4, $(1, \infty)$: Choose a large value for *c*. It is evident that f''(c) > 0, so we conclude that *f* is concave up on $(1, \infty)$.




Figure 3.4.7: Number line for *f* in Example 3.4.2.

We conclude that f is concave up on (-1, 0) and $(1, \infty)$ and concave down on $(-\infty, -1)$ and (0, 1). There is only one point of inflection, (0, 0), as f is not defined at $x = \pm 1$. Our work is confirmed by the graph of f in Figure 3.4.8. Notice how f is concave up whenever f'' is positive, and concave down when f'' is negative.

Recall that relative maxima and minima of f are found at critical points of f; that is, they are found when f'(x) = 0 or when f' is undefined. Likewise, the relative maxima and minima of f' are found when f''(x) = 0 or when f'' is undefined; note that these are the inflection points of f.

What does a "relative maximum of f'" mean? The derivative measures the rate of change of f; maximizing f' means finding where f is increasing the most – where f has the steepest tangent line. A similar statement can be made for minimizing f'; it corresponds to where f has the steepest negatively–sloped tangent line.

We utilize this concept in the next example.

Example 3.4.3 Understanding inflection points

The sales of a certain product over a three-year span are modeled by $S(t) = t^4 - 8t^2 + 20$, where t is the time in years, shown in Figure 3.4.9. Over the first two years, sales are decreasing. Find the point at which sales are decreasing at their greatest rate.

SOLUTION We want to maximize the rate of decrease, which is to say, we want to find where S' has a minimum. To do this, we find where S'' is 0. We find $S'(t) = 4t^3 - 16t$ and $S''(t) = 12t^2 - 16$. Setting S''(t) = 0 and solving, we get $t = \sqrt{4/3} \approx 1.16$ (we ignore the negative value of t since it does not lie in

the domain of our function S).

This is both the inflection point and the point of maximum decrease. This is the point at which things first start looking up for the company. After the inflection point, it will still take some time before sales start to increase, but at least sales are not decreasing quite as quickly as they had been.

A graph of S(t) and S'(t) is given in Figure 3.4.10. When S'(t) < 0, sales are decreasing; note how at $t \approx 1.16$, S'(t) is minimized. That is, sales are decreasing at the fastest rate at $t \approx 1.16$. On the interval of (1.16, 2), S is decreasing but concave up, so the decline in sales is "leveling off."

Not every critical point corresponds to a relative extrema; $f(x) = x^3$ has a critical point at (0, 0) but no relative maximum or minimum. Likewise, just because f''(x) = 0 we cannot conclude concavity changes at that point. We were careful before to use terminology "*possible* point of inflection" since we needed to check to see if the concavity changed. The canonical example of f''(x) = 0 without concavity changing is $f(x) = x^4$. At x = 0, f''(x) = 0 but f is always concave up, as shown in Figure 3.4.11.

The Second Derivative Test

The first derivative of a function gave us a test to find if a critical value corresponded to a relative maximum, minimum, or neither. The second derivative gives us another way to test if a critical point is a local maximum or minimum. The following theorem officially states something that is intuitive: if a critical value occurs in a region where a function f is concave up, then that critical value must correspond to a relative minimum of f, etc. See Figure 3.4.12 for a visualization of this.

Theorem 3.4.3The Second Derivative TestLet c be a critical value of f where f''(c) is defined.1. If f''(c) > 0, then f has a local minimum at (c, f(c)).2. If f''(c) < 0, then f has a local maximum at (c, f(c)).

The Second Derivative Test relates to the First Derivative Test in the following way. If f''(c) > 0, then the graph is concave up at a critical point c and f' itself is growing. Since f'(c) = 0 and f' is growing at c, then it must go from negative to positive at c. This means the function goes from decreasing to increasing, indicating a local minimum at c.




Figure 3.4.10: A graph of S(t) in Example 3.4.3 along with S'(t).




Figure 3.4.11: A graph of $f(x) = x^4$. Clearly *f* is always concave up, despite the fact that f''(x) = 0 when x = 0. It this example, the *possible* point of inflection (0, 0) is not a point of inflection.




Figure 3.4.12: Demonstrating the fact that relative maxima occur when the graph is concave down and relative minima occur when the graph is concave up.




Figure 3.4.13: A graph of f(x) in Example 3.4.4. The second derivative is evaluated at each critical point. When the graph is concave up, the critical point represents a local minimum; when the graph is concave down, the critical point represents a local maximum.

Example 3.4.4 Using the Second Derivative Test

Let f(x) = 100/x + x. Find the critical points of f and use the Second Derivative Test to label them as relative maxima or minima.

SOLUTION We find $f'(x) = -100/x^2 + 1$ and $f''(x) = 200/x^3$. We set f'(x) = 0 and solve for x to find the critical values (note that f' is not defined at x = 0, but neither is f so this is not a critical value.) We find the critical values are $x = \pm 10$. Evaluating f''(x) = -0.1 < 0, so there is a local minimum at x = -10. These results are confirmed in Figure 3.4.13.

We have been learning how the first and second derivatives of a function relate information about the graph of that function. We have found intervals of increasing and decreasing, intervals where the graph is concave up and down, along with the locations of relative extrema and inflection points. In Chapter 1 we saw how limits explained asymptotic behavior. In the next section we combine all of this information to produce accurate sketches of functions.

Exercises 3.4

Terms and Concepts

- Sketch a graph of a function f(x) that is concave up on (0, 1) and is concave down on (1, 2).
- 2. Sketch a graph of a function f(x) that is:
 - (a) Increasing, concave up on (0, 1),
 - (b) increasing, concave down on (1, 2),
 - (c) decreasing, concave down on (2,3) and
 - (d) increasing, concave down on (3, 4).
- Is is possible for a function to be increasing and concave down on (0, ∞) with a horizontal asymptote of y = 1? If so, give a sketch of such a function.
- Is is possible for a function to be increasing and concave up on (0,∞) with a horizontal asymptote of y = 1? If so, give a sketch of such a function.

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 14, a function f(x) is given.

- (a) Compute f''(x).
- (b) Graph f and f'' on the same axes (using technology is permitted) and verify Theorem 3.4.1.
- 5. f(x) = -7x + 3
- 6. $f(x) = -4x^2 + 3x 8$
- 7. $f(x) = 4x^2 + 3x 8$
- 8. $f(x) = x^3 3x^2 + x 1$
- 9. $f(x) = -x^3 + x^2 2x + 5$
- 10. $f(x) = \sin x$
- 11. $f(x) = \tan x$

12. $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2 + 1}$

13. $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$

14. $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}$

In Exercises 15 – 28, a function f(x) is given.

- (a) Find the possible points of inflection of f.
- (b) Create a number line to determine the intervals on which *f* is concave up or concave down.
- 15. $f(x) = x^2 2x + 1$ 16. $f(x) = -x^2 - 5x + 7$ 17. $f(x) = x^3 - x + 1$ 18. $f(x) = 2x^3 - 3x^2 + 9x + 5$ 19. $f(x) = \frac{x^4}{4} + \frac{x^3}{3} - 2x + 3$ 20. $f(x) = -3x^4 + 8x^3 + 6x^2 - 24x + 2$ 21. $f(x) = x^4 - 4x^3 + 6x^2 - 4x + 1$ 22. $f(x) = \sec x$ on $(-3\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$ 23. $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2 + 1}$ 24. $f(x) = \frac{x}{x^2 - 1}$ 25. $f(x) = \sin x + \cos x$ on $(-\pi, \pi)$ 26. $f(x) = x^2 e^x$ 27. $f(x) = x^2 \ln x$ 28. $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$ In Exercises 29 - 42, a function f(x) is gpoints of f and use the Second Derivation f(x) is gpoints of f and use the second Derivation f(x) is gpoints of f and use the second Derivation f(x) is gpoints of f and use the Second Derivation f(x) is gpoints of f and use f(x) = 0.

In Exercises 29 – 42, a function f(x) is given. Find the critical points of f and use the Second Derivative Test, when possible, to determine the relative extrema. (Note: these are the same functions as in Exercises 15 – 28.)

29. $f(x) = x^2 - 2x + 1$ 30. $f(x) = -x^2 - 5x + 7$ 31. $f(x) = x^3 - x + 1$ 32. $f(x) = 2x^3 - 3x^2 + 9x + 5$ 33. $f(x) = \frac{x^4}{4} + \frac{x^3}{3} - 2x + 3$ 34. $f(x) = -3x^4 + 8x^3 + 6x^2 - 24x + 2$ 35. $f(x) = x^4 - 4x^3 + 6x^2 - 4x + 1$ 36. $f(x) = \sec x \text{ on } (-3\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$

37.
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2 + 1}$$
47. $f(x) = \frac{x^4}{4} + \frac{x^3}{3} - 2x + 3$ 38. $f(x) = \frac{x}{x^2 - 1}$ 48. $f(x) = -3x^4 + 8x^3 + 6x^2 - 24x + 2$ 39. $f(x) = \sin x + \cos x$ on $(-\pi, \pi)$ 49. $f(x) = x^4 - 4x^3 + 6x^2 - 4x + 1$ 40. $f(x) = x^2 e^x$ 50. $f(x) = \sec x$ on $(-3\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$ 41. $f(x) = x^2 \ln x$ 51. $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2 + 1}$ 42. $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$ 52. $f(x) = \frac{x}{x^2 - 1}$

ues where f'(x) has a relative maximum or minimum. (Note: these are the same functions as in Exercises 15 - 28.)

43.
$$f(x) = x^2 - 2x + 1$$
53. $f(x) = \sin x + \cos x$ on $(-\pi, \pi)$ 44. $f(x) = -x^2 - 5x + 7$ 54. $f(x) = x^2 e^x$ 45. $f(x) = x^3 - x + 1$ 55. $f(x) = x^2 \ln x$ 46. $f(x) = 2x^3 - 3x^2 + 9x + 5$ 56. $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$

3.5 Curve Sketching

We have been learning how we can understand the behavior of a function based on its first and second derivatives. While we have been treating the properties of a function separately (increasing and decreasing, concave up and concave down, etc.), we combine them here to produce an accurate graph of the function without plotting lots of extraneous points.

Why bother? Graphing utilities are very accessible, whether on a computer, a hand-held calculator, or a smartphone. These resources are usually very fast and accurate. We will see that our method is not particularly fast – it will require time (but it is not *hard*). So again: why bother?

We are attempting to understand the behavior of a function f based on the information given by its derivatives. While all of a function's derivatives relay information about it, it turns out that "most" of the behavior we care about is explained by f' and f''. Understanding the interactions between the graph of f and f' and f'' is important. To gain this understanding, one might argue that all that is needed is to look at lots of graphs. This is true to a point, but is somewhat similar to stating that one understands how an engine works after looking only at pictures. It is true that the basic ideas will be conveyed, but "hands—on" access increases understanding.

The following Key Idea summarizes what we have learned so far that is applicable to sketching graphs of functions and gives a framework for putting that information together. It is followed by several examples.

Key Idea 3.5.1 Curve Sketching

To produce an accurate sketch a given function *f*, consider the following steps.

- 1. Find the domain of *f*. Generally, we assume that the domain is the entire real line then find restrictions, such as where a denominator is 0 or where negatives appear under the radical.
- 2. Find the critical values of *f*.
- 3. Find the possible points of inflection of *f*.
- 4. Find the location of any vertical asymptotes of *f* (usually done in conjunction with item 1 above).
- 5. Consider the limits $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x)$ and $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x)$ to determine the end behavior of the function.

(continued)

Key Idea 3.5.1 Curve Sketching – Continued

- 6. Create a number line that includes all critical points, possible points of inflection, and locations of vertical asymptotes. For each interval created, determine whether *f* is increasing or decreasing, concave up or down.
- 7. Evaluate *f* at each critical point and possible point of inflection. Plot these points on a set of axes. Connect these points with curves exhibiting the proper concavity. Sketch asymptotes and *x* and *y* intercepts where applicable.

Example 3.5.1 Curve sketching

Use Key Idea 3.5.1 to sketch $f(x) = 3x^3 - 10x^2 + 7x + 5$.

SOLUTION We follow the steps outlined in the Key Idea.

- The domain of *f* is the entire real line; there are no values *x* for which *f*(*x*) is not defined.
- 2. Find the critical values of *f*. We compute $f'(x) = 9x^2 20x + 7$. Use the Quadratic Formula to find the roots of f':

$$x = \frac{20 \pm \sqrt{(-20)^2 - 4(9)(7)}}{2(9)} = \frac{1}{9} \left(10 \pm \sqrt{37} \right) \Rightarrow x \approx 0.435, 1.787.$$

3. Find the possible points of inflection of *f*. Compute f''(x) = 18x - 20. We have

$$f''(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 10/9 \approx 1.111.$$

- 4. There are no vertical asymptotes.
- 5. We determine the end behavior using limits as x approaches \pm infinity.

$$\lim_{x\to-\infty}f(x)=-\infty\qquad \lim_{x\to\infty}f(x)=\infty.$$

We do not have any horizontal asymptotes.

6. We place the values $x = (10 \pm \sqrt{37})/9$ and x = 10/9 on a number line, as shown in Figure 3.5.1. We mark each subinterval as increasing or

decreasing, concave up or down, using the techniques used in Sections 3.3 and 3.4.

Figure 3.5.1: Number line for *f* in Example 3.5.1.

7. We plot the appropriate points on axes as shown in Figure 3.5.2(a) and connect the points with straight lines. In Figure 3.5.2(b) we adjust these lines to demonstrate the proper concavity. Our curve crosses the *y* axis at y = 5 and crosses the *x* axis near x = -0.424. In Figure 3.5.2(c) we show a graph of *f* drawn with a computer program, verifying the accuracy of our sketch.

Example 3.5.2 Curve sketching Sketch $f(x) = \frac{x^2 - x - 2}{x^2 - x - 6}$.

SOLUTION We again follow the steps outlined in Key Idea 3.5.1.

- 1. In determining the domain, we assume it is all real numbers and look for restrictions. We find that at x = -2 and x = 3, f(x) is not defined. So the domain of f is $D = \{$ real numbers $x \mid x \neq -2, 3 \}$.
- 2. To find the critical values of f, we first find f'(x). Using the Quotient Rule, we find

$$f'(x) = \frac{-8x+4}{(x^2+x-6)^2} = \frac{-8x+4}{(x-3)^2(x+2)^2}.$$

f'(x) = 0 when x = 1/2, and f' is undefined when x = -2, 3. Since f' is undefined only when f is, these are not critical values. The only critical value is x = 1/2.

3. To find the possible points of inflection, we find f''(x), again employing the Quotient Rule:

$$f''(x) = \frac{24x^2 - 24x + 56}{(x-3)^3(x+2)^3}.$$

We find that f''(x) is never 0 (setting the numerator equal to 0 and solving for x, we find the only roots to this quadratic are imaginary) and f'' is




Figure 3.5.2: Sketching *f* in Example 3.5.1.




Figure 3.5.4: Sketching *f* in Example 3.5.2.

undefined when x = -2, 3. Thus concavity will possibly only change at x = -2 and x = 3.

- 4. The vertical asymptotes of *f* are at x = -2 and x = 3, the places where *f* is undefined.
- 5. There is a horizontal asymptote of y = 1, as $\lim_{x \to -\infty} f(x) = 1$ and $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = 1$ 1.
- 6. We place the values x = 1/2, x = -2 and x = 3 on a number line as shown in Figure 3.5.3. We mark in each interval whether f is increasing or decreasing, concave up or down. We see that f has a relative maximum at x = 1/2; concavity changes only at the vertical asymptotes.

•	$f^{\prime} > 0$ incr $f^{\prime\prime} > 0$ c. up	$f^{\prime} > 0$ incr $f^{\prime\prime} < 0$ c. down	ز ا	$f^{\prime} <$ 0 decr $f^{\prime\prime} <$ 0 c. down	$f^{\prime }<$ 0 decr $f^{\prime \prime }>$ 0 c. up
	-	-2	1 2	3	3

Figure 3.5.3: Number line for *f* in Example 3.5.2.

7. In Figure 3.5.4(a), we plot the points from the number line on a set of axes and connect the points with straight lines to get a general idea of what the function looks like (these lines effectively only convey increasing/decreasing information). In Figure 3.5.4(b), we adjust the graph with the appropriate concavity. We also show *f* crossing the *x* axis at x = -1and x = 2.

Figure 3.5.4(c) shows a computer generated graph of f, which verifies the accuracy of our sketch.

Example 3.5.3 **Curve sketching** Sketch $f(x) = \frac{5(x-2)(x+1)}{x^2+2x+4}$.

We again follow Key Idea 3.5.1. SOLUTION

- 1. We assume that the domain of *f* is all real numbers and consider restrictions. The only restrictions come when the denominator is 0, but this never occurs. Therefore the domain of *f* is all real numbers, \mathbb{R} .
- 2. We find the critical values of f by setting f'(x) = 0 and solving for x. We find

$$f'(x) = rac{15x(x+4)}{(x^2+2x+4)^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad f'(x) = 0 ext{ when } x = -4, 0.$$

3. We find the possible points of inflection by solving f''(x) = 0 for x. We find

$$f''(x) = -\frac{30x^3 + 180x^2 - 240}{(x^2 + 2x + 4)^3}.$$

The cubic in the numerator does not factor very "nicely." We instead approximate the roots at x = -5.759, x = -1.305 and x = 1.064.

- 4. There are no vertical asymptotes.
- 5. We have a horizontal asymptote of y = 5, as $\lim_{x \to -\infty} f(x) = \lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = 5$.
- 6. We place the critical points and possible points on a number line as shown in Figure 3.5.5 and mark each interval as increasing/decreasing, concave up/down appropriately.





Figure 3.5.5: Number line for *f* in Example 3.5.3.


7. In Figure 3.5.6(a) we plot the significant points from the number line as well as the two roots of f, x = -1 and x = 2, and connect the points with straight lines to get a general impression about the graph. In Figure 3.5.6(b), we add concavity. Figure 3.5.6(c) shows a computer generated graph of f, affirming our results.

In each of our examples, we found a few, significant points on the graph of f that corresponded to changes in increasing/decreasing or concavity. We connected these points with straight lines, then adjusted for concavity, and finished by showing a very accurate, computer generated graph.

Why are computer graphics so good? It is not because computers are "smarter" than we are. Rather, it is largely because computers are much faster at computing than we are. In general, computers graph functions much like most students do when first learning to draw graphs: they plot equally spaced points, then connect the dots using lines. By using lots of points, the connecting lines are short and the graph looks smooth.

This does a fine job of graphing in most cases (in fact, this is the method used for many graphs in this text). However, in regions where the graph is very "curvy," this can generate noticeable sharp edges on the graph unless a large number of points are used. High quality computer algebra systems, such as





Mathematica, use special algorithms to plot lots of points only where the graph is "curvy."

In Figure 3.5.7, a graph of $y = \sin x$ is given, generated by *Mathematica*. The small points represent each of the places *Mathematica* sampled the function. Notice how at the "bends" of sin x, lots of points are used; where sin x is relatively straight, fewer points are used. (Many points are also used at the endpoints to ensure the "end behavior" is accurate.) In fact, in the interval of length 0.2 centered around $\pi/2$, *Mathematica* plots 72 of the 431 points plotted; that is, it plots about 17% of its points in a subinterval that accounts for about 3% of the total interval length.




Figure 3.5.7: A graph of $y = \sin x$ generated by *Mathematica*.

How does *Mathematica* know where the graph is "curvy"? Calculus. When we study *curvature* in a later chapter, we will see how the first and second derivatives of a function work together to provide a measurement of "curviness." *Mathematica* employs algorithms to determine regions of "high curvature" and plots extra points there.

Again, the goal of this section is not "How to graph a function when there is no computer to help." Rather, the goal is "Understand that the shape of the graph of a function is largely determined by understanding the behavior of the function at a few key places." In Example 3.5.3, we were able to accurately sketch a complicated graph using only 5 points and knowledge of asymptotes!

There are many applications of our understanding of derivatives beyond curve sketching. The next chapter explores some of these applications, demonstrating just a few kinds of problems that can be solved with a basic knowledge of differentiation.

Exercises 3.5

Terms and Concepts

- Why is sketching curves by hand beneficial even though technology is ubiquitous?
- 2. What does "ubiquitous" mean?
- T/F: When sketching graphs of functions, it is useful to find the critical points.
- 4. T/F: When sketching graphs of functions, it is useful to find the possible points of inflection.
- 5. T/F: When sketching graphs of functions, it is useful to find the horizontal and vertical asymptotes.
- 6. T/F: When sketching graphs of functions, one need not plot any points at all.

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 12, practice using Key Idea 3.5.1 by applying the principles to the given functions with familiar graphs.

- 7. f(x) = 2x + 4
- 8. $f(x) = -x^2 + 1$
- 9. $f(x) = \sin x$
- 10. $f(x) = e^{x}$
- 11. $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$
- 12. $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}$
- In Exercises 13 26, sketch a graph of the given function using Key Idea 3.5.1. Show all work; check your answer with technology.
- 13. $f(x) = x^3 2x^2 + 4x + 1$ 14. $f(x) = -x^3 + 5x^2 - 3x + 2$

15. $f(x) = x^3 + 3x^2 + 3x + 1$ 16. $f(x) = x^3 - x^2 - x + 1$ 17. $f(x) = (x - 2) \ln(x - 2)$ 18. $f(x) = (x - 2)^2 \ln(x - 2)$ 19. $f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 4}{x^2}$ 20. $f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 4x + 3}{x^2 - 6x + 8}$ 21. $f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 2x + 1}{x^2 - 6x + 8}$ 22. $f(x) = x\sqrt{x + 1}$ 23. $f(x) = x^2e^x$ 24. $f(x) = \sin x \cos x \text{ on } [-\pi, \pi]$ 25. $f(x) = (x - 3)^{2/3} + 2$ 26. $f(x) = \frac{(x - 1)^{2/3}}{x}$

In Exercises 27 – 30, a function with the parameters a and b are given. Describe the critical points and possible points of inflection of f in terms of a and b.

- 27. $f(x) = \frac{a}{x^2 + b^2}$ 28. $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + 1$ 29. $f(x) = \sin(ax + b)$
- 30. f(x) = (x a)(x b)

unit circle.

31. Given $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, use implicit differentiation to find $\frac{dy}{dx}$ and $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$. Use this information to justify the sketch of the

4: APPLICATIONS OF THE DERIVATIVE

In Chapter 3, we learned how the first and second derivatives of a function influence its graph. In this chapter we explore other applications of the derivative.

4.1 Newton's Method

Solving equations is one of the most important things we do in mathematics, yet we are surprisingly limited in what we can solve analytically. For instance, equations as simple as $x^5 + x + 1 = 0$ or $\cos x = x$ cannot be solved by algebraic methods in terms of familiar functions. Fortunately, there are methods that can give us *approximate* solutions to equations like these. These methods can usually give an approximation correct to as many decimal places as we like. In Section 1.5 we learned about the Bisection Method. This section focuses on another technique (which generally works faster), called Newton's Method.

Newton's Method is built around tangent lines. The main idea is that if x is sufficiently close to a root of f(x), then the tangent line to the graph at (x, f(x)) will cross the x-axis at a point closer to the root than x.

We start Newton's Method with an initial guess about roughly where the root is. Call this x_0 . (See Figure 4.1.1(a).) Draw the tangent line to the graph at $(x_0, f(x_0))$ and see where it meets the *x*-axis. Call this point x_1 . Then repeat the process – draw the tangent line to the graph at $(x_1, f(x_1))$ and see where it meets the *x*-axis. (See Figure 4.1.1(b).) Call this point x_2 . Repeat the process again to get x_3 , x_4 , etc. This sequence of points will often converge rather quickly to a root of f.

We can use this *geometric* process to create an *algebraic* process. Let's look at how we found x_1 . We started with the tangent line to the graph at $(x_0, f(x_0))$. The slope of this tangent line is $f'(x_0)$ and the equation of the line is

$$y = f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + f(x_0).$$

This line crosses the *x*-axis when y = 0, and the *x*-value where it crosses is what we called x_1 . So let y = 0 and replace *x* with x_1 , giving the equation:

$$0 = f'(x_0)(x_1 - x_0) + f(x_0).$$

Now solve for x_1 :

$$x_1 = x_0 - \frac{f(x_0)}{f'(x_0)}.$$




Figure 4.1.1: Demonstrating the geometric concept behind Newton's Method. Note how x_3 is very close to a solution to f(x) = 0.

Since we repeat the same geometric process to find x_2 from x_1 , we have

$$x_2 = x_1 - \frac{f(x_1)}{f'(x_1)}.$$

In general, given an approximation x_n , we can find the next approximation, x_{n+1} as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}.$$

We summarize this process as follows.

Key Idea 4.1.1 Newton's Method

Let f be a differentiable function on an interval I with a root in I. To approximate the value of the root, accurate to d decimal places:

- 1. Choose a value x_0 as an initial approximation of the root. (This is often done by looking at a graph of f.)
- 2. Create successive approximations iteratively; given an approximation x_n , compute the next approximation x_{n+1} as

$$_{+1}=x_n-\frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}.$$

3. Stop the iterations when successive approximations do not differ in the first *d* places after the decimal point.

Let's practice Newton's Method with a concrete example.

X_n.

Example 4.1.1 Using Newton's Method

Approximate the real root of $x^3 - x^2 - 1 = 0$, accurate to the first 3 places after the decimal, using Newton's Method and an initial approximation of $x_0 = 1$.

Solution To begin, we compute $f'(x) = 3x^2 - 2x$. Then we apply the

Notes:

Note: Newton's Method is not infallible. The sequence of approximate values may not converge, or it may converge so slowly that one is "tricked" into thinking a certain approximation is better than it actually is. These issues will be discussed at the end of the section.

Newton's Method algorithm, outlined in Key Idea 4.1.1.

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 1 - \frac{f(1)}{f'(1)} = 1 - \frac{1^3 - 1^2 - 1}{3 \cdot 1^2 - 2 \cdot 1} = 2, \\ x_2 &= 2 - \frac{f(2)}{f'(2)} = 2 - \frac{2^3 - 2^2 - 1}{3 \cdot 2^2 - 2 \cdot 2} = 1.625, \\ x_3 &= 1.625 - \frac{f(1.625)}{f'(1.625)} = 1.625 - \frac{1.625^3 - 1.625^2 - 1}{3 \cdot 1.625^2 - 2 \cdot 1.625} \approx 1.48579. \\ x_4 &= 1.48579 - \frac{f(1.48579)}{f'(1.48579)} \approx 1.46596 \\ x_5 &= 1.46596 - \frac{f(1.46596)}{f'(1.46596)} \approx 1.46557 \end{aligned}$$

We performed 5 iterations of Newton's Method to find a root accurate to the first 3 places after the decimal; our final approximation is 1.465. The exact value of the root, to six decimal places, is 1.465571; It turns out that our x_5 is accurate to more than just 3 decimal places.

A graph of f(x) is given in Figure 4.1.2. We can see from the graph that our initial approximation of $x_0 = 1$ was not particularly accurate; a closer guess would have been $x_0 = 1.5$. Our choice was based on ease of initial calculation, and shows that Newton's Method can be robust enough that we do not have to make a very accurate initial approximation.

We can automate this process on a calculator that has an Ans key that returns the result of the previous calculation. Start by pressing 1 and then Enter. (We have just entered our initial guess, $x_0 = 1$.) Now compute

$$\mathtt{Ans} - rac{f(\mathtt{Ans})}{f'(\mathtt{Ans})}$$

by entering the following and repeatedly press the Enter key:

Each time we press the Enter key, we are finding the successive approximations, x_1 , x_2 , ..., and each one is getting closer to the root. In fact, once we get past around x_7 or so, the approximations don't appear to be changing. They actually are changing, but the change is far enough to the right of the decimal point that it doesn't show up on the calculator's display. When this happens, we can be pretty confident that we have found an accurate approximation.

Using a calculator in this manner makes the calculations simple; many iterations can be computed very quickly.




Figure 4.1.2: A graph of $f(x) = x^3 - x^2 - 1$ in Example 4.1.1.




Figure 4.1.3: A graph of $f(x) = \cos x - x$ used to find an initial approximation of its root.

Example 4.1.2 Using Newton's Method to find where functions intersect Use Newton's Method to approximate a solution to $\cos x = x$, accurate to 5 places after the decimal.

SOLUTION Newton's Method provides a method of solving f(x) = 0; it is not (directly) a method for solving equations like f(x) = g(x). However, this is not a problem; we can rewrite the latter equation as f(x) - g(x) = 0 and then use Newton's Method.

So we rewrite $\cos x = x \operatorname{as} \cos x - x = 0$. Written this way, we are finding a root of $f(x) = \cos x - x$. We compute $f'(x) = -\sin x - 1$. Next we need a starting value, x_0 . Consider Figure 4.1.3, where $f(x) = \cos x - x$ is graphed. It seems that $x_0 = 0.75$ is pretty close to the root, so we will use that as our x_0 . (The figure also shows the graphs of $y = \cos x$ and y = x, drawn with dashed lines. Note how they intersect at the same x value as when f(x) = 0.)

We now compute x_1 , x_2 , etc. The formula for x_1 is

$$x_1 = 0.75 - \frac{\cos(0.75) - 0.75}{-\sin(0.75) - 1} \approx 0.7391111388.$$

Apply Newton's Method again to find x_2 :

$$x_2 = 0.7391111388 - \frac{\cos(0.7391111388) - 0.7391111388}{-\sin(0.7391111388) - 1} \approx 0.7390851334.$$

We can continue this way, but it is really best to automate this process. On a calculator with an Ans key, we would start by pressing 0.75, then Enter, inputting our initial approximation. We then enter:

Repeatedly pressing the Enter key gives successive approximations. We quickly find:

$$x_3 = 0.7390851332$$

 $x_4 = 0.7390851332.$

Our approximations x_2 and x_3 did not differ for at least the first 5 places after the decimal, so we could have stopped. However, using our calculator in the manner described is easy, so finding x_4 was not hard. It is interesting to see how we found an approximation, accurate to as many decimal places as our calculator displays, in just 4 iterations.

If you know how to program, you can translate the following pseudocode into your favorite language to perform the computation in this problem.

```
x = .75
while true
    oldx = x
    x = x - (cos(x)-x)/(-sin(x)-1)
    print x
    if abs(x-oldx) < .000000001
        break</pre>
```

This code calculates x_1 , x_2 , etc., storing each result in the variable x. The previous approximation is stored in the variable oldx. We continue looping until the difference between two successive approximations, abs(x-oldx), is less than some small tolerance, in this case, .0000000001.

Convergence of Newton's Method

What should one use for the initial guess, x_0 ? Generally, the closer to the actual root the initial guess is, the better. However, some initial guesses should be avoided. For instance, consider Example 4.1.1 where we sought the root to $f(x) = x^3 - x^2 - 1$. Choosing $x_0 = 0$ would have been a particularly poor choice. Consider Figure 4.1.4, where f(x) is graphed along with its tangent line at x = 0. Since f'(0) = 0, the tangent line is horizontal and does not intersect the *x*-axis. Graphically, we see that Newton's Method fails.

We can also see analytically that it fails. Since

$$x_1 = 0 - \frac{f(0)}{f'(0)}$$

and f'(0) = 0, we see that x_1 is not well defined.

This problem can also occur if, for instance, it turns out that $f'(x_5) = 0$. Adjusting the initial approximation x_0 by a very small amount will likely fix the problem.

It is also possible for Newton's Method to not converge while each successive approximation is well defined. Consider $f(x) = x^{1/3}$, as shown in Figure 4.1.5. It is clear that the root is x = 0, but let's approximate this with $x_0 = 0.1$. Figure 4.1.5(a) shows graphically the calculation of x_1 ; notice how it is farther from the root than x_0 . Figures 4.1.5(b) and (c) show the calculation of x_2 and x_3 , which are even farther away; our successive approximations are getting worse. (It turns out that in this particular example, each successive approximation is twice as far from the true answer as the previous approximation.)

There is no "fix" to this problem; Newton's Method simply will not work and another method must be used.

While Newton's Method does not always work, it does work "most of the time," and it is generally very fast. Once the approximations get close to the root,




Figure 4.1.4: A graph of $f(x) = x^3 - x^2 - 1$, showing why an initial approximation of $x_0 = 0$ with Newton's Method fails.




Figure 4.1.5: Newton's Method fails to find a root of $f(x) = x^{1/3}$, regardless of the choice of x_0 .

Newton's Method can as much as double the number of correct decimal places with each successive approximation. A course in Numerical Analysis will introduce the reader to more iterative root finding methods, as well as give greater detail about the strengths and weaknesses of Newton's Method.

Exercises 4.1

Terms and Concepts

- 1. T/F: Given a function f(x), Newton's Method produces an exact solution to f(x) = 0.
- 2. T/F: In order to get a solution to f(x) = 0 accurate to d places after the decimal, at least d + 1 iterations of Newtons' Method must be used.

Problems

In Exercises 3 - 8, the roots of f(x) are known or are easily found. Use 5 iterations of Newton's Method with the given initial approximation to approximate the root. Compare it to the known value of the root.

- 3. $f(x) = \cos x, x_0 = 1.5$
- 4. $f(x) = \sin x, x_0 = 1$
- 5. $f(x) = x^2 + x 2, x_0 = 0$
- 6. $f(x) = x^2 2, x_0 = 1.5$
- 7. $f(x) = \ln x, x_0 = 2$
- 8. $f(x) = x^3 x^2 + x 1, x_0 = 1$

In Exercises 9 – 12, use Newton's Method to approximate all roots of the given functions accurate to 3 places after the dec-

imal. If an interval is given, find only the roots that lie in that interval. Use technology to obtain good initial approximations.

9. $f(x) = x^3 + 5x^2 - x - 1$ 10. $f(x) = x^4 + 2x^3 - 7x^2 - x + 5$ 11. $f(x) = x^{17} - 2x^{13} - 10x^8 + 10$ on (-2, 2)12. $f(x) = x^2 \cos x + (x - 1) \sin x$ on (-3, 3)

In Exercises 13 - 16, use Newton's Method to approximate when the given functions are equal, accurate to 3 places after the decimal. Use technology to obtain good initial approximations.

- 13. $f(x) = x^2$, $g(x) = \cos x$
- 14. $f(x) = x^2 1$, $g(x) = \sin x$

15.
$$f(x) = e^{x^2}, g(x) = \cos x$$

- 16. f(x) = x, $g(x) = \tan x$ on [-6, 6]
- 17. Why does Newton's Method fail in finding a root of $f(x) = x^3 3x^2 + x + 3$ when $x_0 = 1$?
- 18. Why does Newton's Method fail in finding a root of $f(x) = -17x^4 + 130x^3 301x^2 + 156x + 156$ when $x_0 = 1$?

Note: This section relies heavily on implicit differentiation, so referring back to Section 2.6 may help.

4.2 Related Rates

When two quantities are related by an equation, knowing the value of one quantity can determine the value of the other. For instance, the circumference and radius of a circle are related by $C = 2\pi r$; knowing that $C = 6\pi$ in determines the radius must be 3in.

The topic of **related rates** takes this one step further: knowing the *rate* at which one quantity is changing can determine the *rate* at which another changes.

We demonstrate the concepts of related rates through examples.

Example 4.2.1 Understanding related rates

The radius of a circle is growing at a rate of 5in/hr. At what rate is the circumference growing?

SOLUTION The circumference and radius of a circle are related by $C = 2\pi r$. We are given information about how the length of r changes with respect to time; that is, we are told $\frac{dr}{dt} = 5$ in/hr. We want to know how the length of C changes with respect to time, i.e., we want to know $\frac{dC}{dt}$.

Implicitly differentiate both sides of $C = 2\pi r$ with respect to *t*:

$$C = 2\pi r$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}(C) = \frac{d}{dt}(2\pi r)$$
$$\frac{dC}{dt} = 2\pi \frac{dr}{dt}.$$

As we know $\frac{dr}{dt} = 5$ in/hr, we know

$$rac{dC}{dt}=2\pi 5=10\pipprox$$
 31.4in/hr.

Consider another, similar example.

Example 4.2.2 Finding related rates

Water streams out of a faucet at a rate of $2in^3$ /s onto a flat surface at a constant rate, forming a circular puddle that is 1/8in deep.

- 1. At what rate is the area of the puddle growing?
- 2. At what rate is the radius of the circle growing?

SOLUTION

1. We can answer this question two ways: using "common sense" or related rates. The common sense method states that the volume of the puddle is growing by 2in³/s, where

volume of puddle = area of circle \times depth.

Since the depth is constant at 1/8in, the area must be growing by 16in²/s.

This approach reveals the underlying related–rates principle. Let *V* and *A* represent the Volume and Area of the puddle. We know $V = A \times \frac{1}{8}$. Take the derivative of both sides with respect to *t*, employing implicit differentiation.

$$V = \frac{1}{8}A$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}(V) = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{8}A\right)$$
$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{1}{8}\frac{dA}{dt}$$

As $\frac{dV}{dt} = 2$, we know $2 = \frac{1}{8} \frac{dA}{dt}$, and hence $\frac{dA}{dt} = 16$. Thus the area is growing by $16in^2/s$.

2. To start, we need an equation that relates what we know to the radius. We just learned something about the surface area of the circular puddle, and we know $A = \pi r^2$. We should be able to learn about the rate at which the radius is growing with this information.

Implicitly derive both sides of $A = \pi r^2$ with respect to *t*:

$$A = \pi r^{2}$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}(A) = \frac{d}{dt}(\pi r^{2})$$
$$\frac{dA}{dt} = 2\pi r \frac{dr}{dt}$$

Our work above told us that $\frac{dA}{dt} = 16in^2/s$. Solving for $\frac{dr}{dt}$, we have

$$\frac{dr}{dt}=\frac{8}{\pi r}.$$

Note how our answer is not a number, but rather a function of r. In other words, the rate at which the radius is growing depends on how big the

circle already is. If the circle is very large, adding 2in³ of water will not make the circle much bigger at all. If the circle is dime–sized, adding the same amount of water will make a radical change in the radius of the circle.

In some ways, our problem was (intentionally) ill–posed. We need to specify a current radius in order to know a rate of change. When the puddle has a radius of 10in, the radius is growing at a rate of

$$rac{dr}{dt}=rac{8}{10\pi}=rac{4}{5\pi}pprox$$
 0.25in/s.

Example 4.2.3 Studying related rates

Radar guns measure the rate of distance change between the gun and the object it is measuring. For instance, a reading of "55mph" means the object is moving away from the gun at a rate of 55 miles per hour, whereas a measurement of "-25mph" would mean that the object is approaching the gun at a rate of 25 miles per hour.

If the radar gun is moving (say, attached to a police car) then radar readouts are only immediately understandable if the gun and the object are moving along the same line. If a police officer is traveling 60mph and gets a readout of 15mph, he knows that the car ahead of him is moving away at a rate of 15 miles an hour, meaning the car is traveling 75mph. (This straight–line principle is one reason officers park on the side of the highway and try to shoot straight back down the road. It gives the most accurate reading.)

Suppose an officer is driving due north at 30 mph and sees a car moving due east, as shown in Figure 4.2.1. Using his radar gun, he measures a reading of 20mph. By using landmarks, he believes both he and the other car are about 1/2 mile from the intersection of their two roads.

If the speed limit on the other road is 55mph, is the other driver speeding?

SOLUTION Using the diagram in Figure 4.2.1, let's label what we know about the situation. As both the police officer and other driver are 1/2 mile from the intersection, we have A = 1/2, B = 1/2, and through the Pythagorean Theorem, $C = 1/\sqrt{2} \approx 0.707$.

We know the police officer is traveling at 30mph; that is, $\frac{dA}{dt} = -30$. The reason this rate of change is negative is that A is getting smaller; the distance between the officer and the intersection is shrinking. The radar measurement is $\frac{dC}{dt} = 20$. We want to find $\frac{dB}{dt}$.

We need an equation that relates B to A and/or C. The Pythagorean Theorem




Figure 4.2.1: A sketch of a police car (at bottom) attempting to measure the speed of a car (at right) in Example 4.2.3.

is a good choice: $A^2 + B^2 = C^2$. Differentiate both sides with respect to *t*:

$$A^{2} + B^{2} = C^{2}$$
$$\frac{d}{dt} (A^{2} + B^{2}) = \frac{d}{dt} (C^{2})$$
$$2A \frac{dA}{dt} + 2B \frac{dB}{dt} = 2C \frac{dC}{dt}$$

We have values for everything except $\frac{dB}{dt}$. Solving for this we have

$$\frac{dB}{dt} = \frac{C\frac{dC}{dt} - A\frac{dA}{dt}}{B} \approx 58.28$$
mph.

The other driver appears to be speeding slightly.

Example 4.2.4 Studying related rates

A camera is placed on a tripod 10ft from the side of a road. The camera is to turn to track a car that is to drive by at 100mph for a promotional video. The video's planners want to know what kind of motor the tripod should be equipped with in order to properly track the car as it passes by. Figure 4.2.2 shows the proposed setup.

How fast must the camera be able to turn to track the car?

SOLUTION We seek information about how fast the camera is to *turn*; therefore, we need an equation that will relate an angle θ to the position of the camera and the speed and position of the car.

Figure 4.2.2 suggests we use a trigonometric equation. Letting *x* represent the distance the car is from the point on the road directly in front of the camera, we have

$$\tan \theta = \frac{x}{10}.$$
 (4.1)

As the car is moving at 100mph, we have $\frac{dx}{dt} = -100$ mph (as in the last example, since x is getting smaller as the car travels, $\frac{dx}{dt}$ is negative). We need to convert the measurements so they use the same units; rewrite -100mph in terms of ft/s:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -100\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{hr}} = -100\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{hr}} \cdot 5280\frac{\mathrm{ft}}{\mathrm{m}} \cdot \frac{1}{3600}\frac{\mathrm{hr}}{\mathrm{s}} = -146.\overline{\mathrm{6}}\mathrm{ft/s}.$$

Now take the derivative of both sides of Equation (4.1) using implicit differenti-

Note: Example 4.2.3 is both interesting and impractical. It highlights the difficulty in using radar in a non–linear fashion, and explains why "in real life" the police officer would follow the other driver to determine their speed, and not pull out pencil and paper.

The principles here are important, though. Many automated vehicles make judgments about other moving objects based on perceived distances, radar–like measurements and the concepts of related rates.




Figure 4.2.2: Tracking a speeding car (at left) with a rotating camera.

ation:

$$\tan \theta = \frac{x}{10}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\tan \theta) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{x}{10}\right)$$

$$\sec^2 \theta \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \frac{1}{10} \frac{dx}{dt}$$

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{10} \frac{dx}{dt}$$
(4.2)

We want to know the fastest the camera has to turn. Common sense tells us this is when the car is directly in front of the camera (i.e., when $\theta = 0$). Our mathematics bears this out. In Equation (4.2) we see this is when $\cos^2 \theta$ is largest; this is when $\cos \theta = 1$, or when $\theta = 0$.

With $\frac{dx}{dt} \approx -146.67$ ft/s, we have

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = -\frac{1 \text{rad}}{10 \text{ft}} 146.67 \text{ft/s} = -14.667 \text{radians/s}$$

We find that $\frac{d\theta}{dt}$ is negative; this matches our diagram in Figure 4.2.2 for θ is getting smaller as the car approaches the camera.

What is the practical meaning of -14.667 radians/s? Recall that 1 circular revolution goes through 2π radians, thus 14.667 rad/s means $14.667/(2\pi) \approx 2.33$ revolutions per second. The negative sign indicates the camera is rotating in a clockwise fashion.

We introduced the derivative as a function that gives the slopes of tangent lines of functions. This chapter emphasizes using the derivative in other ways. Newton's Method uses the derivative to approximate roots of functions; this section stresses the "rate of change" aspect of the derivative to find a relationship between the rates of change of two related quantities.

In the next section we use Extreme Value concepts to optimize quantities.


Exercises 4.2

Terms and Concepts

- T/F: Implicit differentiation is often used when solving "related rates" type problems.
- 2. T/F: A study of related rates is part of the standard police officer training.

Problems

- Water flows onto a flat surface at a rate of 5cm³/s forming a circular puddle 10mm deep. How fast is the radius growing when the radius is:
 - (a) 1 cm?
 - (b) 10 cm?
 - (c) 100 cm?
- 4. A circular balloon is inflated with air flowing at a rate of 10cm³/s. How fast is the radius of the balloon increasing when the radius is:
 - (a) 1 cm?
 - (b) 10 cm?
 - (c) 100 cm?
- 5. Consider the traffic situation introduced in Example 4.2.3. How fast is the "other car" traveling if the officer and the other car are each 1/2 mile from the intersection, the other car is traveling *due west*, the officer is traveling north at 50mph, and the radar reading is -80mph?
- Consider the traffic situation introduced in Example 4.2.3. Calculate how fast the "other car" is traveling in each of the following situations.
 - (a) The officer is traveling due north at 50mph and is 1/2 mile from the intersection, while the other car is 1 mile from the intersection traveling west and the radar reading is -80mph?
 - (b) The officer is traveling due north at 50mph and is 1 mile from the intersection, while the other car is 1/2 mile from the intersection traveling west and the radar reading is -80mph?
- An F-22 aircraft is flying at 500mph with an elevation of 10,000ft on a straight–line path that will take it directly over an anti–aircraft gun.



How fast must the gun be able to turn to accurately track the aircraft when the plane is:

- (a) 1 mile away?
- (b) 1/5 mile away?
- (c) Directly overhead?
- An F-22 aircraft is flying at 500mph with an elevation of 100ft on a straight–line path that will take it directly over an anti–aircraft gun as in Exercise 7 (note the lower elevation here).


How fast must the gun be able to turn to accurately track the aircraft when the plane is:

- (a) 1000 feet away?
- (b) 100 feet away?
- (c) Directly overhead?
- 9. A 24ft. ladder is leaning against a house while the base is pulled away at a constant rate of 1ft/s.



At what rate is the top of the ladder sliding down the side of the house when the base is:

- (a) 1 foot from the house?
- (b) 10 feet from the house?
- (c) 23 feet from the house?
- (d) 24 feet from the house?
- A boat is being pulled into a dock at a constant rate of 30ft/min by a winch located 10ft above the deck of the boat.




At what rate is the boat approaching the dock when the boat is:

- (a) 50 feet out?
- (b) 15 feet out?
- (c) 1 foot from the dock?
- (d) What happens when the length of rope pulling in the boat is less than 10 feet long?
- 11. An inverted cylindrical cone, 20ft deep and 10ft across at the top, is being filled with water at a rate of 10ft³/min. At what rate is the water rising in the tank when the depth of the water is:
 - (a) 1 foot?
 - (b) 10 feet?
 - (c) 19 feet?

How long will the tank take to fill when starting at empty?

12. A rope, attached to a weight, goes up through a pulley at the ceiling and back down to a worker. The man holds the rope at the same height as the connection point between rope and weight.



Suppose the man stands directly next to the weight (i.e., a total rope length of 60 ft) and begins to walk away at a rate of 2ft/s. How fast is the weight rising when the man has walked:

- (a) 10 feet?
- (b) 40 feet?

How far must the man walk to raise the weight all the way to the pulley?

- 13. Consider the situation described in Exercise 12. Suppose the man starts 40ft from the weight and begins to walk away at a rate of 2ft/s.
 - (a) How long is the rope?

- (b) How fast is the weight rising after the man has walked 10 feet?
- (c) How fast is the weight rising after the man has walked 30 feet?
- (d) How far must the man walk to raise the weight all the way to the pulley?
- 14. A hot air balloon lifts off from ground rising vertically. From 100 feet away, a 5' woman tracks the path of the balloon. When her sightline with the balloon makes a 45° angle with the horizontal, she notes the angle is increasing at about 5°/min.
 - (a) What is the elevation of the balloon?
 - (b) How fast is it rising?
- 15. A company that produces landscaping materials is dumping sand into a conical pile. The sand is being poured at a rate of 5ft³/sec; the physical properties of the sand, in conjunction with gravity, ensure that the cone's height is roughly 2/3 the length of the diameter of the circular base.

How fast is the cone rising when it has a height of 30 feet?

4.3 Optimization

In Section 3.1 we learned about extreme values – the largest and smallest values a function attains on an interval. We motivated our interest in such values by discussing how it made sense to want to know the highest/lowest values of a stock, or the fastest/slowest an object was moving. In this section we apply the concepts of extreme values to solve "word problems," i.e., problems stated in terms of situations that require us to create the appropriate mathematical framework in which to solve the problem.

We start with a classic example which is followed by a discussion of the topic of optimization.

Example 4.3.1 Optimization: perimeter and area

A man has 100 feet of fencing, a large yard, and a small dog. He wants to create a rectangular enclosure for his dog with the fencing that provides the maximal area. What dimensions provide the maximal area?

SOLUTION One can likely guess the correct answer – that is great. We will proceed to show how calculus can provide this answer in a context that proves this answer is correct.

It helps to make a sketch of the situation. Our enclosure is sketched twice in Figure 4.3.1, either with green grass and nice fence boards or as a simple rectangle. Either way, drawing a rectangle forces us to realize that we need to know the dimensions of this rectangle so we can create an area function – after all, we are trying to maximize the area.

We let x and y denote the lengths of the sides of the rectangle. Clearly,

Area =
$$xy$$
.

We do not yet know how to handle functions with 2 variables; we need to reduce this down to a single variable. We know more about the situation: the man has 100 feet of fencing. By knowing the perimeter of the rectangle must be 100, we can create another equation:

Perimeter =
$$100 = 2x + 2y$$
.

We now have 2 equations and 2 unknowns. In the latter equation, we solve for *y*:

$$y = 50 - x$$
.

Now substitute this expression for *y* in the area equation:

Area =
$$A(x) = x(50 - x)$$
.

Note we now have an equation of one variable; we can truly call the Area a function of *x*.




Figure 4.3.1: A sketch of the enclosure in Example 4.3.1.

This function only makes sense when $0 \le x \le 50$, otherwise we get negative values of area. So we find the extreme values of A(x) on the interval [0, 50].

To find the critical points, we take the derivative of A(x) and set it equal to 0, then solve for x.

$$A(x) = x(50 - x)$$
$$= 50x - x^{2}$$
$$A'(x) = 50 - 2x$$

We solve 50 - 2x = 0 to find x = 25; this is the only critical point. We evaluate A(x) at the endpoints of our interval and at this critical point to find the extreme values; in this case, all we care about is the maximum.

Clearly A(0) = 0 and A(50) = 0, whereas A(25) = 625ft². This is the maximum. Since we earlier found y = 50 - x, we find that y is also 25. Thus the dimensions of the rectangular enclosure with perimeter of 100 ft. with maximum area is a square, with sides of length 25 ft.

This example is very simplistic and a bit contrived. (After all, most people create a design then buy fencing to meet their needs, and not buy fencing and plan later.) But it models well the necessary process: create equations that describe a situation, reduce an equation to a single variable, then find the needed extreme value.

"In real life," problems are much more complex. The equations are often *not* reducible to a single variable (hence multi–variable calculus is needed) and the equations themselves may be difficult to form. Understanding the principles here will provide a good foundation for the mathematics you will likely encounter later.

We outline here the basic process of solving these optimization problems.

Key Idea 4.3.1 Solving Optimization Problems

- 1. Understand the problem. Clearly identify what quantity is to be maximized or minimized. Make a sketch if helpful.
- 2. Create equations relevant to the context of the problem, using the information given. (One of these should describe the quantity to be optimized. We'll call this the *fundamental equation*.)
- If the fundamental equation defines the quantity to be optimized as a function of more than one variable, reduce it to a single variable function using substitutions derived from the other equations.

(continued)...

Key Idea 4.3.1 Solving Optimization Problems – Continued

- 4. Identify the domain of this function, keeping in mind the context of the problem.
- Find the extreme values of this function on the determined domain.
- 6. Identify the values of all relevant quantities of the problem.

We will use Key Idea 4.3.1 in a variety of examples.

Example 4.3.2 Optimization: perimeter and area

Here is another classic calculus problem: A woman has a 100 feet of fencing, a small dog, and a large yard that contains a stream (that is mostly straight). She wants to create a rectangular enclosure with maximal area that uses the stream as one side. (Apparently her dog won't swim away.) What dimensions provide the maximal area?

SOLUTION We will follow the steps outlined by Key Idea 4.3.1.

- 1. We are maximizing *area*. A sketch of the region will help; Figure 4.3.2 gives two sketches of the proposed enclosed area. A key feature of the sketches is to acknowledge that one side is not fenced.
- 2. We want to maximize the area; as in the example before,

Area
$$= xy$$
.

This is our fundamental equation. This defines area as a function of two variables, so we need another equation to reduce it to one variable.

We again appeal to the perimeter; here the perimeter is

Perimeter =
$$100 = x + 2y$$
.

Note how this is different than in our previous example.

3. We now reduce the fundamental equation to a single variable. In the perimeter equation, solve for y: y = 50 - x/2. We can now write Area as

Area =
$$A(x) = x(50 - x/2) = 50x - \frac{1}{2}x^2$$

Area is now defined as a function of one variable.




Figure 4.3.2: A sketch of the enclosure in Example 4.3.2.

- 4. We want the area to be nonnegative. Since A(x) = x(50 x/2), we want $x \ge 0$ and $50 x/2 \ge 0$. The latter inequality implies that $x \le 100$, so $0 \le x \le 100$.
- 5. We now find the extreme values. At the endpoints, the minimum is found, giving an area of 0.

Find the critical points. We have A'(x) = 50 - x; setting this equal to 0 and solving for x returns x = 50. This gives an area of

$$A(50) = 50(25) = 1250.$$

6. We earlier set y = 50 - x/2; thus y = 25. Thus our rectangle will have two sides of length 25 and one side of length 50, with a total area of 1250 ft².

Keep in mind as we do these problems that we are practicing a *process*; that is, we are learning to turn a situation into a system of equations. These equations allow us to write a certain quantity as a function of one variable, which we then optimize.

Example 4.3.3 Optimization: minimizing cost

A power line needs to be run from a power station located on the beach to an offshore facility. Figure 4.3.3 shows the distances between the power station to the facility.

It costs \$50/ft. to run a power line along the land, and \$130/ft. to run a power line under water. How much of the power line should be run along the land to minimize the overall cost? What is the minimal cost?

SOLUTION We will follow the strategy of Key Idea 4.3.1 implicitly, without specifically numbering steps.

There are two immediate solutions that we could consider, each of which we will reject through "common sense." First, we could minimize the distance by directly connecting the two locations with a straight line. However, this requires that all the wire be laid underwater, the most costly option. Second, we could minimize the underwater length by running a wire all 5000 ft. along the beach, directly across from the offshore facility. This has the undesired effect of having the longest distance of all, probably ensuring a non-minimal cost.

The optimal solution likely has the line being run along the ground for a while, then underwater, as the figure implies. We need to label our unknown distances – the distance run along the ground and the distance run underwater. Recognizing that the underwater distance can be measured as the hypotenuse of a right triangle, we choose to label the distances as shown in Figure 4.3.4.






Figure 4.3.3: Running a power line from the power station to an offshore facility with minimal cost in Example 4.3.3.




Figure 4.3.4: Labeling unknown distances in Example 4.3.3.

By choosing *x* as we did, we make the expression under the square root simple. We now create the cost function.

Cost=land cost+water cost\$50 × land distance+\$130 × water distance
$$50(5000 - x)$$
+ $130\sqrt{x^2 + 1000^2}$.

So we have $c(x) = 50(5000 - x) + 130\sqrt{x^2 + 1000^2}$. This function only makes sense on the interval [0, 5000]. While we are fairly certain the endpoints will not give a minimal cost, we still evaluate c(x) at each to verify.

$$c(0) = 380,000$$
 $c(5000) \approx 662,873.$

We now find the critical values of c(x). We compute c'(x) as

$$c'(x) = -50 + \frac{130x}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1000^2}}.$$

Recognize that this is never undefined. Setting c'(x) = 0 and solving for x, we have:

$$-50 + \frac{130x}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1000^2}} = 0$$
$$\frac{130x}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1000^2}} = 50$$
$$\frac{130^2x^2}{x^2 + 1000^2} = 50^2$$
$$130^2x^2 = 50^2(x^2 + 1000^2)$$
$$130^2x^2 - 50^2x^2 = 50^2 \cdot 1000^2$$
$$(130^2 - 50^2)x^2 = 50,000^2$$
$$x^2 = \frac{50,000^2}{130^2 - 50^2}$$
$$x = \frac{50,000}{\sqrt{130^2 - 50^2}}$$
$$x = \frac{50,000}{\sqrt{130^2 - 50^2}}$$
$$x = \frac{50,000}{120} = \frac{1250}{3} \approx 416.67.$$

Evaluating c(x) at x = 416.67 gives a cost of about \$370,000. The distance the power line is laid along land is 5000 - 416.67 = 4583.33 ft., and the underwater distance is $\sqrt{416.67^2 + 1000^2} \approx 1083$ ft.

In the exercises you will see a variety of situations that require you to combine problem–solving skills with calculus. Focus on the *process*; learn how to form equations from situations that can be manipulated into what you need. Eschew memorizing how to do "this kind of problem" as opposed to "that kind of problem." Learning a process will benefit one far longer than memorizing a specific technique.

The next section introduces our final application of the derivative: *differentials*. Given y = f(x), they offer a method of approximating the change in y after x changes by a small amount.

Exercises 4.3

Terms and Concepts

- T/F: An "optimization problem" is essentially an "extreme values" problem in a "story problem" setting.
- 2. T/F: This section teaches one to find the extreme values of a function that has more than one variable.

Problems

- 3. Find the maximum product of two numbers (not necessarily integers) that have a sum of 100.
- Find the minimum sum of two positive numbers whose product is 500.
- 5. Find the maximum sum of two positive numbers whose product is 500.
- 6. Find the maximum sum of two numbers, each of which is in [0, 300] whose product is 500.
- 7. Find the maximal area of a right triangle with hypotenuse of length 1.
- 8. A rancher has 1000 feet of fencing in which to construct adjacent, equally sized rectangular pens. What dimensions should these pens have to maximize the enclosed area?



- 9. A standard soda can is roughly cylindrical and holds 355cm³ of liquid. What dimensions should the cylinder be to minimize the material needed to produce the can? Based on your dimensions, determine whether or not the standard can is produced to minimize the material costs.
- Find the dimensions of a cylindrical can with a volume of 206in³ that minimizes the surface area.

The "#10 can" is a standard sized can used by the restaurant industry that holds about $206in^3$ with a diameter of 6 2/16in and height of 7in. Does it seem these dimensions were chosen with minimization in mind?

11. The United States Postal Service charges more for boxes whose combined length and girth exceeds 108" (the "length" of a package is the length of its longest side; the girth is the perimeter of the cross section, i.e., 2w + 2h).

What is the maximum volume of a package with a square cross section (w = h) that does not exceed the 108" standard?

12. The strength S of a wooden beam is directly proportional to its cross sectional width w and the square of its height h; that is, $S = kwh^2$ for some constant k.



Given a circular log with diameter of 12 inches, what sized beam can be cut from the log with maximum strength?

13. A power line is to be run to an offshore facility in the manner described in Example 4.3.3. The offshore facility is 2 miles at sea and 5 miles along the shoreline from the power plant. It costs \$50,000 per mile to lay a power line underground and \$80,000 to run the line underwater.

How much of the power line should be run underground to minimize the overall costs?

14. A power line is to be run to an offshore facility in the manner described in Example 4.3.3. The offshore facility is 5 miles at sea and 2 miles along the shoreline from the power plant. It costs \$50,000 per mile to lay a power line underground and \$80,000 to run the line underwater.

How much of the power line should be run underground to minimize the overall costs?

15. A woman throws a stick into a lake for her dog to fetch; the stick is 20 feet down the shore line and 15 feet into the water from there. The dog may jump directly into the water and swim, or run along the shore line to get closer to the stick before swimming. The dog runs about 22ft/s and swims about 1.5ft/s.

How far along the shore should the dog run to minimize the time it takes to get to the stick? (Hint: the figure from Example 4.3.3 can be useful.)

16. A woman throws a stick into a lake for her dog to fetch; the stick is 15 feet down the shore line and 30 feet into the water from there. The dog may jump directly into the water and swim, or run along the shore line to get closer to the stick before swimming. The dog runs about 22ft/s and swims about 1.5ft/s.

How far along the shore should the dog run to minimize the time it takes to get to the stick? (*Google "calculus dog" to learn more about a dog's ability to minimize times.*)

17. What are the dimensions of the rectangle with largest area that can be drawn inside the unit circle?

4.4 Differentials

In Section 2.2 we explored the meaning and use of the derivative. This section starts by revisiting some of those ideas.

Recall that the derivative of a function f can be used to find the slopes of lines tangent to the graph of f. At x = c, the tangent line to the graph of f has equation

$$\mathbf{y} = f'(\mathbf{c})(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}) + f(\mathbf{c})$$

The tangent line can be used to find good approximations of f(x) for values of x near c.

For instance, we can approximate sin 1.1 using the tangent line to the graph of $f(x) = \sin x$ at $x = \pi/3 \approx 1.05$. Recall that $\sin(\pi/3) = \sqrt{3}/2 \approx 0.866$, and $\cos(\pi/3) = 1/2$. Thus the tangent line to $f(x) = \sin x$ at $x = \pi/3$ is:

$$\ell(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \pi/3) + 0.866.$$

In Figure 4.4.1(a), we see a graph of $f(x) = \sin x$ graphed along with its tangent line at $x = \pi/3$. The small rectangle shows the region that is displayed in Figure 4.4.1(b). In this figure, we see how we are approximating sin 1.1 with the tangent line, evaluated at 1.1. Together, the two figures show how close these values are.

Using this line to approximate sin 1.1, we have:

$$\ell(1.1) = \frac{1}{2}(1.1 - \pi/3) + 0.866$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(0.053) + 0.866 = 0.8925.$$

(We leave it to the reader to see how good of an approximation this is.)

We now generalize this concept. Given f(x) and an *x*-value *c*, the tangent line is $\ell(x) = f'(c)(x-c) + f(c)$. Clearly, $f(c) = \ell(c)$. Let Δx be a small number, representing a small change in *x* value. We assert that:


$$f(\mathbf{c} + \Delta \mathbf{x}) \approx \ell(\mathbf{c} + \Delta \mathbf{x})$$

since the tangent line to a function approximates well the values of that function near x = c.

As the *x*-value changes from *c* to $c + \Delta x$, the *y*-value of *f* changes from f(c) to $f(c + \Delta x)$. We call this change of *y* value Δy . That is:

$$\Delta \mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{c} + \Delta \mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{c}).$$

Notes:



(b)

Figure 4.4.1: Graphing $f(x) = \sin x$ and its

tangent line at $x = \pi/3$ in order to esti-

1.1

0.87

 $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$

mate sin 1.1.

 $\frac{\pi}{2}$

Replacing $f(c + \Delta x)$ with its tangent line approximation, we have

$$\Delta \mathbf{y} \approx \ell(\mathbf{c} + \Delta \mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{c}) = f'(\mathbf{c}) ((\mathbf{c} + \Delta \mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{c}) + f(\mathbf{c}) - f(\mathbf{c}) = f'(\mathbf{c}) \Delta \mathbf{x}$$
 (4.3)

This final equation is important; it becomes the basis of the upcoming Definition and Key Idea. In short, it says that when the *x*-value changes from *c* to $c + \Delta x$, the *y* value of a function *f* changes by about $f'(c)\Delta x$.

We introduce two new variables, *dx* and *dy* in the context of a formal definition.

Definition 4.4.1 Differentials of *x* **and** *y*.

Let y = f(x) be differentiable. The **differential of** x, denoted dx, is any nonzero real number (usually taken to be a small number). The **differential of** y, denoted dy, is

dy = f'(x)dx.

We can solve for f'(x) in the above equation: f'(x) = dy/dx. This states that the derivative of f with respect to x is the differential of y divided by the differential of x; this is **not** the alternate notation for the derivative, $\frac{dy}{dx}$. This latter notation was chosen because of the fraction–like qualities of the derivative, but again, it is one symbol and not a fraction.

It is helpful to organize our new concepts and notations in one place.

Key Idea 4.4.1 Differential Notation

Let y = f(x) be a differentiable function.

- 1. Let Δx represent a small, nonzero change in x value.
- 2. Let *dx* represent a small, nonzero change in *x* value (i.e., $\Delta x = dx$).
- 3. Let Δy be the change in y value as x changes by Δx ; hence

$$\Delta \mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x} + \Delta \mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}).$$

4. Let dy = f'(x)dx which, by Equation (4.3), is an *approximation* of the change in *y* value as *x* changes by Δx ; $dy \approx \Delta y$.

What is the value of differentials? Like many mathematical concepts, differentials provide both practical and theoretical benefits. We explore both here.

Example 4.4.1 Finding and using differentials

Consider $f(x) = x^2$. Knowing f(3) = 9, approximate f(3.1).

SOLUTION The *x* value is changing from x = 3 to x = 3.1; therefore, we see that dx = 0.1. If we know how much the *y* value changes from f(3) to f(3.1) (i.e., if we know Δy), we will know exactly what f(3.1) is (since we already know f(3)). We can approximate Δy with dy.

$$\Delta y \approx dy$$

= f'(3)dx
= 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 0.1 = 0.6.

We expect the y value to change by about 0.6, so we approximate $f(3.1) \approx$ 9.6.

We leave it to the reader to verify this, but the preceding discussion links the differential to the tangent line of f(x) at x = 3. One can verify that the tangent line, evaluated at x = 3.1, also gives y = 9.6.

Of course, it is easy to compute the actual answer (by hand or with a calculator): $3.1^2 = 9.61$. (Before we get too cynical and say "Then why bother?", note our approximation is *really* good!)

So why bother?

In "most" real life situations, we do not know the function that describes a particular behavior. Instead, we can only take measurements of how things change – measurements of the derivative.

Imagine water flowing down a winding channel. It is easy to measure the speed and direction (i.e., the *velocity*) of water at any location. It is very hard to create a function that describes the overall flow, hence it is hard to predict where a floating object placed at the beginning of the channel will end up. However, we can *approximate* the path of an object using differentials. Over small intervals, the path taken by a floating object is essentially linear. Differentials allow us to approximate the true path by piecing together lots of short, linear paths. This technique is called Euler's Method, studied in introductory Differential Equations courses.

We use differentials once more to approximate the value of a function. Even though calculators are very accessible, it is neat to see how these techniques can sometimes be used to easily compute something that looks rather hard.

Example 4.4.2 Using differentials to approximate a function value Approximate $\sqrt{4.5}.$

SOLUTION We expect $\sqrt{4.5} \approx 2$, yet we can do better. Let $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$, and let c = 4. Thus f(4) = 2. We can compute $f'(x) = 1/(2\sqrt{x})$, so f'(4) = 1/4.

We approximate the difference between f(4.5) and f(4) using differentials, with dx = 0.5:

$$f(4.5) - f(4) = \Delta y \approx dy = f'(4) \cdot dx = 1/4 \cdot 1/2 = 1/8 = 0.125.$$

The approximate change in *f* from x = 4 to x = 4.5 is 0.125, so we approximate $\sqrt{4.5} \approx 2.125$.

Differentials are important when we discuss *integration*. When we study that topic, we will use notation such as

$$\int f(x) dx$$

quite often. While we don't discuss here what all of that notation means, note the existence of the differential *dx*. Proper handling of *integrals* comes with proper handling of differentials.

In light of that, we practice finding differentials in general.

Example 4.4.3 Finding differentials

In each of the following, find the differential *dy*.

1. $y = \sin x$ 2. $y = e^{x}(x^{2} + 2)$ 3. $y = \sqrt{x^{2} + 3x - 1}$

SOLUTION

1.
$$y = \sin x$$
: As $f(x) = \sin x$, $f'(x) = \cos x$. Thus

 $dy = \cos(x)dx.$

2. $y = e^{x}(x^{2} + 2)$: Let $f(x) = e^{x}(x^{2} + 2)$. We need f'(x), requiring the Product Rule.

We have $f'(x) = e^{x}(x^{2} + 2) + 2xe^{x}$, so

$$dy = \left(e^{x}(x^{2}+2)+2xe^{x}\right)dx$$

3. $y = \sqrt{x^2 + 3x - 1}$: Let $f(x) = \sqrt{x^2 + 3x - 1}$; we need f'(x), requiring the Chain Rule.

We have
$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + 3x - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2x + 3) = \frac{2x + 3}{2\sqrt{x^2 + 3x - 1}}$$
. Thus
$$dy = \frac{(2x + 3)dx}{2\sqrt{x^2 + 3x - 1}}.$$

Finding the differential dy of y = f(x) is really no harder than finding the derivative of f; we just *multiply* f'(x) by dx. It is important to remember that we are not simply adding the symbol "dx" at the end.

We have seen a practical use of differentials as they offer a good method of making certain approximations. Another use is *error propagation*. Suppose a length is measured to be x, although the actual value is $x + \Delta x$ (where Δx is the error, which we hope is small). This measurement of x may be used to compute some other value; we can think of this latter value as f(x) for some function f. As the true length is $x + \Delta x$, one really should have computed $f(x + \Delta x)$. The difference between f(x) and $f(x + \Delta x)$ is the propagated error.

How close are f(x) and $f(x + \Delta x)$? This is a difference in "y" values:

$$f(\mathbf{x} + \Delta \mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \Delta \mathbf{y} \approx d\mathbf{y}.$$

We can approximate the propagated error using differentials.

Example 4.4.4 Using differentials to approximate propagated error

A steel ball bearing is to be manufactured with a diameter of 2cm. The manufacturing process has a tolerance of \pm 0.1mm in the diameter. Given that the density of steel is about 7.85g/cm³, estimate the propagated error in the mass of the ball bearing.

SOLUTION The mass of a ball bearing is found using the equation "mass = volume × density." In this situation the mass function is a product of the radius of the ball bearing, hence it is $m = 7.85\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3$. The differential of the mass is

$$dm = 31.4\pi r^2 dr.$$

The radius is to be 1cm; the manufacturing tolerance in the radius is \pm 0.05mm, or \pm 0.005cm. The propagated error is approximately:

 $\Delta m pprox dm$ = 31.4 π (1)²(±0.005) = ±0.493g

Is this error significant? It certainly depends on the application, but we can get an idea by computing the *relative error*. The ratio between amount of error to the total mass is

$$\frac{dm}{m} = \pm \frac{0.493}{7.85\frac{4}{3}\pi} = \pm \frac{0.493}{32.88} = \pm 0.015,$$

or \pm 1.5%.

We leave it to the reader to confirm this, but if the diameter of the ball was supposed to be 10cm, the same manufacturing tolerance would give a propagated error in mass of ± 12.33 g, which corresponds to a *percent error* of ± 0.188 %. While the amount of error is much greater (12.33 > 0.493), the percent error is much lower.

We first learned of the derivative in the context of instantaneous rates of change and slopes of tangent lines. We furthered our understanding of the power of the derivative by studying how it relates to the graph of a function (leading to ideas of increasing/decreasing and concavity). This chapter has put the derivative to yet more uses:

- Equation solving (Newton's Method),
- Related Rates (furthering our use of the derivative to find instantaneous rates of change),
- Optimization (applied extreme values), and
- Differentials (useful for various approximations and for something called integration).

In the next chapters, we will consider the "reverse" problem to computing the derivative: given a function *f*, can we find a function whose derivative is *f*? Being able to do so opens up an incredible world of mathematics and applications.

Exercises 4.4

Terms and Concepts

- 1. T/F: Given a differentiable function y = f(x), we are generally free to choose a value for dx, which then determines the value of dy.
- T/F: The symbols "dx" and "∆x" represent the same concept.
- T/F: The symbols "dy" and "∆y" represent the same concept.
- 4. T/F: Differentials are important in the study of integration.
- 5. How are differentials and tangent lines related?
- 6. T/F: In real life, differentials are used to approximate function values when the function itself is not known.

Problems

In Exercises 7 - 16, use differentials to approximate the given value by hand.

- 7. 2.05²
- 8. 5.93²
- 9. 5.1³
- $10. \ 6.8^3$
- 11. $\sqrt{16.5}$
- 12. $\sqrt{24}$
- 13. $\sqrt[3]{63}$
- 14. √³√8.5
- 15. sin 3
- 16. e^{0.1}
- In Exercises 17 30, compute the differential dy.
- 17. $y = x^2 + 3x 5$
- 18. $y = x^7 x^5$
- 19. $y = \frac{1}{4x^2}$
- 20. $y = (2x + \sin x)^2$
- 21. $y = x^2 e^{3x}$

22. $y = \frac{4}{x^4}$ 23. $y = \frac{2x}{\tan x + 1}$ 24. $y = \ln(5x)$ 25. $y = e^x \sin x$ 26. $y = \cos(\sin x)$ 27. $y = \frac{x+1}{x+2}$ 28. $y = 3^x \ln x$

29. $y = x \ln x - x$


30. $f(x) = \ln(\sec x)$

Exercises 31 – 34 use differentials to approximate propagated error.


- 31. A set of plastic spheres are to be made with a diameter of 1cm. If the manufacturing process is accurate to 1mm, what is the propagated error in volume of the spheres?
- 32. The distance, in feet, a stone drops in t seconds is given by $d(t) = 16t^2$. The depth of a hole is to be approximated by dropping a rock and listening for it to hit the bottom. What is the propagated error if the time measurement is accurate to $2/10^{\text{ths}}$ of a second and the measured time is:
 - (a) 2 seconds?
 - (b) 5 seconds?
- 33. What is the propagated error in the measurement of the cross sectional area of a circular log if the diameter is measured at 15'', accurate to 1/4''?
- 34. A wall is to be painted that is 8' high and is measured to be 10', 7'' long. Find the propagated error in the measurement of the wall's surface area if the measurement is accurate to 1/2''.

Exercises 35 – 39 explore some issues related to surveying in which distances are approximated using other measured distances and measured angles. (*Hint: Convert all angles to radians before computing.*)

35. The length / of a long wall is to be approximated. The angle θ , as shown in the diagram (not to scale), is measured to be 85.2°, accurate to 1°. Assume that the triangle formed is a right triangle.



- (a) What is the measured length *I* of the wall?
- (b) What is the propagated error?
- (c) What is the percent error?
- 36. Answer the questions of Exercise 35, but with a measured angle of 71.5°, accurate to 1°, measured from a point 100' from the wall.
- 37. The length / of a long wall is to be calculated by measuring the angle θ shown in the diagram (not to scale). Assume the formed triangle is an isosceles triangle. The measured angle is 143°, accurate to 1°.



- (a) What is the measured length of the wall?
- (b) What is the propagated error?
- (c) What is the percent error?
- 38. The length of the walls in Exercises 35 37 are essentially the same. Which setup gives the most accurate result?
- 39. Consider the setup in Exercise 37. This time, assume the angle measurement of 143° is exact but the measured 50' from the wall is accurate to 6''. What is the approximate percent error?

5: INTEGRATION

We have spent considerable time considering the derivatives of a function and their applications. In the following chapters, we are going to starting thinking in "the other direction." That is, given a function f(x), we are going to consider functions F(x) such that F'(x) = f(x). There are numerous reasons this will prove to be useful: these functions will help us compute area, volume, mass, force, pressure, work, and much more.

5.1 Antiderivatives and Indefinite Integration

Given a function y = f(x), a *differential equation* is one that incorporates y, x, and the derivatives of y. For instance, a simple differential equation is:

$$y'=2x$$
.

Solving a differential equation amounts to finding a function y that satisfies the given equation. Take a moment and consider that equation; can you find a function y such that y' = 2x?

Can you find another?

And yet another?

Hopefully one was able to come up with at least one solution: $y = x^2$. "Finding another" may have seemed impossible until one realizes that a function like $y = x^2 + 1$ also has a derivative of 2x. Once that discovery is made, finding "yet another" is not difficult; the function $y = x^2 + 123,456,789$ also has a derivative of 2x. The differential equation y' = 2x has many solutions. This leads us to some definitions.

Definition 5.1.1 Antiderivatives and Indefinite Integrals

Let a function f(x) be given. An **antiderivative** of f(x) is a function F(x) such that F'(x) = f(x).

The set of all antiderivatives of f(x) is the **indefinite integral of** f, denoted by

 $\int f(x) dx.$

Make a note about our definition: we refer to *an* antiderivative of *f*, as opposed to *the* antiderivative of *f*, since there is *always* an infinite number of them.

We often use upper-case letters to denote antiderivatives.

Knowing one antiderivative of *f* allows us to find infinitely more, simply by adding a constant. Not only does this give us *more* antiderivatives, it gives us *all* of them.

Theorem 5.1.1	Antiderivative Forms
Let $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ be antiderivatives of $f(x)$ on an interval I . Then there exists a constant C such that, on I ,	
G(x)=F(x)+C.	

Given a function f defined on an interval I and one of its antiderivatives F, we know *all* antiderivatives of f on I have the form F(x) + C for some constant C. Using Definition 5.1.1, we can say that

$$\int f(x) \, dx = F(x) + C$$

Let's analyze this indefinite integral notation.




Figure 5.1.1: Understanding the indefinite integral notation.

Figure 5.1.1 shows the typical notation of the indefinite integral. The integration symbol, \int , is in reality an "elongated S," representing "take the sum." We will later see how *sums* and *antiderivatives* are related.

The function we want to find an antiderivative of is called the *integrand*. It contains the differential of the variable we are integrating with respect to. The \int symbol and the differential dx are not "bookends" with a function sandwiched in between; rather, the symbol \int means "find all antiderivatives of what follows," and the function f(x) and dx are multiplied together; the dx does not "just sit there."

Let's practice using this notation.

Example 5.1.1 Evaluating indefinite integrals

Evaluate
$$\int \sin x \, dx$$
.

SOLUTION We are asked to find all functions F(x) such that $F'(x) = \sin x$. Some thought will lead us to one solution: $F(x) = -\cos x$, because $\frac{d}{dx}(-\cos x) = \sin x$.

The indefinite integral of $\sin x$ is thus $-\cos x$, plus a constant of integration. So:

$$\int \sin x \, dx = -\cos x + C.$$

A commonly asked question is "What happened to the dx?" The unenlightened response is "Don't worry about it. It just goes away." A full understanding includes the following.

This process of *antidifferentiation* is really solving a *differential* question. The integral

$$\int \sin x \, dx$$

presents us with a differential, $dy = \sin x \, dx$. It is asking: "What is y?" We found lots of solutions, all of the form $y = -\cos x + C$.

Letting $dy = \sin x \, dx$, rewrite

$$\int \sin x \, dx \quad \text{as} \quad \int dy.$$

This is asking: "What functions have a differential of the form dy?" The answer is "Functions of the form y + C, where C is a constant." What is y? We have lots of choices, all differing by a constant; the simplest choice is $y = -\cos x$.

Understanding all of this is more important later as we try to find antiderivatives of more complicated functions. In this section, we will simply explore the rules of indefinite integration, and one can succeed for now with answering "What happened to the dx?" with "It went away."

Let's practice once more before stating integration rules.

Example 5.1.2 Evaluating indefinite integrals Evaluate $\int (2x^2 + 4x + 5) dx$

Evaluate $\int (3x^2 + 4x + 5) dx$.

SOLUTION We seek a function F(x) whose derivative is $3x^2 + 4x + 5$. When taking derivatives, we can consider functions term-by-term, so we can likely do that here.

What functions have a derivative of $3x^2$? Some thought will lead us to a cubic, specifically $x^3 + C_1$, where C_1 is a constant.

What functions have a derivative of 4x? Here the x term is raised to the first power, so we likely seek a quadratic. Some thought should lead us to $2x^2 + C_2$, where C_2 is a constant.

Finally, what functions have a derivative of 5? Functions of the form $5x + C_3$, where C_3 is a constant.

Our answer appears to be

$$\int (3x^2 + 4x + 5) \, dx = x^3 + C_1 + 2x^2 + C_2 + 5x + C_3.$$

We do not need three separate constants of integration; combine them as one constant, giving the final answer of

$$\int (3x^2 + 4x + 5) \, dx = x^3 + 2x^2 + 5x + C.$$

It is easy to verify our answer; take the derivative of $x^3 + 2x^3 + 5x + C$ and see we indeed get $3x^2 + 4x + 5$.

This final step of "verifying our answer" is important both practically and theoretically. In general, taking derivatives is easier than finding antiderivatives so checking our work is easy and vital as we learn.

We also see that taking the derivative of our answer returns the function in the integrand. Thus we can say that:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\int f(x)\ dx\right)=f(x).$$

Differentiation "undoes" the work done by antidifferentiation.

Theorem 2.7.3 gave a list of the derivatives of common functions we had learned at that point. We restate part of that list here to stress the relationship between derivatives and antiderivatives. This list will also be useful as a glossary of common antiderivatives as we learn.

Theorem 5.1.2 Derivatives and Antiderivatives

Common Differentiation Rules Common Indefinite Integral Rules

1. $\frac{d}{dx}(cf(x)) = c \cdot f'(x)$ 1. $\int c \cdot f(x) dx = c \cdot \int f(x) dx$ 2. $\frac{d}{dx}(f(x) \pm g(x)) =$ 2. $\int (f(x) \pm g(x)) dx =$ $f'(\mathbf{x}) \pm g'(\mathbf{x})$ $\int f(x) dx \pm \int g(x) dx$ 3. $\frac{d}{dx}(C) = 0$ 3. $\int 0 \, dx = C$ 4. $\frac{d}{dx}(x) = 1$ 4. $\int 1 dx = \int dx = x + C$ 5. $\frac{d}{dx}(x^n) = n \cdot x^{n-1}$ 5. $\int x^n dx = \frac{1}{n+1}x^{n+1} + C$ $(n \neq -1)$ 6. $\int \cos x \, dx = \sin x + C$ 7. $\frac{d}{dx}(\cos x) = -\sin x$ 7. $\int \sin x \, dx = -\cos x + C$ 8. $\frac{d}{dx}(\tan x) = \sec^2 x$ 8. $\int \sec^2 x \, dx = \tan x + C$ 9. $\frac{d}{dx}(\csc x) = -\csc x \cot x$ 9. $\int \csc x \cot x \, dx = -\csc x + C$ 10. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sec x) = \sec x \tan x$ 10. $\int \sec x \tan x \, dx = \sec x + C$ 11. $\frac{d}{dx}(\cot x) = -\csc^2 x$ 11. $\int \csc^2 x \, dx = -\cot x + C$ 12. $\int e^x dx = e^x + C$ 12. $\frac{d}{dx}(e^x) = e^x$ 13. $\frac{d}{dx}(a^x) = \ln a \cdot a^x$ 13. $\int a^x dx = \frac{1}{\ln a} \cdot a^x + C$ 14. $\frac{d}{dx}(\ln x) = \frac{1}{x}$ 14. $\int \frac{1}{x} dx = \ln |x| + C$

We highlight a few important points from Theorem 5.1.2:

• Rule #1 states $\int c \cdot f(x) dx = c \cdot \int f(x) dx$. This is the Constant Multiple Rule: we can temporarily ignore constants when finding antiderivatives, just as we did when computing derivatives (i.e., $\frac{d}{dx}(3x^2)$ is just as easy to compute as $\frac{d}{dx}(x^2)$). An example:

$$\int 5\cos x\,dx = 5\cdot \int \cos x\,dx = 5\cdot (\sin x + C) = 5\sin x + C.$$

In the last step we can consider the constant as also being multiplied by

- 5, but "5 times a constant" is still a constant, so we just write "C".
- Rule #2 is the Sum/Difference Rule: we can split integrals apart when the integrand contains terms that are added/subtracted, as we did in Example 5.1.2. So:

$$\int (3x^2 + 4x + 5) \, dx = \int 3x^2 \, dx + \int 4x \, dx + \int 5 \, dx$$
$$= 3 \int x^2 \, dx + 4 \int x \, dx + \int 5 \, dx$$
$$= 3 \cdot \frac{1}{3}x^3 + 4 \cdot \frac{1}{2}x^2 + 5x + C$$
$$= x^3 + 2x^2 + 5x + C$$

In practice we generally do not write out all these steps, but we demonstrate them here for completeness.

- Rule #5 is the Power Rule of indefinite integration. There are two important things to keep in mind:
 - 1. Notice the restriction that $n \neq -1$. This is important: $\int \frac{1}{x} dx \neq \frac{1}{6}x^0 + C''$; rather, see Rule #14.
 - 2. We are presenting antidifferentiation as the "inverse operation" of differentiation. Here is a useful quote to remember:

"Inverse operations do the opposite things in the opposite order."

When taking a derivative using the Power Rule, we **first** *multiply* by the power, then **second** *subtract* 1 from the power. To find the antiderivative, do the opposite things in the opposite order: **first** *add* one to the power, then **second** *divide* by the power.

 Note that Rule #14 incorporates the absolute value of x. The exercises will work the reader through why this is the case; for now, know the absolute value is important and cannot be ignored.

Initial Value Problems

In Section 2.3 we saw that the derivative of a position function gave a velocity function, and the derivative of a velocity function describes acceleration. We can now go "the other way:" the antiderivative of an acceleration function gives a velocity function, etc. While there is just one derivative of a given function, there are infinitely many antiderivatives. Therefore we cannot ask "What is *the* velocity of an object whose acceleration is -32ft/s²?", since there is more than one answer.

We can find *the* answer if we provide more information with the question, as done in the following example. Often the additional information comes in the form of an *initial value*, a value of the function that one knows beforehand.

Example 5.1.3 Solving initial value problems

The acceleration due to gravity of a falling object is -32 ft/s^2 . At time t = 3, a falling object had a velocity of -10 ft/s. Find the equation of the object's velocity.

SOLUTION We want to know a velocity function, v(t). We know two things:

- The acceleration, i.e., v'(t) = -32, and
- the velocity at a specific time, i.e., v(3) = -10.

Using the first piece of information, we know that v(t) is an antiderivative of v'(t) = -32. So we begin by finding the indefinite integral of -32:

$$\int (-32) \, dt = -32t + C = v(t).$$

Now we use the fact that v(3) = -10 to find C:

$$u(t) = -32t + C$$
 $u(3) = -10$
 $-32(3) + C = -10$
 $C = 86$

Thus v(t) = -32t + 86. We can use this equation to understand the motion of the object: when t = 0, the object had a velocity of v(0) = 86 ft/s. Since the velocity is positive, the object was moving upward.

When did the object begin moving down? Immediately after v(t) = 0:

$$-32t + 86 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad t = \frac{43}{16} \approx 2.69s$$

Recognize that we are able to determine quite a bit about the path of the object knowing just its acceleration and its velocity at a single point in time.

Example 5.1.4 Solving initial value problems

Find f(t), given that $f''(t) = \cos t$, f'(0) = 3 and f(0) = 5.

SOLUTION We start by finding f'(t), which is an antiderivative of f''(t):

$$\int f''(t) dt = \int \cos t \, dt = \sin t + C = f'(t).$$

So $f'(t) = \sin t + C$ for the correct value of C. We are given that f'(0) = 3, so:

$$f'(0) = 3 \Rightarrow \sin 0 + C = 3 \Rightarrow C = 3.$$

Using the initial value, we have found $f'(t) = \sin t + 3$. We now find f(t) by integrating again.

$$f(t) = \int f'(t) dt = \int (\sin t + 3) dt = -\cos t + 3t + C.$$

We are given that f(0) = 5, so

$$-\cos 0 + 3(0) + C = 5$$

 $-1 + C = 5$
 $C = 6$

Thus $f(t) = -\cos t + 3t + 6$.

This section introduced antiderivatives and the indefinite integral. We found they are needed when finding a function given information about its derivative(s). For instance, we found a velocity function given an acceleration function.

In the next section, we will see how position and velocity are unexpectedly related by the areas of certain regions on a graph of the velocity function. Then, in Section 5.4, we will see how areas and antiderivatives are closely tied together. This connection is incredibly important, as indicated by the name of the theorem that describes it: The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus.

Exercises 5.1

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Define the term "antiderivative" in your own words.
- Is it more accurate to refer to "the" antiderivative of f(x) or "an" antiderivative of f(x)?
- 3. Use your own words to define the indefinite integral of f(x).
- 4. Fill in the blanks: "Inverse operations do the ______ things in the ______ order."
- 5. What is an "initial value problem"?
- The derivative of a position function is a ______ function.
- The antiderivative of an acceleration function is a _______ function.
- 8. If F(x) is an antiderivative of f(x), and G(x) is an antiderivative of g(x), give an antiderivative of f(x) + g(x).

Problems

In Exercises 9 – 27, evaluate the given indefinite integral.

9.
$$\int 3x^{3} dx$$

10.
$$\int x^{8} dx$$

11.
$$\int (10x^{2} - 2) dx$$

12.
$$\int dt$$

13.
$$\int 1 ds$$

14.
$$\int \frac{1}{3t^{2}} dt$$

15.
$$\int \frac{3}{t^{2}} dt$$

16.
$$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} dx$$

17.
$$\int \sec^{2} \theta d\theta$$

18.
$$\int \sin \theta d\theta$$

20.
$$\int 5e^{\theta} d\theta$$

21.
$$\int 3^{t} dt$$

22.
$$\int \frac{5^{t}}{2} dt$$

23.
$$\int (2t+3)^{2} dt$$

24.
$$\int (t^{2}+3)(t^{3}-2t) dt$$

25.
$$\int x^{2}x^{3} dx$$

26.
$$\int e^{\pi} dx$$

27.
$$\int a dx$$

19. $\int (\sec x \tan x + \csc x \cot x) dx$

- 28. This problem investigates why Theorem 5.1.2 states that $\int \frac{1}{x} dx = \ln |x| + C.$
 - (a) What is the domain of $y = \ln x$?
 - (b) Find $\frac{d}{dx}(\ln x)$.
 - (c) What is the domain of $y = \ln(-x)$?
 - (d) Find $\frac{d}{dx}(\ln(-x))$.
 - (e) You should find that 1/x has two types of antiderivatives, depending on whether x > 0 or x < 0. In one expression, give a formula for $\int \frac{1}{x} dx$ that takes these different domains into account, and explain your answer.

In Exercises 29 – 39, find f(x) described by the given initial value problem.

29. $f'(x) = \sin x$ and f(0) = 230. $f'(x) = 5e^{x}$ and f(0) = 1031. $f'(x) = 4x^{3} - 3x^{2}$ and f(-1) = 932. $f'(x) = \sec^{2} x$ and $f(\pi/4) = 5$ 33. $f'(x) = 7^{x}$ and f(2) = 134. f''(x) = 5 and f'(0) = 7, f(0) = 335. f''(x) = 7x and f'(1) = -1, f(1) = 10

36.
$$f''(x) = 5e^x$$
 and $f'(0) = 3$, $f(0) = 5$
37. $f''(\theta) = \sin \theta$ and $f'(\pi) = 2$, $f(\pi) = 4$
38. $f''(x) = 24x^2 + 2^x - \cos x$ and $f'(0) = 5$, $f(0) = 3$
39. $f''(x) = 0$ and $f'(1) = 3$, $f(1) = 1$

Review

0

- 40. Use information gained from the first and second derivatives to sketch $f(x) = \frac{1}{e^x + 1}$.
- 41. Given $y = x^2 e^x \cos x$, find dy.

5.2 The Definite Integral

We start with an easy problem. An object travels in a straight line at a constant velocity of 5ft/s for 10 seconds. How far away from its starting point is the object?

We approach this problem with the familiar "Distance = Rate \times Time" equation. In this case, Distance = 5ft/s \times 10s = 50 feet.

It is interesting to note that this solution of 50 feet can be represented graphically. Consider Figure 5.2.1, where the constant velocity of 5ft/s is graphed on the axes. Shading the area under the line from t = 0 to t = 10 gives a rectangle with an area of 50 square units; when one considers the units of the axes, we can say this area represents 50 ft.

Now consider a slightly harder situation (and not particularly realistic): an object travels in a straight line with a constant velocity of 5ft/s for 10 seconds, then instantly reverses course at a rate of 2ft/s for 4 seconds. (Since the object is traveling in the opposite direction when reversing course, we say the velocity is a constant -2ft/s.) How far away from the starting point is the object – what is its *displacement*?

Here we use "Distance = $Rate_1 \times Time_1 + Rate_2 \times Time_2$," which is

Distance $= 5 \cdot 10 + (-2) \cdot 4 = 42$ ft.

Hence the object is 42 feet from its starting location.

We can again depict this situation graphically. In Figure 5.2.2 we have the velocities graphed as straight lines on [0, 10] and [10, 14], respectively. The displacement of the object is

"Area above the *t*-axis – Area below the *t*-axis,"

which is easy to calculate as 50 - 8 = 42 feet. Now consider a more difficult problem.

Example 5.2.1 Finding position using velocity

The velocity of an object moving straight up/down under the acceleration of gravity is given as v(t) = -32t+48, where time t is given in seconds and velocity is in ft/s. When t = 0, the object had a height of 0 ft.

1. What was the initial velocity of the object?

2. What was the maximum height of the object?

3. What was the height of the object at time t = 2?

SOLUTION It is straightforward to find the initial velocity; at time t = 0, $v(0) = -32 \cdot 0 + 48 = 48$ ft/s.

Notes:




Figure 5.2.1: The area under a constant velocity function corresponds to distance traveled.




Figure 5.2.2: The total displacement is the area above the t-axis minus the area below the t-axis.




Figure 5.2.3: A graph of v(t) = -32t + 48; the shaded areas help determine displacement.

To answer questions about the height of the object, we need to find the object's position function s(t). This is an initial value problem, which we studied in the previous section. We are told the initial height is 0, i.e., s(0) = 0. We know s'(t) = v(t) = -32t + 48. To find *s*, we find the indefinite integral of v(t):

$$\int v(t) dt = \int (-32t + 48) dt = -16t^2 + 48t + C = s(t).$$

Since s(0) = 0, we conclude that C = 0 and $s(t) = -16t^2 + 48t$.

To find the maximum height of the object, we need to find the maximum of s. Recalling our work finding extreme values, we find the critical points of s by setting its derivative equal to 0 and solving for t:

$$s'(t) = -32t + 48 = 0 \Rightarrow t = 48/32 = 1.5s.$$

(Notice how we ended up just finding when the velocity was Oft/s!) The first derivative test shows this is a maximum, so the maximum height of the object is found at

$$s(1.5) = -16(1.5)^2 + 48(1.5) = 36$$
ft.

The height at time t = 2 is now straightforward to compute: it is s(2) = 32ft.

While we have answered all three questions, let's look at them again graphically, using the concepts of area that we explored earlier.

Figure 5.2.3 shows a graph of v(t) on axes from t = 0 to t = 3. It is again straightforward to find v(0). How can we use the graph to find the maximum height of the object?

Recall how in our previous work that the displacement of the object (in this case, its height) was found as the area under the velocity curve, as shaded in the figure. Moreover, the area between the curve and the *t*-axis that is below the *t*-axis counted as "negative" area. That is, it represents the object coming back toward its starting position. So to find the maximum distance from the starting point – the maximum height – we find the area under the velocity line that is above the *t*-axis, i.e., from t = 0 to t = 1.5. This region is a triangle; its area is

Area
$$=\frac{1}{2}$$
Base \times Height $=\frac{1}{2} \times 1.5s \times 48$ ft/s $=$ 36ft,

which matches our previous calculation of the maximum height.

Finally, to find the height of the object at time t = 2 we calculate the total "signed area" (where some area is negative) under the velocity function from t = 0 to t = 2. This signed area is equal to s(2), the displacement (i.e., signed distance) from the starting position at t = 0 to the position at time t = 2. That is,

Displacement = Area above the t-axis – Area below t-axis.

The regions are triangles, and we find

Displacement =
$$\frac{1}{2}(1.5s)(48ft/s) - \frac{1}{2}(.5s)(16ft/s) = 32ft.$$

This also matches our previous calculation of the height at t = 2.

Notice how we answered each question in this example in two ways. Our first method was to manipulate equations using our understanding of antiderivatives and derivatives. Our second method was geometric: we answered questions looking at a graph and finding the areas of certain regions of this graph.

The above example does not *prove* a relationship between area under a velocity function and displacement, but it does imply a relationship exists. Section 5.4 will fully establish fact that the area under a velocity function is displacement.

Given a graph of a function y = f(x), we will find that there is great use in computing the area between the curve y = f(x) and the *x*-axis. Because of this, we need to define some terms.

Definition 5.2.1 The Definite Integral, Total Signed Area

Let y = f(x) be defined on a closed interval [a, b]. The **total signed area** from x = a to x = b under f is: (area under f and above the x-axis on [a, b]) – (area above f and under the x-axis on [a, b]).

The **definite integral of** f **on** [a, b] is the total signed area of f on [a, b], denoted

$$\int^{b} f(x) \, dx$$

where *a* and *b* are the **bounds of integration**.

By our definition, the definite integral gives the "signed area under f." We usually drop the word "signed" when talking about the definite integral, and simply say the definite integral gives "the area under f" or, more commonly, "the area under the curve."

The previous section introduced the indefinite integral, which related to antiderivatives. We have now defined the definite integral, which relates to areas under a function. The two are very much related, as we'll see when we learn the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus in Section 5.4. Recall that earlier we said that the " \int " symbol was an "elongated S" that represented finding a "sum." In the context of the definite integral, this notation makes a bit more sense, as we are adding up areas under the function *f*.




Figure 5.2.4: A graph of f(x) in Example 5.2.2.




Figure 5.2.5: A graph of 5f in Example 5.2.2. (Yes, it looks just like the graph of f in Figure 5.2.4, just with a different *y*-scale.)

We practice using this notation.

Example 5.2.2 Evaluating definite integrals

Consider the function f given in Figure 5.2.4. Find:

1.
$$\int_{0}^{3} f(x) dx$$

2. $\int_{3}^{5} f(x) dx$
3. $\int_{0}^{5} f(x) dx$
4. $\int_{0}^{3} 5f(x) dx$
5. $\int_{1}^{1} f(x) dx$
6. $\int_{1}^{5} f(x) dx$

SOLUTION

- 1. $\int_0^3 f(x) dx$ is the area under f on the interval [0, 3]. This region is a triangle, so the area is $\int_0^3 f(x) dx = \frac{1}{2}(3)(1) = 1.5$.
- 2. $\int_3^5 f(x) dx$ represents the area of the triangle found under the *x*-axis on [3, 5]. The area is $\frac{1}{2}(2)(1) = 1$; since it is found *under* the *x*-axis, this is "negative area." Therefore $\int_3^5 f(x) dx = -1$.
- 3. $\int_{0}^{5} f(x) dx$ is the total signed area under f on [0, 5]. This is 1.5 + (-1) = 0.5.
- 4. $\int_0^3 5f(x) dx$ is the area under 5f on [0, 3]. This is sketched in Figure 5.2.5. Again, the region is a triangle, with height 5 times that of the height of the original triangle. Thus the area is $\int_0^3 5f(x) dx = \frac{1}{2}(15)(1) = 7.5$.
- 5. $\int_{1}^{1} f(x) dx$ is the area under f on the "interval" [1, 1]. This describes a line segment, not a region; it has no width. Therefore the area is 0.

This example illustrates some of the properties of the definite integral, given here.

Theorem 5.2.1 Properties of the Definite Integral

Let f and g be defined on a closed interval l that contains the values a, b and c, and let k be a constant. The following hold:

1.
$$\int_{a}^{a} f(x) dx = 0$$

2.
$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx + \int_{b}^{c} f(x) dx = \int_{a}^{c} f(x) dx$$

3.
$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = -\int_{b}^{a} f(x) dx$$

4.
$$\int_{a}^{b} (f(x) \pm g(x)) dx = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \pm \int_{a}^{b} g(x) dx$$

5.
$$\int_{a}^{b} k \cdot f(x) dx = k \cdot \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$$

We give a brief justification of Theorem 5.2.1 here.

- 1. As demonstrated in Example 5.2.2, there is no "area under the curve" when the region has no width; hence this definite integral is 0.
- 2. This states that total area is the sum of the areas of subregions. It is easily considered when we let a < b < c. We can break the interval [a, c] into two subintervals, [a, b] and [b, c]. The total area over [a, c] is the area over [a, b] plus the area over [b, c].

It is important to note that this still holds true even if a < b < c is not true. We discuss this in the next point.

3. This property can be viewed a merely a convention to make other properties work well. (Later we will see how this property has a justification all its own, not necessarily in support of other properties.) Suppose b < a < c. The discussion from the previous point clearly justifies

$$\int_{b}^{a} f(x) \, dx + \int_{a}^{c} f(x) \, dx = \int_{b}^{c} f(x) \, dx.$$
 (5.1)

However, we still claim that, as originally stated,

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx + \int_{b}^{c} f(x) \, dx = \int_{a}^{c} f(x) \, dx.$$
 (5.2)

x

Figure 5.2.6: A graph of a function in Example 5.2.3.

How do Equations (5.1) and (5.2) relate? Start with Equation (5.1):

$$\int_{b}^{a} f(x) dx + \int_{a}^{c} f(x) dx = \int_{b}^{c} f(x) dx$$
$$\int_{a}^{c} f(x) dx = -\int_{b}^{a} f(x) dx + \int_{b}^{c} f(x) dx$$

Property (3) justifies changing the sign and switching the bounds of integration on the $-\int_{b}^{a} f(x) dx$ term; when this is done, Equations (5.1) and (5.2) are equivalent.

The conclusion is this: by adopting the convention of Property (3), Property (2) holds no matter the order of a, b and c. Again, in the next section we will see another justification for this property.

4,5. Each of these may be non-intuitive. Property (5) states that when one scales a function by, for instance, 7, the area of the enclosed region also is scaled by a factor of 7. Both Properties (4) and (5) can be proved using geometry. The details are not complicated but are not discussed here.

Example 5.2.3 Evaluating definite integrals using Theorem 5.2.1. Consider the graph of a function f(x) shown in Figure 5.2.6. Answer the following:

- 1. Which value is greater: $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$ or $\int_{b}^{c} f(x) dx$?
- 2. Is $\int_{a}^{c} f(x) dx$ greater or less than 0?
- 3. Which value is greater: $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$ or $\int_{c}^{b} f(x) dx$?

SOLUTION

- 1. $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$ has a positive value (since the area is above the *x*-axis) whereas $\int_{b}^{c} f(x) dx$ has a negative value. Hence $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$ is bigger.
- 2. $\int_a^c f(x) dx$ is the total signed area under f between x = a and x = c. Since the region below the *x*-axis looks to be larger than the region above, we conclude that the definite integral has a value less than 0.
- 3. Note how the second integral has the bounds "reversed." Therefore $\int_{c}^{b} f(x) dx$ represents a positive number, greater than the area described by the first definite integral. Hence $\int_{c}^{b} f(x) dx$ is greater.

The area definition of the definite integral allows us to use geometry to compute the definite integral of some simple functions.

Example 5.2.4 Evaluating definite integrals using geometry Evaluate the following definite integrals:

1.
$$\int_{-2}^{5} (2x-4) dx$$
 2. $\int_{-3}^{3} \sqrt{9-x^2} dx$.

SOLUTION

1. It is useful to sketch the function in the integrand, as shown in Figure 5.2.7(a). We see we need to compute the areas of two regions, which we have labeled R_1 and R_2 . Both are triangles, so the area computation is straightforward:

$$R_1: \frac{1}{2}(4)(8) = 16$$
 $R_2: \frac{1}{2}(3)6 = 9$

Region R_1 lies under the *x*-axis, hence it is counted as negative area (we can think of the triangle's height as being "-8"), so

$$\int_{-2}^{5} (2x-4) \, dx = -16 + 9 = -7.$$

2. Recognize that the integrand of this definite integral describes a half circle, as sketched in Figure 5.2.7(b), with radius 3. Thus the area is:

$$\int_{-3}^{3} \sqrt{9 - x^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \pi r^2 = \frac{9}{2} \pi r^2$$

Example 5.2.5 Understanding motion given velocity

Consider the graph of a velocity function of an object moving in a straight line, given in Figure 5.2.8, where the numbers in the given regions gives the area of that region. Assume that the definite integral of a velocity function gives displacement. Find the maximum speed of the object and its maximum displacement from its starting position.

SOLUTION Since the graph gives velocity, finding the maximum speed is simple: it looks to be 15ft/s.

At time t = 0, the displacement is 0; the object is at its starting position. At time t = a, the object has moved backward 11 feet. Between times t = a and




Figure 5.2.7: A graph of f(x) = 2x - 4 in (a) and $f(x) = \sqrt{9 - x^2}$ in (b), from Example 5.2.4.




Figure 5.2.8: A graph of a velocity in Example 5.2.5.

Chapter 5 Integration




Figure 5.2.9: What is the area below $y = x^2$ on [0, 3]? The region is not a usual geometric shape.

t = b, the object moves forward 38 feet, bringing it into a position 27 feet forward of its starting position. From t = b to t = c the object is moving backwards again, hence its maximum displacement is 27 feet from its starting position.

In our examples, we have either found the areas of regions that have nice geometric shapes (such as rectangles, triangles and circles) or the areas were given to us. Consider Figure 5.2.9, where a region below $y = x^2$ is shaded. What is its area? The function $y = x^2$ is relatively simple, yet the shape it defines has an area that is not simple to find geometrically.

In the next section we will explore how to find the areas of such regions.

Exercises 5.2

Terms and Concepts

- 1. What is "total signed area"?
- 2. What is "displacement"?


3. What is
$$\int_3^3 \sin x \, dx$$
?


4. Give a single definite integral that has the same value as


$$\int_0^1 (2x+3) \, dx + \int_1^2 (2x+3) \, dx.$$

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 10, a graph of a function f(x) is given. Using the geometry of the graph, evaluate the definite integrals.










(a)
$$\int_{0}^{1} (x-1) dx$$


(b) $\int_{0}^{2} (x-1) dx$
(c) $\int_{0}^{3} (x-1) dx$
(d) $\int_{2}^{3} (x-1) dx$
(e) $\int_{1}^{4} (x-1) dx$
(f) $\int_{1}^{4} ((x-1)+1) dx$






In Exercises 11 - 14, a graph of a function f(x) is given; the numbers inside the shaded regions give the area of that region. Evaluate the definite integrals using this area information.





In Exercises 15 - 16, a graph of the velocity function of an object moving in a straight line is given. Answer the questions based on that graph.



(c) What is the object's total displacement on [0, 5]?

- 17. An object is thrown straight up with a velocity, in ft/s, given by v(t) = -32t + 64, where t is in seconds, from a height of 48 feet.
 - (a) What is the object's maximum velocity?
 - (b) What is the object's maximum displacement?
 - (c) When does the maximum displacement occur?
 - (d) When will the object reach a height of 0? (Hint: find when the displacement is -48ft.)
- 18. An object is thrown straight up with a velocity, in ft/s, given by v(t) = -32t + 96, where t is in seconds, from a height of 64 feet.
 - (a) What is the object's initial velocity?
 - (b) When is the object's displacement 0?
 - (c) How long does it take for the object to return to its initial height?
 - (d) When will the object reach a height of 210 feet?

In Exercises 19 – 22, let

•
$$\int_{0}^{2} f(x) dx = 5$$
,
• $\int_{0}^{3} f(x) dx = 7$,
• $\int_{0}^{2} g(x) dx = -3$, and
• $\int_{2}^{3} g(x) dx = 5$.

Use these values to evaluate the given definite integrals.

19.
$$\int_{0}^{2} (f(x) + g(x)) dx$$

20.
$$\int_{0}^{3} (f(x) - g(x)) dx$$

21.
$$\int_{2}^{3} (3f(x) + 2g(x)) dx$$

22. Find nonzero values for *a* and *b* such that $\int_{a}^{3} (af(x) + bg(x)) dx = 0$

In Exercises 23 – 26, let

•
$$\int_{0}^{3} s(t) dt = 10,$$

• $\int_{3}^{5} s(t) dt = 8,$
• $\int_{3}^{5} r(t) dt = -1,$ and
• $\int_{0}^{5} r(t) dt = 11.$

Use these values to evaluate the given definite integrals.

23.
$$\int_{0}^{3} (s(t) + r(t)) dt$$

24.
$$\int_{5}^{0} (s(t) - r(t)) dt$$

25.
$$\int_{3}^{3} (\pi s(t) - 7r(t)) dt$$

26. Find nonzero values for a and b such that $\int_0^5 (ar(t) + bs(t)) dt = 0$

Review

In Exercises 27 – 30, evaluate the given indefinite integral.

27.
$$\int (x^{3} - 2x^{2} + 7x - 9) dx$$

28.
$$\int (\sin x - \cos x + \sec^{2} x) dx$$

29.
$$\int (\sqrt[3]{t} + \frac{1}{t^{2}} + 2^{t}) dt$$

30.
$$\int \left(\frac{1}{x} - \csc x \cot x\right) dx$$




Figure 5.3.1: A graph of $f(x) = 4x - x^2$. What is the area of the shaded region?




Figure 5.3.2: Approximating $\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) dx$ using rectangles. The heights of the rectangles are determined using different rules.

5.3 Riemann Sums

In the previous section we defined the definite integral of a function on [a, b] to be the signed area between the curve and the *x*-axis. Some areas were simple to compute; we ended the section with a region whose area was not simple to compute. In this section we develop a technique to find such areas.

A fundamental calculus technique is to first answer a given problem with an approximation, then refine that approximation to make it better, then use limits in the refining process to find the exact answer. That is what we will do here.

Consider the region given in Figure 5.3.1, which is the area under $y = 4x - x^2$ on [0, 4]. What is the signed area of this region – i.e., what is $\int_{0}^{4} (4x - x^2) dx$?

We start by approximating. We can surround the region with a rectangle with height and width of 4 and find the area is approximately 16 square units. This is obviously an *over–approximation*; we are including area in the rectangle that is not under the parabola.

We have an approximation of the area, using one rectangle. How can we refine our approximation to make it better? The key to this section is this answer: *use more rectangles.*

Let's use 4 rectangles with an equal width of 1. This *partitions* the interval [0, 4] into 4 *subintervals*, [0, 1], [1, 2], [2, 3] and [3, 4]. On each subinterval we will draw a rectangle.

There are three common ways to determine the height of these rectangles: the **Left Hand Rule**, the **Right Hand Rule**, and the **Midpoint Rule**. The **Left Hand Rule** says to evaluate the function at the left–hand endpoint of the subinterval and make the rectangle that height. In Figure 5.3.2, the rectangle drawn on the interval [2, 3] has height determined by the Left Hand Rule; it has a height of f(2). (The rectangle is labeled "LHR.")

The **Right Hand Rule** says the opposite: on each subinterval, evaluate the function at the right endpoint and make the rectangle that height. In the figure, the rectangle drawn on [0, 1] is drawn using f(1) as its height; this rectangle is labeled "RHR.".

The **Midpoint Rule** says that on each subinterval, evaluate the function at the midpoint and make the rectangle that height. The rectangle drawn on [1, 2] was made using the Midpoint Rule, with a height of f(1.5). That rectangle is labeled "MPR."

These are the three most common rules for determining the heights of approximating rectangles, but one is not forced to use one of these three methods. The rectangle on [3, 4] has a height of approximately f(3.53), very close to the Midpoint Rule. It was chosen so that the area of the rectangle is *exactly* the area of the region under f on [3, 4]. (Later you'll be able to figure how to do this, too.)

The following example will approximate the value of $\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) dx$ using these rules.

Example 5.3.1 Using the Left Hand, Right Hand and Midpoint Rules Approximate the value of $\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) dx$ using the Left Hand Rule, the Right Hand Rule, and the Midpoint Rule, using 4 equally spaced subintervals.

SOLUTION We break the interval [0, 4] into four subintervals as before. In Figure 5.3.3(a) we see 4 rectangles drawn on $f(x) = 4x - x^2$ using the Left Hand Rule. (The areas of the rectangles are given in each figure.)

Note how in the first subinterval, [0, 1], the rectangle has height f(0) = 0. We add up the areas of each rectangle (height × width) for our Left Hand Rule approximation:

$$f(0) \cdot 1 + f(1) \cdot 1 + f(2) \cdot 1 + f(3) \cdot 1 = 0 + 3 + 4 + 3 = 10.$$

Figure 5.3.3(b) shows 4 rectangles drawn under f using the Right Hand Rule; note how the [3, 4] subinterval has a rectangle of height 0.

In this example, these rectangle seem to be the mirror image of those found in part (a) of the Figure. This is because of the symmetry of our shaded region. Our approximation gives the same answer as before, though calculated a different way:

$$f(1) \cdot 1 + f(2) \cdot 1 + f(3) \cdot 1 + f(4) \cdot 1 =$$

3 + 4 + 3 + 0 = 10.

Figure 5.3.3(c) shows 4 rectangles drawn under *f* using the Midpoint Rule. This gives an approximation of $\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) dx$ as:

$$f(0.5) \cdot 1 + f(1.5) \cdot 1 + f(2.5) \cdot 1 + f(3.5) \cdot 1 =$$

1.75 + 3.75 + 3.75 + 1.75 = 11.

Our three methods provide two approximations of $\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) dx$: 10 and 11.

Summation Notation

It is hard to tell at this moment which is a better approximation: 10 or 11? We can continue to refine our approximation by using more rectangles. The notation can become unwieldy, though, as we add up longer and longer lists of numbers. We introduce **summation notation** to ameliorate this problem.




Figure 5.3.3: Approximating $\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) dx$ in Example 5.3.1. In (a), the Left Hand Rule is used; in (b), the Right Hand Rule is used; in (c), the Midpoint Rule is used.

Suppose we wish to add up a list of numbers a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , ..., a_9 . Instead of writing

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + a_7 + a_8 + a_9$$

we use summation notation and write




Figure 5.3.4: Understanding summation notation.

The upper case sigma represents the term "sum." The index of summation in this example is *i*; any symbol can be used. By convention, the index takes on only the integer values between (and including) the lower and upper bounds. Let's practice using this notation.

Example 5.3.2 Using summation notation

Let the numbers $\{a_i\}$ be defined as $a_i = 2i - 1$ for integers *i*, where $i \ge 1$. So $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = 3$, $a_3 = 5$, etc. (The output is the positive odd integers). Evaluate the following summations:

1.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} a_i$$
 2. $\sum_{i=3}^{7} (3a_i - 4)$ 3. $\sum_{i=1}^{4} (a_i)^2$

SOLUTION

1.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} a_i = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6$$
$$= 1 + 3 + 5 + 7 + 9 + 11$$
$$= 36$$

2. Note the starting value is different than 1:


6

$$\sum_{i=3}^{7} (3a_i - 4) = (3a_3 - 4) + (3a_4 - 4) + (3a_5 - 4) + (3a_6 - 4) + (3a_7 - 4)$$

= 11 + 17 + 23 + 29 + 35
= 115.

 $\sum_{i=1}^{4} (a_i)^2 = (a_1)^2 + (a_2)^2 + (a_3)^2 + (a_4)^2$ $= 1^2 + 3^2 + 5^2 + 7^2$ = 84.

It might seem odd to stress a new, concise way of writing summations only to write each term out as we add them up. It is. The following theorem gives some of the properties of summations that allow us to work with them without writing individual terms. Examples will follow.



Example 5.3.3 Evaluating summations using Theorem 5.3.1 Revisit Example 5.3.2 and, using Theorem 5.3.1, evaluate

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} a_i = \sum_{i=1}^{6} (2i-1).$$

Notes:

3.

SOLUTION

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} (2i-1) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} 2i - \sum_{i=1}^{6} (1)$$
$$= \left(2\sum_{i=1}^{6} i\right) - 6$$
$$= 2\frac{6(6+1)}{2} - 6$$
$$= 42 - 6 = 36$$

We obtained the same answer without writing out all six terms. When dealing with small sizes of n, it may be faster to write the terms out by hand. However, Theorem 5.3.1 is incredibly important when dealing with large sums as we'll soon see.

Riemann Sums

Consider again $\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) dx$. We will approximate this definite integral using 16 equally spaced subintervals and the Right Hand Rule in Example 5.3.4. Before doing so, it will pay to do some careful preparation.

Figure 5.3.5 shows a number line of [0, 4] divided, or *partitioned*, into 16 equally spaced subintervals. We denote 0 as x_1 ; we have marked the values of x_5 , x_9 , x_{13} and x_{17} . We could mark them all, but the figure would get crowded. While it is easy to figure that $x_{10} = 2.25$, in general, we want a method of determining the value of x_i without consulting the figure. Consider:

number of
subintervals
between
$$x_1$$
 and x_i
 $x_i = x_1 + (i - 1)\Delta x$
 \uparrow
starting
value
size

So $x_{10} = x_1 + 9(4/16) = 2.25$.

If we had partitioned [0, 4] into 100 equally spaced subintervals, each subinterval would have length $\Delta x = 4/100 = 0.04$. We could compute x_{32} as

$$x_{32} = x_1 + 31(4/100) = 1.24.$$

(That was far faster than creating a sketch first.)




Figure 5.3.5: Dividing [0,4] into 16 equally spaced subintervals.

Given any subdivision of [0, 4], the first subinterval is $[x_1, x_2]$; the second is $[x_2, x_3]$; the *i*th subinterval is $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$.

When using the Left Hand Rule, the height of the *i*th rectangle will be $f(x_i)$.

When using the Right Hand Rule, the height of the *i*th rectangle will be $f(x_{i+1})$.

When using the Midpoint Rule, the height of the *i*th rectangle will be $f\left(\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}\right)$.

Thus approximating $\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) dx$ with 16 equally spaced subintervals can be expressed as follows, where $\Delta x = 4/16 = 1/4$:

Left Hand Rule:
$$\sum_{i=1}^{16} f(x_i) \Delta x$$

Right Hand Rule: $\sum_{i=1}^{16} f(x_{i+1}) \Delta x$

Midpoint Rule:
$$\sum_{i=1}^{16} f\left(\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}\right) \Delta x$$

We use these formulas in the next two examples. The following example lets us practice using the Right Hand Rule and the summation formulas introduced in Theorem 5.3.1.

Approximating definite integrals using sums

Example 5.3.4 Approximating definite integrals using sums Approximate $\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) dx$ using the Right Hand Rule and summation formulas with 16 and 1000 equally spaced intervals.

Using the formula derived before, using 16 equally spaced SOLUTION intervals and the Right Hand Rule, we can approximate the definite integral as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{16} f(x_{i+1}) \Delta x.$$

We have $\Delta x = 4/16 = 0.25$. Since $x_i = 0 + (i - 1)\Delta x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i+1} &= \mathbf{0} + \big((i+1) - \mathbf{1} \big) \Delta x \\ &= i \Delta x \end{aligned}$$




Figure 5.3.6: Approximating $\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) dx$ with the Right Hand Rule and 16 evenly spaced subintervals.

Using the summation formulas, consider:

$$\int_{0}^{4} (4x - x^{2}) dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^{16} f(x_{i+1}) \Delta x$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{16} f(i\Delta x) \Delta x$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{16} (4i\Delta x - (i\Delta x)^{2}) \Delta x$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{16} (4i\Delta x^{2} - i^{2}\Delta x^{3})$$

$$= (4\Delta x^{2}) \sum_{i=1}^{16} i - \Delta x^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{16} i^{2} \qquad (5.3)$$

$$= (4\Delta x^{2}) \frac{16 \cdot 17}{2} - \Delta x^{3} \frac{16(17)(33)}{6} \quad (\Delta x = 0.25)$$

$$= 10.625$$

We were able to sum up the areas of 16 rectangles with very little computation. In Figure 5.3.6 the function and the 16 rectangles are graphed. While some rectangles over–approximate the area, other under–approximate the area (by about the same amount). Thus our approximate area of 10.625 is likely a fairly good approximation.

Notice Equation (5.3); by changing the 16's to 1,000's (and appropriately changing the value of Δx), we can use that equation to sum up 1000 rectangles! We do so here, skipping from the original summand to the equivalent of Equation (5.3) to save space. Note that $\Delta x = 4/1000 = 0.004$.

$$\int_{0}^{4} (4x - x^{2}) dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^{1000} f(x_{i+1}) \Delta x$$

= $(4\Delta x^{2}) \sum_{i=1}^{1000} i - \Delta x^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{1000} i^{2}$
= $(4\Delta x^{2}) \frac{1000 \cdot 1001}{2} - \Delta x^{3} \frac{1000(1001)(2001)}{6}$
= 10.666656

Using many, many rectangles, we have a likely good approximation of $\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) \Delta x$. That is,

$$\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) \, dx \approx 10.666656.$$

Before the above example, we stated what the summations for the Left Hand, Right Hand and Midpoint Rules looked like. Each had the same basic structure, which was:

- 1. each rectangle has the same width, which we referred to as Δx , and
- 2. each rectangle's height is determined by evaluating *f* at a particular point in each subinterval. For instance, the Left Hand Rule states that each rectangle's height is determined by evaluating *f* at the left hand endpoint of the subinterval the rectangle lives on.

One could partition an interval [a, b] with subintervals that do not have the same size. We refer to the length of the *i*th subinterval as Δx_i . Also, one could determine each rectangle's height by evaluating *f* at *any* point c_i in the *i*th subinterval. Thus the height of the *i*th subinterval would be $f(c_i)$, and the area of the *i*th rectangle would be $f(c_i)\Delta x_i$. These ideas are formally defined below.

Definition 5.3.1 Partition

A **partition** Δx of a closed interval [a, b] is a set of numbers $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}$ where

 $a = x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n < x_{n+1} = b.$

The length of the *i*th subinterval, $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$, is $\Delta x_i = x_{i+1} - x_i$. If [a, b] is partitioned into subintervals of equal length, we let Δx represent the length of each subinterval.

The size of the partition, denoted $||\Delta x||$, is the length of the largest subinterval of the partition.

Summations of rectangles with area $f(c_i)\Delta x_i$ are named after mathematician Georg Friedrich Bernhard Riemann, as given in the following definition.

Definition 5.3.2 Riemann Sum

Let *f* be defined on a closed interval [a, b], let Δx be a partition of [a, b] and let c_i denote any value in the *i*th subinterval. The sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(c_i) \Delta x_i$$

is a **Riemann sum** of *f* on [*a*, *b*].




Figure 5.3.7: An example of a general Riemann sum to approximate $\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) dx$.

Figure 5.3.7 shows the approximating rectangles of a Riemann sum of $\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) dx$. While the rectangles in this example do not approximate well the shaded area, they demonstrate that the subinterval widths may vary and the heights of the rectangles can be determined without following a particular rule.

"Usually" Riemann sums are calculated using one of the three methods we have introduced. The uniformity of construction makes computations easier. Before working another example, let's summarize some of what we have learned in a convenient way.

Key Idea 5.3.1 Riemann Sum Concepts Consider $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(c_i) \Delta x_i$. 1. When the *n* subintervals have equal length, $\Delta x_i = \Delta x = \frac{b-a}{n}$. 2. The *i*th term of an equally spaced partition is $x_i = a + (i - 1)\Delta x$. (Thus $x_1 = a$ and $x_{n+1} = b$.) 3. The Left Hand Rule summation is: $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) \Delta x$. 4. The Right Hand Rule summation is: $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i+1}) \Delta x$. 5. The Midpoint Rule summation is: $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}\right) \Delta x$.

Let's do another example.

Example 5.3.5 Approximating definite integrals with sums Approximate $\int_{-2}^{3} (5x + 2) dx$ using the Midpoint Rule and 10 equally spaced intervals.

Solution Following Key Idea 5.3.1, we have
$$\Delta x = \frac{3 - (-2)}{10} = 1/2$$
 and $x_i = (-2) + (1/2)(i-1) = i/2 - 5/2.$

As we are using the Midpoint Rule, we will also need x_{i+1} and $\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}$. Since $x_i = i/2 - 5/2$, $x_{i+1} = (i+1)/2 - 5/2 = i/2 - 2$. This gives $\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2} = \frac{(i/2 - 5/2) + (i/2 - 2)}{2} = \frac{i - 9/2}{2} = i/2 - 9/4$.

We now construct the Riemann sum and compute its value using summation formulas.

J

$$\int_{-2}^{3} (5x+2) dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^{10} f\left(\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}\right) \Delta x$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^{10} f(i/2 - 9/4) \Delta x$
= $\sum_{i=1}^{10} (5(i/2 - 9/4) + 2) \Delta x$
= $\Delta x \sum_{i=1}^{10} \left[\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) i - \frac{37}{4} \right]$
= $\Delta x \left(\frac{5}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{10} (i) - \sum_{i=1}^{10} \left(\frac{37}{4}\right)\right)$
= $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{5}{2} \cdot \frac{10(11)}{2} - 10 \cdot \frac{37}{4}\right)$
= $\frac{45}{2} = 22.5$

Note the graph of f(x) = 5x + 2 in Figure 5.3.8. The regions whose area is computed by the definite integral are triangles, meaning we can find the exact answer without summation techniques. We find that the exact answer is indeed 22.5. One of the strengths of the Midpoint Rule is that often each rectangle includes area that should not be counted, but misses other area that should. When the partition size is small, these two amounts are about equal and these errors almost "cancel each other out." In this example, since our function is a line, these errors are exactly equal and they do cancel each other out, giving us the exact answer.

Note too that when the function is negative, the rectangles have a "negative" height. When we compute the area of the rectangle, we use $f(c_i)\Delta x$; when f is negative, the area is counted as negative.

Notice in the previous example that while we used 10 equally spaced intervals, the number "10" didn't play a big role in the calculations until the very end.




Figure 5.3.8: Approximating $\int_{-2}^{3} (5x + 2) dx$ using the Midpoint Rule and 10 evenly spaced subintervals in Example 5.3.5.

Mathematicians love to abstract ideas; let's approximate the area of another region using *n* subintervals, where we do not specify a value of *n* until the very end.

Example 5.3.6 Approximating definite integrals with a formula, using sums Revisit $\int_0^4 (4x-x^2) dx$ yet again. Approximate this definite integral using the Right Hand Rule with *n* equally spaced subintervals.

SOLUTION Using Key Idea 5.3.1, we know $\Delta x = \frac{4-0}{n} = 4/n$. We also find $x_i = 0 + \Delta x(i-1) = 4(i-1)/n$. The Right Hand Rule uses x_{i+1} , which is $x_{i+1} = 4i/n$.

We construct the Right Hand Rule Riemann sum as follows. Be sure to follow each step carefully. If you get stuck, and do not understand how one line proceeds to the next, you may skip to the result and consider how this result is used. You should come back, though, and work through each step for full understanding.

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{4} (4x - x^{2}) \, dx &\approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i+1}) \, \Delta x \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\frac{4i}{n}\right) \, \Delta x \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[4\frac{4i}{n} - \left(\frac{4i}{n}\right)^{2} \right] \, \Delta x \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[4\frac{4i}{n} - \left(\frac{4i}{n}\right)^{2} \right] \, \Delta x \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{16\Delta x}{n}\right) \, i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{16\Delta x}{n^{2}}\right) \, i^{2} \\ &= \left(\frac{16\Delta x}{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} i - \left(\frac{16\Delta x}{n^{2}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{2} \\ &= \left(\frac{16\Delta x}{n}\right) \cdot \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - \left(\frac{16\Delta x}{n^{2}}\right) \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{recall} \\ \Delta x = 4/n \end{array} \right) \\ &= \frac{32(n+1)}{n} - \frac{32(n+1)(2n+1)}{3n^{2}} \quad \text{(now simplify)} \\ &= \frac{32}{3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^{2}}\right) \end{split}$$

The result is an amazing, easy to use formula. To approximate the definite integral with 10 equally spaced subintervals and the Right Hand Rule, set n = 10 and compute

$$\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) \, dx \approx \frac{32}{3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{10^2} \right) = 10.56.$$

Recall how earlier we approximated the definite integral with 4 subintervals; with n = 4, the formula gives 10, our answer as before.

It is now easy to approximate the integral with 1,000,000 subintervals! Handheld calculators will round off the answer a bit prematurely giving an answer of 10.6666666666.)

We now take an important leap. Up to this point, our mathematics has been limited to geometry and algebra (finding areas and manipulating expressions). Now we apply *calculus*. For any *finite n*, we know that

$$\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) \, dx \approx \frac{32}{3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \right).$$

Both common sense and high–level mathematics tell us that as *n* gets large, the approximation gets better. In fact, if we take the *limit* as $n \to \infty$, we get the *exact area* described by $\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) dx$. That is,

$$\int_{0}^{4} (4x - x^{2}) dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{32}{3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^{2}} \right)$$
$$= \frac{32}{3} (1 - 0)$$
$$= \frac{32}{3} = 10.\overline{6}$$

This is a fantastic result. By considering n equally–spaced subintervals, we obtained a formula for an approximation of the definite integral that involved our variable n. As n grows large – without bound – the error shrinks to zero and we obtain the exact area.

This section started with a fundamental calculus technique: make an approximation, refine the approximation to make it better, then use limits in the refining process to get an exact answer. That is precisely what we just did.

Let's practice this again.

Example 5.3.7 Approximating definite integrals with a formula, using sums Find a formula that approximates $\int_{-1}^{5} x^3 dx$ using the Right Hand Rule and *n* equally spaced subintervals, then take the limit as $n \to \infty$ to find the exact area.

Solution Following Key Idea 5.3.1, we have $\Delta x = \frac{5-(-1)}{n} = 6/n$. We have $x_i = (-1) + (i-1)\Delta x$; as the Right Hand Rule uses x_{i+1} , we have $x_{i+1} = (-1) + i\Delta x$.

The Riemann sum corresponding to the Right Hand Rule is (followed by sim-

plifications):

$$f_{-1}^{5} x^{3} dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i+1}) \Delta x$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(-1 + i\Delta x) \Delta x$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1 + i\Delta x)^{3} \Delta x$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} ((i\Delta x)^{3} - 3(i\Delta x)^{2} + 3i\Delta x - 1) \Delta x \quad (\text{now distribute } \Delta x)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (i^{3}\Delta x^{4} - 3i^{2}\Delta x^{3} + 3i\Delta x^{2} - \Delta x) \quad (\text{now split up summation})$$

$$= \Delta x^{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{3} - 3\Delta x^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{2} + 3\Delta x^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta x$$

$$= \Delta x^{4} \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^{2} - 3\Delta x^{3} \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} + 3\Delta x^{2} \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n\Delta x$$

(use $\Delta x = 6/n$)

$$=\frac{1296}{n^4}\cdot\frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4}-3\frac{216}{n^3}\cdot\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}+3\frac{36}{n^2}\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-6$$

(now do a sizable amount of algebra to simplify)

$$= 156 + \frac{378}{n} + \frac{216}{n^2}$$

Once again, we have found a compact formula for approximating the definite integral with *n* equally spaced subintervals and the Right Hand Rule. Using 10 subintervals, we have an approximation of 195.96 (these rectangles are shown in Figure 5.3.9). Using n = 100 gives an approximation of 159.802.

Now find the exact answer using a limit:

$$\int_{-1}^{5} x^3 \, dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(156 + \frac{378}{n} + \frac{216}{n^2} \right) = 156.$$

Limits of Riemann Sums

We have used limits to evaluate given definite integrals. Will this always work? We will show, given not-very-restrictive conditions, that yes, it will always work.




Figure 5.3.9: Approximating $\int_{-1}^{5} x^3 dx$ using the Right Hand Rule and 10 evenly spaced subintervals.

The previous two examples demonstrated how an expression such as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i+1}) \Delta x$$

can be rewritten as an expression explicitly involving *n*, such as $32/3(1-1/n^2)$.

Viewed in this manner, we can think of the summation as a function of n. An n value is given (where n is a positive integer), and the sum of areas of n equally spaced rectangles is returned, using the Left Hand, Right Hand, or Midpoint Rules.

Given a definite integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$, let:

- $S_L(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \Delta x$, the sum of equally spaced rectangles formed using the Left Hand Rule,
- $S_R(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_{i+1}) \Delta x$, the sum of equally spaced rectangles formed using the Right Hand Rule, and
- $S_M(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n f\left(\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}\right) \Delta x$, the sum of equally spaced rectangles formed using the Midpoint Rule.

Recall the definition of a limit as $n \to \infty$: $\lim_{n \to \infty} S_L(n) = K$ if, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists N > 0 such that

$$|S_L(n) - K| < \varepsilon$$
 when $n \ge N$.

The following theorem states that we can use any of our three rules to find the exact value of a definite integral $\int_a^b f(x) dx$. It also goes two steps further. The theorem states that the height of each rectangle doesn't have to be determined following a specific rule, but could be $f(c_i)$, where c_i is any point in the *i*th subinterval, as discussed before Riemann Sums were defined in Definition 5.3.2.

The theorem goes on to state that the rectangles do not need to be of the same width. Using the notation of Definition 5.3.1, let Δx_i denote the length of the *i*th subinterval in a partition of [a, b] and let $||\Delta x||$ represent the length of the largest subinterval in the partition: that is, $||\Delta x||$ is the largest of all the Δx_i 's. If $||\Delta x||$ is small, then [a, b] must be partitioned into many subintervals, since all subintervals must have small lengths. "Taking the limit as $||\Delta x||$ goes to zero" implies that the number *n* of subintervals in the partition is growing to

infinity, as the largest subinterval length is becoming arbitrarily small. We then interpret the expression

$$\lim_{||\Delta x||\to 0}\sum_{i=1}^n f(c_i)\Delta x_i$$

as "the limit of the sum of the areas of rectangles, where the width of each rectangle can be different but getting small, and the height of each rectangle is not necessarily determined by a particular rule." The theorem states that this Riemann Sum also gives the value of the definite integral of f over [a, b].

Theorem 5.3.2 Definite Integrals and the Limit of Riemann Sums Let f be continuous on the closed interval [a, b] and let $S_L(n)$, $S_R(n)$, $S_M(n)$, Δx , Δx_i and c_i be defined as before. Then: 1. $\lim_{n \to \infty} S_L(n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} S_R(n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} S_M(n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n f(c_i) \Delta x$, 2. $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n f(c_i) \Delta x = \int_a^b f(x) dx$, and 3. $\lim_{\|\Delta x\| \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^n f(c_i) \Delta x_i = \int_a^b f(x) dx$.

We summarize what we have learned over the past few sections here.

- Knowing the "area under the curve" can be useful. One common example: the area under a velocity curve is displacement.
- We have defined the definite integral, $\int_a^b f(x) dx$, to be the signed area under f on the interval [a, b].
- While we can approximate a definite integral many ways, we have focused on using rectangles whose heights can be determined using the Left Hand Rule, the Right Hand Rule and the Midpoint Rule.
- Sums of rectangles of this type are called Riemann sums.
- The exact value of the definite integral can be computed using the limit of a Riemann sum. We generally use one of the above methods as it makes the algebra simpler.

We first learned of derivatives through limits then learned rules that made the process simpler. We know of a way to evaluate a definite integral using limits; in the next section we will see how the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus makes the process simpler. The key feature of this theorem is its connection between the indefinite integral and the definite integral.

Exercises 5.3

Terms and Concepts

- 1. A fundamental calculus technique is to use _ to refine approximations to get an exact answer.
- 2. What is the upper bound in the summation

$$\sum_{i=7}^{14} (48i - 201)?$$

- 3. This section approximates definite integrals using what geometric shape?
- 4. T/F: A sum using the Right Hand Rule is an example of a Riemann Sum.

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 12, write out each term of the summation and compute the sum.

5.
$$\sum_{i=2}^{4} i^{2}$$

6. $\sum_{i=-1}^{3} (4i-2)$

7.
$$\sum_{i=-2}^{2} \sin(\pi i/2)$$

8.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{10} 5$$

9.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i}$$

1

10.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} (-1)^{i} i$$

11. $\sum_{i=1}^{4} \left(\frac{1}{i} - \frac{1}{i+1} \right)$

12.
$$\sum_{i=0}^{5} (-1)^i \cos(\pi i)$$

In Exercises 13 – 16, write each sum in summation notation.

13.
$$3 + 6 + 9 + 12 + 15$$

14. $-1 + 0 + 3 + 8 + 15 + 24 + 35 + 48 + 63$
15. $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{4}{5}$

16. $1 - e + e^2 - e^3 + e^4$

In Exercises 17 – 24, evaluate the summation using Theorem 5.3.1.

17.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{10} 5$$

18. $\sum_{i=1}^{25} i$

19.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{10} (3i^2 - 2i)$$

20.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{15} (2i^3 - 10)$$

21.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{10} (-4i^3 + 10i^2 - 7i + 11)$$

22.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{10} (i^3 - 3i^2 + 2i + 7)$$

$$23. \ 1+2+3+\ldots+99+100$$

24.
$$1 + 4 + 9 + \ldots + 361 + 400$$

Theorem 5.3.1 states

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} a_i$$
 , so $\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} a_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i$.

Use this fact, along with other parts of Theorem 5.3.1, to evaluate the summations given in Exercises 25 – 28.

25.
$$\sum_{i=11}^{20} i$$

26. $\sum_{i=16}^{25} i^3$

27.
$$\sum_{i=7}^{12} 4$$

28.
$$\sum_{i=5}^{10} 4i^3$$

In Exercises 29 – 34, a definite integral $\int_{-\infty}^{b} f(x) \, dx \text{ is given.}$

- (a) Graph f(x) on [a, b].
- (b) Add to the sketch rectangles using the provided rule.
- (c) Approximate $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$ by summing the areas of the rectangles.
- 29. $\int_{-3}^{3} x^2 dx$, with 6 rectangles using the Left Hand Rule.
- 30. $\int_0^2 (5-x^2) dx$, with 4 rectangles using the Midpoint Rule.
- 31. $\int_0^{\pi} \sin x \, dx$, with 6 rectangles using the Right Hand Rule.
- 32. $\int_0^3 2^x dx$, with 5 rectangles using the Left Hand Rule.
- 33. $\int_{1}^{2} \ln x \, dx$, with 3 rectangles using the Midpoint Rule.
- 34. $\int_{1}^{9} \frac{1}{x} dx$, with 4 rectangles using the Right Hand Rule.
- In Exercises 35 40, a definite integral

 $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$ is given. As demonstrated in Examples 5.3.6 and 5.3.7, do the following.

- (a) Find a formula to approximate $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$ using *n* subintervals and the provided rule.
- (b) Evaluate the formula using n = 10, 100 and 1,000.
- (c) Find the limit of the formula, as $n \to \infty$, to find the exact value of $\int_a^b f(x) \ dx.$

35.
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{3} dx$$
, using the Right Hand Rule.
36.
$$\int_{-1}^{1} 3x^{2} dx$$
, using the Left Hand Rule.
37.
$$\int_{-1}^{3} (3x - 1) dx$$
, using the Midpoint Rule.
38.
$$\int_{1}^{4} (2x^{2} - 3) dx$$
, using the Left Hand Rule.
39.
$$\int_{-10}^{10} (5 - x) dx$$
, using the Right Hand Rule.
40.
$$\int_{0}^{1} (x^{3} - x^{2}) dx$$
, using the Right Hand Rule.

Review

In Exercises 41 – 46, find an antiderivative of the given function.

41.
$$f(x) = 5 \sec^2 x$$

42. $f(x) = \frac{7}{x}$
43. $g(t) = 4t^5 - 5t^3 + 8$
44. $g(t) = 5 \cdot 8^t$
45. $g(t) = \cos t + \sin t$
46. $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$




Figure 5.4.1: The area of the shaded region is $F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(t) dt$.

5.4 The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

Let f(t) be a continuous function defined on [a, b]. The definite integral $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ is the "area under f" on [a, b]. We can turn this concept into a function by letting the upper (or lower) bound vary.

Let $F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(t) dt$. It computes the area under f on [a, x] as illustrated in Figure 5.4.1. We can study this function using our knowledge of the definite integral. For instance, F(a) = 0 since $\int_{a}^{a} f(t) dt = 0$.

We can also apply calculus ideas to F(x); in particular, we can compute its derivative. While this may seem like an innocuous thing to do, it has far-reaching implications, as demonstrated by the fact that the result is given as an important theorem.

Theorem 5.4.1 The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, Part 1

Let *f* be continuous on [a, b] and let $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$. Then *F* is a differentiable function on (a, b), and

 $F'(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}).$

Initially this seems simple, as demonstrated in the following example.

Example 5.4.1 Using the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, Part 1Let $F(x) = \int_{-5}^{x} (t^2 + \sin t) dt$. What is F'(x)?SolutionSolution $x^2 + \sin x$.

This simple example reveals something incredible: F(x) is an antiderivative of $x^2 + \sin x!$ Therefore, $F(x) = \frac{1}{3}x^3 - \cos x + C$ for some value of *C*. (We can find *C*, but generally we do not care. We know that F(-5) = 0, which allows us to compute *C*. In this case, $C = \cos(-5) + \frac{125}{3}$.)

We have done more than found a complicated way of computing an antiderivative. Consider a function f defined on an open interval containing a, b and c. Suppose we want to compute $\int_a^b f(t) dt$. First, let $F(x) = \int_c^x f(t) dt$. Using

the properties of the definite integral found in Theorem 5.2.1, we know

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt = \int_{a}^{c} f(t) dt + \int_{c}^{b} f(t) dt$$
$$= -\int_{c}^{a} f(t) dt + \int_{c}^{b} f(t) dt$$
$$= -F(a) + F(b)$$
$$= F(b) - F(a).$$

We now see how indefinite integrals and definite integrals are related: we can evaluate a definite integral using antiderivatives! This is the second part of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus.

Theorem 5.4.2The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, Part 2Let f be continuous on [a, b] and let F be any antiderivative of f. Then

$$\int_a^b f(x) \, dx = F(b) - F(a).$$

Example 5.4.2 Using the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, Part 2 We spent a great deal of time in the previous section studying $\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) dx$. Using the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, evaluate this definite integral.

SOLUTION We need an antiderivative of $f(x) = 4x - x^2$. All antiderivatives of f have the form $F(x) = 2x^2 - \frac{1}{3}x^3 + C$; for simplicity, choose C = 0. The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus states

$$\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) \, dx = F(4) - F(0) = \left(2(4)^2 - \frac{1}{3}4^3\right) - \left(0 - 0\right) = 32 - \frac{64}{3} = 32/3.$$

This is the same answer we obtained using limits in the previous section, just with much less work.

Notation: A special notation is often used in the process of evaluating definite integrals using the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus. Instead of explicitly writing F(b) - F(a), the notation $F(x)\Big|_{a}^{b}$ is used. Thus the solution to Example 5.4.2 would be written as:

$$\int_0^4 (4x - x^2) \, dx = \left(2x^2 - \frac{1}{3}x^3 \right) \Big|_0^4 = \left(2(4)^2 - \frac{1}{3}4^3 \right) - \left(0 - 0 \right) = 32/3.$$

The Constant *C*: *Any* antiderivative F(x) can be chosen when using the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus to evaluate a definite integral, meaning any value of *C* can be picked. The constant *always* cancels out of the expression when evaluating F(b) - F(a), so it does not matter what value is picked. This being the case, we might as well let C = 0.

Example 5.4.3 Using the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, Part 2 Evaluate the following definite integrals.

1.
$$\int_{-2}^{2} x^{3} dx = 2. \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x dx = 3. \int_{0}^{5} e^{t} dt = 4. \int_{4}^{9} \sqrt{u} du = 5. \int_{1}^{5} 2 dx$$
SOLUTION

1.
$$\int_{-2}^{2} x^{3} dx = \frac{1}{4} x^{4} \Big|_{-2}^{2} = \left(\frac{1}{4} 2^{4}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{4} (-2)^{4}\right) = 0.$$

2.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x dx = -\cos x \Big|_{0}^{\pi} = -\cos \pi - (-\cos 0) = 1 + 1 = 2.$$
(This is interesting; it says that the area under one "hump" of a sine curve is 2.)

3.
$$\int_{0}^{5} e^{t} dt = e^{t} \Big|_{0}^{5} = e^{5} - e^{0} = e^{5} - 1 \approx 147.41.$$

4.
$$\int_{4}^{9} \sqrt{u} \, du = \int_{4}^{9} u^{\frac{1}{2}} \, du = \frac{2}{3} u^{\frac{3}{2}} \Big|_{4}^{9} = \frac{2}{3} \left(9^{\frac{3}{2}} - 4^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) = \frac{2}{3} (27 - 8) = \frac{38}{3}.$$

5.
$$\int_{1}^{5} 2 \, dx = 2x \Big|_{1}^{5} = 2(5) - 2 = 2(5 - 1) = 8.$$

This integral is interesting; the integrand is a constant function, hence we are finding the area of a rectangle with width (5 - 1) = 4 and height 2. Notice how the evaluation of the definite integral led to 2(4) = 8.

In general, if c is a constant, then $\int_a^b c \, dx = c(b-a)$.

Understanding Motion with the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

We established, starting with Key Idea 2.2.1, that the derivative of a position function is a velocity function, and the derivative of a velocity function is an acceleration function. Now consider definite integrals of velocity and acceleration

functions. Specifically, if v(t) is a velocity function, what does $\int_a^b v(t) dt$ mean?

The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus states that

$$\int_a^b \mathbf{v}(t) \, dt = \mathbf{V}(b) - \mathbf{V}(a),$$

where V(t) is any antiderivative of v(t). Since v(t) is a velocity function, V(t) must be a position function, and V(b) - V(a) measures a change in position, or **displacement**.

Example 5.4.4 Finding displacement

A ball is thrown straight up with velocity given by v(t) = -32t + 20 ft/s, where

t is measured in seconds. Find, and interpret, $\int_0^1 v(t) dt$.

SOLUTION Using the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} v(t) dt = \int_{0}^{1} (-32t + 20) dt$$
$$= -16t^{2} + 20t \Big|_{0}^{1}$$
$$= 4.$$

Thus if a ball is thrown straight up into the air with velocity v(t) = -32t + 20, the height of the ball, 1 second later, will be 4 feet above the initial height. (Note that the ball has *traveled* much farther. It has gone up to its peak and is falling down, but the difference between its height at t = 0 and t = 1 is 4ft.)

Integrating a rate of change function gives total change. Velocity is the rate of position change; integrating velocity gives the total change of position, i.e., displacement.

Integrating a speed function gives a similar, though different, result. Speed is also the rate of position change, but does not account for direction. So integrating a speed function gives total change of position, without the possibility of "negative position change." Hence the integral of a speed function gives *distance traveled*.

As acceleration is the rate of velocity change, integrating an acceleration function gives total change in velocity. We do not have a simple term for this analogous to displacement. If a(t) = 5 miles/h² and t is measured in hours, then

$$\int_0^3 a(t) \, dt = 15$$

means the velocity has increased by 15m/h from t = 0 to t = 3.

The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus and the Chain Rule

Part 1 of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus (FTC) states that given $F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(t) dt$, F'(x) = f(x). Using other notation, $\frac{d}{dx}(F(x)) = f(x)$. While we have just practiced evaluating definite integrals, sometimes finding antiderivatives is impossible and we need to rely on other techniques to approximate the value of a definite integral. Functions written as $F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(t) dt$ are useful in such situations.

It may be of further use to compose such a function with another. As an example, we may compose F(x) with g(x) to get

$$F(g(x)) = \int_a^{g(x)} f(t) \, dt.$$

What is the derivative of such a function? The Chain Rule can be employed to state

$$\frac{d}{dx}\Big(F\big(g(x)\big)\Big)=F'\big(g(x)\big)g'(x)=f\big(g(x)\big)g'(x).$$

An example will help us understand this.

Example 5.4.5 The FTC, Part 1, and the Chain Rule

Find the derivative of $F(x) = \int_2^{x^2} \ln t \, dt$.

SOLUTION We can view F(x) as being the function $G(x) = \int_{2}^{x} \ln t \, dt$ composed with $g(x) = x^2$; that is, F(x) = G(g(x)). The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus states that $G'(x) = \ln x$. The Chain Rule gives us

$$F'(x) = G'(g(x))g'(x)$$

= ln(g(x))g'(x)
= ln(x²)2x
= 2x ln x²

Normally, the steps defining G(x) and g(x) are skipped.

Practice this once more.

Example 5.4.6 The FTC, Part 1, and the Chain Rule Find the derivative of $F(x) = \int_{\cos x}^{5} t^{3} dt$.

SOLUTION Note that $F(x) = -\int_{5}^{\cos x} t^3 dt$. Viewed this way, the derivative of *F* is straightforward:

 $F'(x) = \sin x \cos^3 x.$

Area Between Curves

Consider continuous functions f(x) and g(x) defined on [a, b], where $f(x) \ge g(x)$ for all x in [a, b], as demonstrated in Figure 5.4.2. What is the area of the shaded region bounded by the two curves over [a, b]?

The area can be found by recognizing that this area is "the area under f — the area under g." Using mathematical notation, the area is

$$\int_a^b f(x) \, dx - \int_a^b g(x) \, dx.$$

Properties of the definite integral allow us to simplify this expression to

$$\int_a^b \left(f(x) - g(x)\right) \, dx.$$

Theorem 5.4.3 Area Between Curves

Let f(x) and g(x) be continuous functions defined on [a, b] where $f(x) \ge g(x)$ for all x in [a, b]. The area of the region bounded by the curves y = f(x), y = g(x) and the lines x = a and x = b is

$$\int_a^b \left(f(x) - g(x)\right) \, dx.$$

Example 5.4.7 Finding area between curves Find the area of the radion onclosed by $y = y^2 + y = 5$ and y = 7

Find the area of the region enclosed by $y = x^2 + x - 5$ and y = 3x - 2.

SOLUTION It will help to sketch these two functions, as done in Figure 5.4.3. The region whose area we seek is completely bounded by these two functions; they seem to intersect at x = -1 and x = 3. To check, set $x^2+x-5 =$




Figure 5.4.2: Finding the area bounded by two functions on an interval; it is found by subtracting the area under g from the area under f.




Figure 5.4.3: Sketching the region enclosed by $y = x^2 + x - 5$ and y = 3x - 2 in Example 5.4.7.




Figure 5.4.4: A graph of a function *f* to introduce the Mean Value Theorem.




Figure 5.4.5: Differently sized rectangles give upper and lower bounds on $\int_{1}^{4} f(x) dx$; the last rectangle matches the area exactly.

3x - 2 and solve for x:

$$x^{2} + x - 5 = 3x - 2$$

(x² + x - 5) - (3x - 2) = 0
x² - 2x - 3 = 0
(x - 3)(x + 1) = 0
x = -1, 3.

Following Theorem 5.4.3, the area is

$$\int_{-1}^{3} \left(3x - 2 - (x^2 + x - 5)\right) dx = \int_{-1}^{3} (-x^2 + 2x + 3) dx$$
$$= \left(-\frac{1}{3}x^3 + x^2 + 3x\right)\Big|_{-1}^{3}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{3}(27) + 9 + 9 - \left(\frac{1}{3} + 1 - 3\right)$$
$$= 10\frac{2}{3} = 10.\overline{6}$$

The Mean Value Theorem and Average Value

Consider the graph of a function f in Figure 5.4.4 and the area defined by $\int_{1}^{4} f(x) dx$. Three rectangles are drawn in Figure 5.4.5; in (a), the height of the rectangle is greater than f on [1, 4], hence the area of this rectangle is is greater than $\int_{0}^{4} f(x) dx$.

In (b), the height of the rectangle is smaller than f on [1, 4], hence the area of this rectangle is less than $\int_{1}^{4} f(x) dx$.

Finally, in (c) the height of the rectangle is such that the area of the rectangle is *exactly* that of $\int_0^4 f(x) dx$. Since rectangles that are "too big", as in (a), and rectangles that are "too little," as in (b), give areas greater/lesser than $\int_1^4 f(x) dx$, it makes sense that there is a rectangle, whose top intersects f(x) somewhere on [1, 4], whose area is *exactly* that of the definite integral.

We state this idea formally in a theorem.

Theorem 5.4.4 The Mean Value Theorem of Integration

Let f be continuous on [a, b]. There exists a value c in [a, b] such that

$$\int_a^b f(x) \, dx = f(c)(b-a).$$

This is an *existential* statement; *c* exists, but we do not provide a method of finding it. Theorem 5.4.4 is directly connected to the Mean Value Theorem of Differentiation, given as Theorem 3.2.1; we leave it to the reader to see how.

We demonstrate the principles involved in this version of the Mean Value Theorem in the following example.

Example 5.4.8 Using the Mean Value Theorem

Consider $\int_0^{\pi} \sin x \, dx$. Find a value *c* guaranteed by the Mean Value Theorem.

SOLUTION We first need to evaluate $\int_0^{\pi} \sin x \, dx$. (This was previously done in Example 5.4.3.)

$$\int_0^\pi \sin x \, dx = -\cos x \Big|_0^\pi = 2.$$

Thus we seek a value *c* in $[0, \pi]$ such that $\pi \sin c = 2$.

$$\pi \sin c = 2 \Rightarrow \sin c = 2/\pi \Rightarrow c = \arcsin(2/\pi) \approx 0.69$$

In Figure 5.4.6 sin x is sketched along with a rectangle with height sin(0.69). The area of the rectangle is the same as the area under sin x on $[0, \pi]$.

Let *f* be a function on [a, b] with *c* such that $f(c)(b-a) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$. Consider $\int_a^b (f(x) - f(c)) dx$:

$$\int_a^b \left(f(x) - f(c)\right) dx = \int_a^b f(x) - \int_a^b f(c) dx$$
$$= f(c)(b-a) - f(c)(b-a)$$
$$= 0.$$

When f(x) is shifted by -f(c), the amount of area under f above the x-axis on [a, b] is the same as the amount of area below the x-axis above f; see Figure 5.4.7 for an illustration of this. In this sense, we can say that f(c) is the *average* value of f on [a, b].




Figure 5.4.6: A graph of $y = \sin x$ on $[0, \pi]$ and the rectangle guaranteed by the Mean Value Theorem.




Figure 5.4.7: In (a), a graph of y = f(x) and the rectangle guaranteed by the Mean Value Theorem. In (b), y = f(x) is shifted down by f(c); the resulting "area under the curve" is 0.

The value f(c) is the average value in another sense. First, recognize that the Mean Value Theorem can be rewritten as

$$f(c) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) \, dx$$

for some value of c in [a, b]. Next, partition the interval [a, b] into n equally spaced subintervals, $a = x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_{n+1} = b$ and choose any c_i in $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$. The average of the numbers $f(c_1), f(c_2), \ldots, f(c_n)$ is:

$$\frac{1}{n}\Big(f(c_1) + f(c_2) + \ldots + f(c_n)\Big) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n f(c_i).$$

Multiply this last expression by 1 in the form of $\frac{(b-a)}{(b-a)}$:

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f(c_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}f(c_{i})\frac{1}{n}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n}f(c_{i})\frac{1}{n}\frac{(b-a)}{(b-a)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{b-a}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f(c_{i})\frac{b-a}{n}$$
$$= \frac{1}{b-a}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f(c_{i})\Delta x \quad (\text{where } \Delta x = (b-a)/n)$$

Now take the limit as $n \to \infty$:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{b-a}\sum_{i=1}^n f(c_i)\Delta x = \frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b f(x)\,dx = f(c).$$

This tells us this: when we evaluate *f* at *n* (somewhat) equally spaced points in [a, b], the average value of these samples is f(c) as $n \to \infty$.

This leads us to a definition.

Definition 5.4.1 The Average Value of f on [a, b]Let f be continuous on [a, b]. The average value of f on [a, b] is f(c), where c is a value in [a, b] guaranteed by the Mean Value Theorem. I.e.,

Average Value of
$$f$$
 on $[a, b] = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx$.

An application of this definition is given in the following example.

Example 5.4.9 Finding the average value of a function

An object moves back and forth along a straight line with a velocity given by $v(t) = (t - 1)^2$ on [0, 3], where t is measured in seconds and v(t) is measured in ft/s.

What is the average velocity of the object?

SOLUTION By our definition, the average velocity is:

$$\frac{1}{3-0}\int_0^3 (t-1)^2 dt = \frac{1}{3}\int_0^3 \left(t^2 - 2t + 1\right) dt = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{3}t^3 - t^2 + t\right)\Big|_0^3 = 1 \text{ ft/s}.$$

We can understand the above example through a simpler situation. Suppose you drove 100 miles in 2 hours. What was your average speed? The answer is simple: displacement/time = 100 miles/2 hours = 50 mph.

What was the displacement of the object in Example 5.4.9? We calculate this by integrating its velocity function: $\int_0^3 (t-1)^2 dt = 3$ ft. Its final position was 3 feet from its initial position after 3 seconds: its average velocity was 1 ft/s.

This section has laid the groundwork for a lot of great mathematics to follow. The most important lesson is this: definite integrals can be evaluated using antiderivatives. Since the previous section established that definite integrals are the limit of Riemann sums, we can later create Riemann sums to approximate values other than "area under the curve," convert the sums to definite integrals, then evaluate these using the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus. This will allow us to compute the work done by a variable force, the volume of certain solids, the arc length of curves, and more.

The downside is this: generally speaking, computing antiderivatives is much more difficult than computing derivatives. The next chapter is devoted to techniques of finding antiderivatives so that a wide variety of definite integrals can be evaluated. Before that, the next section explores techniques of approximating the value of definite integrals beyond using the Left Hand, Right Hand and Midpoint Rules. These techniques are invaluable when antiderivatives cannot be computed, or when the actual function f is unknown and all we know is the value of f at certain x-values.

Exercises 5.4

Terms and Concepts

- 1. How are definite and indefinite integrals related?
- 2. What constant of integration is most commonly used when evaluating definite integrals?
- 3. T/F: If *f* is a continuous function, then $F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(t) dt$ is also a continuous function.
- 4. The definite integral can be used to find "the area under a curve." Give two other uses for definite integrals.

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 28, evaluate the definite integral.

5. $\int_{1}^{3} (3x^2 - 2x + 1) dx$ 6. $\int_{0}^{4} (x-1)^2 dx$ 7. $\int_{-1}^{1} (x^3 - x^5) dx$ 8. $\int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} \cos x \, dx$ 9. $\int_{0}^{\pi/4} \sec^2 x \, dx$ 10. $\int_{1}^{e} \frac{1}{x} dx$ 11. $\int_{-1}^{1} 5^{x} dx$ 12. $\int_{-2}^{-1} (4-2x^3) dx$ 13. $\int_{0}^{\pi} (2\cos x - 2\sin x) dx$ 14. $\int_{1}^{3} e^{x} dx$ 15. $\int_{0}^{4} \sqrt{t} dt$ 16. $\int_{9}^{25} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} dt$ 17. $\int_{1}^{8} \sqrt[3]{x} \, dx$

18.
$$\int_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{x} dx$$

19.
$$\int_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{x^2} dx$$

20.
$$\int_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{x^{3}} dx$$

$$21. \int_0^1 x \, dx$$

22.
$$\int_0^1 x^2 dx$$

23. $\int_{1}^{1} x^{3} dx$

24.
$$\int_0^1 x^{100} dx$$

25.
$$\int_{-4}^{4} dx$$

26.
$$\int_{-10}^{-5} 3 \, dx$$

27.
$$\int_{-2}^{2} 0 \, dx$$

$$28. \quad \int_{\pi/6}^{\pi/3} \csc x \cot x \, dx$$

29. Explain why:

(a)
$$\int_{-1}^{1} x^n dx = 0$$
, when *n* is a positive, odd integer, and

(b)
$$\int_{-1}^{1} x^{n} dx = 2 \int_{0}^{1} x^{n} dx$$
 when *n* is a positive, even integer.

30. Explain why
$$\int_{a}^{a+2\pi} \sin t \, dt = 0$$
 for all values of a .

In Exercises 31 – 34, find a value \boldsymbol{c} guaranteed by the Mean Value Theorem.

31.
$$\int_{0}^{2} x^{2} dx$$

32. $\int_{-2}^{2} x^{2} dx$
33. $\int_{0}^{1} e^{x} dx$
34. $\int_{0}^{16} \sqrt{x} dx$

~

In Exercises 35 – 40, find the average value of the function on the given interval.

- 35. $f(x) = \sin x$ on $[0, \pi/2]$
- 36. $y = \sin x$ on $[0, \pi]$
- 37. y = x on [0, 4]
- 38. $y = x^2$ on [0, 4]
- 39. $y = x^3$ on [0, 4]
- 40. g(t) = 1/t on [1, e]

In Exercises 41 - 46, a velocity function of an object moving along a straight line is given. Find the displacement of the object over the given time interval.

41.
$$v(t) = -32t + 20$$
ft/s on [0, 5]

- 42. v(t) = -32t + 200ft/s on [0, 10]
- 43. v(t) = 10ft/s on [0, 3].
- 44. $v(t) = 2^t$ mph on [-1, 1]
- 45. $v(t) = \cos t$ ft/s on $[0, 3\pi/2]$

46.
$$v(t) = \sqrt[4]{t}$$
 ft/s on [0, 16]

In Exercises 47 – 50, an acceleration function of an object moving along a straight line is given. Find the change of the object's velocity over the given time interval.

47.
$$a(t) = -32$$
ft/s² on [0, 2]
48. $a(t) = 10$ ft/s² on [0, 5]
49. $a(t) = t$ ft/s² on [0, 2]
50. $a(t) = \cos t$ ft/s² on [0, π]

In Exercises 51 - 54, sketch the given functions and find the area of the enclosed region.

51. y = 2x, y = 5x, and x = 3. 52. y = -x + 1, y = 3x + 6, x = 2 and x = -1. 53. $y = x^2 - 2x + 5$, y = 5x - 5. 54. $y = 2x^2 + 2x - 5$, $y = x^2 + 3x + 7$.

In Exercises 55 – 58, find F'(x).

55.
$$F(x) = \int_{2}^{x^{3}+x} \frac{1}{t} dt$$

56. $F(x) = \int_{x^{3}}^{0} t^{3} dt$
57. $F(x) = \int_{x}^{x^{2}} (t+2) dt$
58. $F(x) = \int_{\ln x}^{e^{x}} \sin t dt$




Figure 5.5.1: Graphically representing three definite integrals that cannot be evaluated using antiderivatives.

5.5 Numerical Integration

The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus gives a concrete technique for finding the exact value of a definite integral. That technique is based on computing antiderivatives. Despite the power of this theorem, there are still situations where we must *approximate* the value of the definite integral instead of finding its exact value. The first situation we explore is where we *cannot* compute the antiderivative of the integrand. The second case is when we actually do not know the function in the integrand, but only its value when evaluated at certain points.

An **elementary function** is any function that is a combination of polynomial, n^{th} root, rational, exponential, logarithmic and trigonometric functions. We can compute the derivative of any elementary function, but there are many elementary functions of which we cannot compute an antiderivative. For example, the following functions do not have antiderivatives that we can express with elementary functions:

$$e^{-x^2}$$
, $\sin(x^3)$ and $\frac{\sin x}{x}$.

The simplest way to refer to the antiderivatives of e^{-x^2} is to simply write $\int e^{-x^2} dx$.

This section outlines three common methods of approximating the value of definite integrals. We describe each as a systematic method of approximating area under a curve. By approximating this area accurately, we find an accurate approximation of the corresponding definite integral.

We will apply the methods we learn in this section to the following definite integrals:

$$\int_0^1 e^{-x^2} dx, \quad \int_{-\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(x^3) dx, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{0.5}^{4\pi} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx,$$

as pictured in Figure 5.5.1.

The Left and Right Hand Rule Methods

In Section 5.3 we addressed the problem of evaluating definite integrals by approximating the area under the curve using rectangles. We revisit those ideas here before introducing other methods of approximating definite integrals.

We start with a review of notation. Let f be a continuous function on the interval [a, b]. We wish to approximate $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$. We partition [a, b] into n equally spaced subintervals, each of length $\Delta x = \frac{b-a}{n}$. The endpoints of these

subintervals are labeled as

spaced subintervals.

$$x_1 = a, x_2 = a + \Delta x, x_3 = a + 2\Delta x, \dots, x_i = a + (i-1)\Delta x, \dots, x_{n+1} = b$$

Key Idea 5.3.1 states that to use the Left Hand Rule we use the summation $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) \Delta x$ and to use the Right Hand Rule we use $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i+1}) \Delta x$. We review the use of these rules in the context of examples.

Example 5.5.1 Approximating definite integrals with rectangles Approximate $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^{2}} dx$ using the Left and Right Hand Rules with 5 equally

SOLUTION We begin by partitioning the interval [0, 1] into 5 equally spaced intervals. We have $\Delta x = \frac{1-0}{5} = 1/5 = 0.2$, so

$$x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0.2, x_3 = 0.4, x_4 = 0.6, x_5 = 0.8, and x_6 = 1$$

Using the Left Hand Rule, we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) \Delta x = (f(x_1) + f(x_2) + f(x_3) + f(x_4) + f(x_5)) \Delta x$$

= $(f(0) + f(0.2) + f(0.4) + f(0.6) + f(0.8)) \Delta x$
 $\approx (1 + 0.961 + 0.852 + 0.698 + 0.527)(0.2)$
 $\approx 0.808.$

Using the Right Hand Rule, we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i+1}) \Delta x = (f(x_2) + f(x_3) + f(x_4) + f(x_5) + f(x_6)) \Delta x$$

= $(f(0.2) + f(0.4) + f(0.6) + f(0.8) + f(1)) \Delta x$
 $\approx (0.961 + 0.852 + 0.698 + 0.527 + 0.368)(0.2)$
 ≈ 0.681

Figure 5.5.2 shows the rectangles used in each method to approximate the definite integral. These graphs show that in this particular case, the Left Hand Rule is an over approximation and the Right Hand Rule is an under approximation. To get a better approximation, we could use more rectangles, as we did in




Figure 5.5.2: Approximating $\int_0^1 e^{-x^2} dx$ in Example 5.5.1.

Chapter 5 Integration

Xi	Exact	Approx.	$sin(x_i^3)$
<i>x</i> ₁	$-\pi/4$	-0.785	-0.466
<i>x</i> ₂	$-7\pi/40$	-0.550	-0.165
X 3	$-\pi/10$	-0.314	-0.031
X 4	$-\pi/40$	-0.0785	0
X 5	$\pi/20$	0.157	0.004
<i>x</i> ₆	$\pi/8$	0.393	0.061
X 7	$\pi/5$	0.628	0.246
X 8	11 $\pi/40$	0.864	0.601
X 9	$7\pi/20$	1.10	0.971
<i>x</i> ₁₀	$17\pi/40$	1.34	0.690
x ₁₁	$\pi/2$	1.57	-0.670

Figure 5.5.3: Table of values used to approximate $\int_{-\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(x^3) dx$ in Example 5.5.2.




Figure 5.5.4: Approximating $\int_{-\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(x^3) dx$ in Example 5.5.2.

Section 5.3. We could also average the Left and Right Hand Rule results together, giving

$$\frac{0.808 + 0.681}{2} = 0.7445.$$

The actual answer, accurate to 4 places after the decimal, is 0.7468, showing our average is a good approximation.

Example 5.5.2 Approximating definite integrals with rectangles

Approximate $\int_{-\frac{\pi}{4}}^{2} \sin(x^3) dx$ using the Left and Right Hand Rules with 10 equally spaced subintervals.

SOLUTION

We begin by finding Δx :

$$\frac{b-a}{n} = \frac{\pi/2 - (-\pi/4)}{10} = \frac{3\pi}{40} \approx 0.236$$

It is useful to write out the endpoints of the subintervals in a table; in Figure 5.5.3, we give the exact values of the endpoints, their decimal approximations, and decimal approximations of $sin(x^3)$ evaluated at these points.

Once this table is created, it is straightforward to approximate the definite integral using the Left and Right Hand Rules. (Note: the table itself is easy to create, especially with a standard spreadsheet program on a computer. The last two columns are all that are needed.) The Left Hand Rule sums the first 10 values of $\sin(x_i^3)$ and multiplies the sum by Δx ; the Right Hand Rule sums the last 10 values of $\sin(x_i^3)$ and multiplies by Δx . Therefore we have:

Left Hand Rule:
$$\int_{-\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(x^3) \, dx \approx (1.91)(0.236) = 0.451.$$

Right Hand Rule:
$$\int_{-\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(x^3) \, dx \approx (1.71)(0.236) = 0.404.$$

Average of the Left and Right Hand Rules: 0.4275.

The actual answer, accurate to 3 places after the decimal, is 0.460. Our approximations were once again fairly good. The rectangles used in each approximation are shown in Figure 5.5.4. It is clear from the graphs that using more rectangles (and hence, narrower rectangles) should result in a more accurate approximation.

The Trapezoidal Rule

In Example 5.5.1 we approximated the value of $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^2} dx$ with 5 rectangles of equal width. Figure 5.5.2 shows the rectangles used in the Left and Right

Hand Rules. These graphs clearly show that rectangles do not match the shape of the graph all that well, and that accurate approximations will only come by using lots of rectangles.

Instead of using rectangles to approximate the area, we can instead use *trapezoids*. In Figure 5.5.5, we show the region under $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$ on [0, 1] approximated with 5 trapezoids of equal width; the top "corners" of each trapezoid lies on the graph of f(x). It is clear from this figure that these trapezoids more accurately approximate the area under f and hence should give a better approximation of $\int_0^1 e^{-x^2} dx$. (In fact, these trapezoids seem to give a *great* approximation of the area!)

The formula for the area of a trapezoid is given in Figure 5.5.6. We approximate $\int_0^1 e^{-x^2} dx$ with these trapezoids in the following example.

Example 5.5.3 Approximating definite integrals using trapezoids

Use 5 trapezoids of equal width to approximate $\int_0^1 e^{-x^2} dx$.

SOLUTION To compute the areas of the 5 trapezoids in Figure 5.5.5, it will again be useful to create a table of values as shown in Figure 5.5.7.

The leftmost trapezoid has legs of length 1 and 0.961 and a height of 0.2. Thus, by our formula, the area of the leftmost trapezoid is:

$$\frac{1+0.961}{2}(0.2)=0.1961.$$

Moving right, the next trapezoid has legs of length 0.961 and 0.852 and a height of 0.2. Thus its area is:

$$\frac{0.961 + 0.852}{2}(0.2) = 0.1813.$$

The sum of the areas of all 5 trapezoids is:

$$\frac{1+0.961}{2}(0.2) + \frac{0.961+0.852}{2}(0.2) + \frac{0.852+0.698}{2}(0.2) + \frac{0.698+0.527}{2}(0.2) + \frac{0.527+0.368}{2}(0.2) = 0.7445.$$

We approximate $\int_0^1 e^{-x^2} dx \approx 0.7445.$

There are many things to observe in this example. Note how each term in the final summation was multiplied by both 1/2 and by $\Delta x = 0.2$. We can factor these coefficients out, leaving a more concise summation as:

$$\frac{1}{2}(0.2)\Big[(1+0.961)+(0.961+0.852)+(0.852+0.698)+(0.698+0.527)+(0.527+0.368)\Big].$$

Notes:




Figure 5.5.5: Approximating $\int_0^1 e^{-x^2} dx$ using 5 trapezoids of equal widths.




Figure 5.5.6: The area of a trapezoid.

Xi	$e^{-x_{i}^{2}}$
0	1
0.2	0.961
0.4	0.852
0.6	0.698
0.8	0.527
1	0.368

Figure 5.5.7: A table of values of e^{-x^2} .

Now notice that all numbers except for the first and the last are added twice. Therefore we can write the summation even more concisely as

$$\frac{0.2}{2} \Big[1 + 2 (0.961 + 0.852 + 0.698 + 0.527) + 0.368 \Big]$$

This is the heart of the **Trapezoidal Rule**, wherein a definite integral $\int_{a} f(x) dx$ is approximated by using trapezoids of equal widths to approximate the corre-

sponding area under f. Using n equally spaced subintervals with endpoints x_1 , b - a

 x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1} , we again have $\Delta x = \frac{b-a}{n}$. Thus:

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1})}{2} \Delta x$$
$$= \frac{\Delta x}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1}) \right)$$
$$= \frac{\Delta x}{2} \left[f(x_1) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n} f(x_i) + f(x_{n+1}) \right]$$

Example 5.5.4 Using the Trapezoidal Rule

Revisit Example 5.5.2 and approximate $\int_{-\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(x^3) dx$ using the Trapezoidal Rule and 10 equally spaced subintervals.

SOLUTION We refer back to Figure 5.5.3 for the table of values of $sin(x^3)$. Recall that $\Delta x = 3\pi/40 \approx 0.236$. Thus we have:

$$\int_{-\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(x^3) \, dx \approx \frac{0.236}{2} \Big[-0.466 + 2 \Big(-0.165 + (-0.031) + \ldots + 0.69 \Big) + (-0.67) \Big]$$

$$= 0.4275.$$

Notice how "quickly" the Trapezoidal Rule can be implemented once the table of values is created. This is true for all the methods explored in this section; the real work is creating a table of x_i and $f(x_i)$ values. Once this is completed, approximating the definite integral is not difficult. Again, using technology is wise. Spreadsheets can make quick work of these computations and make using lots of subintervals easy.

Also notice the approximations the Trapezoidal Rule gives. It is the average of the approximations given by the Left and Right Hand Rules! This effectively

renders the Left and Right Hand Rules obsolete. They are useful when first learning about definite integrals, but if a real approximation is needed, one is generally better off using the Trapezoidal Rule instead of either the Left or Right Hand Rule.

How can we improve on the Trapezoidal Rule, apart from using more and more trapezoids? The answer is clear once we look back and consider what we have *really* done so far. The Left Hand Rule is not *really* about using rectangles to approximate area. Instead, it approximates a function *f* with constant functions on small subintervals and then computes the definite integral of these constant functions. The Trapezoidal Rule is really approximating a function *f* with a linear function on a small subinterval, then computes the definite integral of this linear function. In both of these cases the definite integrals are easy to compute in geometric terms.

So we have a progression: we start by approximating f with a constant function and then with a linear function. What is next? A quadratic function. By approximating the curve of a function with lots of parabolas, we generally get an even better approximation of the definite integral. We call this process **Simpson's Rule**, named after Thomas Simpson (1710-1761), even though others had used this rule as much as 100 years prior.

Simpson's Rule

Given one point, we can create a constant function that goes through that point. Given two points, we can create a linear function that goes through those points. Given three points, we can create a quadratic function that goes through those three points (given that no two have the same *x*-value).

Consider three points (x_1, y_1) , (x_2, y_2) and (x_3, y_3) whose *x*-values are equally spaced and $x_1 < x_2 < x_3$. Let *f* be the quadratic function that goes through these three points. It is not hard to show that

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_3} f(x) \, dx = \frac{x_3 - x_1}{6} \big(y_1 + 4y_2 + y_3 \big). \tag{5.4}$$

Consider Figure 5.5.8. A function f goes through the 3 points shown and the parabola g that also goes through those points is graphed with a dashed line. Using our equation from above, we know exactly that

$$\int_{1}^{3} g(x) \, dx = \frac{3-1}{6} \big(3+4(1)+2 \big) = 3.$$

Since g is a good approximation for f on [1, 3], we can state that

$$\int_1^3 f(x) \, dx \approx 3.$$

Figure 5.5.8: A graph of a function f and a parabola that approximates it well on [1, 3].

Chapter 5 Integration




Figure 5.5.9: A table of values to approximate $\int_0^1 e^{-x^2} dx$, along with a graph of the function.

Xi	$sin(x_i^3)$
-0.785	-0.466
-0.550	-0.165
-0.314	-0.031
-0.0785	0
0.157	0.004
0.393	0.061
0.628	0.246
0.864	0.601
1.10	0.971
1.34	0.690
1.57	-0.670

Figure 5.5.10: Table of values used to approximate $\int_{-\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(x^3) dx$ in Example 5.5.6.

Notice how the interval [1, 3] was split into two subintervals as we needed 3 points. Because of this, whenever we use Simpson's Rule, we need to break the interval into an even number of subintervals.

In general, to approximate $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$ using Simpson's Rule, subdivide [a, b] into n subintervals, where n is even and each subinterval has width $\Delta x = (b - a)/n$. We approximate f with n/2 parabolic curves, using Equation (5.4) to compute the area under these parabolas. Adding up these areas gives the formula:

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \approx \frac{\Delta x}{3} \Big[f(x_1) + 4f(x_2) + 2f(x_3) + 4f(x_4) + \ldots + 2f(x_{n-1}) + 4f(x_n) + f(x_{n+1}) \Big].$$

Note how the coefficients of the terms in the summation have the pattern 1, 4, 2, 4, 2, 4, . . . , 2, 4, 1.

Let's demonstrate Simpson's Rule with a concrete example.

Example 5.5.5 Using Simpson's Rule Approximate $\int_0^1 e^{-x^2} dx$ using Simpson's Rule and 4 equally spaced subintervals.

SOLUTION We begin by making a table of values as we have in the past, as shown in Figure 5.5.9(a). Simpson's Rule states that

$$\int_0^1 e^{-x^2} dx \approx \frac{0.25}{3} \Big[1 + 4(0.939) + 2(0.779) + 4(0.570) + 0.368 \Big] = 0.7468\overline{3}.$$

Recall in Example 5.5.1 we stated that the correct answer, accurate to 4 places after the decimal, was 0.7468. Our approximation with Simpson's Rule, with 4 subintervals, is better than our approximation with the Trapezoidal Rule using 5!

Figure 5.5.9(b) shows $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$ along with its approximating parabolas, demonstrating how good our approximation is. The approximating curves are nearly indistinguishable from the actual function.

Example 5.5.6 Using Simpson's Rule

Approximate $\int_{-\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(x^3) dx$ using Simpson's Rule and 10 equally spaced intervals.

SOLUTION Figure 5.5.10 shows the table of values that we used in the past for this problem, shown here again for convenience. Again, $\Delta x = (\pi/2 + \pi/4)/10 \approx 0.236$.

Simpson's Rule states that

$$\int_{-\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(x^3) \, dx \approx \frac{0.236}{3} \Big[(-0.466) + 4(-0.165) + 2(-0.031) + \dots \\ \dots + 2(0.971) + 4(0.69) + (-0.67) \Big] \\= 0.4701$$

Recall that the actual value, accurate to 3 decimal places, is 0.460. Our approximation is within one $1/100^{\text{th}}$ of the correct value. The graph in Figure 5.5.11 shows how closely the parabolas match the shape of the graph.

Summary and Error Analysis

We summarize the key concepts of this section thus far in the following Key Idea.




Figure 5.5.11: Approximating $\int_{-\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(x^3) dx$ in Example 5.5.6 with Simpson's Rule and 10 equally spaced intervals.

-1

Key Idea 5.5.1Numerical IntegrationLet f be a continuous function on [a, b], let n be a positive integer, and let $\Delta x = \frac{b-a}{n}$.Set $x_1 = a, x_2 = a + \Delta x, \dots, x_i = a + (i-1)\Delta x, x_{n+1} = b$.Consider $\int_a^b f(x) dx$.Left Hand Rule: $\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \Delta x \left[f(x_1) + f(x_2) + \dots + f(x_n) \right]$.Right Hand Rule: $\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \Delta x \left[f(x_2) + f(x_3) + \dots + f(x_{n+1}) \right]$.Trapezoidal Rule: $\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \frac{\Delta x}{2} \left[f(x_1) + 2f(x_2) + 2f(x_3) + \dots + 2f(x_n) + f(x_{n+1}) \right]$.Simpson's Rule: $\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \frac{\Delta x}{3} \left[f(x_1) + 4f(x_2) + 2f(x_3) + \dots + 4f(x_n) + f(x_{n+1}) \right]$ (n even).

In our examples, we approximated the value of a definite integral using a given method then compared it to the "right" answer. This should have raised several questions in the reader's mind, such as:

- 1. How was the "right" answer computed?
- 2. If the right answer can be found, what is the point of approximating?
- 3. If there is value to approximating, how are we supposed to know if the approximation is any good?

These are good questions, and their answers are educational. In the examples, *the* right answer was never computed. Rather, an approximation accurate to a certain number of places after the decimal was given. In Example 5.5.1, we do not know the *exact* answer, but we know it starts with 0.7468. These more accurate approximations were computed using numerical integration but with more precision (i.e., more subintervals and the help of a computer).

Since the exact answer cannot be found, approximation still has its place. How are we to tell if the approximation is any good?

"Trial and error" provides one way. Using technology, make an approximation with, say, 10, 100, and 200 subintervals. This likely will not take much time at all, and a trend should emerge. If a trend does not emerge, try using yet more subintervals. Keep in mind that trial and error is never foolproof; you might stumble upon a problem in which a trend will not emerge.

A second method is to use Error Analysis. While the details are beyond the scope of this text, there are some formulas that give *bounds* for how good your approximation will be. For instance, the formula might state that the approximation is within 0.1 of the correct answer. If the approximation is 1.58, then one knows that the correct answer is between 1.48 and 1.68. By using lots of subintervals, one can get an approximation as accurate as one likes. Theorem 5.5.1 states what these bounds are.

Theorem 5.5.1 Error Bounds in the Trapezoidal Rule and Simpson's Rule

1. Let E_T be the error in approximating $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ using the Trapezoidal Rule with *n* subintervals.

If *f* has a continuous 2^{nd} derivative on [a, b] and *M* is any upper bound of |f''(x)| on [a, b], then

$$\overline{T}_{T}\leq \frac{(b-a)^{3}}{12n^{2}}M.$$

2. Let E_s be the error in approximating $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ using Simpson's Rule with *n* subintervals.

If *f* has a continuous 4th derivative on [a, b] and *M* is any upper bound of $|f^{(4)}|$ on [a, b], then

$$T_s \leq \frac{(b-a)^5}{180n^4}M.$$

Ε

There are some key things to note about this theorem.

- 1. The larger the interval, the larger the error. This should make sense intuitively.
- 2. The error shrinks as more subintervals are used (i.e., as n gets larger).
- 3. The error in Simpson's Rule has a term relating to the 4th derivative of f. Consider a cubic polynomial: it's 4th derivative is 0. Therefore, the error in approximating the definite integral of a cubic polynomial with Simpson's Rule is 0 Simpson's Rule computes the exact answer!

We revisit Examples 5.5.3 and 5.5.5 and compute the error bounds using Theorem 5.5.1 in the following example.

Example 5.5.7 Computing error bounds

Find the error bounds when approximating $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^{2}} dx$ using the Trapezoidal Rule and 5 subintervals, and using Simpson's Rule with 4 subintervals.

SOLUTION

Trapezoidal Rule with n = 5: We start by computing the 2nd derivative of $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$:

$$f''(x) = e^{-x^2}(4x^2 - 2).$$

Figure 5.5.12 shows a graph of f''(x) on [0, 1]. It is clear that the largest value of f'', in absolute value, is 2. Thus we let M = 2 and apply the error formula from Theorem 5.5.1.

$$E_T = rac{(1-0)^3}{12\cdot 5^2} \cdot 2 = 0.00\overline{6}.$$

Our error estimation formula states that our approximation of 0.7445 found in Example 5.5.3 is within 0.0067 of the correct answer, hence we know that

$$0.7445 - 0.0067 = .7378 \le \int_0^1 e^{-x^2} \, dx \le 0.7512 = 0.7445 + 0.0067$$

We had earlier computed the exact answer, correct to 4 decimal places, to be 0.7468, affirming the validity of Theorem 5.5.1.

Simpson's Rule with n = 4:

We start by computing the 4th derivative of $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$:

$$f^{(4)}(x) = e^{-x^2}(16x^4 - 48x^2 + 12).$$




Figure 5.5.12: Graphing f''(x) in Example 5.5.7 to help establish error bounds.




Figure 5.5.13: Graphing $f^{(4)}(x)$ in Example 5.5.7 to help establish error bounds.

Time	Speed (mph)
0	0
1	25
2	22
3	19
4	39
5	0
6	43
7	59
8	54
9	51
10	43
11	35
12	40
13	43
14	30
15	0
16	0
17	28
18	40
19	42
20	40
21	39
22	40
23	23
24	0

Figure 5.5.13 shows a graph of $f^{(4)}(x)$ on [0, 1]. It is clear that the largest value of $f^{(4)}$, in absolute value, is 12. Thus we let M = 12 and apply the error formula from Theorem 5.5.1.

$$E_s = \frac{(1-0)^5}{180 \cdot 4^4} \cdot 12 = 0.00026.$$

Our error estimation formula states that our approximation of 0.74683 found in Example 5.5.5 is within 0.00026 of the correct answer, hence we know that

$$0.74683 - 0.00026 = .74657 \le \int_0^1 e^{-x^2} dx \le 0.74709 = 0.74683 + 0.00026.$$

Once again we affirm the validity of Theorem 5.5.1.

At the beginning of this section we mentioned two main situations where numerical integration was desirable. We have considered the case where an antiderivative of the integrand cannot be computed. We now investigate the situation where the integrand is not known. This is, in fact, the most widely used application of Numerical Integration methods. "Most of the time" we observe behavior but do not know "the" function that describes it. We instead collect data about the behavior and make approximations based on this data. We demonstrate this in an example.

Example 5.5.8 Approximating distance traveled

One of the authors drove his daughter home from school while she recorded their speed every 30 seconds. The data is given in Figure 5.5.14. Approximate the distance they traveled.

SOLUTION Recall that by integrating a speed function we get distance traveled. We have information about v(t); we will use Simpson's Rule to approximate $\int_{0}^{b} v(t) dt$

imate $\int_{a} v(t) dt$.

The most difficult aspect of this problem is converting the given data into the form we need it to be in. The speed is measured in miles per hour, whereas the time is measured in 30 second increments.

We need to compute $\Delta x = (b - a)/n$. Clearly, n = 24. What are a and b? Since we start at time t = 0, we have that a = 0. The final recorded time came after 24 periods of 30 seconds, which is 12 minutes or 1/5 of an hour. Thus we have

$$\Delta x = \frac{b-a}{n} = \frac{1/5-0}{24} = \frac{1}{120}; \quad \frac{\Delta x}{3} = \frac{1}{360}.$$

Figure 5.5.14: Speed data collected at 30 second intervals for Example 5.5.8.

Thus the distance traveled is approximately:

$$\int_{0}^{0.2} v(t) dt \approx \frac{1}{360} \Big[f(x_1) + 4f(x_2) + 2f(x_3) + \dots + 4f(x_n) + f(x_{n+1}) \Big]$$
$$= \frac{1}{360} \Big[0 + 4 \cdot 25 + 2 \cdot 22 + \dots + 2 \cdot 40 + 4 \cdot 23 + 0 \Big]$$
$$\approx 6.2167 \text{ miles.}$$

We approximate the author drove 6.2 miles. (Because we are sure the reader wants to know, the author's odometer recorded the distance as about 6.05 miles.)

We started this chapter learning about antiderivatives and indefinite integrals. We then seemed to change focus by looking at areas between the graph of a function and the *x*-axis. We defined these areas as the definite integral of the function, using a notation very similar to the notation of the indefinite integral. The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus tied these two seemingly separate concepts together: we can find areas under a curve, i.e., we can evaluate a definite integral, using antiderivatives.

We ended the chapter by noting that antiderivatives are sometimes more than difficult to find: they are impossible. Therefore we developed numerical techniques that gave us good approximations of definite integrals.

We used the definite integral to compute areas, and also to compute displacements and distances traveled. There is far more we can do than that. In Chapter 7 we'll see more applications of the definite integral. Before that, in Chapter 6 we'll learn advanced techniques of integration, analogous to learning rules like the Product, Quotient and Chain Rules of differentiation.

Exercises 5.5

Terms and Concepts

- 1. T/F: Simpson's Rule is a method of approximating antiderivatives.
- 2. What are the two basic situations where approximating the value of a definite integral is necessary?
- 3. Why are the Left and Right Hand Rules rarely used?
- 4. Simpson's Rule is based on approximating portions of a function with what type of function?

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 12, a definite integral is given.

- (a) Approximate the definite integral with the Trapezoidal Rule and n = 4.
- (b) Approximate the definite integral with Simpson's Rule and n = 4.
- (c) Find the exact value of the integral.

5.
$$\int_{-1}^{1} x^{2} dx$$

6.
$$\int_{0}^{10} 5x dx$$

7.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x dx$$

8.
$$\int_{0}^{4} \sqrt{x} dx$$

9.
$$\int_{0}^{3} (x^{3} + 2x^{2} - 5x + 7) dx$$

10.
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{4} dx$$

11.
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \cos x dx$$

12.
$$\int_{-3}^{3} \sqrt{9 - x^{2}} dx$$

In Exercises 13 – 20, approximate the definite integral with the Trapezoidal Rule and Simpson's Rule, with n = 6.

13. $\int_{0}^{1} \cos(x^{2}) dx$ 14. $\int_{-1}^{1} e^{x^{2}} dx$

15.
$$\int_0^5 \sqrt{x^2 + 1} \, dx$$

16.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi} x \sin x \, dx$$

17.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi/2} \sqrt{\cos x} \, dx$$

18.
$$\int_{1}^{4} \ln x \, dx$$

19.
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{\sin x + 2} \, dx$$

20.
$$\int_{0}^{6} \frac{1}{\sin x + 2} \, dx$$

In Exercises 21 - 24, find *n* such that the error in approximating the given definite integral is less than 0.0001 when using:

- (a) the Trapezoidal Rule
- (b) Simpson's Rule

21.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x \, dx$$


22.
$$\int_{1}^{4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \, dx$$


23.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \cos(x^{2}) \, dx$$

24.
$$\int_{0}^{5} x^{4} \, dx$$

In Exercises 25 – 26, a region is given. Find the area of the region using Simpson's Rule:

- (a) where the measurements are in centimeters, taken in 1 cm increments, and
- (b) where the measurements are in hundreds of yards, taken in 100 yd increments.





6: TECHNIQUES OF ANTIDIFFERENTIATION

The previous chapter introduced the antiderivative and connected it to signed areas under a curve through the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus. The next chapter explores more applications of definite integrals than just area. As evaluating definite integrals will become important, we will want to find antiderivatives of a variety of functions.

This chapter is devoted to exploring techniques of antidifferentiation. While not every function has an antiderivative in terms of elementary functions (a concept introduced in the section on Numerical Integration), we can still find antiderivatives of a wide variety of functions.

6.1 Substitution

We motivate this section with an example. Let $f(x) = (x^2 + 3x - 5)^{10}$. We can compute f'(x) using the Chain Rule. It is:

$$f'(x) = 10(x^2 + 3x - 5)^9 \cdot (2x + 3) = (20x + 30)(x^2 + 3x - 5)^9.$$

Now consider this: What is $\int (20x + 30)(x^2 + 3x - 5)^9 dx$? We have the answer in front of us;

$$\int (20x+30)(x^2+3x-5)^9 \, dx = (x^2+3x-5)^{10}+C.$$

How would we have evaluated this indefinite integral without starting with f(x) as we did?

This section explores *integration by substitution*. It allows us to "undo the Chain Rule." Substitution allows us to evaluate the above integral without knowing the original function first.

The underlying principle is to rewrite a "complicated" integral of the form $\int f(x) dx$ as a not–so–complicated integral $\int h(u) du$. We'll formally establish later how this is done. First, consider again our introductory indefinite integral, $\int (20x + 30)(x^2 + 3x - 5)^9 dx$. Arguably the most "complicated" part of the integrand is $(x^2 + 3x - 5)^9$. We wish to make this simpler; we do so through a substitution. Let $u = x^2 + 3x - 5$. Thus

$$(x^2 + 3x - 5)^9 = u^9$$

We have established *u* as a function of *x*, so now consider the differential of *u*:

$$du = (2x + 3)dx.$$

Keep in mind that (2x+3) and dx are multiplied; the dx is not "just sitting there." Return to the original integral and do some substitutions through algebra:

$$\int (20x+30)(x^2+3x-5)^9 \, dx = \int 10(2x+3)(x^2+3x-5)^9 \, dx$$
$$= \int 10(\underbrace{x^2+3x-5}_u)^9 \underbrace{(2x+3) \, dx}_{du}$$
$$= \int 10u^9 \, du$$
$$= u^{10} + C \quad \text{(replace u with } x^2+3x-5)$$
$$= (x^2+3x-5)^{10} + C$$

One might well look at this and think "I (sort of) followed how that worked, but I could never come up with that on my own," but the process is learnable. This section contains numerous examples through which the reader will gain understanding and mathematical maturity enabling them to regard substitution as a natural tool when evaluating integrals.

We stated before that integration by substitution "undoes" the Chain Rule. Specifically, let F(x) and g(x) be differentiable functions and consider the derivative of their composition:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\Big(F\big(g(x)\big)\Big)=F'(g(x))g'(x).$$

Thus

$$\int F'(g(x))g'(x)\,dx=F(g(x))+C.$$

Integration by substitution works by recognizing the "inside" function g(x) and replacing it with a variable. By setting u = g(x), we can rewrite the derivative as

$$\frac{d}{dx}\Big(F(u)\Big)=F'(u)u'.$$

Since du = g'(x)dx, we can rewrite the above integral as

$$\int F'(g(x))g'(x)\,dx = \int F'(u)du = F(u) + C = F(g(x)) + C.$$

This concept is important so we restate it in the context of a theorem.

Theorem 6.1.1 Integration by Substitution

Let *F* and *g* be differentiable functions, where the range of *g* is an interval *I* contained in the domain of *F*. Then

$$\int F'(g(x))g'(x)\,dx=F(g(x))+C.$$

If u = g(x), then du = g'(x)dx and

$$\int F'(g(x))g'(x) dx = \int F'(u) du = F(u) + C = F(g(x)) + C.$$

The point of substitution is to make the integration step easy. Indeed, the step $\int F'(u) du = F(u) + C$ looks easy, as the antiderivative of the derivative of F is just F, plus a constant. The "work" involved is making the proper substitution. There is not a step–by–step process that one can memorize; rather, experience will be one's guide. To gain experience, we now embark on many examples.

Example 6.1.1 Integrating by substitution

Evaluate
$$\int x \sin(x^2 + 5) dx$$
.

SOLUTION Knowing that substitution is related to the Chain Rule, we choose to let *u* be the "inside" function of $sin(x^2 + 5)$. (This is not *always* a good choice, but it is often the best place to start.)

Let $u = x^2 + 5$, hence du = 2x dx. The integrand has an x dx term, but not a 2x dx term. (Recall that multiplication is commutative, so the x does not physically have to be next to dx for there to be an x dx term.) We can divide both sides of the du expression by 2:

$$du = 2x \, dx \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2} du = x \, dx.$$

We can now substitute.

$$\int x \sin(x^2 + 5) \, dx = \int \sin(\underbrace{x^2 + 5}_{u}) \underbrace{x \, dx}_{\frac{1}{2} du}$$
$$= \int \frac{1}{2} \sin u \, du$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\cos u + C \quad (\text{now replace } u \text{ with } x^2 + 5)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2}\cos(x^2 + 5) + C.$$

Thus $\int x \sin(x^2 + 5) dx = -\frac{1}{2} \cos(x^2 + 5) + C$. We can check our work by evaluating the derivative of the right hand side.

Example 6.1.2 Integrating by substitution

Evaluate $\int \cos(5x) dx$.

SOLUTION Again let *u* replace the "inside" function. Letting u = 5x, we have du = 5dx. Since our integrand does not have a 5dx term, we can divide the previous equation by 5 to obtain $\frac{1}{5}du = dx$. We can now substitute.

$$\int \cos(5x) \, dx = \int \cos(\underbrace{5x}_{u}) \underbrace{dx}_{\frac{1}{5}du}$$
$$= \int \frac{1}{5} \cos u \, du$$
$$= \frac{1}{5} \sin u + C$$
$$= \frac{1}{5} \sin(5x) + C.$$

We can again check our work through differentiation.

The previous example exhibited a common, and simple, type of substitution. The "inside" function was a linear function (in this case, y = 5x). When the inside function is linear, the resulting integration is very predictable, outlined here.

Key Idea 6.1.1 Substitution With A Linear Function Consider $\int F'(ax + b) dx$, where $a \neq 0$ and b are constants. Letting u = ax + b gives $du = a \cdot dx$, leading to the result

$$\int F'(ax+b) \, dx = \frac{1}{a}F(ax+b) + C.$$

Thus $\int \sin(7x - 4) dx = -\frac{1}{7}\cos(7x - 4) + C$. Our next example can use Key Idea 6.1.1, but we will only employ it after going through all of the steps.

Example 6.1.3 Integrating by substituting a linear function Evaluate $\int \frac{7}{-3x+1} dx$.

SOLUTION View the integrand as the composition of functions f(g(x)), where f(x) = 7/x and g(x) = -3x + 1. Employing our understanding of substitution, we let u = -3x + 1, the inside function. Thus du = -3dx. The integrand lacks a -3; hence divide the previous equation by -3 to obtain -du/3 = dx. We can now evaluate the integral through substitution.

$$\int \frac{7}{-3x+1} \, dx = \int \frac{7}{u} \frac{du}{-3} \\ = \frac{-7}{3} \int \frac{du}{u} \\ = \frac{-7}{3} \ln |u| + C \\ = -\frac{7}{3} \ln |-3x+1| + C.$$

Using Key Idea 6.1.1 is faster, recognizing that u is linear and a = -3. One may want to continue writing out all the steps until they are comfortable with this particular shortcut.

Not all integrals that benefit from substitution have a clear "inside" function. Several of the following examples will demonstrate ways in which this occurs.

Example 6.1.4 Integrating by substitution

.)

Evaluate $\int \sin x \cos x \, dx$.

SOLUTION There is not a composition of function here to exploit; rather, just a product of functions. Do not be afraid to experiment; when given an integral to evaluate, it is often beneficial to think "If I let *u* be *this*, then *du* must be *that* ..." and see if this helps simplify the integral at all.

In this example, let's set $u = \sin x$. Then $du = \cos x \, dx$, which we have as part of the integrand! The substitution becomes very straightforward:

$$\int \sin x \cos x \, dx = \int u \, du$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}u^2 + C$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\sin^2 x + C.$$

One would do well to ask "What would happen if we let $u = \cos x$?" The result is just as easy to find, yet looks very different. The challenge to the reader is to evaluate the integral letting $u = \cos x$ and discover why the answer is the same, yet looks different.

Our examples so far have required "basic substitution." The next example demonstrates how substitutions can be made that often strike the new learner as being "nonstandard."

Example 6.1.5 Integrating by substitution

Evaluate $\int x\sqrt{x+3} dx$.

SOLUTION Recognizing the composition of functions, set u = x + 3. Then du = dx, giving what seems initially to be a simple substitution. But at this stage, we have:

$$\int x\sqrt{x+3}\,dx=\int x\sqrt{u}\,du.$$

We cannot evaluate an integral that has both an x and an u in it. We need to convert the x to an expression involving just u.

Since we set u = x+3, we can also state that u-3 = x. Thus we can replace x in the integrand with u-3. It will also be helpful to rewrite \sqrt{u} as $u^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

$$\int x\sqrt{x+3} \, dx = \int (u-3)u^{\frac{1}{2}} \, du$$
$$= \int \left(u^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3u^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \, du$$
$$= \frac{2}{5}u^{\frac{5}{2}} - 2u^{\frac{3}{2}} + C$$
$$= \frac{2}{5}(x+3)^{\frac{5}{2}} - 2(x+3)^{\frac{3}{2}} + C.$$

Checking your work is always a good idea. In this particular case, some algebra will be needed to make one's answer match the integrand in the original problem.

Example 6.1.6 Integrating by substitution

Evaluate
$$\int \frac{1}{x \ln x} dx$$
.

SOLUTION This is another example where there does not seem to be an obvious composition of functions. The line of thinking used in Example 6.1.5 is useful here: choose something for u and consider what this implies du must

be. If *u* can be chosen such that *du* also appears in the integrand, then we have chosen well.

Choosing u = 1/x makes $du = -1/x^2 dx$; that does not seem helpful. However, setting $u = \ln x$ makes du = 1/x dx, which is part of the integrand. Thus:

$$\int \frac{1}{x \ln x} dx = \int \underbrace{\frac{1}{\ln x}}_{u} \underbrace{\frac{1}{x}}_{du} dx$$
$$= \int \frac{1}{u} du$$
$$= \ln |u| + C$$
$$= \ln |\ln x| + C.$$

The final answer is interesting; the natural log of the natural log. Take the derivative to confirm this answer is indeed correct.

Integrals Involving Trigonometric Functions

Section 6.3 delves deeper into integrals of a variety of trigonometric functions; here we use substitution to establish a foundation that we will build upon.

The next three examples will help fill in some missing pieces of our antiderivative knowledge. We know the antiderivatives of the sine and cosine functions; what about the other standard functions tangent, cotangent, secant and cosecant? We discover these next.

Example 6.1.7 Integration by substitution: antiderivatives of tan x

Evaluate $\int \tan x \, dx$.

SOLUTION The previous paragraph established that we did not know the antiderivatives of tangent, hence we must assume that we have learned something in this section that can help us evaluate this indefinite integral.

Rewrite $\tan x$ as $\sin x / \cos x$. While the presence of a composition of functions may not be immediately obvious, recognize that $\cos x$ is "inside" the 1/x function. Therefore, we see if setting $u = \cos x$ returns usable results. We have

that $du = -\sin x \, dx$, hence $-du = \sin x \, dx$. We can integrate:

$$\int \tan x \, dx = \int \frac{\sin x}{\cos x} \, dx$$
$$= \int \frac{1}{\cos x} \frac{\sin x \, dx}{-du}$$
$$= \int \frac{-1}{-u} \, du$$
$$= -\ln|u| + C$$
$$= -\ln|\cos x| + C.$$

Some texts prefer to bring the -1 inside the logarithm as a power of $\cos x$, as in:

$$-\ln|\cos x| + C = \ln|(\cos x)^{-1}| + C$$
$$= \ln\left|\frac{1}{\cos x}\right| + C$$
$$= \ln|\sec x| + C.$$

Thus the result they give is $\int \tan x \, dx = \ln |\sec x| + C$. These two answers are equivalent.

Example 6.1.8 Integrating by substitution: antiderivatives of $\sec x$ Evaluate $\int \sec x \, dx$.

SOLUTION This example employs a wonderful trick: multiply the integrand by "1" so that we see how to integrate more clearly. In this case, we write "1" as

$$1 = \frac{\sec x + \tan x}{\sec x + \tan x}.$$

This may seem like it came out of left field, but it works beautifully. Consider:

$$\int \sec x \, dx = \int \sec x \cdot \frac{\sec x + \tan x}{\sec x + \tan x} \, dx$$
$$= \int \frac{\sec^2 x + \sec x \tan x}{\sec x + \tan x} \, dx.$$

Now let $u = \sec x + \tan x$; this means $du = (\sec x \tan x + \sec^2 x) dx$, which is our numerator. Thus:

$$= \int \frac{du}{u}$$

= $\ln |u| + C$
= $\ln |\sec x + \tan x| + C$.

We can use similar techniques to those used in Examples 6.1.7 and 6.1.8 to find antiderivatives of $\cot x$ and $\csc x$ (which the reader can explore in the exercises.) We summarize our results here.

Theorem 6.1.2Antiderivatives of Trigonometric Functions1. $\int \sin x \, dx = -\cos x + C$ 4. $\int \csc x \, dx = -\ln |\csc x + \cot x| + C$ 2. $\int \cos x \, dx = \sin x + C$ 5. $\int \sec x \, dx = \ln |\sec x + \tan x| + C$ 3. $\int \tan x \, dx = -\ln |\cos x| + C$ 6. $\int \cot x \, dx = \ln |\sin x| + C$

We explore one more common trigonometric integral.

Example 6.1.9 Integration by substitution: powers of $\cos x$ and $\sin x$ Evaluate $\int \cos^2 x \, dx$.

SOLUTION We have a composition of functions as $\cos^2 x = (\cos x)^2$. However, setting $u = \cos x$ means $du = -\sin x \, dx$, which we do not have in the integral. Another technique is needed.

The process we'll employ is to use a Power Reducing formula for $\cos^2 x$ (perhaps consult the back of this text for this formula), which states

$$\cos^2 x = \frac{1 + \cos(2x)}{2}$$

The right hand side of this equation is not difficult to integrate. We have:

$$\int \cos^2 x \, dx = \int \frac{1 + \cos(2x)}{2} \, dx$$
$$= \int \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\cos(2x)\right) \, dx.$$

Now use Key Idea 6.1.1:

$$= \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\sin(2x)}{2} + C$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{\sin(2x)}{4} + C.$$

We'll make significant use of this power-reducing technique in future sections.

Simplifying the Integrand

It is common to be reluctant to manipulate the integrand of an integral; at first, our grasp of integration is tenuous and one may think that working with the integrand will improperly change the results. Integration by substitution works using a different logic: as long as *equality* is maintained, the integrand can be manipulated so that its *form* is easier to deal with. The next two examples demonstrate common ways in which using algebra first makes the integration easier to perform.

Example 6.1.10 Integration by substitution: simplifying first Evaluate $\int \frac{x^3 + 4x^2 + 8x + 5}{x^2 + 2x + 1} dx$.

SOLUTION One may try to start by setting *u* equal to either the numerator or denominator; in each instance, the result is not workable.

When dealing with rational functions (i.e., quotients made up of polynomial functions), it is an almost universal rule that everything works better when the degree of the numerator is less than the degree of the denominator. Hence we use polynomial division.

We skip the specifics of the steps, but note that when $x^2 + 2x + 1$ is divided into $x^3 + 4x^2 + 8x + 5$, it goes in x + 2 times with a remainder of 3x + 3. Thus

$$\frac{x^3 + 4x^2 + 8x + 5}{x^2 + 2x + 1} = x + 2 + \frac{3x + 3}{x^2 + 2x + 1}$$

Integrating x + 2 is simple. The fraction can be integrated by setting $u = x^2 + 2x + 1$, giving du = (2x + 2) dx. This is very similar to the numerator. Note that

du/2 = (x + 1) dx and then consider the following:

$$\int \frac{x^3 + 4x^2 + 8x + 5}{x^2 + 2x + 1} \, dx = \int \left(x + 2 + \frac{3x + 3}{x^2 + 2x + 1} \right) \, dx$$
$$= \int (x + 2) \, dx + \int \frac{3(x + 1)}{x^2 + 2x + 1} \, dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}x^2 + 2x + C_1 + \int \frac{3}{u}\frac{du}{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}x^2 + 2x + C_1 + \frac{3}{2}\ln|u| + C_2$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}x^2 + 2x + \frac{3}{2}\ln|x^2 + 2x + 1| + C.$$

In some ways, we "lucked out" in that after dividing, substitution was able to be done. In later sections we'll develop techniques for handling rational functions where substitution is not directly feasible.

Example 6.1.11 Integration by alternate methods

Evaluate $\int \frac{x^2 + 2x + 3}{\sqrt{x}} dx$ with, and without, substitution.

SOLUTION We already know how to integrate this particular example. Rewrite \sqrt{x} as $x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and simplify the fraction:

$$\frac{x^2+2x+3}{x^{1/2}}=x^{\frac{3}{2}}+2x^{\frac{1}{2}}+3x^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We can now integrate using the Power Rule:

$$\int \frac{x^2 + 2x + 3}{x^{1/2}} \, dx = \int \left(x^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2x^{\frac{1}{2}} + 3x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \, dx$$
$$= \frac{2}{5}x^{\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{4}{3}x^{\frac{3}{2}} + 6x^{\frac{1}{2}} + C$$

This is a perfectly fine approach. We demonstrate how this can also be solved using substitution as its implementation is rather clever.

Let $u = \sqrt{x} = x^{\frac{1}{2}}$; therefore

$$du = \frac{1}{2}x^{-\frac{1}{2}}dx = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}dx \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2du = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}dx.$$

This gives us $\int \frac{x^2 + 2x + 3}{\sqrt{x}} dx = \int (x^2 + 2x + 3) \cdot 2 du$. What are we to do with the other *x* terms? Since $u = x^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $u^2 = x$, etc. We can then replace x^2 and

x with appropriate powers of u. We thus have

$$\int \frac{x^2 + 2x + 3}{\sqrt{x}} dx = \int (x^2 + 2x + 3) \cdot 2 \, du$$
$$= \int 2(u^4 + 2u^2 + 3) \, du$$
$$= \frac{2}{5}u^5 + \frac{4}{3}u^3 + 6u + C$$
$$= \frac{2}{5}x^{\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{4}{3}x^{\frac{3}{2}} + 6x^{\frac{1}{2}} + C,$$

which is obviously the same answer we obtained before. In this situation, substitution is arguably more work than our other method. The fantastic thing is that it works. It demonstrates how flexible integration is.

Substitution and Inverse Trigonometric Functions

When studying derivatives of inverse functions, we learned that

$$\frac{d}{dx}(\tan^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$$

Applying the Chain Rule to this is not difficult; for instance,

$$\frac{d}{dx}(\tan^{-1}5x) = \frac{5}{1+25x^2}$$

We now explore how Substitution can be used to "undo" certain derivatives that are the result of the Chain Rule applied to Inverse Trigonometric functions. We begin with an example.

Example 6.1.12 Integrating by substitution: inverse trigonometric functions Evaluate $\int \frac{1}{25 + x^2} dx$.

SOLUTION The integrand looks similar to the derivative of the arctangent function. Note:

$$\frac{1}{25+x^2} = \frac{1}{25(1+\frac{x^2}{25})}$$
$$= \frac{1}{25(1+\left(\frac{x}{5}\right)^2)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{25}\frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{x}{5}\right)^2}.$$

Thus

$$\int \frac{1}{25+x^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{25} \int \frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{x}{5}\right)^2} \, dx.$$

This can be integrated using Substitution. Set u = x/5, hence du = dx/5 or dx = 5du. Thus

$$\int \frac{1}{25 + x^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{25} \int \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{5}\right)^2} \, dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{5} \int \frac{1}{1 + u^2} \, du$$
$$= \frac{1}{5} \tan^{-1} u + C$$
$$= \frac{1}{5} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{5}\right) + C$$

Example 6.1.12 demonstrates a general technique that can be applied to other integrands that result in inverse trigonometric functions. The results are summarized here.

Theorem 6.1.3 Integrals Involving	nverse Trigonometric Functions
Let $a > 0$.	
1. $\int \frac{1}{a^2 + x^2} dx = \frac{1}{a} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{a} \right) +$	с
$2. \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}} dx = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) + c$	2
3. $\int \frac{1}{x\sqrt{x^2-a^2}} dx = \frac{1}{a} \sec^{-1}\left(\frac{ x }{a}\right)$	+ C

Let's practice using Theorem 6.1.3.

Example 6.1.13 Integrating by substitution: inverse trigonometric functions Evaluate the given indefinite integrals.

1.
$$\int \frac{1}{9+x^2} dx$$
, 2. $\int \frac{1}{x\sqrt{x^2-\frac{1}{100}}} dx$ 3. $\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{5-x^2}} dx$.

SOLUTION Each can be answered using a straightforward application of Theorem 6.1.3.

1.
$$\int \frac{1}{9+x^2} dx = \frac{1}{3} \tan^{-1} \frac{x}{3} + C, \text{ as } a = 3.$$

2.
$$\int \frac{1}{x\sqrt{x^2 - \frac{1}{100}}} dx = 10 \sec^{-1} 10x + C, \text{ as } a = \frac{1}{10}.$$

3.
$$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{5-x^2}} = \sin^{-1} \frac{x}{\sqrt{5}} + C, \text{ as } a = \sqrt{5}.$$

Most applications of Theorem 6.1.3 are not as straightforward. The next examples show some common integrals that can still be approached with this theorem.

Example 6.1.14 Integrating by substitution: completing the square Evaluate $\int \frac{1}{x^2 - 4x + 13} dx$.

SOLUTION Initially, this integral seems to have nothing in common with the integrals in Theorem 6.1.3. As it lacks a square root, it almost certainly is not related to arcsine or arcsecant. It is, however, related to the arctangent function.

We see this by *completing the square* in the denominator. We give a brief reminder of the process here.

Start with a quadratic with a leading coefficient of 1. It will have the form of $x^2 + bx + c$. Take 1/2 of *b*, square it, and add/subtract it back into the expression. I.e.,

$$x^{2} + bx + c = \underbrace{x^{2} + bx + \frac{b^{2}}{4}}_{(x+b/2)^{2}} - \frac{b^{2}}{4} + c$$
$$= \left(x + \frac{b}{2}\right)^{2} + c - \frac{b^{2}}{4}$$

In our example, we take half of -4 and square it, getting 4. We add/subtract it into the denominator as follows:

$$\frac{1}{x^2 - 4x + 13} = \frac{1}{\underbrace{x^2 - 4x + 4}_{(x-2)^2} - 4 + 13}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(x-2)^2 + 9}$$

We can now integrate this using the arctangent rule. Technically, we need to substitute first with u = x - 2, but we can employ Key Idea 6.1.1 instead. Thus we have

$$\int \frac{1}{x^2 - 4x + 13} \, dx = \int \frac{1}{(x - 2)^2 + 9} \, dx = \frac{1}{3} \tan^{-1} \frac{x - 2}{3} + C.$$

Example 6.1.15 Integrals requiring multiple methods Evaluate $\int \frac{4-x}{\sqrt{16-x^2}} dx.$

SOLUTION This integral requires two different methods to evaluate it. We get to those methods by splitting up the integral:

$$\int \frac{4-x}{\sqrt{16-x^2}} \, dx = \int \frac{4}{\sqrt{16-x^2}} \, dx - \int \frac{x}{\sqrt{16-x^2}} \, dx.$$

The first integral is handled using a straightforward application of Theorem 6.1.3; the second integral is handled by substitution, with $u = 16 - x^2$. We handle each separately.

$$\int \frac{4}{\sqrt{16 - x^2}} \, dx = 4 \sin^{-1} \frac{x}{4} + C.$$

$$\int \frac{x}{\sqrt{16 - x^2}} \, dx: \text{ Set } u = 16 - x^2, \text{ so } du = -2xdx \text{ and } xdx = -du/2. \text{ We have}$$

$$\int \frac{x}{\sqrt{16 - x^2}} dx = \int \frac{-du/2}{\sqrt{u}}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} du$$
$$= -\sqrt{u} + C$$
$$= -\sqrt{16 - x^2} + C.$$

Combining these together, we have

$$\int \frac{4-x}{\sqrt{16-x^2}} \, dx = 4 \sin^{-1} \frac{x}{4} + \sqrt{16-x^2} + C.$$

Substitution and Definite Integration

This section has focused on evaluating indefinite integrals as we are learning a new technique for finding antiderivatives. However, much of the time integration is used in the context of a definite integral. Definite integrals that require substitution can be calculated using the following workflow:

- 1. Start with a definite integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$ that requires substitution.
- 2. Ignore the bounds; use substitution to evaluate $\int f(x) dx$ and find an antiderivative F(x).
- 3. Evaluate F(x) at the bounds; that is, evaluate $F(x)\Big|_{a}^{b} = F(b) F(a)$.

This workflow works fine, but substitution offers an alternative that is powerful and amazing (and a little time saving).

At its heart, (using the notation of Theorem 6.1.1) substitution converts integrals of the form $\int F'(g(x))g'(x) dx$ into an integral of the form $\int F'(u) du$ with the substitution of u = g(x). The following theorem states how the bounds of a definite integral can be changed as the substitution is performed.

Theorem 6.1.4 Substitution with Definite Integrals

Let *F* and *g* be differentiable functions, where the range of *g* is an interval *I* that is contained in the domain of *F*. Then

$$\int_a^b F'\bigl(g(x)\bigr)g'(x)\,dx = \int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} F'(u)\,du.$$

In effect, Theorem 6.1.4 states that once you convert to integrating with respect to u, you do not need to switch back to evaluating with respect to x. A few examples will help one understand.

Example 6.1.16 Definite integrals and substitution: changing the bounds Evaluate $\int_{1}^{2} \cos(3x - 1) dx$ using Theorem 6.1.4.

SOLUTION Observing the composition of functions, let u = 3x - 1, hence du = 3dx. As 3dx does not appear in the integrand, divide the latter equation by 3 to get du/3 = dx.

By setting u = 3x - 1, we are implicitly stating that g(x) = 3x - 1. Theorem 6.1.4 states that the new lower bound is g(0) = -1; the new upper bound is

g(2) = 5. We now evaluate the definite integral:

$$\int_{0}^{2} \cos(3x - 1) \, dx = \int_{-1}^{5} \cos u \frac{du}{3}$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} \sin u \Big|_{-1}^{5}$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} (\sin 5 - \sin(-1)) \approx -0.039.$$

Notice how once we converted the integral to be in terms of u, we never went back to using x.

The graphs in Figure 6.1.1 tell more of the story. In (a) the area defined by the original integrand is shaded, whereas in (b) the area defined by the new integrand is shaded. In this particular situation, the areas look very similar; the new region is "shorter" but "wider," giving the same area.

Example 6.1.17 Definite integrals and substitution: changing the bounds Evaluate $\int_0^{\pi/2} \sin x \cos x \, dx$ using Theorem 6.1.4.

SOLUTION We saw the corresponding indefinite integral in Example 6.1.4. In that example we set $u = \sin x$ but stated that we could have let $u = \cos x$. For variety, we do the latter here.

Let $u = g(x) = \cos x$, giving $du = -\sin x \, dx$ and hence $\sin x \, dx = -du$. The new upper bound is $g(\pi/2) = 0$; the new lower bound is g(0) = 1. Note how the lower bound is actually larger than the upper bound now. We have

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \sin x \cos x \, dx = \int_1^0 -u \, du \quad \text{(switch bounds \& change sign)}$$
$$= \int_0^1 u \, du$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} u^2 \Big|_0^1 = 1/2.$$

In Figure 6.1.2 we have again graphed the two regions defined by our definite integrals. Unlike the previous example, they bear no resemblance to each other. However, Theorem 6.1.4 guarantees that they have the same area.

Integration by substitution is a powerful and useful integration technique. The next section introduces another technique, called Integration by Parts. As substitution "undoes" the Chain Rule, integration by parts "undoes" the Product Rule. Together, these two techniques provide a strong foundation on which most other integration techniques are based.




Figure 6.1.1: Graphing the areas defined by the definite integrals of Example 6.1.16.




Figure 6.1.2: Graphing the areas defined by the definite integrals of Example 6.1.17.

Exercises 6.1

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Substitution "undoes" what derivative rule?
- 2. T/F: One can use algebra to rewrite the integrand of an integral to make it easier to evaluate.

Problems

In Exercises 3 – 14, evaluate the indefinite integral to develop an understanding of Substitution.

3.
$$\int 3x^{2} (x^{3} - 5)^{7} dx$$

4.
$$\int (2x - 5) (x^{2} - 5x + 7)^{3} dx$$

5.
$$\int x (x^{2} + 1)^{8} dx$$

6.
$$\int (12x + 14) (3x^{2} + 7x - 1)^{5} dx$$

7.
$$\int \frac{1}{2x + 7} dx$$

8.
$$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2x + 3}} dx$$

9.
$$\int \frac{x}{\sqrt{x + 3}} dx$$

10.
$$\int \frac{x^{3} - x}{\sqrt{x}} dx$$

11.
$$\int \frac{e^{\sqrt{x}}}{\sqrt{x}} dx$$

12.
$$\int \frac{x^{4}}{\sqrt{x^{5} + 1}} dx$$

13.
$$\int \frac{\frac{1}{x} + 1}{x^{2}} dx$$

14.
$$\int \frac{\ln(x)}{x} dx$$

In Exercises 15 – 24, use Substitution to evaluate the indefinite integral involving trigonometric functions.

15. $\int \sin^2(x) \cos(x) dx$ 16. $\int \cos^3(x) \sin(x) dx$

17.
$$\int \cos(3 - 6x) dx$$

18.
$$\int \sec^2(4 - x) dx$$

19.
$$\int \sec(2x) dx$$

20.
$$\int \tan^2(x) \sec^2(x) dx$$

21.
$$\int x \cos(x^2) dx$$

22.
$$\int \tan^2(x) dx$$

- 23. $\int \cot x \, dx$. Do not just refer to Theorem 6.1.2 for the answer; justify it through Substitution.
- 24. $\int \csc x \, dx$. Do not just refer to Theorem 6.1.2 for the answer; justify it through Substitution.

In Exercises 25 – 32, use Substitution to evaluate the indefinite integral involving exponential functions.

25.
$$\int e^{3x-1} dx$$

26.
$$\int e^{x^3} x^2 dx$$

27.
$$\int e^{x^2-2x+1} (x-1) dx$$

28.
$$\int \frac{e^x + 1}{e^x} dx$$

29.
$$\int \frac{e^x}{e^x + 1} dx$$

30.
$$\int \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^{2x}} dx$$

31.
$$\int 3^{3x} dx$$

32.
$$\int 4^{2x} dx$$

In Exercises 33 – 36, use Substitution to evaluate the indefinite integral involving logarithmic functions.

33.
$$\int \frac{\ln x}{x} dx$$

34.
$$\int \frac{(\ln x)^2}{x} dx$$

. .

35.
$$\int \frac{\ln(x^3)}{x} dx$$

36.
$$\int \frac{1}{x \ln(x^2)} dx$$

In Exercises 37 – 42, use Substitution to evaluate the indefinite integral involving rational functions.

37.
$$\int \frac{x^2 + 3x + 1}{x} dx$$

38.
$$\int \frac{x^3 + x^2 + x + 1}{x} dx$$

39.
$$\int \frac{x^3 - 1}{x + 1} dx$$

40.
$$\int \frac{x^2 + 2x - 5}{x - 3} dx$$

41.
$$\int \frac{3x^2 - 5x + 7}{x + 1} dx$$

42.
$$\int \frac{x^2 + 2x + 1}{x^3 + 3x^2 + 3x} dx$$

In Exercises 43 – 52, use Substitution to evaluate the indefinite integral involving inverse trigonometric functions.

$$43. \int \frac{7}{x^2 + 7} dx$$

$$44. \int \frac{3}{\sqrt{9 - x^2}} dx$$

$$45. \int \frac{14}{\sqrt{5 - x^2}} dx$$

$$46. \int \frac{2}{x\sqrt{x^2 - 9}} dx$$

$$47. \int \frac{5}{\sqrt{x^4 - 16x^2}} dx$$

$$48. \int \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 - x^4}} dx$$

$$49. \int \frac{1}{x^2 - 2x + 8} dx$$

$$50. \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{-x^2 + 6x + 7}} dx$$

$$51. \int \frac{3}{\sqrt{-x^2 + 8x + 9}} dx$$

$$52. \int \frac{5}{x^2 + 6x + 34} dx$$

In Exercises 53 – 78, evaluate the indefinite integral.

53.
$$\int \frac{x^2}{(x^3+3)^2} dx$$

54.
$$\int (3x^2+2x) (5x^3+5x^2+2)^8 dx$$

55.
$$\int \frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx$$

56.
$$\int x^2 \csc^2 (x^3+1) dx$$

57.
$$\int \sin(x) \sqrt{\cos(x)} dx$$

58.
$$\int \sin(5x+1) dx$$

59.
$$\int \frac{1}{x-5} dx$$

60.
$$\int \frac{7}{3x+2} dx$$

61.
$$\int \frac{3x^3+4x^2+2x-22}{x^2+3x+5} dx$$

62.
$$\int \frac{2x+7}{x^2+7x+3} dx$$

63.
$$\int \frac{9(2x+3)}{3x^2+9x+7} dx$$

64.
$$\int \frac{-x^3+14x^2-46x-7}{x^2-7x+1} dx$$

65.
$$\int \frac{x}{x^4+81} dx$$

66.
$$\int \frac{2}{4x^2+1} dx$$

67.
$$\int \frac{1}{x\sqrt{4x^2-1}} dx$$

68.
$$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{16-9x^2}} dx$$

69.
$$\int \frac{3x-2}{x^2-2x+10} dx$$

70.
$$\int \frac{7-2x}{x^2+12x+61} dx$$

71.
$$\int \frac{x^2+5x-2}{x^2-10x+32} dx$$

72.
$$\int \frac{x^3}{x^2+9} dx$$

73.
$$\int \frac{x^3 - x}{x^2 + 4x + 9} dx$$
 80. $\int_2^6 x \sqrt{x - 2} dx$

 74. $\int \frac{\sin(x)}{\cos^2(x) + 1} dx$
 81. $\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \sin^2 x \cos x \, dx$

 75. $\int \frac{\cos(x)}{\sin^2(x) + 1} dx$
 82. $\int_0^1 2x(1 - x^2)^4 \, dx$

 76. $\int \frac{\cos(x)}{1 - \sin^2(x)} dx$
 83. $\int_{-2}^{-1} (x + 1)e^{x^2 + 2x + 1} \, dx$

 77. $\int \frac{3x - 3}{\sqrt{x^2 - 2x - 6}} dx$
 84. $\int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{1 + x^2} \, dx$

 78. $\int \frac{x - 3}{\sqrt{x^2 - 6x + 8}} dx$
 85. $\int_2^4 \frac{1}{x^2 - 6x + 10} \, dx$

86.
$$\int_{1}^{\sqrt{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4-x^2}} \, dx$$

79. $\int_{1}^{3} \frac{1}{x-5} dx$

6.2 Integration by Parts

Here's a simple integral that we can't yet evaluate:

$$\int x \cos x \, dx.$$

It's a simple matter to take the derivative of the integrand using the Product Rule, but there is no Product Rule for integrals. However, this section introduces *Integration by Parts*, a method of integration that is based on the Product Rule for derivatives. It will enable us to evaluate this integral.

The Product Rule says that if u and v are functions of x, then (uv)' = u'v+uv'. For simplicity, we've written u for u(x) and v for v(x). Suppose we integrate both sides with respect to x. This gives

$$\int (uv)'\,dx = \int (u'v + uv')\,dx.$$

By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, the left side integrates to *uv*. The right side can be broken up into two integrals, and we have

$$u\mathbf{v}=\int u'\mathbf{v}\,d\mathbf{x}+\int u\mathbf{v}'\,d\mathbf{x}.$$

Solving for the second integral we have

$$\int uv'\,dx = uv - \int u'v\,dx.$$

Using differential notation, we can write du = u'(x)dx and dv = v'(x)dx and the expression above can be written as follows:

$$\int u\,dv=uv-\int v\,du.$$

This is the Integration by Parts formula. For reference purposes, we state this in a theorem.

Theorem 6.2.1 Integration by Parts

Let u and v be differentiable functions of x on an interval l containing a and b. Then

.

$$\int u \, dv = uv - \int v \, du,$$
$$\int_{x=a}^{x=b} u \, dv = uv \Big|_{a}^{b} - \int_{x=a}^{x=b} v \, du.$$

and

Let's try an example to understand our new technique.

Example 6.2.1 Integrating using Integration by Parts Evaluate $\int x \cos x \, dx$.

SOLUTION The key to Integration by Parts is to identify part of the integrand as "u" and part as "dv." Regular practice will help one make good identifications, and later we will introduce some principles that help. For now, let u = x and $dv = \cos x \, dx$.

It is generally useful to make a small table of these values as done below. Right now we only know u and dv as shown on the left of Figure 6.2.1; on the right we fill in the rest of what we need. If u = x, then du = dx. Since $dv = \cos x \, dx$, v is an antiderivative of $\cos x$. We choose $v = \sin x$.

$$u = x$$
 $v = ?$
 $du = ?$ $dv = \cos x \, dx$ \Rightarrow $u = x$ $v = \sin x$
 $du = dx$ $dv = \cos x \, dx$

Figure 6.2.1: Setting up Integration by Parts.

Now substitute all of this into the Integration by Parts formula, giving

$$\int x \cos x \, dx = x \sin x - \int \sin x \, dx$$

We can then integrate $\sin x$ to get $-\cos x + C$ and overall our answer is

$$x\cos x\,dx=x\sin x+\cos x+C.$$

Note how the antiderivative contains a product, $x \sin x$. This product is what makes Integration by Parts necessary.

The example above demonstrates how Integration by Parts works in general. We try to identify u and dv in the integral we are given, and the key is that we usually want to choose u and dv so that du is simpler than u and v is hopefully not too much more complicated than dv. This will mean that the integral on the right side of the Integration by Parts formula, $\int v du$ will be simpler to integrate than the original integral $\int u dv$.

In the example above, we chose u = x and $dv = \cos x \, dx$. Then du = dx was simpler than u and $v = \sin x$ is no more complicated than dv. Therefore, instead of integrating $x \cos x \, dx$, we could integrate $\sin x \, dx$, which we knew how to do. A useful mnemonic for helping to determine u is "LIATE," where

> L = Logarithmic, I = Inverse Trig., A = Algebraic (polynomials), T = Trigonometric, and E = Exponential.

If the integrand contains both a logarithmic and an algebraic term, in general letting *u* be the logarithmic term works best, as indicated by L coming before A in LIATE.

We now consider another example.

Example 6.2.2 Integrating using Integration by Parts Evaluate $\int xe^x dx$.

SOLUTION The integrand contains an Algebraic term (x) and an Exponential term (e^x). Our mnemonic suggests letting u be the algebraic term, so we choose u = x and $dv = e^x dx$. Then du = dx and $v = e^x$ as indicated by the tables below.

u = x v = ? du = ? $dv = e^x dx$ \Rightarrow u = x $v = e^x$ du = dx $dv = e^x dx$

Figure 6.2.2: Setting up Integration by Parts.

We see du is simpler than u, while there is no change in going from dv to v. This is good. The Integration by Parts formula gives

$$\int x e^x \, dx = x e^x - \int e^x \, dx.$$

The integral on the right is simple; our final answer is

$$\int xe^x\,dx=xe^x-e^x+C$$

Note again how the antiderivatives contain a product term.

Example 6.2.3 Integrating using Integration by Parts

Evaluate $\int x^2 \cos x \, dx$.

SOLUTION The mnemonic suggests letting $u = x^2$ instead of the trigonometric function, hence $dv = \cos x \, dx$. Then $du = 2x \, dx$ and $v = \sin x$ as shown below.

 $u = x^{2} \qquad v = ? \qquad \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad u = x^{2} \qquad v = \sin x$ $du = ? \qquad dv = \cos x \, dx \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad du = 2x \, dx \qquad dv = \cos x \, dx$

Figure 6.2.3: Setting up Integration by Parts.

The Integration by Parts formula gives

$$\int x^2 \cos x \, dx = x^2 \sin x - \int 2x \sin x \, dx$$

At this point, the integral on the right is indeed simpler than the one we started with, but to evaluate it, we need to do Integration by Parts again. Here we choose u = 2x and $dv = \sin x$ and fill in the rest below.

$$u = 2x$$
 $v = ?$
 $du = ?$ $dv = \sin x \, dx$ \Rightarrow $u = 2x$ $v = -\cos x$
 $du = 2 \, dx$ $dv = \sin x \, dx$

Figure 6.2.4: Setting up Integration by Parts (again).

$$\int x^2 \cos x \, dx = x^2 \sin x - \left(-2x \cos x - \int -2 \cos x \, dx\right).$$

The integral all the way on the right is now something we can evaluate. It evaluates to $-2 \sin x$. Then going through and simplifying, being careful to keep all the signs straight, our answer is

$$\int x^2 \cos x \, dx = x^2 \sin x + 2x \cos x - 2 \sin x + C$$

Example 6.2.4 Integrating using Integration by Parts Evaluate $\int e^x \cos x \, dx$.

SOLUTION This is a classic problem. Our mnemonic suggests letting u be the trigonometric function instead of the exponential. In this particular example, one can let u be either $\cos x$ or e^x ; to demonstrate that we do not have to follow LIATE, we choose $u = e^x$ and hence $dv = \cos x \, dx$. Then $du = e^x \, dx$ and $v = \sin x$ as shown below.

$$u = e^{x}$$
 $v = ?$
 $du = ?$ $dv = \cos x \, dx$ \Rightarrow $u = e^{x}$ $v = \sin x$
 $du = e^{x} \, dx$ $dv = \cos x \, dx$

Figure 6.2.5: Setting up Integration by Parts.

Notice that *du* is no simpler than *u*, going against our general rule (but bear with us). The Integration by Parts formula yields

$$\int e^x \cos x \, dx = e^x \sin x - \int e^x \sin x \, dx.$$

The integral on the right is not much different than the one we started with, so it seems like we have gotten nowhere. Let's keep working and apply Integration by Parts to the new integral, using $u = e^x$ and $dv = \sin x \, dx$. This leads us to the following:

 $u = e^{x} \qquad v = ? \qquad \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad u = e^{x} \qquad v = -\cos x$ $du = ? \qquad dv = \sin x \, dx \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad du = e^{x} \, dx \qquad dv = \sin x \, dx$

Figure 6.2.6: Setting up Integration by Parts (again).

The Integration by Parts formula then gives:

$$\int e^x \cos x \, dx = e^x \sin x - \left(-e^x \cos x - \int -e^x \cos x \, dx\right)$$
$$= e^x \sin x + e^x \cos x - \int e^x \cos x \, dx.$$

It seems we are back right where we started, as the right hand side contains $\int e^x \cos x \, dx$. But this is actually a good thing.

Add $\int e^x \cos x \, dx$ to both sides. This gives

$$2\int e^x \cos x \, dx = e^x \sin x + e^x \cos x$$

Now divide both sides by 2:

$$\int e^x \cos x \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \big(e^x \sin x + e^x \cos x \big).$$

Simplifying a little and adding the constant of integration, our answer is thus

$$\int e^x \cos x \, dx = \frac{1}{2} e^x \left(\sin x + \cos x \right) + C.$$

Example 6.2.5 Integrating using Integration by Parts: antiderivative of $\ln x$ Evaluate $\int \ln x \, dx$.

SOLUTION One may have noticed that we have rules for integrating the familiar trigonometric functions and e^x , but we have not yet given a rule for integrating ln x. That is because ln x can't easily be integrated with any of the rules we have learned up to this point. But we can find its antiderivative by a

clever application of Integration by Parts. Set $u = \ln x$ and dv = dx. This is a good, sneaky trick to learn as it can help in other situations. This determines du = (1/x) dx and v = x as shown below.

$$u = \ln x$$
 $v = ?$
 $du = ?$ $dv = dx$ \Rightarrow $u = \ln x$ $v = x$
 $du = 1/x \, dx$ $dv = dx$

Figure 6.2.7: Setting up Integration by Parts.

Putting this all together in the Integration by Parts formula, things work out very nicely:

$$\int \ln x \, dx = x \ln x - \int x \, \frac{1}{x} \, dx.$$

The new integral simplifies to $\int 1 dx$, which is about as simple as things get. Its integral is x + C and our answer is

$$\int \ln x \, dx = x \ln x - x + C.$$

Example 6.2.6 Integrating using Int. by Parts: antiderivative of $\arctan x$ Evaluate $\int \arctan x \, dx$.

SOLUTION The same sneaky trick we used above works here. Let $u = \arctan x$ and dv = dx. Then $du = 1/(1 + x^2) dx$ and v = x. The Integration by Parts formula gives

$$\int \arctan x \, dx = x \arctan x - \int \frac{x}{1+x^2} \, dx.$$

The integral on the right can be solved by substitution. Taking $u = 1 + x^2$, we get $du = 2x \, dx$. The integral then becomes

$$\int \arctan x \, dx = x \arctan x - \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}{u} \, du.$$

The integral on the right evaluates to $\ln |u| + C$, which becomes $\ln(1 + x^2) + C$ (we can drop the absolute values as $1 + x^2$ is always postive). Therefore, the answer is

$$\int \arctan x \, dx = x \arctan x - \frac{1}{2} \ln(1+x^2) + C.$$

Substitution Before Integration

When taking derivatives, it was common to employ multiple rules (such as using both the Quotient and the Chain Rules). It should then come as no surprise that some integrals are best evaluated by combining integration techniques. In particular, here we illustrate making an "unusual" substitution first before using Integration by Parts.

Example 6.2.7 Integration by Parts after substitution

Evaluate
$$\int \cos(\ln x) dx$$
.

SOLUTION The integrand contains a composition of functions, leading us to think Substitution would be beneficial. Letting $u = \ln x$, we have $du = 1/x \, dx$. This seems problematic, as we do not have a 1/x in the integrand. But consider:

$$du = \frac{1}{x} dx \Rightarrow x \cdot du = dx.$$

Since $u = \ln x$, we can use inverse functions and conclude that $x = e^u$. Therefore we have that

$$dx = x \cdot du$$

= $e^u du$.

We can thus replace $\ln x$ with u and dx with $e^u du$. Thus we rewrite our integral as

$$\int \cos(\ln x) \, dx = \int e^u \cos u \, du.$$

We evaluated this integral in Example 6.2.4. Using the result there, we have:

$$\int \cos(\ln x) \, dx = \int e^u \cos u \, du$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} e^u (\sin u + \cos u) + C$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} e^{\ln x} (\sin(\ln x) + \cos(\ln x)) + C$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} x (\sin(\ln x) + \cos(\ln x)) + C.$$

Definite Integrals and Integration By Parts

So far we have focused only on evaluating indefinite integrals. Of course, we can use Integration by Parts to evaluate definite integrals as well, as Theorem

6.2.1 states. We do so in the next example.

Example 6.2.8 Definite integration using Integration by Parts Evaluate $\int_{1}^{2} x^{2} \ln x \, dx$.

SOLUTION Our mnemonic suggests letting $u = \ln x$, hence $dv = x^2 dx$. We then get du = (1/x) dx and $v = x^3/3$ as shown below.

$$u = \ln x \qquad v = ? \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad u = \ln x \qquad v = x^3/3$$

$$du = ? \qquad dv = x^2 dx \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad du = 1/x dx \qquad dv = x^2 dx$$

Figure 6.2.8: Setting up Integration by Parts.

The Integration by Parts formula then gives

$$\int_{1}^{2} x^{2} \ln x \, dx = \frac{x^{3}}{3} \ln x \Big|_{1}^{2} - \int_{1}^{2} \frac{x^{3}}{3} \frac{1}{x} \, dx$$
$$= \frac{x^{3}}{3} \ln x \Big|_{1}^{2} - \int_{1}^{2} \frac{x^{2}}{3} \, dx$$
$$= \frac{x^{3}}{3} \ln x \Big|_{1}^{2} - \frac{x^{3}}{9} \Big|_{1}^{2}$$
$$= \left(\frac{x^{3}}{3} \ln x - \frac{x^{3}}{9}\right) \Big|_{1}^{2}$$
$$= \left(\frac{8}{3} \ln 2 - \frac{8}{9}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{3} \ln 1 - \frac{1}{9}\right)$$
$$= \frac{8}{3} \ln 2 - \frac{7}{9}$$
$$\approx 1.07.$$

In general, Integration by Parts is useful for integrating certain products of functions, like $\int xe^x dx$ or $\int x^3 \sin x dx$. It is also useful for integrals involving logarithms and inverse trigonometric functions.

As stated before, integration is generally more difficult than derivation. We are developing tools for handling a large array of integrals, and experience will tell us when one tool is preferable/necessary over another. For instance, consider the three similar–looking integrals

$$\int xe^x dx$$
, $\int xe^{x^2} dx$ and $\int xe^{x^3} dx$

While the first is calculated easily with Integration by Parts, the second is best approached with Substitution. Taking things one step further, the third integral has no answer in terms of elementary functions, so none of the methods we learn in calculus will get us the exact answer.

Integration by Parts is a very useful method, second only to Substitution. In the following sections of this chapter, we continue to learn other integration techniques. The next section focuses on handling integrals containing trigonometric functions.

Exercises 6.2

Terms and Concepts

- 1. T/F: Integration by Parts is useful in evaluating integrands that contain products of functions.
- 2. T/F: Integration by Parts can be thought of as the "opposite of the Chain Rule."
- 3. For what is "LIATE" useful?
- 4. T/F: If the integral that results from Integration by Parts appears to also need Integration by Parts, then a mistake was made in the orginal choice of "u".

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 34, evaluate the given indefinite integral.

5. $\int x \sin x \, dx$ $6. \int x e^{-x} dx$ 7. $\int x^2 \sin x \, dx$ 8. $\int x^3 \sin x \, dx$ 9. $\int xe^{x^2} dx$ 10. $\int x^3 e^x dx$ 11. $\int xe^{-2x} dx$ 12. $\int e^x \sin x \, dx$ 13. $\int e^{2x} \cos x \, dx$ 14. $\int e^{2x} \sin(3x) dx$ 15. $\int e^{5x} \cos(5x) \, dx$ 16. $\int \sin x \cos x \, dx$ 17. $\int \sin^{-1} x \, dx$

19.
$$\int x \tan^{-1} x \, dx$$

20.
$$\int \sin^{-1} x \, dx$$

21.
$$\int x \ln x \, dx$$

22.
$$\int (x - 2) \ln x \, dx$$

23.
$$\int x \ln(x - 1) \, dx$$

24.
$$\int x \ln(x^2) \, dx$$

25.
$$\int x^2 \ln x \, dx$$

26.
$$\int (\ln x)^2 \, dx$$

27.
$$\int (\ln(x + 1))^2 \, dx$$

28.
$$\int x \sec^2 x \, dx$$

29.
$$\int x \csc^2 x \, dx$$

30.
$$\int x \sqrt{x - 2} \, dx$$

31.
$$\int x \sqrt{x^2 - 2} \, dx$$

32.
$$\int \sec x \tan x \, dx$$

33.
$$\int x \sec x \tan x \, dx$$

34.
$$\int x \csc x \cot x \, dx$$

18. $\int \tan^{-1}(2x) dx$

In Exercises 35 – 40, evaluate the indefinite integral after first making a substitution.

35.
$$\int \sin(\ln x) dx$$

36.
$$\int e^{2x} \cos(e^x) dx$$

37.
$$\int \sin(\sqrt{x}) dx$$

38.
$$\int \ln(\sqrt{x}) dx$$

39.
$$\int e^{\sqrt{x}} dx$$

40.
$$\int e^{\ln x} dx$$

In Exercises 41 – 49, evaluate the definite integral. Note: the corresponding indefinite integrals appear in Exercises 5 - 13.

41.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi} x \sin x \, dx$$

42. $\int_{-1}^{1} x e^{-x} \, dx$

43.
$$\int_{-\pi/4}^{\pi/4} x^{2} \sin x \, dx$$

44.
$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} x^{3} \sin x \, dx$$

45.
$$\int_{0}^{\sqrt{\ln 2}} x e^{x^{2}} \, dx$$

46.
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{3} e^{x} \, dx$$

47.
$$\int_{1}^{2} x e^{-2x} \, dx$$

48.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi} e^{x} \sin x \, dx$$

49.
$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} e^{2x} \cos x \, dx$$

6.3 Trigonometric Integrals

Functions involving trigonometric functions are useful as they are good at describing periodic behavior. This section describes several techniques for finding antiderivatives of certain combinations of trigonometric functions.

Integrals of the form $\int \sin^m x \cos^n x \, dx$

In learning the technique of Substitution, we saw the integral $\int \sin x \cos x \, dx$ in Example 6.1.4. The integration was not difficult, and one could easily evaluate the indefinite integral by letting $u = \sin x$ or by letting $u = \cos x$. This integral is easy since the power of both sine and cosine is 1.

We generalize this integral and consider integrals of the form $\int \sin^m x \cos^n x \, dx$, where m, n are nonnegative integers. Our strategy for evaluating these integrals is to use the identity $\cos^2 x + \sin^2 x = 1$ to convert high powers of one trigonometric function into the other, leaving a single sine or cosine term in the integrand. We summarize the general technique in the following Key Idea.

Key Idea 6.3.1 Integrals Involving Powers of Sine and Cosine

Consider $\int \sin^m x \cos^n x \, dx$, where *m*, *n* are nonnegative integers.

1. If *m* is odd, then m = 2k + 1 for some integer *k*. Rewrite

$$\sin^{m} x = \sin^{2k+1} x = \sin^{2k} x \sin x = (\sin^{2} x)^{k} \sin x = (1 - \cos^{2} x)^{k} \sin x$$

Then

$$\int \sin^m x \cos^n x \, dx = \int (1 - \cos^2 x)^k \sin x \cos^n x \, dx = -\int (1 - u^2)^k u^n \, du,$$

where $u = \cos x$ and $du = -\sin x \, dx$.

2. If *n* is odd, then using substitutions similar to that outlined above we have

$$\int \sin^m x \cos^n x \, dx = \int u^m (1-u^2)^k \, du,$$

where $u = \sin x$ and $du = \cos x \, dx$.

3. If both *m* and *n* are even, use the power–reducing identities

$$\cos^2 x = \frac{1 + \cos(2x)}{2}$$
 and $\sin^2 x = \frac{1 - \cos(2x)}{2}$

to reduce the degree of the integrand. Expand the result and apply the principles of this Key Idea again.

We practice applying Key Idea 6.3.1 in the next examples.

Example 6.3.1 Integrating powers of sine and cosine Evaluate $\int \sin^5 x \cos^8 x \, dx$.

SOLUTION The power of the sine term is odd, so we rewrite $\sin^5 x$ as

$$\sin^5 x = \sin^4 x \sin x = (\sin^2 x)^2 \sin x = (1 - \cos^2 x)^2 \sin x.$$

Our integral is now $\int (1 - \cos^2 x)^2 \cos^8 x \sin x \, dx$. Let $u = \cos x$, hence $du = -\sin x \, dx$. Making the substitution and expanding the integrand gives

$$\int (1-\cos^2)^2 \cos^8 x \sin x \, dx = -\int (1-u^2)^2 u^8 \, du = -\int (1-2u^2+u^4) u^8 \, du = -\int (u^8-2u^{10}+u^{12}) \, du.$$

This final integral is not difficult to evaluate, giving

$$-\int \left(u^8 - 2u^{10} + u^{12}\right) du = -\frac{1}{9}u^9 + \frac{2}{11}u^{11} - \frac{1}{13}u^{13} + C$$
$$= -\frac{1}{9}\cos^9 x + \frac{2}{11}\cos^{11} x - \frac{1}{13}\cos^{13} x + C.$$

Example 6.3.2 Integrating powers of sine and cosine

Evaluate
$$\int \sin^5 x \cos^9 x \, dx$$
.

SOLUTION The powers of both the sine and cosine terms are odd, therefore we can apply the techniques of Key Idea 6.3.1 to either power. We choose to work with the power of the cosine term since the previous example used the sine term's power.

We rewrite $\cos^9 x$ as

$$\cos^9 x = \cos^8 x \cos x$$
$$= (\cos^2 x)^4 \cos x$$
$$= (1 - \sin^2 x)^4 \cos x$$
$$= (1 - 4\sin^2 x + 6\sin^4 x - 4\sin^6 x + \sin^8 x) \cos x.$$

We rewrite the integral as

$$\int \sin^5 x \cos^9 x \, dx = \int \sin^5 x (1 - 4 \sin^2 x + 6 \sin^4 x - 4 \sin^6 x + \sin^8 x) \cos x \, dx.$$




Figure 6.3.1: A plot of f(x) and g(x) from Example 6.3.2 and the Technology Note.

Now substitute and integrate, using $u = \sin x$ and $du = \cos x \, dx$.

$$\sin^{5} x (1 - 4\sin^{2} x + 6\sin^{4} x - 4\sin^{6} x + \sin^{8} x) \cos x \, dx =$$

$$\int u^{5} (1 - 4u^{2} + 6u^{4} - 4u^{6} + u^{8}) \, du = \int (u^{5} - 4u^{7} + 6u^{9} - 4u^{11} + u^{13}) \, du$$

$$= \frac{1}{6}u^{6} - \frac{1}{2}u^{8} + \frac{3}{5}u^{10} - \frac{1}{3}u^{12} + \frac{1}{14}u^{14} + C$$

$$= \frac{1}{6}\sin^{6} x - \frac{1}{2}\sin^{8} x + \frac{3}{5}\sin^{10} x + \dots$$

$$- \frac{1}{3}\sin^{12} x + \frac{1}{14}\sin^{14} x + C.$$

Technology Note: The work we are doing here can be a bit tedious, but the skills developed (problem solving, algebraic manipulation, etc.) are important. Nowadays problems of this sort are often solved using a computer algebra system. The powerful program *Mathematica*^{*} integrates $\int \sin^5 x \cos^9 x \, dx$ as

$$f(x) = -\frac{45\cos(2x)}{16384} - \frac{5\cos(4x)}{8192} + \frac{19\cos(6x)}{49152} + \frac{\cos(8x)}{4096} - \frac{\cos(10x)}{81920} - \frac{\cos(12x)}{24576} - \frac{\cos(14x)}{114688},$$

which clearly has a different form than our answer in Example 6.3.2, which is

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{6}\sin^6 x - \frac{1}{2}\sin^8 x + \frac{3}{5}\sin^{10} x - \frac{1}{3}\sin^{12} x + \frac{1}{14}\sin^{14} x.$$

Figure 6.3.1 shows a graph of f and g; they are clearly not equal, but they differ only by a constant. That is g(x) = f(x) + C for some constant C. So we have two different antiderivatives of the same function, meaning both answers are correct.

Example 6.3.3 Integrating powers of sine and cosine Evaluate $\int \cos^4 x \sin^2 x \, dx$.

SOLUTION The powers of sine and cosine are both even, so we employ the power–reducing formulas and algebra as follows.

$$\int \cos^4 x \sin^2 x \, dx = \int \left(\frac{1+\cos(2x)}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1-\cos(2x)}{2}\right) \, dx$$
$$= \int \frac{1+2\cos(2x)+\cos^2(2x)}{4} \cdot \frac{1-\cos(2x)}{2} \, dx$$
$$= \int \frac{1}{8} \left(1+\cos(2x)-\cos^2(2x)-\cos^3(2x)\right) \, dx$$

The cos(2x) term is easy to integrate, especially with Key Idea 6.1.1. The $cos^2(2x)$ term is another trigonometric integral with an even power, requiring the power–reducing formula again. The $cos^3(2x)$ term is a cosine function with an odd power, requiring a substitution as done before. We integrate each in turn below.

$$\int \cos(2x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \sin(2x) + C.$$
$$\int \cos^2(2x) \, dx = \int \frac{1 + \cos(4x)}{2} \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \left(x + \frac{1}{4} \sin(4x) \right) + C.$$

Finally, we rewrite $\cos^3(2x)$ as

$$\cos^{3}(2x) = \cos^{2}(2x)\cos(2x) = (1 - \sin^{2}(2x))\cos(2x).$$

Letting $u = \sin(2x)$, we have $du = 2\cos(2x) dx$, hence

$$\int \cos^3(2x) \, dx = \int \left(1 - \sin^2(2x)\right) \cos(2x) \, dx$$
$$= \int \frac{1}{2} (1 - u^2) \, du$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(u - \frac{1}{3}u^3\right) + C$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sin(2x) - \frac{1}{3}\sin^3(2x)\right) + C$$

Putting all the pieces together, we have

$$\int \cos^4 x \sin^2 x \, dx = \int \frac{1}{8} \left(1 + \cos(2x) - \cos^2(2x) - \cos^3(2x) \right) \, dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{8} \left[x + \frac{1}{2} \sin(2x) - \frac{1}{2} \left(x + \frac{1}{4} \sin(4x) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sin(2x) - \frac{1}{3} \sin^3(2x) \right) \right] + C$$
$$= \frac{1}{8} \left[\frac{1}{2} x - \frac{1}{8} \sin(4x) + \frac{1}{6} \sin^3(2x) \right] + C$$

The process above was a bit long and tedious, but being able to work a problem such as this from start to finish is important.

Integrals of the form
$$\int \sin(mx) \sin(nx) dx$$
, $\int \cos(mx) \cos(nx) dx$,
and $\int \sin(mx) \cos(nx) dx$.

Functions that contain products of sines and cosines of differing periods are important in many applications including the analysis of sound waves. Integrals of the form

$$\int \sin(mx) \sin(nx) \, dx, \quad \int \cos(mx) \cos(nx) \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int \sin(mx) \cos(nx) \, dx$$

are best approached by first applying the Product to Sum Formulas found in the back cover of this text, namely

$$\sin(mx)\sin(nx) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[\cos\left((m-n)x\right) - \cos\left((m+n)x\right) \Big]$$
$$\cos(mx)\cos(nx) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[\cos\left((m-n)x\right) + \cos\left((m+n)x\right) \Big]$$
$$\sin(mx)\cos(nx) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[\sin\left((m-n)x\right) + \sin\left((m+n)x\right) \Big]$$

Example 6.3.4 Integrating products of sin(mx) and cos(nx)

Evaluate $\int \sin(5x) \cos(2x) dx$.

SOLUTION The application of the formula and subsequent integration are straightforward:

$$\int \sin(5x)\cos(2x) \, dx = \int \frac{1}{2} \left[\sin(3x) + \sin(7x) \right] \, dx$$
$$= -\frac{1}{6}\cos(3x) - \frac{1}{14}\cos(7x) + C$$

Integrals of the form $\int \tan^m x \sec^n x \, dx$.

When evaluating integrals of the form $\int \sin^m x \cos^n x \, dx$, the Pythagorean Theorem allowed us to convert even powers of sine into even powers of cosine, and vise–versa. If, for instance, the power of sine was odd, we pulled out one sin x and converted the remaining even power of sin x into a function using powers of cos x, leading to an easy substitution.

The same basic strategy applies to integrals of the form $\int \tan^m x \sec^n x \, dx$, albeit a bit more nuanced. The following three facts will prove useful:

- $\frac{d}{dx}(\tan x) = \sec^2 x$,
- $\frac{d}{dx}(\sec x) = \sec x \tan x$, and
- $1 + \tan^2 x = \sec^2 x$ (the Pythagorean Theorem).

If the integrand can be manipulated to separate a $\sec^2 x$ term with the remaining secant power even, or if a $\sec x \tan x$ term can be separated with the remaining $\tan x$ power even, the Pythagorean Theorem can be employed, leading to a simple substitution. This strategy is outlined in the following Key Idea.

Key Idea 6.3.2 Integrals Involving Powers of Tangent and Secant

Consider $\int \tan^m x \sec^n x \, dx$, where m, n are nonnegative integers.

1. If *n* is even, then n = 2k for some integer *k*. Rewrite sec^{*n*} *x* as

$$\sec^n x = \sec^{2k} x = \sec^{2k-2} x \sec^2 x = (1 + \tan^2 x)^{k-1} \sec^2 x.$$

Then

$$\int \tan^m x \sec^n x \, dx = \int \tan^m x (1 + \tan^2 x)^{k-1} \sec^2 x \, dx = \int u^m (1 + u^2)^{k-1} \, du,$$

where $u = \tan x$ and $du = \sec^2 x \, dx$.

2. If *m* is odd, then m = 2k + 1 for some integer *k*. Rewrite $\tan^m x \sec^n x$ as

$$\tan^m x \sec^n x = \tan^{2k+1} x \sec^n x = \tan^{2k} x \sec^{n-1} x \sec x \tan x = (\sec^2 x - 1)^k \sec^{n-1} x \sec x \tan x.$$

Then

$$\int \tan^m x \sec^n x \, dx = \int (\sec^2 x - 1)^k \sec^{n-1} x \sec x \tan x \, dx = \int (u^2 - 1)^k u^{n-1} \, du,$$

where $u = \sec x$ and $du = \sec x \tan x \, dx$.

- 3. If *n* is odd and *m* is even, then m = 2k for some integer *k*. Convert $\tan^m x$ to $(\sec^2 x 1)^k$. Expand the new integrand and use Integration By Parts, with $dv = \sec^2 x \, dx$.
- 4. If *m* is even and n = 0, rewrite $\tan^m x$ as

$$\tan^m x = \tan^{m-2} x \tan^2 x = \tan^{m-2} x (\sec^2 x - 1) = \tan^{m-2} \sec^2 x - \tan^{m-2} x.$$

So

$$\int \tan^m x \, dx = \underbrace{\int \tan^{m-2} \sec^2 x \, dx}_{\text{apply rule #1}} - \underbrace{\int \tan^{m-2} x \, dx}_{\text{apply rule #4 again}}.$$

The techniques described in items 1 and 2 of Key Idea 6.3.2 are relatively straightforward, but the techniques in items 3 and 4 can be rather tedious. A few examples will help with these methods.

Example 6.3.5 Integrating powers of tangent and secant Evaluate $\int \tan^2 x \sec^6 x \, dx$.

SOLUTION Since the power of secant is even, we use rule #1 from Key Idea 6.3.2 and pull out a $\sec^2 x$ in the integrand. We convert the remaining powers of secant into powers of tangent.

$$\int \tan^2 x \sec^6 x \, dx = \int \tan^2 x \sec^4 x \sec^2 x \, dx$$
$$= \int \tan^2 x (1 + \tan^2 x)^2 \sec^2 x \, dx$$

Now substitute, with $u = \tan x$, with $du = \sec^2 x \, dx$.

$$=\int u^2 \left(1+u^2\right)^2 du$$

We leave the integration and subsequent substitution to the reader. The final answer is

$$= \frac{1}{3} \tan^3 x + \frac{2}{5} \tan^5 x + \frac{1}{7} \tan^7 x + C.$$

Example 6.3.6 Integrating powers of tangent and secant Evaluate $\int \sec^3 x \, dx$.

SOLUTION We apply rule #3 from Key Idea 6.3.2 as the power of secant is odd and the power of tangent is even (0 is an even number). We use Integration by Parts; the rule suggests letting $dv = \sec^2 x \, dx$, meaning that $u = \sec x$.

$$u = \sec x \qquad v = ? \qquad \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad u = \sec x \qquad v = \tan x$$

$$du = ? \qquad dv = \sec^2 x \, dx \qquad \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad du = \sec x \tan x \, dx \qquad dv = \sec^2 x \, dx$$

Figure 6.3.2: Setting up Integration by Parts.

Employing Integration by Parts, we have

$$\int \sec^3 x \, dx = \int \underbrace{\sec x}_{u} \cdot \underbrace{\sec^2 x \, dx}_{dv}$$
$$= \sec x \tan x - \int \sec x \tan^2 x \, dx.$$

This new integral also requires applying rule #3 of Key Idea 6.3.2:

$$= \sec x \tan x - \int \sec x (\sec^2 x - 1) dx$$
$$= \sec x \tan x - \int \sec^3 x \, dx + \int \sec x \, dx$$
$$= \sec x \tan x - \int \sec^3 x \, dx + \ln|\sec x + \tan x|$$

In previous applications of Integration by Parts, we have seen where the original integral has reappeared in our work. We resolve this by adding $\int \sec^3 x \, dx$ to both sides, giving:

$$2\int \sec^3 x \, dx = \sec x \tan x + \ln|\sec x + \tan x|$$
$$\int \sec^3 x \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \Big(\sec x \tan x + \ln|\sec x + \tan x|\Big) + C$$

We give one more example.

Example 6.3.7 Integrating powers of tangent and secant Evaluate $\int \tan^6 x \, dx$.

SOLUTION

$$\int \tan^6 x \, dx = \int \tan^4 x \tan^2 x \, dx$$
$$= \int \tan^4 x (\sec^2 x - 1) \, dx$$
$$= \int \tan^4 x \sec^2 x \, dx - \int \tan^4 x \, dx$$

Integrate the first integral with substitution, $u = \tan x$; integrate the second by employing rule #4 again.

$$= \frac{1}{5} \tan^5 x - \int \tan^2 x \tan^2 x \, dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} \tan^5 x - \int \tan^2 x (\sec^2 x - 1) \, dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} \tan^5 x - \int \tan^2 x \sec^2 x \, dx + \int \tan^2 x \, dx$$

Again, use substitution for the first integral and rule #4 for the second.

$$= \frac{1}{5} \tan^5 x - \frac{1}{3} \tan^3 x + \int (\sec^2 x - 1) dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{5} \tan^5 x - \frac{1}{3} \tan^3 x + \tan x - x + C.$$

These latter examples were admittedly long, with repeated applications of the same rule. Try to not be overwhelmed by the length of the problem, but rather admire how robust this solution method is. A trigonometric function of a high power can be systematically reduced to trigonometric functions of lower powers until all antiderivatives can be computed.

The next section introduces an integration technique known as Trigonometric Substitution, a clever combination of Substitution and the Pythagorean Theorem.

Exercises 6.3

Terms and Concepts

- 1. T/F: $\int \sin^2 x \cos^2 x \, dx$ cannot be evaluated using the techniques described in this section since both powers of sin x and cos x are even.
- 2. T/F: $\int \sin^3 x \cos^3 x \, dx$ cannot be evaluated using the techniques described in this section since both powers of sin x and cos x are odd.
- 3. T/F: This section addresses how to evaluate indefinite integrals such as $\int \sin^5 x \tan^3 x \, dx$.
- 4. T/F: Sometimes computer programs evaluate integrals involving trigonometric functions differently than one would using the techniques of this section. When this is the case, the techniques of this section have failed and one should only trust the answer given by the computer.

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 28, evaluate the indefinite integral.

5. $\int \sin x \cos^4 x \, dx$ 6. $\int \sin^3 x \cos x \, dx$ 7. $\int \sin^3 x \cos^2 x \, dx$ 8. $\int \sin^3 x \cos^3 x \, dx$ 9. $\int \sin^6 x \cos^5 x \, dx$ 10. $\int \sin^2 x \cos^7 x \, dx$ 11. $\int \sin^2 x \cos^2 x \, dx$ 12. $\int \sin x \cos x \, dx$ 13. $\int \sin(5x) \cos(3x) \, dx$

14. $\int \sin(x) \cos(2x) \, dx$

15. $\int \sin(3x) \sin(7x) \, dx$

16. $\int \sin(\pi x) \sin(2\pi x) \, dx$

17.
$$\int \cos(x) \cos(2x) dx$$

18.
$$\int \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) \cos(\pi x) dx$$

19.
$$\int \tan^4 x \sec^2 x dx$$

20.
$$\int \tan^2 x \sec^4 x dx$$

21.
$$\int \tan^3 x \sec^4 x dx$$

22.
$$\int \tan^3 x \sec^2 x dx$$

23.
$$\int \tan^3 x \sec^3 x dx$$

24.
$$\int \tan^5 x \sec^5 x dx$$

25.
$$\int \tan^4 x \, dx$$

26.
$$\int \sec^5 x \, dx$$

27.
$$\int \tan^2 x \sec x \, dx$$

28.
$$\int \tan^2 x \sec^3 x \, dx$$

In Exercises 29 – 35, evaluate the definite integral. Note: the corresponding indefinite integrals appear in the previous set.

29.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x \cos^{4} x \, dx$$

30.
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^{3} x \cos x \, dx$$

31.
$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \sin^{2} x \cos^{7} x \, dx$$

32.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi/2} \sin(5x) \cos(3x) \, dx$$

33.
$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos(x) \cos(2x) \, dx$$

34.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi/4} \tan^{4} x \sec^{2} x \, dx$$

35.
$$\int_{-\pi/4}^{\pi/4} \tan^{2} x \sec^{4} x \, dx$$

303

6.4 Trigonometric Substitution

In Section 5.2 we defined the definite integral as the "signed area under the curve." In that section we had not yet learned the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, so we only evaluated special definite integrals which described nice, geometric shapes. For instance, we were able to evaluate

$$\int_{-3}^{3} \sqrt{9 - x^2} \, dx = \frac{9\pi}{2} \tag{6.1}$$

as we recognized that $f(x) = \sqrt{9 - x^2}$ described the upper half of a circle with radius 3.

We have since learned a number of integration techniques, including Substitution and Integration by Parts, yet we are still unable to evaluate the above integral without resorting to a geometric interpretation. This section introduces Trigonometric Substitution, a method of integration that fills this gap in our integration skill. This technique works on the same principle as Substitution as found in Section 6.1, though it can feel "backward." In Section 6.1, we set u = f(x), for some function f, and replaced f(x) with u. In this section, we will set $x = f(\theta)$, where f is a trigonometric function, then replace x with $f(\theta)$.

We start by demonstrating this method in evaluating the integral in Equation (6.1). After the example, we will generalize the method and give more examples.

Example 6.4.1 Using Trigonometric Substitution

Evaluate
$$\int_{-3}^{3} \sqrt{9-x^2} \, dx$$
.

SOLUTION We begin by noting that $9\sin^2\theta + 9\cos^2\theta = 9$, and hence $9\cos^2\theta = 9 - 9\sin^2\theta$. If we let $x = 3\sin\theta$, then $9 - x^2 = 9 - 9\sin^2\theta = 9\cos^2\theta$.

Setting $x = 3 \sin \theta$ gives $dx = 3 \cos \theta \, d\theta$. We are almost ready to substitute. We also wish to change our bounds of integration. The bound x = -3 corresponds to $\theta = -\pi/2$ (for when $\theta = -\pi/2$, $x = 3 \sin \theta = -3$). Likewise, the bound of x = 3 is replaced by the bound $\theta = \pi/2$. Thus

$$\int_{-3}^{3} \sqrt{9 - x^2} \, dx = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \sqrt{9 - 9\sin^2\theta} (3\cos\theta) \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} 3\sqrt{9\cos^2\theta} \cos\theta \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} 3|3\cos\theta| \cos\theta \, d\theta.$$


On $[-\pi/2, \pi/2]$, cos θ is always positive, so we can drop the absolute value bars, then employ a power–reducing formula:

$$= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} 9\cos^2\theta \, d\theta$$

= $\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{9}{2} (1 + \cos(2\theta)) \, d\theta$
= $\frac{9}{2} (\theta + \frac{1}{2}\sin(2\theta)) \Big|_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} = \frac{9}{2}\pi.$

This matches our answer from before.

We now describe in detail Trigonometric Substitution. This method excels when dealing with integrands that contain $\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}$, $\sqrt{x^2 - a^2}$ and $\sqrt{x^2 + a^2}$. The following Key Idea outlines the procedure for each case, followed by more examples. Each right triangle acts as a reference to help us understand the relationships between x and θ .



Example 6.4.2 Using Trigonometric Substitution Evaluate $\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{5+x^2}} dx$.

SOLUTION Using Key Idea 6.4.1(b), we recognize $a = \sqrt{5}$ and set $x = \sqrt{5} \tan \theta$. This makes $dx = \sqrt{5} \sec^2 \theta \ d\theta$. We will use the fact that $\sqrt{5 + x^2} = \sqrt{5 + 5} \tan^2 \theta = \sqrt{5} \sec^2 \theta = \sqrt{5} \sec \theta$. Substituting, we have:

$$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{5+x^2}} dx = \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{5+5\tan^2\theta}} \sqrt{5}\sec^2\theta \, d\theta$$
$$= \int \frac{\sqrt{5}\sec^2\theta}{\sqrt{5}\sec\theta} \, d\theta$$
$$= \int \sec\theta \, d\theta$$
$$= \ln|\sec\theta + \tan\theta| + C.$$

While the integration steps are over, we are not yet done. The original problem was stated in terms of x, whereas our answer is given in terms of θ . We must convert back to x.

The reference triangle given in Key Idea 6.4.1(b) helps. With $x = \sqrt{5} \tan \theta$, we have

$$\tan \theta = \frac{x}{\sqrt{5}}$$
 and $\sec \theta = \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 5}}{\sqrt{5}}$.

This gives

$$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{5+x^2}} dx = \ln \left| \sec \theta + \tan \theta \right| + C$$
$$= \ln \left| \frac{\sqrt{x^2+5}}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{x}{\sqrt{5}} \right| + C.$$

We can leave this answer as is, or we can use a logarithmic identity to simplify it. Note:

$$\ln \left| \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 5}}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{x}{\sqrt{5}} \right| + C = \ln \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\sqrt{x^2 + 5} + x \right) \right| + C$$
$$= \ln \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right| + \ln \left| \sqrt{x^2 + 5} + x \right| + C$$
$$= \ln \left| \sqrt{x^2 + 5} + x \right| + C,$$

where the ln $(1/\sqrt{5})$ term is absorbed into the constant *C*. (In Section 6.6 we will learn another way of approaching this problem.)

Example 6.4.3 Using Trigonometric Substitution Evaluate $\int \sqrt{4x^2 - 1} dx$.

SOLUTION We start by rewriting the integrand so that it looks like $\sqrt{x^2 - a^2}$ for some value of *a*:

$$\sqrt{4x^2 - 1} = \sqrt{4\left(x^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right)}$$
$$= 2\sqrt{x^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2}.$$

So we have a = 1/2, and following Key Idea 6.4.1(c), we set $x = \frac{1}{2} \sec \theta$, and hence $dx = \frac{1}{2} \sec \theta \tan \theta \, d\theta$. We now rewrite the integral with these substitutions:

$$\int \sqrt{4x^2 - 1} \, dx = \int 2\sqrt{x^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2} \, dx$$
$$= \int 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\sec^2\theta - \frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\sec\theta\tan\theta\right) \, d\theta$$
$$= \int \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(\sec^2\theta - 1)} \left(\sec\theta\tan\theta\right) \, d\theta$$
$$= \int \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\tan^2\theta} \left(\sec\theta\tan\theta\right) \, d\theta$$
$$= \int \frac{1}{2}\tan^2\theta\sec\theta \, d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\sec^2\theta - 1\right) \sec\theta \, d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\sec^2\theta - 1\right) \sec\theta \, d\theta.$$

We integrated sec³ θ in Example 6.3.6, finding its antiderivatives to be

$$\int \sec^3 \theta \, d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \Big(\sec \theta \tan \theta + \ln |\sec \theta + \tan \theta| \Big) + C.$$

Thus

$$\int \sqrt{4x^2 - 1} \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\sec^3 \theta - \sec \theta \right) \, d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\sec \theta \tan \theta + \ln |\sec \theta + \tan \theta| \right) - \ln |\sec \theta + \tan \theta| \right) + C$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} \left(\sec \theta \tan \theta - \ln |\sec \theta + \tan \theta| \right) + C.$$

We are not yet done. Our original integral is given in terms of x, whereas our final answer, as given, is in terms of θ . We need to rewrite our answer in terms of x. With a = 1/2, and $x = \frac{1}{2} \sec \theta$, the reference triangle in Key Idea 6.4.1(c) shows that

$$\tan \theta = \sqrt{x^2 - 1/4} / (1/2) = 2\sqrt{x^2 - 1/4}$$
 and $\sec \theta = 2x$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \Big(\sec \theta \tan \theta - \ln \left| \sec \theta + \tan \theta \right| \Big) + C &= \frac{1}{4} \Big(2x \cdot 2\sqrt{x^2 - 1/4} - \ln \left| 2x + 2\sqrt{x^2 - 1/4} \right| \Big) + C \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \Big(4x\sqrt{x^2 - 1/4} - \ln \left| 2x + 2\sqrt{x^2 - 1/4} \right| \Big) + C. \end{aligned}$$

The final answer is given in the last line above, repeated here:

$$\int \sqrt{4x^2 - 1} \, dx = \frac{1}{4} \Big(4x \sqrt{x^2 - 1/4} - \ln \big| 2x + 2\sqrt{x^2 - 1/4} \big| \Big) + C.$$

Example 6.4.4 Using Trigonometric Substitution Evaluate $\int \frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{x^2} dx$.

Solution We use Key Idea 6.4.1(a) with a = 2, $x = 2 \sin \theta$, $dx = 2 \cos \theta$ and hence $\sqrt{4 - x^2} = 2 \cos \theta$. This gives

$$\int \frac{\sqrt{4 - x^2}}{x^2} dx = \int \frac{2 \cos \theta}{4 \sin^2 \theta} (2 \cos \theta) d\theta$$
$$= \int \cot^2 \theta d\theta$$
$$= \int (\csc^2 \theta - 1) d\theta$$
$$= -\cot \theta - \theta + C.$$

We need to rewrite our answer in terms of x. Using the reference triangle found in Key Idea 6.4.1(a), we have $\cot \theta = \sqrt{4 - x^2}/x$ and $\theta = \sin^{-1}(x/2)$. Thus

$$\int \frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{x^2} \, dx = -\frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{x} - \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + C$$

Trigonometric Substitution can be applied in many situations, even those not of the form $\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}$, $\sqrt{x^2 - a^2}$ or $\sqrt{x^2 + a^2}$. In the following example, we apply it to an integral we already know how to handle.

Example 6.4.5 Using Trigonometric Substitution Evaluate $\int \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} dx$.

SOLUTION We know the answer already as $\tan^{-1} x + C$. We apply Trigonometric Substitution here to show that we get the same answer without inherently relying on knowledge of the derivative of the arctangent function.

Using Key Idea 6.4.1(b), let $x = \tan \theta$, $dx = \sec^2 \theta \, d\theta$ and note that $x^2 + 1 = \tan^2 \theta + 1 = \sec^2 \theta$. Thus

$$\int \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} dx = \int \frac{1}{\sec^2 \theta} \sec^2 \theta \, d\theta$$
$$= \int 1 \, d\theta$$
$$= \theta + C.$$

Since $x = \tan \theta$, $\theta = \tan^{-1} x$, and we conclude that $\int \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} dx = \tan^{-1} x + C$.

The next example is similar to the previous one in that it does not involve a square–root. It shows how several techniques and identities can be combined to obtain a solution.

Example 6.4.6 Using Trigonometric Substitution Evaluate $\int \frac{1}{(x^2 + 6x + 10)^2} dx$.

SOLUTION We start by completing the square, then make the substitution u = x + 3, followed by the trigonometric substitution of $u = \tan \theta$:

$$\int \frac{1}{(x^2+6x+10)^2} \, dx = \int \frac{1}{\left((x+3)^2+1\right)^2} \, dx = \int \frac{1}{(u^2+1)^2} \, du$$

Now make the substitution $u = \tan \theta$, $du = \sec^2 \theta \ d\theta$:

$$= \int \frac{1}{(\tan^2 \theta + 1)^2} \sec^2 \theta \, d\theta$$
$$= \int \frac{1}{(\sec^2 \theta)^2} \sec^2 \theta \, d\theta$$
$$= \int \cos^2 \theta \, d\theta.$$

Applying a power reducing formula, we have

$$= \int \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\cos(2\theta)\right) d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\theta + \frac{1}{4}\sin(2\theta) + C. \quad (6.2)$$

We need to return to the variable x. As $u = \tan \theta$, $\theta = \tan^{-1} u$. Using the identity $\sin(2\theta) = 2\sin\theta\cos\theta$ and using the reference triangle found in Key Idea 6.4.1(b), we have

$$\frac{1}{4}\sin(2\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{u}{\sqrt{u^2 + 1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2 + 1}} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{u}{u^2 + 1}$$

Finally, we return to x with the substitution u = x + 3. We start with the expression in Equation (6.2):

$$\frac{1}{2}\theta + \frac{1}{4}\sin(2\theta) + C = \frac{1}{2}\tan^{-1}u + \frac{1}{2}\frac{u}{u^2 + 1} + C$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\tan^{-1}(x + 3) + \frac{x + 3}{2(x^2 + 6x + 10)} + C.$$

Stating our final result in one line,

$$\int \frac{1}{(x^2 + 6x + 10)^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1}(x+3) + \frac{x+3}{2(x^2 + 6x + 10)} + C$$

Our last example returns us to definite integrals, as seen in our first example. Given a definite integral that can be evaluated using Trigonometric Substitution, we could first evaluate the corresponding indefinite integral (by changing from an integral in terms of x to one in terms of θ , then converting back to x) and then evaluate using the original bounds. It is much more straightforward, though, to change the bounds as we substitute.

Example 6.4.7 Definite integration and Trigonometric Substitution Evaluate $\int_0^5 \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + 25}} dx$.

SOLUTION Using Key Idea 6.4.1(b), we set $x = 5 \tan \theta$, $dx = 5 \sec^2 \theta \, d\theta$, and note that $\sqrt{x^2 + 25} = 5 \sec \theta$. As we substitute, we can also change the bounds of integration.

The lower bound of the original integral is x = 0. As $x = 5 \tan \theta$, we solve for θ and find $\theta = \tan^{-1}(x/5)$. Thus the new lower bound is $\theta = \tan^{-1}(0) = 0$. The

original upper bound is x = 5, thus the new upper bound is $\theta = \tan^{-1}(5/5) = \pi/4$.

Thus we have

$$\int_0^5 \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + 25}} \, dx = \int_0^{\pi/4} \frac{25 \tan^2 \theta}{5 \sec \theta} 5 \sec^2 \theta \, d\theta$$
$$= 25 \int_0^{\pi/4} \tan^2 \theta \sec \theta \, d\theta.$$

We encountered this indefinite integral in Example 6.4.3 where we found

$$\int \tan^2\theta \sec\theta \, d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \big(\sec\theta \tan\theta - \ln|\sec\theta + \tan\theta| \big).$$

So

$$25 \int_0^{\pi/4} \tan^2 \theta \sec \theta \, d\theta = \frac{25}{2} \left(\sec \theta \tan \theta - \ln |\sec \theta + \tan \theta| \right) \Big|_0^{\pi/4}$$
$$= \frac{25}{2} \left(\sqrt{2} - \ln(\sqrt{2} + 1) \right)$$
$$\approx 6.661.$$

The following equalities are very useful when evaluating integrals using Trigonometric Substitution.

Key Idea 6.4.2Useful Equalities with Trigonometric Substitution1. $sin(2\theta) = 2 sin \theta cos \theta$ 2. $cos(2\theta) = cos^2 \theta - sin^2 \theta = 2 cos^2 \theta - 1 = 1 - 2 sin^2 \theta$ 3. $\int sec^3 \theta d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \left(sec \theta tan \theta + ln | sec \theta + tan \theta | \right) + C$ 4. $\int cos^2 \theta d\theta = \int \frac{1}{2} (1 + cos(2\theta)) d\theta = \frac{1}{2} (\theta + sin \theta cos \theta) + C.$

The next section introduces Partial Fraction Decomposition, which is an algebraic technique that turns "complicated" fractions into sums of "simpler" fractions, making integration easier.

Exercises 6.4

Terms and Concepts

- Trigonometric Substitution works on the same principles as Integration by Substitution, though it can feel "_____".
- 2. If one uses Trigonometric Substitution on an integrand containing $\sqrt{25 x^2}$, then one should set x =_____.
- 3. Consider the Pythagorean Identity $\sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta = 1$.
 - (a) What identity is obtained when both sides are divided by $\cos^2 \theta$?
 - (b) Use the new identity to simplify $9 \tan^2 \theta + 9$.
- 4. Why does Key Idea 6.4.1(a) state that $\sqrt{a^2 x^2} = a \cos \theta$, and not $|a \cos \theta|$?

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 16, apply Trigonometric Substitution to evaluate the indefinite integrals.

5. $\int \sqrt{x^2 + 1} dx$ 6. $\int \sqrt{x^2 + 4} dx$ 7. $\int \sqrt{1 - x^2} dx$ 8. $\int \sqrt{9 - x^2} dx$ 9. $\int \sqrt{x^2 - 1} dx$ 10. $\int \sqrt{x^2 - 16} dx$ 11. $\int \sqrt{4x^2 + 1} dx$ 12. $\int \sqrt{1 - 9x^2} dx$ 13. $\int \sqrt{16x^2 - 1} dx$ 14. $\int \frac{8}{\sqrt{x^2 + 2}} dx$ 15. $\int \frac{3}{\sqrt{7 - x^2}} dx$ 16. $\int \frac{5}{\sqrt{x^2 - 8}} dx$ In Exercises 17 – 26, evaluate the indefinite integrals. Some may be evaluated without Trigonometric Substitution.

17.
$$\int \frac{\sqrt{x^2 - 11}}{x} dx$$

18.
$$\int \frac{1}{(x^2 + 1)^2} dx$$

19.
$$\int \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 - 3}} dx$$

20.
$$\int x^2 \sqrt{1 - x^2} dx$$

21.
$$\int \frac{x}{(x^2 + 9)^{3/2}} dx$$

22.
$$\int \frac{5x^2}{\sqrt{x^2 - 10}} dx$$

23.
$$\int \frac{1}{(x^2 + 4x + 13)^2} dx$$

24.
$$\int x^2 (1 - x^2)^{-3/2} dx$$

25.
$$\int \frac{\sqrt{5 - x^2}}{7x^2} dx$$

26.
$$\int \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + 3}} dx$$

In Exercises 27 - 32, evaluate the definite integrals by making the proper trigonometric substitution *and* changing the bounds of integration. (Note: each of the corresponding indefinite integrals has appeared previously in this Exercise set.)

27.
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{1 - x^{2}} dx$$

28.
$$\int_{4}^{8} \sqrt{x^{2} - 16} dx$$

29.
$$\int_{0}^{2} \sqrt{x^{2} + 4} dx$$

30.
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{(x^{2} + 1)^{2}} dx$$

31.
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{9 - x^{2}} dx$$

32.
$$\int_{-1}^{1} x^{2} \sqrt{1 - x^{2}} dx$$

6.5 Partial Fraction Decomposition

In this section we investigate the antiderivatives of rational functions. Recall that rational functions are functions of the form $f(x) = \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$, where p(x) and q(x) are polynomials and $q(x) \neq 0$. Such functions arise in many contexts, one of which is the solving of certain fundamental differential equations.

We begin with an example that demonstrates the motivation behind this section. Consider the integral $\int \frac{1}{x^2 - 1} dx$. We do not have a simple formula for this (if the denominator were $x^2 + 1$, we would recognize the antiderivative as being the arctangent function). It can be solved using Trigonometric Substitution, but note how the integral is easy to evaluate once we realize:

$$\frac{1}{x^2-1} = \frac{1/2}{x-1} - \frac{1/2}{x+1}.$$

Thus

$$\int \frac{1}{x^2 - 1} dx = \int \frac{1/2}{x - 1} dx - \int \frac{1/2}{x + 1} dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \ln|x - 1| - \frac{1}{2} \ln|x + 1| + C$$

This section teaches how to decompose

$$\frac{1}{x^2-1}$$
 into $\frac{1/2}{x-1}-\frac{1/2}{x+1}$.

We start with a rational function $f(x) = \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$, where p and q do not have any common factors and the degree of p is less than the degree of q. It can be shown that any polynomial, and hence q, can be factored into a product of linear and irreducible quadratic terms. The following Key Idea states how to decompose a rational function into a sum of rational functions whose denominators are all of lower degree than q.

Key Idea 6.5.1 Partial Fraction Decomposition

Let $\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$ be a rational function, where the degree of p is less than the degree of q.

1. **Linear Terms:** Let (x - a) divide q(x), where $(x - a)^n$ is the highest power of (x - a) that divides q(x). Then the decomposition of $\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$ will contain the sum

$$\frac{A_1}{(x-a)}+\frac{A_2}{(x-a)^2}+\cdots+\frac{A_n}{(x-a)^n}$$

2. Quadratic Terms: Let $x^2 + bx + c$ divide q(x), where $(x^2 + bx + c)^n$ is the highest power of $x^2 + bx + c$ that divides q(x). Then the decomposition of $\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$ will contain the sum

$$\frac{B_1x+C_1}{x^2+bx+c}+\frac{B_2x+C_2}{(x^2+bx+c)^2}+\cdots+\frac{B_nx+C_n}{(x^2+bx+c)^n}.$$

To find the coefficients A_i, B_i and C_i:

- Multiply all fractions by q(x), clearing the denominators. Collect like terms.
- 2. Equate the resulting coefficients of the powers of *x* and solve the resulting system of linear equations.

The following examples will demonstrate how to put this Key Idea into practice. Example 6.5.1 stresses the decomposition aspect of the Key Idea.

Example 6.5.1 Decomposing into partial fractions

Decompose $f(x) = \frac{1}{(x+5)(x-2)^3(x^2+x+2)(x^2+x+7)^2}$ without solving for the resulting coefficients.

SOLUTION The denominator is already factored, as both $x^2 + x + 2$ and $x^2 + x + 7$ cannot be factored further. We need to decompose f(x) properly. Since (x + 5) is a linear term that divides the denominator, there will be a

$$\frac{A}{x+5}$$

term in the decomposition.

As $(x-2)^3$ divides the denominator, we will have the following terms in the decomposition:

$$\frac{B}{x-2}$$
, $\frac{C}{(x-2)^2}$ and $\frac{D}{(x-2)^3}$.

The $x^2 + x + 2$ term in the denominator results in a $\frac{Ex + F}{x^2 + x + 2}$ term. Finally, the $(x^2 + x + 7)^2$ term results in the terms

$$\frac{Gx + H}{x^2 + x + 7}$$
 and $\frac{Ix + J}{(x^2 + x + 7)^2}$

All together, we have

$$\frac{1}{(x+5)(x-2)^3(x^2+x+2)(x^2+x+7)^2} = \frac{A}{x+5} + \frac{B}{x-2} + \frac{C}{(x-2)^2} + \frac{D}{(x-2)^3} + \frac{Ex+F}{x^2+x+2} + \frac{Gx+H}{x^2+x+7} + \frac{Ix+J}{(x^2+x+7)^2}$$

Solving for the coefficients A, B...J would be a bit tedious but not "hard."

Example 6.5.2 Decomposing into partial fractions

Perform the partial fraction decomposition of $\frac{1}{x^2-1}$.

SOLUTION The denominator factors into two linear terms: $x^2 - 1 = (x - 1)(x + 1)$. Thus

$$\frac{1}{x^2-1} = \frac{A}{x-1} + \frac{B}{x+1}.$$

To solve for A and B, first multiply through by $x^2 - 1 = (x - 1)(x + 1)$:

$$1 = \frac{A(x-1)(x+1)}{x-1} + \frac{B(x-1)(x+1)}{x+1}$$

= A(x+1) + B(x-1)
= Ax + A + Bx - B

Now collect like terms.

$$= (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}).$$

The next step is key. Note the equality we have:

$$1 = (A + B)x + (A - B).$$

For clarity's sake, rewrite the left hand side as

$$0x + 1 = (A + B)x + (A - B)$$

On the left, the coefficient of the *x* term is 0; on the right, it is (A + B). Since both sides are equal, we must have that 0 = A + B.

Likewise, on the left, we have a constant term of 1; on the right, the constant term is (A - B). Therefore we have 1 = A - B.

We have two linear equations with two unknowns. This one is easy to solve by hand, leading to

$$egin{array}{lll} A+B=0\ A-B=1 \end{array} \Rightarrow egin{array}{lll} A=1/2\ B=-1/2 \end{array} .$$

Thus

$\frac{1}{x^2-1}=\frac{1/2}{x-1}-\frac{1/2}{x+1}.$

Example 6.5.3 Integrating using partial fractions Use partial fraction decomposition to integrate $\int \frac{1}{(x-1)(x+2)^2} dx$.

SOLUTION We decompose the integrand as follows, as described by Key Idea 6.5.1:

$$\frac{1}{(x-1)(x+2)^2} = \frac{A}{x-1} + \frac{B}{x+2} + \frac{C}{(x+2)^2}$$

To solve for A, B and C, we multiply both sides by $(x - 1)(x + 2)^2$ and collect like terms:

$$1 = A(x+2)^{2} + B(x-1)(x+2) + C(x-1)$$

$$= Ax^{2} + 4Ax + 4A + Bx^{2} + Bx - 2B + Cx - C$$

$$= (A+B)x^{2} + (4A+B+C)x + (4A-2B-C)$$
(6.3)

We have

$$0x^{2} + 0x + 1 = (A + B)x^{2} + (4A + B + C)x + (4A - 2B - C)$$

leading to the equations

$$A + B = 0$$
, $4A + B + C = 0$ and $4A - 2B - C = 1$.

These three equations of three unknowns lead to a unique solution:

$$A = 1/9$$
, $B = -1/9$ and $C = -1/3$.

Notes:

Note: Equation 6.3 offers a direct route to finding the values of *A*, *B* and *C*. Since the equation holds for all values of *x*, it holds in particular when x = 1. However, when x = 1, the right hand side simplifies to $A(1 + 2)^2 = 9A$. Since the left hand side is still 1, we have 1 = 9A. Hence A = 1/9. Likewise, the equality holds when x = -2; this leads to the equation 1 = -3C. Thus C = -1/3.

Knowing A and C, we can find the value of B by choosing yet another value of x, such as x = 0, and solving for B.

Thus

$$\int \frac{1}{(x-1)(x+2)^2} \, dx = \int \frac{1/9}{x-1} \, dx + \int \frac{-1/9}{x+2} \, dx + \int \frac{-1/3}{(x+2)^2} \, dx.$$

Each can be integrated with a simple substitution with u = x - 1 or u = x + 2 (or by directly applying Key Idea 6.1.1 as the denominators are linear functions). The end result is

$$\int \frac{1}{(x-1)(x+2)^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{9} \ln |x-1| - \frac{1}{9} \ln |x+2| + \frac{1}{3(x+2)} + C.$$

Example 6.5.4 Integrating using partial fractions

Use partial fraction decomposition to integrate $\int \frac{x^3}{(x-5)(x+3)} dx$.

SOLUTION Key Idea 6.5.1 presumes that the degree of the numerator is less than the degree of the denominator. Since this is not the case here, we begin by using polynomial division to reduce the degree of the numerator. We omit the steps, but encourage the reader to verify that

$$\frac{x^3}{(x-5)(x+3)} = x+2+\frac{19x+30}{(x-5)(x+3)}.$$

Using Key Idea 6.5.1, we can rewrite the new rational function as:

$$\frac{19x+30}{(x-5)(x+3)} = \frac{A}{x-5} + \frac{B}{x+3}$$

for appropriate values of A and B. Clearing denominators, we have

$$19x + 30 = A(x + 3) + B(x - 5)$$

= (A + B)x + (3A - 5B).

This implies that:

$$19 = A + B$$
$$30 = 3A - 5B.$$

Solving this system of linear equations gives

$$125/8 = A$$

 $27/8 = B.$

Notes:

Note: The values of *A* and *B* can be quickly found using the technique described in the margin of Example 6.5.3.

We can now integrate.

$$\int \frac{x^3}{(x-5)(x+3)} \, dx = \int \left(x+2+\frac{125/8}{x-5}+\frac{27/8}{x+3}\right) \, dx$$
$$= \frac{x^2}{2}+2x+\frac{125}{8}\ln|x-5|+\frac{27}{8}\ln|x+3|+C$$

Example 6.5.5 Integrating using partial fractions

Use partial fraction decomposition to evaluate $\int \frac{7x^2 + 31x + 54}{(x+1)(x^2 + 6x + 11)} dx$.

SOLUTION The degree of the numerator is less than the degree of the denominator so we begin by applying Key Idea 6.5.1. We have:

$$\frac{7x^2 + 31x + 54}{(x+1)(x^2 + 6x + 11)} = \frac{A}{x+1} + \frac{Bx+C}{x^2 + 6x + 11}$$

Now clear the denominators.

$$7x^{2} + 31x + 54 = A(x^{2} + 6x + 11) + (Bx + C)(x + 1)$$
$$= (A + B)x^{2} + (6A + B + C)x + (11A + C).$$

This implies that:

$$7 = A + B$$
$$31 = 6A + B + C$$
$$54 = 11A + C.$$

Solving this system of linear equations gives the nice result of A = 5, B = 2 and C = -1. Thus

$$\int \frac{7x^2 + 31x + 54}{(x+1)(x^2 + 6x + 11)} \, dx = \int \left(\frac{5}{x+1} + \frac{2x-1}{x^2 + 6x + 11}\right) \, dx.$$

The first term of this new integrand is easy to evaluate; it leads to a 5 ln |x+1| term. The second term is not hard, but takes several steps and uses substitution techniques.

The integrand $\frac{2x-1}{x^2+6x+11}$ has a quadratic in the denominator and a linear term in the numerator. This leads us to try substitution. Let $u = x^2 + 6x + 11$, so du = (2x+6) dx. The numerator is 2x - 1, not 2x + 6, but we can get a 2x + 6

term in the numerator by adding 0 in the form of "7 - 7."

$$\frac{2x-1}{x^2+6x+11} = \frac{2x-1+7-7}{x^2+6x+11}$$
$$= \frac{2x+6}{x^2+6x+11} - \frac{7}{x^2+6x+11}$$

We can now integrate the first term with substitution, leading to a ln $|x^2+6x+11|$ term. The final term can be integrated using arctangent. First, complete the square in the denominator:

$$\frac{7}{x^2+6x+11}=\frac{7}{(x+3)^2+2}.$$

An antiderivative of the latter term can be found using Theorem 6.1.3 and substitution:

$$\int \frac{7}{x^2 + 6x + 11} \, dx = \frac{7}{\sqrt{2}} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x + 3}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + C.$$

Let's start at the beginning and put all of the steps together.

$$\int \frac{7x^2 + 31x + 54}{(x+1)(x^2 + 6x + 11)} \, dx = \int \left(\frac{5}{x+1} + \frac{2x-1}{x^2 + 6x + 11}\right) \, dx$$
$$= \int \frac{5}{x+1} \, dx + \int \frac{2x+6}{x^2 + 6x + 11} \, dx - \int \frac{7}{x^2 + 6x + 11} \, dx$$
$$= 5\ln|x+1| + \ln|x^2 + 6x + 11| - \frac{7}{\sqrt{2}} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{x+3}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + C.$$

As with many other problems in calculus, it is important to remember that one is not expected to "see" the final answer immediately after seeing the problem. Rather, given the initial problem, we break it down into smaller problems that are easier to solve. The final answer is a combination of the answers of the smaller problems.

Partial Fraction Decomposition is an important tool when dealing with rational functions. Note that at its heart, it is a technique of algebra, not calculus, as we are rewriting a fraction in a new form. Regardless, it is very useful in the realm of calculus as it lets us evaluate a certain set of "complicated" integrals.

The next section introduces new functions, called the Hyperbolic Functions. They will allow us to make substitutions similar to those found when studying Trigonometric Substitution, allowing us to approach even more integration problems.

Exercises 6.5

Terms and Concepts

- Fill in the blank: Partial Fraction Decomposition is a method of rewriting ______ functions.
- 2. T/F: It is sometimes necessary to use polynomial division before using Partial Fraction Decomposition.
- 3. Decompose $\frac{1}{x^2 3x}$ without solving for the coefficients, as done in Example 6.5.1.
- 4. Decompose $\frac{7-x}{x^2-9}$ without solving for the coefficients, as done in Example 6.5.1.
- 5. Decompose $\frac{x-3}{x^2-7}$ without solving for the coefficients, as done in Example 6.5.1.
- 6. Decompose $\frac{2x+5}{x^3+7x}$ without solving for the coefficients, as done in Example 6.5.1.

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 26, evaluate the indefinite integral.

7. $\int \frac{7x+7}{x^2+3x-10} dx$ 8. $\int \frac{7x-2}{x^2+x} dx$ 9. $\int \frac{-4}{3x^2-12} dx$ 10. $\int \frac{6x+4}{3x^2+4x+1} dx$ 11. $\int \frac{x+7}{(x+5)^2} dx$ 12. $\int \frac{-3x-20}{(x+8)^2} dx$ 13. $\int \frac{9x^2+11x+7}{x(x+1)^2} dx$ 14. $\int \frac{-12x^2-x+33}{(x-1)(x+3)(3-2x)} dx$

15.
$$\int \frac{94x^2 - 10x}{(7x+3)(5x-1)(3x-1)} dx$$

16.
$$\int \frac{x^2 + x + 1}{x^2 + x - 2} dx$$

17.
$$\int \frac{x^3}{x^2 - x - 20} dx$$

18.
$$\int \frac{2x^2 - 4x + 6}{x^2 - 2x + 3} dx$$

19.
$$\int \frac{1}{x^3 + 2x^2 + 3x} dx$$

20.
$$\int \frac{x^2 + x + 5}{x^2 + 4x + 10} dx$$

21.
$$\int \frac{12x^2 + 21x + 3}{(x+1)(3x^2 + 5x - 1)} dx$$

22.
$$\int \frac{6x^2 + 8x - 4}{(x-3)(x^2 + 6x + 10)} dx$$

23.
$$\int \frac{2x^2 + x + 1}{(x+1)(x^2 + 9)} dx$$

24.
$$\int \frac{x^2 - 20x - 69}{(x-7)(x^2 + 2x + 17)} dx$$

25.
$$\int \frac{9x^2 - 60x + 33}{(x-9)(x^2 - 2x + 11)} dx$$

26.
$$\int \frac{6x^2 + 45x + 121}{(x+2)(x^2 + 10x + 27)} dx$$

In Exercises 27 – 30, evaluate the definite integral.

27.
$$\int_{1}^{2} \frac{8x+21}{(x+2)(x+3)} dx$$

28.
$$\int_{0}^{5} \frac{14x+6}{(3x+2)(x+4)} dx$$

29.
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{x^{2}+5x-5}{(x-10)(x^{2}+4x+5)} dx$$


30.
$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{x}{(x+1)(x^{2}+2x+1)} dx$$

6.6 Hyperbolic Functions

The **hyperbolic functions** are a set of functions that have many applications to mathematics, physics, and engineering. Among many other applications, they are used to describe the formation of satellite rings around planets, to describe the shape of a rope hanging from two points, and have application to the theory of special relativity. This section defines the hyperbolic functions and describes many of their properties, especially their usefulness to calculus.

These functions are sometimes referred to as the "hyperbolic trigonometric functions" as there are many, many connections between them and the standard trigonometric functions. Figure 6.6.1 demonstrates one such connection. Just as cosine and sine are used to define points on the circle defined by $x^2+y^2 = 1$, the functions **hyperbolic cosine** and **hyperbolic sine** are used to define points on the hyperbola $x^2 - y^2 = 1$.

We begin with their definition.



These hyperbolic functions are graphed in Figure 6.6.2. In the graphs of $\cosh x$ and $\sinh x$, graphs of $e^x/2$ and $e^{-x}/2$ are included with dashed lines. As x gets "large," $\cosh x$ and $\sinh x$ each act like $e^x/2$; when x is a large negative number, $\cosh x$ acts like $e^{-x}/2$ whereas $\sinh x$ acts like $-e^{-x}/2$.

Notice the domains of tanh x and sech x are $(-\infty, \infty)$, whereas both coth x and csch x have vertical asymptotes at x = 0. Also note the ranges of these functions, especially tanh x: as $x \to \infty$, both sinh x and cosh x approach $e^x/2$, hence tanh x approaches 1.

The following example explores some of the properties of these functions that bear remarkable resemblance to the properties of their trigonometric counterparts.




Figure 6.6.1: Using trigonometric functions to define points on a circle and hyperbolic functions to define points on a hyperbola. The area of the shaded regions are included in them.

Pronunciation Note:

"cosh" rhymes with "gosh," "sinh" rhymes with "pinch," and "tanh" rhymes with "ranch."




Figure 6.6.2: Graphs of the hyperbolic functions.

Example 6.6.1 Exploring properties of hyperbolic functions Use Definition 6.6.1 to rewrite the following expressions.

1. $\cosh^2 x - \sinh^2 x$	4. $\frac{d}{dx}(\cosh x)$
2. $tanh^2 x + sech^2 x$	5. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sinh x)$
3. 2 cosh <i>x</i> sinh <i>x</i>	6. $\frac{d}{dx}(\tanh x)$

SOLUTION

1.
$$\cosh^2 x - \sinh^2 x = \left(\frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2}\right)^2$$

$$= \frac{e^{2x} + 2e^x e^{-x} + e^{-2x}}{4} - \frac{e^{2x} - 2e^x e^{-x} + e^{-2x}}{4}$$
$$= \frac{4}{4} = 1.$$
So $\cosh^2 x - \sinh^2 x = 1$.

$$tanh^{2} x + sech^{2} x = \frac{sinh^{2} x}{cosh^{2} x} + \frac{1}{cosh^{2} x}$$
$$= \frac{sinh^{2} x + 1}{cosh^{2} x}$$
Now use identity from #1.
$$= \frac{cosh^{2} x}{cosh^{2} x} = 1.$$

So $tanh^2 x + sech^2 x = 1$.

3.
$$2\cosh x \sinh x = 2\left(\frac{e^{x} + e^{-x}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{e^{x} - e^{-x}}{2}\right)$$
$$= 2 \cdot \frac{e^{2x} - e^{-2x}}{4}$$
$$= \frac{e^{2x} - e^{-2x}}{2} = \sinh(2x).$$

Thus $2 \cosh x \sinh x = \sinh(2x)$.

4.
$$\frac{d}{dx}(\cosh x) = \frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2}\right)$$
$$= \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2}$$
$$= \sinh x.$$

So $\frac{d}{dx}(\cosh x) = \sinh x$.

5.
$$\frac{d}{dx}(\sinh x) = \frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2}\right)$$
$$= \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2}$$
$$= \cosh x.$$

So
$$\frac{d}{dx}(\sinh x) = \cosh x$$
.

6.
$$\frac{d}{dx}(\tanh x) = \frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{\sinh x}{\cosh x}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\cosh x \cosh x - \sinh x \sinh x}{\cosh^2 x}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\cosh^2 x}$$
$$= \operatorname{sech}^2 x.$$
So $\frac{d}{dx}(\tanh x) = \operatorname{sech}^2 x.$

The following Key Idea summarizes many of the important identities relating to hyperbolic functions. Each can be verified by referring back to Definition 6.6.1.

Key Idea 6.6.1 Useful Hype	rbolic Function Properties	
Basic Identities	Derivatives	Integrals
1. $\cosh^2 x - \sinh^2 x = 1$	1. $\frac{d}{dx}(\cosh x) = \sinh x$	1. $\int \cosh x dx = \sinh x + C$
2. $tanh^2 x + sech^2 x = 1$	2. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sinh x) = \cosh x$	ſ
3. $\operatorname{coth}^2 x - \operatorname{csch}^2 x = 1$	3. $\frac{d}{dx}(\tanh x) = \operatorname{sech}^2 x$	2. $\int \sinh x dx = \cosh x + C$
4. $\cosh 2x = \cosh^2 x + \sinh^2 x$	$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\operatorname{sech} x \right) = -\operatorname{sech} x \tanh x$	3. $\int \tanh x dx = \ln(\cosh x) + C$
5. $\sinh 2x = 2 \sinh x \cosh x$	5. $\frac{d}{dx}(\operatorname{csch} x) = -\operatorname{csch} x \operatorname{coth} x$	J
$6. \cosh^2 x = \frac{\cosh 2x + 1}{2}$	6. $\frac{d}{dx}(\operatorname{coth} x) = -\operatorname{csch}^2 x$	4. $\int \coth x dx = \ln \sinh x + C$
7. $\sinh^2 x = \frac{\cosh 2x - 1}{2}$		

We practice using Key Idea 6.6.1.

Example 6.6.2 Derivatives and integrals of hyperbolic functions Evaluate the following derivatives and integrals.

1.
$$\frac{d}{dx}(\cosh 2x)$$

2.
$$\int \operatorname{sech}^{2}(7t-3) dt$$

3.
$$\int_{0}^{\ln 2} \cosh x \, dx$$

SOLUTION

1. Using the Chain Rule directly, we have $\frac{d}{dx}(\cosh 2x) = 2\sinh 2x$.

Just to demonstrate that it works, let's also use the Basic Identity found in Key Idea 6.6.1: $\cosh 2x = \cosh^2 x + \sinh^2 x$.

$$\frac{d}{dx}(\cosh 2x) = \frac{d}{dx}(\cosh^2 x + \sinh^2 x) = 2\cosh x \sinh x + 2\sinh x \cosh x$$
$$= 4\cosh x \sinh x.$$

Using another Basic Identity, we can see that $4 \cosh x \sinh x = 2 \sinh 2x$. We get the same answer either way.

2. We employ substitution, with u = 7t - 3 and du = 7dt. Applying Key Ideas 6.1.1 and 6.6.1 we have:

$$\int \operatorname{sech}^2(7t-3) \, dt = \frac{1}{7} \tanh(7t-3) + C$$

3.

$$\cosh x \, dx = \sinh x \Big|_{0}^{\ln 2} = \sinh(\ln 2) - \sinh 0 = \sinh(\ln 2)$$

We can simplify this last expression as sinh x is based on exponentials:

$$\sinh(\ln 2) = \frac{e^{\ln 2} - e^{-\ln 2}}{2} = \frac{2 - 1/2}{2} = \frac{3}{4}.$$

Inverse Hyperbolic Functions

Just as the inverse trigonometric functions are useful in certain integrations, the inverse hyperbolic functions are useful with others. Figure 6.6.3 shows the restrictions on the domains to make each function one-to-one and the resulting domains and ranges of their inverse functions. Their graphs are shown in Figure 6.6.4.

Because the hyperbolic functions are defined in terms of exponential functions, their inverses can be expressed in terms of logarithms as shown in Key Idea 6.6.2. It is often more convenient to refer to $\sinh^{-1} x$ than to $\ln (x + \sqrt{x^2 + 1})$, especially when one is working on theory and does not need to compute actual values. On the other hand, when computations are needed, technology is often helpful but many hand-held calculators lack a *convenient* $\sinh^{-1} x$ button. (Often it can be accessed under a menu system, but not conveniently.) In such a situation, the logarithmic representation is useful. The reader is not encouraged to memorize these, but rather know they exist and know how to use them when needed.

Function	Domain	Range	Function	Domain	Range
cosh x	$[0,\infty)$	$[1,\infty)$	$\cosh^{-1}x$	$[1,\infty)$	$[0,\infty)$
sinh x	$(-\infty,\infty)$	$(-\infty,\infty)$	sinh ⁻¹ x	$(-\infty,\infty)$	$(-\infty,\infty)$
tanh x	$(-\infty,\infty)$	(-1, 1)	tanh ⁻¹ x	(-1, 1)	$(-\infty,\infty)$
sech x	$[0,\infty)$	(0, 1]	sech ⁻¹ x	(0,1]	$[0,\infty)$
csch x	$(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$	$(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$	csch ⁻¹ x	$(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$	$(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$
coth x	$(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$	$(-\infty,-1)\cup(1,\infty)$	$\operatorname{coth}^{-1} x$	$(-\infty,-1)\cup(1,\infty)$	$(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$







Figure 6.6.3: Domains and ranges of the hyperbolic and inverse hyperbolic functions.

Figure 6.6.4: Graphs of the hyperbolic functions and their inverses.



The following Key Ideas give the derivatives and integrals relating to the inverse hyperbolic functions. In Key Idea 6.6.4, both the inverse hyperbolic and logarithmic function representations of the antiderivative are given, based on Key Idea 6.6.2. Again, these latter functions are often more useful than the former. Note how inverse hyperbolic functions can be used to solve integrals we used Trigonometric Substitution to solve in Section 6.4.





We practice using the derivative and integral formulas in the following example.

Example 6.6.3 Derivatives and integrals involving inverse hyperbolic functions

Evaluate the following.

1.
$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[\cosh^{-1} \left(\frac{3x-2}{5} \right) \right]$$

3.
$$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{9x^2+10}} dx$$

2.
$$\int \frac{1}{x^2-1} dx$$

SOLUTION

1. Applying Key Idea 6.6.3 with the Chain Rule gives:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left[\cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{3x-2}{5}\right)\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{3x-2}{5}\right)^2 - 1}} \cdot \frac{3}{5}.$$

2. Multiplying the numerator and denominator by (-1) gives: $\int \frac{1}{x^2 - 1} dx =$

 $\int \frac{-1}{1-x^2} dx$. The second integral can be solved with a direct application of item #3 from Key Idea 6.6.4, with a = 1. Thus

$$\int \frac{1}{x^2 - 1} dx = -\int \frac{1}{1 - x^2} dx$$

$$= \begin{cases} -\tanh^{-1}(x) + C & x^2 < 1 \\ -\coth^{-1}(x) + C & 1 < x^2 \end{cases}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{x + 1}{x - 1} \right| + C$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{x - 1}{x + 1} \right| + C. \qquad (6.4)$$

We should note that this exact problem was solved at the beginning of Section 6.5. In that example the answer was given as $\frac{1}{2} \ln |x-1| - \frac{1}{2} \ln |x+1| + C$. Note that this is equivalent to the answer given in Equation 6.4, as $\ln(a/b) = \ln a - \ln b$.

3. This requires a substitution, then item #2 of Key Idea 6.6.4 can be applied.

Let u = 3x, hence du = 3dx. We have

$$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{9x^2 + 10}} \, dx = \frac{1}{3} \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2 + 10}} \, du$$

Note $a^2 = 10$, hence $a = \sqrt{10}$. Now apply the integral rule.

$$= \frac{1}{3} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{3x}{\sqrt{10}} \right) + C$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} \ln \left| 3x + \sqrt{9x^2 + 10} \right| + C$$

This section covers a lot of ground. New functions were introduced, along with some of their fundamental identities, their derivatives and antiderivatives, their inverses, and the derivatives and antiderivatives of these inverses. Four Key Ideas were presented, each including quite a bit of information.

Do not view this section as containing a source of information to be memorized, but rather as a reference for future problem solving. Key Idea 6.6.4 contains perhaps the most useful information. Know the integration forms it helps evaluate and understand how to use the inverse hyperbolic answer and the logarithmic answer.

The next section takes a brief break from demonstrating new integration techniques. It instead demonstrates a technique of evaluating limits that return indeterminate forms. This technique will be useful in Section 6.8, where limits will arise in the evaluation of certain definite integrals.

Exercises 6.6

Terms and Concepts

- 1. In Key Idea 6.6.1, the equation $\int \tanh x \, dx = \ln(\cosh x) + C$ is given. Why is "ln | $\cosh x$ |" not used – i.e., why are absolute values not necessary?
- 2. The hyperbolic functions are used to define points on the right hand portion of the hyperbola $x^2 y^2 = 1$, as shown in Figure 6.6.1. How can we use the hyperbolic functions to define points on the left hand portion of the hyperbola?

Problems

In Exercises 3 – 10, verify the given identity using Definition 6.6.1, as done in Example 6.6.1.

- 3. $\operatorname{coth}^2 x \operatorname{csch}^2 x = 1$
- 4. $\cosh 2x = \cosh^2 x + \sinh^2 x$
- 5. $\cosh^2 x = \frac{\cosh 2x + 1}{2}$
- 6. $\sinh^2 x = \frac{\cosh 2x 1}{2}$
- 7. $\frac{d}{dx}[\operatorname{sech} x] = -\operatorname{sech} x \tanh x$
- 8. $\frac{d}{dx} [\operatorname{coth} x] = -\operatorname{csch}^2 x$ 9. $\int \tanh x \, dx = \ln(\cosh x) + C$

10.
$$\int \coth x \, dx = \ln |\sinh x| + C$$

In Exercises 11 – 22, find the derivative of the given function.

 11. $f(x) = \sinh 2x$ 35. $\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 - 9}} dx$

 12. $f(x) = \cosh^2 x$ 36. $\int \frac{1}{9 - x^2} dx$

 13. $f(x) = \tanh(x^2)$ 36. $\int \frac{1}{9 - x^2} dx$

 14. $f(x) = \ln(\sinh x)$ 37. $\int \frac{2x}{\sqrt{x^4 - 4}} dx$

 15. $f(x) = \sinh x \cosh x$ 38. $\int \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{1 + x^3}} dx$

 16. $f(x) = x \sinh x - \cosh x$ 38. $\int \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{1 + x^3}} dx$

 17. $f(x) = \operatorname{sech}^{-1}(x^2)$ 39. $\int \frac{1}{x^4 - 16} dx$

 18. $f(x) = \sinh^{-1}(3x)$ 40. $\int \frac{1}{x^2 + x} dx$

20. $f(x) = \tanh^{-1}(x+5)$ 21. $f(x) = \tanh^{-1}(\cos x)$

22. $f(x) = \cosh^{-1}(\sec x)$

In Exercises 23 – 28, find the equation of the line tangent to the function at the given *x*-value.

23.
$$f(x) = \sinh x$$
 at $x = 0$
24. $f(x) = \cosh x$ at $x = \ln 2$
25. $f(x) = \tanh x$ at $x = -\ln 3$
26. $f(x) = \operatorname{sech}^2 x$ at $x = \ln 3$
27. $f(x) = \sinh^{-1} x$ at $x = 0$
28. $f(x) = \cosh^{-1} x$ at $x = \sqrt{2}$

In Exercises 29 – 44, evaluate the given indefinite integral.

29. $\int \tanh(2x) dx$ 30. $\int \cosh(3x - 7) dx$ 31. $\int \sinh x \cosh x dx$ 32. $\int x \cosh x dx$ 33. $\int x \sinh x dx$ 34. $\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1}} dx$ 35. $\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 - 9}} dx$ 36. $\int \frac{1}{9 - x^2} dx$ 37. $\int \frac{2x}{\sqrt{x^4 - 4}} dx$ 38. $\int \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{1 + x^3}} dx$ 39. $\int \frac{1}{x^4 - 16} dx$

$$41. \int \frac{e^x}{e^{2x}+1} dx$$

42. $\int \sinh^{-1} x \, dx$

43.
$$\int \tanh^{-1} x \, dx$$

44. $\int \operatorname{sech} x \, dx$ (Hint: multiply by $\frac{\cosh x}{\cosh x}$; set $u = \sinh x$.)

In Exercises 45 – 48, evaluate the given definite integral.

45.
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \sinh x \, dx$$

46.
$$\int_{-\ln 2}^{\ln 2} \cosh x \, dx$$

47.
$$\int_{0}^{1} \tanh^{-1} x \, dx$$

48.
$$\int_0^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2+1}} dx$$

6.7 L'Hôpital's Rule

While this chapter is devoted to learning techniques of integration, this section is not about integration. Rather, it is concerned with a technique of evaluating certain limits that will be useful in the following section, where integration is once more discussed.

Our treatment of limits exposed us to the notion of "0/0", an indeterminate form. If $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = 0$ and $\lim_{x\to c} g(x) = 0$, we do not conclude that $\lim_{x\to c} f(x)/g(x)$ is 0/0; rather, we use 0/0 as notation to describe the fact that both the numerator and denominator approach 0. The expression 0/0 has no numeric value; other work must be done to evaluate the limit.

Other indeterminate forms exist; they are: ∞/∞ , $0 \cdot \infty$, $\infty - \infty$, 0^0 , 1^∞ and ∞^0 . Just as "0/0" does not mean "divide 0 by 0," the expression " ∞/∞ " does not mean "divide infinity by infinity." Instead, it means "a quantity is growing without bound and is being divided by another quantity that is growing without bound." We cannot determine from such a statement what value, if any, results in the limit. Likewise, " $0 \cdot \infty$ " does not mean "multiply zero by infinity." Instead, it means "one quantity is shrinking to zero, and is being multiplied by a quantity that is growing without bound." We cannot determine from such a description what the result of such a limit will be.

This section introduces l'Hôpital's Rule, a method of resolving limits that produce the indeterminate forms 0/0 and ∞/∞ . We'll also show how algebraic manipulation can be used to convert other indeterminate expressions into one of these two forms so that our new rule can be applied.

Theorem 6.7.1 L'Hôpital's Rule, Part 1

Let $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = 0$ and $\lim_{x\to c} g(x) = 0$, where f and g are differentiable functions on an open interval I containing c, and $g'(x) \neq 0$ on I except possibly at c. Then

$$\lim_{x\to c}\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}=\lim_{x\to c}\frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}.$$

We demonstrate the use of l'Hôpital's Rule in the following examples; we will often use "LHR" as an abbreviation of "l'Hôpital's Rule."

Example 6.7.1 Using l'Hôpital's Rule

Evaluate the following limits, using l'Hôpital's Rule as needed.

1.
$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sin x}{x}$$

2. $\lim_{x \to 1} \frac{\sqrt{x+3}-2}{1-x}$
3. $\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{x^2}{1-\cos x}$
4. $\lim_{x \to 2} \frac{x^2+x-6}{x^2-3x+2}$

SOLUTION

1. We proved this limit is 1 in Example 1.3.4 using the Squeeze Theorem. Here we use l'Hôpital's Rule to show its power.

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sin x}{x} \stackrel{\text{by LHR}}{=} \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\cos x}{1} = 1.$$
$$\lim_{x \to 1} \frac{\sqrt{x+3}-2}{1-x} \stackrel{\text{by LHR}}{=} \lim_{x \to 1} \frac{\frac{1}{2}(x+3)^{-1/2}}{-1} = -\frac{1}{4}$$
$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{x^2}{1-\cos x} \stackrel{\text{by LHR}}{=} \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{2x}{\sin x}.$$

3.

2.

This latter limit also evaluates to the 0/0 indeterminate form. To evaluate it, we apply l'Hôpital's Rule again.

=

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{2x}{\sin x} \stackrel{\text{by LHR}}{=} \frac{2}{\cos x} = 2.$$

Thus $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{x^2}{1-\cos x} = 2.$

4. We already know how to evaluate this limit; first factor the numerator and denominator. We then have:

$$\lim_{x \to 2} \frac{x^2 + x - 6}{x^2 - 3x + 2} = \lim_{x \to 2} \frac{(x - 2)(x + 3)}{(x - 2)(x - 1)} = \lim_{x \to 2} \frac{x + 3}{x - 1} = 5.$$

We now show how to solve this using l'Hôpital's Rule.

$$\lim_{x\to 2} \frac{x^2 + x - 6}{x^2 - 3x + 2} \stackrel{\text{by LHR}}{=} \lim_{x\to 2} \frac{2x + 1}{2x - 3} = 5.$$

Note that at each step where l'Hôpital's Rule was applied, it was needed: the initial limit returned the indeterminate form of "0/0." If the initial limit returns, for example, 1/2, then l'Hôpital's Rule does not apply.

The following theorem extends our initial version of l'Hôpital's Rule in two ways. It allows the technique to be applied to the indeterminate form ∞/∞ and to limits where *x* approaches $\pm\infty$.

Theorem 6.7.2 L'Hôpital's Rule, Part 2

1. Let $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) = \pm \infty$ and $\lim_{x\to a} g(x) = \pm \infty$, where *f* and *g* are differentiable on an open interval *I* containing *a*. Then

$$\lim_{x\to a}\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}=\lim_{x\to a}\frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}.$$

2. Let *f* and *g* be differentiable functions on the open interval (a, ∞) for some value *a*, where $g'(x) \neq 0$ on (a, ∞) and $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x)/g(x)$ returns either "0/0" or " ∞/∞ ". Then

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}=\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}.$$

A similar statement can be made for limits where x approaches $-\infty$.

Example 6.7.2 Using l'Hôpital's Rule with limits involving ∞ Evaluate the following limits.

1.
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{3x^2 - 100x + 2}{4x^2 + 5x - 1000}$$
 2. $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{e^x}{x^3}$.

SOLUTION

 We can evaluate this limit already using Theorem 1.6.1; the answer is 3/4. We apply l'Hôpital's Rule to demonstrate its applicability.

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{3x^2 - 100x + 2}{4x^2 + 5x - 1000} \stackrel{\text{by LHR}}{=} \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{6x - 100}{8x + 5} \stackrel{\text{by LHR}}{=} \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{6}{8} = \frac{3}{4}.$$
2.
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{e^x}{x^3} \stackrel{\text{by LHR}}{=} \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{e^x}{3x^2} \stackrel{\text{by LHR}}{=} \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{e^x}{6x} \stackrel{\text{by LHR}}{=} \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{e^x}{6} = \infty.$$

Recall that this means that the limit does not exist; as x approaches ∞ , the expression e^x/x^3 grows without bound. We can infer from this that e^x grows "faster" than x^3 ; as x gets large, e^x is far larger than x^3 . (This

has important implications in computing when considering efficiency of algorithms.)

Indeterminate Forms 0 $\cdot \,\infty$ and $\infty - \infty$

L'Hôpital's Rule can only be applied to ratios of functions. When faced with an indeterminate form such as $0 \cdot \infty$ or $\infty - \infty$, we can sometimes apply algebra to rewrite the limit so that l'Hôpital's Rule can be applied. We demonstrate the general idea in the next example.

Example 6.7.3 Applying l'Hôpital's Rule to other indeterminate forms Evaluate the following limits.

1. $\lim_{x \to 0^+} x \cdot e^{1/x}$ 3. $\lim_{x \to \infty} \ln(x+1) - \ln x$ 2. $\lim_{x \to 0^-} x \cdot e^{1/x}$ 4. $\lim_{x \to \infty} x^2 - e^x$

SOLUTION

1. As $x \to 0^+$, $x \to 0$ and $e^{1/x} \to \infty$. Thus we have the indeterminate form $0 \cdot \infty$. We rewrite the expression $x \cdot e^{1/x}$ as $\frac{e^{1/x}}{1/x}$; now, as $x \to 0^+$, we get the indeterminate form ∞/∞ to which l'Hôpital's Rule can be applied.

 $\lim_{x \to 0^+} x \cdot e^{1/x} = \lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{e^{1/x}}{1/x} \quad \stackrel{\text{by LHR}}{=} \quad \lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{(-1/x^2)e^{1/x}}{-1/x^2} = \lim_{x \to 0^+} e^{1/x} = \infty.$

Interpretation: $e^{1/x}$ grows "faster" than x shrinks to zero, meaning their product grows without bound.

2. As $x \to 0^-$, $x \to 0$ and $e^{1/x} \to e^{-\infty} \to 0$. The the limit evaluates to $0 \cdot 0$ which is not an indeterminate form. We conclude then that

$$\lim_{x\to 0^-} x\cdot e^{1/x} = 0.$$

3. This limit initially evaluates to the indeterminate form $\infty - \infty$. By applying a logarithmic rule, we can rewrite the limit as

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\ln(x+1)-\ln x=\lim_{x\to\infty}\ln\left(\frac{x+1}{x}\right).$$

As $x \to \infty$, the argument of the ln term approaches ∞/∞ , to which we can apply l'Hôpital's Rule.

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{x+1}{x} \stackrel{\text{by LHR}}{=} \frac{1}{1} = 1$$

Since
$$x \to \infty$$
 implies $\frac{x+1}{x} \to 1$, it follows that

$$x o \infty$$
 implies $\ln\left(rac{x+1}{x}
ight) o \ln 1 = 0.$

Thus

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\ln(x+1)-\ln x=\lim_{x\to\infty}\ln\left(\frac{x+1}{x}\right)=0.$$

Interpretation: since this limit evaluates to 0, it means that for large x, there is essentially no difference between $\ln(x + 1)$ and $\ln x$; their difference is essentially 0.

4. The limit $\lim_{x\to\infty} x^2 - e^x$ initially returns the indeterminate form $\infty - \infty$. We can rewrite the expression by factoring out x^2 ; $x^2 - e^x = x^2 \left(1 - \frac{e^x}{x^2}\right)$.

We need to evaluate how e^x/x^2 behaves as $x \to \infty$:

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{e^x}{x^2} \stackrel{\text{by LHR}}{=} \lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{e^x}{2x} \stackrel{\text{by LHR}}{=} \lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{e^x}{2} = \infty.$$

Thus $\lim_{x\to\infty} x^2(1-e^x/x^2)$ evaluates to $\infty \cdot (-\infty)$, which is not an indeterminate form; rather, $\infty \cdot (-\infty)$ evaluates to $-\infty$. We conclude that $\lim_{x\to\infty} x^2 - e^x = -\infty$.

Interpretation: as x gets large, the difference between x^2 and e^x grows very large.

Indeterminate Forms $~0^{0}$, 1^{∞} and ∞^{0}

When faced with an indeterminate form that involves a power, it often helps to employ the natural logarithmic function. The following Key Idea expresses the concept, which is followed by an example that demonstrates its use.

Key Idea 6.7.1Evaluating Limits Involving Indeterminate Forms
 0^0 , 1^∞ and ∞^0 If $\lim_{x \to c} \ln(f(x)) = L$, then $\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = \lim_{x \to c} e^{\ln(f(x))} = e^{L}$.

Example 6.7.4 Using l'Hôpital's Rule with indeterminate forms involving exponents

Evaluate the following limits.

1.
$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)^{n}$$
 2. $\lim_{x\to0^{+}}x^{x}$.

SOLUTION

1. This is equivalent to a special limit given in Theorem 1.3.5; these limits have important applications within mathematics and finance. Note that the exponent approaches ∞ while the base approaches 1, leading to the indeterminate form 1^{∞} . Let $f(x) = (1 + 1/x)^x$; the problem asks to evaluate $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x)$. Let's first evaluate $\lim_{x \to \infty} \ln(f(x))$.

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \ln \left(f(x) \right) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{x} \right)^x$$
$$= \lim_{x \to \infty} x \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{x} \right)$$
$$= \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{x} \right)}{1/x}$$

This produces the indeterminate form 0/0, so we apply l'Hôpital's Rule.

$$= \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{1+1/x} \cdot (-1/x^2)}{(-1/x^2)}$$
$$= \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{1+1/x}$$
$$= 1.$$

Thus $\lim_{x\to\infty} \ln(f(x)) = 1$. We return to the original limit and apply Key Idea 6.7.1.

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)^x=\lim_{x\to\infty}f(x)=\lim_{x\to\infty}e^{\ln(f(x))}=e^1=e.$$

2. This limit leads to the indeterminate form 0^0 . Let $f(x) = x^x$ and consider




Figure 6.7.1: A graph of $f(x) = x^x$ supporting the fact that as $x \to 0^+$, $f(x) \to 1$.

first $\lim_{x\to 0^+} \ln (f(x))$.

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} \ln (f(x)) = \lim_{x \to 0^+} \ln (x^x)$$
$$= \lim_{x \to 0^+} x \ln x$$
$$= \lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{\ln x}{1/x}.$$

This produces the indeterminate form $-\infty/\infty$ so we apply l'Hôpital's Rule.

$$= \lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{1/x}{-1/x^2} \\ = \lim_{x \to 0^+} -x \\ = 0.$$

Thus $\lim_{x\to 0^+} \ln(f(x)) = 0$. We return to the original limit and apply Key Idea 6.7.1.

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} x^x = \lim_{x \to 0^+} f(x) = \lim_{x \to 0^+} e^{\ln(f(x))} = e^0 = \mathbf{1}.$$

This result is supported by the graph of $f(x) = x^x$ given in Figure 6.7.1.

Our brief revisit of limits will be rewarded in the next section where we consider *improper integration*. So far, we have only considered definite integrals where the bounds are finite numbers, such as $\int_0^1 f(x) dx$. Improper integration considers integrals where one, or both, of the bounds are "infinity." Such integrals have many uses and applications, in addition to generating ideas that are enlightening.

Exercises 6.7

Terms and Concepts

- 1. List the different indeterminate forms described in this section.
- T/F: l'Hôpital's Rule provides a faster method of computing derivatives.
- 3. T/F: l'Hôpital's Rule states that $\frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right] = \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}$.
- 4. Explain what the indeterminate form "1 $^{\infty}$ " means.
- 5. Fill in the blanks:

The Quotient Rule is applied to $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$ when taking _____; I'Hôpital's Rule is applied to $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$ when taking certain _____.

- 6. Create (but do not evaluate!) a limit that returns " ∞^{0} ".
- 7. Create a function f(x) such that $\lim_{x\to 1} f(x)$ returns " 0^{0} ".
- 8. Create a function f(x) such that $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x)$ returns " $0 \cdot \infty$ ".

Problems

In Exercises 9 - 54, evaluate the given limit.

- 9. $\lim_{x \to 1} \frac{x^2 + x 2}{x 1}$
- 10. $\lim_{x \to 2} \frac{x^2 + x 6}{x^2 7x + 10}$
- 11. $\lim_{x \to \pi} \frac{\sin x}{x \pi}$
- 12. $\lim_{x \to \pi/4} \frac{\sin x \cos x}{\cos(2x)}$
- 13. $\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sin(5x)}{x}$
- 14. $\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sin(2x)}{x+2}$
- 15. $\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sin(2x)}{\sin(3x)}$
- 16. $\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sin(ax)}{\sin(bx)}$

17. $\lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{e^x - 1}{x^2}$

10.	$\lim_{x\to 0^+} \frac{1}{x^2}$
19.	$\lim_{x\to 0^+}\frac{x-\sin x}{x^3-x^2}$
20.	$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{x^4}{e^x}$
21.	$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{\sqrt{x}}{e^x}$
22.	$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{1}{x^2}e^x$
23.	$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{e^x}{\sqrt{x}}$
	$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{e^x}{2^x}$
25.	$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{e^x}{3^x}$
26.	$\lim_{x \to 3} \frac{x^3 - 5x^2 + 3x + 9}{x^3 - 7x^2 + 15x - 9}$
27.	$\lim_{x \to -2} \frac{x^3 + 4x^2 + 4x}{x^3 + 7x^2 + 16x + 12}$
28.	$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{\ln x}{x}$
29.	$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{\ln(x^2)}{x}$
30.	$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{\left(\ln x\right)^2}{x}$
31.	$\lim_{x\to 0^+} x \cdot \ln x$
32.	$\lim_{x\to 0^+}\sqrt{x}\cdot\ln x$
33.	$\lim_{x\to 0^+} xe^{1/x}$
34.	$\lim_{x\to\infty}x^3-x^2$
35.	$\lim_{x\to\infty}\sqrt{x}-\ln x$
36.	$\lim_{x\to -\infty} xe^x$
37.	$\lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{1}{x^2} e^{-1/x}$

38. $\lim_{x \to 0^+} (1+x)^{1/x}$

18. lim $\frac{e^x - x - 1}{x}$

39.
$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} (2x)^x$$

40. $\lim_{x \to 0^+} (2/x)^x$

- 41. $\lim_{x\to 0^+} (\sin x)^x$ Hint: use the Squeeze Theorem.
- 42. $\lim_{x \to 1^+} (1-x)^{1-x}$
- 43. $\lim_{x\to\infty} (x)^{1/x}$
- 44. $\lim_{x \to \infty} (1/x)^x$
- 45. $\lim_{x \to 1^+} (\ln x)^{1-x}$

46. $\lim_{x \to \infty} (1+x)^{1/x}$

340

47. $\lim_{x \to \infty} (1 + x^2)^{1/x}$ 48. $\lim_{x \to \pi/2} \tan x \cos x$ 49. $\lim_{x \to \pi/2} \tan x \sin(2x)$ 50. $\lim_{x \to 1^+} \frac{1}{\ln x} - \frac{1}{x - 1}$ 51. $\lim_{x \to 3^+} \frac{5}{x^2 - 9} - \frac{x}{x - 3}$ 52. $\lim_{x \to \infty} x \tan(1/x)$ 53. $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{(\ln x)^3}{x}$ 54. $\lim_{x \to 1} \frac{x^2 + x - 2}{\ln x}$

6.8 Improper Integration

We begin this section by considering the following definite integrals:

•
$$\int_{0}^{100} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx \approx 1.5608$$
,
• $\int_{0}^{1000} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx \approx 1.5698$,
• $\int_{0}^{10,000} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx \approx 1.5707$.

Notice how the integrand is $1/(1 + x^2)$ in each integral (which is sketched in Figure 6.8.1). As the upper bound gets larger, one would expect the "area under the curve" would also grow. While the definite integrals do increase in value as the upper bound grows, they are not increasing by much. In fact, consider:


$$\int_0^b \frac{1}{1+x^2} \, dx = \tan^{-1} x \Big|_0^b = \tan^{-1} b - \tan^{-1} 0 = \tan^{-1} b.$$

As $b \to \infty$, $\tan^{-1}b \to \pi/2$. Therefore it seems that as the upper bound b grows, the value of the definite integral $\int_0^b \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx$ approaches $\pi/2 \approx 1.5708$. This should strike the reader as being a bit amazing: even though the curve extends "to infinity," it has a finite amount of area underneath it.

When we defined the definite integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$, we made two stipulations:

- 1. The interval over which we integrated, [a, b], was a finite interval, and
- 2. The function f(x) was continuous on [a, b] (ensuring that the range of f was finite).

In this section we consider integrals where one or both of the above conditions do not hold. Such integrals are called **improper integrals**.



Improper Integrals with Infinite Bounds

Definition 6.8.1 Improper Integrals with Infinite Bounds; Converge,
Diverge
1. Let *f* be a continuous function on
$$[a, \infty)$$
. Define
 $\int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) dx$ to be $\lim_{b \to \infty} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$.
2. Let *f* be a continuous function on $(-\infty, b]$. Define
 $\int_{-\infty}^{b} f(x) dx$ to be $\lim_{a \to -\infty} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$.

3. Let f be a continuous function on $(-\infty,\infty).$ Let c be any real number; define

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx \quad \text{to be} \quad \lim_{a \to -\infty} \int_{a}^{c} f(x) \, dx \, + \, \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_{c}^{b} f(x) \, dx.$$

An improper integral is said to **converge** if its corresponding limit exists; otherwise, it **diverges**. The improper integral in part 3 converges if and only if both of its limits exist.

Example 6.8.1 Evaluating improper integrals Evaluate the following improper integrals.

1.
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} dx$$

2. $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} dx$
3. $\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^x dx$
4. $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx$

SOLUTION

1.
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{2}} dx = \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_{1}^{b} \frac{1}{x^{2}} dx = \lim_{b \to \infty} \frac{-1}{x} \Big|_{1}^{b}$$
$$= \lim_{b \to \infty} \frac{-1}{b} + 1$$
$$= 1.$$

A graph of the area defined by this integral is given in Figure 6.8.2.




Figure 6.8.2: A graph of $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}$ in Example 6.8.1.

2.

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} dx = \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_{1}^{b} \frac{1}{x} dx$$
$$= \lim_{b \to \infty} \ln |x| \Big|_{1}^{b}$$
$$= \lim_{b \to \infty} \ln(b)$$
$$= \infty.$$

The limit does not exist, hence the improper integral $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} dx$ diverges. Compare the graphs in Figures 6.8.2 and 6.8.3; notice how the graph of f(x) = 1/x is noticeably larger. This difference is enough to cause the improper integral to diverge.

3. $\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{x} dx = \lim_{a \to -\infty} \int_{a}^{0} e^{x} dx$ $= \lim_{a \to -\infty} e^{x} \Big|_{a}^{0}$ $= \lim_{a \to -\infty} e^{0} - e^{a}$ = 1

A graph of the area defined by this integral is given in Figure 6.8.4.

4. We will need to break this into two improper integrals and choose a value of *c* as in part 3 of Definition 6.8.1. Any value of *c* is fine; we choose c = 0.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx = \lim_{a \to -\infty} \int_{a}^{0} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx + \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_{0}^{b} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx$$
$$= \lim_{a \to -\infty} \tan^{-1} x \Big|_{a}^{0} + \lim_{b \to \infty} \tan^{-1} x \Big|_{0}^{b}$$
$$= \lim_{a \to -\infty} (\tan^{-1} 0 - \tan^{-1} a) + \lim_{b \to \infty} (\tan^{-1} b - \tan^{-1} 0)$$
$$= \left(0 - \frac{-\pi}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 0\right).$$

Each limit exists, hence the original integral converges and has value:

 $=\pi$.

A graph of the area defined by this integral is given in Figure 6.8.5.




Figure 6.8.3: A graph of $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ in Example 6.8.1.




Figure 6.8.4: A graph of $f(x) = e^x$ in Example 6.8.1.





Figure 6.8.5: A graph of $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$ in Example 6.8.1.



Example 6.8.2 Improper integration and l'Hôpital's Rule

Evaluate the improper integral $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln x}{x^2} dx$.

SOLUTION This integral will require the use of Integration by Parts. Let $u = \ln x$ and $dv = 1/x^2 dx$. Then

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln x}{x^{2}} dx = \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_{1}^{b} \frac{\ln x}{x^{2}} dx$$
$$= \lim_{b \to \infty} \left(-\frac{\ln x}{x} \Big|_{1}^{b} + \int_{1}^{b} \frac{1}{x^{2}} dx \right)$$
$$= \lim_{b \to \infty} \left(-\frac{\ln x}{x} - \frac{1}{x} \right) \Big|_{1}^{b}$$
$$= \lim_{b \to \infty} \left(-\frac{\ln b}{b} - \frac{1}{b} - (-\ln 1 - 1) \right).$$

The 1/b and ln 1 terms go to 0, leaving $\lim_{b\to\infty} -\frac{\ln b}{b} + 1$. We need to evaluate $\lim_{b\to\infty} \frac{\ln b}{b}$ with l'Hôpital's Rule. We have:

$$\lim_{b\to\infty}\frac{\ln b}{b} \stackrel{\text{by LHR}}{=} \lim_{b\to\infty}\frac{1/b}{1}$$
$$= 0.$$

Thus the improper integral evaluates as:

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\ln x}{x^2} \, dx = 1.$$

Improper Integrals with Infinite Range

We have just considered definite integrals where the interval of integration was infinite. We now consider another type of improper integration, where the range of the integrand is infinite.




Figure 6.8.6: A graph of $f(x) = \frac{\ln x}{x^2}$ in Example 6.8.2.

Definition 6.8.2 Improper Integration with Infinite Range

Let f(x) be a continuous function on [a, b] except at $c, a \le c \le b$, where x = c is a vertical asymptote of f. Define

$$\int_a^b f(x) \, dx = \lim_{t \to c^-} \int_a^t f(x) \, dx + \lim_{t \to c^+} \int_t^b f(x) \, dx$$

Example 6.8.3 Improper integration of functions with infinite range Evaluate the following improper integrals:

1.
$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} dx$$
 2. $\int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{x^2} dx$.

SOLUTION

1. A graph of $f(x) = 1/\sqrt{x}$ is given in Figure 6.8.7. Notice that f has a vertical asymptote at x = 0; in some sense, we are trying to compute the area of a region that has no "top." Could this have a finite value?

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} dx = \lim_{a \to 0^+} \int_a^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} dx$$
$$= \lim_{a \to 0^+} 2\sqrt{x} \Big|_a^1$$
$$= \lim_{a \to 0^+} 2\left(\sqrt{1} - \sqrt{a}\right)$$
$$= 2.$$

It turns out that the region does have a finite area even though it has no upper bound (strange things can occur in mathematics when considering the infinite).

2. The function $f(x) = 1/x^2$ has a vertical asymptote at x = 0, as shown in Figure 6.8.8, so this integral is an improper integral. Let's eschew using limits for a moment and proceed without recognizing the improper nature of the integral. This leads to:

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{x^2} dx = -\frac{1}{x} \Big|_{-1}^{1}$$
$$= -1 - (1)$$
$$= -2. (!)$$

Note: In Definition 6.8.2, *c* can be one of the endpoints (*a* or *b*). In that case, there is only one limit to consider as part of the definition.




Figure 6.8.7: A graph of $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$ in Example 6.8.3.




Figure 6.8.8: A graph of $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}$ in Example 6.8.3.

Clearly the area in question is above the *x*-axis, yet the area is supposedly negative! Why does our answer not match our intuition? To answer this, evaluate the integral using Definition 6.8.2.

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{x^2} dx = \lim_{t \to 0^{-}} \int_{-1}^{t} \frac{1}{x^2} dx + \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \int_{t}^{1} \frac{1}{x^2} dx$$
$$= \lim_{t \to 0^{-}} -\frac{1}{x} \Big|_{-1}^{t} + \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} -\frac{1}{x} \Big|_{t}^{1}$$
$$= \lim_{t \to 0^{-}} -\frac{1}{t} - 1 + \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} -1 + \frac{1}{t}$$
$$\Rightarrow (\infty - 1) + (-1 + \infty).$$

Neither limit converges hence the original improper integral diverges. The nonsensical answer we obtained by ignoring the improper nature of the integral is just that: nonsensical.

Understanding Convergence and Divergence

Oftentimes we are interested in knowing simply whether or not an improper integral converges, and not necessarily the value of a convergent integral. We provide here several tools that help determine the convergence or divergence of improper integrals without integrating.

Our first tool is to understand the behavior of functions of the form $\frac{1}{x^p}$.

Example 6.8.4 Improper integration of $1/x^p$

Determine the values of p for which $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{p}} dx$ converges.

SOLUTION

We begin by integrating and then evaluating the limit.

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{p}} dx = \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_{1}^{b} \frac{1}{x^{p}} dx$$
$$= \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_{1}^{b} x^{-p} dx \quad (\text{assume } p \neq 1)$$
$$= \lim_{b \to \infty} \frac{1}{-p+1} x^{-p+1} \Big|_{1}^{b}$$
$$= \lim_{b \to \infty} \frac{1}{1-p} (b^{1-p} - 1^{1-p}).$$

When does this limit converge – i.e., when is this limit *not* ∞ ? This limit converges precisely when the power of *b* is less than 0: when $1 - p < 0 \Rightarrow 1 < p$.





Figure 6.8.9: Plotting functions of the form $1/x^{p}$ in Example 6.8.4.

Our analysis shows that if p > 1, then $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{p}} dx$ converges. When p < 1 the improper integral diverges; we showed in Example 6.8.1 that when p = 1 the integral also diverges.

Figure 6.8.9 graphs y = 1/x with a dashed line, along with graphs of $y = 1/x^{\rho}$, p < 1, and $y = 1/x^{q}$, q > 1. Somehow the dashed line forms a dividing line between convergence and divergence.

The result of Example 6.8.4 provides an important tool in determining the convergence of other integrals. A similar result is proved in the exercises about improper integrals of the form $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{x^p} dx$. These results are summarized in the following Key Idea.



A basic technique in determining convergence of improper integrals is to compare an integrand whose convergence is unknown to an integrand whose convergence is known. We often use integrands of the form $1/x^{p}$ to compare to as their convergence on certain intervals is known. This is described in the following theorem.

Note: We used the upper and lower bound of "1" in Key Idea 6.8.1 for convenience. It can be replaced by any a where a > 0.

Theorem 6.8.1Direct Comparison Test for Improper IntegralsLet f and g be continuous on $[a, \infty)$ where $0 \le f(x) \le g(x)$ for all x in $[a, \infty)$.1. If $\int_{a}^{\infty} g(x) dx$ converges, then $\int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) dx$ converges.2. If $\int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) dx$ diverges, then $\int_{a}^{\infty} g(x) dx$ diverges.




Figure 6.8.10: Graphs of $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$ and $f(x) = 1/x^2$ in Example 6.8.5.




Figure 6.8.11: Graphs of $f(x) = 1/\sqrt{x^2 - x}$ and f(x) = 1/x in Example 6.8.5.

Example 6.8.5 Determining convergence of improper integrals Determine the convergence of the following improper integrals.

$$\int_1^\infty e^{-x^2} dx \qquad 2. \int_3^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 - x}} dx$$

SOLUTION

1.

- 1. The function $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$ does not have an antiderivative expressible in terms of elementary functions, so we cannot integrate directly. It is comparable to $g(x) = 1/x^2$, and as demonstrated in Figure 6.8.10, $e^{-x^2} < 1/x^2$ on $[1, \infty)$. We know from Key Idea 6.8.1 that $\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x^2} dx$ converges, hence $\int_1^\infty e^{-x^2} dx$ also converges.
- 2. Note that for large values of x, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 x}} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2}} = \frac{1}{x}$. We know from Key Idea 6.8.1 and the subsequent note that $\int_3^\infty \frac{1}{x} dx$ diverges, so we seek to compare the original integrand to 1/x.

It is easy to see that when x > 0, we have $x = \sqrt{x^2} > \sqrt{x^2 - x}$. Taking reciprocals reverses the inequality, giving

$$\frac{1}{x} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 - x}}.$$

Using Theorem 6.8.1, we conclude that since $\int_{3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} dx$ diverges, $\int_{3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 - x}} dx$ diverges as well. Figure 6.8.11 illustrates this.

Being able to compare "unknown" integrals to "known" integrals is very useful in determining convergence. However, some of our examples were a little "too nice." For instance, it was convenient that $\frac{1}{x} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 - x}}$, but what if the "-x" were replaced with a "+2x + 5"? That is, what can we say about the convergence of $\int_{3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + 2x + 5}} dx$? We have $\frac{1}{x} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + 2x + 5}}$, so we cannot use Theorem 6.8.1.

In cases like this (and many more) it is useful to employ the following theorem.

Theorem 6.8.2 Limit Comparison Test for Improper Integrals

Let *f* and *g* be continuous functions on $[a, \infty)$ where f(x) > 0 and g(x) > 00 for all x. If

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}=L,\qquad 0< L<\infty,$$

then

$$\int_a^\infty f(x) \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^\infty g(x) \, dx$$

either both converge or both diverge.

х-

Example 6.8.6 Determining convergence of improper integrals Determine the convergence of $\int_{3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + 2x + 5}} dx$.

SOLUTION As *x* gets large, the denominator of the integrand will begin to behave much like y = x. So we compare $\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + 2x + 5}}$ to $\frac{1}{x}$ with the Limit **Comparison Test:**

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1/\sqrt{x^2 + 2x + 5}}{1/x} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + 2x + 5}}$$

The immediate evaluation of this limit returns ∞/∞ , an indeterminate form. Using l'Hôpital's Rule seems appropriate, but in this situation, it does not lead to useful results. (We encourage the reader to employ l'Hôpital's Rule at least once to verify this.)

The trouble is the square root function. To get rid of it, we employ the following fact: If $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L$, then $\lim_{x\to c} f(x)^2 = L^2$. (This is true when either *c* or *L* is ∞ .) So we consider now the limit

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{x^2}{x^2+2x+5}$$

This converges to 1, meaning the original limit also converged to 1. As x gets very large, the function $\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + 2x + 5}}$ looks very much like $\frac{1}{x}$. Since we know that $\int_3^\infty \frac{1}{x} dx$ diverges, by the Limit Comparison Test we know that $\int_3^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + 2x + 5}} dx$ also diverges. Figure 6.8.12 graphs $f(x) = 1/\sqrt{x^2 + 2x + 5}$ and f(x) = 1/x, illustrating that as x gets large, the functions become indistinguishable.




Figure 6.8.12: Graphing $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + 2x + 5}}$ and $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ in Example 6.8.6.

Both the Direct and Limit Comparison Tests were given in terms of integrals over an infinite interval. There are versions that apply to improper integrals with an infinite range, but as they are a bit wordy and a little more difficult to employ, they are omitted from this text.

This chapter has explored many integration techniques. We learned Substitution, which "undoes" the Chain Rule of differentiation, as well as Integration by Parts, which "undoes" the Product Rule. We learned specialized techniques for handling trigonometric functions and introduced the hyperbolic functions, which are closely related to the trigonometric functions. All techniques effectively have this goal in common: rewrite the integrand in a new way so that the integration step is easier to see and implement.

As stated before, integration is, in general, hard. It is easy to write a function whose antiderivative is impossible to write in terms of elementary functions, and even when a function does have an antiderivative expressible by elementary functions, it may be really hard to discover what it is. The powerful computer algebra system *Mathematica*[®] has approximately 1,000 pages of code dedicated to integration.

Do not let this difficulty discourage you. There is great value in learning integration techniques, as they allow one to manipulate an integral in ways that can illuminate a concept for greater understanding. There is also great value in understanding the need for good numerical techniques: the Trapezoidal and Simpson's Rules are just the beginning of powerful techniques for approximating the value of integration.

The next chapter stresses the uses of integration. We generally do not find antiderivatives for antiderivative's sake, but rather because they provide the solution to some type of problem. The following chapter introduces us to a number of different problems whose solution is provided by integration.

Exercises 6.8

Terms and Concepts

- The definite integral was defined with what two stipulations?
- 2. If $\lim_{b\to\infty} \int_0^b f(x) \, dx$ exists, then the integral $\int_0^\infty f(x) \, dx$ is said to _____.
- 3. If $\int_{1}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 10$, and $0 \le g(x) \le f(x)$ for all x, then we know that $\int_{1}^{\infty} g(x) dx$ _____.
- 4. For what values of p will $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{p}} dx$ converge?
- 5. For what values of *p* will $\int_{10}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^p} dx$ converge?
- 6. For what values of *p* will $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{x^p} dx$ converge?

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 34, evaluate the given improper integral.

- 7. $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{5-2x} dx$ 8. $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{3}} dx$ 9. $\int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-4} dx$ 10. $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{2} + 9} dx$ 11. $\int_{-\infty}^{0} 2^{x} dx$ 12. $\int_{-\infty}^{0} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{x} dx$ 13. $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x}{x^{2} + 1} dx$ 14. $\int_{3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{2} 4} dx$ 15. $\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x 1)^{2}} dx$ 16. $\int_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{(x 1)^{2}} dx$ 26. $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{x} + e^{-x}} dx$ 26. $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{x} + e^{-x}} dx$ 27. $\int_{0}^{1} x \ln x dx$ 28. $\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} \ln x dx$ 29. $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln x}{x} dx$ 29. $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln x}{x} dx$ 20. $\int_{0}^{1} \ln x dx$ 21. $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\ln x}{x^{2}} dx$ 22. $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln x}{\sqrt{x}} dx$ 23. $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} \sin x dx$ 24. $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} \cos x dx$
- 17. $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x-1} dx$ 18. $\int_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{x-1} dx$ 19. $\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{x} dx$ 20. $\int_{1}^{3} \frac{1}{x-2} dx$ 21. $\int_{0}^{\pi} \sec^2 x \, dx$ 22. $\int_{-2}^{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x|}} dx$ 23. $\int_0^\infty x e^{-x} dx$ 24. $\int_0^\infty x e^{-x^2} dx$ 25. $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x e^{-x^2} dx$ $26. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^x + e^{-x}} dx$ 27. $\int_{0}^{1} x \ln x \, dx$ 28. $\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} \ln x \, dx$ 29. $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\ln x}{x} dx$ 30. $\int_{0}^{1} \ln x \, dx$ 31. $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln x}{x^2} dx$ 32. $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln x}{\sqrt{x}} dx$ $33. \int_0^\infty e^{-x} \sin x \, dx$

In Exercises 35 - 44, use the Direct Comparison Test or the Limit Comparison Test to determine whether the given definite integral converges or diverges. Clearly state what test is being used and what function the integrand is being compared to.

35.
$$\int_{10}^{\infty} \frac{3}{\sqrt{3x^2 + 2x - 5}} dx$$

36.
$$\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{4}{\sqrt{7x^3 - x}} dx$$

37.
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{x + 3}}{\sqrt{x^3 - x^2 + x + 1}} dx$$

38.
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-x} \ln x \, dx$$

41.
$$\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2 + \sin x} \, dx$$

42.
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x}{x^2 + \cos x} \, dx$$

43.
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x + e^x} \, dx$$

44.
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^x - x} \, dx$$

39. $\int_{5}^{\infty} e^{-x^2+3x+1} dx$

 $40. \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{x}}{e^x} \, dx$

7: APPLICATIONS OF INTEGRATION

We begin this chapter with a reminder of a few key concepts from Chapter 5. Let f be a continuous function on [a, b] which is partitioned into n equally spaced subintervals as

$$a = x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n < x_{n+1} = b$$

Let $\Delta x = (b - a)/n$ denote the length of the subintervals, and let c_i be any *x*-value in the *i*th subinterval. Definition 5.3.2 states that the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(c_i) \Delta x$$

is a *Riemann Sum*. Riemann Sums are often used to approximate some quantity (area, volume, work, pressure, etc.). The *approximation* becomes *exact* by taking the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{i=1}^n f(c_i)\Delta x.$$

Theorem 5.3.2 connects limits of Riemann Sums to definite integrals:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{i=1}^n f(c_i)\Delta x = \int_a^b f(x) \, dx.$$

Finally, the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus states how definite integrals can be evaluated using antiderivatives.

This chapter employs the following technique to a variety of applications. Suppose the value Q of a quantity is to be calculated. We first approximate the value of Q using a Riemann Sum, then find the exact value via a definite integral. We spell out this technique in the following Key Idea.

Key Idea 7.0.1 Application of Definite Integrals Strategy

Let a quantity be given whose value Q is to be computed.

- 1. Divide the quantity into n smaller "subquantities" of value Q_i .
- 2. Identify a variable x and function f(x) such that each subquantity can be approximated with the product $f(c_i)\Delta x$, where Δx represents a small change in x. Thus $Q_i \approx f(c_i)\Delta x$. A sample approximation $f(c_i)\Delta x$ of Q_i is called a *differential element*.
- 3. Recognize that $Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(c_i) \Delta x$, which is a Riemann Sum.

4. Taking the appropriate limit gives
$$Q = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$$

This Key Idea will make more sense after we have had a chance to use it several times. We begin with Area Between Curves, which we addressed briefly in Section 5.4.




Figure 7.1.1: Subdividing a region into vertical slices and approximating the areas with rectangles.

7.1 Area Between Curves

We are often interested in knowing the area of a region. Forget momentarily that we addressed this already in Section 5.4 and approach it instead using the technique described in Key Idea 7.0.1.

Let Q be the area of a region bounded by continuous functions f and g. If we break the region into many subregions, we have an obvious equation:

Total Area = sum of the areas of the subregions.

The issue to address next is how to systematically break a region into subregions. A graph will help. Consider Figure 7.1.1 (a) where a region between two curves is shaded. While there are many ways to break this into subregions, one particularly efficient way is to "slice" it vertically, as shown in Figure 7.1.1 (b), into n equally spaced slices.

We now approximate the area of a slice. Again, we have many options, but using a rectangle seems simplest. Picking any x-value c_i in the ith slice, we set the height of the rectangle to be $f(c_i) - g(c_i)$, the difference of the corresponding y-values. The width of the rectangle is a small difference in x-values, which we represent with Δx . Figure 7.1.1 (c) shows sample points c_i chosen in each subinterval and appropriate rectangles drawn. (Each of these rectangles represents a differential element.) Each slice has an area approximately equal to $(f(c_i) - g(c_i))\Delta x$; hence, the total area is approximately the Riemann Sum

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f(c_i) - g(c_i)) \Delta x.$$

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$ gives the exact area as $\int_a^b (f(x) - g(x)) dx$.

Theorem 7.1.1 Area Between Curves (restatement of Theorem 5.4.3)

Let f(x) and g(x) be continuous functions defined on [a, b] where $f(x) \ge g(x)$ for all x in [a, b]. The area of the region bounded by the curves y = f(x), y = g(x) and the lines x = a and x = b is

$$\int_a^b \left(f(x) - g(x)\right) \, dx.$$

Example 7.1.1 Finding area enclosed by curves

Find the area of the region bounded by $f(x) = \sin x + 2$, $g(x) = \frac{1}{2}\cos(2x) - 1$, x = 0 and $x = 4\pi$, as shown in Figure 7.1.2.

SOLUTION The graph verifies that the upper boundary of the region is given by f and the lower bound is given by g. Therefore the area of the region is the value of the integral

$$\int_{0}^{4\pi} \left(f(x) - g(x) \right) dx = \int_{0}^{4\pi} \left(\sin x + 2 - \left(\frac{1}{2} \cos(2x) - 1 \right) \right) dx$$
$$= -\cos x - \frac{1}{4} \sin(2x) + 3x \Big|_{0}^{4\pi}$$
$$= 12\pi \approx 37.7 \text{ units}^{2}.$$

Example 7.1.2 Finding total area enclosed by curves

Find the total area of the region enclosed by the functions f(x) = -2x + 5 and $g(x) = x^3 - 7x^2 + 12x - 3$ as shown in Figure 7.1.3.

SOLUTION A quick calculation shows that f = g at x = 1, 2 and 4. One can proceed thoughtlessly by computing $\int_{1}^{4} (f(x) - g(x)) dx$, but this ignores the fact that on [1, 2], g(x) > f(x). (In fact, the thoughtless integration returns -9/4, hardly the expected value of an *area*.) Thus we compute the total area by breaking the interval [1, 4] into two subintervals, [1, 2] and [2, 4] and using the proper integrand in each.

Total Area =
$$\int_{1}^{2} (g(x) - f(x)) dx + \int_{2}^{4} (f(x) - g(x)) dx$$

= $\int_{1}^{2} (x^{3} - 7x^{2} + 14x - 8) dx + \int_{2}^{4} (-x^{3} + 7x^{2} - 14x + 8) dx$
= $5/12 + 8/3$
= $37/12 = 3.083$ units².

The previous example makes note that we are expecting area to be *positive*. When first learning about the definite integral, we interpreted it as "signed area under the curve," allowing for "negative area." That doesn't apply here; area is to be positive.

The previous example also demonstrates that we often have to break a given region into subregions before applying Theorem 7.1.1. The following example shows another situation where this is applicable, along with an alternate view of applying the Theorem.

Example 7.1.3 Finding area: integrating with respect to y

Find the area of the region enclosed by the functions $y = \sqrt{x} + 2$, $y = -(x - 1)^2 + 3$ and y = 2, as shown in Figure 7.1.4.




Figure 7.1.2: Graphing an enclosed region in Example 7.1.1.




Figure 7.1.3: Graphing a region enclosed by two functions in Example 7.1.2.




Figure 7.1.4: Graphing a region for Example 7.1.3.




Figure 7.1.5: The region used in Example 7.1.3 with boundaries relabeled as functions of *y*.

SOLUTION We give two approaches to this problem. In the first approach, we notice that the region's "top" is defined by two different curves. On [0, 1], the top function is $y = \sqrt{x} + 2$; on [1, 2], the top function is $y = -(x - 1)^2 + 3$. Thus we compute the area as the sum of two integrals:

Total Area =
$$\int_0^1 \left(\left(\sqrt{x} + 2 \right) - 2 \right) dx + \int_1^2 \left(\left(-(x-1)^2 + 3 \right) - 2 \right) dx$$

= 2/3 + 2/3
= 4/3.

The second approach is clever and very useful in certain situations. We are used to viewing curves as functions of *x*; we input an *x*-value and a *y*-value is returned. Some curves can also be described as functions of *y*: input a *y*-value and an *x*-value is returned. We can rewrite the equations describing the boundary by solving for *x*:

$$y = \sqrt{x} + 2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x = (y - 2)^2$$

 $y = -(x - 1)^2 + 3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x = \sqrt{3 - y} + 1$

Figure 7.1.5 shows the region with the boundaries relabeled. A differential element, a horizontal rectangle, is also pictured. The width of the rectangle is a small change in *y*: Δy . The height of the rectangle is a difference in *x*-values. The "top" *x*-value is the largest value, i.e., the rightmost. The "bottom" *x*-value is the smaller, i.e., the leftmost. Therefore the height of the rectangle is

$$(\sqrt{3-y}+1)-(y-2)^2$$
.

The area is found by integrating the above function with respect to y with the appropriate bounds. We determine these by considering the y-values the region occupies. It is bounded below by y = 2, and bounded above by y = 3. That is, both the "top" and "bottom" functions exist on the y interval [2, 3]. Thus

Total Area =
$$\int_{2}^{3} \left(\sqrt{3-y} + 1 - (y-2)^{2} \right) dy$$
$$= \left(-\frac{2}{3} (3-y)^{3/2} + y - \frac{1}{3} (y-2)^{3} \right) \Big|_{2}^{3}$$
$$= 4/3.$$

This calculus–based technique of finding area can be useful even with shapes that we normally think of as "easy." Example 7.1.4 computes the area of a triangle. While the formula " $\frac{1}{2}$ × base × height" is well known, in arbitrary triangles it can be nontrivial to compute the height. Calculus makes the problem simple.

Example 7.1.4 Finding the area of a triangle

Compute the area of the regions bounded by the lines y = x + 1, y = -2x + 7 and $y = -\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{5}{2}$, as shown in Figure 7.1.6.

SOLUTION Recognize that there are two "top" functions to this region, causing us to use two definite integrals.

Total Area =
$$\int_{1}^{2} \left((x+1) - \left(-\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{5}{2} \right) \right) dx + \int_{2}^{3} \left((-2x+7) - \left(-\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{5}{2} \right) \right) dx$$

= 3/4 + 3/4
= 3/2.

We can also approach this by converting each function into a function of *y*. This also requires 2 integrals, so there isn't really any advantage to doing so. We do it here for demonstration purposes.

The "top" function is always $x = \frac{7-y}{2}$ while there are two "bottom" functions. Being mindful of the proper integration bounds, we have

Total Area =
$$\int_{1}^{2} \left(\frac{7-y}{2} - (5-2y) \right) dy + \int_{2}^{3} \left(\frac{7-y}{2} - (y-1) \right) dy$$

= 3/4 + 3/4
= 3/2.

Of course, the final answer is the same. (It is interesting to note that the area of all 4 subregions used is 3/4. This is coincidental.)

While we have focused on producing exact answers, we are also able to make approximations using the principle of Theorem 7.1.1. The integrand in the theorem is a distance ("top minus bottom"); integrating this distance function gives an area. By taking discrete measurements of distance, we can approximate an area using numerical integration techniques developed in Section 5.5. The following example demonstrates this.

Example 7.1.5 Numerically approximating area

To approximate the area of a lake, shown in Figure 7.1.7 (a), the "length" of the lake is measured at 200-foot increments as shown in Figure 7.1.7 (b), where the lengths are given in hundreds of feet. Approximate the area of the lake.

SOLUTION The measurements of length can be viewed as measuring "top minus bottom" of two functions. The exact answer is found by integrating $\int_{0}^{12} (f(x) - g(x)) dx$, but of course we don't know the functions f and g. Our discrete measurements instead allow us to approximate.






Figure 7.1.6: Graphing a triangular region in Example 7.1.4.





We have the following data points:

$$(0,0), (2,2.25), (4,5.08), (6,6.35), (8,5.21), (10,2.76), (12,0).$$

We also have that $\Delta x = \frac{b-a}{n} = 2$, so Simpson's Rule gives

Area
$$\approx \frac{2}{3} \left(1 \cdot 0 + 4 \cdot 2.25 + 2 \cdot 5.08 + 4 \cdot 6.35 + 2 \cdot 5.21 + 4 \cdot 2.76 + 1 \cdot 0 \right)$$

= 44.013 units².

Since the measurements are in hundreds of feet, units² = $(100 \text{ ft})^2 = 10,000 \text{ ft}^2$, giving a total area of 440, 133 ft². (Since we are approximating, we'd likely say the area was about 440,000 ft², which is a little more than 10 acres.)

In the next section we apply our applications–of–integration techniques to finding the volumes of certain solids.


Exercises 7.1


Terms and Concepts


- 1. T/F: The area between curves is always positive.
- 2. T/F: Calculus can be used to find the area of basic geometric shapes.
- 3. In your own words, describe how to find the total area enclosed by y = f(x) and y = g(x).
- 4. Describe a situation where it is advantageous to find an area enclosed by curves through integration with respect to *y* instead of *x*.


Problems

In Exercises 5 – 12, find the area of the shaded region in the given graph.











8.


9.


10.

11.









22.

23.

24.

25.


26.


In Exercises 13 – 20, find the total area enclosed by the functions f and g.

- 13. $f(x) = 2x^2 + 5x 3$, $g(x) = x^2 + 4x 1$ 14. $f(x) = x^2 - 3x + 2$, g(x) = -3x + 315. $f(x) = \sin x$, $g(x) = 2x/\pi$
- 16. $f(x) = x^3 4x^2 + x 1$, $g(x) = -x^2 + 2x 4$
- 17. $f(x) = x, g(x) = \sqrt{x}$
- 18. $f(x) = -x^3 + 5x^2 + 2x + 1$, $g(x) = 3x^2 + x + 3$
- 19. The functions $f(x) = \cos(x)$ and $g(x) = \sin x$ intersect infinitely many times, forming an infinite number of repeated, enclosed regions. Find the areas of these regions.
- 20. The functions $f(x) = \cos(2x)$ and $g(x) = \sin x$ intersect infinitely many times, forming an infinite number of repeated, enclosed regions. Find the areas of these regions.

In Exercises 21 – 26, find the area of the enclosed region in two ways:


- 1. by treating the boundaries as functions of x, and
- 2. by treating the boundaries as functions of y.






In Exercises 27 – 30, find the area triangle formed by the given three points.

- 27. (1,1), (2,3), and (3,3)
- 28. (-1, 1), (1, 3), and (2, -1)
- 29. (1, 1), (3, 3), and (3, 3)
- 30. (0,0), (2,5), and (5,2)
- 31. Use the Trapezoidal Rule to approximate the area of the pictured lake whose lengths, in hundreds of feet, are measured in 100-foot increments.



32. Use Simpson's Rule to approximate the area of the pictured lake whose lengths, in hundreds of feet, are measured in 200-foot increments.







Figure 7.2.1: The volume of a general right cylinder



The volume of a general right cylinder, as shown in Figure 7.2.1, is

Area of the base \times height.

We can use this fact as the building block in finding volumes of a variety of shapes.

Given an arbitrary solid, we can *approximate* its volume by cutting it into *n* thin slices. When the slices are thin, each slice can be approximated well by a general right cylinder. Thus the volume of each slice is approximately its cross-sectional area \times thickness. (These slices are the differential elements.)

By orienting a solid along the *x*-axis, we can let $A(x_i)$ represent the crosssectional area of the *i*th slice, and let Δx_i represent the thickness of this slice (the thickness is a small change in *x*). The total volume of the solid is approximately:


Volume
$$\approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\text{Area} \times \text{thickness} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} A(x_i) \Delta x_i.$$

Recognize that this is a Riemann Sum. By taking a limit (as the thickness of the slices goes to 0) we can find the volume exactly.

Theorem 7.2.1 Volume By Cross-Sectional Area

The volume V of a solid, oriented along the x-axis with cross-sectional area A(x) from x = a to x = b, is

$$V=\int_a^b A(x)\ dx.$$



Find the volume of a pyramid with a square base of side length 10 in and a height of 5 in.

SOLUTION There are many ways to "orient" the pyramid along the *x*-axis; Figure 7.2.2 gives one such way, with the pointed top of the pyramid at the origin and the *x*-axis going through the center of the base.

Each cross section of the pyramid is a square; this is a sample differential element. To determine its area A(x), we need to determine the side lengths of

Notes:




Figure 7.2.2: Orienting a pyramid along the *x*-axis in Example 7.2.1.

the square.

When x = 5, the square has side length 10; when x = 0, the square has side length 0. Since the edges of the pyramid are lines, it is easy to figure that each cross-sectional square has side length 2x, giving $A(x) = (2x)^2 = 4x^2$.

If one were to cut a slice out of the pyramid at x = 3, as shown in Figure 7.2.3, one would have a shape with square bottom and top with sloped sides. If the slice were thin, both the bottom and top squares would have sides lengths of about 6, and thus the cross–sectional area of the bottom and top would be about $36in^2$. Letting Δx_i represent the thickness of the slice, the volume of this slice would then be about $36\Delta x_i in^3$.

Cutting the pyramid into *n* slices divides the total volume into *n* equally–spaced smaller pieces, each with volume $(2x_i)^2 \Delta x$, where x_i is the approximate location of the slice along the *x*-axis and Δx represents the thickness of each slice. One can approximate total volume of the pyramid by summing up the volumes of these slices:

Approximate volume
$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n} (2x_i)^2 \Delta x$$

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$ gives the actual volume of the pyramid; recoginizing this sum as a Riemann Sum allows us to find the exact answer using a definite integral, matching the definite integral given by Theorem 7.2.1.

We have

$$V = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (2x_i)^2 \Delta x$$
$$= \int_0^5 4x^2 dx$$
$$= \frac{4}{3}x^3 \Big|_0^5$$
$$= \frac{500}{3} \text{ in}^3 \approx 166.67 \text{ in}^3$$

We can check our work by consulting the general equation for the volume of a pyramid (see the back cover under "Volume of A General Cone"):

 $\frac{1}{3}$ × area of base × height.

Certainly, using this formula from geometry is faster than our new method, but the calculus-based method can be applied to much more than just cones.

An important special case of Theorem 7.2.1 is when the solid is a **solid of revolution**, that is, when the solid is formed by rotating a shape around an axis.

Start with a function y = f(x) from x = a to x = b. Revolving this curve about a horizontal axis creates a three-dimensional solid whose cross sections




Figure 7.2.3: Cutting a slice in the pyramid in Example 7.2.1 at x = 3.

are disks (thin circles). Let R(x) represent the radius of the cross-sectional disk at x; the area of this disk is $\pi R(x)^2$. Applying Theorem 7.2.1 gives the Disk Method.

Key Idea 7.2.1 The Disk Method

Let a solid be formed by revolving the curve y = f(x) from x = a to x = baround a horizontal axis, and let R(x) be the radius of the cross-sectional disk at x. The volume of the solid is

$$V = \pi \int_a^b R(x)^2 \, dx.$$

Example 7.2.2 Finding volume using the Disk Method

Find the volume of the solid formed by revolving the curve y = 1/x, from x = 1 to x = 2, around the *x*-axis.

SOLUTION A sketch can help us understand this problem. In Figure 7.2.4(a) the curve y = 1/x is sketched along with the differential element – a disk – at x with radius R(x) = 1/x. In Figure 7.2.4 (b) the whole solid is pictured, along with the differential element.

The volume of the differential element shown in part (a) of the figure is approximately $\pi R(x_i)^2 \Delta x$, where $R(x_i)$ is the radius of the disk shown and Δx is the thickness of that slice. The radius $R(x_i)$ is the distance from the *x*-axis to the curve, hence $R(x_i) = 1/x_i$.

Slicing the solid into *n* equally–spaced slices, we can approximate the total volume by adding up the approximate volume of each slice:

Approximate volume
$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\pi\left(\frac{1}{x_{i}}\right)^{2}\Delta x.$$

Taking the limit of the above sum as $n \to \infty$ gives the actual volume; recognizing this sum as a Riemann sum allows us to evaluate the limit with a definite integral, which matches the formula given in Key Idea 7.2.1:

$$V = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi \left(\frac{1}{x_i}\right)^2 \Delta x$$
$$= \pi \int_{1}^{2} \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^2 dx$$
$$= \pi \int_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{x^2} dx$$





Figure 7.2.4: Sketching a solid in Example 7.2.2.



While Key Idea 7.2.1 is given in terms of functions of x, the principle involved can be applied to functions of y when the axis of rotation is vertical, not horizontal. We demonstrate this in the next example.

Example 7.2.3 Finding volume using the Disk Method

Find the volume of the solid formed by revolving the curve y = 1/x, from x = 1 to x = 2, about the y-axis.

SOLUTION Since the axis of rotation is vertical, we need to convert the function into a function of y and convert the x-bounds to y-bounds. Since y = 1/x defines the curve, we rewrite it as x = 1/y. The bound x = 1 corresponds to the y-bound y = 1, and the bound x = 2 corresponds to the y-bound y = 1/2.

Thus we are rotating the curve x = 1/y, from y = 1/2 to y = 1 about the *y*-axis to form a solid. The curve and sample differential element are sketched in Figure 7.2.5 (a), with a full sketch of the solid in Figure 7.2.5 (b). We integrate to find the volume:

$$V = \pi \int_{1/2}^{1} \frac{1}{y^2} dy$$
$$= -\frac{\pi}{y} \Big|_{1/2}^{1}$$
$$= \pi \text{ units}^{3}.$$

We can also compute the volume of solids of revolution that have a hole in the center. The general principle is simple: compute the volume of the solid irrespective of the hole, then subtract the volume of the hole. If the outside radius of the solid is R(x) and the inside radius (defining the hole) is r(x), then the volume is

$$V = \pi \int_{a}^{b} R(x)^{2} dx - \pi \int_{a}^{b} r(x)^{2} dx = \pi \int_{a}^{b} \left(R(x)^{2} - r(x)^{2} \right) dx.$$

One can generate a solid of revolution with a hole in the middle by revolving a region about an axis. Consider Figure 7.2.6(a), where a region is sketched along




Figure 7.2.5: Sketching a solid in Example 7.2.3.




Figure 7.2.6: Establishing the Washer Method; see also Figure 7.2.7.




Figure 7.2.7: Establishing the Washer Method; see also Figure 7.2.6.




Figure 7.2.8: Sketching the differential element and solid in Example 7.2.4.

with a dashed, horizontal axis of rotation. By rotating the region about the axis, a solid is formed as sketched in Figure 7.2.6(b). The outside of the solid has radius R(x), whereas the inside has radius r(x). Each cross section of this solid will be a washer (a disk with a hole in the center) as sketched in Figure 7.2.7. This leads us to the Washer Method.

Key Idea 7.2.2 The Washer Method

Let a region bounded by y = f(x), y = g(x), x = a and x = b be rotated about a horizontal axis that does not intersect the region, forming a solid. Each cross section at x will be a washer with outside radius R(x) and inside radius r(x). The volume of the solid is

$$V = \pi \int_a^b \left(R(x)^2 - r(x)^2 \right) dx.$$

Even though we introduced it first, the Disk Method is just a special case of the Washer Method with an inside radius of r(x) = 0.

Example 7.2.4 Finding volume with the Washer Method

Find the volume of the solid formed by rotating the region bounded by $y = x^2 - 2x + 2$ and y = 2x - 1 about the *x*-axis.

SOLUTION A sketch of the region will help, as given in Figure 7.2.8(a). Rotating about the *x*-axis will produce cross sections in the shape of washers, as shown in Figure 7.2.8(b); the complete solid is shown in part (c). The outside radius of this washer is R(x) = 2x + 1; the inside radius is $r(x) = x^2 - 2x + 2$. As the region is bounded from x = 1 to x = 3, we integrate as follows to compute the volume.

$$V = \pi \int_{1}^{3} \left((2x-1)^{2} - (x^{2} - 2x + 2)^{2} \right) dx$$

= $\pi \int_{1}^{3} \left(-x^{4} + 4x^{3} - 4x^{2} + 4x - 3 \right) dx$
= $\pi \left[-\frac{1}{5}x^{5} + x^{4} - \frac{4}{3}x^{3} + 2x^{2} - 3x \right] \Big|_{1}^{3}$
= $\frac{104}{15}\pi \approx 21.78 \text{ units}^{3}.$

When rotating about a vertical axis, the outside and inside radius functions must be functions of *y*.

Example 7.2.5 Finding volume with the Washer Method

Find the volume of the solid formed by rotating the triangular region with vertices at (1, 1), (2, 1) and (2, 3) about the *y*-axis.

SOLUTION The triangular region is sketched in Figure 7.2.9(a); the differential element is sketched in (b) and the full solid is drawn in (c). They help us establish the outside and inside radii. Since the axis of rotation is vertical, each radius is a function of *y*.

The outside radius R(y) is formed by the line connecting (2, 1) and (2, 3); it is a constant function, as regardless of the *y*-value the distance from the line to the axis of rotation is 2. Thus R(y) = 2.

The inside radius is formed by the line connecting (1, 1) and (2, 3). The equation of this line is y = 2x - 1, but we need to refer to it as a function of y. Solving for x gives $r(y) = \frac{1}{2}(y + 1)$.

We integrate over the y-bounds of y = 1 to y = 3. Thus the volume is

$$V = \pi \int_{1}^{3} \left(2^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}(y+1)\right)^{2}\right) dy$$


= $\pi \int_{1}^{3} \left(-\frac{1}{4}y^{2} - \frac{1}{2}y + \frac{15}{4}\right) dy$
= $\pi \left[-\frac{1}{12}y^{3} - \frac{1}{4}y^{2} + \frac{15}{4}y\right]\Big|_{1}^{3}$
= $\frac{10}{3}\pi \approx 10.47$ units³.

This section introduced a new application of the definite integral. Our default view of the definite integral is that it gives "the area under the curve." However, we can establish definite integrals that represent other quantities; in this section, we computed volume.

The ultimate goal of this section is not to compute volumes of solids. That can be useful, but what is more useful is the understanding of this basic principle of integral calculus, outlined in Key Idea 7.0.1: to find the exact value of some quantity,

- we start with an approximation (in this section, slice the solid and approximate the volume of each slice),
- then make the approximation better by refining our original approximation (i.e., use more slices),
- then use limits to establish a definite integral which gives the exact value.

We practice this principle in the next section where we find volumes by slicing solids in a different way.






Figure 7.2.9: Sketching the solid in Example 7.2.5.


Exercises 7.2


Terms and Concepts

- 1. T/F: A solid of revolution is formed by revolving a shape around an axis.
- 2. In your own words, explain how the Disk and Washer Methods are related.
- 3. Explain the how the units of volume are found in the integral of Theorem 7.2.1: if A(x) has units of in², how does $\int A(x) dx$ have units of in³?
- 4. A fundamental principle of this section is "_____ can be found by integrating an area function."


Problems

In Exercises 5 – 8, a region of the Cartesian plane is shaded. Use the Disk/Washer Method to find the volume of the solid of revolution formed by revolving the region about the x-axis.






In Exercises 9 - 12, a region of the Cartesian plane is shaded. Use the Disk/Washer Method to find the volume of the solid of revolution formed by revolving the region about the *y*-axis.



(Hint: Integration By Parts will be necessary, twice. First let $u = \arccos^2 x$, then let $u = \arccos x$.)



In Exercises 13 - 18, a region of the Cartesian plane is described. Use the Disk/Washer Method to find the volume of the solid of revolution formed by rotating the region about each of the given axes.

13. Region bounded by: $y = \sqrt{x}$, y = 0 and x = 1. Rotate about:

(a) the <i>x</i> -axis	(c) the y-axis
(b) $y = 1$	(d) $x = 1$

14. Region bounded by: $y = 4 - x^2$ and y = 0. Rotate about:

(a) the <i>x</i> -axis	(c) $y = -1$
(b) <i>y</i> = 4	(d) $x = 2$

15. The triangle with vertices (1, 1), (1, 2) and (2, 1). Rotate about:

(a)	the <i>x</i> -axis	(c)	the y-axis
(b)	y = 2	(d)	<i>x</i> = 1

16. Region bounded by $y = x^2 - 2x + 2$ and y = 2x - 1. Rotate about:

(d) LITE X-dXIS $(U) y = 3$	(a)	the <i>x</i> -axis	(c) $y = 5$
-----------------------------	-----	--------------------	-------------

- (b) y = 1
- 17. Region bounded by $y = 1/\sqrt{x^2 + 1}$, x = -1, x = 1 and the *x*-axis. Rotate about:


(a) the <i>x</i> -axis (e)	c) $y = -1$
----------------------------	-------------

- (b) *y* = 1
- 18. Region bounded by y = 2x, y = x and x = 2. Rotate about:


(a) the <i>x</i> -axis	(c) the y-axis
(b) <i>y</i> = 4	(d) $x = 2$

In Exercises 19–22, a solid is described. Orient the solid along the *x*-axis such that a cross-sectional area function A(x) can be obtained, then apply Theorem 7.2.1 to find the volume of the solid.

19. A right circular cone with height of 10 and base radius of 5.




20. A skew right circular cone with height of 10 and base radius of 5. (Hint: all cross-sections are circles.)



21. A right triangular cone with height of 10 and whose base is a right, isosceles triangle with side length 4.



22. A solid with length 10 with a rectangular base and triangular top, wherein one end is a square with side length 5 and the other end is a triangle with base and height of 5.






Figure 7.3.1: Introducing the Shell Method.

7.3 The Shell Method

Often a given problem can be solved in more than one way. A particular method may be chosen out of convenience, personal preference, or perhaps necessity. Ultimately, it is good to have options.

The previous section introduced the Disk and Washer Methods, which computed the volume of solids of revolution by integrating the cross–sectional area of the solid. This section develops another method of computing volume, the **Shell Method.** Instead of slicing the solid perpendicular to the axis of rotation creating cross-sections, we now slice it parallel to the axis of rotation, creating "shells."

Consider Figure 7.3.1, where the region shown in (a) is rotated around the *y*-axis forming the solid shown in (b). A small slice of the region is drawn in (a), parallel to the axis of rotation. When the region is rotated, this thin slice forms a **cylindrical shell**, as pictured in part (c) of the figure. The previous section approximated a solid with lots of thin disks (or washers); we now approximate a solid with many thin cylindrical shells.

To compute the volume of one shell, first consider the paper label on a soup can with radius *r* and height *h*. What is the area of this label? A simple way of determining this is to cut the label and lay it out flat, forming a rectangle with height *h* and length $2\pi r$. Thus the area is $A = 2\pi rh$; see Figure 7.3.2(a).

Do a similar process with a cylindrical shell, with height *h*, thickness Δx , and approximate radius *r*. Cutting the shell and laying it flat forms a rectangular solid with length $2\pi r$, height *h* and depth Δx . Thus the volume is $V \approx 2\pi rh\Delta x$; see Figure 7.3.2(b). (We say "approximately" since our radius was an approximation.)

By breaking the solid into *n* cylindrical shells, we can approximate the volume of the solid as

$$V\approx\sum_{i=1}^n 2\pi r_i h_i \Delta x_i,$$

where r_i , h_i and Δx_i are the radius, height and thickness of the *i*th shell, respectively.

This is a Riemann Sum. Taking a limit as the thickness of the shells approaches 0 leads to a definite integral.

Notes:




Figure 7.3.2: Determining the volume of a thin cylindrical shell.

Key Idea 7.3.1 The Shell Method

Let a solid be formed by revolving a region *R*, bounded by x = a and x = b, around a vertical axis. Let r(x) represent the distance from the axis of rotation to x (i.e., the radius of a sample shell) and let h(x) represent the height of the solid at x (i.e., the height of the shell). The volume of the solid is

$$V=2\pi\int_a^b r(x)h(x)\,dx.$$

Special Cases:

- 1. When the region *R* is bounded above by y = f(x) and below by y = g(x), then h(x) = f(x) g(x).
- 2. When the axis of rotation is the *y*-axis (i.e., x = 0) then r(x) = x.

Let's practice using the Shell Method.

Example 7.3.1 Finding volume using the Shell Method

Find the volume of the solid formed by rotating the region bounded by y = 0, $y = 1/(1 + x^2)$, x = 0 and x = 1 about the *y*-axis.

SOLUTION This is the region used to introduce the Shell Method in Figure 7.3.1, but is sketched again in Figure 7.3.3 for closer reference. A line is drawn in the region parallel to the axis of rotation representing a shell that will




Figure 7.3.3: Graphing a region in Example 7.3.1.

be carved out as the region is rotated about the *y*-axis. (This is the differential element.)

The distance this line is from the axis of rotation determines r(x); as the distance from x to the y-axis is x, we have r(x) = x. The height of this line determines h(x); the top of the line is at $y = 1/(1 + x^2)$, whereas the bottom of the line is at y = 0. Thus $h(x) = 1/(1 + x^2) - 0 = 1/(1 + x^2)$. The region is bounded from x = 0 to x = 1, so the volume is

$$V=2\pi\int_0^1\frac{x}{1+x^2}\,dx$$

This requires substitution. Let $u = 1 + x^2$, so du = 2x dx. We also change the bounds: u(0) = 1 and u(1) = 2. Thus we have:

$$= \pi \int_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{u} du$$
$$= \pi \ln u \Big|_{1}^{2}$$
$$= \pi \ln 2 \approx 2.178 \text{ units}^{3}.$$

Note: in order to find this volume using the Disk Method, two integrals would be needed to account for the regions above and below y = 1/2.

With the Shell Method, nothing special needs to be accounted for to compute the volume of a solid that has a hole in the middle, as demonstrated next.

Example 7.3.2 Finding volume using the Shell Method

Find the volume of the solid formed by rotating the triangular region determined by the points (0, 1), (1, 1) and (1, 3) about the line x = 3.

SOLUTION The region is sketched in Figure 7.3.4(a) along with the differential element, a line within the region parallel to the axis of rotation. In part (b) of the figure, we see the shell traced out by the differential element, and in part (c) the whole solid is shown.

The height of the differential element is the distance from y = 1 to y = 2x + 1, the line that connects the points (0, 1) and (1, 3). Thus h(x) = 2x+1-1 = 2x. The radius of the shell formed by the differential element is the distance from x to x = 3; that is, it is r(x) = 3 - x. The x-bounds of the region are x = 0 to




Figure 7.3.4: Graphing a region in Example 7.3.2.

x = 1, giving

$$V = 2\pi \int_0^1 (3 - x)(2x) \, dx$$

= $2\pi \int_0^1 (6x - 2x^2) \, dx$
= $2\pi \left(3x^2 - \frac{2}{3}x^3 \right) \Big|_0^1$
= $\frac{14}{3}\pi \approx 14.66 \text{ units}^3.$

When revolving a region around a horizontal axis, we must consider the radius and height functions in terms of *y*, not *x*.

Example 7.3.3 Finding volume using the Shell Method

Find the volume of the solid formed by rotating the region given in Example 7.3.2 about the *x*-axis.

SOLUTION The region is sketched in Figure 7.3.5(a) with a sample differential element. In part (b) of the figure the shell formed by the differential element is drawn, and the solid is sketched in (c). (Note that the triangular region looks "short and wide" here, whereas in the previous example the same region looked "tall and narrow." This is because the bounds on the graphs are different.)

The height of the differential element is an x-distance, between $x = \frac{1}{2}y - \frac{1}{2}$ and x = 1. Thus $h(y) = 1 - (\frac{1}{2}y - \frac{1}{2}) = -\frac{1}{2}y + \frac{3}{2}$. The radius is the distance from y to the x-axis, so r(y) = y. The y bounds of the region are y = 1 and y = 3, leading to the integral

$$V = 2\pi \int_{1}^{3} \left[y \left(-\frac{1}{2}y + \frac{3}{2} \right) \right] dy$$

= $2\pi \int_{1}^{3} \left[-\frac{1}{2}y^{2} + \frac{3}{2}y \right] dy$
= $2\pi \left[-\frac{1}{6}y^{3} + \frac{3}{4}y^{2} \right] \Big|_{1}^{3}$
= $2\pi \left[\frac{9}{4} - \frac{7}{12} \right]$
= $\frac{10}{3}\pi \approx 10.472 \text{ units}^{3}.$

Figure 7.3.5: Graphing a region in Example 7.3.3.




Figure 7.3.6: Graphing a region in Example 7.3.4.

At the beginning of this section it was stated that "it is good to have options." The next example finds the volume of a solid rather easily with the Shell Method, but using the Washer Method would be quite a chore.

Example 7.3.4 Finding volume using the Shell Method

Find the volume of the solid formed by revolving the region bounded by $y = \sin x$ and the *x*-axis from x = 0 to $x = \pi$ about the *y*-axis.

SOLUTION The region and a differential element, the shell formed by this differential element, and the resulting solid are given in Figure 7.3.6. The radius of a sample shell is r(x) = x; the height of a sample shell is $h(x) = \sin x$, each from x = 0 to $x = \pi$. Thus the volume of the solid is

$$V=2\pi\int_0^\pi x\sin x\,dx.$$

This requires Integration By Parts. Set u = x and $dv = \sin x \, dx$; we leave it to the reader to fill in the rest. We have:


$$= 2\pi \left[-x \cos x \Big|_{0}^{\pi} + \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos x \, dx \right]$$
$$= 2\pi \left[\pi + \sin x \Big|_{0}^{\pi} \right]$$
$$= 2\pi \left[\pi + 0 \right]$$
$$= 2\pi^{2} \approx 19.74 \text{ units}^{3}.$$

Note that in order to use the Washer Method, we would need to solve $y = \sin x$ for x, requiring the use of the arcsine function. We leave it to the reader to verify that the outside radius function is $R(y) = \pi - \arcsin y$ and the inside radius function is $r(y) = \arcsin y$. Thus the volume can be computed as

$$\pi \int_0^1 \left[(\pi - \arcsin y)^2 - (\arcsin y)^2 \right] dy.$$

This integral isn't terrible given that the $\arcsin^2 y$ terms cancel, but it is more onerous than the integral created by the Shell Method.

We end this section with a table summarizing the usage of the Washer and Shell Methods.



Let a region R be given with x-bounds x = a and x = b and y-bounds y = c and y = d.

	Washer Method	Shell Method	
Horizontal Axis	$\pi \int_a^b \left(R(x)^2 - r(x)^2 \right) dx$	$2\pi \int_c^d r(y)h(y) dy$	
Vertical Axis	$\pi \int_c^d \left(R(y)^2 - r(y)^2 \right) dy$	$2\pi \int_a^b r(x)h(x) dx$	


As in the previous section, the real goal of this section is not to be able to compute volumes of certain solids. Rather, it is to be able to solve a problem by first approximating, then using limits to refine the approximation to give the exact value. In this section, we approximate the volume of a solid by cutting it into thin cylindrical shells. By summing up the volumes of each shell, we get an approximation of the volume. By taking a limit as the number of equally spaced shells goes to infinity, our summation can be evaluated as a definite integral, giving the exact value.

We use this same principle again in the next section, where we find the length of curves in the plane.

Exercises 7.3

Terms and Concepts


- 1. T/F: A solid of revolution is formed by revolving a shape around an axis.
- 2. T/F: The Shell Method can only be used when the Washer Method fails.
- 3. T/F: The Shell Method works by integrating cross–sectional areas of a solid.
- 4. T/F: When finding the volume of a solid of revolution that was revolved around a vertical axis, the Shell Method integrates with respect to *x*.





Problems

In Exercises 5 - 8, a region of the Cartesian plane is shaded. Use the Shell Method to find the volume of the solid of revolution formed by revolving the region about the *y*-axis.

In Exercises 9 – 12, a region of the Cartesian plane is shaded. Use the Shell Method to find the volume of the solid of revolution formed by revolving the region about the *x*-axis.







In Exercises 13 - 18, a region of the Cartesian plane is described. Use the Shell Method to find the volume of the solid of revolution formed by rotating the region about each of the given axes.

13. Region bounded by: $y = \sqrt{x}$, y = 0 and x = 1. Rotate about:

(a) the y-axis	(c) the <i>x</i> -axis
(b) <i>x</i> = 1	(d) y = 1

14. Region bounded by: $y = 4 - x^2$ and y = 0. Rotate about:

(a) <i>x</i> = 2	(c) the <i>x</i> -axis
(b) $x = -2$	(d) $y = 4$

15. The triangle with vertices (1, 1), (1, 2) and (2, 1). Rotate about:

(a) the <i>y</i> -axis	(c) the <i>x</i> -axis
(b) <i>x</i> = 1	(d) <i>y</i> = 2

16. Region bounded by $y = x^2 - 2x + 2$ and y = 2x - 1. Rotate about:

(a) the <i>y</i> -axis	(c) $x = -1$
(b) <i>x</i> = 1	

17. Region bounded by $y = 1/\sqrt{x^2 + 1}$, x = 1 and the x and y-axes. Rotate about:

(a) the y-axis (b)
$$x = 1$$

18. Region bounded by y = 2x, y = x and x = 2. Rotate about:

(a) the <i>y</i> -axis	(c) the <i>x</i> -axis
(b) <i>x</i> = 2	(d) $y = 4$




Figure 7.4.1: Graphing $y = \sin x$ on $[0, \pi]$ and approximating the curve with line segments.




Figure 7.4.2: Zooming in on the i^{th} subinterval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ of a partition of [a, b].

7.4 Arc Length and Surface Area

In previous sections we have used integration to answer the following questions:

- 1. Given a region, what is its area?
- 2. Given a solid, what is its volume?

In this section, we address a related question: Given a curve, what is its length? This is often referred to as **arc length**.

Consider the graph of $y = \sin x$ on $[0, \pi]$ given in Figure 7.4.1(a). How long is this curve? That is, if we were to use a piece of string to exactly match the shape of this curve, how long would the string be?

As we have done in the past, we start by approximating; later, we will refine our answer using limits to get an exact solution.

The length of straight–line segments is easy to compute using the Distance Formula. We can approximate the length of the given curve by approximating the curve with straight lines and measuring their lengths.

In Figure 7.4.1(b), the curve $y = \sin x$ has been approximated with 4 line segments (the interval $[0, \pi]$ has been divided into 4 equally–lengthed subintervals). It is clear that these four line segments approximate $y = \sin x$ very well on the first and last subinterval, though not so well in the middle. Regardless, the sum of the lengths of the line segments is 3.79, so we approximate the arc length of $y = \sin x$ on $[0, \pi]$ to be 3.79.

In general, we can approximate the arc length of y = f(x) on [a, b] in the following manner. Let $a = x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n < x_{n+1} = b$ be a partition of [a, b] into n subintervals. Let Δx_i represent the length of the ith subinterval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$.

Figure 7.4.2 zooms in on the *i*th subinterval where y = f(x) is approximated by a straight line segment. The dashed lines show that we can view this line segment as the hypotenuse of a right triangle whose sides have length Δx_i and Δy_i . Using the Pythagorean Theorem, the length of this line segment is $\sqrt{\Delta x_i^2 + \Delta y_i^2}$. Summing over all subintervals gives an arc length approximation

$$L \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{\Delta x_i^2 + \Delta y_i^2}$$

As shown here, this is *not* a Riemann Sum. While we could conclude that taking a limit as the subinterval length goes to zero gives the exact arc length, we would not be able to compute the answer with a definite integral. We need first to do a little algebra.

In the above expression factor out a Δx_i^2 term:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{\Delta x_i^2 + \Delta y_i^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{\Delta x_i^2 \left(1 + \frac{\Delta y_i^2}{\Delta x_i^2}\right)}.$$

Now pull the Δx_i^2 term out of the square root:

$$=\sum_{i=1}^n\sqrt{1+\frac{\Delta y_i^2}{\Delta x_i^2}}\,\Delta x_i.$$

This is nearly a Riemann Sum. Consider the $\Delta y_i^2 / \Delta x_i^2$ term. The expression $\Delta y_i / \Delta x_i$ measures the "change in y/change in x," that is, the "rise over run" of f on the ith subinterval. The Mean Value Theorem of Differentiation (Theorem 3.2.1) states that there is a c_i in the ith subinterval where $f'(c_i) = \Delta y_i / \Delta x_i$. Thus we can rewrite our above expression as:

$$=\sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{1+f'(c_i)^2}\,\Delta x_i.$$

This is a Riemann Sum. As long as f' is continuous, we can invoke Theorem 5.3.2 and conclude

$$=\int_a^b\sqrt{1+f'(x)^2}\,dx.$$

Theorem 7.4.1 Arc Length

Let *f* be differentiable on [a, b], where f' is also continuous on [a, b]. Then the arc length of *f* from x = a to x = b is

$$L = \int_a^b \sqrt{1 + f'(x)^2} \, dx.$$

As the integrand contains a square root, it is often difficult to use the formula in Theorem 7.4.1 to find the length exactly. When exact answers are difficult to come by, we resort to using numerical methods of approximating definite integrals. The following examples will demonstrate this.

Note: This is our first use of differentiability on a closed interval since Section 2.1.

The theorem also requires that f' be continuous on [a, b]; while examples are arcane, it is possible for f to be differentiable yet f' is not continuous.




Figure 7.4.3: A graph of $f(x) = x^{3/2}$ from Example 7.4.1.

Example 7.4.1 Finding arc length Find the arc length of $f(x) = x^{3/2}$ from x = 0 to x = 4.

SOLUTION We find $f'(x) = \frac{3}{2}x^{1/2}$; note that on [0, 4], f is differentiable and f' is also continuous. Using the formula, we find the arc length L as

$$L = \int_{0}^{4} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{3}{2}x^{1/2}\right)^{2}} dx$$

= $\int_{0}^{4} \sqrt{1 + \frac{9}{4}x} dx$
= $\int_{0}^{4} \left(1 + \frac{9}{4}x\right)^{1/2} dx$
= $\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{9} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{9}{4}x\right)^{3/2} \Big|_{0}^{4}$
= $\frac{8}{27} \left(10^{3/2} - 1\right) \approx 9.07$ units.

A graph of f is given in Figure 7.4.3.

Finding arc length Example 7.4.2

Find the arc length of $f(x) = \frac{1}{8}x^2 - \ln x$ from x = 1 to x = 2.

This function was chosen specifically because the resulting SOLUTION integral can be evaluated exactly. We begin by finding f'(x) = x/4 - 1/x. The arc length is

$$L = \int_{1}^{2} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{x}{4} - \frac{1}{x}\right)^{2}} dx$$
$$= \int_{1}^{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{x^{2}}{16} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{x^{2}}} dx$$
$$= \int_{1}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{x^{2}}{16} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{x^{2}}} dx$$
$$= \int_{1}^{2} \sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{4} + \frac{1}{x}\right)^{2}} dx$$

$$= \int_{1}^{2} \left(\frac{x}{4} + \frac{1}{x}\right) dx$$
$$= \left(\frac{x^{2}}{8} + \ln x\right) \Big|_{1}^{2}$$
$$= \frac{3}{8} + \ln 2 \approx 1.07 \text{ units.}$$

A graph of f is given in Figure 7.4.4; the portion of the curve measured in this problem is in bold.

The previous examples found the arc length exactly through careful choice of the functions. In general, exact answers are much more difficult to come by and numerical approximations are necessary.

Example 7.4.3 Approximating arc length numerically Find the length of the sine curve from x = 0 to $x = \pi$.

SOLUTION This is somewhat of a mathematical curiosity; in Example 5.4.3 we found the area under one "hump" of the sine curve is 2 square units; now we are measuring its arc length.

The setup is straightforward: $f(x) = \sin x$ and $f'(x) = \cos x$. Thus

$$L = \int_0^\pi \sqrt{1 + \cos^2 x} \, dx.$$

This integral *cannot* be evaluated in terms of elementary functions so we will approximate it with Simpson's Method with n = 4. Figure 7.4.5 gives $\sqrt{1 + \cos^2 x}$ evaluated at 5 evenly spaced points in $[0, \pi]$. Simpson's Rule then states that

$$\int_0^{\pi} \sqrt{1 + \cos^2 x} \, dx \approx \frac{\pi - 0}{4 \cdot 3} \left(\sqrt{2} + 4\sqrt{3/2} + 2(1) + 4\sqrt{3/2} + \sqrt{2} \right)$$

= 3.82918.

Using a computer with n = 100 the approximation is $L \approx 3.8202$; our approximation with n = 4 is quite good.




Figure 7.4.4: A graph of $f(x) = \frac{1}{8}x^2 - \ln x$ from Example 7.4.2.

x	$\sqrt{1 + \cos^2 x}$
0	$\sqrt{2}$
$\pi/4$	$\sqrt{3/2}$
$\pi/2$	1
$3\pi/4$	$\sqrt{3/2}$
π	$\sqrt{2}$

Figure 7.4.5: A table of values of $y = \sqrt{1 + \cos^2 x}$ to evaluate a definite integral in Example 7.4.3.




Figure 7.4.6: Establishing the formula for surface area.

Surface Area of Solids of Revolution

We have already seen how a curve y = f(x) on [a, b] can be revolved around an axis to form a solid. Instead of computing its volume, we now consider its surface area.

We begin as we have in the previous sections: we partition the interval [a, b] with n subintervals, where the ith subinterval is $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$. On each subinterval, we can approximate the curve y = f(x) with a straight line that connects $f(x_i)$ and $f(x_{i+1})$ as shown in Figure 7.4.6(a). Revolving this line segment about the x-axis creates part of a cone (called a *frustum* of a cone) as shown in Figure 7.4.6(b). The surface area of a frustum of a cone is

 $2\pi \cdot \text{length} \cdot \text{average of the two radii } R \text{ and } r.$

The length is given by *L*; we use the material just covered by arc length to state that

$$L \approx \sqrt{1 + f'(c_i)^2} \Delta x_i$$

for some c_i in the *i*th subinterval. The radii are just the function evaluated at the endpoints of the interval. That is,

$$R = f(x_{i+1})$$
 and $r = f(x_i)$.

Thus the surface area of this sample frustum of the cone is approximately

$$2\pi \frac{f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1})}{2} \sqrt{1 + f'(c_i)^2} \Delta x_i.$$

Since *f* is a continuous function, the Intermediate Value Theorem states there is some *d_i* in [*x_i*, *x_{i+1}*] such that $f(d_i) = \frac{f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1})}{2}$; we can use this to rewrite the above equation as

$$2\pi f(d_i)\sqrt{1+f'(c_i)^2}\Delta x_i.$$

Summing over all the subintervals we get the total surface area to be approximately

Surface Area
$$pprox \sum_{i=1}^n 2\pi f(d_i) \sqrt{1+f'(c_i)^2} \Delta x_i$$

which is a Riemann Sum. Taking the limit as the subinterval lengths go to zero gives us the exact surface area, given in the following theorem.

Theorem 7.4.2 Surface Area of a Solid of Revolution

Let *f* be differentiable on [a, b], where f' is also continuous on [a, b].

1. The surface area of the solid formed by revolving the graph of y = f(x), where $f(x) \ge 0$, about the *x*-axis is

Surface Area =
$$2\pi \int_a^b f(x) \sqrt{1 + f'(x)^2} dx$$
.

2. The surface area of the solid formed by revolving the graph of y = f(x) about the y-axis, where $a, b \ge 0$, is

Surface Area =
$$2\pi \int_a^b x \sqrt{1 + f'(x)^2} \, dx.$$

(When revolving y = f(x) about the *y*-axis, the radii of the resulting frustum are x_i and x_{i+1} ; their average value is simply the midpoint of the interval. In the limit, this midpoint is just *x*. This gives the second part of Theorem 7.4.2.)

Example 7.4.4 Finding surface area of a solid of revolution

Find the surface area of the solid formed by revolving $y = \sin x$ on $[0, \pi]$ around the *x*-axis, as shown in Figure 7.4.7.

SOLUTION The setup is relatively straightforward. Using Theorem 7.4.2, we have the surface area *SA* is:

$$SA = 2\pi \int_0^{\pi} \sin x \sqrt{1 + \cos^2 x} \, dx$$

= $-2\pi \frac{1}{2} \left(\sinh^{-1}(\cos x) + \cos x \sqrt{1 + \cos^2 x} \right) \Big|_0^{\pi}$
= $2\pi \left(\sqrt{2} + \sinh^{-1} 1 \right) \approx 14.42 \text{ units}^2.$

The integration step above is nontrivial, utilizing an integration method called Trigonometric Substitution.

It is interesting to see that the surface area of a solid, whose shape is defined by a trigonometric function, involves both a square root and an inverse hyperbolic trigonometric function.

Example 7.4.5 Finding surface area of a solid of revolution

Find the surface area of the solid formed by revolving the curve $y = x^2$ on [0, 1] about the *x*-axis and the *y*-axis.

-1

Figure 7.4.7: Revolving $y = \sin x$ on $[0, \pi]$ about the *x*-axis.




Figure 7.4.8: The solids used in Example 7.4.5.




Figure 7.4.9: A graph of Gabriel's Horn.

SOLUTION

About the *x*-axis: the integral is straightforward to setup:

$$SA = 2\pi \int_0^1 x^2 \sqrt{1 + (2x)^2} \, dx$$

Like the integral in Example 7.4.4, this requires Trigonometric Substitution.

$$= \frac{\pi}{32} \left(2(8x^3 + x)\sqrt{1 + 4x^2} - \sinh^{-1}(2x) \right) \Big|_{0}^{1}$$

= $\frac{\pi}{32} \left(18\sqrt{5} - \sinh^{-1} 2 \right)$
\approx 3.81 units².

The solid formed by revolving $y = x^2$ around the *x*-axis is graphed in Figure 7.4.8 (a).

About the *y*-axis: since we are revolving around the *y*-axis, the "radius" of the solid is not f(x) but rather *x*. Thus the integral to compute the surface area is:

$$SA = 2\pi \int_0^1 x \sqrt{1 + (2x)^2} \, dx.$$

This integral can be solved using substitution. Set $u = 1 + 4x^2$; the new bounds are u = 1 to u = 5. We then have

$$= \frac{\pi}{4} \int_{1}^{5} \sqrt{u} \, du$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{2}{3} u^{3/2} \Big|_{1}^{5}$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{6} \left(5\sqrt{5} - 1 \right)$$
$$\approx 5.33 \text{ units}^{2}.$$

The solid formed by revolving $y = x^2$ about the *y*-axis is graphed in Figure 7.4.8 (b).

Our final example is a famous mathematical "paradox."

Example 7.4.6 The surface area and volume of Gabriel's Horn

Consider the solid formed by revolving y = 1/x about the x-axis on $[1, \infty)$. Find the volume and surface area of this solid. (This shape, as graphed in Figure 7.4.9, is known as "Gabriel's Horn" since it looks like a very long horn that only a supernatural person, such as an angel, could play.)

SOLUTION To compute the volume it is natural to use the Disk Method. We have:

$$V = \pi \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{2}} dx$$
$$= \lim_{b \to \infty} \pi \int_{1}^{b} \frac{1}{x^{2}} dx$$
$$= \lim_{b \to \infty} \pi \left(\frac{-1}{x}\right) \Big|_{1}^{b}$$
$$= \lim_{b \to \infty} \pi \left(1 - \frac{1}{b}\right)$$
$$= \pi \text{ units}^{3}.$$

Gabriel's Horn has a finite volume of π cubic units. Since we have already seen that regions with infinite length can have a finite area, this is not too difficult to accept.

We now consider its surface area. The integral is straightforward to setup:

$$SA = 2\pi \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x} \sqrt{1 + 1/x^4} \, dx$$

Integrating this expression is not trivial. We can, however, compare it to other improper integrals. Since $1 < \sqrt{1 + 1/x^4}$ on $[1, \infty)$, we can state that

$$2\pi \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x} \, dx < 2\pi \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x} \sqrt{1+1/x^4} \, dx.$$

By Key Idea 6.8.1, the improper integral on the left diverges. Since the integral on the right is larger, we conclude it also diverges, meaning Gabriel's Horn has infinite surface area.

Hence the "paradox": we can fill Gabriel's Horn with a finite amount of paint, but since it has infinite surface area, we can never paint it.

Somehow this paradox is striking when we think about it in terms of volume and area. However, we have seen a similar paradox before, as referenced above. We know that the area under the curve $y = 1/x^2$ on $[1, \infty)$ is finite, yet the shape has an infinite perimeter. Strange things can occur when we deal with the infinite.

A standard equation from physics is "Work = force \times distance", when the force applied is constant. In the next section we learn how to compute work when the force applied is variable.

Exercises 7.4

Terms and Concepts

- 1. T/F: The integral formula for computing Arc Length was found by first approximating arc length with straight line segments.
- 2. T/F: The integral formula for computing Arc Length includes a square–root, meaning the integration is probably easy.

Problems

In Exercises 3 – 12, find the arc length of the function on the given interval.

3.
$$f(x) = x$$
 on $[0, 1]$.

4. $f(x) = \sqrt{8}x$ on [-1, 1].

5.
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{3}x^{3/2} - x^{1/2}$$
 on $[0, 1]$.

6.
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{12}x^3 + \frac{1}{x}$$
 on [1, 4].

- 7. $f(x) = 2x^{3/2} \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{x}$ on [0,9].
- 8. $f(x) = \cosh x$ on $[-\ln 2, \ln 2]$.
- 9. $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(e^x + e^{-x})$ on $[0, \ln 5]$.
- 10. $f(x) = \frac{1}{12}x^5 + \frac{1}{5x^3}$ on [.1, 1].
- 11. $f(x) = \ln(\sin x)$ on $[\pi/6, \pi/2]$.
- 12. $f(x) = \ln(\cos x)$ on $[0, \pi/4]$.
- In Exercises 13 20, set up the integral to compute the arc length of the function on the given interval. Do not evaluate the integral.
- 13. $f(x) = x^2$ on [0, 1].
- 14. $f(x) = x^{10}$ on [0, 1].
- 15. $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ on [0, 1].
- 16. $f(x) = \ln x$ on [1, e].

- 17. $f(x) = \sqrt{1 x^2}$ on [-1, 1]. (Note: this describes the top half of a circle with radius 1.)
- 18. $f(x) = \sqrt{1 x^2/9}$ on [-3, 3]. (Note: this describes the top half of an ellipse with a major axis of length 6 and a minor axis of length 2.)

19.
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$$
 on [1, 2].

20. $f(x) = \sec x$ on $[-\pi/4, \pi/4]$.

In Exercises 21 – 28, use Simpson's Rule, with n = 4, to approximate the arc length of the function on the given interval. Note: these are the same problems as in Exercises 13–20.

21.
$$f(x) = x^2$$
 on $[0, 1]$.

22.
$$f(x) = x^{10}$$
 on $[0, 1]$.

23. $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ on [0, 1]. (Note: f'(x) is not defined at x = 0.)

24.
$$f(x) = \ln x$$
 on $[1, e]$.

- 25. $f(x) = \sqrt{1 x^2}$ on [-1, 1]. (Note: f'(x) is not defined at the endpoints.)
- 26. $f(x) = \sqrt{1 x^2/9}$ on [-3, 3]. (Note: f'(x) is not defined at the endpoints.)

27.
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$$
 on $[1, 2]$.

28.
$$f(x) = \sec x \text{ on } [-\pi/4, \pi/4].$$

In Exercises 29 – 33, find the surface area of the described solid of revolution.

- 29. The solid formed by revolving y = 2x on [0, 1] about the *x*-axis.
- 30. The solid formed by revolving $y = x^2$ on [0, 1] about the *y*-axis.
- 31. The solid formed by revolving $y = x^3$ on [0, 1] about the *x*-axis.
- 32. The solid formed by revolving $y = \sqrt{x}$ on [0, 1] about the *x*-axis.
- 33. The sphere formed by revolving $y = \sqrt{1 x^2}$ on [-1, 1] about the *x*-axis.

7.5 Work

Work is the scientific term used to describe the action of a force which moves an object. When a constant force *F* is applied to move an object a distance *d*, the amount of work performed is $W = F \cdot d$.

The SI unit of force is the Newton, $(kg \cdot m/s^2)$, and the SI unit of distance is a meter (m). The fundamental unit of work is one Newton-meter, or a joule (J). That is, applying a force of one Newton for one meter performs one joule of work. In Imperial units (as used in the United States), force is measured in pounds (Ib) and distance is measured in feet (ft), hence work is measured in ft-lb.

When force is constant, the measurement of work is straightforward. For instance, lifting a 200 lb object 5 ft performs $200 \cdot 5 = 1000$ ft–lb of work.

What if the force applied is variable? For instance, imagine a climber pulling a 200 ft rope up a vertical face. The rope becomes lighter as more is pulled in, requiring less force and hence the climber performs less work.

In general, let F(x) be a force function on an interval [a, b]. We want to measure the amount of work done applying the force F from x = a to x = b. We can approximate the amount of work being done by partitioning [a, b] into subintervals $a = x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{n+1} = b$ and assuming that F is constant on each subinterval. Let c_i be a value in the ith subinterval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$. Then the work done on this interval is approximately $W_i \approx F(c_i) \cdot (x_{i+1} - x_i) = F(c_i) \Delta x_i$, a constant force \times the distance over which it is applied. The total work is

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(c_i) \Delta x_i.$$

This, of course, is a Riemann sum. Taking a limit as the subinterval lengths go to zero gives an exact value of work which can be evaluated through a definite integral.

Key Idea 7.5.1 Work

Let F(x) be a continuous function on [a, b] describing the amount of force being applied to an object in the direction of travel from distance x = ato distance x = b. The total work W done on [a, b] is

$$W = \int_a^b F(x) \, dx$$

Note: Mass and weight are closely related, yet different, concepts. The mass m of an object is a quantitative measure of that object's resistance to acceleration. The weight w of an object is a measurement of the force applied to the object by the acceleration of gravity q.

Since the two measurements are proportional, $w = m \cdot g$, they are often used interchangeably in everyday conversation. When computing work, one must be careful to note which is being referred to. When mass is given, it must be multiplied by the acceleration of gravity to reference the related force.

Example 7.5.1 Computing work performed: applying variable force A 60m climbing rope is hanging over the side of a tall cliff. How much work is performed in pulling the rope up to the top, where the rope has a mass of 66g/m?

SOLUTION We need to create a force function F(x) on the interval [0, 60]. To do so, we must first decide what x is measuring: it is the length of the rope still hanging or is it the amount of rope pulled in? As long as we are consistent, either approach is fine. We adopt for this example the convention that x is the amount of rope pulled in. This seems to match intuition better; pulling up the first 10 meters of rope involves x = 0 to x = 10 instead of x = 60 to x = 50.

As x is the amount of rope pulled in, the amount of rope still hanging is 60-x. This length of rope has a mass of 66 g/m, or 0.066 kg/m. The mass of the rope still hanging is 0.066(60 - x) kg; multiplying this mass by the acceleration of gravity, 9.8 m/s², gives our variable force function

$$F(x) = (9.8)(0.066)(60 - x) = 0.6468(60 - x).$$

Thus the total work performed in pulling up the rope is

$$W = \int_0^{60} 0.6468(60 - x) \, dx = 1,164.24 \, \text{J}.$$

By comparison, consider the work done in lifting the entire rope 60 meters. The rope weighs $60 \times 0.066 \times 9.8 = 38.808$ N, so the work applying this force for 60 meters is $60 \times 38.808 = 2,328.48$ J. This is exactly twice the work calculated before (and we leave it to the reader to understand why.)

Example 7.5.2 Computing work performed: applying variable force

Consider again pulling a 60 m rope up a cliff face, where the rope has a mass of 66 g/m. At what point is exactly half the work performed?

SOLUTION From Example 7.5.1 we know the total work performed is 1, 164.24 J. We want to find a height *h* such that the work in pulling the rope from a height of x = 0 to a height of x = h is 582.12, half the total work. Thus we want to solve the equation

$$\int_0^h 0.6468(60-x) \, dx = 582.12$$

for h.

$$\int_{0}^{h} 0.6468(60 - x) \, dx = 582.12$$
$$(38.808x - 0.3234x^{2}) \Big|_{0}^{h} = 582.12$$
$$38.808h - 0.3234h^{2} = 582.12$$
$$-0.3234h^{2} + 38.808h - 582.12 = 0.$$

Apply the Quadratic Formula:

h = 17.57 and 102.43

As the rope is only 60m long, the only sensible answer is h = 17.57. Thus about half the work is done pulling up the first 17.5m the other half of the work is done pulling up the remaining 42.43m.

Example 7.5.3 Computing work performed: applying variable force

A box of 100 lb of sand is being pulled up at a uniform rate a distance of 50 ft over 1 minute. The sand is leaking from the box at a rate of 1 lb/s. The box itself weighs 5 lb and is pulled by a rope weighing .2 lb/ft.

- 1. How much work is done lifting just the rope?
- 2. How much work is done lifting just the box and sand?
- 3. What is the total amount of work performed?

SOLUTION

1. We start by forming the force function $F_r(x)$ for the rope (where the subscript denotes we are considering the rope). As in the previous example, let x denote the amount of rope, in feet, pulled in. (This is the same as saying x denotes the height of the box.) The weight of the rope with x feet pulled in is $F_r(x) = 0.2(50 - x) = 10 - 0.2x$. (Note that we do not have to include the acceleration of gravity here, for the *weight* of the rope per foot is given, not its *mass* per meter as before.) The work performed lifting the rope is

$$W_r = \int_0^{50} (10 - 0.2x) \, dx = 250 \, \text{ft-lb}.$$

Notes:

Note: In Example 7.5.2, we find that half of the work performed in pulling up a 60 m rope is done in the last 42.43 m. Why is it not coincidental that $60/\sqrt{2} = 42.43$?

2. The sand is leaving the box at a rate of 1 lb/s. As the vertical trip is to take one minute, we know that 60 lb will have left when the box reaches its final height of 50 ft. Again letting x represent the height of the box, we have two points on the line that describes the weight of the sand: when x = 0, the sand weight is 100 lb, producing the point (0, 100); when x = 50, the sand in the box weighs 40 lb, producing the point (50, 40). The slope of this line is $\frac{100-40}{0-50} = -1.2$, giving the equation of the weight of the sand at height x as w(x) = -1.2x + 100. The box itself weighs a constant 5 lb, so the total force function is $F_b(x) = -1.2x + 105$. Integrating from x = 0 to x = 50 gives the work performed in lifting box and sand:

$$W_b = \int_0^{50} (-1.2x + 105) \ dx = 3750 \ \text{ft-lb}.$$

3. The total work is the sum of W_r and W_b : 250 + 3750 = 4000 ft-lb. We can also arrive at this via integration:

$$W = \int_0^{50} (F_r(x) + F_b(x)) dx$$

= $\int_0^{50} (10 - 0.2x - 1.2x + 105) dx$
= $\int_0^{50} (-1.4x + 115) dx$
= 4000 ft-lb.

Hooke's Law and Springs

Hooke's Law states that the force required to compress or stretch a spring x units from its natural length is proportional to x; that is, this force is F(x) = kx for some constant k. For example, if a force of 1 N stretches a given spring 2 cm, then a force of 5 N will stretch the spring 10 cm. Converting the distances to meters, we have that stretching this spring 0.02 m requires a force of F(0.02) = k(0.02) = 1 N, hence k = 1/0.02 = 50 N/m.

Example 7.5.4 Computing work performed: stretching a spring

A force of 20 lb stretches a spring from a natural length of 7 inches to a length of 12 inches. How much work was performed in stretching the spring to this length?

SOLUTION In many ways, we are not at all concerned with the actual length of the spring, only with the amount of its change. Hence, we do not care

that 20 lb of force stretches the spring to a length of 12 inches, but rather that a force of 20 lb stretches the spring by 5 in. This is illustrated in Figure 7.5.1; we only measure the change in the spring's length, not the overall length of the spring.




Figure 7.5.1: Illustrating the important aspects of stretching a spring in computing work in Example 7.5.4.

Converting the units of length to feet, we have

$$F(5/12) = 5/12k = 20$$
 lb.

Thus k = 48 lb/ft and F(x) = 48x.

We compute the total work performed by integrating F(x) from x = 0 to x = 5/12:

$$W = \int_{0}^{5/12} 48x \, dx$$
$$= 24x^{2} \Big|_{0}^{5/12}$$
$$= 25/6 \approx 4.1667 \text{ ft-lb.}$$

Pumping Fluids

Another useful example of the application of integration to compute work comes in the pumping of fluids, often illustrated in the context of emptying a storage tank by pumping the fluid out the top. This situation is different than our previous examples for the forces involved are constant. After all, the force required to move one cubic foot of water (about 62.4 lb) is the same regardless of its location in the tank. What is variable is the distance that cubic foot of water has to travel; water closer to the top travels less distance than water at the bottom, producing less work.

We demonstrate how to compute the total work done in pumping a fluid out of the top of a tank in the next two examples.

Fluid	lb/ft ³	kg/m ³
Concrete	150	2400
Fuel Oil	55.46	890.13
Gasoline	45.93	737.22
Iodine	307	4927
Methanol	49.3	791.3
Mercury	844	13546
Milk	63.6–65.4	1020 – 1050
Water	62.4	1000

Figure 7.5.2: Weight and Mass densities




Figure 7.5.3: Illustrating a water tank in order to compute the work required to empty it in Example 7.5.5.

Example 7.5.5 Computing work performed: pumping fluids

A cylindrical storage tank with a radius of 10 ft and a height of 30 ft is filled with water, which weighs approximately 62.4 lb/ft³. Compute the amount of work performed by pumping the water up to a point 5 feet above the top of the tank.

SOLUTION We will refer often to Figure 7.5.3 which illustrates the salient aspects of this problem.

We start as we often do: we partition an interval into subintervals. We orient our tank vertically since this makes intuitive sense with the base of the tank at y = 0. Hence the top of the water is at y = 30, meaning we are interested in subdividing the *y*-interval [0, 30] into *n* subintervals as

$$0 = y_1 < y_2 < \cdots < y_{n+1} = 30.$$

Consider the work W_i of pumping only the water residing in the *i*th subinterval, illustrated in Figure 7.5.3. The force required to move this water is equal to its weight which we calculate as volume \times density. The volume of water in this subinterval is $V_i = 10^2 \pi \Delta y_i$; its density is 62.4 lb/ft³. Thus the required force is 6240 $\pi \Delta y_i$ lb.

We approximate the distance the force is applied by using any *y*-value contained in the *i*th subinterval; for simplicity, we arbitrarily use y_i for now (it will not matter later on). The water will be pumped to a point 5 feet above the top of the tank, that is, to the height of y = 35 ft. Thus the distance the water at height y_i travels is $35 - y_i$ ft.

In all, the approximate work W_i peformed in moving the water in the i^{th} subinterval to a point 5 feet above the tank is

$$W_i \approx 6240 \pi \Delta y_i (35 - y_i).$$

To approximate the total work performed in pumping out all the water from the tank, we sum all the work W_i performed in pumping the water from each of the n subintervals of [0, 30]:

$$W \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 6240 \pi \Delta y_i (35 - y_i).$$

This is a Riemann sum. Taking the limit as the subinterval length goes to 0 gives

$$W = \int_{0}^{30} 6240\pi(35 - y) \, dy$$

= 6240\pi (35y - 1/2y^2) \Bigg|_{0}^{30}
= 11,762,123 \, \text{ft-lb}
\approx 1.176 \times 10^7 \, \text{ft-lb}.

We can "streamline" the above process a bit as we may now recognize what the important features of the problem are. Figure 7.5.4 shows the tank from Example 7.5.5 without the *i*th subinterval identified. Instead, we just draw one differential element. This helps establish the height a small amount of water must travel along with the force required to move it (where the force is volume \times density).

We demonstrate the concepts again in the next examples.

Example 7.5.6 Computing work performed: pumping fluids

A conical water tank has its top at ground level and its base 10 feet below ground. The radius of the cone at ground level is 2 ft. It is filled with water weighing 62.4 lb/ft³ and is to be emptied by pumping the water to a spigot 3 feet above ground level. Find the total amount of work performed in emptying the tank.

SOLUTION The conical tank is sketched in Figure 7.5.5. We can orient the tank in a variety of ways; we could let y = 0 represent the base of the tank and y = 10 represent the top of the tank, but we choose to keep the convention of the wording given in the problem and let y = 0 represent ground level and hence y = -10 represents the bottom of the tank. The actual "height" of the water does not matter; rather, we are concerned with the distance the water travels.

The figure also sketches a differential element, a cross-sectional circle. The radius of this circle is variable, depending on y. When y = -10, the circle has radius 0; when y = 0, the circle has radius 2. These two points, (-10, 0) and (0, 2), allow us to find the equation of the line that gives the radius of the cross-sectional circle, which is r(y) = 1/5y + 2. Hence the volume of water at this height is $V(y) = \pi(1/5y + 2)^2 dy$, where dy represents a very small height of the differential element. The force required to move the water at height y is $F(y) = 62.4 \times V(y)$.

The distance the water at height y travels is given by h(y) = 3 - y. Thus the total work done in pumping the water from the tank is

$$W = \int_{-10}^{0} 62.4\pi (1/5y+2)^2 (3-y) \, dy$$

= $62.4\pi \int_{-10}^{0} \left(-\frac{1}{25}y^3 - \frac{17}{25}y^2 - \frac{8}{5}y + 12 \right) \, dy$
= $62.2\pi \cdot \frac{220}{3} \approx 14,376 \, \text{ft-lb.}$




Figure 7.5.4: A simplified illustration for computing work.




Figure 7.5.5: A graph of the conical water tank in Example 7.5.6.




Figure 7.5.6: The cross–section of a swimming pool filled with water in Example 7.5.7.




Figure 7.5.7: Orienting the pool and showing differential elements for Example 7.5.7.

Example 7.5.7 Computing work performed: pumping fluids

A rectangular swimming pool is 20 ft wide and has a 3 ft "shallow end" and a 6 ft "deep end." It is to have its water pumped out to a point 2 ft above the current top of the water. The cross-sectional dimensions of the water in the pool are given in Figure 7.5.6; note that the dimensions are for the water, not the pool itself. Compute the amount of work performed in draining the pool.

SOLUTION For the purposes of this problem we choose to set y = 0 to represent the bottom of the pool, meaning the top of the water is at y = 6. Figure 7.5.7 shows the pool oriented with this *y*-axis, along with 2 differential elements as the pool must be split into two different regions.

The top region lies in the *y*-interval of [3, 6], where the length of the differential element is 25 ft as shown. As the pool is 20 ft wide, this differential element represents a thin slice of water with volume $V(y) = 20 \cdot 25 \cdot dy$. The water is to be pumped to a height of y = 8, so the height function is h(y) = 8 - y. The work done in pumping this top region of water is

$$W_t = 62.4 \int_3^6 500(8 - y) \, dy = 327,600 \, \text{ft-lb}.$$

The bottom region lies in the *y*-interval of [0,3]; we need to compute the length of the differential element in this interval.

One end of the differential element is at x = 0 and the other is along the line segment joining the points (10, 0) and (15, 3). The equation of this line is y = 3/5(x-10); as we will be integrating with respect to y, we rewrite this equation as x = 5/3y + 10. So the length of the differential element is a difference of x-values: x = 0 and x = 5/3y + 10, giving a length of x = 5/3y + 10.

Again, as the pool is 20 ft wide, this differential element represents a thin slice of water with volume $V(y) = 20 \cdot (5/3y + 10) \cdot dy$; the height function is the same as before at h(y) = 8 - y. The work performed in emptying this part of the pool is

$$W_b = 62.4 \int_0^3 20(5/3y + 10)(8 - y) \, dy = 299,520 \, \text{ft-lb}$$

The total work in empyting the pool is

$$W = W_b + W_t = 327,600 + 299,520 = 627,120$$
 ft-lb.

Notice how the emptying of the bottom of the pool performs almost as much work as emptying the top. The top portion travels a shorter distance but has more water. In the end, this extra water produces more work.

The next section introduces one final application of the definite integral, the calculation of fluid force on a plate.

Exercises 7.5

Terms and Concepts

- 1. What are the typical units of work?
- 2. If a man has a mass of 80 kg on Earth, will his mass on the moon be bigger, smaller, or the same?
- 3. If a woman weighs 130 lb on Earth, will her weight on the moon be bigger, smaller, or the same?
- Fill in the blanks: Some integrals in this section are set up by multiplying a variable _____ by a constant distance; others are set up by multiplying a constant force by a variable _____.

Problems

- 5. A 100 ft rope, weighing 0.1 lb/ft, hangs over the edge of a tall building.
 - (a) How much work is done pulling the entire rope to the top of the building?
 - (b) How much rope is pulled in when half of the total work is done?
- 6. A 50 m rope, with a mass density of 0.2 kg/m, hangs over the edge of a tall building.
 - (a) How much work is done pulling the entire rope to the top of the building?
 - (b) How much work is done pulling in the first 20 m?
- 7. A rope of length ℓ ft hangs over the edge of tall cliff. (Assume the cliff is taller than the length of the rope.) The rope has a weight density of *d* lb/ft.
 - (a) How much work is done pulling the entire rope to the top of the cliff?
 - (b) What percentage of the total work is done pulling in the first half of the rope?
 - (c) How much rope is pulled in when half of the total work is done?
- 8. A 20 m rope with mass density of 0.5 kg/m hangs over the edge of a 10 m building. How much work is done pulling the rope to the top?
- A crane lifts a 2,000 lb load vertically 30 ft with a 1" cable weighing 1.68 lb/ft.
 - (a) How much work is done lifting the cable alone?
 - (b) How much work is done lifting the load alone?
 - (c) Could one conclude that the work done lifting the cable is negligible compared to the work done lifting the load?

- 10. A 100 lb bag of sand is lifted uniformly 120 ft in one minute. Sand leaks from the bag at a rate of 1/4 lb/s. What is the total work done in lifting the bag?
- 11. A box weighing 2 lb lifts 10 lb of sand vertically 50 ft. A crack in the box allows the sand to leak out such that 9 lb of sand is in the box at the end of the trip. Assume the sand leaked out at a uniform rate. What is the total work done in lifting the box and sand?
- 12. A force of 1000 lb compresses a spring 3 in. How much work is performed in compressing the spring?
- 13. A force of 2 N stretches a spring 5 cm. How much work is performed in stretching the spring?
- 14. A force of 50 lb compresses a spring from a natural length of 18 in to 12 in. How much work is performed in compressing the spring?
- 15. A force of 20 lb stretches a spring from a natural length of 6 in to 8 in. How much work is performed in stretching the spring?
- 16. A force of 7 N stretches a spring from a natural length of 11 cm to 21 cm. How much work is performed in stretching the spring from a length of 16 cm to 21 cm?
- 17. A force of *f* N stretches a spring *d* m from its natural length. How much work is performed in stretching the spring?
- A 20 lb weight is attached to a spring. The weight rests on the spring, compressing the spring from a natural length of 1 ft to 6 in.


How much work is done in lifting the box 1.5 ft (i.e, the spring will be stretched 1 ft beyond its natural length)?

19. A 20 lb weight is attached to a spring. The weight rests on the spring, compressing the spring from a natural length of 1 ft to 6 in.

How much work is done in lifting the box 6 in (i.e, bringing the spring back to its natural length)?


- 20. A 5 m tall cylindrical tank with radius of 2 m is filled with 3 m of gasoline, with a mass density of 737.22 kg/m³. Compute the total work performed in pumping all the gasoline to the top of the tank.
- 21. A 6 ft cylindrical tank with a radius of 3 ft is filled with water, which has a weight density of 62.4 lb/ft³. The water is to be pumped to a point 2 ft above the top of the tank.
 - (a) How much work is performed in pumping all the water from the tank?
 - (b) How much work is performed in pumping 3 ft of water from the tank?
 - (c) At what point is 1/2 of the total work done?

- 22. A gasoline tanker is filled with gasoline with a weight density of 45.93 lb/ft³. The dispensing valve at the base is jammed shut, forcing the operator to empty the tank via pumping the gas to a point 1 ft above the top of the tank. Assume the tank is a perfect cylinder, 20 ft long with a diameter of 7.5 ft. How much work is performed in pumping all the gasoline from the tank?
- 23. A fuel oil storage tank is 10 ft deep with trapezoidal sides, 5 ft at the top and 2 ft at the bottom, and is 15 ft wide (see diagram below). Given that fuel oil weighs 55.46 lb/ft³, find the work performed in pumping all the oil from the tank to a point 3 ft above the top of the tank.




- 24. A conical water tank is 5 m deep with a top radius of 3 m. (This is similar to Example 7.5.6.) The tank is filled with pure water, with a mass density of 1000 kg/m³.
 - (a) Find the work performed in pumping all the water to the top of the tank.
 - (b) Find the work performed in pumping the top 2.5 m of water to the top of the tank.
 - (c) Find the work performed in pumping the top half of the water, by volume, to the top of the tank.


25. A water tank has the shape of a truncated cone, with dimensions given below, and is filled with water with a weight density of 62.4 lb/ft³. Find the work performed in pumping all water to a point 1 ft above the top of the tank.



26. A water tank has the shape of an inverted pyramid, with dimensions given below, and is filled with water with a mass density of 1000 kg/m³. Find the work performed in pumping all water to a point 5 m above the top of the tank.



27. A water tank has the shape of an truncated, inverted pyramid, with dimensions given below, and is filled with water with a mass density of 1000 kg/m³. Find the work performed in pumping all water to a point 1 m above the top of the tank.



7.6 Fluid Forces

In the unfortunate situation of a car driving into a body of water, the conventional wisdom is that the water pressure on the doors will quickly be so great that they will be effectively unopenable. (Survival techniques suggest immediately opening the door, rolling down or breaking the window, or waiting until the water fills up the interior at which point the pressure is equalized and the door will open. See Mythbusters episode #72 to watch Adam Savage test these options.)

How can this be true? How much force does it take to open the door of a submerged car? In this section we will find the answer to this question by examining the forces exerted by fluids.

We start with **pressure**, which is related to **force** by the following equations:

$$\mathsf{Pressure} = \frac{\mathsf{Force}}{\mathsf{Area}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathsf{Force} = \mathsf{Pressure} \times \mathsf{Area}.$$

In the context of fluids, we have the following definition.

Definition 7.6.1 Fluid Pressure

Let w be the weight–density of a fluid. The **pressure** p exerted on an object at depth d in the fluid is $p = w \cdot d$.


We use this definition to find the **force** exerted on a horizontal sheet by considering the sheet's area.

Example 7.6.1 Computing fluid force

- 1. A cylindrical storage tank has a radius of 2 ft and holds 10 ft of a fluid with a weight–density of 50 lb/ft^3 . (See Figure 7.6.1(a).) What is the force exerted on the base of the cylinder by the fluid?
- 2. A rectangular tank whose base is a 5 ft square has a circular hatch at the bottom with a radius of 2 ft. The tank holds 10 ft of a fluid with a weight–density of 50 lb/ft³. (See Figure 7.6.1(b).) What is the force exerted on the hatch by the fluid?

SOLUTION

1. Using Definition 7.6.1, we calculate that the pressure exerted on the cylinder's base is $w \cdot d = 50 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \times 10 \text{ ft} = 500 \text{ lb/ft}^2$. The area of the base is






Figure 7.6.1: The cylindrical and rectangular tank in Example 7.6.1.

 d_i

Figure 7.6.2: A thin, vertically oriented plate submerged in a fluid with weight-density *w*.

 $\pi \cdot 2^2 = 4\pi$ ft². So the force exerted by the fluid is

$$F = 500 \times 4\pi = 6283$$
 lb

Note that we effectively just computed the *weight* of the fluid in the tank.

2. The dimensions of the tank in this problem are irrelevant. All we are concerned with are the dimensions of the hatch and the depth of the fluid. Since the dimensions of the hatch are the same as the base of the tank in the previous part of this example, as is the depth, we see that the fluid force is the same. That is, F = 6283 lb.

A key concept to understand here is that we are effectively measuring the weight of a 10 ft column of water above the hatch. The size of the tank holding the fluid does not matter.

The previous example demonstrates that computing the force exerted on a horizontally oriented plate is relatively easy to compute. What about a vertically oriented plate? For instance, suppose we have a circular porthole located on the side of a submarine. How do we compute the fluid force exerted on it?

Pascal's Principle states that the pressure exerted by a fluid at a depth is equal in all directions. Thus the pressure on any portion of a plate that is 1 ft below the surface of water is the same no matter how the plate is oriented. (Thus a hollow cube submerged at a great depth will not simply be "crushed" from above, but the sides will also crumple in. The fluid will exert force on *all* sides of the cube.)

So consider a vertically oriented plate as shown in Figure 7.6.2 submerged in a fluid with weight–density *w*. What is the total fluid force exerted on this plate? We find this force by first approximating the force on small horizontal strips.

Let the top of the plate be at depth *b* and let the bottom be at depth *a*. (For now we assume that surface of the fluid is at depth 0, so if the bottom of the plate is 3 ft under the surface, we have a = -3. We will come back to this later.) We partition the interval [a, b] into *n* subintervals

$$a = y_1 < y_2 < \cdots < y_{n+1} = b$$
,

with the *i*th subinterval having length Δy_i . The force F_i exerted on the plate in the *i*th subinterval is F_i = Pressure × Area.

The pressure is depth $\times w$. We approximate the depth of this thin strip by choosing any value d_i in $[y_i, y_{i+1}]$; the depth is approximately $-d_i$. (Our convention has d_i being a negative number, so $-d_i$ is positive.) For convenience, we let d_i be an endpoint of the subinterval; we let $d_i = y_i$.

The area of the thin strip is approximately length \times width. The width is Δy_i . The length is a function of some *y*-value c_i in the *i*th subinterval. We state the

length is $\ell(c_i)$. Thus

$$F_i = Pressure imes Area \ = -y_i \cdot w imes \ell(c_i) \cdot \Delta y_i.$$

To approximate the total force, we add up the approximate forces on each of the *n* thin strips:

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} -w \cdot y_i \cdot \ell(c_i) \cdot \Delta y_i.$$

This is, of course, another Riemann Sum. We can find the exact force by taking a limit as the subinterval lengths go to 0; we evaluate this limit with a definite integral.

Key Idea 7.6.1 Fluid Force on a Vertically Oriented Plate

Let a vertically oriented plate be submerged in a fluid with weightdensity *w* where the top of the plate is at y = b and the bottom is at y = a. Let $\ell(y)$ be the length of the plate at *y*.

1. If y = 0 corresponds to the surface of the fluid, then the force exerted on the plate by the fluid is

$$F = \int_{a}^{b} w \cdot (-y) \cdot \ell(y) \, dy$$

2. In general, let d(y) represent the distance between the surface of the fluid and the plate at y. Then the force exerted on the plate by the fluid is

F

$$=\int_a^b w\cdot d(y)\cdot \ell(y)\,dy.$$

Example 7.6.2 Finding fluid force

Consider a thin plate in the shape of an isosceles triangle as shown in Figure 7.6.3 submerged in water with a weight–density of 62.4 lb/ft³. If the bottom of the plate is 10 ft below the surface of the water, what is the total fluid force exerted on this plate?

SOLUTION We approach this problem in two different ways to illustrate the different ways Key Idea 7.6.1 can be implemented. First we will let y = 0 represent the surface of the water, then we will consider an alternate convention.

4 ft

Figure 7.6.3: A thin plate in the shape of an isosceles triangle in Example 7.6.2.




Figure 7.6.4: Sketching the triangular plate in Example 7.6.2 with the convention that the water level is at y = 0.




Figure 7.6.5: Sketching the triangular plate in Example 7.6.2 with the convention that the base of the triangle is at (0, 0).

1. We let y = 0 represent the surface of the water; therefore the bottom of the plate is at y = -10. We center the triangle on the *y*-axis as shown in Figure 7.6.4. The depth of the plate at *y* is -y as indicated by the Key Idea. We now consider the length of the plate at *y*.

We need to find equations of the left and right edges of the plate. The right hand side is a line that connects the points (0, -10) and (2, -6): that line has equation x = 1/2(y + 10). (Find the equation in the familiar y = mx+b format and solve for x.) Likewise, the left hand side is described by the line x = -1/2(y + 10). The total length is the distance between these two lines: $\ell(y) = 1/2(y + 10) - (-1/2(y + 10)) = y + 10$.

The total fluid force is then:

$$F = \int_{-10}^{-6} 62.4(-y)(y+10) \, dy$$
$$= 62.4 \cdot \frac{176}{3} \approx 3660.8 \, \text{lb}.$$

2. Sometimes it seems easier to orient the thin plate nearer the origin. For instance, consider the convention that the bottom of the triangular plate is at (0,0), as shown in Figure 7.6.5. The equations of the left and right hand sides are easy to find. They are y = 2x and y = -2x, respectively, which we rewrite as x = 1/2y and x = -1/2y. Thus the length function is $\ell(y) = 1/2y - (-1/2y) = y$.

As the surface of the water is 10 ft above the base of the plate, we have that the surface of the water is at y = 10. Thus the depth function is the distance between y = 10 and y; d(y) = 10 - y. We compute the total fluid force as:

$$\begin{split} {\it F} &= \int_0^4 62.4 (10-y)(y) \; dy \\ &\approx 3660.8 \; {\rm lb}. \end{split}$$

The correct answer is, of course, independent of the placement of the plate in the coordinate plane as long as we are consistent.

Example 7.6.3 Finding fluid force

Find the total fluid force on a car door submerged up to the bottom of its window in water, where the car door is a rectangle 40" long and 27" high (based on the dimensions of a 2005 Fiat Grande Punto.)

SOLUTION The car door, as a rectangle, is drawn in Figure 7.6.6. Its length is 10/3 ft and its height is 2.25 ft. We adopt the convention that the top

of the door is at the surface of the water, both of which are at y = 0. Using the weight–density of water of 62.4 lb/ft³, we have the total force as

$$F = \int_{-2.25}^{0} 62.4(-y) \frac{10}{3} \, dy$$

= $\int_{-2.25}^{0} -208y \, dy$
= $-104y^2 \Big|_{-2.25}^{0}$
= 526.5 lb.

Most adults would find it very difficult to apply over 500 lb of force to a car door while seated inside, making the door effectively impossible to open. This is counter-intuitive as most assume that the door would be relatively easy to open. The truth is that it is not, hence the survival tips mentioned at the beginning of this section.

Example 7.6.4 Finding fluid force

An underwater observation tower is being built with circular viewing portholes enabling visitors to see underwater life. Each vertically oriented porthole is to have a 3 ft diameter whose center is to be located 50 ft underwater. Find the total fluid force exerted on each porthole. Also, compute the fluid force on a horizontally oriented porthole that is under 50 ft of water.

SOLUTION We place the center of the porthole at the origin, meaning the surface of the water is at y = 50 and the depth function will be d(y) = 50-y; see Figure 7.6.7

The equation of a circle with a radius of 1.5 is $x^2 + y^2 = 2.25$; solving for x we have $x = \pm \sqrt{2.25 - y^2}$, where the positive square root corresponds to the right side of the circle and the negative square root corresponds to the left side of the circle. Thus the length function at depth y is $\ell(y) = 2\sqrt{2.25 - y^2}$. Integrating on [-1.5, 1.5] we have:

$$F = 62.4 \int_{-1.5}^{1.5} 2(50 - y)\sqrt{2.25 - y^2} \, dy$$

= $62.4 \int_{-1.5}^{1.5} \left(100\sqrt{2.25 - y^2} - 2y\sqrt{2.25 - y^2}\right) \, dy$
= $6240 \int_{-1.5}^{1.5} \left(\sqrt{2.25 - y^2}\right) \, dy - 62.4 \int_{-1.5}^{1.5} \left(2y\sqrt{2.25 - y^2}\right) \, dy$




Figure 7.6.6: Sketching a submerged car door in Example 7.6.3.




Figure 7.6.7: Measuring the fluid force on an underwater porthole in Example 7.6.4.

The second integral above can be evaluated using substitution. Let $u = 2.25 - y^2$ with $du = -2y \, dy$. The new bounds are: u(-1.5) = 0 and u(1.5) = 0; the new integral will integrate from u = 0 to u = 0, hence the integral is 0.

The first integral above finds the area of half a circle of radius 1.5, thus the first integral evaluates to $6240 \cdot \pi \cdot 1.5^2/2 = 22,054$. Thus the total fluid force on a vertically oriented porthole is 22,054 lb.

Finding the force on a horizontally oriented porthole is more straightforward:

 $F = Pressure \times Area = 62.4 \cdot 50 \times \pi \cdot 1.5^2 = 22,054$ lb.

That these two forces are equal is not coincidental; it turns out that the fluid force applied to a vertically oriented circle whose center is at depth d is the same as force applied to a horizontally oriented circle at depth d.

We end this chapter with a reminder of the true skills meant to be developed here. We are not truly concerned with an ability to find fluid forces or the volumes of solids of revolution. Work done by a variable force is important, though measuring the work done in pulling a rope up a cliff is probably not.

What we are actually concerned with is the ability to solve certain problems by first approximating the solution, then refining the approximation, then recognizing if/when this refining process results in a definite integral through a limit. Knowing the formulas found inside the special boxes within this chapter is beneficial as it helps solve problems found in the exercises, and other mathematical skills are strengthened by properly applying these formulas. However, more importantly, understand how each of these formulas was constructed. Each is the result of a summation of approximations; each summation was a Riemann sum, allowing us to take a limit and find the exact answer through a definite integral.

The next chapter addresses an entirely different topic: sequences and series. In short, a sequence is a list of numbers, where a series is the summation of a list of numbers. These seemingly–simple ideas lead to very powerful mathematics.


Exercises 7.6


Terms and Concepts


- 1. State in your own words Pascal's Principle.
- 2. State in your own words how pressure is different from force.


Problems


In Exercises 3 – 12, find the fluid force exerted on the given plate, submerged in water with a weight density of 62.4 lb/ft^3 .




























In Exercises 13 – 18, the side of a container is pictured. Find the fluid force exerted on this plate when the container is full of:

- 1. water, with a weight density of 62.4 lb/ft³, and
- 2. concrete, with a weight density of 150 lb/ft^3 .

13. 5 ft





- 19. How deep must the center of a vertically oriented circular plate with a radius of 1 ft be submerged in water, with a weight density of 62.4 lb/ft³, for the fluid force on the plate to reach 1,000 lb?
- 20. How deep must the center of a vertically oriented square plate with a side length of 2 ft be submerged in water, with a weight density of 62.4 lb/ft^3 , for the fluid force on the plate to reach 1,000 lb?

8: SEQUENCES AND SERIES

This chapter introduces **sequences** and **series**, important mathematical constructions that are useful when solving a large variety of mathematical problems. The content of this chapter is considerably different from the content of the chapters before it. While the material we learn here definitely falls under the scope of "calculus," we will make very little use of derivatives or integrals. Limits are extremely important, though, especially limits that involve infinity.

One of the problems addressed by this chapter is this: suppose we know information about a function and its derivatives at a point, such as f(1) = 3, f'(1) = 1, f''(1) = -2, f'''(1) = 7, and so on. What can I say about f(x) itself? Is there any reasonable approximation of the value of f(2)? The topic of Taylor Series addresses this problem, and allows us to make excellent approximations of functions when limited knowledge of the function is available.

8.1 Sequences

We commonly refer to a set of events that occur one after the other as a *sequence* of events. In mathematics, we use the word *sequence* to refer to an ordered set of numbers, i.e., a set of numbers that "occur one after the other."

For instance, the numbers 2, 4, 6, 8, ..., form a sequence. The order is important; the first number is 2, the second is 4, etc. It seems natural to seek a formula that describes a given sequence, and often this can be done. For instance, the sequence above could be described by the function a(n) = 2n, for the values of n = 1, 2, ... To find the 10th term in the sequence, we would compute a(10). This leads us to the following, formal definition of a sequence.

Definition 8.1.1 Sequence

A **sequence** is a function a(n) whose domain is \mathbb{N} . The **range** of a sequence is the set of all distinct values of a(n).

The **terms** of a sequence are the values a(1), a(2), ..., which are usually denoted with subscripts as a_1 , a_2 ,

A sequence a(n) is often denoted as $\{a_n\}$.

Notation: We use \mathbb{N} to describe the set of natural numbers, that is, the integers 1, 2, 3, ...

Factorial: The expression 4! refers to the number $4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 24$.

In general, $n! = n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdots 2 \cdot 1$, where *n* is a natural number.

We define 0! = 1. While this does not immediately make sense, it makes many mathematical formulas work properly.




Figure 8.1.1: Plotting sequences in Example 8.1.1.

Example 8.1.1 Listing terms of a sequence

List the first four terms of the following sequences.

1.
$$\{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{3^n}{n!}\right\}$$
 2. $\{a_n\} = \{4 + (-1)^n\}$ 3. $\{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{(-1)^{n(n+1)/2}}{n^2}\right\}$

SOLUTION

1.
$$a_1 = \frac{3^1}{1!} = 3;$$
 $a_2 = \frac{3^2}{2!} = \frac{9}{2};$ $a_3 = \frac{3^3}{3!} = \frac{9}{2};$ $a_4 = \frac{3^4}{4!} = \frac{27}{8}$

We can plot the terms of a sequence with a scatter plot. The "x"-axis is used for the values of n, and the values of the terms are plotted on the y-axis. To visualize this sequence, see Figure 8.1.1(a).

2.
$$a_1 = 4 + (-1)^1 = 3;$$
 $a_2 = 4 + (-1)^2 = 5;$

 $a_3 = 4 + (-1)^3 = 3;$ $a_4 = 4 + (-1)^4 = 5.$ Note that the range of this sequence is finite, consisting of only the values 3 and 5. This sequence is plotted in Figure 8.1.1(b).

3.
$$a_1 = \frac{(-1)^{1(2)/2}}{1^2} = -1;$$
 $a_2 = \frac{(-1)^{2(3)/2}}{2^2} = -\frac{1}{4}$
 $a_3 = \frac{(-1)^{3(4)/2}}{3^2} = \frac{1}{9}$ $a_4 = \frac{(-1)^{4(5)/2}}{4^2} = \frac{1}{16};$
 $a_5 = \frac{(-1)^{5(6)/2}}{5^2} = -\frac{1}{25}.$

We gave one extra term to begin to show the pattern of signs is "-, -, +, +, -, -, \ldots " due to the fact that the exponent of -1 is a special quadratic. This sequence is plotted in Figure 8.1.1(c).

Example 8.1.2 Determining a formula for a sequence

Find the n^{th} term of the following sequences, i.e., find a function that describes each of the given sequences.

2, 5, 8, 11, 14, ...
 2, -5, 10, -17, 26, -37, ...
 1, 1, 2, 6, 24, 120, 720, ...
 ⁵/₂, ⁵/₂, ¹⁵/₈, ⁵/₄, ²⁵/₃₂, ...

SOLUTION We should first note that there is never exactly one function that describes a finite set of numbers as a sequence. There are many sequences that start with 2, then 5, as our first example does. We are looking for a simple formula that describes the terms given, knowing there is possibly more than one answer.

- 1. Note how each term is 3 more than the previous one. This implies a linear function would be appropriate: $a(n) = a_n = 3n + b$ for some appropriate value of *b*. As we want $a_1 = 2$, we set b = -1. Thus $a_n = 3n 1$.
- 2. First notice how the sign changes from term to term. This is most commonly accomplished by multiplying the terms by either $(-1)^n$ or $(-1)^{n+1}$. Using $(-1)^n$ multiplies the odd terms by (-1); using $(-1)^{n+1}$ multiplies the even terms by (-1). As this sequence has negative even terms, we will multiply by $(-1)^{n+1}$.

After this, we might feel a bit stuck as to how to proceed. At this point, we are just looking for a pattern of some sort: what do the numbers 2, 5, 10, 17, etc., have in common? There are many correct answers, but the one that we'll use here is that each is one more than a perfect square. That is, $2 = 1^2 + 1$, $5 = 2^2 + 1$, $10 = 3^2 + 1$, etc. Thus our formula is $a_n = (-1)^{n+1}(n^2 + 1)$.

- 3. One who is familiar with the factorial function will readily recognize these numbers. They are 0!, 1!, 2!, 3!, etc. Since our sequences start with n = 1, we cannot write $a_n = n!$, for this misses the 0! term. Instead, we shift by 1, and write $a_n = (n 1)!$.
- 4. This one may appear difficult, especially as the first two terms are the same, but a little "sleuthing" will help. Notice how the terms in the numerator are always multiples of 5, and the terms in the denominator are always powers of 2. Does something as simple as $a_n = \frac{5n}{2^n}$ work?

When n = 1, we see that we indeed get 5/2 as desired. When n = 2, we get 10/4 = 5/2. Further checking shows that this formula indeed matches the other terms of the sequence.

A common mathematical endeavor is to create a new mathematical object (for instance, a sequence) and then apply previously known mathematics to the new object. We do so here. The fundamental concept of calculus is the limit, so we will investigate what it means to find the limit of a sequence.

Definition 8.1.2 Limit of a Sequence, Convergent, Divergent

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence and let *L* be a real number. Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, if an *m* can be found such that $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$ for all n > m, then we say the **limit of** $\{a_n\}$, as *n* approaches infinity, is *L*, denoted

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = L$$

If $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n$ exists, we say the sequence **converges**; otherwise, the sequence **diverges**.

This definition states, informally, that if the limit of a sequence is *L*, then if you go far enough out along the sequence, all subsequent terms will be *really close* to *L*. Of course, the terms "far enough" and "really close" are subjective terms, but hopefully the intent is clear.

This definition is reminiscent of the ε - δ proofs of Chapter 1. In that chapter we developed other tools to evaluate limits apart from the formal definition; we do so here as well.

```
Theorem 8.1.1 Limit of a Sequence
Let \{a_n\} be a sequence and let f(x) be a function whose domain contains
the positive real numbers where f(n) = a_n for all n in \mathbb{N}.
If \lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = L, then \lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = L.
```

Theorem 8.1.1 allows us, in certain cases, to apply the tools developed in Chapter 1 to limits of sequences. Note two things *not* stated by the theorem:

1. If $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x)$ does not exist, we cannot conclude that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n$ does not exist. It may, or may not, exist. For instance, we can define a sequence $\{a_n\} = \{\cos(2\pi n)\}$. Let $f(x) = \cos(2\pi x)$. Since the cosine function oscillates over the real numbers, the limit $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x)$ does not exist.

However, for every positive integer n, $\cos(2\pi n) = 1$, so $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 1$.

2. If we cannot find a function f(x) whose domain contains the positive real numbers where $f(n) = a_n$ for all n in \mathbb{N} , we cannot conclude $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n$ does not exist. It may, or may not, exist.

Example 8.1.3 Determining convergence/divergence of a sequence Determine the convergence or divergence of the following sequences.

1.
$$\{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{3n^2 - 2n + 1}{n^2 - 1000}\right\}$$
 2. $\{a_n\} = \{\cos n\}$ 3. $\{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{(-1)^n}{n}\right\}$

SOLUTION

- 1. Using Theorem 1.6.1, we can state that $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{3x^2 2x + 1}{x^2 1000} = 3$. (We could have also directly applied l'Hôpital's Rule.) Thus the sequence $\{a_n\}$ converges, and its limit is 3. A scatter plot of every 5 values of a_n is given in Figure 8.1.2 (a). The values of a_n vary widely near n = 30, ranging from about -73 to 125, but as n grows, the values approach 3.
- 2. The limit $\lim_{x\to\infty} \cos x$ does not exist as $\cos x$ oscillates (and takes on every value in [-1,1] infinitely many times). Thus we cannot apply Theorem 8.1.1.

The fact that the cosine function oscillates strongly hints that $\cos n$, when n is restricted to \mathbb{N} , will also oscillate. Figure 8.1.2 (b), where the sequence is plotted, implies that this is true. Because only discrete values of cosine are plotted, it does not bear strong resemblance to the familiar cosine wave. The proof of the following statement is beyond the scope of this text, but it is true: there are infinitely many integers n that are arbitrarily (i.e., *very*) close to an even multiple of π , so that $\cos n \approx 1$. Similarly, there are infinitely many integers m that are arbitrarily close to an odd multiple of π , so that $\cos m \approx -1$. As the sequence takes on values near 1 and -1 infinitely many times, we conclude that $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n$ does not exist.

3. We cannot actually apply Theorem 8.1.1 here, as the function $f(x) = (-1)^x/x$ is not well defined. (What does $(-1)^{\sqrt{2}}$ mean? In actuality, there is an answer, but it involves *complex analysis*, beyond the scope of this text.)

Instead, we invoke the definition of the limit of a sequence. By looking at the plot in Figure 8.1.2 (c), we would like to conclude that the sequence converges to L = 0. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We can find a natural number m




Figure 8.1.2: Scatter plots of the sequences in Example 8.1.3.

such that $1/m < \varepsilon$. Let n > m, and consider $|a_n - L|$:

$$a_n - L| = \left| \frac{(-1)^n}{n} - 0 \right|$$
$$= \frac{1}{n}$$
$$< \frac{1}{m} \quad (\text{since } n > m)$$
$$< \varepsilon.$$

We have shown that by picking *m* large enough, we can ensure that a_n is arbitrarily close to our limit, L = 0, hence by the definition of the limit of a sequence, we can say $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$.

In the previous example we used the definition of the limit of a sequence to determine the convergence of a sequence as we could not apply Theorem 8.1.1. In general, we like to avoid invoking the definition of a limit, and the following theorem gives us tool that we could use in that example instead.

Theorem 8.1.2	Absolute Value Theorem
Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequ	uence. If $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$

Example 8.1.4 Determining the convergence/divergence of a sequence Determine the convergence or divergence of the following sequences.

1.
$$\{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{(-1)^n}{n}\right\}$$
 2. $\{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{(-1)^n(n+1)}{n}\right\}$

SOLUTION

This appeared in Example 8.1.3. We want to apply Theorem 8.1.2, so consider the limit of {|a_n|}:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \right|$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n}$$
$$= 0.$$

Since this limit is 0, we can apply Theorem 8.1.2 and state that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$.

2. Because of the alternating nature of this sequence (i.e., every other term is multiplied by -1), we cannot simply look at the limit $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{(-1)^x(x+1)}{x}$. We can try to apply the techniques of Theorem 8.1.2:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{(-1)^n (n+1)}{n} \right|$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n+1}{n}$$
$$= 1.$$

We have concluded that when we ignore the alternating sign, the sequence approaches 1. This means we cannot apply Theorem 8.1.2; it states the the limit must be 0 in order to conclude anything.

Since we know that the signs of the terms alternate and we know that the limit of $|a_n|$ is 1, we know that as *n* approaches infinity, the terms will alternate between values close to 1 and -1, meaning the sequence diverges. A plot of this sequence is given in Figure 8.1.3.

We continue our study of the limits of sequences by considering some of the properties of these limits.

Theorem 8.1.3 **Properties of the Limits of Sequences**

Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be sequences such that $\lim_{n o \infty} a_n = L$, $\lim_{n o \infty} b_n = K$, and let c be a real number.

1.
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (a_n \pm b_n) = L \pm K$$

2. $\lim_{n \to \infty} (a_n \cdot b_n) = L \cdot K$
3. $\lim_{n \to \infty} (a_n/b_n) = L/K, K \neq 0$
4. $\lim_{n \to \infty} c \cdot a_n = c \cdot L$

Example 8.1.5 Applying properties of limits of sequences Let the following sequences, and their limits, be given:

•
$$\{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{n+1}{n^2}\right\}$$
, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$;
• $\{b_n\} = \left\{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n\right\}$, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = e$; and
• $\{c_n\} = \left\{n \cdot \sin(5/n)\right\}$, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} c_n = 5$.

•
$$\{c_n\} = \{n \cdot \sin(5/n)\}$$
, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} c_n = 1$




Figure 8.1.3: A plot of a sequence in Example 8.1.4, part 2.

Evaluate the following limits.

1.
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (a_n + b_n)$$
 2. $\lim_{n \to \infty} (b_n \cdot c_n)$ 3. $\lim_{n \to \infty} (1000 \cdot a_n)$

SOLUTION We will use Theorem 8.1.3 to answer each of these.

- 1. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = e$, we conclude that $\lim_{n\to\infty} (a_n + b_n) = 0 + e = e$. So even though we are adding something to each term of the sequence b_n , we are adding something so small that the final limit is the same as before.
- 2. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = e$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} c_n = 5$, we conclude that $\lim_{n\to\infty} (b_n \cdot c_n) = e \cdot 5 = 5e$.
- 3. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} 1000a_n = 1000 \cdot 0 = 0$. It does not matter that we multiply each term by 1000; the sequence still approaches 0. (It just takes longer to get close to 0.)

There is more to learn about sequences than just their limits. We will also study their range and the relationships terms have with the terms that follow. We start with some definitions describing properties of the range.

Definition 8.1.3 Bounded and Unbounded Sequences

A sequence $\{a_n\}$ is said to be **bounded** if there exist real numbers *m* and *M* such that $m < a_n < M$ for all *n* in \mathbb{N} .

A sequence $\{a_n\}$ is said to be **unbounded** if it is not bounded.

A sequence $\{a_n\}$ is said to be **bounded above** if there exists an M such that $a_n < M$ for all n in \mathbb{N} ; it is **bounded below** if there exists an m such that $m < a_n$ for all n in \mathbb{N} .

It follows from this definition that an unbounded sequence may be bounded above or bounded below; a sequence that is both bounded above and below is simply a bounded sequence.

Example 8.1.6 Determining boundedness of sequences Determine the boundedness of the following sequences.

1.
$$\{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{1}{n}\right\}$$
 2. $\{a_n\} = \{2^n\}$

SOLUTION

- 1. The terms of this sequence are always positive but are decreasing, so we have $0 < a_n < 2$ for all *n*. Thus this sequence is bounded. Figure 8.1.4(a) illustrates this.
- 2. The terms of this sequence obviously grow without bound. However, it is also true that these terms are all positive, meaning $0 < a_n$. Thus we can say the sequence is unbounded, but also bounded below. Figure 8.1.4(b) illustrates this.

The previous example produces some interesting concepts. First, we can recognize that the sequence $\{1/n\}$ converges to 0. This says, informally, that "most" of the terms of the sequence are "really close" to 0. This implies that the sequence is bounded, using the following logic. First, "most" terms are near 0, so we could find some sort of bound on these terms (using Definition 8.1.2, the bound is ε). That leaves a "few" terms that are not near 0 (i.e., a *finite* number of terms). A finite list of numbers is always bounded.

This logic implies that if a sequence converges, it must be bounded. This is indeed true, as stated by the following theorem.

Theorem 8.1.4 Convergent Sequences are Bounded

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a convergent sequence. Then $\{a_n\}$ is bounded.

In Example 8.1.5 we saw the sequence $\{b_n\} = \{(1 + 1/n)^n\}$, where it was stated that $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = e$. (Note that this is simply restating part of Theorem 1.3.5.) Even though it may be difficult to intuitively grasp the behavior of this sequence, we know immediately that it is bounded.

Another interesting concept to come out of Example 8.1.6 again involves the sequence $\{1/n\}$. We stated, without proof, that the terms of the sequence were decreasing. That is, that $a_{n+1} < a_n$ for all n. (This is easy to show. Clearly n < n + 1. Taking reciprocals flips the inequality: 1/n > 1/(n + 1). This is the same as $a_n > a_{n+1}$.) Sequences that either steadily increase or decrease are important, so we give this property a name.

Notes:

Note: Keep in mind what Theorem 8.1.4 does *not* say. It does not say that bounded sequences must converge, nor does it say that if a sequence does not converge, it is not bounded.




Figure 8.1.4: A plot of $\{a_n\} = \{1/n\}$ and $\{a_n\} = \{2^n\}$ from Example 8.1.6.

Note: It is sometimes useful to call a monotonically increasing sequence *strictly increasing* if $a_n < a_{n+1}$ for all n; i.e, we remove the possibility that subsequent terms are equal. A similar statement holds for *strictly de*-

creasing.




Figure 8.1.5: A plot of $\{a_n\} = \{(n + 1)/n\}$ in Example 8.1.7.

Definition 8.1.4 Monotonic Sequences

1. A sequence $\{a_n\}$ is monotonically increasing if $a_n \leq a_{n+1}$ for all n, i.e.,

$$a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3 \leq \cdots a_n \leq a_{n+1} \cdots$$

A sequence {a_n} is monotonically decreasing if a_n ≥ a_{n+1} for all n, i.e.,

$$a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \geq \cdots = a_n \geq a_{n+1} \cdots$$

3. A sequence is **monotonic** if it is monotonically increasing or monotonically decreasing.

Example 8.1.7 Determining monotonicity

Determine the monotonicity of the following sequences.

1.
$$\{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{n+1}{n}\right\}$$

2. $\{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{n^2 - 9}{n^2 - 10n + 26}\right\}$
4. $\{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{n^2}{n!}\right\}$

SOLUTION In each of the following, we will examine $a_{n+1}-a_n$. If $a_{n+1}-a_n \ge 0$, we conclude that $a_n \le a_{n+1}$ and hence the sequence is increasing. If $a_{n+1}-a_n \le 0$, we conclude that $a_n \ge a_{n+1}$ and the sequence is decreasing. Of course, a sequence need not be monotonic and perhaps neither of the above will apply.

We also give a scatter plot of each sequence. These are useful as they suggest a pattern of monotonicity, but analytic work should be done to confirm a graphical trend.

1.

$$a_{n+1} - a_n = \frac{n+2}{n+1} - \frac{n+1}{n}$$

$$= \frac{(n+2)(n) - (n+1)^2}{(n+1)n}$$

$$= \frac{-1}{n(n+1)}$$
< 0 for all n.

Since $a_{n+1} - a_n < 0$ for all *n*, we conclude that the sequence is decreasing.

$$a_{n+1} - a_n = \frac{(n+1)^2 + 1}{n+2} - \frac{n^2 + 1}{n+1}$$

= $\frac{((n+1)^2 + 1)(n+1) - (n^2 + 1)(n+2)}{(n+1)(n+2)}$
= $\frac{n^2 + 4n + 1}{(n+1)(n+2)}$
> 0 for all n.

Since $a_{n+1} - a_n > 0$ for all *n*, we conclude the sequence is increasing; see Figure 8.1.6(a).

3. We can clearly see in Figure 8.1.6(b), where the sequence is plotted, that it is not monotonic. However, it does seem that after the first 4 terms it is decreasing. To understand why, perform the same analysis as done before:

$$a_{n+1} - a_n = \frac{(n+1)^2 - 9}{(n+1)^2 - 10(n+1) + 26} - \frac{n^2 - 9}{n^2 - 10n + 26}$$

= $\frac{n^2 + 2n - 8}{n^2 - 8n + 17} - \frac{n^2 - 9}{n^2 - 10n + 26}$
= $\frac{(n^2 + 2n - 8)(n^2 - 10n + 26) - (n^2 - 9)(n^2 - 8n + 17)}{(n^2 - 8n + 17)(n^2 - 10n + 26)}$
= $\frac{-10n^2 + 60n - 55}{(n^2 - 8n + 17)(n^2 - 10n + 26)}$.

We want to know when this is greater than, or less than, 0. The denominator is always positive, therefore we are only concerned with the numerator. For small values of *n*, the numerator is positive. As *n* grows large, the numerator is dominated by $-10n^2$, meaning the entire fraction will be negative; i.e., for large enough *n*, $a_{n+1} - a_n < 0$. Using the quadratic formula we can determine that the numerator is negative for $n \ge 5$.

In short, the sequence is simply not monotonic, though it is useful to note that for $n \ge 5$, the sequence is monotonically decreasing.

 Again, the plot in Figure 8.1.6(c) shows that the sequence is not monotonic, but it suggests that it is monotonically decreasing after the first term. We perform the usual analysis to confirm this.

$$a_{n+1} - a_n = \frac{(n+1)^2}{(n+1)!} - \frac{n^2}{n!}$$
$$= \frac{(n+1)^2 - n^2(n+1)}{(n+1)!}$$
$$= \frac{-n^3 + 2n + 1}{(n+1)!}$$





Figure 8.1.6: Plots of sequences in Example 8.1.7.

When n = 1, the above expression is > 0; for $n \ge 2$, the above expression is < 0. Thus this sequence is not monotonic, but it is monotonically decreasing after the first term.

Knowing that a sequence is monotonic can be useful. Consider, for example, a sequence that is monotonically decreasing and is bounded below. We know the sequence is always getting smaller, but that there is a bound to how small it can become. This is enough to prove that the sequence will converge, as stated in the following theorem.



Consider once again the sequence $\{a_n\} = \{1/n\}$. It is easy to show it is monotonically decreasing and that it is always positive (i.e., bounded below by 0). Therefore we can conclude by Theorem 8.1.5 that the sequence converges. We already knew this by other means, but in the following section this theorem will become very useful.

We can replace Theorem 8.1.5 with the statement "Let $\{a_n\}$ be a bounded, monotonic sequence. Then $\{a_n\}$ converges; i.e., $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n$ exists." We leave it to the reader in the exercises to show the theorem and the above statement are equivalent.

Sequences are a great source of mathematical inquiry. The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (http://oeis.org) contains thousands of sequences and their formulae. (As of this writing, there are 297,573 sequences in the database.) Perusing this database quickly demonstrates that a single sequence can represent several different "real life" phenomena.

Interesting as this is, our interest actually lies elsewhere. We are more interested in the *sum* of a sequence. That is, given a sequence $\{a_n\}$, we are very interested in $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \cdots$. Of course, one might immediately counter with "Doesn't this just add up to 'infinity'?" Many times, yes, but there are many important cases where the answer is no. This is the topic of *series*, which we begin to investigate in the next section.

Exercises 8.1

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Use your own words to define a sequence.
- 2. The domain of a sequence is the _____ numbers.
- 3. Use your own words to describe the *range* of a sequence.
- 4. Describe what it means for a sequence to be *bounded*.

Problems

In Exercises 5 - 8, give the first five terms of the given sequence.

5.
$$\{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{4^n}{(n+1)!}\right\}$$

6. $\{b_n\} = \left\{\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)^n\right\}$
7. $\{c_n\} = \left\{-\frac{n^{n+1}}{n+2}\right\}$
8. $\{d_n\} = \left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n - \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n\right)\right\}$

In Exercises 9 – 12, determine the n^{th} term of the given sequence.

9. 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, . . .

10. 3,
$$-\frac{3}{2}$$
, $\frac{3}{4}$, $-\frac{3}{8}$, ...

- 11. 10, 20, 40, 80, 160, ...
- 12. 1, 1, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{6}$, $\frac{1}{24}$, $\frac{1}{120}$, ...

In Exercises 13 – 16, use the following information to determine the limit of the given sequences.

•
$$\{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{2^n - 20}{2^n}\right\};$$
 $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 1$
• $\{b_n\} = \left\{\left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right)^n\right\};$ $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = e^2$
• $\{c_n\} = \{\sin(3/n)\};$ $\lim_{n \to \infty} c_n = 0$
13. $\{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{2^n - 20}{7 \cdot 2^n}\right\}$
14. $\{a_n\} = \{3b_n - a_n\}$
15. $\{a_n\} = \left\{\sin(3/n)\left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right)^n\right\}$

$$16. \ \{a_n\} = \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right)^{2n} \right\}$$

,

In Exercises 17 - 28, determine whether the sequence converges or diverges. If convergent, give the limit of the sequence.

17.
$$\{a_n\} = \left\{ (-1)^n \frac{n}{n+1} \right\}$$

18. $\{a_n\} = \left\{ \frac{4n^2 - n + 5}{3n^2 + 1} \right\}$
19. $\{a_n\} = \left\{ \frac{4^n}{5^n} \right\}$
20. $\{a_n\} = \left\{ \frac{n-1}{n} - \frac{n}{n-1} \right\}, n \ge 2$
21. $\{a_n\} = \left\{ \ln(n) \right\}$
22. $\{a_n\} = \left\{ \frac{3n}{\sqrt{n^2 + 1}} \right\}$
23. $\{a_n\} = \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \right\}$
24. $\{a_n\} = \left\{ 5 - \frac{1}{n} \right\}$
25. $\{a_n\} = \left\{ \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \right\}$
26. $\{a_n\} = \left\{ \frac{1 \cdot 1^n}{n} \right\}$
27. $\{a_n\} = \left\{ \frac{2n}{n+1} \right\}$
28. $\{a_n\} = \left\{ (-1)^n \frac{n^2}{2^n - 1} \right\}$

In Exercises 29 - 34, determine whether the sequence is bounded, bounded above, bounded below, or none of the above.

29. $\{a_n\} = \{\sin n\}$ 30. $\{a_n\} = \{\tan n\}$ 31. $\{a_n\} = \left\{ (-1)^n \frac{3n-1}{n} \right\}$ 32. $\{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{3n^2 - 1}{n}\right\}$ 33. $\{a_n\} = \{n \cos n\}$

34.
$$\{a_n\} = \{2^n - n!\}$$

In Exercises 35 – 38, determine whether the sequence is monotonically increasing or decreasing. If it is not, determine if there is an m such that it is monotonic for all $n \ge m$.

$$35. \ \{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{n}{n+2}\right\}$$

$$36. \ \{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{n^2 - 6n + 9}{n}\right\}$$

37.
$$\{a_n\} = \left\{ (-1)^n \frac{1}{n^3} \right\}$$

$$38. \ \{a_n\} = \left\{\frac{n^2}{2^n}\right\}$$

Exercises 39 – 42 explore further the theory of sequences.

39. Prove Theorem 8.1.2; that is, use the definition of the limit of a sequence to show that if $\lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n| = 0$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n =$

- 0.
- 40. Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be sequences such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = L$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = K$.
 - (a) Show that if $a_n < b_n$ for all n, then $L \leq K$.
 - (b) Give an example where L = K.
- 41. Prove the Squeeze Theorem for sequences: Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = L$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = L$, and let $\{c_n\}$ be such that $a_n \leq c_n \leq b_n$ for all n. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} c_n = L$
- 42. Prove the statement "Let $\{a_n\}$ be a bounded, monotonic sequence. Then $\{a_n\}$ converges; i.e., $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n$ exists." is equivalent to Theorem 8.1.5. That is,
 - (a) Show that if Theorem 8.1.5 is true, then above statement is true, and
 - (b) Show that if the above statement is true, then Theorem 8.1.5 is true.

8.2 Infinite Series

Given the sequence $\{a_n\} = \{1/2^n\} = 1/2, 1/4, 1/8, \ldots$, consider the following sums:

<i>a</i> ₁	=	1/2	=	1/2
$a_1 + a_2$	=	1/2 + 1/4	=	3/4
$a_1 + a_2 + a_3$	=	1/2 + 1/4 + 1/8	=	7/8
$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4$	=	1/2 + 1/4 + 1/8 + 1/16	=	15/16

In general, we can show that

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \cdots + a_n = \frac{2^n - 1}{2^n} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Let S_n be the sum of the first *n* terms of the sequence $\{1/2^n\}$. From the above, we see that $S_1 = 1/2$, $S_2 = 3/4$, etc. Our formula at the end shows that $S_n = 1 - 1/2^n$.

Now consider the following limit: $\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} (1-1/2^n) = 1$. This limit can be interpreted as saying something amazing: the sum of all the terms of the sequence $\{1/2^n\}$ is 1.

This example illustrates some interesting concepts that we explore in this section. We begin this exploration with some definitions.

Definition 8.2.1Infinite Series, n^{th} Partial Sums, Convergence,
DivergenceLet $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence.1. The sum $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is an infinite series (or, simply series).2. Let $S_n = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ i=1}}^{n} a_i$; the sequence $\{S_n\}$ is the sequence of n^{th} partial
sums of $\{a_n\}$.3. If the sequence $\{S_n\}$ converges to L, we say the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges to L, and we write $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = L$.4. If the sequence $\{S_n\}$ diverges, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges.

Using our new terminology, we can state that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/2^n$ converges,

and
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/2^n = 1.$$

We will explore a variety of series in this section. We start with two series that diverge, showing how we might discern divergence.

Example 8.2.1 Showing series diverge

1. Let
$$\{a_n\} = \{n^2\}$$
. Show $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges.
2. Let $\{b_n\} = \{(-1)^{n+1}\}$. Show $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ diverges.

SOLUTION

1. Consider S_n , the n^{th} partial sum.

 $S_n = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \dots + a_n$ = $1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 \dots + n^2$.

By Theorem 5.3.1, this is

$$=\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}.$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n = \infty$, we conclude that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2$ diverges. It is instructive to write $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 = \infty$ for this tells us *how* the series diverges: it

grows without bound.

A scatter plot of the sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{S_n\}$ is given in Figure 8.2.1(a). The terms of $\{a_n\}$ are growing, so the terms of the partial sums $\{S_n\}$ are growing even faster, illustrating that the series diverges.

2. The sequence $\{b_n\}$ starts with 1, -1, 1, -1, Consider some of the partial sums S_n of $\{b_n\}$:

$$S_1 = 1$$

 $S_2 = 0$
 $S_3 = 1$
 $S_4 = 0$

This pattern repeats; we find that $S_n = \begin{cases} 1 & n \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$. As $\{S_n\}$ oscillates, repeating 1, 0, 1, 0, ..., we conclude that $\lim_{n \to \infty} S_n$ does not exist,

hence
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1}$$
 diverges.

A scatter plot of the sequence $\{b_n\}$ and the partial sums $\{S_n\}$ is given in Figure 8.2.1(b). When *n* is odd, $b_n = S_n$ so the marks for b_n are drawn oversized to show they coincide.

While it is important to recognize when a series diverges, we are generally more interested in the series that converge. In this section we will demonstrate a few general techniques for determining convergence; later sections will delve deeper into this topic.

Geometric Series

One important type of series is a geometric series.

Definition 8.2.2 Geometric Series

A geometric series is a series of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n = 1 + r + r^2 + r^3 + \dots + r^n + \dots$$

Note that the index starts at n = 0, not n = 1.

We started this section with a geometric series, although we dropped the first term of 1. One reason geometric series are important is that they have nice convergence properties.




Figure 8.2.1: Scatter plots relating to Example 8.2.1.

Theorem 8.2.1 Geometric Series Test Consider the geometric series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n$. 1. The *n*th partial sum is: $S_n = \frac{1 - r^{n+1}}{1 - r}$, $r \neq 1$. 2. The series converges if, and only if, |r| < 1. When |r| < 1, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n = \frac{1}{1 - r}$.

According to Theorem 8.2.1, the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \cdots$$

converges as r = 1/2, and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{1}{1-1/2} = 2$. This concurs with our introductory example; while there we got a sum of 1, we skipped the first term of 1.

Example 8.2.2 Exploring geometric series

Check the convergence of the following series. If the series converges, find its sum.

1.
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n$$
 2. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-1}{2}\right)^n$ 3. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 3^n$

SOLUTION

1. Since r = 3/4 < 1, this series converges. By Theorem 8.2.1, we have that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n = \frac{1}{1-3/4} = 4.$$

However, note the subscript of the summation in the given series: we are to start with n = 2. Therefore we subtract off the first two terms, giving:

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n = 4 - 1 - \frac{3}{4} = \frac{9}{4}$$

This is illustrated in Figure 8.2.2.




Figure 8.2.2: Scatter plots relating to the series in Example 8.2.2.

2. Since |r| = 1/2 < 1, this series converges, and by Theorem 8.2.1,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-1}{2}\right)^n = \frac{1}{1 - (-1/2)} = \frac{2}{3}$$

The partial sums of this series are plotted in Figure 8.2.3(a). Note how the partial sums are not purely increasing as some of the terms of the sequence $\{(-1/2)^n\}$ are negative.

3. Since r > 1, the series diverges. (This makes "common sense"; we expect the sum

 $1 + 3 + 9 + 27 + 81 + 243 + \cdots$

to diverge.) This is illustrated in Figure 8.2.3(b).

p–Series

Another important type of series is the *p*-series.

Definition 8.2.3 *p***–Series, General** *p***–Series**

1. A *p*-series is a series of the form


$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}$$
, where $p > 0$.


2. A general *p*-series is a series of the form


 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(an+b)^p}, \qquad \text{where } p > 0 \text{ and } a, b \text{ are real numbers.}$$

Like geometric series, one of the nice things about p–series is that they have easy to determine convergence properties.







(b)

Note: Theorem 8.2.2 assumes that $an + b \neq 0$ for all *n*. If an + b = 0 for some *n*, then of course the series does not converge regardless of *p* as not all of the terms of the sequence are defined.

Example 8.2.3 Determining convergence of series Determine the convergence of the following series.

1. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ 3. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ 5. $\sum_{n=11}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{2}n-5)^3}$ 2. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$ 4. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$ 6. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n}$

SOLUTION

1. This is a *p*-series with p = 1. By Theorem 8.2.2, this series diverges.

This series is a famous series, called the *Harmonic Series*, so named because of its relationship to *harmonics* in the study of music and sound.

- 2. This is a *p*-series with p = 2. By Theorem 8.2.2, it converges. Note that the theorem does not give a formula by which we can determine *what* the series converges to; we just know it converges. A famous, unexpected result is that this series converges to $\pi^2/6$.
- 3. This is a *p*-series with p = 1/2; the theorem states that it diverges.
- 4. This is not a *p*-series; the definition does not allow for alternating signs. Therefore we cannot apply Theorem 8.2.2. (Another famous result states that this series, the *Alternating Harmonic Series*, converges to ln 2.)
- 5. This is a general *p*-series with p = 3, therefore it converges.
- 6. This is not a *p*-series, but a geometric series with r = 1/2. It converges.

Later sections will provide tests by which we can determine whether or not a given series converges. This, in general, is much easier than determining *what* a given series converges to. There are many cases, though, where the sum can be determined.

Example 8.2.4 Telescoping series Evaluate the sum $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)$.

It will help to write down some of the first few partial sums

Notes:

SOLUTION

of this series.

$$S_{1} = \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}$$

$$S_{2} = \left(\frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{3}$$

$$S_{3} = \left(\frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4}\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{4}$$

$$S_{4} = \left(\frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{5}\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{5}$$

Note how most of the terms in each partial sum are canceled out! In general, we see that $S_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$. The sequence $\{S_n\}$ converges, as $\lim_{n \to \infty} S_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) = 1$, and so we conclude that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) = 1$. Partial sums of the series are plotted in Figure 8.2.4.

The series in Example 8.2.4 is an example of a **telescoping series**. Informally, a telescoping series is one in which most terms cancel with preceding or following terms, reducing the number of terms in each partial sum. The partial sum S_n did not contain n terms, but rather just two: 1 and 1/(n + 1).

When possible, seek a way to write an explicit formula for the n^{th} partial sum S_n . This makes evaluating the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n$ much more approachable. We do so in the next example.

Example 8.2.5 Evaluating series

Evaluate each of the following infinite series.

1.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n^2 + 2n}$$
 2.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)$$

SOLUTION

1. We can decompose the fraction $2/(n^2 + 2n)$ as

$$\frac{2}{n^2+2n}=\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{n+2}.$$

(See Section 6.5, Partial Fraction Decomposition, to recall how this is done, if necessary.)




Figure 8.2.4: Scatter plots relating to the series of Example 8.2.4.

ν 1.5 1 0.5 2 4 6 8 10 • a_n • Sn (a) 4 2 n 50 100 o a_n • S_n (b)

Figure 8.2.5: Scatter plots relating to the series in Example 8.2.5.

Expressing the terms of $\{S_n\}$ is now more instructive:

$$S_{1} = 1 - \frac{1}{3} = 1 - \frac{1}{3}$$

$$S_{2} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4}$$

$$S_{3} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{5}\right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{5}$$

$$S_{4} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{5}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{6}\right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{6}$$

$$S_{5} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{5}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{6}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{7}\right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{7}$$

We again have a telescoping series. In each partial sum, most of the terms cancel and we obtain the formula $S_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+2}$. Taking limits allows us to determine the convergence of the series:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+2} \right) = \frac{3}{2}, \text{ so } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 + 2n} = \frac{3}{2}.$$

This is illustrated in Figure 8.2.5(a).

2. We begin by writing the first few partial sums of the series:

$$\begin{split} S_1 &= \ln{(2)} \\ S_2 &= \ln{(2)} + \ln{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)} \\ S_3 &= \ln{(2)} + \ln{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)} + \ln{\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)} \\ S_4 &= \ln{(2)} + \ln{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)} + \ln{\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)} + \ln{\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)} \end{split}$$


At first, this does not seem helpful, but recall the logarithmic identity: $\ln x + \ln y = \ln(xy)$. Applying this to S₄ gives:

$$S_4 = \ln\left(2\right) + \ln\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{5}{4}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{5}{4}\right) = \ln\left(5\right).$$


We can conclude that $\{S_n\} = \{\ln(n+1)\}$. This sequence does not converge, as $\lim_{n \to \infty} S_n = \infty$. Therefore $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) = \infty$; the series diverges. Note in Figure 8.2.5(b) how the sequence of partial sums grows


slowly; after 100 terms, it is not yet over 5. Graphically we may be fooled into thinking the series converges, but our analysis above shows that it does not.


We are learning about a new mathematical object, the series. As done before, we apply "old" mathematics to this new topic.



Before using this theorem, we provide a few "famous" series.







Example 8.2.6 Evaluating series

Evaluate the given series.

1.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}(n^2-n)}{n^3}$$
 2. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1000}{n!}$ 3. $\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{25} + \frac{1}{36} + \frac{1}{49} + \cdots$

SOLUTION

1. We start by using algebra to break the series apart:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} (n^2 - n)}{n^3} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(-1)^{n+1} n^2}{n^3} - \frac{(-1)^{n+1} n}{n^3} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^2}$$
$$= \ln(2) - \frac{\pi^2}{12} \approx -0.1293.$$

This is illustrated in Figure 8.2.6(a).

2. This looks very similar to the series that involves *e* in Key Idea 8.2.1. Note, however, that the series given in this example starts with n = 1 and not n = 0. The first term of the series in the Key Idea is 1/0! = 1, so we will subtract this from our result below:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1000}{n!} = 1000 \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}$$
$$= 1000 \cdot (e-1) \approx 1718.28$$

This is illustrated in Figure 8.2.6(b). The graph shows how this particular series converges very rapidly.

3. The denominators in each term are perfect squares; we are adding $\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$ (note we start with n = 4, not n = 1). This series will converge. Using the

formula from Key Idea 8.2.1, we have the following:


$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{3} \frac{1}{n^2} + \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$$
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} - \sum_{n=1}^{3} \frac{1}{n^2} = \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$$
$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \left(\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9}\right) = \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$$
$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{49}{36} = \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$$
$$0.2838 \approx \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$$

It may take a while before one is comfortable with this statement, whose truth lies at the heart of the study of infinite series: *it is possible that the sum of an infinite list of nonzero numbers is finite.* We have seen this repeatedly in this section, yet it still may "take some getting used to."

As one contemplates the behavior of series, a few facts become clear.

- In order to add an infinite list of nonzero numbers and get a finite result, "most" of those numbers must be "very near" 0.
- 2. If a series diverges, it means that the sum of an infinite list of numbers is not finite (it may approach $\pm \infty$ or it may oscillate), and:
 - (a) The series will still diverge if the first term is removed.
 - (b) The series will still diverge if the first 10 terms are removed.
 - (c) The series will still diverge if the first 1,000,000 terms are removed.
 - (d) The series will still diverge if any finite number of terms from anywhere in the series are removed.

These concepts are very important and lie at the heart of the next two theorems.



Important! This theorem *does not state* that if $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$ then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$

converges. The standard example of this is the Harmonic Series, as given in Key Idea 8.2.1. The Harmonic Sequence, $\{1/n\}$, converges to 0; the Harmonic Series, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$$
, diverges

Looking back, we can apply this theorem to the series in Example 8.2.1. In that example, the n^{th} terms of both sequences do not converge to 0, therefore we can quickly conclude that each series diverges.

One can rewrite Theorem 8.2.4 to state "If a series converges, then the underlying sequence converges to 0." While it is important to understand the truth of this statement, in practice it is rarely used. It is generally far easier to prove the convergence of a sequence than the convergence of a series.

Theorem 8.2.5 Infinite Nature of Series

The convergence or divergence of an infinite series remains unchanged by the addition or subtraction of any finite number of terms. That is:

- 1. A divergent series will remain divergent with the addition or subtraction of any finite number of terms.
- A convergent series will remain convergent with the addition or subtraction of any finite number of terms. (Of course, the *sum* will likely change.)

Consider once more the Harmonic Series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ which diverges; that is, the

sequence of partial sums $\{S_n\}$ grows (very, very slowly) without bound. One might think that by removing the "large" terms of the sequence that perhaps the series will converge. This is simply not the case. For instance, the sum of the first 10 million terms of the Harmonic Series is about 16.7. Removing the first 10 million terms from the Harmonic Series changes the n^{th} partial sums, effectively subtracting 16.7 from the sum. However, a sequence that is growing without bound will still grow without bound when 16.7 is subtracted from it.

The equations below illustrate this. The first line shows the infinite sum of the Harmonic Series split into the sum of the first 10 million terms plus the sum of "everything else." The next equation shows us subtracting these first 10 million terms from both sides. The final equation employs a bit of "psuedo-math":

subtracting 16.7 from "infinity" still leaves one with "infinity."

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{10,000,000} \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{n=10,000,001}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$$
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} - \sum_{n=1}^{10,000,000} \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{n=10,000,001}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$$
$$\infty - 16.7 = \infty.$$

This section introduced us to series and defined a few special types of series whose convergence properties are well known: we know when a *p*-series or a geometric series converges or diverges. Most series that we encounter are not one of these types, but we are still interested in knowing whether or not they converge. The next three sections introduce tests that help us determine whether or not a given series converges.

Exercises 8.2

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Use your own words to describe how sequences and series are related.
- 2. Use your own words to define a *partial sum*.
- 3. Given a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$, describe the two sequences related to the series that are important.
- 4. Use your own words to explain what a geometric series is.

5. T/F: If
$$\{a_n\}$$
 is convergent, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is also convergent.

6. T/F: If
$$\{a_n\}$$
 converges to 0, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.

Problems

- In Exercises 7 14, a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is given.
 - (a) Give the first 5 partial sums of the series.
 - (b) Give a graph of the first 5 terms of a_n and S_n on the same axes.

7.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$$

8.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$$

9.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(\pi n)$$

10.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n$$

11.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}$$

12.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^n}$$

432

13.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{9}{10}\right)^n$$

14.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^n$$

In Exercises 15 – 20, use Theorem 8.2.4 to show the given series diverges.

15.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3n^2}{n(n+2)}$$
16.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{n^2}$$
17.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{10^n}$$
18.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{5^n - n^5}{5^n + n^5}$$
19.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n + 1}{2^{n+1}}$$

$$20. \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$$

29. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n}$

In Exercises 21 - 30, state whether the given series converges or diverges.

21.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^5}$$
22.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{5^n}$$
23.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{6^n}{5^n}$$
24.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-4}$$
25.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{n}$$
26.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{10}{n!}$$
27. T/F: If $\{a_n\}$ converges to 0, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.
28.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(2n+8)^2}$$

30.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1}$$

- In Exercises 31 46, a series is given.
 - (a) Find a formula for S_n , the n^{th} partial sum of the series.
 - (b) Determine whether the series converges or diverges. If it converges, state what it converges to.

. . .

31.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{n}}$$
32.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2$$
33.
$$1^{3} + 2^{3} + 3^{3} + 4^{3} + \cdots$$
34.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} n$$
35.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{5}{2^{n}}$$
36.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n}$$
37.
$$1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{9} - \frac{1}{27} + \frac{1}{81} + \frac{1}{38}$$
38.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)}$$
39.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{n(n+2)}$$
40.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)(2n+1)}$$
41.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)$$

42.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n+1}{n^2(n+1)^2}$$
43.
$$\frac{1}{1\cdot 4} + \frac{1}{2\cdot 5} + \frac{1}{3\cdot 6} + \frac{1}{4\cdot 7} + \cdots$$
44.
$$2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{27}\right) + \cdots$$
45.
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 - 1}$$
46.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sin 1)^n$$

47. Break the Harmonic Series into the sum of the odd and even terms:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n}.$$

The goal is to show that each of the series on the right diverge.

(a) Show why $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n}$.

(Compare each nth partial sum.)

(b) Show why
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} < 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n}$$

- (c) Explain why (a) and (b) demonstrate that the series of odd terms is convergent, if, and only if, the series of even terms is also convergent. (That is, show both converge or both diverge.)
- (d) Explain why knowing the Harmonic Series is divergent determines that the even and odd series are also divergent.

48. Show the series
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{(2n-1)(2n+1)}$$
 diverges.

Note: Theorem 8.3.1 does not state that the integral and the summation have the same value.




Figure 8.3.1: Illustrating the truth of the Integral Test.


8.3 Integral and Comparison Tests

Knowing whether or not a series converges is very important, especially when we discuss Power Series in Section 8.6. Theorems 8.2.1 and 8.2.2 give criteria for when Geometric and *p*-series converge, and Theorem 8.2.4 gives a quick test to determine if a series diverges. There are many important series whose convergence cannot be determined by these theorems, though, so we introduce a set of tests that allow us to handle a broad range of series. We start with the Integral Test.

Integral Test

We stated in Section 8.1 that a sequence $\{a_n\}$ is a function a(n) whose domain is \mathbb{N} , the set of natural numbers. If we can extend a(n) to \mathbb{R} , the real numbers, and it is both positive and decreasing on $[1, \infty)$, then the convergence of ∞

 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \text{ is the same as } \int_1^{\infty} a(x) \, dx.$



We can demonstrate the truth of the Integral Test with two simple graphs. In Figure 8.3.1(a), the height of each rectangle is $a(n) = a_n$ for n = 1, 2, ..., and clearly the rectangles enclose more area than the area under y = a(x). Therefore we can conclude that

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} a(x) \, dx < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n. \tag{8.1}$$

In Figure 8.3.1(b), we draw rectangles under y = a(x) with the Right-Hand rule, starting with n = 2. This time, the area of the rectangles is less than the area under y = a(x), so $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n < \int_1^{\infty} a(x) dx$. Note how this summation starts with n = 2; adding a_1 to both sides lets us rewrite the summation starting with

n = 1:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < a_1 + \int_1^{\infty} a(x) \, dx. \tag{8.2}$$

Combining Equations (8.1) and (8.2), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < a_1 + \int_1^{\infty} a(x) \, dx < a_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n. \tag{8.3}$$

From Equation (8.3) we can make the following two statements:

1. If
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$$
 diverges, so does $\int_1^{\infty} a(x) dx$ (because $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < a_1 + \int_1^{\infty} a(x) dx$)
2. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges, so does $\int_1^{\infty} a(x) dx$ (because $\int_1^{\infty} a(x) dx < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$.)

Therefore the series and integral either both converge or both diverge. Theorem 8.2.5 allows us to extend this theorem to series where a(n) is positive and decreasing on $[b, \infty)$ for some b > 1.

Example 8.3.1 Using the Integral Test

Determine the convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln n}{n^2}$. (The terms of the sequence $\{a_n\} = \{\ln n/n^2\}$ and the π^{th} with $\{\ln n/n^2\}$ and the nth partial sums are given in Figure 8.3.2.)

Figure 8.3.2 implies that $a(n) = (\ln n)/n^2$ is positive and SOLUTION decreasing on $[2, \infty)$. We can determine this analytically, too. We know a(n)is positive as both ln *n* and n^2 are positive on $[2, \infty)$. To determine that a(n) is decreasing, consider $a'(n) = (1 - 2 \ln n)/n^3$, which is negative for $n \ge 2$. Since a'(n) is negative, a(n) is decreasing.

Applying the Integral Test, we test the convergence of $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln x}{x^2} dx$. Integrating this improper integral requires the use of Integration by Parts, with $u = \ln x$ and $dv = 1/x^2 dx$.

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln x}{x^2} dx = \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_{1}^{b} \frac{\ln x}{x^2} dx$$
$$= \lim_{b \to \infty} -\frac{1}{x} \ln x \Big|_{1}^{b} + \int_{1}^{b} \frac{1}{x^2} dx$$




Figure 8.3.2: Plotting the sequence and series in Example 8.3.1.

$$= \lim_{b \to \infty} -\frac{1}{x} \ln x - \frac{1}{x} \Big|_{1}^{b}$$
$$= \lim_{b \to \infty} 1 - \frac{1}{b} - \frac{\ln b}{b}. \quad \text{Apply L'Hôpital's Rule:}$$
$$= 1.$$
Since $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln x}{x^{2}} dx$ converges, so does $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln n}{n^{2}}.$

Theorem 8.2.2 was given without justification, stating that the general *p*-series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(an+b)^p}$ converges if, and only if, p > 1. In the following example, we prove this to be true by applying the Integral Test.

Example 8.3.2 Using the Integral Test to establish Theorem 8.2.2.

Use the Integral Test to prove that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(an+b)^p}$ converges if, and only if, p > 1. Solution Consider the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(an+b)^p} dx$; assuming $p \neq 1$,

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(ax+b)^{p}} dx = \lim_{c \to \infty} \int_{1}^{c} \frac{1}{(ax+b)^{p}} dx$$
$$= \lim_{c \to \infty} \frac{1}{a(1-p)} (ax+b)^{1-p} \Big|_{1}^{c}$$
$$= \lim_{c \to \infty} \frac{1}{a(1-p)} ((ac+b)^{1-p} - (a+b)^{1-p}).$$

This limit converges if, and only if, p > 1. It is easy to show that the integral also diverges in the case of p = 1. (This result is similar to the work preceding Key Idea 6.8.1.)

Therefore
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} rac{1}{(an+b)^p}$$
 converges if, and only if, $p>1$.

We consider two more convergence tests in this section, both *comparison* tests. That is, we determine the convergence of one series by comparing it to another series with known convergence.

Direct Comparison Test

Theorem 8.3.2Direct Comparison TestLet $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be positive sequences where $a_n \leq b_n$ for all $n \geq N$,
for some $N \geq 1$.1. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ converges, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.2. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ diverges.

Example 8.3.3 Applying the Direct Comparison Test

Determine the convergence of
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^n + n^2}$$
.

SOLUTION This series is neither a geometric or *p*-series, but seems related. We predict it will converge, so we look for a series with larger terms that converges. (Note too that the Integral Test seems difficult to apply here.)

Since $3^n < 3^n + n^2$, $\frac{1}{3^n} > \frac{1}{3^n + n^2}$ for all $n \ge 1$. The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^n}$ is a

convergent geometric series; by Theorem 8.3.2, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^n + n^2}$ converges.

Example 8.3.4 Applying the Direct Comparison Test Determine the convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n - \ln n}$.

SOLUTION We know the Harmonic Series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ diverges, and it seems that the given series is closely related to it, hence we predict it will diverge. Since $n \ge n - \ln n$ for all $n \ge 1$, $\frac{1}{n} \le \frac{1}{n - \ln n}$ for all $n \ge 1$.

The Harmonic Series diverges, so we conclude that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n - \ln n}$ diverges as

well.

Notes:

Note: A sequence $\{a_n\}$ is a **positive** sequence if $a_n > 0$ for all n.

Because of Theorem 8.2.5, any theorem that relies on a positive sequence still holds true when $a_n > 0$ for all but a finite number of values of n.


The concept of direct comparison is powerful and often relatively easy to apply. Practice helps one develop the necessary intuition to quickly pick a proper series with which to compare. However, it is easy to construct a series for which it is difficult to apply the Direct Comparison Test.

Consider $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n + \ln n}$. It is very similar to the divergent series given in Ex-

ample 8.3.4. We suspect that it also diverges, as $\frac{1}{n} \approx \frac{1}{n + \ln n}$ for large *n*. However, the inequality that we naturally want to use "goes the wrong way": since $n \le n + \ln n$ for all $n \ge 1$, $\frac{1}{n} \ge \frac{1}{n + \ln n}$ for all $n \ge 1$. The given series has terms *less than* the terms of a divergent series, and we cannot conclude anything from this.

Fortunately, we can apply another test to the given series to determine its convergence.

Limit Comparison Test



Theorem 8.3.3 is most useful when the convergence of the series from $\{b_n\}$ is known and we are trying to determine the convergence of the series from $\{a_n\}$.

We use the Limit Comparison Test in the next example to examine the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n + \ln n}$ which motivated this new test.

Example 8.3.5 Applying the Limit Comparison Test

Determine the convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n + \ln n}$ using the Limit Comparison Test.

SOLUTION We compare the terms of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+\ln n}$ to the terms of the Harmonic Sequence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$: $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1/(n+\ln n)}{1/n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{n+\ln n}$ $= 1 \quad (after applying L'Hôpital's Rule).$

Since the Harmonic Series diverges, we conclude that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n + \ln n}$ diverges as well.

Example 8.3.6 Applying the Limit Comparison Test ∞

Determine the convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^n - n^2}$

SOLUTION This series is similar to the one in Example 8.3.3, but now we are considering " $3^n - n^2$ " instead of " $3^n + n^2$." This difference makes applying the Direct Comparison Test difficult.

Instead, we use the Limit Comparison Test and compare with the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^n}$:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1/(3^n - n^2)}{1/3^n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{3^n}{3^n - n^2}$$

= 1 (after applying L'Hôpital's Rule twice).

We know $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^n}$ is a convergent geometric series, hence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^n - n^2}$ converges as well.

As mentioned before, practice helps one develop the intuition to quickly choose a series with which to compare. A general rule of thumb is to pick a series based on the dominant term in the expression of $\{a_n\}$. It is also helpful to note that factorials dominate exponentials, which dominate algebraic functions (e.g., polynomials), which dominate logarithms. In the previous example,

the dominant term of $\frac{1}{3^n - n^2}$ was 3^n , so we compared the series to $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^n}$. It is hard to apply the Limit Comparison Test to series containing factorials, though, as we have not learned how to apply L'Hôpital's Rule to n!.

Example 8.3.7 Applying the Limit Comparison Test Determine the convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{n+3}}{n^2 - n + 1}$.

SOLUTION We naïvely attempt to apply the rule of thumb given above and note that the dominant term in the expression of the series is $1/n^2$. Knowing

that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$ converges, we attempt to apply the Limit Comparison Test:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\sqrt{n}+3)/(n^2-n+1)}{1/n^2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^2(\sqrt{n}+3)}{n^2-n+1}$$
$$= \infty \quad \text{(Apply L'Hôpital's)}$$

Theorem 8.3.3 part (3) only applies when $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ diverges; in our case, it converges. Ultimately, our test has not revealed anything about the convergence

Rule).

of our series. The problem is that we chose a poor series with which to compare. Since the numerator and denominator of the terms of the series are both algebraic functions, we should have compared our series to the dominant term of the

numerator divided by the dominant term of the denominator. The dominant term of the numerator is $n^{1/2}$ and the dominant term of the denominator is n^2 . Thus we should compare the terms of the given series to $n^{1/2}/n^2 = 1/n^{3/2}$:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\sqrt{n}+3)/(n^2-n+1)}{1/n^{3/2}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^{3/2}(\sqrt{n}+3)}{n^2-n+1}$$
$$= 1 \quad \text{(Apply L'Hôpital's Rule)}.$$

Since the *p*-series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}$ converges, we conclude that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{n}+3}{n^2-n+1}$ converges as well.

We mentioned earlier that the Integral Test did not work well with series containing factorial terms. The next section introduces the Ratio Test, which does handle such series well. We also introduce the Root Test, which is good for series where each term is raised to a power.

Exercises 8.3

Terms and Concepts

- In order to apply the Integral Test to a sequence {a_n}, the function a(n) = a_n must be _____, and _____.
- 2. T/F: The Integral Test can be used to determine the sum of a convergent series.
- 3. What test(s) in this section do not work well with factorials?
- 4. Suppose $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is convergent, and there are sequences $\{b_n\}$ and $\{c_n\}$ such that $0 \le b_n \le a_n \le c_n$ for all n. What can be said about the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n$?

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 12, use the Integral Test to determine the convergence of the given series.

5.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n}$$
6.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4}$$
22

$$7. \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^2 + 1}$$

$$8. \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \ln n}$$

9.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2+1}$$

10.
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\ln n)^2}$$

11.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{2^n}$$

$$12. \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln n}{n^3}$$

In Exercises 13 - 22, use the Direct Comparison Test to determine the convergence of the given series; state what series is used for comparison.

13.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 + 3n - 5}$$

14.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^n + n^2 - n}$$

15.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln n}{n}$$

$$16. \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!+n}$$

17.
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2-1}}$$

$$18. \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}-2}$$

19.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 + n + 1}{n^3 - 5}$$

20.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{5^n + 10}$$

21.
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^2 - 1}$$
22.
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 \ln n}$$

In Exercises 23 – 32, use the Limit Comparison Test to determine the convergence of the given series; state what series is used for comparison.

23.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 - 3n + 5}$$

24.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^n - n^2}$$

25.
$$\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{\ln n}{n - 3}$$

26.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2 + n}}$$

27.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n + \sqrt{n}}$$

28.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n - 10}{n^2 + 10n + 10}$$

$$29. \sum_{n=1}^{n} \sin\left(1/n\right)$$

30.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+5}{n^3-5}$$

31.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{n}+3}{n^2+17}$$

$$32. \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n} + 100}$$

38. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n-2}{10n+5}$
39. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^n}{n^3}$
40. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(1/n)}{\sqrt{n}}$

In Exercises 33 – 40, determine the convergence of the given series. State the test used; more than one test may be appropriate.

33.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2}{2^n}$$

34. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+5)^3}$

35.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m}{10^n}$$

$$36. \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln n}{n!}$$

$$37. \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^n + n}$$

41. Given that
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$$
 converges, state which of the following series converges may converge or does not converge

(a)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n}$$

(b)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n a_{n+1}$$

(c)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n)^2$$

(d)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n$$

(e)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n}$$


series converges, may converge, or does not converge.

8.4 Ratio and Root Tests

The n^{th} -Term Test of Theorem 8.2.4 states that in order for a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ to converge, $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$. That is, the terms of $\{a_n\}$ must get very small. Not only must the terms approach 0, they must approach 0 "fast enough": while $\lim_{n \to \infty} 1/n = 0$, the Harmonic Series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ diverges as the terms of $\{1/n\}$ do not approach 0 "fast enough."

The comparison tests of the previous section determine convergence by comparing terms of a series to terms of another series whose convergence is known. This section introduces the Ratio and Root Tests, which determine convergence by analyzing the terms of a series to see if they approach 0 "fast enough."

Ratio Test



Note: Theorem 8.2.5 allows us to apply the Ratio Test to series where $\{a_n\}$ is positive for all but a finite number of terms.

The principle of the Ratio Test is this: if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = L < 1$, then for large *n*, each term of $\{a_n\}$ is significantly smaller than its previous term which is enough to ensure convergence.

Example 8.4.1 Applying the Ratio Test

Use the Ratio Test to determine the convergence of the following series:

1.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{n!}$$
 2. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^n}{n^3}$ 3. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2+1}$

SOLUTION 1. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{n!}$: $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2^{n+1}/(n+1)!}{2^n/n!} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2^{n+1}n!}{2^n(n+1)!}$ $=\lim_{n\to\infty}rac{2}{n+1}$ = 0Since the limit is 0 < 1, by the Ratio Test $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{n!}$ converges. 2. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^n}{n^3}$: $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{3^{n+1}/(n+1)^3}{3^n/n^3} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{3^{n+1}n^3}{3^n(n+1)^3}$ $=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{3n^3}{(n+1)^3}$ Since the limit is 3 > 1, by the Ratio Test $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^n}{n^3}$ diverges. 3. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 + 1}$: $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1/((n+1)^2 + 1)}{1/(n^2 + 1)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^2 + 1}{(n+1)^2 + 1}$

Since the limit is 1, the Ratio Test is inconclusive. We can easily show this series converges using the Direct or Limit Comparison Tests, with each comparing to the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$.

The Ratio Test is not effective when the terms of a series only contain algebraic functions (e.g., polynomials). It is most effective when the terms contain some factorials or exponentials. The previous example also reinforces our developing intuition: factorials dominate exponentials, which dominate algebraic functions, which dominate logarithmic functions. In Part 1 of the example, the factorial in the denominator dominated the exponential in the numerator, causing the series to converge. In Part 2, the exponential in the numerator dominated the algebraic function in the denominator, causing the series to diverge.

While we have used factorials in previous sections, we have not explored them closely and one is likely to not yet have a strong intuitive sense for how they behave. The following example gives more practice with factorials.

Example 8.4.2 Applying the Ratio Test

Determine the convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n!n!}{(2n)!}$.

SOLUTION Before we begin, be sure to note the difference between (2n)! and 2n!. When n = 4, the former is $8! = 8 \cdot 7 \cdot \ldots \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 40,320$, whereas the latter is $2(4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1) = 48$.

Applying the Ratio Test:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(n+1)!(n+1)!/(2(n+1))!}{n!n!/(2n)!} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(n+1)!(n+1)!(2n)!}{n!n!(2n+2)!}$$

Noting that $(2n + 2)! = (2n + 2) \cdot (2n + 1) \cdot (2n)!$, we have

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(n+1)(n+1)}{(2n+2)(2n+1)}$$

= 1/4.

Since the limit is 1/4 < 1, by the Ratio Test we conclude $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n!n!}{(2n)!}$ converges.

Root Test

The final test we introduce is the Root Test, which works particularly well on series where each term is raised to a power, and does not work well with terms containing factorials.

Note: Theorem 8.2.5 allows us to apply the Root Test to series where $\{a_n\}$ is positive for all but a finite number of terms.

Theorem 8.4.2 Root Test

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a positive sequence, and let $\lim_{n\to\infty} (a_n)^{1/n} = L$.

1. If
$$L < 1$$
, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.
2. If $L > 1$ or $L = \infty$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges

3. If L = 1, the Root Test is inconclusive.

Example 8.4.3 Applying the Root Test

Determine the convergence of the following series using the Root Test:

1.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3n+1}{5n-2}\right)^n$$
 2. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^4}{(\ln n)^n}$ 3. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{n^2}$.

SOLUTION

1.
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\left(\frac{3n+1}{5n-2} \right)^n \right)^{1/n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{3n+1}{5n-2} = \frac{3}{5}$$

Since the limit is less than 1, we conclude the series converges. Note: it is difficult to apply the Ratio Test to this series.

2.
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{n^4}{(\ln n)^n} \right)^{1/n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(n^{1/n})^4}{\ln n}.$$

As *n* grows, the numerator approaches 1 (apply L'Hôpital's Rule) and the denominator grows to infinity. Thus

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\left(n^{1/n}\right)^4}{\ln n}=0.$$

Since the limit is less than 1, we conclude the series converges.

3.
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{2^n}{n^2}\right)^{1/n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2}{(n^{1/n})^2} = 2.$$

Since this is greater than 1, we conclude the series diverges.

Each of the tests we have encountered so far has required that we analyze series from *positive* sequences. The next section relaxes this restriction by considering *alternating series*, where the underlying sequence has terms that alternate between being positive and negative.

Exercises 8.4

Terms and Concepts

- 1. The Ratio Test is not effective when the terms of a sequence only contain _____ functions.
- 2. The Ratio Test is most effective when the terms of a sequence contains _____ and/or _____ functions.
- 3. What three convergence tests do not work well with terms containing factorials?
- The Root Test works particularly well on series where each term is ______ to a _____.

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 14, determine the convergence of the given series using the Ratio Test. If the Ratio Test is inconclusive, state so and determine convergence with another test.

5.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2n}{n!}$$

6.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{5^n - 3n}{4^n}$$

7.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n! 10^n}{(2n)!}$$

8.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{5^n + n^4}{7^n + n^2}$$

9.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$$

10.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3n^3 + 7}$$

11.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{10 \cdot 5^n}{7^n - 3}$$

12.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \cdot \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^n$$

13.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdots 2n}{3 \cdot 6 \cdot 9 \cdot 12 \cdots 3n}$$

$$14. \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{5 \cdot 10 \cdot 15 \cdots (5n)}$$

In Exercises 15 – 24, determine the convergence of the given series using the Root Test. If the Root Test is inconclusive, state so and determine convergence with another test.

15.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2n+5}{3n+11}\right)^{n}$$

16.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{.9n^{2}-n-3}{n^{2}+n+3}\right)^{n}$$

17.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{n}n^{2}}{3^{n}}$$

18.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{n}}$$

19.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^{n}}{n^{2}2^{n+1}}$$

20.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4^{n+7}}{n^{2}}$$

21.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n^{2}-n}{n^{2}+n}\right)^{n}$$

22.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)^{n}$$

23.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\ln n)^{n}}$$

24.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2}}{(\ln n)^{n}}$$

In Exercises 25 – 34, determine the convergence of the given series. State the test used; more than one test may be appropriate.

25.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 + 4n - 2}{n^3 + 4n^2 - 3n + 7}$$

26.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^4 4^n}{n!}$$

27.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2}{3^n + n}$$

28.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^n}{n^n}$$

29.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{\sqrt{n^2 + 4n + 1}}$$

30.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n! n! n!}{(3n)!}$$
31.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ln n}$$

32.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+2}{n+1}\right)^n$$

33.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^3}{\left(\ln n\right)^n}$$
34.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+2}\right)$$

8.5 Alternating Series and Absolute Convergence

All of the series convergence tests we have used require that the underlying sequence $\{a_n\}$ be a positive sequence. (We can relax this with Theorem 8.2.5 and state that there must be an N > 0 such that $a_n > 0$ for all n > N; that is, $\{a_n\}$ is positive for all but a finite number of values of n.)

In this section we explore series whose summation includes negative terms. We start with a very specific form of series, where the terms of the summation alternate between being positive and negative.

Definition 8.5.1 Alternating Series

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a positive sequence. An **alternating series** is a series of either the form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n a_n \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} a_n.$$

Recall the terms of Harmonic Series come from the Harmonic Sequence $\{a_n\} = \{1/n\}$. An important alternating series is the **Alternating Harmonic Series**:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{n} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{6} + \cdots$$

Geometric Series can also be alternating series when r < 0. For instance, if r = -1/2, the geometric series is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-1}{2}\right)^n = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} - \frac{1}{32} + \cdots$$

Theorem 8.2.1 states that geometric series converge when |r| < 1 and gives the sum: $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n = \frac{1}{1-r}$. When r = -1/2 as above, we find

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-1}{2}\right)^n = \frac{1}{1 - (-1/2)} = \frac{1}{3/2} = \frac{2}{3}.$$

A powerful convergence theorem exists for other alternating series that meet a few conditions.




Figure 8.5.1: Illustrating convergence with the Alternating Series Test.

Theorem 8.5.1 Alternating Series Test

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a positive, decreasing sequence where $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n a_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} a_n$$

converge.

The basic idea behind Theorem 8.5.1 is illustrated in Figure 8.5.1. A positive, decreasing sequence $\{a_n\}$ is shown along with the partial sums

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} a_i = a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - a_4 + \dots + (-1)^{n+1} a_n.$$

Because $\{a_n\}$ is decreasing, the amount by which S_n bounces up/down decreases. Moreover, the odd terms of S_n form a decreasing, bounded sequence, while the even terms of S_n form an increasing, bounded sequence. Since bounded, monotonic sequences converge (see Theorem 8.1.5) and the terms of $\{a_n\}$ approach 0, one can show the odd and even terms of S_n converge to the same common limit L, the sum of the series.

Example 8.5.1 Applying the Alternating Series Test

Determine if the Alternating Series Test applies to each of the following series.

1.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{n}$$
 2. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\ln n}{n}$ 3. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{|\sin n|}{n^2}$

SOLUTION

This is the Alternating Harmonic Series as seen previously. The underlying sequence is {a_n} = {1/n}, which is positive, decreasing, and approaches 0 as n → ∞. Therefore we can apply the Alternating Series Test and conclude this series converges.

While the test does not state what the series converges to, we will see

later that
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{n} = \ln 2$$

2. The underlying sequence is $\{a_n\} = \{\ln n/n\}$. This is positive and approaches 0 as $n \to \infty$ (use L'Hôpital's Rule). However, the sequence is not decreasing for all *n*. It is straightforward to compute $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 \approx 0.347$,

 $a_3 \approx 0.366$, and $a_4 \approx 0.347$: the sequence is increasing for at least the first 3 terms.

We do not immediately conclude that we cannot apply the Alternating Series Test. Rather, consider the long–term behavior of $\{a_n\}$. Treating $a_n = a(n)$ as a continuous function of n defined on $[1, \infty)$, we can take its derivative:

$$a'(n) = \frac{1 - \ln n}{n^2}$$

The derivative is negative for all $n \ge 3$ (actually, for all n > e), meaning $a(n) = a_n$ is decreasing on $[3, \infty)$. We can apply the Alternating Series Test to the series when we start with n = 3 and conclude that $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\ln n}{n}$ converges; adding the terms with n = 1 and n = 2 do not

change the convergence (i.e., we apply Theorem 8.2.5).

The important lesson here is that as before, if a series fails to meet the criteria of the Alternating Series Test on only a finite number of terms, we can still apply the test.

3. The underlying sequence is $\{a_n\} = |\sin n|/n^2$. This sequence is positive and approaches 0 as $n \to \infty$. However, it is not a decreasing sequence; the value of $|\sin n|$ oscillates between 0 and 1 as $n \to \infty$. We cannot remove a finite number of terms to make $\{a_n\}$ decreasing, therefore we cannot apply the Alternating Series Test.

Keep in mind that this does not mean we conclude the series diverges; in fact, it does converge. We are just unable to conclude this based on Theorem 8.5.1.

Key Idea 8.2.1 gives the sum of some important series. Two of these are

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \approx 1.64493 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{12} \approx 0.82247.$$

These two series converge to their sums at different rates. To be accurate to two places after the decimal, we need 202 terms of the first series though only 13 of the second. To get 3 places of accuracy, we need 1069 terms of the first series though only 33 of the second. Why is it that the second series converges so much faster than the first?

While there are many factors involved when studying rates of convergence, the alternating structure of an alternating series gives us a powerful tool when approximating the sum of a convergent series.

Theorem 8.5.2 The Alternating Series Approximation Theorem

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence that satisfies the hypotheses of the Alternating Series Test, and let S_n and L be the n^{th} partial sums and sum, respectively, of either $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n a_n$ or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} a_n$. Then 1. $|S_n - L| < a_{n+1}$, and 2. *L* is between S_n and S_{n+1} .

Part 1 of Theorem 8.5.2 states that the n^{th} partial sum of a convergent alternating series will be within a_{n+1} of its total sum. Consider the alternating

series we looked at before the statement of the theorem, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^2}$. Since

 $a_{14} = 1/14^2 \approx 0.0051$, we know that S_{13} is within 0.0051 of the total sum.

Moreover, Part 2 of the theorem states that since $S_{13} \approx 0.8252$ and $S_{14} \approx$ 0.8201, we know the sum L lies between 0.8201 and 0.8252. One use of this is the knowledge that S_{14} is accurate to two places after the decimal.

Some alternating series converge slowly. In Example 8.5.1 we determined the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\ln n}{n}$ converged. With n = 1001, we find $\ln n/n \approx 0.0069$,

meaning that $S_{1000} \approx 0.1633$ is accurate to one, maybe two, places after the decimal. Since $S_{1001} \approx 0.1564$, we know the sum *L* is $0.1564 \le L \le 0.1633$.

Approximating the sum of convergent alternating series Example 8.5.2 Approximate the sum of the following series, accurate to within 0.001.

1.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{n^3}$$
 2. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\ln n}{n}$.

SOLUTION

1. Using Theorem 8.5.2, we want to find *n* where $1/n^3 \le 0.001$:

$$\frac{1}{n^3} \le 0.001 = \frac{1}{1000}$$
$$n^3 \ge 1000$$
$$n \ge \sqrt[3]{1000}$$
$$n \ge 10.$$

Let *L* be the sum of this series. By Part 1 of the theorem, $|S_9 - L| < a_{10} = 1/1000$. We can compute $S_9 = 0.902116$, which our theorem states is within 0.001 of the total sum.

We can use Part 2 of the theorem to obtain an even more accurate result. As we know the 10th term of the series is -1/1000, we can easily compute $S_{10} = 0.901116$. Part 2 of the theorem states that L is between S_9 and S_{10} , so 0.901116 < L < 0.902116.

2. We want to find *n* where $\ln(n)/n < 0.001$. We start by solving $\ln(n)/n = 0.001$ for *n*. This cannot be solved algebraically, so we will use Newton's Method to approximate a solution.

Let $f(x) = \ln(x)/x - 0.001$; we want to know where f(x) = 0. We make a guess that x must be "large," so our initial guess will be $x_1 = 1000$. Recall how Newton's Method works: given an approximate solution x_n , our next approximation x_{n+1} is given by

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}.$$

We find $f'(x) = (1 - \ln(x))/x^2$. This gives

$$x_2 = 1000 - \frac{\ln(1000)/1000 - 0.001}{(1 - \ln(1000))/1000^2}$$

= 2000.


Using a computer, we find that Newton's Method seems to converge to a solution x = 9118.01 after 8 iterations. Taking the next integer higher, we have n = 9119, where $\ln(9119)/9119 = 0.000999903 < 0.001$.

Again using a computer, we find $S_{9118} = -0.160369$. Part 1 of the theorem states that this is within 0.001 of the actual sum *L*. Already knowing the 9,119th term, we can compute $S_{9119} = -0.159369$, meaning -0.159369 < L < -0.160369.

Notice how the first series converged quite quickly, where we needed only 10 terms to reach the desired accuracy, whereas the second series took over 9,000 terms.

One of the famous results of mathematics is that the Harmonic Series, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ diverges, yet the Alternating Harmonic Series, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{n}$, converges. The

notion that alternating the signs of the terms in a series can make a series converge leads us to the following definitions.



Thus we say the Alternating Harmonic Series converges conditionally.

Example 8.5.3 Determining absolute and conditional convergence.

Determine if the following series converge absolutely, conditionally, or diverge.

1.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{n+3}{n^2+2n+5}$$
 2. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{n^2+2n+5}{2^n}$ 3. $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{3n-3}{5n-10}$

SOLUTION

1. We can show the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| (-1)^n \frac{n+3}{n^2+2n+5} \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+3}{n^2+2n+5}$$

diverges using the Limit Comparison Test, comparing with 1/n.

The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{n+3}{n^2+2n+5}$ converges using the Alternating Series Test; we conclude it converges conditionally.

2. We can show the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| (-1)^n \frac{n^2 + 2n + 5}{2^n} \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 + 2n + 5}{2^n}$$

converges using the Ratio Test.

Note: In Definition 8.5.2,
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$$
 is not necessarily an alternating series; it just may have some negative terms.

Therefore we conclude $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{n^2 + 2n + 5}{2^n}$ converges absolutely.

3. The series

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \left| (-1)^n \frac{3n-3}{5n-10} \right| = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{3n-3}{5n-10}$$

diverges using the *n*th Term Test, so it does not converge absolutely.

The series $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{3n-3}{5n-10}$ fails the conditions of the Alternating Series Test as (3n-3)/(5n-10) does not approach 0 as $n \to \infty$. We can state further that this series diverges; as $n \to \infty$, the series effectively adds and subtracts 3/5 over and over. This causes the sequence of partial sums to oscillate and not converge.

Therefore the series
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{3n-3}{5n-10}$$
 diverges.


Knowing that a series converges absolutely allows us to make two important statements, given in the following theorem. The first is that absolute con-

vergence is "stronger" than regular convergence. That is, just because $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$

converges, we cannot conclude that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$ will converge, but knowing a series converges absolutely tells us that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ will converge.

One reason this is important is that our convergence tests all require that the underlying sequence of terms be positive. By taking the absolute value of the terms of a series where not all terms are positive, we are often able to apply an appropriate test and determine absolute convergence. This, in turn, determines that the series we are given also converges.

The second statement relates to **rearrangements** of series. When dealing with a finite set of numbers, the sum of the numbers does not depend on the order which they are added. (So 1+2+3 = 3+1+2.) One may be surprised to find out that when dealing with an infinite set of numbers, the same statement does not always hold true: some infinite lists of numbers may be rearranged in different orders to achieve different sums. The theorem states that the terms of an absolutely convergent series can be rearranged in any way without affecting the sum.



In Example 8.5.3, we determined the series in part 2 converges absolutely. Theorem 8.5.3 tells us the series converges (which we could also determine using the Alternating Series Test).

The theorem states that rearranging the terms of an absolutely convergent series does not affect its sum. This implies that perhaps the sum of a conditionally convergent series can change based on the arrangement of terms. Indeed, it can. The Riemann Rearrangement Theorem (named after Bernhard Riemann) states that any conditionally convergent series can have its terms rearranged so that the sum is any desired value, including ∞ !

As an example, consider the Alternating Harmonic Series once more. We have stated that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{n} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{7} \dots = \ln 2,$$

(see Key Idea 8.2.1 or Example 8.5.1).

Consider the rearrangement where every positive term is followed by two negative terms:

$$1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{10} - \frac{1}{12} \cdots$$

(Convince yourself that these are exactly the same numbers as appear in the Alternating Harmonic Series, just in a different order.) Now group some terms

and simplify:

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{4} + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{6}\right) - \frac{1}{8} + \left(\frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{10}\right) - \frac{1}{12} + \dots =$$
$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{10} - \frac{1}{12} + \dots =$$
$$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{6} + \dots\right) = \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 .$$

By rearranging the terms of the series, we have arrived at a different sum! (One could *try* to argue that the Alternating Harmonic Series does not actually converge to ln 2, because rearranging the terms of the series *shouldn't* change the sum. However, the Alternating Series Test proves this series converges to L, for some number L, and if the rearrangement does not change the sum, then L = L/2, implying L = 0. But the Alternating Series Approximation Theorem quickly shows that L > 0. The only conclusion is that the rearrangement *did* change the sum.) This is an incredible result.

We end here our study of tests to determine convergence. The end of this text contains a table summarizing the tests that one may find useful.

While series are worthy of study in and of themselves, our ultimate goal within calculus is the study of Power Series, which we will consider in the next section. We will use power series to create functions where the output is the result of an infinite summation.

Exercises 8.5

- Terms and Concepts
- 1. Why is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin n$ not an alternating series?
- 2. A series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n a_n$ converges when $\{a_n\}$ is _____, ____ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n =$ ____.
- 3. Give an example of a series where $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ converges but $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$ does not.
- 4. The sum of a _____ convergent series can be changed by rearranging the order of its terms.

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 20, an alternating series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is given.

- (a) Determine if the series converges or diverges.
- (b) Determine if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|$ converges or diverges.
- (c) If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ converges, determine if the convergence is conditional or absolute.

5.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^2}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{n!}$$

7. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{n+5}{3n-5}$

8.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{2^n}{n^2}$$

9.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{3n+5}{n^2-3n+1}$$

10.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\ln n + 1}$$

11.
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{n}{\ln n}$$

12.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{1+3+5+\dots+(2n+1)^{n+1}}$$

13.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(\pi n)$$

14.
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\sin((n+1/2)\pi)}{n\ln n}$$

15.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^n$$

16.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-e)^{-n}$$

17.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n n^2}{n!}$$

18.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n 2^{-n^2}$$

19.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{n}}$$

20.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1000)^n}{n!}$$

 ∞

Let S_n be the n^{th} partial sum of a series. In Exercises 21 – 24, a convergent alternating series is given and a value of n. Compute S_n and S_{n+1} and use these values to find bounds on the sum of the series.

-1)

21.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\ln(n+1)}, \quad n = 5$$

22.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^4}, \quad n = 4$$

23.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!}, \quad n = 6$$

24.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^n, \quad n = 9$$

In Exercises 25 – 28, a convergent alternating series is given along with its sum and a value of ε . Use Theorem 8.5.2 to find *n* such that the n^{th} partial sum of the series is within ε of the sum of the series.

25.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^4} = \frac{7\pi^4}{720}, \quad \varepsilon = 0.001$$

26.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{e}, \quad \varepsilon = 0.0001$$

27. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{2n+1} = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \varepsilon = 0.001$

28.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} = \cos 1$$
, $\varepsilon = 10^{-8}$

8.6 Power Series

So far, our study of series has examined the question of "Is the sum of these infinite terms finite?," i.e., "Does the series converge?" We now approach series from a different perspective: as a function. Given a value of x, we evaluate f(x) by finding the sum of a particular series that depends on x (assuming the series converges). We start this new approach to series with a definition.

Definition 8.6.1 Power Series

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence, let x be a variable, and let c be a real number.

1. The **power series in** *x* is the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^3 + \dots$$

2. The **power series** in *x* centered at *c* is the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x-c)^n = a_0 + a_1 (x-c) + a_2 (x-c)^2 + a_3 (x-c)^3 + \dots$$

Example 8.6.1 Examples of power series

Write out the first five terms of the following power series:

1.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$$
 2. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x+1)^n}{n}$ 3. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x-\pi)^{2n}}{(2n)!}$

SOLUTION

1. One of the conventions we adopt is that $x^0 = 1$ regardless of the value of x. Therefore

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + \dots$$

This is a geometric series in *x*.

2. This series is centered at c = -1. Note how this series starts with n = 1. We could rewrite this series starting at n = 0 with the understanding that $a_0 = 0$, and hence the first term is 0.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x+1)^n}{n} = (x+1) - \frac{(x+1)^2}{2} + \frac{(x+1)^3}{3} - \frac{(x+1)^4}{4} + \frac{(x+1)^5}{5} \dots$$

3. This series is centered at $c = \pi$. Recall that 0! = 1.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x-\pi)^{2n}}{(2n)!} = -1 + \frac{(x-\pi)^2}{2} - \frac{(x-\pi)^4}{24} + \frac{(x-\pi)^6}{6!} - \frac{(x-\pi)^8}{8!} \dots$$

We introduced power series as a type of function, where a value of x is given and the sum of a series is returned. Of course, not every series converges. For instance, in part 1 of Example 8.6.1, we recognized the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$ as a geometric series in x. Theorem 8.2.1 states that this series converges only when |x| < 1.

This raises the question: "For what values of *x* will a given power series converge?," which leads us to a theorem and definition.


Theorem 8.6.1Convergence of Power SeriesLet a power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x-c)^n$ be given. Then one of the following is
true:1. The series converges only at x = c.2. There is an R > 0 such that the series converges for all x in
(c-R, c+R) and diverges for all x < c-R and x > c+R.3. The series converges for all x.

The value of *R* is important when understanding a power series, hence it is given a name in the following definition. Also, note that part 2 of Theorem 8.6.1 makes a statement about the interval (c - R, c + R), but the not the endpoints of that interval. A series may/may not converge at these endpoints.

Definition 8.6.2 Radius and Interval of Convergence

- 1. The number *R* given in Theorem 8.6.1 is the **radius of convergence** of a given series. When a series converges for only x = c, we say the radius of convergence is 0, i.e., R = 0. When a series converges for all *x*, we say the series has an infinite radius of convergence, i.e., $R = \infty$.
- 2. The **interval of convergence** is the set of all values of *x* for which the series converges.

To find the values of *x* for which a given series converges, we will use the convergence tests we studied previously (especially the Ratio Test). However, the tests all required that the terms of a series be positive. The following theorem gives us a work–around to this problem.



Theorem 8.6.2 allows us to find the radius of convergence R of a series by applying the Ratio Test (or any applicable test) to the absolute value of the terms of the series. We practice this in the following example.

Example 8.6.2 Determining the radius and interval of convergence. Find the radius and interval of convergence for each of the following series:

1.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$
 2. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n}$ 3. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n (x-3)^n$ 4. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! x^n$
Solution

1. We apply the Ratio Test to the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x^n}{n!} \right|$:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\left| x^{n+1}/(n+1)! \right|}{\left| x^n/n! \right|} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{x^{n+1}}{x^n} \cdot \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} \right|$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{x}{n+1} \right|$$
$$= 0 \text{ for all } x.$$

The Ratio Test shows us that regardless of the choice of x, the series converges. Therefore the radius of convergence is $R = \infty$, and the interval of convergence is $(-\infty, \infty)$.

2. We apply the Ratio Test to the series
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n} \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{x^n}{n} \right|:$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|x^{n+1}/(n+1)|}{|x^n/n|} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{x^{n+1}}{x^n} \cdot \frac{n}{n+1} \right|$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} |x| \frac{n}{n+1}$$

$$n \to \infty$$
 ' ' $|\mathbf{x}|.$

The Ratio Test states a series converges if the limit of $|a_{n+1}/a_n| = L < 1$. We found the limit above to be |x|; therefore, the power series converges when |x| < 1, or when x is in (-1, 1). Thus the radius of convergence is R = 1.

To determine the interval of convergence, we need to check the endpoints of (-1, 1). When x = -1, we have the opposite of the Harmonic Series:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{-1}{n}$$
$$= -\infty.$$

The series diverges when x = -1.

When x = 1, we have the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(1)^n}{n}$, which is the Alternating Harmonic Series, which converges. Therefore the interval of convergence is (-1, 1].

We apply the Ratio Test to the series
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |2^n (x-3)^n|$$
:
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|2^{n+1} (x-3)^{n+1}|}{|2^n (x-3)^n|} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left|\frac{2^{n+1}}{2^n} \cdot \frac{(x-3)^{n+1}}{(x-3)^n}\right|$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} |2(x-3)|.$$

According to the Ratio Test, the series converges when $|2(x-3)| < 1 \implies |x-3| < 1/2$. The series is centered at 3, and x must be within 1/2 of 3 in order for the series to converge. Therefore the radius of convergence is R = 1/2, and we know that the series converges absolutely for all x in (3 - 1/2, 3 + 1/2) = (2.5, 3.5).

We check for convergence at the endpoints to find the interval of convergence. When x = 2.5, we have:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n (2.5 - 3)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n (-1/2)^n$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n,$$

which diverges. A similar process shows that the series also diverges at x = 3.5. Therefore the interval of convergence is (2.5, 3.5).

4. We apply the Ratio Test to $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |n!x^{n}|:$ $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|(n+1)!x^{n+1}|}{|n!x^{n}|} = \lim_{n \to \infty} |(n+1)x|$ $= \infty \text{ for all } x, \text{ except } x = 0.$

The Ratio Test shows that the series diverges for all *x* except x = 0. Therefore the radius of convergence is R = 0.

We can use a power series to define a function:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$$

where the domain of f is a subset of the interval of convergence of the power series. One can apply calculus techniques to such functions; in particular, we can find derivatives and antiderivatives.

Notes:

3.

Theorem 8.6.3Derivatives and Indefinite Integrals of Power SeriesFunctions

Let $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x - c)^n$ be a function defined by a power series, with radius of convergence *R*.

1. f(x) is continuous and differentiable on (c - R, c + R).

2.
$$f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cdot n \cdot (x-c)^{n-1}$$
, with radius of convergence *R*.

3.
$$\int f(x) \, dx = C + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{(x-c)^{n+1}}{n+1}$$
, with radius of convergence *R*.

A few notes about Theorem 8.6.3:

- 1. The theorem states that differentiation and integration do not change the radius of convergence. It does not state anything about the *interval* of convergence. They are not always the same.
- 2. Notice how the summation for f'(x) starts with n = 1. This is because the constant term a_0 of f(x) goes to 0.
- Differentiation and integration are simply calculated term-by-term using the Power Rules.

Example 8.6.3 Derivatives and indefinite integrals of power series

Let $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$. Find f'(x) and $F(x) = \int f(x) dx$, along with their respective intervals of convergence.

SOLUTION We find the derivative and indefinite integral of f(x), following Theorem 8.6.3.

1.
$$f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nx^{n-1} = 1 + 2x + 3x^2 + 4x^3 + \cdots$$

In Example 8.6.1, we recognized that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$ is a geometric series in x. We know that such a geometric series converges when |x| < 1; that is, the interval of convergence is (-1, 1).

To determine the interval of convergence of f'(x), we consider the endpoints of (-1, 1):

$$f'(-1) = 1 - 2 + 3 - 4 + \cdots$$
, which diverges

$$f'(1) = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + \cdots$$
, which diverges.

Therefore, the interval of convergence of f'(x) is (-1, 1).

2.
$$F(x) = \int f(x) dx = C + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} = C + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} + \cdots$$

To find the interval of convergence of F(x), we again consider the endpoints of (-1, 1):

$$F(-1) = C - 1 + 1/2 - 1/3 + 1/4 + \cdots$$

The value of *C* is irrelevant; notice that the rest of the series is an Alternating Series that whose terms converge to 0. By the Alternating Series Test, this series converges. (In fact, we can recognize that the terms of the series after *C* are the opposite of the Alternating Harmonic Series. We can thus say that $F(-1) = C - \ln 2$.)

$$F(1) = C + 1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + 1/4 + \cdots$$

Notice that this summation is C + the Harmonic Series, which diverges. Since F converges for x = -1 and diverges for x = 1, the interval of convergence of F(x) is [-1, 1).

The previous example showed how to take the derivative and indefinite integral of a power series without motivation for why we care about such operations. We may care for the sheer mathematical enjoyment "that we can", which is motivation enough for many. However, we would be remiss to not recognize that we can learn a great deal from taking derivatives and indefinite integrals.

Recall that $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$ in Example 8.6.3 is a geometric series. According

to Theorem 8.2.1, this series converges to 1/(1-x) when |x| < 1. Thus we can say

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = \frac{1}{1-x}$$
, on $(-1, 1)$.

Integrating the power series, (as done in Example 8.6.3,) we find

$$F(x) = C_1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1},$$
(8.4)

while integrating the function f(x) = 1/(1-x) gives

$$F(x) = -\ln|1 - x| + C_2. \tag{8.5}$$

Equating Equations (8.4) and (8.5), we have

$$F(x) = C_1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} = -\ln|1-x| + C_2.$$

Letting x = 0, we have $F(0) = C_1 = C_2$. This implies that we can drop the constants and conclude

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} = -\ln|1-x|.$$

We established in Example 8.6.3 that the series on the left converges at x = -1; substituting x = -1 on both sides of the above equality gives

$$-1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{5} + \dots = -\ln 2.$$

On the left we have the opposite of the Alternating Harmonic Series; on the right, we have $-\ln 2$. We conclude that

$$1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \dots = \ln 2$$

Important: We stated in Key Idea 8.2.1 (in Section 8.2) that the Alternating Harmonic Series converges to ln 2, and referred to this fact again in Example 8.5.1 of Section 8.5. However, we never gave an argument for why this was the case. The work above finally shows how we conclude that the Alternating Harmonic Series converges to ln 2.

We use this type of analysis in the next example.

Example 8.6.4 Analyzing power series functions Let $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$. Find f'(x) and $\int f(x) dx$, and use these to analyze the behavior of f(x).

SOLUTION We start by making two notes: first, in Example 8.6.2, we found the interval of convergence of this power series is $(-\infty, \infty)$. Second, we will find it useful later to have a few terms of the series written out:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{6} + \frac{x^4}{24} + \cdots$$
 (8.6)

We now find the derivative:

$$f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \frac{x^{n-1}}{n!}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \cdots$$

Since the series starts at n = 1 and each term refers to (n - 1), we can re-index the series starting with n = 0:

$$=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{x^n}{n!}$$
$$=f(x).$$

We found the derivative of f(x) is f(x). The only functions for which this is true are of the form $y = ce^x$ for some constant c. As f(0) = 1 (see Equation (8.6)), c must be 1. Therefore we conclude that

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} = e^x$$

for all x.

We can also find $\int f(x) dx$:

$$\int f(x) \, dx = C + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n+1}}{n!(n+1)}$$
$$= C + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$$

We write out a few terms of this last series:

$$C + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} = C + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{6} + \frac{x^4}{24} + \cdots$$

The integral of f(x) differs from f(x) only by a constant, again indicating that $f(x) = e^x$.

Example 8.6.4 and the work following Example 8.6.3 established relationships between a power series function and "regular" functions that we have dealt with in the past. In general, given a power series function, it is difficult (if

not impossible) to express the function in terms of elementary functions. We chose examples where things worked out nicely.

In this section's last example, we show how to solve a simple differential equation with a power series.

Example 8.6.5 Solving a differential equation with a power series.

Give the first 4 terms of the power series solution to y' = 2y, where y(0) = 1.

SOLUTION The differential equation y' = 2y describes a function y = f(x) where the derivative of y is twice y and y(0) = 1. This is a rather simple differential equation; with a bit of thought one should realize that if $y = Ce^{2x}$, then $y' = 2Ce^{2x}$, and hence y' = 2y. By letting C = 1 we satisfy the initial condition of y(0) = 1.

Let's ignore the fact that we already know the solution and find a power series function that satisfies the equation. The solution we seek will have the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^3 + \cdots$$

for unknown coefficients a_n . We can find f'(x) using Theorem 8.6.3:

$$f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cdot n \cdot x^{n-1} = a_1 + 2a_2x + 3a_3x^2 + 4a_4x^3 \cdots$$

Since f'(x) = 2f(x), we have

$$a_1 + 2a_2x + 3a_3x^2 + 4a_4x^3 \dots = 2(a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + \dots)$$

= $2a_0 + 2a_1x + 2a_2x^2 + 2a_3x^3 + \dots$

The coefficients of like powers of x must be equal, so we find that

$$a_1 = 2a_0, \quad 2a_2 = 2a_1, \quad 3a_3 = 2a_2, \quad 4a_4 = 2a_3, \quad \text{etc.}$$

The initial condition y(0) = f(0) = 1 indicates that $a_0 = 1$; with this, we can find the values of the other coefficients:

$$a_0 = 1 \text{ and } a_1 = 2a_0 \Rightarrow a_1 = 2;$$

 $a_1 = 2 \text{ and } 2a_2 = 2a_1 \Rightarrow a_2 = 4/2 = 2;$
 $a_2 = 2 \text{ and } 3a_3 = 2a_2 \Rightarrow a_3 = 8/(2 \cdot 3) = 4/3;$
 $a_3 = 4/3 \text{ and } 4a_4 = 2a_3 \Rightarrow a_4 = 16/(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4) = 2/3.$

Thus the first 5 terms of the power series solution to the differential equation y' = 2y is

$$f(x) = 1 + 2x + 2x^2 + \frac{4}{3}x^3 + \frac{2}{3}x^4 + \cdots$$

In Section 8.8, as we study Taylor Series, we will learn how to recognize this series as describing $y = e^{2x}$.

Our last example illustrates that it can be difficult to recognize an elementary function by its power series expansion. It is far easier to start with a known function, expressed in terms of elementary functions, and represent it as a power series function. One may wonder why we would bother doing so, as the latter function probably seems more complicated. In the next two sections, we show both *how* to do this and *why* such a process can be beneficial.

Exercises 8.6

Terms and Concepts

- We adopt the convention that x⁰ = _____, regardless of the value of x.
- 2. What is the difference between the radius of convergence and the interval of convergence?
- 3. If the radius of convergence of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ is 5, what is the radius of convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \cdot a_n x^{n-1}$?
- 4. If the radius of convergence of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ is 5, what is the radius of convergence of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n a_n x^n$?

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 8, write out the sum of the first 5 terms of the given power series.

5.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n x^n$$

6.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} x^n$$

7.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} x^n$$

8.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} x^{2n}$$

In Exercises 9 – 24, a power series is given.

- (a) Find the radius of convergence.
- (b) Find the interval of convergence.

9.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n!} x^n$$

10.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n x^n$$

11.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (x-3)^n}{n}$$

12.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x+4)^n}{n!}$$

$$13. \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{2^n}$$

14.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (x-5)^n}{10^n}$$

15.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 5^n (x-1)^n$$

16.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-2)^n x^n$$

17.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} x^n$$

$$18. \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n}{3^n} x^n$$

19.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{3^n}{n!} (x-5)^n$$

20.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n n! (x-10)^n$$

21.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n^2}$$
22.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(x+2)^n}{n^3}$$
23.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \left(\frac{x}{10}\right)^n$$

$$24. \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^2 \left(\frac{x+4}{4}\right)^n$$

In Exercises 25 – 30, a function $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ is given.

- (a) Give a power series for f'(x) and its interval of convergence.
- (b) Give a power series for $\int f(x) dx$ and its interval of convergence.

$$25. \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} nx^n$$

26.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n}$$
27.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^n$$

$$28. \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-3x)^n$$

29.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{(2n)!}$$

30.
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^n}{n!}$$

In Exercises 31 - 36, give the first 5 terms of the series that is a solution to the given differential equation.

31.
$$y' = 3y$$
, $y(0) = 1$
32. $y' = 5y$, $y(0) = 5$
33. $y' = y^2$, $y(0) = 1$
34. $y' = y + 1$, $y(0) = 1$
35. $y'' = -y$, $y(0) = 0$, $y'(0) = 1$
36. $y'' = 2y$, $y(0) = 1$, $y'(0) = 1$

8.7 Taylor Polynomials

Consider a function y = f(x) and a point (c, f(c)). The derivative, f'(c), gives the instantaneous rate of change of f at x = c. Of all lines that pass through the point (c, f(c)), the line that best approximates f at this point is the tangent line; that is, the line whose slope (rate of change) is f'(c).

In Figure 8.7.1, we see a function y = f(x) graphed. The table below the graph shows that f(0) = 2 and f'(0) = 1; therefore, the tangent line to f at x = 0 is $p_1(x) = 1(x-0)+2 = x+2$. The tangent line is also given in the figure. Note that "near" x = 0, $p_1(x) \approx f(x)$; that is, the tangent line approximates f well.

One shortcoming of this approximation is that the tangent line only matches the slope of f; it does not, for instance, match the concavity of f. We can find a polynomial, $p_2(x)$, that does match the concavity without much difficulty, though. The table in Figure 8.7.1 gives the following information:

$$f(0) = 2$$
 $f'(0) = 1$ $f''(0) = 2$.

Therefore, we want our polynomial $p_2(x)$ to have these same properties. That is, we need

$$p_2(0) = 2$$
 $p'_2(0) = 1$ $p''_2(0) = 2$


This is simply an initial-value problem. We can solve this using the techniques first described in Section 5.1. To keep $p_2(x)$ as simple as possible, we'll assume that not only $p_2''(0) = 2$, but that $p_2''(x) = 2$. That is, the second derivative of p_2 is constant.

If $p_2''(x) = 2$, then $p_2'(x) = 2x + C$ for some constant *C*. Since we have determined that $p_2'(0) = 1$, we find that C = 1 and so $p_2'(x) = 2x + 1$. Finally, we can compute $p_2(x) = x^2 + x + C$. Using our initial values, we know $p_2(0) = 2$ so C = 2. We conclude that $p_2(x) = x^2 + x + 2$. This function is plotted with *f* in Figure 8.7.2.

We can repeat this approximation process by creating polynomials of higher degree that match more of the derivatives of f at x = 0. In general, a polynomial of degree n can be created to match the first n derivatives of f. Figure 8.7.2 also shows $p_4(x) = -x^4/2 - x^3/6 + x^2 + x + 2$, whose first four derivatives at 0 match those of f. (Using the table in Figure 8.7.1, start with $p_4^{(4)}(x) = -12$ and solve the related initial–value problem.)

As we use more and more derivatives, our polynomial approximation to f gets better and better. In this example, the interval on which the approximation is "good" gets bigger and bigger. Figure 8.7.3 shows $p_{13}(x)$; we can visually affirm that this polynomial approximates f very well on [-2, 3]. (The polynomial $p_{13}(x)$ is not particularly "nice". It is

$$\frac{16901x^{13}}{6227020800} + \frac{13x^{12}}{1209600} - \frac{1321x^{11}}{39916800} - \frac{779x^{10}}{1814400} - \frac{359x^9}{362880} + \frac{x^8}{240} + \frac{139x^7}{5040} + \frac{11x^6}{360} - \frac{19x^5}{120} - \frac{x^4}{2} - \frac{x^3}{6} + x^2 + x + 2.5x^{10} + \frac{11x^6}{2} + \frac{11x^$$



 $\begin{array}{ll} f(0) = 2 & f'''(0) = -1 \\ f'(0) = 1 & f^{(4)}(0) = -12 \\ f''(0) = 2 & f^{(5)}(0) = -19 \end{array}$

Figure 8.7.1: Plotting y = f(x) and a table of derivatives of f evaluated at 0.




Figure 8.7.2: Plotting f, p_2 and p_4 .




Figure 8.7.3: Plotting f and p_{13} .

The polynomials we have created are examples of *Taylor polynomials*, named after the British mathematician Brook Taylor who made important discoveries about such functions. While we created the above Taylor polynomials by solving initial–value problems, it can be shown that Taylor polynomials follow a general pattern that make their formation much more direct. This is described in the following definition.

Definition 8.7.1 Taylor Polynomial, Maclaurin Polynomial

Let *f* be a function whose first *n* derivatives exist at x = c.

1. The **Taylor polynomial of degree** *n* **of** *f* **at** *x* = *c* is

$$p_n(x) = f(c) + f'(c)(x-c) + \frac{f''(c)}{2!}(x-c)^2 + \frac{f'''(c)}{3!}(x-c)^3 + \dots + \frac{f^{(n)}(c)}{n!}(x-c)^n.$$

A special case of the Taylor polynomial is the Maclaurin polynomial, where c =
 That is, the Maclaurin polynomial of degree n of f is

$$p_n(x) = f(0) + f'(0)x + \frac{f''(0)}{2!}x^2 + \frac{f'''(0)}{3!}x^3 + \dots + \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!}x^n.$$

We will practice creating Taylor and Maclaurin polynomials in the following examples.

Example 8.7.1 Finding and using Maclaurin polynomials

- 1. Find the n^{th} Maclaurin polynomial for $f(x) = e^x$.
- 2. Use $p_5(x)$ to approximate the value of e.

SOLUTION

1. We start with creating a table of the derivatives of e^x evaluated at x = 0. In this particular case, this is relatively simple, as shown in Figure 8.7.4. By the definition of the Maclaurin series, we have

$$p_n(x) = f(0) + f'(0)x + \frac{f''(0)}{2!}x^2 + \frac{f'''(0)}{3!}x^3 + \dots + \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!}x^n$$

= 1 + x + $\frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{24}x^4 + \dots + \frac{1}{n!}x^n$.

Notes:

$$f(x) = e^{x} \implies f(0) = 1$$

$$f'(x) = e^{x} \implies f'(0) = 1$$

$$f''(x) = e^{x} \implies f''(0) = 1$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f^{(n)}(x) = e^{x} \implies f^{(n)}(0) = 1$$

Figure 8.7.4: The derivatives of $f(x) = e^x$ evaluated at x = 0.

2. Using our answer from part 1, we have

$$p_5 = 1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{24}x^4 + \frac{1}{120}x^5$$

To approximate the value of *e*, note that $e = e^1 = f(1) \approx p_5(1)$. It is very straightforward to evaluate $p_5(1)$:

$$p_5(1) = 1 + 1 + rac{1}{2} + rac{1}{6} + rac{1}{24} + rac{1}{120} = rac{163}{60} \approx 2.71667.$$

A plot of $f(x) = e^x$ and $p_5(x)$ is given in Figure 8.7.5.

Example 8.7.2 Finding and using Taylor polynomials

- 1. Find the n^{th} Taylor polynomial of $y = \ln x$ at x = 1.
- 2. Use $p_6(x)$ to approximate the value of ln 1.5.
- 3. Use $p_6(x)$ to approximate the value of ln 2.

SOLUTION

1. We begin by creating a table of derivatives of $\ln x$ evaluated at x = 1. While this is not as straightforward as it was in the previous example, a pattern does emerge, as shown in Figure 8.7.6.

Using Definition 8.7.1, we have

$$p_n(x) = f(c) + f'(c)(x-c) + \frac{f''(c)}{2!}(x-c)^2 + \frac{f'''(c)}{3!}(x-c)^3 + \dots + \frac{f^{(n)}(c)}{n!}(x-c)^n$$

= 0 + (x - 1) - $\frac{1}{2}(x-1)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(x-1)^3 - \frac{1}{4}(x-1)^4 + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}(x-1)^n.$

Note how the coefficients of the (x - 1) terms turn out to be "nice."

2. We can compute $p_6(x)$ using our work above:

$$p_6(x) = (x-1) - \frac{1}{2}(x-1)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(x-1)^3 - \frac{1}{4}(x-1)^4 + \frac{1}{5}(x-1)^5 - \frac{1}{6}(x-1)^6$$

Since $p_6(x)$ approximates $\ln x$ well near x = 1, we approximate $\ln 1.5 \approx p_6(1.5)$:

Notes:




Figure 8.7.5: A plot of $f(x) = e^x$ and its 5th degree Maclaurin polynomial $p_5(x)$.

$f(x) = \ln x$	\Rightarrow	f(1) = 0
f'(x) = 1/x	\Rightarrow	f'(1) = 1
$f^{\prime\prime}(x) = -1/x^2$	\Rightarrow	$f^{\prime\prime}(1)=-1$
$f^{\prime\prime\prime}(x) = 2/x^3$	\Rightarrow	$f^{\prime\prime\prime}(1)=2$
$f^{(4)}(x) = -6/x^4$	\Rightarrow	$f^{(4)}(1) = -6$
:		:
$f^{(n)}(x) =$	\Rightarrow	$f^{(n)}(1) =$
$\frac{(-1)^{n+1}(n-1)!}{x^n}$		$(-1)^{n+1}(n-1)!$

Figure 8.7.6: Derivatives of $\ln x$ evaluated at x = 1.




Figure 8.7.7: A plot of $y = \ln x$ and its 6th degree Taylor polynomial at x = 1.




Figure 8.7.8: A plot of $y = \ln x$ and its 20th degree Taylor polynomial at x = 1.

$$p_{6}(1.5) = (1.5 - 1) - \frac{1}{2}(1.5 - 1)^{2} + \frac{1}{3}(1.5 - 1)^{3} - \frac{1}{4}(1.5 - 1)^{4} + \cdots$$
$$\cdots + \frac{1}{5}(1.5 - 1)^{5} - \frac{1}{6}(1.5 - 1)^{6}$$
$$= \frac{259}{640}$$
$$\approx 0.404688.$$

This is a good approximation as a calculator shows that $\ln 1.5 \approx 0.4055$. Figure 8.7.7 plots $y = \ln x$ with $y = p_6(x)$. We can see that $\ln 1.5 \approx p_6(1.5)$.

3. We approximate ln 2 with $p_6(2)$:

$$p_{6}(2) = (2-1) - \frac{1}{2}(2-1)^{2} + \frac{1}{3}(2-1)^{3} - \frac{1}{4}(2-1)^{4} + \cdots$$

$$\cdots + \frac{1}{5}(2-1)^{5} - \frac{1}{6}(2-1)^{6}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{6}$$

$$= \frac{37}{60}$$

$$\approx 0.616667.$$

This approximation is not terribly impressive: a hand held calculator shows that $\ln 2 \approx 0.693147$. The graph in Figure 8.7.7 shows that $p_6(x)$ provides less accurate approximations of $\ln x$ as x gets close to 0 or 2.

Surprisingly enough, even the 20th degree Taylor polynomial fails to approximate $\ln x$ for x > 2, as shown in Figure 8.7.8. We'll soon discuss why this is.

Taylor polynomials are used to approximate functions f(x) in mainly two situations:

1. When f(x) is known, but perhaps "hard" to compute directly. For instance, we can define $y = \cos x$ as either the ratio of sides of a right triangle ("adjacent over hypotenuse") or with the unit circle. However, neither of these provides a convenient way of computing cos 2. A Taylor polynomial of sufficiently high degree can provide a reasonable method of computing such values using only operations usually hard–wired into a computer (+, $-, \times$ and \div).

Notes:

2. When f(x) is not known, but information about its derivatives is known. This occurs more often than one might think, especially in the study of differential equations.

In both situations, a critical piece of information to have is "How good is my approximation?" If we use a Taylor polynomial to compute cos 2, how do we know how accurate the approximation is?

We had the same problem when studying Numerical Integration. Theorem 5.5.1 provided bounds on the error when using, say, Simpson's Rule to approximate a definite integral. These bounds allowed us to determine that, for instance, using 10 subintervals provided an approximation within \pm .01 of the exact value. The following theorem gives similar bounds for Taylor (and hence Maclaurin) polynomials.

Theorem 8.7.1 Taylor's Theorem

1. Let *f* be a function whose n + 1th derivative exists on an interval *I* and let *c* be in *I*. Then, for each *x* in *I*, there exists z_x between *x* and *c* such that

$$f(x) = f(c) + f'(c)(x - c) + \frac{f''(c)}{2!}(x - c)^2 + \dots + \frac{f^{(n)}(c)}{n!}(x - c)^n + R_n(x),$$

where $R_n(x) = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(z_x)}{(n+1)!}(x - c)^{(n+1)}.$
 $|R_n(x)| \le \frac{\max |f^{(n+1)}(z)|}{(n+1)!} |(x - c)^{(n+1)}|,$ where z is in I.

The first part of Taylor's Theorem states that $f(x) = p_n(x) + R_n(x)$, where $p_n(x)$ is the n^{th} order Taylor polynomial and $R_n(x)$ is the remainder, or error, in the Taylor approximation. The second part gives bounds on how big that error can be. If the $(n + 1)^{\text{th}}$ derivative is large on *I*, the error may be large; if *x* is far from *c*, the error may also be large. However, the (n + 1)! term in the denominator tends to ensure that the error gets smaller as *n* increases.

The following example computes error estimates for the approximations of In 1.5 and In 2 made in Example 8.7.2.

Example 8.7.3 Finding error bounds of a Taylor polynomial

(n+1)!

Use Theorem 8.7.1 to find error bounds when approximating $\ln 1.5$ and $\ln 2$ with $p_6(x)$, the Taylor polynomial of degree 6 of $f(x) = \ln x$ at x = 1, as calculated in Example 8.7.2.

Notes:

2.

Note: Even though Taylor polynomials *could* be used in calculators and computers to calculate values of trigonometric functions, in practice they generally aren't. Other more efficient and accurate methods have been developed, such as the CORDIC algorithm.

SOLUTION

1. We start with the approximation of ln 1.5 with $p_6(1.5)$. The theorem references an open interval *I* that contains both *x* and *c*. The smaller the interval we use the better; it will give us a more accurate (and smaller!) approximation of the error. We let I = (0.9, 1.6), as this interval contains both c = 1 and x = 1.5.

The theorem references max $|f^{(n+1)}(z)|$. In our situation, this is asking "How big can the 7th derivative of $y = \ln x$ be on the interval (0.9, 1.6)?" The seventh derivative is $y = -6!/x^7$. The largest value it attains on *I* is about 1506. Thus we can bound the error as:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| R_{6}(1.5) \right| &\leq \frac{\max \left| f^{(7)}(z) \right|}{7!} \left| (1.5-1)^{7} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1506}{5040} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{7}} \\ &\approx 0.0023. \end{aligned}$$

We computed $p_6(1.5) = 0.404688$; using a calculator, we find ln 1.5 \approx 0.405465, so the actual error is about 0.000778, which is less than our bound of 0.0023. This affirms Taylor's Theorem; the theorem states that our approximation would be within about 2 thousandths of the actual value, whereas the approximation was actually closer.

2. We again find an interval *I* that contains both c = 1 and x = 2; we choose I = (0.9, 2.1). The maximum value of the seventh derivative of *f* on this interval is again about 1506 (as the largest values come near x = 0.9). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left| R_{6}(2) \right| &\leq \frac{\max \left| f^{(7)}(z) \right|}{7!} \left| (2-1)^{7} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1506}{5040} \cdot 1^{7} \\ &\approx 0.30. \end{aligned}$$

This bound is not as nearly as good as before. Using the degree 6 Taylor polynomial at x = 1 will bring us within 0.3 of the correct answer. As $p_6(2) \approx 0.61667$, our error estimate guarantees that the actual value of ln 2 is somewhere between 0.31667 and 0.91667. These bounds are not particularly useful.

In reality, our approximation was only off by about 0.07. However, we are approximating ostensibly because we do not know the real answer. In order to be assured that we have a good approximation, we would have to resort to using a polynomial of higher degree.

We practice again. This time, we use Taylor's theorem to find *n* that guarantees our approximation is within a certain amount.

Example 8.7.4 Finding sufficiently accurate Taylor polynomials

Find *n* such that the *n*th Taylor polynomial of $f(x) = \cos x$ at x = 0 approximates cos 2 to within 0.001 of the actual answer. What is $p_n(2)$?

SOLUTION Following Taylor's theorem, we need bounds on the size of the derivatives of $f(x) = \cos x$. In the case of this trigonometric function, this is easy. All derivatives of cosine are $\pm \sin x$ or $\pm \cos x$. In all cases, these functions are never greater than 1 in absolute value. We want the error to be less than 0.001. To find the appropriate *n*, consider the following inequalities:

$$\frac{\max |f^{(n+1)}(z)|}{(n+1)!} |(2-0)^{(n+1)}| \le 0.001$$
$$\frac{1}{(n+1)!} \cdot 2^{(n+1)} \le 0.001$$

We find an *n* that satisfies this last inequality with trial-and-error. When n = 8, we have $\frac{2^{8+1}}{(8+1)!} \approx 0.0014$; when n = 9, we have $\frac{2^{9+1}}{(9+1)!} \approx 0.000282 < 0.001$. Thus we want to approximate cos 2 with $p_9(2)$.

We now set out to compute $p_9(x)$. We again need a table of the derivatives of $f(x) = \cos x$ evaluated at x = 0. A table of these values is given in Figure 8.7.9. Notice how the derivatives, evaluated at x = 0, follow a certain pattern. All the odd powers of x in the Taylor polynomial will disappear as their coefficient is 0. While our error bounds state that we need $p_9(x)$, our work shows that this will be the same as $p_8(x)$.

Since we are forming our polynomial at x = 0, we are creating a Maclaurin polynomial, and:

$$p_8(x) = f(0) + f'(0)x + \frac{f''(0)}{2!}x^2 + \frac{f'''(0)}{3!}x^3 + \dots + \frac{f^{(8)}(0)}{8!}x^8$$

= $1 - \frac{1}{2!}x^2 + \frac{1}{4!}x^4 - \frac{1}{6!}x^6 + \frac{1}{8!}x^8$

We finally approximate cos 2:

$$\cos 2 \approx p_8(2) = -\frac{131}{315} \approx -0.41587.$$

Our error bound guarantee that this approximation is within 0.001 of the correct answer. Technology shows us that our approximation is actually within about 0.0003 of the correct answer.

Figure 8.7.10 shows a graph of $y = p_8(x)$ and $y = \cos x$. Note how well the two functions agree on about $(-\pi, \pi)$.

$f(x) = \cos x$	\Rightarrow	f(0) = 1
$f'(x) = -\sin x$	\Rightarrow	f'(0) = 0
$f''(x) = -\cos x$	\Rightarrow	$f^{\prime\prime}(0) = -1$
$f^{\prime\prime\prime}(x) = \sin x$	\Rightarrow	f'''(0) = 0
$f^{(4)}(x) = \cos x$	\Rightarrow	$f^{(4)}(0) = 1$
$f^{(5)}(x) = -\sin x$	\Rightarrow	$f^{(5)}(0) = 0$
$f^{(6)}(x) = -\cos x$	\Rightarrow	$f^{(6)}(0) = -1$
$f^{(7)}(x) = \sin x$	\Rightarrow	$f^{(7)}(0) = 0$
$f^{(8)}(x) = \cos x$	\Rightarrow	$f^{(8)}(0) = 1$
$f^{(9)}(x) = -\sin x$	\Rightarrow	$f^{(9)}(0) = 0$

Figure 8.7.9: A table of the derivatives of $f(x) = \cos x$ evaluated at x = 0.




Figure 8.7.10: A graph of $f(x) = \cos x$ and its degree 8 Maclaurin polynomial.

Γ.

$$f(x) = \sqrt{x} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad f(4) = 2$$

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad f'(4) = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$f''(x) = \frac{-1}{4x^{3/2}} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad f''(4) = \frac{-1}{32}$$

$$f'''(x) = \frac{3}{8x^{5/2}} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad f'''(4) = \frac{3}{256}$$

$$f^{(4)}(x) = \frac{-15}{16x^{7/2}} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad f^{(4)}(4) = \frac{-15}{2048}$$

f(A)

Figure 8.7.11: A table of the derivatives of $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ evaluated at x = 4.




Figure 8.7.12: A graph of $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ and its degree 4 Taylor polynomial at x = 4.

Example 8.7.5 Finding and using Taylor polynomials

- 1. Find the degree 4 Taylor polynomial, $p_4(x)$, for $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ at x = 4.
- 2. Use $p_4(x)$ to approximate $\sqrt{3}$.
- 3. Find bounds on the error when approximating $\sqrt{3}$ with $p_4(3)$.

SOLUTION

1. We begin by evaluating the derivatives of f at x = 4. This is done in Figure 8.7.11. These values allow us to form the Taylor polynomial $p_4(x)$:

$$p_4(x) = 2 + \frac{1}{4}(x-4) + \frac{-1/32}{2!}(x-4)^2 + \frac{3/256}{3!}(x-4)^3 + \frac{-15/2048}{4!}(x-4)^4.$$

- 2. As $p_4(x) \approx \sqrt{x}$ near x = 4, we approximate $\sqrt{3}$ with $p_4(3) = 1.73212$.
- 3. To find a bound on the error, we need an open interval that contains x = 3and x = 4. We set I = (2.9, 4.1). The largest value the fifth derivative of $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ takes on this interval is near x = 2.9, at about 0.0273. Thus

$$\left| \textit{R}_{4}(3)
ight| \leq rac{0.0273}{5!} \left| (3-4)^{5}
ight| pprox 0.00023.$$

This shows our approximation is accurate to at least the first 2 places after the decimal. (It turns out that our approximation is actually accurate to 4 places after the decimal.) A graph of $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ and $p_4(x)$ is given in Figure 8.7.12. Note how the two functions are nearly indistinguishable on (2,7).

Our final example gives a brief introduction to using Taylor polynomials to solve differential equations.

Example 8.7.6 Approximating an unknown function

A function y = f(x) is unknown save for the following two facts.

- 1. y(0) = f(0) = 1, and
- 2. $y' = y^2$

(This second fact says that amazingly, the derivative of the function is actually the function squared!)

Find the degree 3 Maclaurin polynomial $p_3(x)$ of y = f(x).

SOLUTION One might initially think that not enough information is given to find $p_3(x)$. However, note how the second fact above actually lets us know what y'(0) is:

$$y' = y^2 \Rightarrow y'(0) = y^2(0).$$

Since y(0) = 1, we conclude that y'(0) = 1.

Now we find information about y''. Starting with $y' = y^2$, take derivatives of both sides, with respect to x. That means we must use implicit differentiation.

$$y' = y^{2}$$
$$\frac{d}{dx}(y') = \frac{d}{dx}(y^{2})$$
$$y'' = 2y \cdot y'.$$

Now evaluate both sides at x = 0:

$$y''(0) = 2y(0) \cdot y'(0)$$

 $y''(0) = 2$

We repeat this once more to find y'''(0). We again use implicit differentiation; this time the Product Rule is also required.

$$\frac{d}{dx}(y'') = \frac{d}{dx}(2yy')$$
$$y''' = 2y' \cdot y' + 2y \cdot y''$$

Now evaluate both sides at x = 0:

$$y'''(0) = 2y'(0)^2 + 2y(0)y''(0)$$

$$y'''(0) = 2 + 4 = 6$$


In summary, we have:


$$y(0) = 1$$
 $y'(0) = 1$ $y''(0) = 2$ $y'''(0) = 6.$

We can now form $p_3(x)$:

$$p_3(x) = 1 + x + \frac{2}{2!}x^2 + \frac{6}{3!}x^3$$
$$= 1 + x + x^2 + x^3.$$

It turns out that the differential equation we started with, $y' = y^2$, where y(0) = 1, can be solved without too much difficulty: $y = \frac{1}{1-x}$. Figure 8.7.13 shows this function plotted with $p_3(x)$. Note how similar they are near x = 0.





It is beyond the scope of this text to pursue error analysis when using Taylor polynomials to approximate solutions to differential equations. This topic is often broached in introductory Differential Equations courses and usually covered in depth in Numerical Analysis courses. Such an analysis is very important; one needs to know how good their approximation is. We explored this example simply to demonstrate the usefulness of Taylor polynomials.

Most of this chapter has been devoted to the study of infinite series. This section has taken a step back from this study, focusing instead on finite summation of terms. In the next section, we explore **Taylor Series**, where we represent a function with an infinite series.

Exercises 8.7

Terms and Concepts

- 1. What is the difference between a Taylor polynomial and a Maclaurin polynomial?
- T/F: In general, p_n(x) approximates f(x) better and better as n gets larger.
- 3. For some function f(x), the Maclaurin polynomial of degree 4 is $p_4(x) = 6 + 3x - 4x^2 + 5x^3 - 7x^4$. What is $p_2(x)$?
- 4. For some function f(x), the Maclaurin polynomial of degree 4 is $p_4(x) = 6 + 3x - 4x^2 + 5x^3 - 7x^4$. What is f'''(0)?

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 12, find the Maclaurin polynomial of degree *n* for the given function.

5. $f(x) = e^{-x}$, n = 3

6.
$$f(x) = \sin x$$
, $n = 8$

- 7. $f(x) = x \cdot e^x$, n = 5
- 8. $f(x) = \tan x$, n = 6
- 9. $f(x) = e^{2x}$, n = 4
- 10. $f(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}, \quad n = 4$

11.
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x}$$
, $n = 4$

12.
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x}, \quad n = 7$$

- In Exercises 13 20, find the Taylor polynomial of degree n, at x = c, for the given function.
- 13. $f(x) = \sqrt{x}, \quad n = 4, \quad c = 1$ 14. $f(x) = \ln(x+1), \quad n = 4, \quad c = 1$ 15. $f(x) = \cos x, \quad n = 6, \quad c = \pi/4$ 16. $f(x) = \sin x, \quad n = 5, \quad c = \pi/6$ 17. $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}, \quad n = 5, \quad c = 2$ 18. $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}, \quad n = 8, \quad c = 1$ 19. $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2+1}, \quad n = 3, \quad c = -1$

20. $f(x) = x^2 \cos x$, n = 2, $c = \pi$

In Exercises 21 – 24, approximate the function value with the indicated Taylor polynomial and give approximate bounds on the error.

- 21. Approximate sin 0.1 with the Maclaurin polynomial of degree 3.
- 22. Approximate cos 1 with the Maclaurin polynomial of degree 4.
- 23. Approximate $\sqrt{10}$ with the Taylor polynomial of degree 2 centered at x = 9.
- 24. Approximate ln 1.5 with the Taylor polynomial of degree 3 centered at x = 1.

Exercises 25 – 28 ask for an *n* to be found such that $p_n(x)$ approximates f(x) within a certain bound of accuracy.

- 25. Find *n* such that the Maclaurin polynomial of degree *n* of $f(x) = e^x$ approximates *e* within 0.0001 of the actual value.
- 26. Find *n* such that the Taylor polynomial of degree *n* of $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$, centered at x = 4, approximates $\sqrt{3}$ within 0.0001 of the actual value.
- 27. Find *n* such that the Maclaurin polynomial of degree *n* of $f(x) = \cos x$ approximates $\cos \pi/3$ within 0.0001 of the actual value.
- 28. Find *n* such that the Maclaurin polynomial of degree *n* of $f(x) = \sin x$ approximates $\cos \pi$ within 0.0001 of the actual value.

In Exercises 29 – 34, find the n^{th} term of the indicated Taylor polynomial.

- 29. Find a formula for the n^{th} term of the Maclaurin polynomial for $f(x) = e^x$.
- 30. Find a formula for the n^{th} term of the Maclaurin polynomial for $f(x) = \cos x$.
- 31. Find a formula for the n^{th} term of the Maclaurin polynomial for $f(x) = \sin x$.
- 32. Find a formula for the n^{th} term of the Maclaurin polynomial for $f(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}$.
- 33. Find a formula for the n^{th} term of the Maclaurin polynomial for $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x}$.
- 34. Find a formula for the n^{th} term of the Taylor polynomial for $f(x) = \ln x$ centered at x = 1.

In Exercises 35 – 37, approximate the solution to the given differential equation with a degree 4 Maclaurin polynomial.

36. y' = 5y, y(0) = 3

35.
$$y' = y$$
, $y(0) = 1$ 37. $y' = \frac{2}{y}$, $y(0) = 1$

8.8 Taylor Series

In Section 8.6, we showed how certain functions can be represented by a power series function. In Section 8.7, we showed how we can approximate functions with polynomials, given that enough derivative information is available. In this section we combine these concepts: if a function f(x) is infinitely differentiable, we show how to represent it with a power series function.

Definition 8.8.1 Taylor and Maclaurin Series
Let f(x) have derivatives of all orders at x = c.
1. The Taylor Series of f(x), centered at c is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(c)}{n!} (x-c)^n.$$

2. Setting c = 0 gives the Maclaurin Series of f(x):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} x^n.$$

If $p_n(x)$ is the n^{th} degree Taylor polynomial for f(x) centered at x = c, we saw how f(x) is *approximately equal* to $p_n(x)$ near x = c. We also saw how increasing the degree of the polynomial generally reduced the error.

We are now considering *series*, where we sum an infinite set of terms. Our ultimate hope is to see the error vanish and claim a function is *equal* to its Taylor series.

When creating the Taylor polynomial of degree *n* for a function f(x) at x = c, we needed to evaluate *f*, and the first *n* derivatives of *f*, at x = c. When creating the Taylor series of *f*, it helps to find a pattern that describes the *n*th derivative of *f* at x = c. We demonstrate this in the next two examples.

Example 8.8.1 The Maclaurin series of $f(x) = \cos x$

Find the Maclaurin series of $f(x) = \cos x$.

SOLUTION In Example 8.7.4 we found the 8th degree Maclaurin polynomial of cos x. In doing so, we created the table shown in Figure 8.8.1. Notice how $f^{(n)}(0) = 0$ when n is odd, $f^{(n)}(0) = 1$ when n is divisible by 4, and $f^{(n)}(0) = -1$ when n is even but not divisible by 4. Thus the Maclaurin series

$f(x) = \cos x$	\Rightarrow	f(0) = 1
$f'(x) = -\sin x$	\Rightarrow	f'(0) = 0
$f''(x) = -\cos x$	\Rightarrow	$f^{\prime\prime}(0)=-1$
$f^{\prime\prime\prime}(x) = \sin x$	\Rightarrow	f'''(0) = 0
$f^{(4)}(x) = \cos x$	\Rightarrow	$f^{(4)}(0) = 1$
$f^{(5)}(x) = -\sin x$	\Rightarrow	$f^{(5)}(0) = 0$
$f^{(6)}(x) = -\cos x$	\Rightarrow	$f^{(6)}(0) = -1$
$f^{(7)}(x) = \sin x$	\Rightarrow	$f^{(7)}(0) = 0$
$f^{(8)}(x) = \cos x$	\Rightarrow	$f^{(8)}(0) = 1$
$f^{(9)}(x) = -\sin x$	\Rightarrow	$f^{(9)}(0) = 0$

Figure 8.8.1: A table of the derivatives of
$f(x) = \cos x$ evaluated at $x = 0$.

of cos x is

$$1 - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \frac{x^6}{6!} + \frac{x^8}{8!} - \cdots$$

We can go further and write this as a summation. Since we only need the terms where the power of x is even, we write the power series in terms of x^{2n} :

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!}$$

This Maclaurin series is a special type of power series. As such, we should determine its interval of convergence. Applying the Ratio Test, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^{2(n+1)}}{(2(n+1))!} \right| / \left| (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!} \right| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{x^{2n+2}}{x^{2n}} \right| \frac{(2n)!}{(2n+2)!}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{x^2}{(2n+2)(2n+1)!}$$

For any fixed x, this limit is 0. Therefore this power series has an infinite radius of convergence, converging for all x. It is important to note what we have, and have not, determined: we have determined the Maclaurin series for $\cos x$ along with its interval of convergence. We *have not* shown that $\cos x$ is *equal* to this power series.

Example 8.8.2 The Taylor series of $f(x) = \ln x$ at x = 1Find the Taylor series of $f(x) = \ln x$ centered at x = 1.

SOLUTION Figure 8.8.2 shows the n^{th} derivative of ln x evaluated at x = 1 for n = 0, ..., 5, along with an expression for the n^{th} term:

$$f^{(n)}(1) = (-1)^{n+1}(n-1)!$$
 for $n \ge 1$

Remember that this is what distinguishes Taylor series from Taylor polynomials; we are very interested in finding a pattern for the n^{th} term, not just finding a finite set of coefficients for a polynomial. Since $f(1) = \ln 1 = 0$, we skip the first term and start the summation with n = 1, giving the Taylor series for $\ln x$, centered at x = 1, as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} (n-1)! \frac{1}{n!} (x-1)^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x-1)^n}{n!}$$

We now determine the interval of convergence, using the Ratio Test.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| (-1)^{n+2} \frac{(x-1)^{n+1}}{n+1} \right| / \left| (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x-1)^n}{n} \right| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{(x-1)^{n+1}}{(x-1)^n} \right| \frac{n}{n+1} = |(x-1)|.$$

Notes:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(x) = \ln x & \Rightarrow & f(1) = 0 \\ f'(x) = 1/x & \Rightarrow & f'(1) = 1 \\ f''(x) = -1/x^2 & \Rightarrow & f'''(1) = -1 \\ f'''(x) = 2/x^3 & \Rightarrow & f'''(1) = 2 \\ f^{(4)}(x) = -6/x^4 & \Rightarrow & f^{(4)}(1) = -6 \\ f^{(5)}(x) = 24/x^5 & \Rightarrow & f^{(5)}(1) = 24 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ f^{(n)}(x) = & \Rightarrow & f^{(n)}(1) = \\ \hline \frac{(-1)^{n+1}(n-1)!}{x^n} & & (-1)^{n+1}(n-1)! \end{array}$$

Figure 8.8.2: Derivatives of $\ln x$ evaluated at x = 1.

By the Ratio Test, we have convergence when |(x - 1)| < 1: the radius of convergence is 1, and we have convergence on (0, 2). We now check the endpoints.

At x = 0, the series is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n},$$

which diverges (it is the Harmonic Series times (-1).) At x = 2, the series is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(1)^n}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{n},$$

the Alternating Harmonic Series, which converges.

We have found the Taylor series of $\ln x$ centered at x = 1, and have determined the series converges on (0, 2]. We cannot (yet) say that $\ln x$ is equal to this Taylor series on (0, 2].

It is important to note that Definition 8.8.1 defines a Taylor series given a function f(x), but makes no claim about their equality. We will find that "most of the time" they are equal, but we need to consider the conditions that allow us to conclude this.

Theorem 8.7.1 states that the error between a function f(x) and its n^{th} -degree Taylor polynomial $p_n(x)$ is $R_n(x)$, where

$$|R_n(x)| \leq \frac{\max |f^{(n+1)}(z)|}{(n+1)!} |(x-c)^{(n+1)}|.$$

If $R_n(x)$ goes to 0 for each x in an interval *I* as *n* approaches infinity, we conclude that the function is equal to its Taylor series expansion.

Theorem 8.8.1 Function and Taylor Series Equality

Let f(x) have derivatives of all orders at x = c, let $R_n(x)$ be as stated in Theorem 8.7.1, and let *I* be an interval on which the Taylor series of f(x)converges. If $\lim_{x \to a} R_n(x) = 0$ for all x in *I*, then

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(c)}{n!} (x-c)^n$$
 on *I*.

Note: It can be shown that $\ln x$ is equal to this Taylor series on (0, 2]. From the work in Example 8.8.2, this justifies our previous declaration that the Alternating Harmonic Series converges to $\ln 2$.

We demonstrate the use of this theorem in an example.

Example 8.8.3 Establishing equality of a function and its Taylor series

Show that $f(x) = \cos x$ is equal to its Maclaurin series, as found in Example 8.8.1, for all x.

SOLUTION Given a value x, the magnitude of the error term $R_n(x)$ is bounded by

$$R_n(x) \Big| \leq \frac{\max |f^{(n+1)}(z)|}{(n+1)!} |x^{n+1}|.$$

Since all derivatives of $\cos x$ are $\pm \sin x$ or $\pm \cos x$, whose magnitudes are bounded by 1, we can state

$$\left|R_n(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \left|x^{n+1}\right|$$

which implies

$$\frac{|x^{n+1}|}{(n+1)!} \le R_n(x) \le \frac{|x^{n+1}|}{(n+1)!}.$$
(8.7)

For any x, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} = 0$. Applying the Squeeze Theorem to Equation (8.7), we conclude that $\lim_{n\to\infty} R_n(x) = 0$ for all x, and hence

$$\cos x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!} \quad \text{for all } x$$

It is natural to assume that a function is equal to its Taylor series on the series' interval of convergence, but this is not always the case. In order to properly establish equality, one must use Theorem 8.8.1. This is a bit disappointing, as we developed beautiful techniques for determining the interval of convergence of a power series, and proving that $R_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ can be difficult. For instance, it is not a simple task to show that $\ln x$ equals its Taylor series on (0, 2] as found in Example 8.8.2; in the Exercises, the reader is only asked to show equality on (1, 2), which is simpler.

There is good news. A function f(x) that is equal to its Taylor series, centered at any point the domain of f(x), is said to be an **analytic function**, and most, if not all, functions that we encounter within this course are analytic functions. Generally speaking, any function that one creates with elementary functions (polynomials, exponentials, trigonometric functions, etc.) that is not piecewise defined is probably analytic. For most functions, we assume the function is equal to its Taylor series on the series' interval of convergence and only use Theorem 8.8.1 when we suspect something may not work as expected.

We develop the Taylor series for one more important function, then give a table of the Taylor series for a number of common functions.

Example 8.8.4 The Binomial Series

Find the Maclaurin series of $f(x) = (1 + x)^k$, $k \neq 0$.

SOLUTION When *k* is a positive integer, the Maclaurin series is finite. For instance, when k = 4, we have

$$f(x) = (1+x)^4 = 1 + 4x + 6x^2 + 4x^3 + x^4.$$

The coefficients of *x* when *k* is a positive integer are known as the *binomial coefficients*, giving the series we are developing its name.

When k = 1/2, we have $f(x) = \sqrt{1 + x}$. Knowing a series representation of this function would give a useful way of approximating $\sqrt{1.3}$, for instance.

To develop the Maclaurin series for $f(x) = (1 + x)^k$ for any value of $k \neq 0$, we consider the derivatives of f evaluated at x = 0:

$$f(x) = (1 + x)^{k} f(0) = 1$$

$$f'(x) = k(1 + x)^{k-1} f'(0) = k$$

$$f''(x) = k(k-1)(1 + x)^{k-2} f''(0) = k(k-1)$$

$$f'''(x) = k(k-1)(k-2)(1 + x)^{k-3} f'''(0) = k(k-1)(k-2)$$

$$\vdots \vdots \vdots$$

$$f^{(n)}(x) = k(k-1)\cdots(k - (n-1))(1 + x)^{k-n} f^{(n)}(0) = k(k-1)\cdots(k - (n-1))$$
Thus the Maclaurin series for $f(x) = (1 + x)^{k}$ is

$$1+kx+\frac{k(k-1)}{2!}x^{2}+\frac{k(k-1)(k-2)}{3!}x^{3}+\ldots+\frac{k(k-1)\cdots(k-(n-1))}{n!}x^{n}+\ldots$$

It is important to determine the interval of convergence of this series. With

$$a_n = \frac{k(k-1)\cdots(k-(n-1))}{n!}x^n,$$

we apply the Ratio Test:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{k(k-1)\cdots(k-n)}{(n+1)!} x^{n+1} \right| \left| \frac{k(k-1)\cdots(k-(n-1))}{n!} x^n \right|$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{k-n}{n+1} x \right|$$
$$= |x|.$$

The series converges absolutely when the limit of the Ratio Test is less than 1; therefore, we have absolute convergence when |x| < 1.

While outside the scope of this text, the interval of convergence depends on the value of k. When k > 0, the interval of convergence is [-1, 1]. When -1 < k < 0, the interval of convergence is [-1, 1). If $k \le -1$, the interval of convergence is (-1, 1).

We learned that Taylor polynomials offer a way of approximating a "difficult to compute" function with a polynomial. Taylor series offer a way of exactly representing a function with a series. One probably can see the use of a good approximation; is there any use of representing a function exactly as a series?

While we should not overlook the mathematical beauty of Taylor series (which is reason enough to study them), there are practical uses as well. They provide a valuable tool for solving a variety of problems, including problems relating to integration and differential equations.

In Key Idea 8.8.1 (on the following page) we give a table of the Taylor series of a number of common functions. We then give a theorem about the "algebra of power series," that is, how we can combine power series to create power series of new functions. This allows us to find the Taylor series of functions like $f(x) = e^x \cos x$ by knowing the Taylor series of e^x and $\cos x$.

Before we investigate combining functions, consider the Taylor series for the arctangent function (see Key Idea 8.8.1). Knowing that $\tan^{-1}(1) = \pi/4$, we can use this series to approximate the value of π :

$$\frac{\pi}{4} = \tan^{-1}(1) = 1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{9} - \cdots$$
$$\pi = 4\left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{9} - \cdots\right)$$

Unfortunately, this particular expansion of π converges very slowly. The first 100 terms approximate π as 3.13159, which is not particularly good.

Notes:

Key Idea 8.8.1 Important Taylor Series Expansions Interval of **Function and Series First Few Terms** Convergence $1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \cdots$ $e^{x} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}$ $(-\infty,\infty)$ $x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} - \frac{x^7}{7!} + \cdots$ $\sin x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}$ $(-\infty,\infty)$ $1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \frac{x^6}{6!} + \cdots$ $\cos x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!}$ $(-\infty,\infty)$ $\ln x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x-1)^n}{n}$ $(x-1) - \frac{(x-1)^2}{2} + \frac{(x-1)^3}{3} - \cdots$ (0, 2] $\frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n$ $1+x+x^2+x^3+\cdots$ (-1, 1) $(1+x)^k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{k(k-1)\cdots(k-(n-1))}{n!} x^n \quad 1+kx + \frac{k(k-1)}{2!} x^2 + \cdots$ $(-1, 1)^{a}$ $\tan^{-1} x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n+1}}{2n+1} \qquad \qquad x - \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{5} - \frac{x^7}{7} + \cdots$ [-1, 1]^{*a*}Convergence at $x = \pm 1$ depends on the value of *k*.

Theorem 8.8.2 Algebra of Power Series

Let
$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$$
 and $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$ converge absolutely for $|x| < R$, and let $h(x)$ be continuous.
1. $f(x) \pm g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n \pm b_n) x^n$ for $|x| < R$.
2. $f(x)g(x) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_0 b_n + a_1 b_{n-1} + \dots + a_n b_0) x^n$ for $|x| < R$.
3. $f(h(x)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (h(x))^n$ for $|h(x)| < R$.

Example 8.8.5 Combining Taylor series

Write out the first 3 terms of the Taylor Series for $f(x) = e^x \cos x$ using Key Idea 8.8.1 and Theorem 8.8.2.

SOLUTION Key Idea 8.8.1 informs us that

$$e^{x} = 1 + x + \frac{x^{2}}{2!} + \frac{x^{3}}{3!} + \cdots$$
 and $\cos x = 1 - \frac{x^{2}}{2!} + \frac{x^{4}}{4!} + \cdots$.

Applying Theorem 8.8.2, we find that

$$e^x \cos x = \left(1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \cdots\right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} + \cdots\right).$$

Distribute the right hand expression across the left:

$$= 1\left(1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} + \cdots\right) + x\left(1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} + \cdots\right) + \frac{x^2}{2!}\left(1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} + \cdots\right) + \frac{x^3}{3!}\left(1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} + \cdots\right) + \frac{x^4}{4!}\left(1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} + \cdots\right) + \cdots$$

Distribute again and collect like terms.

$$=1+x-\frac{x^3}{3}-\frac{x^4}{6}-\frac{x^5}{30}+\frac{x^7}{630}+\cdots$$

While this process is a bit tedious, it is much faster than evaluating all the necessary derivatives of $e^x \cos x$ and computing the Taylor series directly.

Because the series for e^x and $\cos x$ both converge on $(-\infty,\infty)$, so does the series expansion for $e^x\cos x.$

Example 8.8.6 Creating new Taylor series

Use Theorem 8.8.2 to create series for $y = \sin(x^2)$ and $y = \ln(\sqrt{x})$.

SOLUTION Given that

$$\sin x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} = x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} - \frac{x^7}{7!} + \cdots,$$

we simply substitute x^2 for x in the series, giving

$$\sin(x^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(x^2)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} = x^2 - \frac{x^6}{3!} + \frac{x^{10}}{5!} - \frac{x^{14}}{7!} \cdots$$

Since the Taylor series for sin x has an infinite radius of convergence, so does the Taylor series for $sin(x^2)$.

The Taylor expansion for $\ln x$ given in Key Idea 8.8.1 is centered at x = 1, so we will center the series for $\ln(\sqrt{x})$ at x = 1 as well. With

$$\ln x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x-1)^n}{n} = (x-1) - \frac{(x-1)^2}{2} + \frac{(x-1)^3}{3} - \cdots,$$

we substitute \sqrt{x} for x to obtain

$$\ln(\sqrt{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(\sqrt{x}-1)^n}{n} = (\sqrt{x}-1) - \frac{(\sqrt{x}-1)^2}{2} + \frac{(\sqrt{x}-1)^3}{3} - \cdots$$

While this is not strictly a power series, it is a series that allows us to study the function $\ln(\sqrt{x})$. Since the interval of convergence of $\ln x$ is (0, 2], and the range of \sqrt{x} on (0, 4] is (0, 2], the interval of convergence of this series expansion of $\ln(\sqrt{x})$ is (0, 4].

Example 8.8.7 Using Taylor series to evaluate definite integrals

Use the Taylor series of e^{-x^2} to evaluate $\int_0^1 e^{-x^2} dx$.

SOLUTION We learned, when studying Numerical Integration, that e^{-x^2} does not have an antiderivative expressible in terms of elementary functions. This means any definite integral of this function must have its value approximated, and not computed exactly.

We can quickly write out the Taylor series for e^{-x^2} using the Taylor series of e^x :

$$e^{x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} = 1 + x + \frac{x^{2}}{2!} + \frac{x^{3}}{3!} + \cdots$$

and so

$$e^{-x^{2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^{2})^{n}}{n!}$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \frac{x^{2n}}{n!}$$
$$= 1 - x^{2} + \frac{x^{4}}{2!} - \frac{x^{6}}{3!} + \cdots$$

Notes:

Note: In Example 8.8.6, one could create a series for $\ln(\sqrt{x})$ by simply recognizing that $\ln(\sqrt{x}) = \ln(x^{1/2}) = 1/2 \ln x$, and hence multiplying the Taylor series for $\ln x$ by 1/2. This example was chosen to demonstrate other aspects of series, such as the fact that the interval of convergence changes.

We use Theorem 8.6.3 to integrate:

$$\int e^{-x^2} dx = C + x - \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{5 \cdot 2!} - \frac{x^7}{7 \cdot 3!} + \dots + (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)n!} + \dots$$

This *is* the antiderivative of e^{-x^2} ; while we can write it out as a series, we cannot write it out in terms of elementary functions. We can evaluate the definite integral $\int_0^1 e^{-x^2} dx$ using this antiderivative; substituting 1 and 0 for x and subtracting gives

$$\int_0^1 e^{-x^2} dx = 1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5 \cdot 2!} - \frac{1}{7 \cdot 3!} + \frac{1}{9 \cdot 4!} \cdots$$

Summing the 5 terms shown above give the approximation of 0.74749. Since this is an alternating series, we can use the Alternating Series Approximation Theorem, (Theorem 8.5.2), to determine how accurate this approximation is. The next term of the series is $1/(11 \cdot 5!) \approx 0.00075758$. Thus we know our approximation is within 0.00075758 of the actual value of the integral. This is arguably much less work than using Simpson's Rule to approximate the value of the integral.

Example 8.8.8 Using Taylor series to solve differential equations

Solve the differential equation y' = 2y in terms of a power series, and use the theory of Taylor series to recognize the solution in terms of an elementary function.

SOLUTION We found the first 5 terms of the power series solution to this differential equation in Example 8.6.5 in Section 8.6. These are:

$$a_0 = 1$$
, $a_1 = 2$, $a_2 = \frac{4}{2} = 2$, $a_3 = \frac{8}{2 \cdot 3} = \frac{4}{3}$, $a_4 = \frac{16}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4} = \frac{2}{3}$.

We include the "unsimplified" expressions for the coefficients found in Example 8.6.5 as we are looking for a pattern. It can be shown that $a_n = 2^n/n!$. Thus the solution, written as a power series, is

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{n!} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2x)^n}{n!}.$$

Using Key Idea 8.8.1 and Theorem 8.8.2, we recognize $f(x) = e^{2x}$:

$$e^{x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad e^{2x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2x)^{n}}{n!}.$$

Finding a pattern in the coefficients that match the series expansion of a known function, such as those shown in Key Idea 8.8.1, can be difficult. What if the coefficients in the previous example were given in their reduced form; how could we still recover the function $y = e^{2x}$?

Suppose that all we know is that

$$a_0 = 1, \quad a_1 = 2, \quad a_2 = 2, \quad a_3 = \frac{4}{3}, \quad a_4 = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Definition 8.8.1 states that each term of the Taylor expansion of a function includes an *n*!. This allows us to say that

$$a_2 = 2 = \frac{b_2}{2!}, \quad a_3 = \frac{4}{3} = \frac{b_3}{3!}, \text{ and } a_4 = \frac{2}{3} = \frac{b_4}{4!}$$

for some values b_2 , b_3 and b_4 . Solving for these values, we see that $b_2 = 4$, $b_3 = 8$ and $b_4 = 16$. That is, we are recovering the pattern we had previously seen, allowing us to write

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n!} x^n$$

= 1 + 2x + $\frac{4}{2!} x^2 + \frac{8}{3!} x^3 + \frac{16}{4!} x^4 + \cdots$

From here it is easier to recognize that the series is describing an exponential function.

There are simpler, more direct ways of solving the differential equation y' = 2y. We applied power series techniques to this equation to demonstrate its utility, and went on to show how *sometimes* we are able to recover the solution in terms of elementary functions using the theory of Taylor series. Most differential equations faced in real scientific and engineering situations are much more complicated than this one, but power series can offer a valuable tool in finding, or at least approximating, the solution.

This chapter introduced sequences, which are ordered lists of numbers, followed by series, wherein we add up the terms of a sequence. We quickly saw that such sums do not always add up to "infinity," but rather converge. We studied tests for convergence, then ended the chapter with a formal way of defining functions based on series. Such "series–defined functions" are a valuable tool in solving a number of different problems throughout science and engineering.

Coming in the next chapters are new ways of defining curves in the plane apart from using functions of the form y = f(x). Curves created by these new methods can be beautiful, useful, and important.

Exercises 8.8

Terms and Concepts

- 1. What is the difference between a Taylor polynomial and a Taylor series?
- 2. What theorem must we use to show that a function is equal to its Taylor series?

Problems

Key Idea 8.8.1 gives the n^{th} term of the Taylor series of common functions. In Exercises 3 – 6, verify the formula given in the Key Idea by finding the first few terms of the Taylor series of the given function and identifying a pattern.

3.
$$f(x) = e^{x}; \quad c = 0$$

4. $f(x) = \sin x; \quad c = 0$
5. $f(x) = 1/(1-x); \quad c = 0$
6. $f(x) = \tan^{-1}x; \quad c = 0$
2

In Exercises 7 – 12, find a formula for the n^{th} term of the Taylor series of f(x), centered at c, by finding the coefficients of the first few powers of x and looking for a pattern. (The formulas for several of these are found in Key Idea 8.8.1; show work verifying these formula.)

- 7. $f(x) = \cos x; \quad c = \pi/2$
- 8. f(x) = 1/x; c = 1
- 9. $f(x) = e^{-x}$; c = 0
- 10. $f(x) = \ln(1+x); c = 0$
- 11. f(x) = x/(x+1); c = 1
- 12. $f(x) = \sin x$; $c = \pi/4$

In Exercises 13 – 16, show that the Taylor series for f(x), as given in Key Idea 8.8.1, is equal to f(x) by applying Theorem 8.8.1; that is, show lim $R_n(x) = 0$.

- 13. $f(x) = e^x$
- 14. $f(x) = \sin x$

- 15. $f(x) = \ln x$ (show equality only on (1, 2))
- 16. f(x) = 1/(1-x) (show equality only on (-1, 0))

In Exercises 17 – 20, use the Taylor series given in Key Idea 8.8.1 to verify the given identity.

- 17. $\cos(-x) = \cos x$ 18. $\sin(-x) = -\sin x$ 19. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sin x) = \cos x$
- 20. $\frac{d}{dx}(\cos x) = -\sin x$

In Exercises 21 – 24, write out the first 5 terms of the Binomial series with the given *k*-value.

21.
$$k = 1/2$$

22. $k = -1/2$
23. $k = 1/3$

24. *k* = 4

In Exercises 25 – 30, use the Taylor series given in Key Idea 8.8.1 to create the Taylor series of the given functions.

25.
$$f(x) = \cos(x^2)$$

26. $f(x) = e^{-x}$
27. $f(x) = \sin(2x + 3)$
28. $f(x) = \tan^{-1}(x/2)$
29. $f(x) = e^x \sin x$ (only find the first 4 terms)

30. $f(x) = (1 + x)^{1/2} \cos x$ (only find the first 4 terms)

In Exercises 31 - 32, approximate the value of the given definite integral by using the first 4 nonzero terms of the integrand's Taylor series.

31.
$$\int_{0}^{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin(x^2) dx$$

32. $\int_{0}^{\pi^2/4} \cos(\sqrt{x}) dx$

9: CURVES IN THE PLANE

We have explored functions of the form y = f(x) closely throughout this text. We have explored their limits, their derivatives and their antiderivatives; we have learned to identify key features of their graphs, such as relative maxima and minima, inflection points and asymptotes; we have found equations of their tangent lines, the areas between portions of their graphs and the *x*-axis, and the volumes of solids generated by revolving portions of their graphs about a horizontal or vertical axis.

Despite all this, the graphs created by functions of the form y = f(x) are limited. Since each *x*-value can correspond to only 1 *y*-value, common shapes like circles cannot be fully described by a function in this form. Fittingly, the "vertical line test" excludes vertical lines from being functions of *x*, even though these lines are important in mathematics.

In this chapter we'll explore new ways of drawing curves in the plane. We'll still work within the framework of functions, as an input will still only correspond to one output. However, our new techniques of drawing curves will render the vertical line test pointless, and allow us to create important – and beautiful – new curves. Once these curves are defined, we'll apply the concepts of calculus to them, continuing to find equations of tangent lines and the areas of enclosed regions.

9.1 Conic Sections

The ancient Greeks recognized that interesting shapes can be formed by intersecting a plane with a *double napped* cone (i.e., two identical cones placed tipto-tip as shown in the following figures). As these shapes are formed as sections of conics, they have earned the official name "conic sections."

The three "most interesting" conic sections are given in the top row of Figure 9.1.1. They are the parabola, the ellipse (which includes circles) and the hyperbola. In each of these cases, the plane does not intersect the tips of the cones (usually taken to be the origin).




Figure 9.1.1: Conic Sections

When the plane does contain the origin, three **degenerate** cones can be formed as shown the bottom row of Figure 9.1.1: a point, a line, and crossed lines. We focus here on the nondegenerate cases.

While the above geometric constructs define the conics in an intuitive, visual way, these constructs are not very helpful when trying to analyze the shapes algebraically or consider them as the graph of a function. It can be shown that all conics can be defined by the general second–degree equation

$$Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2 + Dx + Ey + F = 0.$$

While this algebraic definition has its uses, most find another geometric perspective of the conics more beneficial.

Each nondegenerate conic can be defined as the **locus**, or set, of points that satisfy a certain distance property. These distance properties can be used to generate an algebraic formula, allowing us to study each conic as the graph of a function.

Parabolas

Definition 9.1.1 Parabola

A **parabola** is the locus of all points equidistant from a point (called a **focus**) and a line (called the **directrix**) that does not contain the focus.

Figure 9.1.2 illustrates this definition. The point halfway between the focus and the directrix is the **vertex**. The line through the focus, perpendicular to the directrix, is the **axis of symmetry**, as the portion of the parabola on one side of this line is the mirror–image of the portion on the opposite side.

The definition leads us to an algebraic formula for the parabola. Let P = (x, y) be a point on a parabola whose focus is at F = (0, p) and whose directrix is at y = -p. (We'll assume for now that the focus lies on the *y*-axis; by placing the focus *p* units above the *x*-axis and the directrix *p* units below this axis, the vertex will be at (0, 0).)

We use the Distance Formula to find the distance d_1 between F and P:

$$d_1 = \sqrt{(x-0)^2 + (y-p)^2}.$$

The distance d_2 from *P* to the directrix is more straightforward:

$$d_2 = y - (-p) = y + p.$$




Figure 9.1.2: Illustrating the definition of the parabola and establishing an algebraic formula.

These two distances are equal. Setting $d_1 = d_2$, we can solve for y in terms of x:

$$d_1 = d_2$$
$$\sqrt{x^2 + (y - p)^2} = y + p$$

Now square both sides.

$$x^{2} + (y - p)^{2} = (y + p)^{2}$$
$$x^{2} + y^{2} - 2yp + p^{2} = y^{2} + 2yp + p^{2}$$
$$x^{2} = 4yp$$
$$y = \frac{1}{4p}x^{2}.$$

The geometric definition of the parabola has led us to the familiar quadratic function whose graph is a parabola with vertex at the origin. When we allow the vertex to not be at (0, 0), we get the following standard form of the parabola.

Key Idea 9.1.1 General Equation of a Parabola

1. Vertical Axis of Symmetry: The equation of the parabola with vertex at (h, k) and directrix y = k - p in standard form is

$$y=\frac{1}{4p}(x-h)^2+k.$$

The focus is at (h, k + p).

2. Horizontal Axis of Symmetry: The equation of the parabola with vertex at (h, k) and directrix x = h - p in standard form is

$$x = \frac{1}{4p}(y-k)^2 + h.$$

The focus is at (h + p, k).

Note: *p* is not necessarily a positive number.

Example 9.1.1 Finding the equation of a parabola

Give the equation of the parabola with focus at (1, 2) and directrix at y = 3.

SOLUTION The vertex is located halfway between the focus and directrix, so (h, k) = (1, 2.5). This gives p = -0.5. Using Key Idea 9.1.1 we have the




Figure 9.1.3: The parabola described in Example 9.1.1.




Figure 9.1.4: The parabola described in Example 9.1.2. The distances from a point on the parabola to the focus and directrix is given.




Figure 9.1.5: Illustrating the parabola's reflective property.

equation of the parabola as

$$y = \frac{1}{4(-0.5)}(x-1)^2 + 2.5 = -\frac{1}{2}(x-1)^2 + 2.5$$

The parabola is sketched in Figure 9.1.3.

Example 9.1.2 Finding the focus and directrix of a parabola

Find the focus and directrix of the parabola $x = \frac{1}{8}y^2 - y + 1$. The point (7, 12) lies on the graph of this parabola; verify that it is equidistant from the focus and directrix.

SOLUTION We need to put the equation of the parabola in its general form. This requires us to complete the square:

$$x = \frac{1}{8}y^2 - y + 1$$

= $\frac{1}{8}(y^2 - 8y + 8)$
= $\frac{1}{8}(y^2 - 8y + 16 - 16 + 8)$
= $\frac{1}{8}((y - 4)^2 - 8)$
= $\frac{1}{8}(y - 4)^2 - 1.$

Hence the vertex is located at (-1, 4). We have $\frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{4p}$, so p = 2. We conclude that the focus is located at (1, 4) and the directrix is x = -3. The parabola is graphed in Figure 9.1.4, along with its focus and directrix.

The point (7, 12) lies on the graph and is 7 - (-3) = 10 units from the directrix. The distance from (7, 12) to the focus is:

$$\sqrt{(7-1)^2 + (12-4)^2} = \sqrt{100} = 10.$$

Indeed, the point on the parabola is equidistant from the focus and directrix.

Reflective Property

One of the fascinating things about the nondegenerate conic sections is their reflective properties. Parabolas have the following reflective property:

Any ray emanating from the focus that intersects the parabola reflects off along a line perpendicular to the directrix.

This is illustrated in Figure 9.1.5. The following theorem states this more rigorously.

Theorem 9.1.1 Reflective Property of the Parabola

Let P be a point on a parabola. The tangent line to the parabola at P makes equal angles with the following two lines:

- 1. The line containing *P* and the focus *F*, and
- 2. The line perpendicular to the directrix through P.

Because of this reflective property, paraboloids (the 3D analogue of parabolas) make for useful flashlight reflectors as the light from the bulb, ideally located at the focus, is reflected along parallel rays. Satellite dishes also have paraboloid shapes. Signals coming from satellites effectively approach the dish along parallel rays. The dish then *focuses* these rays at the focus, where the sensor is located.

Ellipses

Definition 9.1.2 Ellipse

An **ellipse** is the locus of all points whose sum of distances from two fixed points, each a **focus** of the ellipse, is constant.

An easy way to visualize this construction of an ellipse is to pin both ends of a string to a board. The pins become the foci. Holding a pencil tight against the string places the pencil on the ellipse; the sum of distances from the pencil to the pins is constant: the length of the string. See Figure 9.1.6.

We can again find an algebraic equation for an ellipse using this geometric definition. Let the foci be located along the *x*-axis, *c* units from the origin. Let these foci be labeled as $F_1 = (-c, 0)$ and $F_2 = (c, 0)$. Let P = (x, y) be a point on the ellipse. The sum of distances from F_1 to $P(d_1)$ and from F_2 to $P(d_2)$ is a constant *d*. That is, $d_1 + d_2 = d$. Using the Distance Formula, we have

$$\sqrt{(x+c)^2+y^2}+\sqrt{(x-c)^2+y^2}=d.$$

Using a fair amount of algebra can produce the following equation of an ellipse (note that the equation is an implicitly defined function; it has to be, as an ellipse fails the Vertical Line Test):

$$\frac{x^2}{\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^2} + \frac{y^2}{\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^2 - c^2} = 1.$$

 d_2 d_1 $d_1 + d_2 = \text{constant}$

Figure 9.1.6: Illustrating the construction of an ellipse with pins, pencil and string.




Figure 9.1.7: Labeling the significant features of an ellipse.




Figure 9.1.8: The ellipse used in Example 9.1.3.

This is not particularly illuminating, but by making the substitution a = d/2 and $b = \sqrt{a^2 - c^2}$, we can rewrite the above equation as

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1$$

This choice of *a* and *b* is not without reason; as shown in Figure 9.1.7, the values of *a* and *b* have geometric meaning in the graph of the ellipse.

In general, the two foci of an ellipse lie on the **major axis** of the ellipse, and the midpoint of the segment joining the two foci is the **center**. The major axis intersects the ellipse at two points, each of which is a **vertex**. The line segment through the center and perpendicular to the major axis is the **minor axis**. The "constant sum of distances" that defines the ellipse is the length of the major axis, i.e., 2*a*.

Allowing for the shifting of the ellipse gives the following standard equations.

Key Idea 9.1.2 Standard Equation of the Ellipse

The equation of an ellipse centered at (h, k) with major axis of length 2a and minor axis of length 2b in standard form is:

- 1. Horizontal major axis: $\frac{(x-h)^2}{q^2} + \frac{(y-k)^2}{b^2} = 1.$
- 2. Vertical major axis: $\frac{(x-h)^2}{b^2} + \frac{(y-k)^2}{a^2} = 1.$

The foci lie along the major axis, c units from the center, where $c^2 = a^2 - b^2$.

Example 9.1.3 Finding the equation of an ellipse

Find the general equation of the ellipse graphed in Figure 9.1.8.

SOLUTION The center is located at (-3, 1). The distance from the center to a vertex is 5 units, hence a = 5. The minor axis seems to have length 4, so b = 2. Thus the equation of the ellipse is

$$\frac{(x+3)^2}{4} + \frac{(y-1)^2}{25} = 1$$

Example 9.1.4 Graphing an ellipse Graph the ellipse defined by $4x^2 + 9y^2 - 8x - 36y = -4$.

SOLUTION It is simple to graph an ellipse once it is in standard form. In order to put the given equation in standard form, we must complete the square with both the *x* and *y* terms. We first rewrite the equation by regrouping:

$$4x^2 + 9y^2 - 8x - 36y = -4 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (4x^2 - 8x) + (9y^2 - 36y) = -4.$$

Now we complete the squares.

$$(4x^{2} - 8x) + (9y^{2} - 36y) = -4$$

$$4(x^{2} - 2x) + 9(y^{2} - 4y) = -4$$

$$4(x^{2} - 2x + 1 - 1) + 9(y^{2} - 4y + 4 - 4) = -4$$

$$4((x - 1)^{2} - 1) + 9((y - 2)^{2} - 4) = -4$$

$$4(x - 1)^{2} - 4 + 9(y - 2)^{2} - 36 = -4$$

$$4(x - 1)^{2} + 9(y - 2)^{2} = 36$$

$$\frac{(x - 1)^{2}}{9} + \frac{(y - 2)^{2}}{4} = 1.$$

We see the center of the ellipse is at (1, 2). We have a = 3 and b = 2; the major axis is horizontal, so the vertices are located at (-2, 2) and (4, 2). We find $c = \sqrt{9-4} = \sqrt{5} \approx 2.24$. The foci are located along the major axis, approximately 2.24 units from the center, at $(1 \pm 2.24, 2)$. This is all graphed in Figure 9.1.9.

Eccentricity

When a = b, we have a circle. The general equation becomes

$$\frac{(x-h)^2}{a^2} + \frac{(y-k)^2}{a^2} = 1 \quad \Rightarrow (x-h)^2 + (y-k)^2 = a^2,$$

the familiar equation of the circle centered at (h, k) with radius a. Since a = b, $c = \sqrt{a^2 - b^2} = 0$. The circle has "two" foci, but they lie on the same point, the center of the circle.

Consider Figure 9.1.10, where several ellipses are graphed with a = 1. In (a), we have c = 0 and the ellipse is a circle. As c grows, the resulting ellipses look less and less circular. A measure of this "noncircularness" is *eccentricity*.

Definition 9.1.3 Eccentricity of an Ellipse

The eccentricity *e* of an ellipse is $e = \frac{c}{a}$.




Figure 9.1.9: Graphing the ellipse in Example 9.1.4.




Figure 9.1.10: Understanding the eccentricity of an ellipse.

The eccentricity of a circle is 0; that is, a circle has no "noncircularness." As c approaches a, e approaches 1, giving rise to a very noncircular ellipse, as seen in Figure 9.1.10 (d).

It was long assumed that planets had circular orbits. This is known to be incorrect; the orbits are elliptical. Earth has an eccentricity of 0.0167 - it has a nearly circular orbit. Mercury's orbit is the most eccentric, with e = 0.2056. (Pluto's eccentricity is greater, at e = 0.248, the greatest of all the currently known dwarf planets.) The planet with the most circular orbit is Venus, with e = 0.0068. The Earth's moon has an eccentricity of e = 0.0549, also very circular.

Reflective Property

The ellipse also possesses an interesting reflective property. Any ray emanating from one focus of an ellipse reflects off the ellipse along a line through the other focus, as illustrated in Figure 9.1.11. This property is given formally in the following theorem.

Theorem 9.1.2 Reflective Property of an Ellipse

Let *P* be a point on a ellipse with foci F_1 and F_2 . The tangent line to the ellipse at *P* makes equal angles with the following two lines:

- 1. The line through F₁ and P, and
- 2. The line through F_2 and P.

This reflective property is useful in optics and is the basis of the phenomena experienced in whispering halls.

Hyperbolas

The definition of a hyperbola is very similar to the definition of an ellipse; we essentially just change the word "sum" to "difference."

Definition 9.1.4 Hyperbola

A **hyperbola** is the locus of all points where the absolute value of difference of distances from two fixed points, each a focus of the hyperbola, is constant.




Figure 9.1.11: Illustrating the reflective property of an ellipse.

We do not have a convenient way of visualizing the construction of a hyperbola as we did for the ellipse. The geometric definition does allow us to find an algebraic expression that describes it. It will be useful to define some terms first.

The two foci lie on the transverse axis of the hyperbola; the midpoint of the line segment joining the foci is the **center** of the hyperbola. The transverse axis intersects the hyperbola at two points, each a vertex of the hyperbola. The line through the center and perpendicular to the transverse axis is the conjugate axis. This is illustrated in Figure 9.1.12. It is easy to show that the constant difference of distances used in the definition of the hyperbola is the distance between the vertices, i.e., 2a.

Key Idea 9.1.3 Standard Equation of a Hyperbola

The equation of a hyperbola centered at (h, k) in standard form is:

 $\frac{(x-h)^2}{x^2} - \frac{(y-k)^2}{h^2} = 1.$ 1. Horizontal Transverse Axis:

$$: \frac{(y-k)^2}{r^2} - \frac{(x-h)}{h^2}$$

2. Vertical Transverse Axis

$$\frac{(y-k)^2}{a^2} - \frac{(x-h)^2}{b^2} = 1.$$

The vertices are located a units from the center and the foci are located *c* units from the center, where $c^2 = a^2 + b^2$.

Graphing Hyperbolas

Consider the hyperbola $\frac{x^2}{9} - \frac{y^2}{1} = 1$. Solving for y, we find $y = \pm \sqrt{x^2/9 - 1}$. As x grows large, the "-1" part of the equation for y becomes less significant and $y \approx \pm \sqrt{x^2/9} = \pm x/3$. That is, as x gets large, the graph of the hyperbola looks very much like the lines $y = \pm x/3$. These lines are asymptotes of the hyperbola, as shown in Figure 9.1.13.

This is a valuable tool in sketching. Given the equation of a hyperbola in general form, draw a rectangle centered at (h, k) with sides of length 2a parallel to the transverse axis and sides of length 2b parallel to the conjugate axis. (See Figure 9.1.14 for an example with a horizontal transverse axis.) The diagonals of the rectangle lie on the asymptotes.

These lines pass through (h, k). When the transverse axis is horizontal, the slopes are $\pm b/a$; when the transverse axis is vertical, their slopes are $\pm a/b$. This gives equations:




Figure 9.1.12: Labeling the significant features of a hyperbola.




Figure 9.1.13: Graphing the hyperbola $\frac{x^2}{9} - \frac{y^2}{1} = 1$ along with its asymptotes, $y = \pm x/3.$




Figure 9.1.14: Using the asymptotes of a hyperbola as a graphing aid.




Figure 9.1.15: Graphing the hyperbola in Example 9.1.5.




Figure 9.1.16: Graphing the hyperbola in Example 9.1.6.

Horizontal Transverse Axis Vertical Transverse Axis

$$y = \pm \frac{b}{a}(x-h) + k$$
 $y = \pm \frac{a}{b}(x-h) + k.$

Example 9.1.5 Graphing a hyperbola Sketch the hyperbola given by $\frac{(y-2)^2}{25} - \frac{(x-1)^2}{4} = 1.$

SOLUTION The hyperbola is centered at (1, 2); a = 5 and b = 2. In Figure 9.1.15 we draw the prescribed rectangle centered at (1, 2) along with the asymptotes defined by its diagonals. The hyperbola has a vertical transverse axis, so the vertices are located at (1, 7) and (1, -3). This is enough to make a good sketch.

We also find the location of the foci: as $c^2 = a^2 + b^2$, we have $c = \sqrt{29} \approx$ 5.4. Thus the foci are located at $(1, 2 \pm 5.4)$ as shown in the figure.

Example 9.1.6 Graphing a hyperbola

Sketch the hyperbola given by $9x^2 - y^2 + 2y = 10$.

SOLUTION We must complete the square to put the equation in general form. (We recognize this as a hyperbola since it is a general quadratic equation and the x^2 and y^2 terms have opposite signs.)

$$9x^{2} - y^{2} + 2y = 10$$

$$9x^{2} - (y^{2} - 2y) = 10$$

$$9x^{2} - (y^{2} - 2y + 1 - 1) = 10$$

$$9x^{2} - ((y - 1)^{2} - 1) = 10$$

$$9x^{2} - (y - 1)^{2} = 9$$

$$x^{2} - \frac{(y - 1)^{2}}{9} = 1$$

We see the hyperbola is centered at (0, 1), with a horizontal transverse axis, where a = 1 and b = 3. The appropriate rectangle is sketched in Figure 9.1.16 along with the asymptotes of the hyperbola. The vertices are located at $(\pm 1, 1)$. We have $c = \sqrt{10} \approx 3.2$, so the foci are located at $(\pm 3.2, 1)$ as shown in the figure.

Eccentricity

Definition 9.1.5	Eccentricity of a Hyperbola	
The eccentricity of	a hyperbola is $e=rac{c}{a}$.	

Note that this is the definition of eccentricity as used for the ellipse. When c is close in value to a (i.e., $e \approx 1$), the hyperbola is very narrow (looking almost like crossed lines). Figure 9.1.17 shows hyperbolas centered at the origin with a = 1. The graph in (a) has c = 1.05, giving an eccentricity of e = 1.05, which is close to 1. As c grows larger, the hyperbola widens and begins to look like parallel lines, as shown in part (d) of the figure.

Reflective Property

Hyperbolas share a similar reflective property with ellipses. However, in the case of a hyperbola, a ray emanating from a focus that intersects the hyperbola reflects along a line containing the other focus, but moving *away* from that focus. This is illustrated in Figure 9.1.19 (on the next page). Hyperbolic mirrors are commonly used in telescopes because of this reflective property. It is stated formally in the following theorem.

Theorem 9.1.3 Reflective Property of Hyperbolas

Let *P* be a point on a hyperbola with foci F_1 and F_2 . The tangent line to the hyperbola at *P* makes equal angles with the following two lines:

- 1. The line through F_1 and P, and
- 2. The line through F_2 and P.

Location Determination

Determining the location of a known event has many practical uses (locating the epicenter of an earthquake, an airplane crash site, the position of the person speaking in a large room, etc.).

To determine the location of an earthquake's epicenter, seismologists use *trilateration* (not to be confused with *triangulation*). A seismograph allows one




Figure 9.1.17: Understanding the eccentricity of a hyperbola.




Figure 9.1.19: Illustrating the reflective property of a hyperbola.

to determine how far away the epicenter was; using three separate readings, the location of the epicenter can be approximated.

A key to this method is knowing distances. What if this information is not available? Consider three microphones at positions A, B and C which all record a noise (a person's voice, an explosion, etc.) created at unknown location D. The microphone does not "know" when the sound was *created*, only when the sound was *detected*. How can the location be determined in such a situation?

If each location has a clock set to the same time, hyperbolas can be used to determine the location. Suppose the microphone at position A records the sound at exactly 12:00, location B records the time exactly 1 second later, and location C records the noise exactly 2 seconds after that. We are interested in the *difference* of times. Since the speed of sound is approximately 340 m/s, we can conclude quickly that the sound was created 340 meters closer to position A than position B. If A and B are a known distance apart (as shown in Figure 9.1.18 (a)), then we can determine a hyperbola on which D must lie.

The "difference of distances" is 340; this is also the distance between vertices of the hyperbola. So we know 2a = 340. Positions *A* and *B* lie on the foci, so 2c = 1000. From this we can find $b \approx 470$ and can sketch the hyperbola, given in part (b) of the figure. We only care about the side closest to *A*. (Why?)

We can also find the hyperbola defined by positions *B* and *C*. In this case, 2a = 680 as the sound traveled an extra 2 seconds to get to *C*. We still have 2c = 1000, centering this hyperbola at (-500, 500). We find $b \approx 367$. This hyperbola is sketched in part (c) of the figure. The intersection point of the two graphs is the location of the sound, at approximately (188, -222.5).




Figure 9.1.18: Using hyperbolas in location detection.

This chapter explores curves in the plane, in particular curves that cannot be described by functions of the form y = f(x). In this section, we learned of ellipses and hyperbolas that are defined implicitly, not explicitly. In the following sections, we will learn completely new ways of describing curves in the plane, using *parametric equations* and *polar coordinates*, then study these curves using calculus techniques.

Exercises 9.1

Terms and Concepts

- 1. What is the difference between degenerate and nondegenerate conics?
- 2. Use your own words to explain what the eccentricity of an ellipse measures.
- 3. What has the largest eccentricity: an ellipse or a hyperbola?
- 4. Explain why the following is true: "If the coefficient of the x^2 term in the equation of an ellipse in standard form is smaller than the coefficient of the y^2 term, then the ellipse has a horizontal major axis."
- 5. Explain how one can quickly look at the equation of a hyperbola in standard form and determine whether the transverse axis is horizontal or vertical.
- 6. Fill in the blank: It can be said that ellipses and hyperbolas share the *same* reflective property: "A ray emanating from one focus will reflect off the conic along a ______ that contains the other focus."

Problems

In Exercises 7 - 14, find the equation of the parabola defined by the given information. Sketch the parabola.

- 7. Focus: (3, 2); directrix: *y* = 1
- 8. Focus: (-1, -4); directrix: y = 2
- 9. Focus: (1, 5); directrix: *x* = 3
- 10. Focus: (1/4, 0); directrix: x = -1/4
- 11. Focus: (1, 1); vertex: (1, 2)
- 12. Focus: (-3,0); vertex: (0,0)
- 13. Vertex: (0, 0); directrix: y = -1/16
- 14. Vertex: (2, 3); directrix: *x* = 4

In Exercises 15 - 16, the equation of a parabola and a point on its graph are given. Find the focus and directrix of the parabola, and verify that the given point is equidistant from the focus and directrix.


- 15. $y = \frac{1}{4}x^2$, P = (2, 1)
- 16. $x = \frac{1}{8}(y-2)^2 + 3$, P = (11, 10)

In Exercises 17 – 18, sketch the ellipse defined by the given equation. Label the center, foci and vertices.

17.
$$\frac{(x-1)^2}{3} + \frac{(y-2)^2}{5} = 1$$

18. $\frac{1}{25}x^2 + \frac{1}{9}(y+3)^2 = 1$

In Exercises 19 - 20, find the equation of the ellipse shown in the graph. Give the location of the foci and the eccentricity of the ellipse.



In Exercises 21 - 24, find the equation of the ellipse defined by the given information. Sketch the ellipse.

- 21. Foci: $(\pm 2, 0)$; vertices: $(\pm 3, 0)$
- 22. Foci: (-1, 3) and (5, 3); vertices: (-3, 3) and (7, 3)
- 23. Foci: $(2, \pm 2)$; vertices: $(2, \pm 7)$
- 24. Focus: (-1, 5); vertex: (-1, -4); center: (-1, 1)

In Exercises 25 – 28, write the equation of the given ellipse in standard form.


25. $x^2 - 2x + 2y^2 - 8y = -7$ 26. $5x^2 + 3y^2 = 15$ 27. $3x^2 + 2y^2 - 12y + 6 = 0$ 28. $x^2 + y^2 - 4x - 4y + 4 = 0$ In Exercises 29 – 32, find the equation of the hyperbola shown in the graph.


30.

_2

29.

31.





In Exercises 33 – 34, sketch the hyperbola defined by the given equation. Label the center and foci.

33. $\frac{(x-1)^2}{16} - \frac{(y+2)^2}{9} = 1$

34.
$$(y-4)^2 - \frac{(x+1)^2}{25} = 1$$

In Exercises 35 – 38, find the equation of the hyperbola defined by the given information. Sketch the hyperbola.

- 35. Foci: $(\pm 3, 0)$; vertices: $(\pm 2, 0)$
- 36. Foci: $(0, \pm 3)$; vertices: $(0, \pm 2)$
- 37. Foci: (-2, 3) and (8, 3); vertices: (-1, 3) and (7, 3)
- 38. Foci: (3, -2) and (3, 8); vertices: (3, 0) and (3, 6)

In Exercises 39 - 42, write the equation of the hyperbola in standard form.

- 39. $3x^2 4y^2 = 12$
- 40. $3x^2 y^2 + 2y = 10$
- 41. $x^2 10y^2 + 40y = 30$
- 42. (4y x)(4y + x) = 4
- 43. Consider the ellipse given by $\frac{(x-1)^2}{4} + \frac{(y-3)^2}{12} = 1.$
 - (a) Verify that the foci are located at $(1, 3 \pm 2\sqrt{2})$.
 - (b) The points $P_1 = (2, 6)$ and $P_2 = (1 + \sqrt{2}, 3 + \sqrt{6}) \approx (2.414, 5.449)$ lie on the ellipse. Verify that the sum of distances from each point to the foci is the same.
- 44. Johannes Kepler discovered that the planets of our solar system have elliptical orbits with the Sun at one focus. The Earth's elliptical orbit is used as a standard unit of distance; the distance from the center of Earth's elliptical orbit to one vertex is 1 Astronomical Unit, or A.U.

The following table gives information about the orbits of three planets.

	Distance from	eccentricity
	center to vertex	
Mercury	0.387 A.U.	0.2056
Earth	1 A.U.	0.0167
Mars	1.524 A.U.	0.0934

- (a) In an ellipse, knowing $c^2 = a^2 b^2$ and e = c/aallows us to find b in terms of a and e. Show $b = a\sqrt{1-e^2}$.
- (b) For each planet, find equations of their elliptical orbit of the form $\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1$. (This places the center at (0, 0), but the Sun is in a different location for each planet.)
- (c) Shift the equations so that the Sun lies at the origin. Plot the three elliptical orbits.
- 45. A loud sound is recorded at three stations that lie on a line as shown in the figure below. Station *A* recorded the sound 1 second after Station *B*, and Station *C* recorded the sound 3 seconds after *B*. Using the speed of sound as 340m/s, determine the location of the sound's origination.


9.2 Parametric Equations

We are familiar with sketching shapes, such as parabolas, by following this basic procedure:

Choose f to find y (y = f(x)) (x, y)

The **rectangular equation** y = f(x) works well for some shapes like a parabola with a vertical axis of symmetry, but in the previous section we encountered several shapes that could not be sketched in this manner. (To plot an ellipse using the above procedure, we need to plot the "top" and "bottom" separately.)

In this section we introduce a new sketching procedure:



Here, x and y are found separately but then plotted together. This leads us to a definition.

Definition 9.2.1 Parametric Equations and Curves

Let *f* and *g* be continuous functions on an interval *I*. The set of all points (x, y) = (f(t), g(t)) in the Cartesian plane, as *t* varies over *I*, is the **graph** of the **parametric equations** x = f(t) and y = g(t), where *t* is the **parameter**. A **curve** is a graph along with the parametric equations that define it.

This is a formal definition of the word *curve*. When a curve lies in a plane (such as the Cartesian plane), it is often referred to as a **plane curve**. Examples will help us understand the concepts introduced in the definition.

Example 9.2.1 Plotting parametric functions

Plot the graph of the parametric equations $x = t^2$, y = t + 1 for t in [-2, 2].




Figure 9.2.1: A table of values of the parametric equations in Example 9.2.1 along with a sketch of their graph.




Figure 9.2.2: A table of values of the parametric equations in Example 9.2.2 along with a sketch of their graph.

SOLUTION We plot the graphs of parametric equations in much the same manner as we plotted graphs of functions like y = f(x): we make a table of values, plot points, then connect these points with a "reasonable" looking curve. Figure 9.2.1(a) shows such a table of values; note how we have 3 columns.

The points (x, y) from the table are plotted in Figure 9.2.1(b). The points have been connected with a smooth curve. Each point has been labeled with its corresponding *t*-value. These values, along with the two arrows along the curve, are used to indicate the **orientation** of the graph. This information helps us determine the direction in which the graph is "moving."

We often use the letter t as the parameter as we often regard t as representing *time*. Certainly there are many contexts in which the parameter is not time, but it can be helpful to think in terms of time as one makes sense of parametric plots and their orientation (for instance, "At time t = 0 the position is (1, 2) and at time t = 3 the position is (5, 1).").

Example 9.2.2 Plotting parametric functions

Sketch the graph of the parametric equations $x = \cos^2 t$, $y = \cos t + 1$ for t in $[0, \pi]$.

SOLUTION We again start by making a table of values in Figure 9.2.2(a), then plot the points (x, y) on the Cartesian plane in Figure 9.2.2(b).

It is not difficult to show that the curves in Examples 9.2.1 and 9.2.2 are portions of the same parabola. While the *parabola* is the same, the *curves* are different. In Example 9.2.1, if we let t vary over all real numbers, we'd obtain the entire parabola. In this example, letting t vary over all real numbers would still produce the same graph; this portion of the parabola would be traced, and re-traced, infinitely many times. The orientation shown in Figure 9.2.2 shows the orientation on $[0, \pi]$, but this orientation is reversed on $[\pi, 2\pi]$.

These examples begin to illustrate the powerful nature of parametric equations. Their graphs are far more diverse than the graphs of functions produced by "y = f(x)" functions.

Technology Note: Most graphing utilities can graph functions given in parametric form. Often the word "parametric" is abbreviated as "PAR" or "PARAM" in the options. The user usually needs to determine the graphing window (i.e, the minimum and maximum *x*- and *y*-values), along with the values of *t* that are to be plotted. The user is often prompted to give a *t* minimum, a *t* maximum, and a "*t*-step" or " Δt ." Graphing utilities effectively plot parametric functions just as we've shown here: they plots lots of points. A smaller *t*-step plots more points, making for a smoother graph (but may take longer). In Figure 9.2.1, the *t*-step is

1; in Figure 9.2.2, the *t*-step is $\pi/4$.

One nice feature of parametric equations is that their graphs are easy to shift. While this is not too difficult in the "y = f(x)" context, the resulting function can look rather messy. (Plus, to shift to the right by two, we replace x with x - 2, which is counter–intuitive.) The following example demonstrates this.

Example 9.2.3 Shifting the graph of parametric functions

Sketch the graph of the parametric equations $x = t^2 + t$, $y = t^2 - t$. Find new parametric equations that shift this graph to the right 3 places and down 2.

SOLUTION The graph of the parametric equations is given in Figure 9.2.3 (a). It is a parabola with a axis of symmetry along the line y = x; the vertex is at (0,0).

In order to shift the graph to the right 3 units, we need to increase the *x*-value by 3 for every point. The straightforward way to accomplish this is simply to add 3 to the function defining *x*: $x = t^2 + t + 3$. To shift the graph down by 2 units, we wish to decrease each *y*-value by 2, so we subtract 2 from the function defining *y*: $y = t^2 - t - 2$. Thus our parametric equations for the shifted graph are $x = t^2 + t + 3$, $y = t^2 - t - 2$. This is graphed in Figure 9.2.3 (b). Notice how the vertex is now at (3, -2).

Because the x- and y-values of a graph are determined independently, the graphs of parametric functions often possess features not seen on "y = f(x)" type graphs. The next example demonstrates how such graphs can arrive at the same point more than once.

Example 9.2.4 Graphs that cross themselves

Plot the parametric functions $x = t^3 - 5t^2 + 3t + 11$ and $y = t^2 - 2t + 3$ and determine the *t*-values where the graph crosses itself.

SOLUTION Using the methods developed in this section, we again plot points and graph the parametric equations as shown in Figure 9.2.4. It appears that the graph crosses itself at the point (2, 6), but we'll need to analytically determine this.

We are looking for two different values, say, *s* and *t*, where x(s) = x(t) and y(s) = y(t). That is, the *x*-values are the same precisely when the *y*-values are the same. This gives us a system of 2 equations with 2 unknowns:

$$s^{3} - 5s^{2} + 3s + 11 = t^{3} - 5t^{2} + 3t + 11$$
$$s^{2} - 2s + 3 = t^{2} - 2t + 3$$

Solving this system is not trivial but involves only algebra. Using the quadratic




Figure 9.2.3: Illustrating how to shift graphs in Example 9.2.3.




Figure 9.2.4: A graph of the parametric equations from Example 9.2.4.

formula, one can solve for t in the second equation and find that $t = 1 \pm \sqrt{s^2 - 2s + 1}$. This can be substituted into the first equation, revealing that the graph crosses itself at t = -1 and t = 3. We confirm our result by computing x(-1) = x(3) = 2 and y(-1) = y(3) = 6.

Converting between rectangular and parametric equations

It is sometimes useful to rewrite equations in rectangular form (i.e., y = f(x)) into parametric form, and vice–versa. Converting from rectangular to parametric can be very simple: given y = f(x), the parametric equations x = t, y = f(t)produce the same graph. As an example, given $y = x^2$, the parametric equations x = t, $y = t^2$ produce the familiar parabola. However, other parametrizations can be used. The following example demonstrates one possible alternative.

Example 9.2.5 Converting from rectangular to parametric

Consider $y = x^2$. Find parametric equations x = f(t), y = g(t) for the parabola where $t = \frac{dy}{dx}$. That is, t = a corresponds to the point on the graph whose tangent line has slope a.

SOLUTION We start by computing $\frac{dy}{dx}$: y' = 2x. Thus we set t = 2x. We can solve for x and find x = t/2. Knowing that $y = x^2$, we have $y = t^2/4$. Thus parametric equations for the parabola $y = x^2$ are

$$x = t/2 \quad y = t^2/4$$

To find the point where the tangent line has a slope of -2, we set t = -2. This gives the point (-1, 1). We can verify that the slope of the line tangent to the curve at this point indeed has a slope of -2.

We sometimes choose the parameter to accurately model physical behavior.

Example 9.2.6 Converting from rectangular to parametric

An object is fired from a height of 0ft and lands 6 seconds later, 192ft away. Assuming ideal projectile motion, the height, in feet, of the object can be described by $h(x) = -x^2/64 + 3x$, where x is the distance in feet from the initial location. (Thus h(0) = h(192) = 0ft.) Find parametric equations x = f(t), y = g(t)for the path of the projectile where x is the horizontal distance the object has traveled at time t (in seconds) and y is the height at time t.

SOLUTION Physics tells us that the horizontal motion of the projectile is linear; that is, the horizontal speed of the projectile is constant. Since the object travels 192ft in 6s, we deduce that the object is moving horizontally at a rate of 32ft/s, giving the equation x = 32t. As $y = -x^2/64 + 3x$, we find

 $y = -16t^2 + 96t$. We can quickly verify that y'' = -32ft/s², the acceleration due to gravity, and that the projectile reaches its maximum at t = 3, halfway along its path.

These parametric equations make certain determinations about the object's location easy: 2 seconds into the flight the object is at the point (x(2), y(2)) = (64, 128). That is, it has traveled horizontally 64ft and is at a height of 128ft, as shown in Figure 9.2.5.

It is sometimes necessary to convert given parametric equations into rectangular form. This can be decidedly more difficult, as some "simple" looking parametric equations can have very "complicated" rectangular equations. This conversion is often referred to as "eliminating the parameter," as we are looking for a relationship between x and y that does not involve the parameter t.

Example 9.2.7 Eliminating the parameter

Find a rectangular equation for the curve described by

$$x = rac{1}{t^2 + 1}$$
 and $y = rac{t^2}{t^2 + 1}.$

SOLUTION There is not a set way to eliminate a parameter. One method is to solve for *t* in one equation and then substitute that value in the second. We use that technique here, then show a second, simpler method.

Starting with $x = 1/(t^2 + 1)$, solve for t: $t = \pm \sqrt{1/x - 1}$. Substitute this value for t in the equation for y:

$$y = \frac{t^2}{t^2 + 1}$$
$$= \frac{1/x - 1}{1/x - 1 + 1}$$
$$= \frac{1/x - 1}{1/x}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{x} - 1\right) \cdot x$$
$$= 1 - x.$$




Figure 9.2.5: Graphing projectile motion in Example 9.2.6.




Figure 9.2.6: Graphing parametric and rectangular equations for a graph in Example 9.2.7.

Thus y = 1 - x. One may have recognized this earlier by manipulating the equation for y:

$$y = \frac{t^2}{t^2 + 1} = 1 - \frac{1}{t^2 + 1} = 1 - x.$$

y 4 2 4 2 4 5 8 x-2

Figure 9.2.7: Graphing the parametric equations $x = 4 \cos t + 3$, $y = 2 \sin t + 1$ in Example 9.2.8.

This is a shortcut that is very specific to this problem; sometimes shortcuts exist and are worth looking for.

We should be careful to limit the domain of the function y = 1 - x. The parametric equations limit x to values in (0, 1], thus to produce the same graph we should limit the domain of y = 1 - x to the same.

The graphs of these functions is given in Figure 9.2.6. The portion of the graph defined by the parametric equations is given in a thick line; the graph defined by y = 1 - x with unrestricted domain is given in a thin line.

Example 9.2.8 Eliminating the parameter

Eliminate the parameter in $x = 4 \cos t + 3$, $y = 2 \sin t + 1$

SOLUTION We should not try to solve for t in this situation as the resulting algebra/trig would be messy. Rather, we solve for $\cos t$ and $\sin t$ in each equation, respectively. This gives

$$\cos t = \frac{x-3}{4}$$
 and $\sin t = \frac{y-1}{2}$.

The Pythagorean Theorem gives $\cos^2 t + \sin^2 t = 1$, so:

$$\cos^{2} t + \sin^{2} t = 1$$
$$\left(\frac{x-3}{4}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{y-1}{2}\right)^{2} = 1$$
$$\frac{(x-3)^{2}}{16} + \frac{(y-1)^{2}}{4} = 1$$

This final equation should look familiar – it is the equation of an ellipse! Figure 9.2.7 plots the parametric equations, demonstrating that the graph is indeed of an ellipse with a horizontal major axis and center at (3, 1).

The Pythagorean Theorem can also be used to identify parametric equations for hyperbolas. We give the parametric equations for ellipses and hyperbolas in the following Key Idea.

Key Idea 9.2.1 Parametric Equations of Ellipses and Hyperbolas

• The parametric equations

 $x = a \cos t + h$, $y = b \sin t + k$

define an ellipse with horizontal axis of length 2a and vertical axis of length 2b, centered at (h, k).

• The parametric equations

 $x = a \tan t + h$, $y = \pm b \sec t + k$

define a hyperbola with vertical transverse axis centered at (h, k), and

 $x = \pm a \sec t + h$, $y = b \tan t + k$

defines a hyperbola with horizontal transverse axis. Each has asymptotes at $y = \pm b/a(x - h) + k$.

Special Curves

Figure 9.2.8 gives a small gallery of "interesting" and "famous" curves along with parametric equations that produce them. Interested readers can begin learning more about these curves through internet searches.

One might note a feature shared by two of these graphs: "sharp corners," or **cusps**. We have seen graphs with cusps before and determined that such functions are not differentiable at these points. This leads us to a definition.

Definition 9.2.2 Smooth


A curve *C* defined by x = f(t), y = g(t) is **smooth** on an interval *I* if f' and g' are continuous on *I* and not simultaneously 0 (except possibly at the endpoints of *I*). A curve is **piecewise smooth** on *I* if *I* can be partitioned into subintervals where *C* is smooth on each subinterval.

Consider the astroid, given by $x = \cos^3 t$, $y = \sin^3 t$. Taking derivatives, we have:

 $x' = -3\cos^2 t \sin t$ and $y' = 3\sin^2 t \cos t$.

It is clear that each is 0 when $t=0, \pi/2, \pi, \ldots$ Thus the astroid is not smooth

Notes:






Figure 9.2.8: A gallery of interesting planar curves.




Figure 9.2.9: Graphing the curve in Example 9.2.9; note it is not smooth at (1, 4).

at these points, corresponding to the cusps seen in the figure. We demonstrate this once more.

Example 9.2.9 Determine where a curve is not smooth

Let a curve *C* be defined by the parametric equations $x = t^3 - 12t + 17$ and $y = t^2 - 4t + 8$. Determine the points, if any, where it is not smooth.

SOLUTION We begin by taking derivatives.

$$x' = 3t^2 - 12, \quad y' = 2t - 4.$$

We set each equal to 0:

$$x' = 0 \Rightarrow 3t^2 - 12 = 0 \Rightarrow t = \pm 2$$

$$y' = 0 \Rightarrow 2t - 4 = 0 \Rightarrow t = 2$$

We see at t = 2 both x' and y' are 0; thus C is not smooth at t = 2, corresponding to the point (1, 4). The curve is graphed in Figure 9.2.9, illustrating the cusp at (1, 4).

If a curve is not smooth at $t = t_0$, it means that $x'(t_0) = y'(t_0) = 0$ as defined. This, in turn, means that rate of change of x (and y) is 0; that is, at that instant, neither x nor y is changing. If the parametric equations describe the path of some object, this means the object is at rest at t_0 . An object at rest can make a "sharp" change in direction, whereas moving objects tend to change direction in a "smooth" fashion.

One should be careful to note that a "sharp corner" does not have to occur when a curve is not smooth. For instance, one can verify that $x = t^3$, $y = t^6$ produce the familiar $y = x^2$ parabola. However, in this parametrization, the curve is not smooth. A particle traveling along the parabola according to the given parametric equations comes to rest at t = 0, though no sharp point is created.

Our previous experience with cusps taught us that a function was not differentiable at a cusp. This can lead us to wonder about derivatives in the context of parametric equations and the application of other calculus concepts. Given a curve defined parametrically, how do we find the slopes of tangent lines? Can we determine concavity? We explore these concepts and more in the next section.

Exercises 9.2

Terms and Concepts

- 1. T/F: When sketching the graph of parametric equations, the x and y values are found separately, then plotted together.
- 2. The direction in which a graph is "moving" is called the _____ of the graph.
- 3. An equation written as y = f(x) is written in _____ form.
- 4. Create parametric equations x = f(t), y = g(t) and sketch their graph. Explain any interesting features of your graph based on the functions f and g.

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 8, sketch the graph of the given parametric equations by hand, making a table of points to plot. Be sure to indicate the orientation of the graph.

5.
$$x = t^{2} + t$$
, $y = 1 - t^{2}$, $-3 \le t \le 3$
6. $x = 1$, $y = 5 \sin t$, $-\pi/2 \le t \le \pi/2$
7. $x = t^{2}$, $y = 2$, $-2 \le t \le 2$
8. $x = t^{3} - t + 3$, $y = t^{2} + 1$, $-2 \le t \le 2$

In Exercises 9 – 18, sketch the graph of the given parametric equations; using a graphing utility is advisable. Be sure to indicate the orientation of the graph.

9.
$$x = t^3 - 2t^2$$
, $y = t^2$, $-2 \le t \le 3$ 27. $x = \ln t$, $y = t^2 - 1$ 10. $x = 1/t$, $y = \sin t$, $0 < t \le 10$ 28. $x = \cot t$, $y = \csc t$ 11. $x = 3 \cos t$, $y = 5 \sin t$, $0 \le t \le 2\pi$ 29. $x = \cosh t$, $y = \sinh t$ 12. $x = 3 \cos t + 2$, $y = 5 \sin t + 3$, $0 \le t \le 2\pi$ 30. $x = \cos(2t)$, $y = \sin t$ 13. $x = \cos t$, $y = \cos(2t)$, $0 \le t \le \pi$ In Exercises 31 - 34, eliminate the parametric equations. Describe th parametric equations based on its restributes the parametric equations the parametric equations the parametric equations based

In Exercises 19 – 20, four sets of parametric equations are given. Describe how their graphs are similar and different. Be sure to discuss orientation and ranges.

19. (a)
$$x = t$$
 $y = t^{2}$, $-\infty < t < \infty$
(b) $x = \sin t$ $y = \sin^{2} t$, $-\infty < t < \infty$
(c) $x = e^{t}$ $y = e^{2t}$, $-\infty < t < \infty$
(d) $x = -t$ $y = t^{2}$, $-\infty < t < \infty$
20. (a) $x = \cos t$ $y = \sin t$, $0 \le t \le 2\pi$

(b)
$$x = \cos(t^{2})$$
 $y = \sin(t^{2})$, $0 \le t \le 2\pi$
(c) $x = \cos(1/t)$ $y = \sin(1/t)$, $0 < t < 1$
(d) $x = \cos(\cos t)$ $y = \sin(\cos t)$, $0 < t < 2\pi$

In Exercises 21 – 30, eliminate the parameter in the given parametric equations.

21.
$$x = 2t + 5$$
, $y = -3t + 1$
22. $x = \sec t$, $y = \tan t$
23. $x = 4\sin t + 1$, $y = 3\cos t - 2$
24. $x = t^2$, $y = t^3$
25. $x = \frac{1}{t+1}$, $y = \frac{3t+5}{t+1}$
26. $x = e^t$, $y = e^{3t} - 3$
27. $x = \ln t$, $y = t^2 - 1$
28. $x = \cot t$, $y = \csc t$
29. $x = \cosh t$, $y = \sinh t$
30. $x = \cos(2t)$, $y = \sin t$

nate the parameter in the given scribe the curve defined by the d on its rectangular form.

In Exercises 35 – 38, find parametric equations for the given rectangular equation using the parameter $t = \frac{dy}{dx}$. Verify that at t = 1, the point on the graph has a tangent line with slope of 1.

35.
$$y = 3x^2 - 11x + 2$$

36.
$$y = e^x$$

37. $y = \sin x$ on $[0, \pi]$

38. $y = \sqrt{x}$ on $[0, \infty)$

In Exercises 39 - 42, find the values of t where the graph of the parametric equations crosses itself.

39.
$$x = t^3 - t + 3$$
, $y = t^2 - 3$

40.
$$x = t^3 - 4t^2 + t + 7$$
, $y = t^2 - t$

- 41. $x = \cos t$, $y = \sin(2t)$ on $[0, 2\pi]$
- 42. $x = \cos t \cos(3t)$, $y = \sin t \cos(3t)$ on $[0, \pi]$

In Exercises 43 - 46, find the value(s) of t where the curve defined by the parametric equations is not smooth.

43.
$$x = t^3 + t^2 - t$$
, $y = t^2 + 2t + 3$

44. $x = t^2 - 4t$, $y = t^3 - 2t^2 - 4t$

45.
$$x = \cos t$$
, $y = 2\cos t$

46. $x = 2\cos t - \cos(2t)$, $y = 2\sin t - \sin(2t)$

In Exercises 47 – 55, find parametric equations that describe the given situation.

- 47. A projectile is fired from a height of Oft, landing 16ft away in 4s.
- 48. A projectile is fired from a height of Oft, landing 200ft away in 4s.
- 49. A projectile is fired from a height of Oft, landing 200ft away in 20s.
- 50. A circle of radius 2, centered at the origin, that is traced clockwise once on $[0, 2\pi]$.
- 51. A circle of radius 3, centered at (1, 1), that is traced once counter-clockwise on [0, 1].
- 52. An ellipse centered at (1, 3) with vertical major axis of length 6 and minor axis of length 2.
- 53. An ellipse with foci at $(\pm 1, 0)$ and vertices at $(\pm 5, 0)$.
- 54. A hyperbola with foci at (5, -3) and (-1, -3), and with vertices at (1, -3) and (3, -3).
- 55. A hyperbola with vertices at $(0, \pm 6)$ and asymptotes $y = \pm 3x$.

9.3 Calculus and Parametric Equations

The previous section defined curves based on parametric equations. In this section we'll employ the techniques of calculus to study these curves.

We are still interested in lines tangent to points on a curve. They describe how the *y*-values are changing with respect to the *x*-values, they are useful in making approximations, and they indicate instantaneous direction of travel.

The slope of the tangent line is still $\frac{dy}{dx}$, and the Chain Rule allows us to calculate this in the context of parametric equations. If x = f(t) and y = g(t), the Chain Rule states that

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{dy}{dx} \cdot \frac{dx}{dt}.$$

Solving for $\frac{dy}{dx}$, we get

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy}{dt} \left/ \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{g'(t)}{f'(t)},$$

provided that $f'(t) \neq 0$. This is important so we label it a Key Idea.

Key Idea 9.3.1 Finding $\frac{dy}{dx}$ with Parametric Equations.

Let x = f(t) and y = g(t), where f and g are differentiable on some open interval I and $f'(t) \neq 0$ on I. Then

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{g'(t)}{f'(t)}$$

We use this to define the tangent line.

Definition 9.3.1 Tangent and Normal Lines

Let a curve *C* be parametrized by x = f(t) and y = g(t), where *f* and *g* are differentiable functions on some interval *I* containing $t = t_0$. The **tangent line** to *C* at $t = t_0$ is the line through $(f(t_0), g(t_0))$ with slope $m = g'(t_0)/f'(t_0)$, provided $f'(t_0) \neq 0$.

The normal line to C at $t = t_0$ is the line through $(f(t_0), g(t_0))$ with slope $m = -f'(t_0)/g'(t_0)$, provided $g'(t_0) \neq 0$.

The definition leaves two special cases to consider. When the tangent line is horizontal, the normal line is undefined by the above definition as $g'(t_0) = 0$.

Likewise, when the normal line is horizontal, the tangent line is undefined. It seems reasonable that these lines be defined (one can draw a line tangent to the "right side" of a circle, for instance), so we add the following to the above definition.

- 1. If the tangent line at $t = t_0$ has a slope of 0, the normal line to C at $t = t_0$ is the line $x = f(t_0)$.
- 2. If the normal line at $t = t_0$ has a slope of 0, the tangent line to C at $t = t_0$ is the line $x = f(t_0)$.

Example 9.3.1 Tangent and Normal Lines to Curves

Let $x = 5t^2 - 6t + 4$ and $y = t^2 + 6t - 1$, and let C be the curve defined by these equations.

- 1. Find the equations of the tangent and normal lines to C at t = 3.
- 2. Find where C has vertical and horizontal tangent lines.

SOLUTION

1. We start by computing f'(t) = 10t - 6 and g'(t) = 2t + 6. Thus

$$\frac{dy}{dx}=\frac{2t+6}{10t-6}.$$

Make note of something that might seem unusual: $\frac{dy}{dx}$ is a function of t, not x. Just as points on the curve are found in terms of t, so are the slopes of the tangent lines.

The point on C at t = 3 is (31, 26). The slope of the tangent line is m = 1/2 and the slope of the normal line is m = -2. Thus,

- the equation of the tangent line is $y = \frac{1}{2}(x 31) + 26$, and
- the equation of the normal line is y = -2(x 31) + 26.

This is illustrated in Figure 9.3.1.

2. To find where C has a horizontal tangent line, we set $\frac{dy}{dx} = 0$ and solve for t. In this case, this amounts to setting g'(t) = 0 and solving for t (and making sure that $f'(t) \neq 0$).

$$g'(t) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2t + 6 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad t = -3.$$

The point on *C* corresponding to t = -3 is (67, -10); the tangent line at that point is horizontal (hence with equation y = -10).




Figure 9.3.1: Graphing tangent and normal lines in Example 9.3.1.

To find where *C* has a vertical tangent line, we find where it has a horizontal normal line, and set $-\frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)} = 0$. This amounts to setting f'(t) = 0 and solving for *t* (and making sure that $q'(t) \neq 0$).

 $f'(t) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 10t - 6 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad t = 0.6.$

The point on *C* corresponding to t = 0.6 is (2.2, 2.96). The tangent line at that point is x = 2.2.

The points where the tangent lines are vertical and horizontal are indicated on the graph in Figure 9.3.1.

Example 9.3.2 Tangent and Normal Lines to a Circle

- 1. Find where the unit circle, defined by $x = \cos t$ and $y = \sin t$ on $[0, 2\pi]$, has vertical and horizontal tangent lines.
- 2. Find the equation of the normal line at $t = t_0$.

SOLUTION

1. We compute the derivative following Key Idea 9.3.1:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{g'(t)}{f'(t)} = -\frac{\cos t}{\sin t}.$$

The derivative is 0 when $\cos t = 0$; that is, when $t = \pi/2$, $3\pi/2$. These are the points (0, 1) and (0, -1) on the circle.

The normal line is horizontal (and hence, the tangent line is vertical) when $\sin t = 0$; that is, when t = 0, π , 2π , corresponding to the points (-1, 0) and (0, 1) on the circle. These results should make intuitive sense.

2. The slope of the normal line at $t = t_0$ is $m = \frac{\sin t_0}{\cos t_0} = \tan t_0$. This normal line goes through the point $(\cos t_0, \sin t_0)$, giving the line

$$y = \frac{\sin t_0}{\cos t_0} (x - \cos t_0) + \sin t_0$$
$$= (\tan t_0)x,$$

as long as $\cos t_0 \neq 0$. It is an important fact to recognize that the normal lines to a circle pass through its center, as illustrated in Figure 9.3.2. Stated in another way, any line that passes through the center of a circle intersects the circle at right angles.

Figure 9.3.2: Illustrating how a circle's normal lines pass through its center.




Figure 9.3.3: A graph of an astroid.

Example 9.3.3 Tangent lines when $\frac{dy}{dx}$ is not defined

Find the equation of the tangent line to the astroid $x = \cos^3 t$, $y = \sin^3 t$ at t = 0, shown in Figure 9.3.3.

SOLUTION We start by finding x'(t) and y'(t):

$$x'(t) = -3 \sin t \cos^2 t$$
, $y'(t) = 3 \cos t \sin^2 t$.

Note that both of these are 0 at t = 0; the curve is not smooth at t = 0 forming a cusp on the graph. Evaluating $\frac{dy}{dx}$ at this point returns the indeterminate form of "0/0".

We can, however, examine the slopes of tangent lines near t = 0, and take the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$.

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{y'(t)}{x'(t)} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{3\cos t \sin^2 t}{-3\sin t \cos^2 t} \quad \text{(We can cancel as } t \neq 0.\text{)}$$
$$= \lim_{t \to 0} -\frac{\sin t}{\cos t}$$
$$= 0.$$

We have accomplished something significant. When the derivative $\frac{dy}{dx}$ returns an indeterminate form at $t = t_0$, we can define its value by setting it to be $\lim_{t \to t_0} \frac{dy}{dx}$, if that limit exists. This allows us to find slopes of tangent lines at cusps, which can be very beneficial.

We found the slope of the tangent line at t = 0 to be 0; therefore the tangent line is y = 0, the *x*-axis.

Concavity

We continue to analyze curves in the plane by considering their concavity; that is, we are interested in $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$, "the second derivative of y with respect to x." To find this, we need to find the derivative of $\frac{dy}{dx}$ with respect to x; that is,

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{dy}{dx} \right],$$

but recall that $\frac{dy}{dx}$ is a function of *t*, not *x*, making this computation not straightforward.

To make the upcoming notation a bit simpler, let $h(t) = \frac{dy}{dx}$. We want $\frac{d}{dx}[h(t)]$; that is, we want $\frac{dh}{dx}$. We again appeal to the Chain Rule. Note:

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = \frac{dh}{dx} \cdot \frac{dx}{dt} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{dh}{dx} = \frac{dh}{dt} \left/ \frac{dx}{dt} \right|$$

In words, to find $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$, we first take the derivative of $\frac{dy}{dx}$ with respect to t, then divide by x'(t). We restate this as a Key Idea.

Key Idea 9.3.2 Finding $\frac{d^2y}{dv^2}$ with Parametric Equations

Let x = f(t) and y = g(t) be twice differentiable functions on an open interval *I*, where $f'(t) \neq 0$ on *I*. Then

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{dy}{dx} \right] \left/ \frac{dx}{dt} \right] = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{dy}{dx} \right] \left/ f'(t) \right|.$$

Examples will help us understand this Key Idea.

Concavity of Plane Curves Example 9.3.4

Let $x = 5t^2 - 6t + 4$ and $y = t^2 + 6t - 1$ as in Example 9.3.1. Determine the *t*-intervals on which the graph is concave up/down.

Concavity is determined by the second derivative of y with SOLUTION respect to x, $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$, so we compute that here following Key Idea 9.3.2.

In Example 9.3.1, we found $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{2t+6}{10t-6}$ and f'(t) = 10t-6. So:

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{2t+6}{10t-6} \right] / (10t-6)$$
$$= -\frac{72}{(10t-6)^2} / (10t-6)$$
$$= -\frac{72}{(10t-6)^3}$$
$$= -\frac{9}{(5t-3)^3}$$




Figure 9.3.4: Graphing the parametric equations in Example 9.3.4 to demonstrate concavity.

The graph of the parametric functions is concave up when $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} > 0$ and concave down when $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} < 0$. We determine the intervals when the second derivative is greater/less than 0 by first finding when it is 0 or undefined. As the numerator of $-\frac{9}{(5t-3)^3}$ is never 0, $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} \neq 0$ for all t. It is undefined

when 5t - 3 = 0; that is, when t = 3/5. Following the work established in Section 3.4, we look at values of t greater/less than 3/5 on a number line:





Figure 9.3.5: In (a), a graph of $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$, showing where it is approximately 0. In (b), graph of the parametric equations in Example 9.3.5 along with the points of inflection.



Reviewing Example 9.3.1, we see that when t = 3/5 = 0.6, the graph of the parametric equations has a vertical tangent line. This point is also a point of inflection for the graph, illustrated in Figure 9.3.4.

Example 9.3.5 Concavity of Plane Curves

Find the points of inflection of the graph of the parametric equations $x = \sqrt{t}$, $y = \sin t$, for $0 \le t \le 16$.

SOLUTION We need to compute $\frac{dy}{dx}$ and $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$.

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{y'(t)}{x'(t)} = \frac{\cos t}{1/(2\sqrt{t})} = 2\sqrt{t}\cos t.$$
$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \frac{\frac{d}{dt}\left[\frac{dy}{dx}\right]}{x'(t)} = \frac{\cos t/\sqrt{t} - 2\sqrt{t}\sin t}{1/(2\sqrt{t})} = 2\cos t - 4t\sin t.$$

The points of inflection are found by setting $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = 0$. This is not trivial, as equations that mix polynomials and trigonometric functions generally do not have "nice" solutions.

In Figure 9.3.5(a) we see a plot of the second derivative. It shows that it has zeros at approximately t = 0.5, 3.5, 6.5, 9.5, 12.5 and 16. These approximations are not very good, made only by looking at the graph. Newton's Method provides more accurate approximations. Accurate to 2 decimal places, we have:

$$t = 0.65, 3.29, 6.36, 9.48, 12.61 \text{ and } 15.74$$

The corresponding points have been plotted on the graph of the parametric equations in Figure 9.3.5(b). Note how most occur near the *x*-axis, but not exactly on the axis.

Arc Length

We continue our study of the features of the graphs of parametric equations by computing their arc length.

Recall in Section 7.4 we found the arc length of the graph of a function, from x = a to x = b, to be

$$L = \int_a^b \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^2} \, dx.$$

We can use this equation and convert it to the parametric equation context. Letting x = f(t) and y = g(t), we know that $\frac{dy}{dx} = g'(t)/f'(t)$. It will also be useful to calculate the differential of x:

$$dx = f'(t)dt \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad dt = rac{1}{f'(t)} \cdot dx$$

Starting with the arc length formula above, consider:

$$L = \int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^{2}} dx$$
$$= \int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{1 + \frac{g'(t)^{2}}{f'(t)^{2}}} dx.$$

Factor out the $f'(t)^2$:

$$= \int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{f'(t)^{2} + g'(t)^{2}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1}{f'(t)}}_{=dt} dx$$
$$= \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \sqrt{f'(t)^{2} + g'(t)^{2}} dt.$$

Note the new bounds (no longer "x" bounds, but "t" bounds). They are found by finding t_1 and t_2 such that $a = f(t_1)$ and $b = f(t_2)$. This formula is important, so we restate it as a theorem.

Theorem 9.3.1 Arc Length of Parametric Curves

Let x = f(t) and y = g(t) be parametric equations with f' and g' continuous on $[t_1, t_2]$, on which the graph traces itself only once. The arc length of the graph, from $t = t_1$ to $t = t_2$, is

$$L = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sqrt{f'(t)^2 + g'(t)^2} \, dt.$$

As before, these integrals are often not easy to compute. We start with a simple example, then give another where we approximate the solution.

Note: Theorem 9.3.1 makes use of differentiability on closed intervals, just as was done in Section 7.4.

Example 9.3.6 Arc Length of a Circle

Find the arc length of the circle parametrized by $x = 3 \cos t$, $y = 3 \sin t$ on $[0, 3\pi/2]$.

SOLUTION By direct application of Theorem 9.3.1, we have

$$L = \int_0^{3\pi/2} \sqrt{(-3\sin t)^2 + (3\cos t)^2} \, dt.$$

Apply the Pythagorean Theorem.

$$= \int_{0}^{3\pi/2} 3 dt$$
$$= 3t \Big|_{0}^{3\pi/2} = 9\pi/2$$

This should make sense; we know from geometry that the circumference of a circle with radius 3 is 6π ; since we are finding the arc length of 3/4 of a circle, the arc length is $3/4 \cdot 6\pi = 9\pi/2$.

Example 9.3.7 Arc Length of a Parametric Curve

The graph of the parametric equations $x = t(t^2 - 1)$, $y = t^2 - 1$ crosses itself as shown in Figure 9.3.6, forming a "teardrop." Find the arc length of the teardrop.

SOLUTION We can see by the parametrizations of x and y that when $t = \pm 1$, x = 0 and y = 0. This means we'll integrate from t = -1 to t = 1. Applying Theorem 9.3.1, we have

$$L = \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{(3t^2 - 1)^2 + (2t)^2} dt$$
$$= \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{9t^4 - 2t^2 + 1} dt.$$

Unfortunately, the integrand does not have an antiderivative expressible by elementary functions. We turn to numerical integration to approximate its value. Using 4 subintervals, Simpson's Rule approximates the value of the integral as 2.65051. Using a computer, more subintervals are easy to employ, and n = 20 gives a value of 2.71559. Increasing *n* shows that this value is stable and a good approximation of the actual value.




Figure 9.3.6: A graph of the parametric equations in Example 9.3.7, where the arc length of the teardrop is calculated.

Surface Area of a Solid of Revolution

Related to the formula for finding arc length is the formula for finding surface area. We can adapt the formula found in Theorem 7.4.2 from Section 7.4 in a similar way as done to produce the formula for arc length done before.

Theorem 9.3.2 Surface Area of a Solid of Revolution

Consider the graph of the parametric equations x = f(t) and y = g(t), where f' and g' are continuous on an open interval I containing t_1 and t_2 on which the graph does not cross itself.

1. The surface area of the solid formed by revolving the graph about the *x*-axis is (where $g(t) \ge 0$ on $[t_1, t_2]$):

Surface Area
$$=2\pi\int_{t_1}^{t_2}g(t)\sqrt{f'(t)^2+g'(t)^2}~dt.$$

2. The surface area of the solid formed by revolving the graph about the *y*-axis is (where $f(t) \ge 0$ on $[t_1, t_2]$):

Surface Area
$$= 2\pi \int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(t) \sqrt{f'(t)^2 + g'(t)^2} \, dt.$$

Example 9.3.8 Surface Area of a Solid of Revolution

Consider the teardrop shape formed by the parametric equations $x = t(t^2 - 1)$, $y = t^2 - 1$ as seen in Example 9.3.7. Find the surface area if this shape is rotated about the *x*-axis, as shown in Figure 9.3.7.

SOLUTION The teardrop shape is formed between t = -1 and t = 1. Using Theorem 9.3.2, we see we need for $g(t) \ge 0$ on [-1, 1], and this is not the case. To fix this, we simplify replace g(t) with -g(t), which flips the whole graph about the *x*-axis (and does not change the surface area of the resulting solid). The surface area is:

Area S =
$$2\pi \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - t^2) \sqrt{(3t^2 - 1)^2 + (2t)^2} dt$$

= $2\pi \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - t^2) \sqrt{9t^4 - 2t^2 + 1} dt.$

Once again we arrive at an integral that we cannot compute in terms of ele-




Figure 9.3.7: Rotating a teardrop shape about the *x*-axis in Example 9.3.8.

mentary functions. Using Simpson's Rule with n = 20, we find the area to be S = 9.44. Using larger values of n shows this is accurate to 2 places after the decimal.

After defining a new way of creating curves in the plane, in this section we have applied calculus techniques to the parametric equation defining these curves to study their properties. In the next section, we define another way of forming curves in the plane. To do so, we create a new coordinate system, called *polar coordinates*, that identifies points in the plane in a manner different than from measuring distances from the *y*- and *x*- axes.

Exercises 9.3

Terms and Concepts

- 1. T/F: Given parametric equations x = f(t) and y = g(t), $\frac{dy}{dx} = f'(t)/g'(t)$, as long as $g'(t) \neq 0$.
- 2. Given parametric equations x = f(t) and y = g(t), the derivative $\frac{dy}{dx}$ as given in Key Idea 9.3.1 is a function of ?
- 3. T/F: Given parametric equations x = f(t) and y = g(t), to find $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$, one simply computes $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dy}{dx} \right)$.
- 4. T/F: If $\frac{dy}{dx} = 0$ at $t = t_0$, then the normal line to the curve at $t = t_0$ is a vertical line.

Problems

- In Exercises 5 12, parametric equations for a curve are given.
 - (a) Find $\frac{dy}{dx}$.
 - (b) Find the equations of the tangent and normal line(s) at the point(s) given.
 - (c) Sketch the graph of the parametric functions along with the found tangent and normal lines.

5.
$$x = t, y = t^2; t = 1$$

- 6. $x = \sqrt{t}, y = 5t + 2; t = 4$
- 7. $x = t^2 t$, $y = t^2 + t$; t = 1
- 8. $x = t^2 1$, $y = t^3 t$; t = 0 and t = 1
- 9. $x = \sec t$, $y = \tan t$ on $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$; $t = \pi/4$
- 10. $x = \cos t$, $y = \sin(2t)$ on $[0, 2\pi]$; $t = \pi/4$
- 11. $x = \cos t \sin(2t)$, $y = \sin t \sin(2t)$ on $[0, 2\pi]$; $t = 3\pi/4$
- 12. $x = e^{t/10} \cos t$, $y = e^{t/10} \sin t$; $t = \pi/2$

In Exercises 13 - 20, find *t*-values where the curve defined by the given parametric equations has a horizontal tangent line. Note: these are the same equations as in Exercises 5 - 12.

- 13. $x = t, y = t^2$
- 14. $x = \sqrt{t}, y = 5t + 2$
- 15. $x = t^2 t$, $y = t^2 + t$
- 16. $x = t^2 1$, $y = t^3 t$
- 17. $x = \sec t$, $y = \tan t$ on $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$

- 18. $x = \cos t$, $y = \sin(2t)$ on $[0, 2\pi]$
- 19. $x = \cos t \sin(2t)$, $y = \sin t \sin(2t)$ on $[0, 2\pi]$

20.
$$x = e^{t/10} \cos t$$
, $y = e^{t/10} \sin t$

In Exercises 21 – 24, find $t = t_0$ where the graph of the given parametric equations is not smooth, then find $\lim_{t \to t_0} \frac{dy}{dx}$.

21. $x = \frac{1}{t^2 + 1}$, $y = t^3$ 22. $x = -t^3 + 7t^2 - 16t + 13$, $y = t^3 - 5t^2 + 8t - 2$ 23. $x = t^3 - 3t^2 + 3t - 1$, $y = t^2 - 2t + 1$ 24. $x = \cos^2 t$, $y = 1 - \sin^2 t$

In Exercises 25 – 32, parametric equations for a curve are given. Find $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$, then determine the intervals on which the graph of the curve is concave up/down. Note: these are the same equations as in Exercises 5 – 12.

25.
$$x = t$$
, $y = t^2$
26. $x = \sqrt{t}$, $y = 5t + 2$
27. $x = t^2 - t$, $y = t^2 + t$
28. $x = t^2 - 1$, $y = t^3 - t$
29. $x = \sec t$, $y = \tan t$ on $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$
30. $x = \cos t$, $y = \sin(2t)$ on $[0, 2\pi]$
31. $x = \cos t \sin(2t)$, $y = \sin t \sin(2t)$ on $[-\pi/2, \pi/2]$
32. $x = e^{t/10} \cos t$, $y = e^{t/10} \sin t$

In Exercises 33 – 36, find the arc length of the graph of the parametric equations on the given interval(s).

33. $x = -3\sin(2t)$, $y = 3\cos(2t)$ on $[0, \pi]$ 34. $x = e^{t/10}\cos t$, $y = e^{t/10}\sin t$ on $[0, 2\pi]$ and $[2\pi, 4\pi]$ 35. x = 5t + 2, y = 1 - 3t on [-1, 1]36. $x = 2t^{3/2}$, y = 3t on [0, 1]

In Exercises 37 – 40, numerically approximate the given arc length.

37. Approximate the arc length of one petal of the rose curve $x = \cos t \cos(2t)$, $y = \sin t \cos(2t)$ using Simpson's Rule and n = 4.

- 38. Approximate the arc length of the "bow tie curve" $x = \cos t$, $y = \sin(2t)$ using Simpson's Rule and n = 6.
- 39. Approximate the arc length of the parabola $x = t^2 t$, $y = t^2 + t$ on [-1, 1] using Simpson's Rule and n = 4.
- 40. A common approximate of the circumference of an ellipse given by $x = a \cos t$, $y = b \sin t$ is $C \approx 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{a^2 + b^2}{2}}$. Use this formula to approximate the circumference of $x = 5 \cos t$, $y = 3 \sin t$ and compare this to the approximation given by Simpson's Rule and n = 6.

In Exercises 41 – 44, a solid of revolution is described. Find or approximate its surface area as specified.

41. Find the surface area of the sphere formed by rotating the circle $x = 2 \cos t$, $y = 2 \sin t$ about:

- (a) the x-axis and
- (b) the y-axis.
- 42. Find the surface area of the torus (or "donut") formed by rotating the circle $x = \cos t + 2$, $y = \sin t$ about the *y*-axis.
- 43. Approximate the surface area of the solid formed by rotating the "upper right half" of the bow tie curve $x = \cos t$, $y = \sin(2t)$ on $[0, \pi/2]$ about the *x*-axis, using Simpson's Rule and n = 4.
- 44. Approximate the surface area of the solid formed by rotating the one petal of the rose curve $x = \cos t \cos(2t)$, $y = \sin t \cos(2t)$ on $[0, \pi/4]$ about the *x*-axis, using Simpson's Rule and n = 4.

9.4 Introduction to Polar Coordinates

We are generally introduced to the idea of graphing curves by relating *x*-values to *y*-values through a function *f*. That is, we set y = f(x), and plot lots of point pairs (x, y) to get a good notion of how the curve looks. This method is useful but has limitations, not least of which is that curves that "fail the vertical line test" cannot be graphed without using multiple functions.

The previous two sections introduced and studied a new way of plotting points in the x, y-plane. Using parametric equations, x and y values are computed independently and then plotted together. This method allows us to graph an extraordinary range of curves. This section introduces yet another way to plot points in the plane: using **polar coordinates**.

Polar Coordinates

Start with a point *O* in the plane called the **pole** (we will always identify this point with the origin). From the pole, draw a ray, called the **initial ray** (we will always draw this ray horizontally, identifying it with the positive *x*-axis). A point *P* in the plane is determined by the distance *r* that *P* is from *O*, and the angle θ formed between the initial ray and the segment \overline{OP} (measured counter-clockwise). We record the distance and angle as an ordered pair (r, θ) . To avoid confusion with rectangular coordinates, we will denote polar coordinates with the letter *P*, as in *P*(*r*, θ). This is illustrated in Figure 9.4.1

Practice will make this process more clear.

Example 9.4.1 Plotting Polar Coordinates

Plot the following polar coordinates:


$$A = P(1, \pi/4)$$
 $B = P(1.5, \pi)$ $C = P(2, -\pi/3)$ $D = P(-1, \pi/4)$

SOLUTION To aid in the drawing, a polar grid is provided at the bottom of this page. To place the point *A*, go out 1 unit along the initial ray (putting you on the inner circle shown on the grid), then rotate counter-clockwise $\pi/4$ radians (or 45°). Alternately, one can consider the rotation first: think about the ray from *O* that forms an angle of $\pi/4$ with the initial ray, then move out 1 unit along this ray (again placing you on the inner circle of the grid).

To plot *B*, go out 1.5 units along the initial ray and rotate π radians (180°). To plot *C*, go out 2 units along the initial ray then rotate *clockwise* $\pi/3$ radians, as the angle given is negative.

To plot *D*, move along the initial ray "-1" units – in other words, "back up" 1 unit, then rotate counter-clockwise by $\pi/4$. The results are given in Figure 9.4.2.

Notes:






Figure 9.4.1: Illustrating polar coordinates.




Figure 9.4.2: Plotting polar points in Example 9.4.1.

Consider the following two points: $A = P(1, \pi)$ and B = P(-1, 0). To locate A, go out 1 unit on the initial ray then rotate π radians; to locate B, go out -1 units on the initial ray and don't rotate. One should see that A and B are located at the same point in the plane. We can also consider $C = P(1, 3\pi)$, or $D = P(1, -\pi)$; all four of these points share the same location.

This ability to identify a point in the plane with multiple polar coordinates is both a "blessing" and a "curse." We will see that it is beneficial as we can plot beautiful functions that intersect themselves (much like we saw with parametric functions). The unfortunate part of this is that it can be difficult to determine when this happens. We'll explore this more later in this section.

Polar to Rectangular Conversion

It is useful to recognize both the rectangular (or, Cartesian) coordinates of a point in the plane and its polar coordinates. Figure 9.4.3 shows a point *P* in the plane with rectangular coordinates (x, y) and polar coordinates $P(r, \theta)$. Using trigonometry, we can make the identities given in the following Key Idea.

Key Idea 9.4.1	Converting Betw Coordinates	een Rectangular and Polar	
Given the polar po by	bint $P(r, \theta)$, the recta	angular coordinates are determined	
	$x = r \cos \theta$	$y = r \sin \theta$.	
Given the rectangular coordinates (x, y) , the polar coordinates are determined by			
	$r^2 = x^2 + y^2$	$ an heta = rac{y}{x}.$	

Example 9.4.2 Converting Between Polar and Rectangular Coordinates

- 1. Convert the polar coordinates $P(2, 2\pi/3)$ and $P(-1, 5\pi/4)$ to rectangular coordinates.
- 2. Convert the rectangular coordinates $({\bf 1},{\bf 2})$ and $(-{\bf 1},{\bf 1})$ to polar coordinates.

SOLUTION

Notes:




Figure 9.4.3: Converting between rectangular and polar coordinates. 1. (a) We start with $P(2, 2\pi/3)$. Using Key Idea 9.4.1, we have

$$x = 2\cos(2\pi/3) = -1$$
 $y = 2\sin(2\pi/3) = \sqrt{3}$

So the rectangular coordinates are $(-1, \sqrt{3}) \approx (-1, 1.732)$.

(b) The polar point $P(-1, 5\pi/4)$ is converted to rectangular with:

$$x = -1\cos(5\pi/4) = \sqrt{2}/2$$
 $y = -1\sin(5\pi/4) = \sqrt{2}/2$

So the rectangular coordinates are $(\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{2}/2) \approx (0.707, 0.707)$.

These points are plotted in Figure 9.4.4 (a). The rectangular coordinate system is drawn lightly under the polar coordinate system so that the relationship between the two can be seen.

2. (a) To convert the rectangular point (1, 2) to polar coordinates, we use the Key Idea to form the following two equations:

$$1^2 + 2^2 = r^2$$
 tan $\theta = \frac{2}{1}$

The first equation tells us that $r = \sqrt{5}$. Using the inverse tangent function, we find

$$an heta = 2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad heta = an^{-1} 2 \approx 1.11 \approx 63.43^{\circ}.$$

Thus polar coordinates of (1, 2) are $P(\sqrt{5}, 1.11)$.

(b) To convert (-1, 1) to polar coordinates, we form the equations

$$(-1)^2 + 1^2 = r^2$$
 $\tan \theta = \frac{1}{-1}.$


Thus $r = \sqrt{2}$. We need to be careful in computing θ : using the inverse tangent function, we have

 $\tan\theta = -\mathbf{1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta = \tan^{-1}(-\mathbf{1}) = -\pi/4 = -45^{\circ}.$

This is not the angle we desire. The range of $\tan^{-1} x$ is $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$; that is, it returns angles that lie in the 1st and 4th quadrants. To find locations in the 2nd and 3rd quadrants, add π to the result of $\tan^{-1} x$. So $\pi + (-\pi/4)$ puts the angle at $3\pi/4$. Thus the polar point is $P(\sqrt{2}, 3\pi/4)$.

An alternate method is to use the angle θ given by arctangent, but change the sign of r. Thus we could also refer to (-1, 1) as $P(-\sqrt{2}, -\pi/4)$.

These points are plotted in Figure 9.4.4 (b). The polar system is drawn lightly under the rectangular grid with rays to demonstrate the angles used.






Figure 9.4.4: Plotting rectangular and polar points in Example 9.4.2.

Polar Functions and Polar Graphs

Defining a new coordinate system allows us to create a new kind of function, a **polar function**. Rectangular coordinates lent themselves well to creating functions that related *x* and *y*, such as $y = x^2$. Polar coordinates allow us to create functions that relate *r* and θ . Normally these functions look like $r = f(\theta)$, although we can create functions of the form $\theta = f(r)$. The following examples introduce us to this concept.

Example 9.4.3 Introduction to Graphing Polar Functions

Describe the graphs of the following polar functions.

- 1. *r* = 1.5
- 2. $\theta = \pi/4$

SOLUTION

1. The equation r = 1.5 describes all points that are 1.5 units from the pole; as the angle is not specified, any θ is allowable. All points 1.5 units from the pole describes a circle of radius 1.5.

We can consider the rectangular equivalent of this equation; using $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$, we see that $1.5^2 = x^2 + y^2$, which we recognize as the equation of a circle centered at (0,0) with radius 1.5. This is sketched in Figure 9.4.5.

2. The equation $\theta = \pi/4$ describes all points such that the line through them and the pole make an angle of $\pi/4$ with the initial ray. As the radius *r* is not specified, it can be any value (even negative). Thus $\theta = \pi/4$ describes the line through the pole that makes an angle of $\pi/4 = 45^{\circ}$ with the initial ray.

We can again consider the rectangular equivalent of this equation. Combine $\tan \theta = y/x$ and $\theta = \pi/4$:

$$\tan \pi/4 = y/x \Rightarrow x \tan \pi/4 = y \Rightarrow y = x.$$

This graph is also plotted in Figure 9.4.5.

The basic rectangular equations of the form x = h and y = k create vertical and horizontal lines, respectively; the basic polar equations r = h and $\theta = \alpha$ create circles and lines through the pole, respectively. With this as a foundation, we can create more complicated polar functions of the form $r = f(\theta)$. The input is an angle; the output is a length, how far in the direction of the angle to go out.

Notes:




Figure 9.4.5: Plotting standard polar plots.

We sketch these functions much like we sketch rectangular and parametric functions: we plot lots of points and "connect the dots" with curves. We demonstrate this in the following example.

Example 9.4.4 Sketching Polar Functions

Sketch the polar function $r = 1 + \cos \theta$ on $[0, 2\pi]$ by plotting points.

SOLUTION A common question when sketching curves by plotting points is "Which points should I plot?" With rectangular equations, we often choose "easy" values – integers, then add more if needed. When plotting polar equations, start with the "common" angles – multiples of $\pi/6$ and $\pi/4$. Figure 9.4.6 gives a table of just a few values of θ in $[0, \pi]$.

Consider the point P(2, 0) determined by the first line of the table. The angle is 0 radians – we do not rotate from the initial ray – then we go out 2 units from the pole. When $\theta = \pi/6$, r = 1.866 (actually, it is $1 + \sqrt{3}/2$); so rotate by $\pi/6$ radians and go out 1.866 units.


The graph shown uses more points, connected with straight lines. (The points on the graph that correspond to points in the table are signified with larger dots.) Such a sketch is likely good enough to give one an idea of what the graph looks like.


Technology Note: Plotting functions in this way can be tedious, just as it was with rectangular functions. To obtain very accurate graphs, technology is a great aid. Most graphing calculators can plot polar functions; in the menu, set the plotting mode to something like polar or POL, depending on one's calculator. As with plotting parametric functions, the viewing "window" no longer determines the *x*-values that are plotted, so additional information needs to be provided. Often with the "window" settings are the settings for the beginning and ending θ values (often called θ_{\min} and θ_{\max}) as well as the θ_{step} – that is, how far apart the θ values are spaced. The smaller the θ_{step} value, the more accurate the graph (which also increases plotting time). Using technology, we graphed the polar function $r = 1 + \cos \theta$ from Example 9.4.4 in Figure 9.4.7.

Example 9.4.5 Sketching Polar Functions

Sketch the polar function $r = \cos(2\theta)$ on $[0, 2\pi]$ by plotting points.

SOLUTION We start by making a table of $cos(2\theta)$ evaluated at common angles θ , as shown in Figure 9.4.8. These points are then plotted in Figure 9.4.9 (a). This particular graph "moves" around quite a bit and one can easily forget which points should be connected to each other. To help us with this, we numbered each point in the table and on the graph.








Figure 9.4.7: Using technology to graph a polar function.

Chapter 9 Curves in the Plane




Figure 9.4.9: Polar plots from Example 9.4.5.

Pt.	θ	$\cos(2\theta)$		Pt.	θ	$\cos(2\theta)$
1	0	1.	-	10	$7\pi/6$	0.5
2	$\pi/6$	0.5		11	$5\pi/4$	0.
3	$\pi/4$	0.		12	$4\pi/3$	-0.5
4	$\pi/3$	-0.5		13	$3\pi/2$	-1.
5	$\pi/2$	-1 .		14	$5\pi/3$	-0.5
6	$2\pi/3$	-0.5		15	$7\pi/4$	0.
7	$3\pi/4$	0.		16	$11\pi/6$	0.5
8	$5\pi/6$	0.5		17	2π	1.
9	π	1.				

Figure 9.4.8: Tables of points for plotting a polar curve.

Using more points (and the aid of technology) a smoother plot can be made as shown in Figure 9.4.9 (b). This plot is an example of a *rose curve*.

It is sometimes desirable to refer to a graph via a polar equation, and other times by a rectangular equation. Therefore it is necessary to be able to convert between polar and rectangular functions, which we practice in the following example. We will make frequent use of the identities found in Key Idea 9.4.1.

Convert from polar to rectangular.

Example 9.4.6 Converting between rectangular and polar equations.

Convert from rectangular to polar.

1. $y = x^2$	3. $r = \frac{2}{\sin \theta - \cos \theta}$
2. $xy = 1$	$\sin \theta - \cos \theta$ 4. $r = 2 \cos \theta$

SOLUTION

1. Replace y with $r \sin \theta$ and replace x with $r \cos \theta$, giving:

$$y = x^{2}$$
$$r \sin \theta = r^{2} \cos^{2} \theta$$
$$\frac{\sin \theta}{\cos^{2} \theta} = r$$

We have found that $r = \sin \theta / \cos^2 \theta = \tan \theta \sec \theta$. The domain of this polar function is $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$; plot a few points to see how the familiar parabola is traced out by the polar equation.

2. We again replace x and y using the standard identities and work to solve for r:

$$xy = 1$$

$$r \cos \theta \cdot r \sin \theta = 1$$

$$r^2 = \frac{1}{\cos \theta \sin \theta}$$

$$r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\cos \theta \sin \theta}}$$

This function is valid only when the product of $\cos \theta \sin \theta$ is positive. This occurs in the first and third quadrants, meaning the domain of this polar function is $(0, \pi/2) \cup (\pi, 3\pi/2)$.

We can rewrite the original rectangular equation xy = 1 as y = 1/x. This is graphed in Figure 9.4.10; note how it only exists in the first and third quadrants.

3. There is no set way to convert from polar to rectangular; in general, we look to form the products $r \cos \theta$ and $r \sin \theta$, and then replace these with x and y, respectively. We start in this problem by multiplying both sides by $\sin \theta - \cos \theta$:

$$r = \frac{2}{\sin \theta - \cos \theta}$$

$$r(\sin \theta - \cos \theta) = 2$$

$$r \sin \theta - r \cos \theta = 2.$$
 Now replace with y and x:

$$y - x = 2$$

$$y = x + 2.$$

The original polar equation, $r = 2/(\sin \theta - \cos \theta)$ does not easily reveal that its graph is simply a line. However, our conversion shows that it is. The upcoming gallery of polar curves gives the general equations of lines in polar form.

4. By multiplying both sides by r, we obtain both an r^2 term and an $r \cos \theta$ term, which we replace with $x^2 + y^2$ and x, respectively.

$$r = 2\cos\theta$$
$$r^2 = 2r\cos\theta$$
$$x^2 + y^2 = 2x.$$




Figure 9.4.10: Graphing xy = 1 from Example 9.4.6.

We recognize this as a circle; by completing the square we can find its radius and center.

$$x^2 - 2x + y^2 = 0$$


 $(x - 1)^2 + y^2 = 1$

The circle is centered at (1,0) and has radius 1. The upcoming gallery of polar curves gives the equations of some circles in polar form; circles with arbitrary centers have a complicated polar equation that we do not consider here.

Some curves have very simple polar equations but rather complicated rectangular ones. For instance, the equation $r = 1 + \cos \theta$ describes a *cardioid* (a shape important to the sensitivity of microphones, among other things; one is graphed in the gallery in the Limaçon section). It's rectangular form is not nearly as simple; it is the implicit equation $x^4 + y^4 + 2x^2y^2 - 2xy^2 - 2x^3 - y^2 = 0$. The conversion is not "hard," but takes several steps, and is left as a problem in the Exercise section.

Gallery of Polar Curves

There are a number of basic and "classic" polar curves, famous for their beauty and/or applicability to the sciences. This section ends with a small gallery of some of these graphs. We encourage the reader to understand how these graphs are formed, and to investigate with technology other types of polar functions.




Notes:

Lines


Circles

Spiral




Limaçons

Symmetric about *x*-axis: $r = a \pm b \cos \theta$; Symmetric about *y*-axis: $r = a \pm b \sin \theta$; a, b > 0



Rose Curves


Symmetric about *x*-axis: $r = a \cos(n\theta)$; Symmetric about *y*-axis: $r = a \sin(n\theta)$ Curve contains 2*n* petals when *n* is even and *n* petals when *n* is odd.




Special Curves

Rose curves


 $r = a \sin(\theta/5)$






Lemniscate:


 $r^2 = a^2 \cos(2\theta)$



Eight Curve:

 $r^2 = a^2 \sec^4 \theta \cos(2\theta)$





Example 9.4.7 Finding points of intersection with polar curves

Determine where the graphs of the polar equations $r = 1+3\cos\theta$ and $r = \cos\theta$ intersect.

SOLUTION As technology is generally readily available, it is usually a good idea to start with a graph. We have graphed the two functions in Figure 9.4.11(a); to better discern the intersection points, part (b) of the figure zooms in around the origin. We start by setting the two functions equal to each other and solving for θ :

$$1 + 3\cos\theta = \cos\theta$$
$$2\cos\theta = -1$$
$$\cos\theta = -\frac{1}{2}$$
$$\theta = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3}.$$

(There are, of course, infinite solutions to the equation $\cos \theta = -1/2$; as the limaçon is traced out once on $[0, 2\pi]$, we restrict our solutions to this interval.)


We need to analyze this solution. When $\theta = 2\pi/3$ we obtain the point of intersection that lies in the 4th quadrant. When $\theta = 4\pi/3$, we get the point of intersection that lies in the 2nd quadrant. There is more to say about this second intersection point, however. The circle defined by $r = \cos \theta$ is traced out once on $[0, \pi]$, meaning that this point of intersection occurs while tracing out the circle a second time. It seems strange to pass by the point once and then recognize it as a point of intersection only when arriving there a "second time." The first time the circle arrives at this point is when $\theta = \pi/3$. It is key to understand that these two points are the same: $(\cos \pi/3, \pi/3)$ and $(\cos 4\pi/3, 4\pi/3)$.

To summarize what we have done so far, we have found two points of intersection: when $\theta = 2\pi/3$ and when $\theta = 4\pi/3$. When referencing the circle $r = \cos \theta$, the latter point is better referenced as when $\theta = \pi/3$.

There is yet another point of intersection: the pole (or, the origin). We did not recognize this intersection point using our work above as each graph arrives at the pole at a different θ value.

A graph intersects the pole when r = 0. Considering the circle $r = \cos \theta$, r = 0 when $\theta = \pi/2$ (and odd multiples thereof, as the circle is repeatedly

Notes:



the points of intersection of the polar

functions given in Example 9.4.7.

traced). The limaçon intersects the pole when $1+3\cos\theta = 0$; this occurs when $\cos\theta = -1/3$, or for $\theta = \cos^{-1}(-1/3)$. This is a nonstandard angle, approximately $\theta = 1.9106 = 109.47^{\circ}$. The limaçon intersects the pole twice in $[0, 2\pi]$; the other angle at which the limaçon is at the pole is the reflection of the first angle across the *x*-axis. That is, $\theta = 4.3726 = 250.53^{\circ}$.

If all one is concerned with is the (x, y) coordinates at which the graphs intersect, much of the above work is extraneous. We know they intersect at (0, 0); we might not care at what θ value. Likewise, using $\theta = 2\pi/3$ and $\theta = 4\pi/3$ can give us the needed rectangular coordinates. However, in the next section we apply calculus concepts to polar functions. When computing the area of a region bounded by polar curves, understanding the nuances of the points of intersection becomes important.

Exercises 9.4

Terms and Concepts

- 1. In your own words, describe how to plot the polar point $P(r, \theta)$.
- 2. T/F: When plotting a point with polar coordinate $P(r, \theta)$, r must be positive.
- 3. T/F: Every point in the Cartesian plane can be represented by a polar coordinate.
- 4. T/F: Every point in the Cartesian plane can be represented uniquely by a polar coordinate.

Problems

5. Plot the points with the given polar coordinates.


(a)
$$A = P(2,0)$$

(b) $B = P(1,\pi)$
(c) $C = P(-2,\pi/2)$
(d) $D = P(1,\pi/4)$


6. Plot the points with the given polar coordinates.

(a) $A = P(2, 3\pi)$	(c) $C = P(1, 2)$
(b) $B = P(1, -\pi)$	(d) $D = P(1/2, 5\pi/6)$

7. For each of the given points give two sets of polar coordinates that identify it, where $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$.



8. For each of the given points give two sets of polar coordinates that identify it, where $-\pi \le \theta \le \pi$.



9. Convert each of the following polar coordinates to rectangular, and each of the following rectangular coordinates to polar.

(a)
$$A = P(2, \pi/4)$$
 (c) $C = (2, -1)$

(b)
$$B = P(2, -\pi/4)$$
 (d) $D = (-2, 1)$

10. Convert each of the following polar coordinates to rectangular, and each of the following rectangular coordinates to polar.

(a)
$$A = P(3, \pi)$$

(b) $B = P(1, 2\pi/3)$
(c) $C = (0, 4)$
(d) $D = (1, -\sqrt{3})$

In Exercises 11 - 30, graph the polar function on the given interval.

11.
$$r = 2$$
, $0 \le \theta \le \pi/2$
12. $\theta = \pi/6$, $-1 \le r \le 2$
13. $r = 1 - \cos \theta$, $[0, 2\pi]$
14. $r = 2 + \sin \theta$, $[0, 2\pi]$
15. $r = 2 - \sin \theta$, $[0, 2\pi]$
16. $r = 1 - 2\sin \theta$, $[0, 2\pi]$
17. $r = 1 + 2\sin \theta$, $[0, 2\pi]$
18. $r = \cos(2\theta)$, $[0, 2\pi]$
19. $r = \sin(3\theta)$, $[0, \pi]$
20. $r = \cos(\theta/3)$, $[0, 3\pi]$
21. $r = \cos(2\theta/3)$, $[0, 6\pi]$
22. $r = \theta/2$, $[0, 4\pi]$
23. $r = 3\sin(\theta)$, $[0, \pi]$
24. $r = 2\cos(\theta)$, $[0, \pi/2]$
25. $r = \cos \theta \sin \theta$, $[0, 2\pi]$
26. $r = \theta^2 - (\pi/2)^2$, $[-\pi, \pi]$
27. $r = \frac{3}{5\sin \theta - \cos \theta}$, $[0, 2\pi]$
28. $r = \frac{-2}{3\cos \theta - 2\sin \theta}$, $[0, 2\pi]$
29. $r = 3\sec \theta$, $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$
30. $r = 3\csc \theta$, $(0, \pi)$

In Exercises 31 – 40, convert the polar equation to a rectangular equation.

31.
$$r = 6 \cos \theta$$

32. $r = -4 \sin \theta$

33.
$$r = \cos \theta + \sin \theta$$

34. $r = \frac{7}{5 \sin \theta - 2 \cos \theta}$
35. $r = \frac{3}{\cos \theta}$
36. $r = \frac{4}{\sin \theta}$
37. $r = \tan \theta$
38. $r = \cot \theta$
39. $r = 2$
40. $\theta = \pi/6$

- In Exercises 41 48, convert the rectangular equation to a polar equation.
- 41. *y* = *x*
- 42. y = 4x + 7
- 43. *x* = 5
- 44. *y* = 5
- 45. $x = y^2$

46. $x^2 y = 1$

- 47. $x^2 + y^2 = 7$
- 48. $(x+1)^2 + y^2 = 1$

In Exercises 49 – 56, find the points of intersection of the polar graphs.

- 49. $r = \sin(2\theta)$ and $r = \cos \theta$ on $[0, \pi]$
- 50. $r = \cos(2\theta)$ and $r = \cos\theta$ on $[0, \pi]$
- 51. $r = 2 \cos \theta$ and $r = 2 \sin \theta$ on $[0, \pi]$
- 52. $r = \sin \theta$ and $r = \sqrt{3} + 3 \sin \theta$ on $[0, 2\pi]$
- 53. $r = \sin(3\theta)$ and $r = \cos(3\theta)$ on $[0, \pi]$
- 54. $r = 3 \cos \theta$ and $r = 1 + \cos \theta$ on $[-\pi, \pi]$
- 55. r = 1 and $r = 2 \sin(2\theta)$ on $[0, 2\pi]$
- 56. $r = 1 \cos \theta$ and $r = 1 + \sin \theta$ on $[0, 2\pi]$
- 57. Pick a integer value for *n*, where $n \neq 2, 3$, and use technology to plot $r = \sin\left(\frac{m}{n}\theta\right)$ for three different integer values of *m*. Sketch these and determine a minimal interval on which the entire graph is shown.
- 58. Create your own polar function, $r = f(\theta)$ and sketch it. Describe why the graph looks as it does.

9.5 Calculus and Polar Functions

The previous section defined polar coordinates, leading to polar functions. We investigated plotting these functions and solving a fundamental question about their graphs, namely, where do two polar graphs intersect?

We now turn our attention to answering other questions, whose solutions require the use of calculus. A basis for much of what is done in this section is the ability to turn a polar function $r = f(\theta)$ into a set of parametric equations. Using the identities $x = r \cos \theta$ and $y = r \sin \theta$, we can create the parametric equations $x = f(\theta) \cos \theta$, $y = f(\theta) \sin \theta$ and apply the concepts of Section 9.3.

Polar Functions and $\frac{dy}{dx}$

We are interested in the lines tangent to a given graph, regardless of whether that graph is produced by rectangular, parametric, or polar equations. In each of these contexts, the slope of the tangent line is $\frac{dy}{dx}$. Given $r = f(\theta)$, we are generally *not* concerned with $r' = f'(\theta)$; that describes how fast r changes with respect to θ . Instead, we will use $x = f(\theta) \cos \theta$, $y = f(\theta) \sin \theta$ to compute $\frac{dy}{dx}$.

Using Key Idea 9.3.1 we have

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy}{d\theta} \Big/ \frac{dx}{d\theta}.$$

Each of the two derivatives on the right hand side of the equality requires the use of the Product Rule. We state the important result as a Key Idea.

Key Idea 9.5.1Finding $\frac{dy}{dx}$ with Polar FunctionsLet $r = f(\theta)$ be a polar function. With $x = f(\theta) \cos \theta$ and $y = f(\theta) \sin \theta$, $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{f'(\theta) \sin \theta + f(\theta) \cos \theta}{f'(\theta) \cos \theta - f(\theta) \sin \theta}.$

Example 9.5.1 Finding $\frac{dy}{dx}$ with polar functions. Consider the limaçon $r = 1 + 2 \sin \theta$ on $[0, 2\pi]$.

- 1. Find the equations of the tangent and normal lines to the graph at $\theta=\pi/4.$
- 2. Find where the graph has vertical and horizontal tangent lines.

SOLUTION

1. We start by computing $\frac{dy}{dx}$. With $f'(\theta) = 2 \cos \theta$, we have

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{2\cos\theta\sin\theta + \cos\theta(1+2\sin\theta)}{2\cos^2\theta - \sin\theta(1+2\sin\theta)}$$
$$= \frac{\cos\theta(4\sin\theta + 1)}{2(\cos^2\theta - \sin^2\theta) - \sin\theta}.$$

When $\theta = \pi/4$, $\frac{dy}{dx} = -2\sqrt{2} - 1$ (this requires a bit of simplification). In rectangular coordinates, the point on the graph at $\theta = \pi/4$ is $(1 + \sqrt{2}/2, 1 + \sqrt{2}/2)$. Thus the rectangular equation of the line tangent to the limaçon at $\theta = \pi/4$ is

$$y = (-2\sqrt{2} - 1)(x - (1 + \sqrt{2}/2)) + 1 + \sqrt{2}/2 \approx -3.83x + 8.24.$$

The limaçon and the tangent line are graphed in Figure 9.5.1.

The normal line has the opposite–reciprocal slope as the tangent line, so its equation is

$$y\approx\frac{1}{3.83}x+1.26.$$

2. To find the horizontal lines of tangency, we find where $\frac{dy}{dx} = 0$; thus we find where the numerator of our equation for $\frac{dy}{dx}$ is 0.

 $\cos \theta (4 \sin \theta + 1) = 0 \Rightarrow \cos \theta = 0 \text{ or } 4 \sin \theta + 1 = 0.$

On $[0, 2\pi]$, $\cos \theta = 0$ when $\theta = \pi/2$, $3\pi/2$.

Setting $4 \sin \theta + 1 = 0$ gives $\theta = \sin^{-1}(-1/4) \approx -0.2527 = -14.48^{\circ}$. We want the results in $[0, 2\pi]$; we also recognize there are two solutions, one in the 3rd quadrant and one in the 4th. Using reference angles, we have our two solutions as $\theta = 3.39$ and 6.03 radians. The four points we obtained where the limaçon has a horizontal tangent line are given in Figure 9.5.1 with black-filled dots.

To find the vertical lines of tangency, we set the denominator of $\frac{dy}{dx} = 0$.

$$2(\cos^2\theta - \sin^2\theta) - \sin\theta = 0$$

Convert the $\cos^2 \theta$ term to $1 - \sin^2 \theta$:

$$2(1 - \sin^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) - \sin \theta = 0$$
$$4 \sin^2 \theta + \sin \theta - 2 = 0.$$




Figure 9.5.1: The limaçon in Example 9.5.1 with its tangent line at $\theta = \pi/4$ and points of vertical and horizontal tangency.

Recognize this as a quadratic in the variable $\sin \theta.$ Using the quadratic formula, we have

In each of the solutions above, we only get one of the possible two solutions as $\sin^{-1} x$ only returns solutions in $[-\pi/2, \pi/2]$, the 4th and 1st quadrants. Again using reference angles, we have:

$$\sin \theta = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{33}}{8} \Rightarrow \theta = 0.6349, 2.5067$$
 radians

and

$$\sin \theta = \frac{-1 - \sqrt{33}}{8} \Rightarrow \theta = 4.1446, 5.2802 \text{ radians}$$

These points are also shown in Figure 9.5.1 with white-filled dots.

When the graph of the polar function $r = f(\theta)$ intersects the pole, it means that $f(\alpha) = 0$ for some angle α . Thus the formula for $\frac{dy}{dx}$ in such instances is very simple, reducing simply to

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \tan \alpha.$$

This equation makes an interesting point. It tells us the slope of the tangent line at the pole is tan α ; some of our previous work (see, for instance, Example 9.4.3) shows us that the line through the pole with slope tan α has polar equation $\theta = \alpha$. Thus when a polar graph touches the pole at $\theta = \alpha$, the equation of the tangent line at the pole is $\theta = \alpha$.

Example 9.5.2 Finding tangent lines at the pole.

Let $r = 1 + 2 \sin \theta$, a limaçon. Find the equations of the lines tangent to the graph at the pole.




Figure 9.5.2: Graphing the tangent lines at the pole in Example 9.5.2.

SOLUTION We need to know when r = 0.

$$egin{aligned} 1+2\sin heta&=0\ \sin heta&=-1/2\ heta&=rac{7\pi}{6},rac{11\pi}{6} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the equations of the tangent lines, in polar, are $\theta = 7\pi/6$ and $\theta = 11\pi/6$. In rectangular form, the tangent lines are $y = \tan(7\pi/6)x$ and $y = \tan(11\pi/6)x$. The full limaçon can be seen in Figure 9.5.1; we zoom in on the tangent lines in Figure 9.5.2.

6

Area

When using rectangular coordinates, the equations x = h and y = k defined vertical and horizontal lines, respectively, and combinations of these lines create rectangles (hence the name "rectangular coordinates"). It is then somewhat natural to use rectangles to approximate area as we did when learning about the definite integral.


When using polar coordinates, the equations $\theta = \alpha$ and r = c form lines through the origin and circles centered at the origin, respectively, and combinations of these curves form sectors of circles. It is then somewhat natural to calculate the area of regions defined by polar functions by first approximating with sectors of circles.


Consider Figure 9.5.3 (a) where a region defined by $r = f(\theta)$ on $[\alpha, \beta]$ is given. (Note how the "sides" of the region are the lines $\theta = \alpha$ and $\theta = \beta$, whereas in rectangular coordinates the "sides" of regions were often the vertical lines x = aand x = b.)


Partition the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ into *n* equally spaced subintervals as $\alpha = \theta_1 < \theta_1$ $\theta_2 < \cdots < \theta_{n+1} = \beta$. The length of each subinterval is $\Delta \theta = (\beta - \alpha)/n$, representing a small change in angle. The area of the region defined by the *i*th subinterval $[\theta_i, \theta_{i+1}]$ can be approximated with a sector of a circle with radius $f(c_i)$, for some c_i in $[\theta_i, \theta_{i+1}]$. The area of this sector is $\frac{1}{2}f(c_i)^2\Delta\theta$. This is shown in part (b) of the figure, where $[\alpha, \beta]$ has been divided into 4 subintervals. We approximate the area of the whole region by summing the areas of all sectors:

Area
$$\approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} f(c_i)^2 \Delta \theta$$

This is a Riemann sum. By taking the limit of the sum as $n \to \infty$, we find the







exact area of the region in the form of a definite integral.

Theorem 9.5.1 Area of a Polar Region

Let *f* be continuous and non-negative on $[\alpha, \beta]$, where $0 \le \beta - \alpha \le 2\pi$. The area *A* of the region bounded by the curve $r = f(\theta)$ and the lines $\theta = \alpha$ and $\theta = \beta$ is

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(\theta)^2 d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} r^2 d\theta$$

The theorem states that $0 \le \beta - \alpha \le 2\pi$. This ensures that region does not overlap itself, which would give a result that does not correspond directly to the area.

Example 9.5.3 Area of a polar region

Find the area of the circle defined by $r = \cos \theta$. (Recall this circle has radius 1/2.)


SOLUTION This is a direct application of Theorem 9.5.1. The circle is traced out on $[0, \pi]$, leading to the integral

Area
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \cos^2 \theta \, d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{1 + \cos(2\theta)}{2} \, d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} \left(\theta + \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\theta) \right) \Big|_0^{\pi}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} \pi.$$

Of course, we already knew the area of a circle with radius 1/2. We did this example to demonstrate that the area formula is correct.

Example 9.5.4 Area of a polar region

Find the area of the cardioid $r = 1 + \cos \theta$ bound between $\theta = \pi/6$ and $\theta = \pi/3$, as shown in Figure 9.5.4.



$$\int \cos^2 \theta \, d\theta = \frac{1}{2}\theta + \frac{1}{4}\sin(2\theta) + C$$
$$\int \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta = \frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{4}\sin(2\theta) + C$$




Figure 9.5.4: Finding the area of the shaded region of a cardioid in Example 9.5.4.

SOLUTION This is again a direct application of Theorem 9.5.1.


Area
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\pi/6}^{\pi/3} (1 + \cos \theta)^2 \, d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\pi/6}^{\pi/3} (1 + 2\cos \theta + \cos^2 \theta) \, d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\theta + 2\sin \theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta + \frac{1}{4}\sin(2\theta) \right) \Big|_{\pi/6}^{\pi/3}$$
$$= \frac{1}{8} (\pi + 4\sqrt{3} - 4) \approx 0.7587.$$

Area Between Curves

Our study of area in the context of rectangular functions led naturally to finding area bounded between curves. We consider the same in the context of polar functions.

Consider the shaded region shown in Figure 9.5.5. We can find the area of this region by computing the area bounded by $r_2 = f_2(\theta)$ and subtracting the area bounded by $r_1 = f_1(\theta)$ on $[\alpha, \beta]$. Thus

Area =
$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} r_2^2 d\theta - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} r_1^2 d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (r_2^2 - r_1^2) d\theta.$$



The area *A* of the region bounded by $r_1 = f_1(\theta)$ and $r_2 = f_2(\theta)$, $\theta = \alpha$ and $\theta = \beta$, where $f_1(\theta) \le f_2(\theta)$ on $[\alpha, \beta]$, is

$$A=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left(r_{2}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right)\,d\theta$$

Example 9.5.5 Area between polar curves

Find the area bounded between the curves $r = 1 + \cos \theta$ and $r = 3 \cos \theta$, as shown in Figure 9.5.6.

SOLUTION We need to find the points of intersection between these




Figure 9.5.5: Illustrating area bound between two polar curves.




Figure 9.5.6: Finding the area between polar curves in Example 9.5.5.

two functions. Setting them equal to each other, we find:

$$1 + \cos \theta = 3 \cos \theta$$
$$\cos \theta = 1/2$$
$$\theta = \pm \pi/3$$

Thus we integrate $\frac{1}{2}((3\cos\theta)^2 - (1+\cos\theta)^2)$ on $[-\pi/3,\pi/3]$.

Area =
$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi/3}^{\pi/3} \left((3\cos\theta)^2 - (1+\cos\theta)^2 \right) d\theta$$

= $\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi/3}^{\pi/3} \left(8\cos^2\theta - 2\cos\theta - 1 \right) d\theta$
= $\frac{1}{2} \left(2\sin(2\theta) - 2\sin\theta + 3\theta \right) \Big|_{-\pi/3}^{\pi/3}$
= π .

Amazingly enough, the area between these curves has a "nice" value.

Example 9.5.6 Area defined by polar curves

Find the area bounded between the polar curves r = 1 and $r = 2\cos(2\theta)$, as shown in Figure 9.5.7 (a).

SOLUTION We need to find the point of intersection between the two curves. Setting the two functions equal to each other, we have


$$2\cos(2\theta) = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \cos(2\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2\theta = \pi/3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta = \pi/6.$$

In part (b) of the figure, we zoom in on the region and note that it is not really bounded *between* two polar curves, but rather *by* two polar curves, along with $\theta = 0$. The dashed line breaks the region into its component parts. Below the dashed line, the region is defined by r = 1, $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi/6$. (Note: the dashed line lies on the line $\theta = \pi/6$.) Above the dashed line the region is bounded by $r = 2\cos(2\theta)$ and $\theta = \pi/6$. Since we have two separate regions, we find the area using two separate integrals.

Call the area below the dashed line A_1 and the area above the dashed line A_2 . They are determined by the following integrals:

$$A_1 = rac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi/6} (1)^2 \, d\theta \qquad A_2 = rac{1}{2} \int_{\pi/6}^{\pi/4} \left(2\cos(2\theta) \right)^2 \, d\theta.$$

Notes:



 $\pi/2$

Figure 9.5.7: Graphing the region bounded by the functions in Example 9.5.6.

(The upper bound of the integral computing A_2 is $\pi/4$ as $r = 2\cos(2\theta)$ is at the pole when $\theta = \pi/4$.)

We omit the integration details and let the reader verify that $A_1 = \pi/12$ and $A_2 = \pi/12 - \sqrt{3}/8$; the total area is $A = \pi/6 - \sqrt{3}/8$.

Arc Length

As we have already considered the arc length of curves defined by rectangular and parametric equations, we now consider it in the context of polar equations. Recall that the arc length *L* of the graph defined by the parametric equations x = f(t), y = g(t) on [a, b] is

$$L = \int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{f'(t)^{2} + g'(t)^{2}} dt = \int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{x'(t)^{2} + y'(t)^{2}} dt.$$
 (9.1)

Now consider the polar function $r = f(\theta)$. We again use the identities $x = f(\theta) \cos \theta$ and $y = f(\theta) \sin \theta$ to create parametric equations based on the polar function. We compute $x'(\theta)$ and $y'(\theta)$ as done before when computing $\frac{dy}{dx}$, then apply Equation (9.1).

The expression $x'(\theta)^2 + y'(\theta)^2$ can be simplified a great deal; we leave this as an exercise and state that

$$\mathbf{x}'(\theta)^2 + \mathbf{y}'(\theta)^2 = f'(\theta)^2 + f(\theta)^2.$$

This leads us to the arc length formula.

Theorem 9.5.2 Arc Length of Polar Curves

Let $r = f(\theta)$ be a polar function with f' continuous on $[\alpha, \beta]$, on which the graph traces itself only once. The arc length *L* of the graph on $[\alpha, \beta]$ is

$$L = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \sqrt{f'(\theta)^2 + f(\theta)^2} \, d\theta = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \sqrt{(r')^2 + r^2} \, d\theta.$$

Example 9.5.7 Arc length of a limaçon

Find the arc length of the limaçon $r = 1 + 2 \sin t$.

SOLUTION With $r = 1 + 2 \sin t$, we have $r' = 2 \cos t$. The limaçon is traced out once on $[0, 2\pi]$, giving us our bounds of integration. Applying Theo-




Figure 9.5.8: The limaçon in Example 9.5.7 whose arc length is measured.

rem 9.5.2, we have

$$L = \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{(2\cos\theta)^2 + (1+2\sin\theta)^2} \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{4\cos^2\theta + 4\sin^2\theta + 4\sin\theta + 1} \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{4\sin\theta + 5} \, d\theta$$
$$\approx 13.3649.$$

The final integral cannot be solved in terms of elementary functions, so we resorted to a numerical approximation. (Simpson's Rule, with n = 4, approximates the value with 13.0608. Using n = 22 gives the value above, which is accurate to 4 places after the decimal.)

Surface Area

5

The formula for arc length leads us to a formula for surface area. The following Theorem is based on Theorem 9.3.2.

Theorem 9.5.3 Surface Area of a Solid of Revolution

Consider the graph of the polar equation $r = f(\theta)$, where f' is continuous on $[\alpha, \beta]$, on which the graph does not cross itself.

1. The surface area of the solid formed by revolving the graph about the initial ray ($\theta = 0$) is:

Surface Area
$$= 2\pi \int_{lpha}^{eta} f(heta) \sin heta \sqrt{f'(heta)^2 + f(heta)^2} \, d heta.$$

2. The surface area of the solid formed by revolving the graph about the line $\theta = \pi/2$ is:

Surface Area =
$$2\pi \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(\theta) \cos \theta \sqrt{f'(\theta)^2 + f(\theta)^2} \, d\theta$$
.

Example 9.5.8 Surface area determined by a polar curve

Find the surface area formed by revolving one petal of the rose curve $r = \cos(2\theta)$ about its central axis (see Figure 9.5.9).

SOLUTION We choose, as implied by the figure, to revolve the portion of the curve that lies on $[0, \pi/4]$ about the initial ray. Using Theorem 9.5.3 and the fact that $f'(\theta) = -2\sin(2\theta)$, we have

Surface Area =
$$2\pi \int_0^{\pi/4} \cos(2\theta) \sin(\theta) \sqrt{\left(-2\sin(2\theta)\right)^2 + \left(\cos(2\theta)\right)^2} d\theta$$

 $\approx 1.36707.$

The integral is another that cannot be evaluated in terms of elementary functions. Simpson's Rule, with n = 4, approximates the value at 1.36751.

This chapter has been about curves in the plane. While there is great mathematics to be discovered in the two dimensions of a plane, we live in a three dimensional world and hence we should also look to do mathematics in 3D – that is, in *space*. The next chapter begins our exploration into space by introducing the topic of *vectors*, which are incredibly useful and powerful mathematical objects.




Figure 9.5.9: Finding the surface area of a rose–curve petal that is revolved around its central axis.

Exercises 9.5

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Given polar equation $r = f(\theta)$, how can one create parametric equations of the same curve?
- With rectangular coordinates, it is natural to approximate area with _____; with polar coordinates, it is natural to approximate area with _____.

Problems

In Exercises 3 – 10, find:

- (a) $\frac{dy}{dx}$
- (b) the equation of the tangent and normal lines to the curve at the indicated θ -value.
- 3. $r = 1; \quad \theta = \pi/4$
- 4. $r = \cos \theta$; $\theta = \pi/4$
- 5. $r = 1 + \sin \theta$; $\theta = \pi/6$
- 6. $r = 1 3\cos\theta; \quad \theta = 3\pi/4$
- 7. $r = \theta$; $\theta = \pi/2$
- 8. $r = \cos(3\theta); \quad \theta = \pi/6$
- 9. $r = \sin(4\theta); \quad \theta = \pi/3$

10. $r = \frac{1}{\sin \theta - \cos \theta}; \quad \theta = \pi$

In Exercises 11 – 14, find the values of θ in the given interval where the graph of the polar function has horizontal and vertical tangent lines.

11. $r = 3; [0, 2\pi]$ 12. $r = 2 \sin \theta; [0, \pi]$ 13. $r = \cos(2\theta); [0, 2\pi]$ 14. $r = 1 + \cos \theta; [0, 2\pi]$


In Exercises 15 – 16, find the equation of the lines tangent to the graph at the pole.

15. $r = \sin \theta$; $[0, \pi]$


16. $r = \sin(3\theta); [0, \pi]$

In Exercises 17 – 28, find the area of the described region.


- 17. Enclosed by the circle: $r = 4 \sin \theta$
- 18. Enclosed by the circle r = 5
- 19. Enclosed by one petal of $r = \sin(3\theta)$
- 20. Enclosed by one petal of the rose curve $r = cos(n \theta)$, where n is a positive integer.
- 21. Enclosed by the cardioid $r = 1 \sin \theta$
- 22. Enclosed by the inner loop of the limaçon $r = 1 + 2 \cos \theta$
- 23. Enclosed by the outer loop of the limaçon $r = 1 + 2 \cos \theta$ (including area enclosed by the inner loop)
- 24. Enclosed between the inner and outer loop of the limaçon $r = 1 + 2\cos\theta$
- 25. Enclosed by $r = 2 \cos \theta$ and $r = 2 \sin \theta$, as shown:




26. Enclosed by $r = \cos(3\theta)$ and $r = \sin(3\theta)$, as shown:



27. Enclosed by $r = \cos \theta$ and $r = \sin(2\theta)$, as shown:



28. Enclosed by $r = \cos \theta$ and $r = 1 - \cos \theta$, as shown:



In Exercises 29 – 34, answer the questions involving arc length.

- 29. Use the arc length formula to compute the arc length of the circle r = 2.
- 30. Use the arc length formula to compute the arc length of the circle $r = 4 \sin \theta$.
- 31. Use the arc length formula to compute the arc length of $r = \cos \theta + \sin \theta$.
- 32. Use the arc length formula to compute the arc length of the cardioid $r = 1 + \cos \theta$. (Hint: apply the formula, simplify, then use a Power–Reducing Formula to convert $1 + \cos \theta$ into a square.)
- 33. Approximate the arc length of one petal of the rose curve $r = \sin(3\theta)$ with Simpson's Rule and n = 4.

34. Let $x(\theta) = f(\theta) \cos \theta$ and $y(\theta) = f(\theta) \sin \theta$. Show, as suggested by the text, that

$$\mathbf{x}'(\theta)^2 + \mathbf{y}'(\theta)^2 = f'(\theta)^2 + f(\theta)^2.$$

In Exercises 35 – 40, answer the questions involving surface area.

- 35. Find the surface area of the sphere formed by revolving the circle r = 2 about the initial ray.
- 36. Find the surface area of the sphere formed by revolving the circle $r = 2 \cos \theta$ about the initial ray.
- 37. Find the surface area of the solid formed by revolving the cardioid $r = 1 + \cos \theta$ about the initial ray.
- 38. Find the surface area of the solid formed by revolving the circle $r = 2 \cos \theta$ about the line $\theta = \pi/2$.
- 39. Find the surface area of the solid formed by revolving the line $r = 3 \sec \theta$, $-\pi/4 \le \theta \le \pi/4$, about the line $\theta = \pi/2$.
- 40. Find the surface area of the solid formed by revolving the line $r = 3 \sec \theta$, $0 \le \theta \le \pi/4$, about the initial ray.

10: VECTORS

This chapter introduces a new mathematical object, the **vector**. Defined in Section 10.2, we will see that vectors provide a powerful language for describing quantities that have magnitude and direction aspects. A simple example of such a quantity is force: when applying a force, one is generally interested in how much force is applied (i.e., the magnitude of the force) and the direction in which the force was applied. Vectors will play an important role in many of the subsequent chapters in this text.

This chapter begins with moving our mathematics out of the plane and into "space." That is, we begin to think mathematically not only in two dimensions, but in three. With this foundation, we can explore vectors both in the plane and in space.

10.1 Introduction to Cartesian Coordinates in Space

Up to this point in this text we have considered mathematics in a 2–dimensional world. We have plotted graphs on the *x-y* plane using rectangular and polar coordinates and found the area of regions in the plane. We have considered properties of *solid* objects, such as volume and surface area, but only by first defining a curve in the plane and then rotating it out of the plane.

While there is wonderful mathematics to explore in "2D," we live in a "3D" world and eventually we will want to apply mathematics involving this third dimension. In this section we introduce Cartesian coordinates in space and explore basic surfaces. This will lay a foundation for much of what we do in the remainder of the text.

Each point *P* in space can be represented with an ordered triple, P = (a, b, c), where *a*, *b* and *c* represent the relative position of *P* along the *x*-, *y*- and *z*-axes, respectively. Each axis is perpendicular to the other two.

Visualizing points in space on paper can be problematic, as we are trying to represent a 3-dimensional concept on a 2-dimensional medium. We cannot draw three lines representing the three axes in which each line is perpendicular to the other two. Despite this issue, standard conventions exist for plotting shapes in space that we will discuss that are more than adequate.

One convention is that the axes must conform to the **right hand rule**. This rule states that when the index finger of the right hand is extended in the direction of the positive *x*-axis, and the middle finger (bent "inward" so it is perpendicular to the palm) points along the positive *y*-axis, then the extended thumb will point in the direction of the positive *z*-axis. (It may take some thought to




Figure 10.1.1: Plotting the point P = (2, 1, 3) in space.




Figure 10.1.2: Plotting the point P = (2, 1, 3) in space with a perspective used in this text.

verify this, but this system is inherently different from the one created by using the "left hand rule.")

As long as the coordinate axes are positioned so that they follow this rule, it does not matter how the axes are drawn on paper. There are two popular methods that we briefly discuss.

In Figure 10.1.1 we see the point P = (2, 1, 3) plotted on a set of axes. The basic convention here is that the *x*-*y* plane is drawn in its standard way, with the *z*-axis down to the left. The perspective is that the paper represents the *x*-*y* plane and the positive *z* axis is coming up, off the page. This method is preferred by many engineers. Because it can be hard to tell where a single point lies in relation to all the axes, dashed lines have been added to let one see how far along each axis the point lies.

One can also consider the x-y plane as being a horizontal plane in, say, a room, where the positive z-axis is pointing up. When one steps back and looks at this room, one might draw the axes as shown in Figure 10.1.2. The same point P is drawn, again with dashed lines. This point of view is preferred by most mathematicians, and is the convention adopted by this text.

Just as the *x*- and *y*-axes divide the plane into four *quadrants*, the *x*-, *y*-, and *z*-coordinate planes divide space into eight *octants*. The octant in which *x*, *y*, and *z* are positive is called the **first octant**. We do not name the other seven octants in this text.

Measuring Distances

It is of critical importance to know how to measure distances between points in space. The formula for doing so is based on measuring distance in the plane, and is known (in both contexts) as the Euclidean measure of distance.

Definition 10.1.1 Distance In Space

Let $P = (x_1, y_1, z_1)$ and $Q = (x_2, y_2, z_2)$ be points in space. The distance D between P and Q is

$$D = \sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2 + (z_2 - z_1)^2}$$

We refer to the line segment that connects points *P* and *Q* in space as \overline{PQ} , and refer to the length of this segment as $||\overline{PQ}||$. The above distance formula allows us to compute the length of this segment.

Example 10.1.1 Length of a line segment

Let P = (1, 4, -1) and let Q = (2, 1, 1). Draw the line segment \overline{PQ} and find its length.

SOLUTION The points *P* and *Q* are plotted in Figure 10.1.3; no special consideration need be made to draw the line segment connecting these two points; simply connect them with a straight line. One *cannot* actually measure this line on the page and deduce anything meaningful; its true length must be measured analytically. Applying Definition 10.1.1, we have

$$||\overline{PQ}|| = \sqrt{(2-1)^2 + (1-4)^2 + (1-(-1))^2} = \sqrt{14} \approx 3.74.$$

Spheres

Just as a circle is the set of all points in the *plane* equidistant from a given point (its center), a sphere is the set of all points in *space* that are equidistant from a given point. Definition 10.1.1 allows us to write an equation of the sphere.

We start with a point C = (a, b, c) which is to be the center of a sphere with radius *r*. If a point P = (x, y, z) lies on the sphere, then *P* is *r* units from *C*; that is,

$$||\overline{PC}|| = \sqrt{(x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 + (z-c)^2} = r.$$

Squaring both sides, we get the standard equation of a sphere in space with center at C = (a, b, c) with radius r, as given in the following Key Idea.

Key Idea 10.1.1 Standard Equation of a Sphere in Space

The standard equation of the sphere with radius r, centered at C = (a, b, c), is $(x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2 + (z - c)^2 = r^2$.

Example 10.1.2 Equation of a sphere

Find the center and radius of the sphere defined by $x^2+2x+y^2-4y+z^2-6z=2$.

SOLUTION To determine the center and radius, we must put the equation in standard form. This requires us to complete the square (three times).

$$x^{2} + 2x + y^{2} - 4y + z^{2} - 6z = 2$$

(x² + 2x + 1) + (y² - 4y + 4) + (z² - 6z + 9) - 14 = 2
(x + 1)² + (y - 2)² + (z - 3)² = 16

The sphere is centered at (-1, 2, 3) and has a radius of 4.

The equation of a sphere is an example of an implicit function defining a surface in space. In the case of a sphere, the variables x, y and z are all used. We






Figure 10.1.3: Plotting points *P* and *Q* in Example 10.1.1.

now consider situations where surfaces are defined where one or two of these variables are absent.

Introduction to Planes in Space

The coordinate axes naturally define three planes (shown in Figure 10.1.4), the **coordinate planes**: the *x*-*y* plane, the *y*-*z* plane and the *x*-*z* plane. The *x*-*y* plane is characterized as the set of all points in space where the *z*-value is 0. This, in fact, gives us an equation that describes this plane: z = 0. Likewise, the *x*-*z* plane is all points where the *y*-value is 0, characterized by y = 0.





The equation x = 2 describes all points in space where the *x*-value is 2. This is a plane, parallel to the *y*-*z* coordinate plane, shown in Figure 10.1.5.

Example 10.1.3 Regions defined by planes

Sketch the region defined by the inequalities $-1 \le y \le 2$.

SOLUTION The region is all points between the planes y = -1 and y = 2. These planes are sketched in Figure 10.1.6, which are parallel to the *x*-*z* plane. Thus the region extends infinitely in the *x* and *z* directions, and is bounded by planes in the *y* direction.

Cylinders

The equation x = 1 obviously lacks the *y* and *z* variables, meaning it defines points where the *y* and *z* coordinates can take on any value. Now consider the equation $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ *in space*. In *the plane*, this equation describes a circle of radius 1, centered at the origin. In space, the *z* coordinate is not specified, meaning it can take on any value. In Figure 10.1.8 (a), we show part of the graph of the equation $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ by sketching 3 circles: the bottom one has a constant *z*-value of -1.5, the middle one has a *z*-value of 0 and the top circle has a *z*-value of 1. By plotting *all* possible *z*-values, we get the surface shown in Figure




Figure 10.1.5: The plane x = 2.




Figure 10.1.6: Sketching the boundaries of a region in Example 10.1.3.

10.1.8(b). This surface looks like a "tube," or a "cylinder"; mathematicians call this surface a **cylinder** for an entirely different reason.

Definition 10.1.2 Cylinder

Let *C* be a curve in a plane and let *L* be a line not parallel to *C*. A **cylinder** is the set of all lines parallel to *L* that pass through *C*. The curve *C* is the **directrix** of the cylinder, and the lines are the **rulings**.

In this text, we consider curves *C* that lie in planes parallel to one of the coordinate planes, and lines *L* that are perpendicular to these planes, forming **right cylinders**. Thus the directrix can be defined using equations involving 2 variables, and the rulings will be parallel to the axis of the 3^{rd} variable.

In the example preceding the definition, the curve $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ in the *x*-*y* plane is the directrix and the rulings are lines parallel to the *z*-axis. (Any circle shown in Figure 10.1.8 can be considered a directrix; we simply choose the one where z = 0.) Sample rulings can also be viewed in part (b) of the figure. More examples will help us understand this definition.

Example 10.1.4 Graphing cylinders

Graph the following cylinders.

1. $z = y^2$ 2. $x = \sin z$

SOLUTION

1. We can view the equation $z = y^2$ as a parabola in the *y*-*z* plane, as illustrated in Figure 10.1.7(a). As *x* does not appear in the equation, the rulings are lines through this parabola parallel to the *x*-axis, shown in (b). These rulings give an idea as to what the surface looks like, drawn in (c).




Figure 10.1.7: Sketching the cylinder defined by $z = y^2$.




Figure 10.1.8: Sketching $x^2 + y^2 = 1$.

2. We can view the equation $x = \sin z$ as a sine curve that exists in the *x*-*z* plane, as shown in Figure 10.1.9 (a). The rules are parallel to the *y* axis as the variable *y* does not appear in the equation $x = \sin z$; some of these are shown in part (b). The surface is shown in part (c) of the figure.




Figure 10.1.9: Sketching the cylinder defined by $x = \sin z$.

Surfaces of Revolution

One of the applications of integration we learned previously was to find the volume of solids of revolution – solids formed by revolving a curve about a horizontal or vertical axis. We now consider how to find the equation of the surface of such a solid.

Consider the surface formed by revolving $y = \sqrt{x}$ about the *x*-axis. Crosssections of this surface parallel to the *y*-*z* plane are circles, as shown in Figure 10.1.10(a). Each circle has equation of the form $y^2 + z^2 = r^2$ for some radius *r*. The radius is a function of *x*; in fact, it is $r(x) = \sqrt{x}$. Thus the equation of the surface shown in Figure 10.1.10b is $y^2 + z^2 = (\sqrt{x})^2$.


We generalize the above principles to give the equations of surfaces formed by revolving curves about the coordinate axes.

Key Idea 10.1.2 Surfaces of Revolution, Part 1

Let r be a radius function.

- 1. The equation of the surface formed by revolving y = r(x) or z = r(x) about the x-axis is $y^2 + z^2 = r(x)^2$.
- 2. The equation of the surface formed by revolving x = r(y) or z = r(y) about the y-axis is $x^2 + z^2 = r(y)^2$.
- 3. The equation of the surface formed by revolving x = r(z) or y = r(z) about the *z*-axis is $x^2 + y^2 = r(z)^2$.

Notes:



revolution.

Example 10.1.5 Finding equation of a surface of revolution

Let $y = \sin z$ on $[0, \pi]$. Find the equation of the surface of revolution formed by revolving $y = \sin z$ about the *z*-axis.

SOLUTION Using Key Idea 10.1.2, we find the surface has equation $x^2 + y^2 = \sin^2 z$. The curve is sketched in Figure 10.1.11(a) and the surface is drawn in Figure 10.1.11(b).

Note how the surface (and hence the resulting equation) is the same if we began with the curve $x = \sin z$, which is also drawn in Figure 10.1.11(a).

This particular method of creating surfaces of revolution is limited. For instance, in Example 7.3.4 of Section 7.3 we found the volume of the solid formed by revolving $y = \sin x$ about the y-axis. Our current method of forming surfaces can only rotate $y = \sin x$ about the x-axis. Trying to rewrite $y = \sin x$ as a function of y is not trivial, as simply writing $x = \sin^{-1} y$ only gives part of the region we desire.

What we desire is a way of writing the surface of revolution formed by rotating y = f(x) about the *y*-axis. We start by first recognizing this surface is the same as revolving z = f(x) about the *z*-axis. This will give us a more natural way of viewing the surface.

A value of x is a measurement of distance from the z-axis. At the distance r, we plot a z-height of f(r). When rotating f(x) about the z-axis, we want all points a distance of r from the z-axis in the x-y plane to have a z-height of f(r). All such points satisfy the equation $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$; hence $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. Replacing r with $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ in f(r) gives $z = f(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})$. This is the equation of the surface.

Key Idea 10.1.3 Surfaces of Revolution, Part 2

Let z = f(x), $x \ge 0$, be a curve in the *x*-*z* plane. The surface formed by revolving this curve about the *z*-axis has equation $z = f(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})$.

Example 10.1.6 Finding equation of surface of revolution

Find the equation of the surface found by revolving $z = \sin x$ about the *z*-axis.

SOLUTION Using Key Idea 10.1.3, the surface has equation $z = \sin(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})$. The curve and surface are graphed in Figure 10.1.12.




Figure 10.1.11: Revolving $y = \sin z$ about the *z*-axis in Example 10.1.5.




Figure 10.1.12: Revolving $z = \sin x$ about the *z*-axis in Example 10.1.6.

Quadric Surfaces

Spheres, planes and cylinders are important surfaces to understand. We now consider one last type of surface, a **quadric surface**. The definition may look intimidating, but we will show how to analyze these surfaces in an illuminating way.

Definition 10.1.3 Quadric Surface

A **quadric surface** is the graph of the general second–degree equation in three variables:

$$Ax^{2} + By^{2} + Cz^{2} + Dxy + Exz + Fyz + Gx + Hy + Iz + J = 0.$$

When the coefficients *D*, *E* or *F* are not zero, the basic shapes of the quadric surfaces are rotated in space. We will focus on quadric surfaces where these coefficients are 0; we will not consider rotations. There are six basic quadric surfaces: the elliptic paraboloid, elliptic cone, ellipsoid, hyperboloid of one sheet, hyperboloid of two sheets, and the hyperbolic paraboloid.

We study each shape by considering **traces**, that is, intersections of each surface with a plane parallel to a coordinate plane. For instance, consider the elliptic paraboloid $z = x^2/4 + y^2$, shown in Figure 10.1.13. If we intersect this shape with the plane z = d (i.e., replace z with d), we have the equation:

$$d=\frac{x^2}{4}+y^2.$$

Divide both sides by d:

$$1=\frac{x^2}{4d}+\frac{y^2}{d}$$

This describes an ellipse – so cross sections parallel to the *x*-*y* coordinate plane are ellipses. This ellipse is drawn in the figure.

Now consider cross sections parallel to the x-z plane. For instance, letting y = 0 gives the equation $z = x^2/4$, clearly a parabola. Intersecting with the plane x = 0 gives a cross section defined by $z = y^2$, another parabola. These parabolas are also sketched in the figure.

Thus we see where the elliptic paraboloid gets its name: some cross sections are ellipses, and others are parabolas.

Such an analysis can be made with each of the quadric surfaces. We give a sample equation of each, provide a sketch with representative traces, and describe these traces.






Figure 10.1.13: The elliptic paraboloid $z = x^2/4 + y^2$.

Elliptic Paraboloid, $z = \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2}$




One variable in the equation of the elliptic paraboloid will be raised to the first power; above, this is the z variable. The paraboloid will "open" in the direction of this variable's axis. Thus $x = y^2/a^2 + z^2/b^2$ is an elliptic paraboloid that opens along the x-axis.


Multiplying the right hand side by (-1) defines an elliptic paraboloid that "opens" in the opposite direction.



One can rewrite the equation as $z^2 - x^2/a^2 - y^2/b^2 = 0$. The one variable with a positive coefficient corresponds to the axis that the cones "open" along.




If $a = b = c \neq 0$, the ellipsoid is a sphere with radius *a*; compare to Key Idea 10.1.1.




The one variable with a negative coefficient corresponds to the axis that the hyperboloid "opens" along.

Hyperboloid of Two Sheets, $\frac{z^2}{c^2} - \frac{x^2}{a^2} - \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1$



The one variable with a positive coefficient corresponds to the axis that the hyperboloid "opens" along. In the case illustrated, when |d| < |c|, there is no trace.







Figure 10.1.14: Sketching an elliptic paraboloid.



Example 10.1.7 Sketching quadric surfaces

Sketch the quadric surface defined by the given equation.

1.
$$y = \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{z^2}{16}$$
 2. $x^2 + \frac{y^2}{9} + \frac{z^2}{4} = 1.$ 3. $z = y^2 - x^2$.

SOLUTION

1.
$$y = \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{z^2}{16}$$
:

We first identify the quadric by pattern—matching with the equations given previously. Only two surfaces have equations where one variable is raised to the first power, the elliptic paraboloid and the hyperbolic paraboloid. In the latter case, the other variables have different signs, so we conclude that this describes a hyperbolic paraboloid. As the variable with the first power is *y*, we note the paraboloid opens along the *y*-axis.

To make a decent sketch by hand, we need only draw a few traces. In this case, the traces x = 0 and z = 0 form parabolas that outline the shape.

x = 0: The trace is the parabola $y = z^2/16$

z = 0: The trace is the parabola $y = x^2/4$.

Graphing each trace in the respective plane creates a sketch as shown in Figure 10.1.14(a). This is enough to give an idea of what the paraboloid looks like. The surface is filled in in (b).

2.
$$x^2 + \frac{y^2}{9} + \frac{z^2}{4} = 1$$
:

This is an ellipsoid. We can get a good idea of its shape by drawing the traces in the coordinate planes.

x = 0: The trace is the ellipse $\frac{y^2}{9} + \frac{z^2}{4} = 1$. The major axis is along the *y*-axis with length 6 (as b = 3, the length of the axis is 6); the minor axis is along the *z*-axis with length 4.

y = 0: The trace is the ellipse $x^2 + \frac{z^2}{4} = 1$. The major axis is along the *z*-axis, and the minor axis has length 2 along the *x*-axis.

z = 0: The trace is the ellipse $x^2 + \frac{y^2}{9} = 1$, with major axis along the y-axis.

Graphing each trace in the respective plane creates a sketch as shown in Figure 10.1.15(a). Filling in the surface gives Figure 10.1.15(b).

3.
$$z = y^2 - x^2$$

Figure 10.1.15: Sketching an ellipsoid.

This defines a hyperbolic paraboloid, very similar to the one shown in the gallery of quadric sections. Consider the traces in the y-z and x-z planes:

x = 0: The trace is $z = y^2$, a parabola opening up in the y - z plane.

y = 0: The trace is $z = -x^2$, a parabola opening down in the x - z plane.

Sketching these two parabolas gives a sketch like that in Figure 10.1.16(a), and filling in the surface gives a sketch like (b).

Identifying quadric surfaces Example 10.1.8

Consider the quadric surface shown in Figure 10.1.17. Which of the following equations best fits this surface?

(a) $x^2 - y^2 - \frac{z^2}{9} = 0$ (c) $z^2 - x^2 - y^2 = 1$ (b) $x^2 - y^2 - z^2 = 1$ (d) $4x^2 - y^2 - \frac{z^2}{9} = 1$

The image clearly displays a hyperboloid of two sheets. The SOLUTION

gallery informs us that the equation will have a form similar to $\frac{z^2}{c^2} - \frac{x^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1$. We can immediately eliminate option (a), as the constant in that equation is not 1.

The hyperboloid "opens" along the x-axis, meaning x must be the only variable with a positive coefficient, eliminating (c).

The hyperboloid is wider in the z-direction than in the y-direction, so we need an equation where c > b. This eliminates (b), leaving us with (d). We should verify that the equation given in (d), $4x^2 - y^2 - \frac{z^2}{9} = 1$, fits.

We already established that this equation describes a hyperboloid of two sheets that opens in the x-direction and is wider in the z-direction than in the y. Now note the coefficient of the x-term. Rewriting $4x^2$ in standard form, we have: $4x^2 = \frac{x^2}{(1/2)^2}$. Thus when y = 0 and z = 0, x must be 1/2; i.e., each hyperboloid "starts" at x = 1/2. This matches our figure.

We conclude that $4x^2 - y^2 - \frac{z^2}{q} = 1$ best fits the graph.

This section has introduced points in space and shown how equations can describe surfaces. The next sections explore vectors, an important mathematical object that we'll use to explore curves in space.




Figure 10.1.16: Sketching a hyperbolic paraboloid.




Figure 10.1.17: A possible equation of this quadric surface is found in Example 10.1.8.

Exercises 10.1

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Axes drawn in space must conform to the ______ _____rule.
- In the plane, the equation x = 2 defines a ____; in space, x = 2 defines a ____.
- 3. In the plane, the equation $y = x^2$ defines a _____; in space, $y = x^2$ defines a _____.
- 4. Which quadric surface looks like a Pringles[®] chip?
- 5. Consider the hyperbola $x^2 y^2 = 1$ in the plane. If this hyperbola is rotated about the *x*-axis, what quadric surface is formed?
- 6. Consider the hyperbola $x^2 y^2 = 1$ in the plane. If this hyperbola is rotated about the *y*-axis, what quadric surface is formed?

Problems

- 7. The points A = (1, 4, 2), B = (2, 6, 3) and C = (4, 3, 1) form a triangle in space. Find the distances between each pair of points and determine if the triangle is a right triangle.
- 8. The points A = (1, 1, 3), B = (3, 2, 7), C = (2, 0, 8) and D = (0, -1, 4) form a quadrilateral *ABCD* in space. Is this a parallelogram?
- 9. Find the center and radius of the sphere defined by $x^2 8x + y^2 + 2y + z^2 + 8 = 0.$
- 10. Find the center and radius of the sphere defined by $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + 4x 2y 4z + 4 = 0$.
- In Exercises 11 14, describe the region in space defined by the inequalities.
- 11. $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 < 1$
- 12. $0 \le x \le 3$
- 13. $x\geq 0,\; y\geq 0,\; z\geq 0$

In Exercises 15 – 18, sketch the cylinder in space.

15.
$$z = x^3$$

16.
$$y = \cos z$$

17.
$$\frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{y^2}{9} = 1$$


18.
$$y = \frac{1}{x}$$


In Exercises 19 – 22, give the equation of the surface of revolution described.

19. Revolve
$$z = \frac{1}{1+y^2}$$
 about the y-axis.

- 20. Revolve $y = x^2$ about the *x*-axis.
- 21. Revolve $z = x^2$ about the *z*-axis.
- 22. Revolve z = 1/x about the *z*-axis.

In Exercises 23 - 26, a quadric surface is sketched. Determine which of the given equations best fits the graph.





In Exercises 27 – 32, sketch the quadric surface.

27.
$$z - y^2 + x^2 = 0$$

28. $z^2 = x^2 + \frac{y^2}{4}$
29. $x = -y^2 - z^2$
30. $16x^2 - 16y^2 - 16z^2 = 1$
31. $\frac{x^2}{9} - y^2 + \frac{z^2}{25} = 1$
32. $4x^2 + 2y^2 + z^2 = 4$




Figure 10.2.1: Drawing the same vector with different initial points.




Figure 10.2.2: Illustrating how equal vectors have the same displacement.

10.2 An Introduction to Vectors

Many quantities we think about daily can be described by a single number: temperature, speed, cost, weight and height. There are also many other concepts we encounter daily that cannot be described with just one number. For instance, a weather forecaster often describes wind with its speed and its direction ("... with winds from the southeast gusting up to 30 mph ..."). When applying a force, we are concerned with both the magnitude and direction of that force. In both of these examples, *direction* is important. Because of this, we study *vectors*, mathematical objects that convey both magnitude and direction information.

One "bare-bones" definition of a vector is based on what we wrote above: "a vector is a mathematical object with magnitude and direction parameters." This definition leaves much to be desired, as it gives no indication as to how such an object is to be used. Several other definitions exist; we choose here a definition rooted in a geometric visualization of vectors. It is very simplistic but readily permits further investigation.

Definition 10.2.1 Vector

A vector is a directed line segment.

Given points *P* and *Q* (either in the plane or in space), we denote with \overrightarrow{PQ} the vector *from P to Q*. The point *P* is said to be the **initial point** of the vector, and the point *Q* is the **terminal point**.

The **magnitude**, **length** or **norm** of \overrightarrow{PQ} is the length of the line segment \overrightarrow{PQ} : $|| \overrightarrow{PQ} || = || \overrightarrow{PQ} ||$.

Two vectors are **equal** if they have the same magnitude and direction.

Figure 10.2.1 shows multiple instances of the same vector. Each directed line segment has the same direction and length (magnitude), hence each is the same vector.

We use \mathbb{R}^2 (pronounced "r two") to represent all the vectors in the plane, and use \mathbb{R}^3 (pronounced "r three") to represent all the vectors in space.

Consider the vectors \overrightarrow{PQ} and \overrightarrow{RS} as shown in Figure 10.2.2. The vectors look to be equal; that is, they seem to have the same length and direction. Indeed, they are. Both vectors move 2 units to the right and 1 unit up from the initial point to reach the terminal point. One can analyze this movement to measure the

magnitude of the vector, and the movement itself gives direction information (one could also measure the slope of the line passing through *P* and *Q* or *R* and *S*). Since they have the same length and direction, these two vectors are equal.

This demonstrates that inherently all we care about is *displacement*; that is, how far in the *x*, *y* and possibly *z* directions the terminal point is from the initial point. Both the vectors \overrightarrow{PQ} and \overrightarrow{RS} in Figure 10.2.2 have an *x*-displacement of 2 and a *y*-displacement of 1. This suggests a standard way of describing vectors in the plane. A vector whose *x*-displacement is *a* and whose *y*-displacement is *b* will have terminal point (*a*, *b*) when the initial point is the origin, (0,0). This leads us to a definition of a standard and concise way of referring to vectors.

Definition 10.2.2 Component Form of a Vector

- 1. The **component form** of a vector \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^2 , whose terminal point is (a, b) when its initial point is (0, 0), is (a, b).
- 2. The **component form** of a vector \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^3 , whose terminal point is (a, b, c) when its initial point is (0, 0, 0), is (a, b, c).

The numbers *a*, *b* (and *c*, respectively) are the **components** of \vec{v} .

It follows from the definition that the component form of the vector \overrightarrow{PQ} , where $P = (x_1, y_1)$ and $Q = (x_2, y_2)$ is

$$\overrightarrow{PQ} = \langle x_2 - x_1, y_2 - y_1 \rangle;$$

in space, where $P = (x_1, y_1, z_1)$ and $Q = (x_2, y_2, z_2)$, the component form of \overrightarrow{PQ} is

$$\overrightarrow{PQ} = \langle x_2 - x_1, y_2 - y_1, z_2 - z_1 \rangle$$

We practice using this notation in the following example.

Example 10.2.1 Using component form notation for vectors

- 1. Sketch the vector $\vec{v} = \langle 2, -1 \rangle$ starting at P = (3, 2) and find its magnitude.
- 2. Find the component form of the vector \vec{w} whose initial point is R = (-3, -2)and whose terminal point is S = (-1, 2).
- 3. Sketch the vector $\vec{u} = \langle 2, -1, 3 \rangle$ starting at the point Q = (1, 1, 1) and find its magnitude.




Figure 10.2.3: Graphing vectors in Example 10.2.1.

SOLUTION

1. Using *P* as the initial point, we move 2 units in the positive *x*-direction and -1 units in the positive *y*-direction to arrive at the terminal point P' = (5, 1), as drawn in Figure 10.2.3(a).

The magnitude of \vec{v} is determined directly from the component form:

$$||\vec{v}|| = \sqrt{2^2 + (-1)^2} = \sqrt{5}.$$

2. Using the note following Definition 10.2.2, we have

$$\overrightarrow{RS} = \langle -1 - (-3), 2 - (-2) \rangle = \langle 2, 4 \rangle$$

One can readily see from Figure 10.2.3(a) that the x- and y-displacement of \overrightarrow{RS} is 2 and 4, respectively, as the component form suggests.

3. Using *Q* as the initial point, we move 2 units in the positive *x*-direction, -1 unit in the positive *y*-direction, and 3 units in the positive *z*-direction to arrive at the terminal point Q' = (3, 0, 4), illustrated in Figure 10.2.3(b). The magnitude of \vec{u} is:


$$||\vec{u}|| = \sqrt{2^2 + (-1)^2 + 3^2} = \sqrt{14}.$$

Now that we have defined vectors, and have created a nice notation by which to describe them, we start considering how vectors interact with each other. That is, we define an *algebra* on vectors.

4

2

lelogram Law.



- 1. Let $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 , and let *c* be a scalar.
 - (a) The addition, or sum, of the vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} is the vector

$$\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \langle u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2 \rangle$$
.

(b) The scalar product of *c* and \vec{v} is the vector

$$c\vec{v}=c\langle v_1,v_2\rangle=\langle cv_1,cv_2\rangle.$$

- 2. Let $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 , and let c be a scalar.
 - (a) The addition, or sum, of the vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} is the vector

$$\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \langle u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2, u_3 + v_3 \rangle$$
.

(b) The scalar product of *c* and \vec{v} is the vector

$$c\vec{v} = c \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle = \langle cv_1, cv_2, cv_3 \rangle$$

In short, we say addition and scalar multiplication are computed "component–wise."

Example 10.2.2 Adding vectors

Sketch the vectors $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 3 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 2, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ all with initial point at the origin.

```
Solution We first compute \vec{u} + \vec{v}.
\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \langle 1, 3 \rangle + \langle 2, 1 \rangle= \langle 3, 4 \rangle.
```

These are all sketched in Figure 10.2.4.

As vectors convey magnitude and direction information, the sum of vectors also convey length and magnitude information. Adding $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ suggests the following idea:

"Starting at an initial point, go out \vec{u} , then go out \vec{v} ."



2

Figure 10.2.5: Illustrating how to add vectors using the Head to Tail Rule and Paral-

х

4




Figure 10.2.4: Graphing the sum of vectors in Example 10.2.2.

This idea is sketched in Figure 10.2.5, where the initial point of \vec{v} is the terminal point of \vec{u} . This is known as the "Head to Tail Rule" of adding vectors. Vector addition is very important. For instance, if the vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} represent forces acting on a body, the sum $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ gives the resulting force. Because of various physical applications of vector addition, the sum $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ is often referred to as the **resultant vector**, or just the "resultant."

Analytically, it is easy to see that $\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \vec{v} + \vec{u}$. Figure 10.2.5 also gives a graphical representation of this, using gray vectors. Note that the vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} , when arranged as in the figure, form a parallelogram. Because of this, the Head to Tail Rule is also known as the Parallelogram Law: the vector $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ is defined by forming the parallelogram defined by the vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} ; the initial point of $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ is the common initial point of parallelogram, and the terminal point of the sum is the common terminal point of the parallelogram.

While not illustrated here, the Head to Tail Rule and Parallelogram Law hold for vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 as well.

It follows from the properties of the real numbers and Definition 10.2.3 that

$$\vec{u} - \vec{v} = \vec{u} + (-1)\vec{v}.$$

The Parallelogram Law gives us a good way to visualize this subtraction. We demonstrate this in the following example.

Example 10.2.3 Vector Subtraction

Let $\vec{u} = \langle 3, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$. Compute and sketch $\vec{u} - \vec{v}$.

SOLUTION The computation of $\vec{u} - \vec{v}$ is straightforward, and we show all steps below. Usually the formal step of multiplying by (-1) is omitted and we "just subtract."

$$\begin{split} \vec{u} - \vec{v} &= \vec{u} + (-1)\vec{v} \\ &= \langle \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1} \rangle + \langle -\mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{2} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{2}, -\mathbf{1} \rangle \,. \end{split}$$

Figure 10.2.6 illustrates, using the Head to Tail Rule, how the subtraction can be viewed as the sum $\vec{u} + (-\vec{v})$. The figure also illustrates how $\vec{u} - \vec{v}$ can be obtained by looking only at the terminal points of \vec{u} and \vec{v} (when their initial points are the same).

Example 10.2.4 Scaling vectors

- 1. Sketch the vectors $\vec{v} = \langle 2, 1 \rangle$ and $2\vec{v}$ with initial point at the origin.
- 2. Compute the magnitudes of \vec{v} and $2\vec{v}$.




Figure 10.2.6: Illustrating how to subtract vectors graphically.

SOLUTION

1. We compute $2\vec{v}$:

$$egin{aligned} 2ec{
u} &= 2\left< 2,1
ight> \ &= \left< 4,2
ight>. \end{aligned}$$

Both \vec{v} and $2\vec{v}$ are sketched in Figure 10.2.7. Make note that $2\vec{v}$ does not start at the terminal point of \vec{v} ; rather, its initial point is also the origin.

2. The figure suggests that $2\vec{v}$ is twice as long as \vec{v} . We compute their magnitudes to confirm this.

$$||\vec{v}|| = \sqrt{2^2 + 1^2} = \sqrt{5}. || 2\vec{v}|| = \sqrt{4^2 + 2^2} = \sqrt{20} = \sqrt{4 \cdot 5} = 2\sqrt{5}.$$

As we suspected, $2\vec{v}$ is twice as long as \vec{v} .

The **zero vector** is the vector whose initial point is also its terminal point. It is denoted by $\vec{0}$. Its component form, in \mathbb{R}^2 , is $\langle 0, 0 \rangle$; in \mathbb{R}^3 , it is $\langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$. Usually the context makes is clear whether $\vec{0}$ is referring to a vector in the plane or in space.

Our examples have illustrated key principles in vector algebra: how to add and subtract vectors and how to multiply vectors by a scalar. The following theorem states formally the properties of these operations.





Figure 10.2.7: Graphing vectors \vec{v} and $2\vec{v}$ in Example 10.2.4.

Theorem 10.2.1 Properties of Vector Operations

The following are true for all scalars *c* and *d*, and for all vectors \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} , where \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} are all in \mathbb{R}^2 or where \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} are all in \mathbb{R}^3 :

1. $\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \vec{v} + \vec{u}$	Commutative Property
2. $(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) + \vec{w} = \vec{u} + (\vec{v} + \vec{w})$	Associative Property
3. $\vec{v} + \vec{0} = \vec{v}$	Additive Identity
4. $(cd)\vec{v}=c(d\vec{v})$	
5. $c(\vec{u}+\vec{v})=c\vec{u}+c\vec{v}$	Distributive Property
6. $(c+d)\vec{v}=c\vec{v}+d\vec{v}$	Distributive Property
7. $0\vec{v} = \vec{0}$	
8. $ c\vec{v} = c \cdot \vec{v} $	
9. $ \vec{u} = 0$ if, and only if, $\vec{u} = \vec{0}$.	

As stated before, each nonvector \vec{v} conveys magnitude and direction information. We have a method of extracting the magnitude, which we write as $||\vec{v}||$. Unit vectors are a way of extracting just the direction information from a vector.



Consider this scenario: you are given a vector \vec{v} and are told to create a vector of length 10 in the direction of \vec{v} . How does one do that? If we knew that \vec{u} was the unit vector in the direction of \vec{v} , the answer would be easy: $10\vec{u}$. So how do we find \vec{u} ?

Property 8 of Theorem 10.2.1 holds the key. If we divide \vec{v} by its magnitude, it becomes a vector of length 1. Consider:

$$\left\| \frac{1}{||\vec{v}||}\vec{v} \right\| = \frac{1}{||\vec{v}||} ||\vec{v}|| \quad \text{(we can pull out } \frac{1}{||\vec{v}||} \text{ as it is a positive scalar)} = 1.$$

So the vector of length 10 in the direction of \vec{v} is $10 \frac{1}{||\vec{v}||} \vec{v}$. An example will make this more clear.

Example 10.2.5 Using Unit Vectors

Let $\vec{v} = \langle 3, 1 \rangle$ and let $\vec{w} = \langle 1, 2, 2 \rangle$.

- 1. Find the unit vector in the direction of \vec{v} .
- 2. Find the unit vector in the direction of \vec{w} .
- 3. Find the vector in the direction of \vec{v} with magnitude 5.

SOLUTION

1. We find $||\vec{v}|| = \sqrt{10}$. So the unit vector \vec{u} in the direction of \vec{v} is

$$ec{u}=rac{1}{\sqrt{10}}ec{v}=\left\langle rac{3}{\sqrt{10}},rac{1}{\sqrt{10}}
ight
angle .$$

2. We find $|| \vec{w} || = 3$, so the unit vector \vec{z} in the direction of \vec{w} is

$$\vec{u} = \frac{1}{3}\vec{w} = \left\langle \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right\rangle.$$

3. To create a vector with magnitude 5 in the direction of \vec{v} , we multiply the unit vector \vec{u} by 5. Thus $5\vec{u} = \langle 15/\sqrt{10}, 5/\sqrt{10} \rangle$ is the vector we seek. This is sketched in Figure 10.2.8.

The basic formation of the unit vector \vec{u} in the direction of a vector \vec{v} leads to a interesting equation. It is:

$$\vec{\mathbf{v}} = ||\vec{\mathbf{v}}||\frac{1}{||\vec{\mathbf{v}}||}\vec{\mathbf{v}}.$$

We rewrite the equation with parentheses to make a point:

$$\vec{v} = \underbrace{||\vec{v}||}_{\text{magnitude}} \cdot \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{||\vec{v}||}\vec{v}\right)}_{\text{direction}}.$$

This equation illustrates the fact that a nonzero vector has both magnitude and direction, where we view a unit vector as supplying *only* direction information. Identifying unit vectors with direction allows us to define **parallel vectors**.




Figure 10.2.8: Graphing vectors in Example 10.2.5. All vectors shown have their initial point at the origin.

Note: $\vec{0}$ is directionless; because $|| \vec{0} || = 0$, there is no unit vector in the "direction" of $\vec{0}$.

Some texts define two vectors as being parallel if one is a scalar multiple of the other. By this definition, $\vec{0}$ is parallel to all vectors as $\vec{0} = 0\vec{v}$ for all \vec{v} .

We define what it means for two vectors to be perpendicular in Definition 10.3.2, which is written to exclude $\vec{0}$. It could be written to include $\vec{0}$; by such a definition, $\vec{0}$ is perpendicular to all vectors. While counter-intuitive, it is mathematically sound to allow $\vec{0}$ to be both parallel and perpendicular to all vectors.

We prefer the given definition of parallel as it is grounded in the fact that unit vectors provide direction information. One may adopt the convention that $\vec{0}$ is parallel to all vectors if they desire. (See also the marginal note on page 604.)




Figure 10.2.9: A diagram of a weight hanging from 2 chains in Example 10.2.6.

Definition 10.2.5 Parallel Vectors

- 1. Unit vectors \vec{u}_1 and \vec{u}_2 are **parallel** if $\vec{u}_1 = \pm \vec{u}_2$.
- 2. Nonzero vectors $\vec{v_1}$ and $\vec{v_2}$ are **parallel** if their respective unit vectors are parallel.

It is equivalent to say that vectors \vec{v}_1 and \vec{v}_2 are parallel if there is a scalar $c \neq 0$ such that $\vec{v}_1 = c\vec{v}_2$ (see marginal note).

If one graphed all unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 with the initial point at the origin, then the terminal points would all lie on the unit circle. Based on what we know from trigonometry, we can then say that the component form of all unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 is $\langle \cos \theta, \sin \theta \rangle$ for some angle θ .

A similar construction in \mathbb{R}^3 shows that the terminal points all lie on the unit sphere. These vectors also have a particular component form, but its derivation is not as straightforward as the one for unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 . Important concepts about unit vectors are given in the following Key Idea.

Key Idea 10.2.1 Unit Vectors

1. The unit vector in the direction of a nonzero vector \vec{v} is

ū

$$=rac{1}{\mid\mid ec{v}\mid\mid}ec{v}.$$

- 2. A vector \vec{u} in \mathbb{R}^2 is a unit vector if, and only if, its component form is $\langle \cos \theta, \sin \theta \rangle$ for some angle θ .
- 3. A vector \vec{u} in \mathbb{R}^3 is a unit vector if, and only if, its component form is $\langle \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta \rangle$ for some angles θ and φ .

These formulas can come in handy in a variety of situations, especially the formula for unit vectors in the plane.

Example 10.2.6 Finding Component Forces

Consider a weight of 50lb hanging from two chains, as shown in Figure 10.2.9. One chain makes an angle of 30° with the vertical, and the other an angle of 45° . Find the force applied to each chain.

SOLUTION Knowing that gravity is pulling the 50lb weight straight down,

we can create a vector \vec{F} to represent this force.

$$ec{F}=50\left<0,-1\right>=\left<0,-50\right>.$$

We can view each chain as "pulling" the weight up, preventing it from falling. We can represent the force from each chain with a vector. Let \vec{F}_1 represent the force from the chain making an angle of 30° with the vertical, and let \vec{F}_2 represent the force form the other chain. Convert all angles to be measured from the horizontal (as shown in Figure 10.2.10), and apply Key Idea 10.2.1. As we do not yet know the magnitudes of these vectors, (that is the problem at hand), we use m_1 and m_2 to represent them.

$$ec{F}_1 = m_1 \left< \cos 120^\circ, \sin 120^\circ \right>$$

 $ec{F}_2 = m_2 \left< \cos 45^\circ, \sin 45^\circ \right>$

As the weight is not moving, we know the sum of the forces is $\vec{0}$. This gives:

$$\vec{F} + \vec{F}_1 + \vec{F}_2 = \vec{0}$$

 $\langle 0, -50 \rangle + m_1 \langle \cos 120^\circ, \sin 120^\circ \rangle + m_2 \langle \cos 45^\circ, \sin 45^\circ \rangle = \vec{0}$

The sum of the entries in the first component is 0, and the sum of the entries in the second component is also 0. This leads us to the following two equations:

$$m_1 \cos 120^\circ + m_2 \cos 45^\circ = 0$$

 $m_1 \sin 120^\circ + m_2 \sin 45^\circ = 50$

This is a simple 2-equation, 2-unkown system of linear equations. We leave it to the reader to verify that the solution is

$$m_1 = 50(\sqrt{3} - 1) \approx 36.6;$$
 $m_2 = \frac{50\sqrt{2}}{1 + \sqrt{3}} \approx 25.88$

It might seem odd that the sum of the forces applied to the chains is more than 50lb. We leave it to a physics class to discuss the full details, but offer this short explanation. Our equations were established so that the *vertical* components of each force sums to 50lb, thus supporting the weight. Since the chains are at an angle, they also pull against each other, creating an "additional" horizontal force while holding the weight in place.

Unit vectors were very important in the previous calculation; they allowed us to define a vector in the proper direction but with an unknown magnitude. Our computations were then computed component–wise. Because such calculations are often necessary, the *standard unit vectors* can be useful.




Figure 10.2.10: A diagram of the force vectors from Example 10.2.6.

Definition 10.2.6 Standard Unit Vectors

1. In \mathbb{R}^2 , the standard unit vectors are

$$\vec{i} = \langle 1, 0 \rangle$$
 and $\vec{j} = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$.

2. In \mathbb{R}^3 , the standard unit vectors are

$$\vec{i} = \langle 1, 0, 0 \rangle$$
 and $\vec{j} = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$ and $\vec{k} = \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle$.

Example 10.2.7 Using standard unit vectors

- 1. Rewrite $\vec{v} = \langle 2, -3 \rangle$ using the standard unit vectors.
- 2. Rewrite $\vec{w} = 4\vec{i} 5\vec{j} + 2\vec{k}$ in component form.

SOLUTION

1.	$ec{ u}=\langle 2,-3 angle$
	$=\langle 2,0 angle +\langle 0,-3 angle$
	$=$ 2 $\langle 1,0 angle -$ 3 $\langle 0,1 angle$
	$= 2\vec{i} - 3\vec{j}$
2.	$\vec{w} = 4\vec{i} - 5\vec{j} + 2\vec{k}$
	$=\langle 4,0,0 angle + \langle 0,-5,0 angle + \langle 0,0,2 angle$
	$=\langle$ 4, -5, 2 $ angle$

These two examples demonstrate that converting between component form and the standard unit vectors is rather straightforward. Many mathematicians prefer component form, and it is the preferred notation in this text. Many engineers prefer using the standard unit vectors, and many engineering text use that notation.

Example 10.2.8 Finding Component Force

A weight of 25lb is suspended from a chain of length 2ft while a wind pushes the weight to the right with constant force of 5lb as shown in Figure 10.2.11. What angle will the chain make with the vertical as a result of the wind's pushing? How much higher will the weight be?

Notes:




Figure 10.2.11: A figure of a weight being pushed by the wind in Example 10.2.8.

SOLUTION The force of the wind is represented by the vector $\vec{F}_w = 5\vec{i}$. The force of gravity on the weight is represented by $\vec{F}_g = -25\vec{j}$. The direction and magnitude of the vector representing the force on the chain are both unknown. We represent this force with

$$\vec{F}_c = m \langle \cos \varphi, \sin \varphi \rangle = m \cos \varphi \, \vec{i} + m \sin \varphi \, \vec{j}$$

for some magnitude *m* and some angle with the horizontal φ . (Note: θ is the angle the chain makes with the *vertical*; φ is the angle with the *horizontal*.)

As the weight is at equilibrium, the sum of the forces is $\vec{0}$:

$$\vec{F}_c + \vec{F}_w + \vec{F}_g = \vec{0}$$
$$m\cos\varphi \vec{i} + m\sin\varphi \vec{j} + 5\vec{i} - 25\vec{j} = \vec{0}$$

Thus the sum of the \vec{i} and \vec{j} components are 0, leading us to the following system of equations:

$$5 + m\cos\varphi = 0$$

$$-25 + m\sin\varphi = 0$$
(10.1)

This is enough to determine \vec{F}_c already, as we know $m \cos \varphi = -5$ and $m \sin \varphi = 25$. Thus $F_c = \langle -5, 25 \rangle$. We can use this to find the magnitude m:

$$m = \sqrt{(-5)^2 + 25^2} = 5\sqrt{26} \approx 25.5$$
lb.

We can then use either equality from Equation (10.1) to solve for φ . We choose the first equality as using arccosine will return an angle in the 2nd quadrant:

$$5 + 5\sqrt{26}\cos\varphi = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varphi = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{-5}{5\sqrt{26}}\right) \approx 1.7682 \approx 101.31^{\circ}.$$

Subtracting 90° from this angle gives us an angle of 11.31° with the vertical.

We can now use trigonometry to find out how high the weight is lifted. The diagram shows that a right triangle is formed with the 2ft chain as the hypotenuse with an interior angle of 11.31°. The length of the adjacent side (in the diagram, the dashed vertical line) is $2 \cos 11.31^{\circ} \approx 1.96$ ft. Thus the weight is lifted by about 0.04 ft, almost 1/2 in.

The algebra we have applied to vectors is already demonstrating itself to be very useful. There are two more fundamental operations we can perform with vectors, the *dot product* and the *cross product*. The next two sections explore each in turn.

Exercises 10.2

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Name two different things that cannot be described with just one number, but rather need 2 or more numbers to fully describe them.
- 2. What is the difference between (1, 2) and (1, 2)?
- 3. What is a unit vector?
- 4. Unit vectors can be thought of as conveying what type of information?
- 5. What does it mean for two vectors to be parallel?
- 6. What effect does multiplying a vector by -2 have?

Problems


In Exercises 7 – 10, points P and Q are given. Write the vector \overrightarrow{PQ} in component form and using the standard unit vectors.


- 7. P = (2, -1), Q = (3, 5)
- 8. $P = (3, 2), \quad Q = (7, -2)$

9.
$$P = (0, 3, -1), \quad Q = (6, 2, 5)$$

- 10. $P = (2, 1, 2), \quad Q = (4, 3, 2)$
- 11. Let $\vec{u} = \langle 1, -2 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$.
 - (a) Find $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$, $\vec{u} \vec{v}$, $2\vec{u} 3\vec{v}$.
 - (b) Sketch the above vectors on the same axes, along with \vec{u} and \vec{v} .
 - (c) Find \vec{x} where $\vec{u} + \vec{x} = 2\vec{v} \vec{x}$.
- 12. Let $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 1, -1 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 2, 1, 2 \rangle$.
 - (a) Find $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$, $\vec{u} \vec{v}$, $\pi \vec{u} \sqrt{2}\vec{v}$.
 - (b) Sketch the above vectors on the same axes, along with \vec{u} and \vec{v} .
 - (c) Find \vec{x} where $\vec{u} + \vec{x} = \vec{v} + 2\vec{x}$.

In Exercises 13 – 16, sketch \vec{u} , \vec{v} , $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ and $\vec{u} - \vec{v}$ on the same axes.






14.

15.

16.



In Exercises 17 – 20, find $||\vec{u}||$, $||\vec{v}||$, $||\vec{u} + \vec{v}||$ and $||\vec{u} - \vec{v}||$.

17. $\vec{u} = \langle 2, 1 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 3, -2 \rangle$ 18. $\vec{u} = \langle -3, 2, 2 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 1, -1, 1 \rangle$ 19. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle -3, -6 \rangle$ 20. $\vec{u} = \langle 2, -3, 6 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 10, -15, 30 \rangle$

 $2\rangle$

21. Under what conditions is $||\vec{u}|| + ||\vec{v}|| = ||\vec{u} + \vec{v}||$?

In Exercises 22 – 25, find the unit vector \vec{u} in the direction of v.

22.
$$\vec{v} = \langle 3, 7 \rangle$$


23. $\vec{v} = \langle 6, 8 \rangle$
24. $\vec{v} = \langle 1, -2, 2 \rangle$
25. $\vec{v} = \langle 2, -2, 2 \rangle$

- 26. Find the unit vector in the first quadrant of \mathbb{R}^2 that makes a 50° angle with the *x*-axis.
- 27. Find the unit vector in the second quadrant of \mathbb{R}^2 that makes a 30° angle with the *y*-axis.
- 28. Verify, from Key Idea 10.2.1, that

$$\vec{u} = \langle \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta \rangle$$

is a unit vector for all angles θ and φ .

A weight of 100lb is suspended from two chains, making angles with the vertical of θ and φ as shown in the figure below.



In Exercises 29 – 32, angles θ and φ are given. Find the magnitude of the force applied to each chain.


29.
$$\theta = 30^{\circ}$$
, $\varphi = 30^{\circ}$

30. $\theta = 60^{\circ}$, $\varphi = 60^{\circ}$

31.
$$\theta = 20^{\circ}$$
, $\varphi = 15^{\circ}$

32.
$$\theta = 0^{\circ}$$
, $\varphi = 0^{\circ}$

A weight of *p*lb is suspended from a chain of length ℓ while a constant force of \vec{F}_w pushes the weight to the right, making an angle of θ with the vertical, as shown in the figure below.



In Exercises 33 – 36, a force \vec{F}_w and length ℓ are given. Find the angle θ and the height the weight is lifted as it moves to the right.

33.
$$\vec{F}_{w} = 1$$
lb, $\ell = 1$ ft, $p = 1$ lb
34. $\vec{F}_{w} = 1$ lb, $\ell = 1$ ft, $p = 1$ Olb
35. $\vec{F}_{w} = 1$ lb, $\ell = 1$ Oft, $p = 1$ lb
36. $\vec{F}_{w} = 1$ Olb, $\ell = 1$ Oft, $p = 1$ lb

10.3 The Dot Product

The previous section introduced vectors and described how to add them together and how to multiply them by scalars. This section introduces *a* multiplication on vectors called the **dot product**.

Definition 10.3.1 Dot Product 1. Let $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . The **dot product** of \vec{u} and \vec{v} , denoted $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}$, is $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2$. 2. Let $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . The **dot product** of \vec{u} and \vec{v} , denoted $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}$, is $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2 + u_3 v_3$.

Note how this product of vectors returns a *scalar*, not another vector. We practice evaluating a dot product in the following example, then we will discuss why this product is useful.

Example 10.3.1 Evaluating dot products

1. Let $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 3, -1 \rangle$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . Find $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}$.

2. Let $\vec{x} = \langle 2, -2, 5 \rangle$ and $\vec{y} = \langle -1, 0, 3 \rangle$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . Find $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$.

SOLUTION

1. Using Definition 10.3.1, we have

$$\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{3}) + \mathbf{2}(-\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}.$$

2. Using the definition, we have

$$\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = 2(-1) - 2(0) + 5(3) = 13.$$

The dot product, as shown by the preceding example, is very simple to evaluate. It is only the sum of products. While the definition gives no hint as to why

we would care about this operation, there is an amazing connection between the dot product and angles formed by the vectors. Before stating this connection, we give a theorem stating some of the properties of the dot product.

Theorem 10.3.1 Properties of the Dot Product

Let \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} be vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^3 and let *c* be a scalar.

1. $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u}$

Commutative Property Distributive Property

- 2. $\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{w}$
- 3. $c(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}) = (c\vec{u}) \cdot \vec{v} = \vec{u} \cdot (c\vec{v})$
- 4. $\vec{0} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$
- 5. $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v} = ||\vec{v}||^2$

The last statement of the theorem makes a handy connection between the magnitude of a vector and the dot product with itself. Our definition and theorem give properties of the dot product, but we are still likely wondering "What does the dot product *mean*?" It is helpful to understand that the dot product of a vector with itself is connected to its magnitude.

The next theorem extends this understanding by connecting the dot product to magnitudes and angles. Given vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} in the plane, an angle θ is clearly formed when \vec{u} and \vec{v} are drawn with the same initial point as illustrated in Figure 10.3.1(a). (We always take θ to be the angle in $[0, \pi]$ as two angles are actually created.)

The same is also true of 2 vectors in space: given \vec{u} and \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^3 with the same initial point, there is a plane that contains both \vec{u} and \vec{v} . (When \vec{u} and \vec{v} are co-linear, there are infinitely many planes that contain both vectors.) In that plane, we can again find an angle θ between them (and again, $0 \le \theta \le \pi$). This is illustrated in Figure 10.3.1(b).

The following theorem connects this angle θ to the dot product of \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

(a) \vec{v} $\vec{v$

Figure 10.3.1: Illustrating the angle formed by two vectors with the same initial point.

Theorem 10.3.2 The Dot Product and Angles

Let \vec{u} and \vec{v} be nonzero vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^3 . Then

 $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = || \vec{u} || || \vec{v} || \cos \theta,$

where θ , $0 \le \theta \le \pi$, is the angle between \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

Using Theorem 10.3.1, we can rewrite this theorem as

$$\frac{\vec{u}}{\mid \vec{u} \mid\mid} \cdot \frac{\vec{v}}{\mid\mid \vec{v} \mid\mid} = \cos \theta$$

Note how on the left hand side of the equation, we are computing the dot product of two unit vectors. Recalling that unit vectors essentially only provide direction information, we can informally restate Theorem 10.3.2 as saying "The dot product of two directions gives the cosine of the angle between them."

When θ is an acute angle (i.e., $0 \le \theta < \pi/2$), $\cos \theta$ is positive; when $\theta = \pi/2$, $\cos \theta = 0$; when θ is an obtuse angle $(\pi/2 < \theta \le \pi)$, $\cos \theta$ is negative. Thus the sign of the dot product gives a general indication of the angle between the vectors, illustrated in Figure 10.3.2.




Figure 10.3.2: Illustrating the relationship between the angle between vectors and the sign of their dot product.

We *can* use Theorem 10.3.2 to compute the dot product, but generally this theorem is used to find the angle between known vectors (since the dot product is generally easy to compute). To this end, we rewrite the theorem's equation as

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{|| \vec{u} |||| \vec{v} ||} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \theta = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{|| \vec{u} ||| \vec{v} ||} \right).$$

We practice using this theorem in the following example.

Example 10.3.2 Using the dot product to find angles

Let $\vec{u} = \langle 3, 1 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle -2, 6 \rangle$ and $\vec{w} = \langle -4, 3 \rangle$, as shown in Figure 10.3.3. Find the angles α , β and θ .




Figure 10.3.3: Vectors used in Example 10.3.2.

SOLUTION We start by computing the magnitude of each vector.

$$||\vec{u}|| = \sqrt{10}; \quad ||\vec{v}|| = 2\sqrt{10}; \quad ||\vec{w}|| = 5.$$

We now apply Theorem 10.3.2 to find the angles.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{(\sqrt{10})(2\sqrt{10})} \right) \\ &= \cos^{-1}(0) = \frac{\pi}{2} = 90^{\circ}. \\ \beta &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}}{(2\sqrt{10})(5)} \right) \\ &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{26}{10\sqrt{10}} \right) \\ &\approx 0.6055 \approx 34.7^{\circ}. \\ \theta &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{w}}{(\sqrt{10})(5)} \right) \\ &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{-9}{5\sqrt{10}} \right) \\ &\approx 2.1763 \approx 124.7^{\circ} \end{aligned}$$

We see from our computation that $\alpha + \beta = \theta$, as indicated by Figure 10.3.3. While we knew this should be the case, it is nice to see that this non-intuitive formula indeed returns the results we expected.

We do a similar example next in the context of vectors in space.


Example 10.3.3 Using the dot product to find angles


Let $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle -1, 3, -2 \rangle$ and $\vec{w} = \langle -5, 1, 4 \rangle$, as illustrated in Figure 10.3.4. Find the angle between each pair of vectors.

SOLUTION

1. Between \vec{u} and \vec{v} :

$$\theta = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{|| \vec{u} ||| | \vec{v} ||} \right)$$
$$= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{0}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{14}} \right)$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2}.$$





2. Between \vec{u} and \vec{w} :

$$\theta = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{w}}{|| \vec{u} |||| \vec{w} ||} \right)$$
$$= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{0}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{42}} \right)$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

3. Between \vec{v} and \vec{w} :

$$\theta = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}}{||\vec{v}||||\vec{w}||} \right)$$
$$= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{0}{\sqrt{14}\sqrt{42}} \right)$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

While our work shows that each angle is $\pi/2$, i.e., 90°, none of these angles looks to be a right angle in Figure 10.3.4. Such is the case when drawing three–dimensional objects on the page.

All three angles between these vectors was $\pi/2$, or 90° . We know from geometry and everyday life that 90° angles are "nice" for a variety of reasons, so it should seem significant that these angles are all $\pi/2$. Notice the common feature in each calculation (and also the calculation of α in Example 10.3.2): the dot products of each pair of angles was 0. We use this as a basis for a definition of the term **orthogonal**, which is essentially synonymous to *perpendicular*.

Definition 10.3.2 Orthogonal

Nonzero vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} are **orthogonal** if their dot product is 0.

Example 10.3.4 Finding orthogonal vectors Let $\vec{u} = \langle 3, 5 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$.

- 1. Find two vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 that are orthogonal to \vec{u} .
- 2. Find two non–parallel vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 that are orthogonal to \vec{v} .

SOLUTION

Notes:

Note: The term *perpendicular* originally referred to lines. As mathematics progressed, the concept of "being at right angles to" was applied to other objects, such as vectors and planes, and the term *orthogonal* was introduced. It is especially used when discussing objects that are hard, or impossible, to visualize: two vectors in 5-dimensional space are orthogonal if their dot product is 0. It is not wrong to say they are *perpendicular*, but common convention gives preference to the word *orthogonal*.

1. Recall that a line perpendicular to a line with slope *m* has slope -1/m, the "opposite reciprocal slope." We can think of the slope of \vec{u} as 5/3, its "rise over run." A vector orthogonal to \vec{u} will have slope -3/5. There are many such choices, though all parallel:

 $\langle -5,3 \rangle$ or $\langle 5,-3 \rangle$ or $\langle -10,6 \rangle$ or $\langle 15,-9 \rangle$, etc.

2. There are infinitely many directions in space orthogonal to any given direction, so there are an infinite number of non-parallel vectors orthogonal to \vec{v} . Since there are so many, we have great leeway in finding some.

One way is to arbitrarily pick values for the first two components, leaving the third unknown. For instance, let $\vec{v}_1 = \langle 2, 7, z \rangle$. If \vec{v}_1 is to be orthogonal to \vec{v} , then $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v} = 0$, so

$$2+14+3z=0 \quad \Rightarrow z=\frac{-16}{3}.$$

So $\vec{v}_1 = \langle 2, 7, -16/3 \rangle$ is orthogonal to \vec{v} . We can apply a similar technique by leaving the first or second component unknown.

Another method of finding a vector orthogonal to \vec{v} mirrors what we did in part 1. Let $\vec{v}_2 = \langle -2, 1, 0 \rangle$. Here we switched the first two components of \vec{v} , changing the sign of one of them (similar to the "opposite reciprocal" concept before). Letting the third component be 0 effectively ignores the third component of \vec{v} , and it is easy to see that

$$\vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v} = \langle -2, 1, 0 \rangle \cdot \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle = 0.$$

Clearly \vec{v}_1 and \vec{v}_2 are not parallel.

An important construction is illustrated in Figure 10.3.5, where vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} are sketched. In part (a), a dotted line is drawn from the tip of \vec{u} to the line containing \vec{v} , where the dotted line is orthogonal to \vec{v} . In part (b), the dotted line is replaced with the vector \vec{z} and \vec{w} is formed, parallel to \vec{v} . It is clear by the diagram that $\vec{u} = \vec{w} + \vec{z}$. What is important about this construction is this: \vec{u} is *decomposed* as the sum of two vectors, one of which is parallel to \vec{v} and one that is perpendicular to \vec{v} . It is hard to overstate the importance of this construction (as we'll see in upcoming examples).

The vectors \vec{w} , \vec{z} and \vec{u} as shown in Figure 10.3.5 (b) form a right triangle, where the angle between \vec{v} and \vec{u} is labeled θ . We can find \vec{w} in terms of \vec{v} and \vec{u} .

Using trigonometry, we can state that

$$|| \vec{w} || = || \vec{u} || \cos \theta.$$
 (10.2)




Figure 10.3.5: Developing the construction of the *orthogonal projection*.

We also know that \vec{w} is parallel to to \vec{v} ; that is, the direction of \vec{w} is the direction of \vec{v} , described by the unit vector $\vec{v}/||\vec{v}||$. The vector \vec{w} is the vector in the direction $\vec{v}/||\vec{v}||$ with magnitude $||\vec{u}|| \cos \theta$:

$$\vec{w} = \left(|| \vec{u} || \cos \theta \right) \frac{1}{|| \vec{v} ||} \vec{v}.$$

Replace $\cos \theta$ using Theorem 10.3.2:

$$= \left(|| \vec{u} || \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{|| \vec{u} ||| |\vec{v} ||} \right) \frac{1}{|| \vec{v} ||} \vec{v}$$
$$= \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{|| \vec{v} ||^2} \vec{v}.$$

Now apply Theorem 10.3.1.

$$=\frac{\vec{u}\cdot\vec{v}}{\vec{v}\cdot\vec{v}}\vec{v}.$$

Since this construction is so important, it is given a special name.

Definition 10.3.3 Orthogonal Projection	
Let nonzero vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} be given. The orthogonal projection of onto \vec{v} , denoted proj _{\vec{v}} \vec{u} , is	
$\operatorname{proj}_{ec v}ec u = rac{ec u\cdotec v}{ec v\cdotec v}ec v.$	

Example 10.3.5 Computing the orthogonal projection

- 1. Let $\vec{u} = \langle -2, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 3, 1 \rangle$. Find proj $_{\vec{v}} \vec{u}$, and sketch all three vectors with initial points at the origin.
- 2. Let $\vec{w} = \langle 2, 1, 3 \rangle$ and $\vec{x} = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$. Find proj_{\vec{x}} \vec{w} , and sketch all three vectors with initial points at the origin.

SOLUTION

1. Applying Definition 10.3.3, we have

$$proj_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} = \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}} \vec{v}$$
$$= \frac{-5}{10} \langle 3, 1 \rangle$$
$$= \left\langle -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle$$

Vectors \vec{u} , \vec{v} and proj $_{\vec{v}}$ \vec{u} are sketched in Figure 10.3.6(a). Note how the projection is parallel to \vec{v} ; that is, it lies on the same line through the origin as \vec{v} , although it points in the opposite direction. That is because the angle between \vec{u} and \vec{v} is obtuse (i.e., greater than 90°).

2. Apply the definition:

$$\operatorname{proj}_{\vec{x}} \vec{w} = \frac{\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}}{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{x}} \vec{x}$$
$$= \frac{6}{3} \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$$
$$= \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle.$$

These vectors are sketched in Figure 10.3.6(b), and again in part (c) from a different perspective. Because of the nature of graphing these vectors, the sketch in part (b) makes it difficult to recognize that the drawn projection has the geometric properties it should. The graph shown in part (c) illustrates these properties better.

We can use the properties of the dot product found in Theorem 10.3.1 to rearrange the formula found in Definition 10.3.3:

$$proj_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} = \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}} \vec{v}$$
$$= \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{||\vec{v}||^2} \vec{v}$$
$$= \left(\vec{u} \cdot \frac{\vec{v}}{||\vec{v}||}\right) \frac{\vec{v}}{||\vec{v}||}$$

The above formula shows that the orthogonal projection of \vec{u} onto \vec{v} is only concerned with the *direction* of \vec{v} , as both instances of \vec{v} in the formula come in the form $\vec{v}/||\vec{v}||$, the unit vector in the direction of \vec{v} .

A special case of orthogonal projection occurs when \vec{v} is a unit vector. In this situation, the formula for the orthogonal projection of a vector \vec{u} onto \vec{v} reduces to just proj $_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} = (\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v})\vec{v}$, as $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v} = 1$.

Notes:




Figure 10.3.6: Graphing the vectors used in Example 10.3.5.

This gives us a new understanding of the dot product. When \vec{v} is a unit vector, essentially providing only direction information, the dot product of \vec{u} and \vec{v} gives "how much of \vec{u} is in the direction of \vec{v} ." This use of the dot product will be very useful in future sections.

Now consider Figure 10.3.7 where the concept of the orthogonal projection is again illustrated. It is clear that

$$\vec{u} = \operatorname{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} + \vec{z}. \tag{10.3}$$

As we know what \vec{u} and proj_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} are, we can solve for \vec{z} and state that

$$\vec{z} = \vec{u} - \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u}.$$

This leads us to rewrite Equation (10.3) in a seemingly silly way:

$$\vec{u} = \operatorname{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} + (\vec{u} - \operatorname{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u}).$$

This is not nonsense, as pointed out in the following Key Idea. (Notation note: the expression " $\| \vec{y}$ " means "is parallel to \vec{y} ." We can use this notation to state " $\vec{x} \| \vec{y}$ " which means " \vec{x} is parallel to \vec{y} ." The expression " $\perp \vec{y}$ " means "is orthogonal to \vec{y} ," and is used similarly.)

Key Idea 10.3.1 Orthogonal Decomposition of Vectors

Let nonzero vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} be given. Then \vec{u} can be written as the sum of two vectors, one of which is parallel to \vec{v} , and one of which is orthogonal to \vec{v} :

$$\vec{u} = \underbrace{\operatorname{proj}_{\vec{v}}\vec{u}}_{\parallel \vec{v}} + (\underbrace{\vec{u} - \operatorname{proj}_{\vec{v}}\vec{u}}_{\perp \vec{v}})$$

We illustrate the use of this equality in the following example.

Example 10.3.6 Orthogonal decomposition of vectors

- 1. Let $\vec{u} = \langle -2, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 3, 1 \rangle$ as in Example 10.3.5. Decompose \vec{u} as the sum of a vector parallel to \vec{v} and a vector orthogonal to \vec{v} .
- 2. Let $\vec{w} = \langle 2, 1, 3 \rangle$ and $\vec{x} = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$ as in Example 10.3.5. Decompose \vec{w} as the sum of a vector parallel to \vec{x} and a vector orthogonal to \vec{x} .

SOLUTION




Figure 10.3.7: Illustrating the orthogonal projection.

1. In Example 10.3.5, we found that $\operatorname{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} = \langle -1.5, -0.5 \rangle$. Let

$$ec{z} = ec{u} - {
m proj}_{\,ec{v}}\,ec{u} = \langle -2, 1
angle - \langle -1.5, -0.5
angle = \langle -0.5, 1.5
angle$$
 .

Is \vec{z} orthogonal to \vec{v} ? (I.e, is $\vec{z} \perp \vec{v}$?) We check for orthogonality with the dot product:

$$\vec{z} \cdot \vec{v} = \langle -0.5, 1.5 \rangle \cdot \langle 3, 1 \rangle = 0.$$

Since the dot product is 0, we know $\vec{z} \perp \vec{v}$. Thus:

$$\vec{u} = \operatorname{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} + (\vec{u} - \operatorname{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u})$$
$$\langle -2, 1 \rangle = \underbrace{\langle -1.5, -0.5 \rangle}_{\parallel \vec{v}} + \underbrace{\langle -0.5, 1.5 \rangle}_{\perp \vec{v}}.$$

2. We found in Example 10.3.5 that $\text{proj}_{\vec{x}} \vec{w} = \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle$. Applying the Key Idea, we have:

$$\vec{z} = \vec{w} - \operatorname{proj}_{\vec{x}} \vec{w} = \langle 2, 1, 3 \rangle - \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle = \langle 0, -1, 1 \rangle.$$

We check to see if $\vec{z} \perp \vec{x}$:

$$\vec{z} \cdot \vec{x} = \langle 0, -1, 1 \rangle \cdot \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle = 0.$$

Since the dot product is 0, we know the two vectors are orthogonal. We now write \vec{w} as the sum of two vectors, one parallel and one orthogonal to \vec{x} :

$$\vec{w} = \operatorname{proj}_{\vec{x}} \vec{w} + (\vec{w} - \operatorname{proj}_{\vec{x}} \vec{w})$$
$$\langle 2, 1, 3 \rangle = \underbrace{\langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle}_{\parallel \vec{x}} + \underbrace{\langle 0, -1, 1 \rangle}_{\perp \vec{x}}$$

We give an example of where this decomposition is useful.

Example 10.3.7 Orthogonally decomposing a force vector

Consider Figure 10.3.8(a), showing a box weighing 50lb on a ramp that rises 5ft over a span of 20ft. Find the components of force, and their magnitudes, acting on the box (as sketched in part (b) of the figure):

- 1. in the direction of the ramp, and
- 2. orthogonal to the ramp.

SOLUTION As the ramp rises 5ft over a horizontal distance of 20ft, we can represent the direction of the ramp with the vector $\vec{r} = \langle 20, 5 \rangle$. Gravity pulls down with a force of 50lb, which we represent with $\vec{g} = \langle 0, -50 \rangle$.




Figure 10.3.8: Sketching the ramp and box in Example 10.3.7. Note: *The vectors are not drawn to scale*.

1. To find the force of gravity in the direction of the ramp, we compute $\text{proj}_{\vec{l}} \vec{g}$:

$$proj_{\vec{r}} \vec{g} = \frac{\vec{g} \cdot \vec{r}}{\vec{r} \cdot \vec{r}} \vec{r}$$
$$= \frac{-250}{425} \langle 20, 5 \rangle$$
$$= \left\langle -\frac{200}{17}, -\frac{50}{17} \right\rangle \approx \langle -11.76, -2.94 \rangle$$

The magnitude of $\text{proj}_{\vec{r}}\vec{g}$ is $|| \text{proj}_{\vec{r}}\vec{g} || = 50/\sqrt{17} \approx 12.13$ lb. Though the box weighs 50lb, a force of about 12lb is enough to keep the box from sliding down the ramp.

2. To find the component \vec{z} of gravity orthogonal to the ramp, we use Key Idea 10.3.1.

$$egin{aligned} ec{z} &= ec{g} - \operatorname{proj}_{ec{r}}ec{g} \ &= \left\langle rac{200}{17}, -rac{800}{17}
ight
angle pprox \langle 11.76, -47.06
angle \end{aligned}$$

The magnitude of this force is $||\vec{z}|| \approx 48.51$ lb. In physics and engineering, knowing this force is important when computing things like static frictional force. (For instance, we could easily compute if the static frictional force alone was enough to keep the box from sliding down the ramp.)

Application to Work

In physics, the application of a force F to move an object in a straight line a distance d produces *work*; the amount of work W is W = Fd, (where F is in the direction of travel). The orthogonal projection allows us to compute work when the force is not in the direction of travel.

Consider Figure 10.3.9, where a force \vec{F} is being applied to an object moving in the direction of \vec{d} . (The distance the object travels is the magnitude of \vec{d} .) The

Notes:




Figure 10.3.9: Finding work when the force and direction of travel are given as vectors.

work done is the amount of force in the direction of \vec{d} , || proj_{\vec{d}} \vec{F} ||, times || \vec{d} ||:

$$||\operatorname{proj}_{\vec{d}}\vec{F}|| \cdot ||\vec{d}|| = \left| \left| \frac{\vec{F} \cdot \vec{d}}{\vec{d} \cdot \vec{d}} \vec{d} \right| \right| \cdot ||\vec{d}||$$
$$= \left| \frac{\vec{F} \cdot \vec{d}}{||\vec{d}||^2} \right| \cdot ||\vec{d}|| \cdot ||\vec{d}||$$
$$= \frac{\left| \vec{F} \cdot \vec{d} \right|}{||\vec{d}||^2} ||\vec{d}||^2$$
$$= \left| \vec{F} \cdot \vec{d} \right|.$$

The expression $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{d}$ will be positive if the angle between \vec{F} and \vec{d} is acute; when the angle is obtuse (hence $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{d}$ is negative), the force is causing motion in the opposite direction of \vec{d} , resulting in "negative work." We want to capture this sign, so we drop the absolute value and find that $W = \vec{F} \cdot \vec{d}$.

Definition 10.3.4 Work

Let \vec{F} be a constant force that moves an object in a straight line from point P to point Q. Let $\vec{d} = \vec{PQ}$. The **work** W done by \vec{F} along \vec{d} is $W = \vec{F} \cdot \vec{d}$.

Example 10.3.8 Computing work

A man slides a box along a ramp that rises 3ft over a distance of 15ft by applying 50lb of force as shown in Figure 10.3.10. Compute the work done.

SOLUTION The figure indicates that the force applied makes a 30° angle with the horizontal, so $\vec{F} = 50 \langle \cos 30^\circ, \sin 30^\circ \rangle \approx \langle 43.3, 25 \rangle$. The ramp is represented by $\vec{d} = \langle 15, 3 \rangle$. The work done is simply

 $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{d} = 50 \langle \cos 30^{\circ}, \sin 30^{\circ} \rangle \cdot \langle 15, 3 \rangle \approx 724.5$ ft–lb.

Note how we did not actually compute the distance the object traveled, nor the magnitude of the force in the direction of travel; this is all inherently computed by the dot product!

The dot product is a powerful way of evaluating computations that depend on angles without actually using angles. The next section explores another "product" on vectors, the *cross product*. Once again, angles play an important role, though in a much different way.




Figure 10.3.10: Computing work when sliding a box up a ramp in Example 10.3.8.

Exercises 10.3

Terms and Concepts

- 1. The dot product of two vectors is a _____, not a vector.
- How are the concepts of the dot product and vector magnitude related?
- 3. How can one quickly tell if the angle between two vectors is acute or obtuse?
- 4. Give a synonym for "orthogonal."

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 10, find the dot product of the given vectors.

- 5. $\vec{u} = \langle 2, -4 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 3, 7 \rangle$
- 6. $\vec{u} = \langle 5, 3 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 6, 1 \rangle$
- 7. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, -1, 2 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 2, 5, 3 \rangle$
- 8. $\vec{u} = \langle 3, 5, -1 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 4, -1, 7 \rangle$
- 9. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$
- 10. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
- 11. Create your own vectors \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} in \mathbb{R}^2 and show that $\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{w}$.
- 12. Create your own vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^3 and scalar *c* and show that $c(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}) = \vec{u} \cdot (c\vec{v})$.

In Exercises 13 - 16, find the measure of the angle between the two vectors in both radians and degrees.

- 13. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$
- 14. $\vec{u} = \langle -2, 1 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 3, 5 \rangle$
- 15. $\vec{u} = \langle 8, 1, -4 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 2, 2, 0 \rangle$
- 16. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 7, 2 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 4, -2, 5 \rangle$
- In Exercises 17 20, a vector \vec{v} is given. Give two vectors that are orthogonal to \vec{v} .
- 17. $\vec{v} = \langle 4, 7 \rangle$
- 18. $\vec{v} = \langle -3, 5 \rangle$
- 19. $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$

600 20. $\vec{v} = \langle 1, -2, 3 \rangle$

In Exercises 21 – 26, vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} are given. Find proj $_{\vec{v}} \vec{u}$, the orthogonal projection of \vec{u} onto \vec{v} , and sketch all three vectors with the same initial point.

21.
$$\vec{u} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle -1, 3 \rangle$$

22. $\vec{u} = \langle 5, 5 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 1, 3 \rangle$
23. $\vec{u} = \langle -3, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$
24. $\vec{u} = \langle -3, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 2, 3 \rangle$
25. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 5, 1 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$
26. $\vec{u} = \langle 3, -1, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 2, 2, 1 \rangle$

In Exercises 27 – 32, vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} are given. Write \vec{u} as the sum of two vectors, one of which is parallel to \vec{v} and one of which is perpendicular to \vec{v} . Note: these are the same pairs of vectors as found in Exercises 21 – 26.

- 27. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle -1, 3 \rangle$ 28. $\vec{u} = \langle 5, 5 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 1, 3 \rangle$ 29. $\vec{u} = \langle -3, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$ 30. $\vec{u} = \langle -3, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 2, 3 \rangle$ 31. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 5, 1 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$ 32. $\vec{u} = \langle 3, -1, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 2, 2, 1 \rangle$
- 33. A 10lb box sits on a ramp that rises 4ft over a distance of 20ft. How much force is required to keep the box from slid-ing down the ramp?
- 34. A 10lb box sits on a 15ft ramp that makes a 30° angle with the horizontal. How much force is required to keep the box from sliding down the ramp?
- 35. How much work is performed in moving a box horizontally 10ft with a force of 20lb applied at an angle of 45° to the horizontal?
- 36. How much work is performed in moving a box horizontally 10ft with a force of 20lb applied at an angle of 10° to the horizontal?
- 37. How much work is performed in moving a box up the length of a ramp that rises 2ft over a distance of 10ft, with a force of 50lb applied horizontally?
- 38. How much work is performed in moving a box up the length of a ramp that rises 2ft over a distance of 10ft, with a force of 50lb applied at an angle of 45° to the horizontal?
- 39. How much work is performed in moving a box up the length of a 10ft ramp that makes a 5° angle with the horizontal, with 50lb of force applied in the direction of the ramp?

10.4 The Cross Product

"Orthogonality" is immensely important. A quick scan of your current environment will undoubtedly reveal numerous surfaces and edges that are perpendicular to each other (including the edges of this page). The dot product provides a quick test for orthogonality: vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} are perpendicular if, and only if, $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$.

Given two non-parallel, nonzero vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} in space, it is very useful to find a vector \vec{w} that is perpendicular to both \vec{u} and \vec{v} . There is a operation, called the **cross product**, that creates such a vector. This section defines the cross product, then explores its properties and applications.

Definition 10.4.1 Cross Product

Let $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 . The cross product of \vec{u} and \vec{v} , denoted $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$, is the vector

$$\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \langle u_2 v_3 - u_3 v_2, -(u_1 v_3 - u_3 v_1), u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1 \rangle.$$

This definition can be a bit cumbersome to remember. After an example we will give a convenient method for computing the cross product. For now, careful examination of the products and differences given in the definition should reveal a pattern that is not too difficult to remember. (For instance, in the first component only 2 and 3 appear as subscripts; in the second component, only 1 and 3 appear as subscripts. Further study reveals the order in which they appear.)

Let's practice using this definition by computing a cross product.

Example 10.4.1 Computing a cross product

Let $\vec{u} = \langle 2, -1, 4 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 3, 2, 5 \rangle$. Find $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$, and verify that it is orthogonal to both \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

SOLUTION Using Definition 10.4.1, we have

$$\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \langle (-1)5 - (4)2, -((2)5 - (4)3), (2)2 - (-1)3 \rangle = \langle -13, 2, 7 \rangle$$

(We encourage the reader to compute this product on their own, then verify their result.)

We test whether or not $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ is orthogonal to \vec{u} and \vec{v} using the dot product:

$$(\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{u} = \langle -13, 2, 7 \rangle \cdot \langle 2, -1, 4 \rangle = 0,$$

$$(\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{v} = \langle -13, 2, 7 \rangle \cdot \langle 3, 2, 5 \rangle = 0.$$

Since both dot products are zero, $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ is indeed orthogonal to both \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

A convenient method of computing the cross product starts with forming a particular 3×3 matrix, or rectangular array. The first row comprises the standard unit vectors \vec{i}, \vec{j} , and \vec{k} . The second and third rows are the vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} , respectively. Using \vec{u} and \vec{v} from Example 10.4.1, we begin with:

$$\vec{i} \quad \vec{j} \quad \vec{k}$$


$$2 \quad -1 \quad 4$$

$$3 \quad 2 \quad 5$$

Now repeat the first two columns after the original three:

i	j	k	i	j
	-1			
3	2	5	3	2

This gives three full "upper left to lower right" diagonals, and three full "upper right to lower left" diagonals, as shown. Compute the products along each diagonal, then add the products on the right and subtract the products on the left:



$$\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = (-5\vec{i} + 12\vec{j} + 4\vec{k}) - (-3\vec{k} + 8\vec{i} + 10\vec{j}) = -13\vec{i} + 2\vec{j} + 7\vec{k} = \langle -13, 2, 7 \rangle.$$

We practice using this method.

Example 10.4.2 Computing a cross product

Let $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 3, 6 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle -1, 2, 1 \rangle$. Compute both $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ and $\vec{v} \times \vec{u}$.

SOLUTION To compute $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$, we form the matrix as prescribed above, complete with repeated first columns:

i	j	k	i	j
1	3	6	1	3
-1	2	1	-1	2

We let the reader compute the products of the diagonals; we give the result:

$$ec{u} imesec{v}=\left(3ec{i}-6ec{j}+2ec{k}
ight)-\left(-3ec{k}+12ec{i}+ec{j}
ight)=\langle-9,-7,5
ight
angle$$

To compute $\vec{v} \times \vec{u}$, we switch the second and third rows of the above matrix, then multiply along diagonals and subtract:

ī	j	ĸ	i	j
-1	2	1	-1	2
1	3	6	1	3

Note how with the rows being switched, the products that once appeared on the right now appear on the left, and vice–versa. Thus the result is:

$$\vec{v} \times \vec{u} = (12\vec{i} + \vec{j} - 3\vec{k}) - (2\vec{k} + 3\vec{i} - 6\vec{j}) = \langle 9, 7, -5 \rangle,$$

which is the opposite of $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$. We leave it to the reader to verify that each of these vectors is orthogonal to \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

Properties of the Cross Product

It is not coincidence that $\vec{v} \times \vec{u} = -(\vec{u} \times \vec{v})$ in the preceding example; one can show using Definition 10.4.1 that this will always be the case. The following theorem states several useful properties of the cross product, each of which can be verified by referring to the definition.

Theorem 10.4.1	Properties of the Cross	Product
Let <i>ū</i> , <i>v</i> and <i>w</i> be ve hold:	ectors in \mathbb{R}^3 and let c be a	scalar. The following identities
1. $\vec{u} imes \vec{v} = -(\vec{v}$	$\vec{x} \times \vec{u}$	Anticommutative Property
,	$ \times \vec{w} = \vec{u} \times \vec{w} + \vec{v} \times \vec{w} $ + \vec{w}) = $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} + \vec{u} \times \vec{w}$	Distributive Properties
3. $c(\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) = ($	$(\vec{u}) imes \vec{v} = \vec{u} imes (c\vec{v})$	
4. (a) $(\vec{u} \times \vec{v})$ (b) $(\vec{u} \times \vec{v})$		Orthogonality Properties
5. $\vec{u} \times \vec{u} = \vec{0}$		
$6. \ \vec{u} \times \vec{0} = \vec{0}$		
7. $\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} \times \vec{w}) =$	$= (ec{u} imes ec{v}) \cdot ec{w}$	Triple Scalar Product

We introduced the cross product as a way to find a vector orthogonal to two given vectors, but we did not give a proof that the construction given in Definition 10.4.1 satisfies this property. Theorem 10.4.1 asserts this property holds; we leave it as a problem in the Exercise section to verify this.

Property 5 from the theorem is also left to the reader to prove in the Exercise section, but it reveals something more interesting than "the cross product of a vector with itself is $\vec{0}$." Let \vec{u} and \vec{v} be parallel vectors; that is, let there be a scalar c such that $\vec{v} = c\vec{u}$. Consider their cross product:

 $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \vec{u} \times (c\vec{u})$ = $c(\vec{u} \times \vec{u})$ (by Property 3 of Theorem 10.4.1) = $\vec{0}$. (by Property 5 of Theorem 10.4.1)

We have just shown that the cross product of parallel vectors is $\vec{0}$. This hints at something deeper. Theorem 10.3.2 related the angle between two vectors and their dot product; there is a similar relationship relating the cross product of two vectors and the angle between them, given by the following theorem.

Theorem 10.4.2The Cross Product and AnglesLet \vec{u} and \vec{v} be nonzero vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then $|| \vec{u} \times \vec{v} || = || \vec{u} || || \vec{v} || \sin \theta$,where θ , $0 \le \theta \le \pi$, is the angle between \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

Note that this theorem makes a statement about the *magnitude* of the cross product. When the angle between \vec{u} and \vec{v} is 0 or π (i.e., the vectors are parallel), the magnitude of the cross product is 0. The only vector with a magnitude of 0 is $\vec{0}$ (see Property 9 of Theorem 10.2.1), hence the cross product of parallel vectors is $\vec{0}$.

We demonstrate the truth of this theorem in the following example.

Example 10.4.3 The cross product and angles

Let $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 3, 6 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle -1, 2, 1 \rangle$ as in Example 10.4.2. Verify Theorem 10.4.2 by finding θ , the angle between \vec{u} and \vec{v} , and the magnitude of $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$.

Notes:

Note: We could rewrite Definition 10.3.2 and Theorem 10.4.2 to include $\vec{0}$, then define that \vec{u} and \vec{v} are parallel if $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \vec{0}$. Since $\vec{0} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$ and $\vec{0} \times \vec{v} = \vec{0}$, this would mean that $\vec{0}$ is both parallel *and* orthogonal to all vectors. Apparent paradoxes such as this are not uncommon in mathematics and can be very useful. (See also the marginal note on page 582.) **SOLUTION** We use Theorem 10.3.2 to find the angle between \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

$$\begin{split} \theta &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{|| \vec{u} || || \vec{v} ||} \right) \\ &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{11}{\sqrt{46}\sqrt{6}} \right) \\ &\approx 0.8471 = 48.54^{\circ}. \end{split}$$

Our work in Example 10.4.2 showed that $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \langle -9, -7, 5 \rangle$, hence $|| \vec{u} \times \vec{v} || = \sqrt{155}$. Is $|| \vec{u} \times \vec{v} || = || \vec{u} || || \vec{v} || \sin \theta$? Using numerical approximations, we find:

$$|| \vec{u} \times \vec{v} || = \sqrt{155} \qquad || \vec{u} || || \vec{v} || \sin \theta = \sqrt{46}\sqrt{6} \sin 0.8471$$

\$\approx 12.45. \$\approx 12.45.

Numerically, they seem equal. Using a right triangle, one can show that

$$\sin\left(\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{11}{\sqrt{46}\sqrt{6}}\right)\right) = \frac{\sqrt{155}}{\sqrt{46}\sqrt{6}},$$

which allows us to verify the theorem exactly.

Right Hand Rule

The anticommutative property of the cross product demonstrates that $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ and $\vec{v} \times \vec{u}$ differ only by a sign – these vectors have the same magnitude but point in the opposite direction. When seeking a vector perpendicular to \vec{u} and \vec{v} , we essentially have two directions to choose from, one in the direction of $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ and one in the direction of $\vec{v} \times \vec{u}$. Does it matter which we choose? How can we tell which one we will get without graphing, etc.?

Another wonderful property of the cross product, as defined, is that it follows the **right hand rule.** Given \vec{u} and \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^3 with the same initial point, point the index finger of your right hand in the direction of \vec{u} and let your middle finger point in the direction of \vec{v} (much as we did when establishing the right hand rule for the 3-dimensional coordinate system). Your thumb will naturally extend in the direction of $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$. One can "practice" this using Figure 10.4.1. If you switch, and point the index finder in the direction of \vec{v} and the middle finger in the direction of \vec{u} , your thumb will now point in the opposite direction, allowing you to "visualize" the anticommutative property of the cross product.

Applications of the Cross Product

There are a number of ways in which the cross product is useful in mathematics, physics and other areas of science beyond "just" finding a vector perpendicular to two others. We highlight a few here.




Figure 10.4.1: Illustrating the Right Hand Rule of the cross product.





Figure 10.4.2: Using the cross product to find the area of a parallelogram.



Area of a Parallelogram

It is a standard geometry fact that the area of a parallelogram is A = bh, where *b* is the length of the base and *h* is the height of the parallelogram, as illustrated in Figure 10.4.2(a). As shown when defining the Parallelogram Law of vector addition, two vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} define a parallelogram when drawn from the same initial point, as illustrated in Figure 10.4.2(b). Trigonometry tells us that $h = ||\vec{u}|| \sin \theta$, hence the area of the parallelogram is

$$A = || \vec{u} || || \vec{v} || \sin \theta = || \vec{u} \times \vec{v} ||, \qquad (10.4)$$

where the second equality comes from Theorem 10.4.2. We illustrate using Equation (10.4) in the following example.

Example 10.4.4 Finding the area of a parallelogram

- 1. Find the area of the parallelogram defined by the vectors $\vec{u} = \langle 2, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 3 \rangle$.
- 2. Verify that the points A = (1, 1, 1), B = (2, 3, 2), C = (4, 5, 3) and D = (3, 3, 2) are the vertices of a parallelogram. Find the area of the parallelogram.

SOLUTION

- 1. Figure 10.4.3(a) sketches the parallelogram defined by the vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} . We have a slight problem in that our vectors exist in \mathbb{R}^2 , not \mathbb{R}^3 , and the cross product is only defined on vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 . We skirt this issue by viewing \vec{u} and \vec{v} as vectors in the x y plane of \mathbb{R}^3 , and rewrite them as $\vec{u} = \langle 2, 1, 0 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 3, 0 \rangle$. We can now compute the cross product. It is easy to show that $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \langle 0, 0, 5 \rangle$; therefore the area of the parallelogram is $A = || \vec{u} \times \vec{v} || = 5$.
- 2. To show that the quadrilateral *ABCD* is a parallelogram (shown in Figure 10.4.3(b)), we need to show that the opposite sides are parallel. We can quickly show that $\overrightarrow{AB} = \overrightarrow{DC} = \langle 1, 2, 1 \rangle$ and $\overrightarrow{BC} = \overrightarrow{AD} = \langle 2, 2, 1 \rangle$. We find the area by computing the magnitude of the cross product of \overrightarrow{AB} and \overrightarrow{BC} :

$$\overrightarrow{AB} \times \overrightarrow{BC} = \langle 0, 1, -2 \rangle \Rightarrow || \overrightarrow{AB} \times \overrightarrow{BC} || = \sqrt{5} \approx 2.236.$$

This application is perhaps more useful in finding the area of a triangle (in short, triangles are used more often than parallelograms). We illustrate this in the following example.

Figure 10.4.3: Sketching the parallelograms in Example 10.4.4.

Example 10.4.5 Area of a triangle

Find the area of the triangle with vertices A = (1, 2), B = (2, 3) and C = (3, 1), as pictured in Figure 10.4.4.

SOLUTION We found the area of this triangle in Example 7.1.4 to be 1.5 using integration. There we discussed the fact that finding the area of a triangle can be inconvenient using the " $\frac{1}{2}bh$ " formula as one has to compute the height, which generally involves finding angles, etc. Using a cross product is much more direct.

We can choose any two sides of the triangle to use to form vectors; we choose $\overrightarrow{AB} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$ and $\overrightarrow{AC} = \langle 2, -1 \rangle$. As in the previous example, we will rewrite these vectors with a third component of 0 so that we can apply the cross product. The area of the triangle is

$$\frac{1}{2} || \overrightarrow{AB} \times \overrightarrow{AC} || = \frac{1}{2} || \langle 1, 1, 0 \rangle \times \langle 2, -1, 0 \rangle || = \frac{1}{2} || \langle 0, 0, -3 \rangle || = \frac{3}{2}.$$

We arrive at the same answer as before with less work.

Volume of a Parallelepiped

The three dimensional analogue to the parallelogram is the **parallelepiped**. Each face is parallel to the opposite face, as illustrated in Figure 10.4.5. By crossing \vec{v} and \vec{w} , one gets a vector whose magnitude is the area of the base. Dotting this vector with \vec{u} computes the volume of parallelepiped! (Up to a sign; take the absolute value.)

Thus the volume of a parallelepiped defined by vectors \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} is

$$V = |\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} \times \vec{w})|. \tag{10.5}$$

Note how this is the Triple Scalar Product, first seen in Theorem 10.4.1. Applying the identities given in the theorem shows that we can apply the Triple Scalar Product in any "order" we choose to find the volume. That is,

$$V = |\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} \times \vec{w})| = |\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{w} \times \vec{v})| = |(\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{w}|, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Example 10.4.6 Finding the volume of parallelepiped Find the volume of the parallepiped defined by the vectors $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 1, 0 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle -1, 1, 0 \rangle$ and $\vec{w} = \langle 0, 1, 1 \rangle$.

Solution We apply Equation (10.5). We first find $ec{v} imesec{w}=\langle 1,1,-1
angle.$ Then

$$|\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} \times \vec{w})| = |\langle 1, 1, 0 \rangle \cdot \langle 1, 1, -1 \rangle| = 2.$$

So the volume of the parallelepiped is 2 cubic units.

Notes:




Figure 10.4.4: Finding the area of a triangle in Example 10.4.5.




Figure 10.4.5: A parallelepiped is the three dimensional analogue to the parallelogram.

Note: The word "parallelepiped" is pronounced "parallel–eh–pipe–ed."




Figure 10.4.6: A parallelepiped in Example 10.4.6.

While this application of the Triple Scalar Product is interesting, it is not used all that often: parallelepipeds are not a common shape in physics and engineering. The last application of the cross product is very applicable in engineering.

Torque

Torque is a measure of the turning force applied to an object. A classic scenario involving torque is the application of a wrench to a bolt. When a force is applied to the wrench, the bolt turns. When we represent the force and wrench with vectors \vec{F} and $\vec{\ell}$, we see that the bolt moves (because of the threads) in a direction orthogonal to \vec{F} and $\vec{\ell}$. Torque is usually represented by the Greek letter τ , or tau, and has units of N·m, a Newton–meter, or ft·lb, a foot–pound.

While a full understanding of torque is beyond the purposes of this book, when a force \vec{F} is applied to a lever arm $\vec{\ell}$, the resulting torque is

$$\vec{\tau} = \vec{\ell} \times \vec{F}.$$
 (10.6)

Example 10.4.7 Computing torque

A lever of length 2ft makes an angle with the horizontal of 45° . Find the resulting torque when a force of 10lb is applied to the end of the level where:

- 1. the force is perpendicular to the lever, and
- 2. the force makes an angle of 60° with the lever, as shown in Figure 10.4.7.

SOLUTION

1. We start by determining vectors for the force and lever arm. Since the lever arm makes a 45° angle with the horizontal and is 2ft long, we can state that $\vec{\ell} = 2 \langle \cos 45^\circ, \sin 45^\circ \rangle = \langle \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2} \rangle$.

Since the force vector is perpendicular to the lever arm (as seen in the left hand side of Figure 10.4.7), we can conclude it is making an angle of -45° with the horizontal. As it has a magnitude of 10lb, we can state $\vec{F} = 10 \langle \cos(-45^{\circ}), \sin(-45^{\circ}) \rangle = \langle 5\sqrt{2}, -5\sqrt{2} \rangle$.

Using Equation (10.6) to find the torque requires a cross product. We again let the third component of each vector be 0 and compute the cross product:

$$egin{aligned} ec{ au} &= ec{\ell} imes ec{ extsf{F}} \ &= \left\langle \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}, 0
ight
angle imes \left\langle 5\sqrt{2}, -5\sqrt{2}, 0
ight
angle \ &= \left\langle 0, 0, -20
ight
angle \end{aligned}$$




Figure 10.4.7: Showing a force being applied to a lever in Example 10.4.7.

This clearly has a magnitude of 20 ft-lb.

We can view the force and lever arm vectors as lying "on the page"; our computation of $\vec{\tau}$ shows that the torque goes "into the page." This follows the Right Hand Rule of the cross product, and it also matches well with the example of the wrench turning the bolt. Turning a bolt clockwise moves it in.

2. Our lever arm can still be represented by $\vec{\ell} = \langle \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2} \rangle$. As our force vector makes a 60° angle with $\vec{\ell}$, we can see (referencing the right hand side of the figure) that \vec{F} makes a -15° angle with the horizontal. Thus

$$\vec{F} = 10 \langle \cos -15^{\circ}, \sin -15^{\circ} \rangle = \left\langle \frac{5(1+\sqrt{3})}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{5(-1+\sqrt{3})}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle$$
$$\approx \langle 9.659, -2.588 \rangle.$$

We again make the third component 0 and take the cross product to find the torque:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\tau} &= \vec{\ell} \times \vec{F} \\ &= \left\langle \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}, 0 \right\rangle \times \left\langle \frac{5(1+\sqrt{3})}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{5(-1+\sqrt{3})}{\sqrt{2}}, 0 \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle 0, 0, -10\sqrt{3} \right\rangle \\ &\approx \left\langle 0, 0, -17.321 \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

As one might expect, when the force and lever arm vectors *are* orthogonal, the magnitude of force is greater than when the vectors *are not* orthogonal.

While the cross product has a variety of applications (as noted in this chapter), its fundamental use is finding a vector perpendicular to two others. Knowing a vector is orthogonal to two others is of incredible importance, as it allows us to find the equations of lines and planes in a variety of contexts. The importance of the cross product, in some sense, relies on the importance of lines and planes, which see widespread use throughout engineering, physics and mathematics. We study lines and planes in the next two sections.

Exercises 10.4

Terms and Concepts

- 1. The cross product of two vectors is a _____, not a scalar.
- 2. One can visualize the direction of $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ using the
- 3. Give a synonym for "orthogonal."
- 4. T/F: A fundamental principle of the cross product is that $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ is orthogonal to \vec{u} and \vec{v} .
- 5. _____ is a measure of the turning force applied to an object.
- 6. T/F: If \vec{u} and \vec{v} are parallel, then $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \vec{0}$.

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 16, vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} are given. Compute $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ and show this is orthogonal to both \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

- 7. $\vec{u} = \langle 3, 2, -2 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 0, 1, 5 \rangle$
- 8. $\vec{u} = \langle 5, -4, 3 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 2, -5, 1 \rangle$
- 9. $\vec{u} = \langle 4, -5, -5 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 3, 3, 4 \rangle$
- 10. $\vec{u} = \langle -4, 7, -10 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 4, 4, 1 \rangle$
- 11. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 5, 0, 7 \rangle$
- 12. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 5, -4 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle -2, -10, 8 \rangle$
- 13. $\vec{u} = \langle a, b, 0 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle c, d, 0 \rangle$
- 14. $\vec{u} = \vec{i}, \quad \vec{v} = \vec{j}$
- 15. $\vec{u} = \vec{i}, \quad \vec{v} = \vec{k}$
- 16. $\vec{u} = \vec{j}, \quad \vec{v} = \vec{k}$
- 17. Pick any vectors \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} in \mathbb{R}^3 and show that $\vec{u} \times (\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = \vec{u} \times \vec{v} + \vec{u} \times \vec{w}$.
- 18. Pick any vectors \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} in \mathbb{R}^3 and show that $\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} \times \vec{w}) = (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{w}$.

In Exercises 19 – 22, the magnitudes of vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^3 are given, along with the angle θ between them. Use this information to find the magnitude of $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$.

- 19. $||\vec{u}|| = 2$, $||\vec{v}|| = 5$, $\theta = 30^{\circ}$
- 20. $||\vec{u}|| = 3$, $||\vec{v}|| = 7$, $\theta = \pi/2$

21. $||\vec{u}|| = 3$, $||\vec{v}|| = 4$, $\theta = \pi$

22. $||\vec{u}|| = 2$, $||\vec{v}|| = 5$, $\theta = 5\pi/6$

In Exercises 23 – 26, find the area of the parallelogram defined by the given vectors.

23.
$$\vec{u} = \langle 1, 1, 2 \rangle$$
, $\vec{v} = \langle 2, 0, 3 \rangle$

24.
$$\vec{u} = \langle -2, 1, 5 \rangle$$
, $\vec{v} = \langle -1, 3, 1 \rangle$

25.
$$\vec{u} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$$
, $\vec{v} = \langle 2, 1 \rangle$

26. $\vec{u} = \langle 2, 0 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 0, 3 \rangle$

In Exercises 27 – 30, find the area of the triangle with the given vertices.

- 27. Vertices: (0, 0, 0), (1, 3, -1) and (2, 1, 1).
- 28. Vertices: (5, 2, -1), (3, 6, 2) and (1, 0, 4).
- 29. Vertices: (1, 1), (1, 3) and (2, 2).
- 30. Vertices: (3, 1), (1, 2) and (4, 3).

In Exercises 31 – 32, find the area of the quadrilateral with the given vertices. (Hint: break the quadrilateral into 2 triangles.)

- 31. Vertices: (0,0), (1,2), (3,0) and (4,3).
- 32. Vertices: (0, 0, 0), (2, 1, 1), (-1, 2, -8) and (1, -1, 5).

In Exercises 33 – 34, find the volume of the parallelepiped defined by the given vectors.

33.
$$\vec{u} = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$$
, $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$, $\vec{w} = \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle$

34. $\vec{u} = \langle -1, 2, 1 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 2, 2, 1 \rangle$, $\vec{w} = \langle 3, 1, 3 \rangle$

In Exercises 35 – 38, find a unit vector orthogonal to both \vec{u} and $\vec{v}.$

35. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 2, 0, 1 \rangle$ 36. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, -2, 1 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 3, 2, 1 \rangle$ 37. $\vec{u} = \langle 5, 0, 2 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle -3, 0, 7 \rangle$ 38. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, -2, 1 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle -2, 4, -2 \rangle$

39. A bicycle rider applies 150lb of force, straight down, onto a pedal that extends 7in horizontally from the crankshaft. Find the magnitude of the torque applied to the crankshaft.

- 40. A bicycle rider applies 150lb of force, straight down, onto a pedal that extends 7in from the crankshaft, making a 30° angle with the horizontal. Find the magnitude of the torque applied to the crankshaft.
- 41. To turn a stubborn bolt, 80lb of force is applied to a 10in wrench. What is the maximum amount of torque that can be applied to the bolt?
- 42. To turn a stubborn bolt, 80lb of force is applied to a 10in

wrench in a confined space, where the direction of applied force makes a 10° angle with the wrench. How much torque is subsequently applied to the wrench?

- 43. Show, using the definition of the Cross Product, that $\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) = 0$; that is, that \vec{u} is orthogonal to the cross product of \vec{u} and \vec{v} .
- 44. Show, using the definition of the Cross Product, that $\vec{u} \times \vec{u} = \vec{0}$.




Figure 10.5.2: Defining a line in space.

10.5 Lines

To find the equation of a line in the *x*-*y* plane, we need two pieces of information: a point and the slope. The slope conveys *direction* information. As vertical lines have an undefined slope, the following statement is more accurate:

To define a line, one needs a point on the line and the direction of the line.

This holds true for lines in space.

Let *P* be a point in space, let \vec{p} be the vector with initial point at the origin and terminal point at *P* (i.e., \vec{p} "points" to *P*), and let \vec{d} be a vector. Consider the points on the line through *P* in the direction of \vec{d} .

Clearly one point on the line is *P*; we can say that the vector \vec{p} lies at this point on the line. To find another point on the line, we can start at \vec{p} and move in a direction parallel to \vec{d} . For instance, starting at \vec{p} and traveling one length of \vec{d} places one at another point on the line. Consider Figure 10.5.2 where certain points along the line are indicated.

The figure illustrates how every point on the line can be obtained by starting with \vec{p} and moving a certain distance in the direction of \vec{d} . That is, we can define the line as a function of t:

$$\vec{\ell}(t) = \vec{p} + t \, \vec{d}. \tag{10.7}$$

In many ways, this is *not* a new concept. Compare Equation (10.7) to the familiar "y = mx + b" equation of a line:




Figure 10.5.1: Understanding the vector equation of a line.

The equations exhibit the same structure: they give a starting point, define a direction, and state how far in that direction to travel.

Equation (10.7) is an example of a **vector–valued function**; the input of the function is a real number and the output is a vector. We will cover vector–valued functions extensively in the next chapter.

There are other ways to represent a line. Let $\vec{p} = \langle x_0, y_0, z_0 \rangle$ and let $\vec{d} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$. Then the equation of the line through \vec{p} in the direction of \vec{d} is:

$$\vec{\ell}(t) = \vec{\rho} + t\vec{d}$$
$$= \langle x_0, y_0, z_0 \rangle + t \langle a, b, c \rangle$$
$$= \langle x_0 + at, y_0 + bt, z_0 + ct \rangle$$

The last line states that the *x* values of the line are given by $x = x_0 + at$, the *y* values are given by $y = y_0 + bt$, and the *z* values are given by $z = z_0 + ct$. These three equations, taken together, are the **parametric equations of the line** through \vec{p} in the direction of \vec{d} .

Finally, each of the equations for *x*, *y* and *z* above contain the variable *t*. We can solve for *t* in each equation:

$$\begin{array}{ll} x=x_0+at & \Rightarrow & t=\frac{x-x_0}{a}, \\ y=y_0+bt & \Rightarrow & t=\frac{y-y_0}{b}, \\ z=z_0+ct & \Rightarrow & t=\frac{z-z_0}{c}, \end{array}$$

assuming $a, b, c \neq 0$. Since t is equal to each expression on the right, we can set these equal to each other, forming the **symmetric equations of the line** through \vec{p} in the direction of \vec{d} :

$$\frac{x-x_0}{a}=\frac{y-y_0}{b}=\frac{z-z_0}{c}.$$

Each representation has its own advantages, depending on the context. We summarize these three forms in the following definition, then give examples of their use.

Definition 10.5.1 Equations of Lines in Space

Consider the line in space that passes through $\vec{p} = \langle x_0, y_0, z_0 \rangle$ in the direction of $\vec{d} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$.

1. The vector equation of the line is

$$\vec{l}(t) = \vec{p} + t\vec{d}.$$

2. The parametric equations of the line are

$$x = x_0 + at$$
, $y = y_0 + bt$, $z = z_0 + ct$.

3. The symmetric equations of the line are

 $\frac{x-x_0}{a}=\frac{y-y_0}{b}=\frac{z-z_0}{c}.$

Example 10.5.1 Finding the equation of a line

Give all three equations, as given in Definition 10.5.1, of the line through P = (2, 3, 1) in the direction of $\vec{d} = \langle -1, 1, 2 \rangle$. Does the point Q = (-1, 6, 6) lie on this line?

SOLUTION We identify the point P = (2,3,1) with the vector $\vec{p} = \langle 2,3,1 \rangle$. Following the definition, we have

- the vector equation of the line is $\vec{\ell}(t) = \langle 2, 3, 1 \rangle + t \langle -1, 1, 2 \rangle$;
- the parametric equations of the line are

$$x = 2 - t$$
, $y = 3 + t$, $z = 1 + 2t$; and

• the symmetric equations of the line are

$$\frac{x-2}{-1} = \frac{y-3}{1} = \frac{z-1}{2}.$$

The first two equations of the line are useful when a t value is given: one can immediately find the corresponding point on the line. These forms are good when calculating with a computer; most software programs easily handle equations in these formats. (For instance, the graphics program that made Figure 10.5.3 can be given the input "(2-t, 3+t, 1+2*t)" for $-1 \le t \le 3$.).

Does the point Q = (-1, 6, 6) lie on the line? The graph in Figure 10.5.3 makes it clear that it does not. We can answer this question without the graph




Figure 10.5.3: Graphing a line in Example 10.5.1.

using any of the three equation forms. Of the three, the symmetric equations are probably best suited for this task. Simply plug in the values of x, y and z and see if equality is maintained:

$$\frac{-1-2}{-1} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{6-3}{1} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{6-1}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 3 = 3 \neq 2.5.$$

We see that *Q* does not lie on the line as it did not satisfy the symmetric equations.

Example 10.5.2 Finding the equation of a line through two points Find the parametric equations of the line through the points P = (2, -1, 2) and Q = (1, 3, -1).

SOLUTION Recall the statement made at the beginning of this section: to find the equation of a line, we need a point and a direction. We have *two* points; either one will suffice. The direction of the line can be found by the vector with initial point *P* and terminal point *Q*: $\overrightarrow{PQ} = \langle -1, 4, -3 \rangle$.

The parametric equations of the line ℓ through *P* in the direction of \overrightarrow{PQ} are:

$$\ell: x = 2 - t y = -1 + 4t z = 2 - 3t.$$

A graph of the points and line are given in Figure 10.5.4. Note how in the given parametrization of the line, t = 0 corresponds to the point *P*, and t = 1 corresponds to the point *Q*. This relates to the understanding of the vector equation of a line described in Figure 10.5.1. The parametric equations "start" at the point *P*, and *t* determines how far in the direction of \overrightarrow{PQ} to travel. When t = 0, we travel 0 lengths of \overrightarrow{PQ} ; when t = 1, we travel one length of \overrightarrow{PQ} , resulting in the point *Q*.

Parallel, Intersecting and Skew Lines

In the plane, two *distinct* lines can either be parallel or they will intersect at exactly one point. In space, given equations of two lines, it can sometimes be difficult to tell whether the lines are distinct or not (i.e., the same line can be represented in different ways). Given lines $\vec{\ell_1}(t) = \vec{p_1} + t\vec{d_1}$ and $\vec{\ell_2}(t) = \vec{p_2} + t\vec{d_2}$, we have four possibilities: $\vec{\ell_1}$ and $\vec{\ell_2}$ are

the same line	they share all points;
intersecting lines	share only 1 point;
parallel lines	$ec{d}_1 \parallel ec{d}_2$, no points in common; or
skew lines	$ec{d}_1 mid ec{d}_2$, no points in common.




Figure 10.5.4: A graph of the line in Example 10.5.2.

Figure 10.5.5: Sketching the lines from Example 10.5.3.

The next two examples investigate these possibilities.

Example 10.5.3 Comparing lines

Consider lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , given in parametric equation form:

	x	=	1 + 3t		x	=	-2 + 4s
ℓ_1 :	у	=	2 – <i>t</i>	ℓ_2 :	у	=	3 + <i>s</i>
	Ζ	=	t		Ζ	=	5 + 2 <i>s</i> .

Determine whether ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are the same line, intersect, are parallel, or skew.

SOLUTION We start by looking at the directions of each line. Line ℓ_1 has the direction given by $\vec{d}_1 = \langle 3, -1, 1 \rangle$ and line ℓ_2 has the direction given by $\vec{d}_2 = \langle 4, 1, 2 \rangle$. It should be clear that \vec{d}_1 and \vec{d}_2 are not parallel, hence ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are not the same line, nor are they parallel. Figure 10.5.5 verifies this fact (where the points and directions indicated by the equations of each line are identified).

We next check to see if they intersect (if they do not, they are skew lines). To find if they intersect, we look for t and s values such that the respective x, y and z values are the same. That is, we want s and t such that:

This is a relatively simple system of linear equations. Since the last equation is already solved for *t*, substitute that value of *t* into the equation above it:

$$2 - (5 + 2s) = 3 + s \quad \Rightarrow \quad s = -2, \ t = 1.$$

A key to remember is that we have *three* equations; we need to check if s = -2, t = 1 satisfies the first equation as well:

$$1 + 3(1) \neq -2 + 4(-2).$$

It does not. Therefore, we conclude that the lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are skew.

Example 10.5.4 Comparing lines

Consider lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , given in parametric equation form:

х	(=	-0.7 + 1.6 <i>t</i>		x	=	2.8 – 2.9 <i>s</i>
$\ell_1: y$	/	=	4.2 + 2.72 <i>t</i>	ℓ_2 :	у	=	10.15 – 4.93 <i>s</i>
Z	2	=	2.3 – 3.36 <i>t</i>		Ζ	=	-5.05 + 6.09s.

Determine whether ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are the same line, intersect, are parallel, or skew.

SOLUTION It is obviously very difficult to simply look at these equations and discern anything. This is done intentionally. In the "real world," most equations that are used do not have nice, integer coefficients. Rather, there are lots of digits after the decimal and the equations can look "messy."

We again start by deciding whether or not each line has the same direction. The direction of ℓ_1 is given by $\vec{d}_1 = \langle 1.6, 2.72, -3.36 \rangle$ and the direction of ℓ_2 is given by $\vec{d}_2 = \langle -2.9, -4.93, 6.09 \rangle$. When it is not clear through observation whether two vectors are parallel or not, the standard way of determining this is by comparing their respective unit vectors. Using a calculator, we find:

$$\vec{u}_{1} = \frac{\vec{d}_{1}}{||\vec{d}_{1}||} = \langle 0.3471, 0.5901, -0.7289 \rangle$$

$$\vec{u}_{2} = \frac{\vec{d}_{2}}{||\vec{d}_{2}||} = \langle -0.3471, -0.5901, 0.7289 \rangle$$

The two vectors seem to be parallel (at least, their components are equal to 4 decimal places). In most situations, it would suffice to conclude that the lines are at least parallel, if not the same. One way to be sure is to rewrite $\vec{d_1}$ and $\vec{d_2}$ in terms of fractions, not decimals. We have

$$\vec{d}_1 = \left\langle \frac{16}{10}, \frac{272}{100}, -\frac{336}{100} \right\rangle \qquad \vec{d}_2 = \left\langle -\frac{29}{10}, -\frac{493}{100}, \frac{609}{100} \right\rangle$$

One can then find the magnitudes of each vector in terms of fractions, then compute the unit vectors likewise. After a lot of manual arithmetic (or after briefly using a computer algebra system), one finds that

$$\vec{u}_1 = \left\langle \sqrt{\frac{10}{83}}, \frac{17}{\sqrt{830}}, -\frac{21}{\sqrt{830}} \right\rangle \qquad \vec{u}_2 = \left\langle -\sqrt{\frac{10}{83}}, -\frac{17}{\sqrt{830}}, \frac{21}{\sqrt{830}} \right\rangle.$$

We can now say without equivocation that these lines are parallel.

Are they the same line? The parametric equations for a line describe one point that lies on the line, so we know that the point $P_1 = (-0.7, 4.2, 2.3)$ lies on ℓ_1 . To determine if this point also lies on ℓ_2 , plug in the *x*, *y* and *z* values of P_1 into the symmetric equations for ℓ_2 :

$$\frac{(-0.7) - 2.8}{-2.9} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(4.2) - 10.15}{-4.93} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(2.3) - (-5.05)}{6.09} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1.2069 = 1.2069 = 1.2069.$$

The point P_1 lies on both lines, so we conclude they are the same line, just parametrized differently. Figure 10.5.6 graphs this line along with the points and vectors described by the parametric equations. Note how \vec{d}_1 and \vec{d}_2 are parallel, though point in opposite directions (as indicated by their unit vectors above).





Figure 10.5.6: Graphing the lines in Example 10.5.4.

\vec{PQ}






Figure 10.5.8: Establishing the distance between lines.

Distances

Given a point Q and a line $\vec{\ell}(t) = \vec{p} + t\vec{d}$ in space, it is often useful to know the distance from the point to the line. (Here we use the standard definition of "distance," i.e., the length of the shortest line segment from the point to the line.) Identifying \vec{p} with the point P, Figure 10.5.7 will help establish a general method of computing this distance h.

From trigonometry, we know $h = || \overrightarrow{PQ} || \sin \theta$. We have a similar identity involving the cross product: $|| \overrightarrow{PQ} \times \vec{d} || = || \overrightarrow{PQ} || || \vec{d} || \sin \theta$. Divide both sides of this latter equation by $|| \vec{d} ||$ to obtain h:


$$h = \frac{||\vec{PQ} \times \vec{d}||}{||\vec{d}||}.$$
(10.8)

It is also useful to determine the distance between lines, which we define as the length of the shortest line segment that connects the two lines (an argument from geometry shows that this line segments is perpendicular to both lines). Let lines $\vec{l_1}(t) = \vec{p_1} + t\vec{d_1}$ and $\vec{l_2}(t) = \vec{p_2} + t\vec{d_2}$ be given, as shown in Figure 10.5.8. To find the direction orthogonal to both $\vec{d_1}$ and $\vec{d_2}$, we take the cross product: $\vec{c} = \vec{d_1} \times \vec{d_2}$. The magnitude of the orthogonal projection of $\vec{P_1P_2}$ onto \vec{c} is the distance h we seek:

$$h = \left| \left| \operatorname{proj}_{\vec{c}} \overline{P_1 P_2} \right| \right|$$
$$= \left| \left| \frac{\overline{P_1 P_2} \cdot \vec{c}}{\vec{c} \cdot \vec{c}} \vec{c} \right| \right|$$
$$= \frac{\left| \overline{P_1 P_2} \cdot \vec{c} \right|}{\left| \left| \vec{c} \right| \right|^2} \left| \left| \vec{c} \right| \right|$$
$$= \frac{\left| \overline{P_1 P_2} \cdot \vec{c} \right|}{\left| \left| \vec{c} \right| \right|}.$$

A problem in the Exercise section is to show that this distance is 0 when the lines intersect. Note the use of the Triple Scalar Product: $\overrightarrow{P_1P_2} \cdot \vec{c} = \overrightarrow{P_1P_2} \cdot (\vec{d}_1 \times \vec{d}_2)$.

The following Key Idea restates these two distance formulas.



2. Let P_1 be a point on line ℓ_1 that is parallel to \vec{d}_1 , and let P_2 be a point on line ℓ_2 parallel to \vec{d}_2 , and let $\vec{c} = \vec{d}_1 \times \vec{d}_2$, where lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are not parallel. The distance *h* between the two lines is:

h =	$ \overrightarrow{P_1P_2} \cdot \overrightarrow{c} $				
<i>II</i> –	$ \vec{c} $.				

Example 10.5.5 Finding the distance from a point to a line

Find the distance from the point Q = (1, 1, 3) to the line $\vec{\ell}(t) = \langle 1, -1, 1 \rangle + t \langle 2, 3, 1 \rangle$.

SOLUTION The equation of the line gives us the point P = (1, -1, 1) that lies on the line, hence $\overrightarrow{PQ} = \langle 0, 2, 2 \rangle$. The equation also gives $\overrightarrow{d} = \langle 2, 3, 1 \rangle$. Following Key Idea 10.5.1, we have the distance as

$$h = \frac{|| \overrightarrow{PQ} \times \overrightarrow{d} ||}{|| \overrightarrow{d} ||}$$
$$= \frac{|| \langle -4, 4, -4 \rangle |}{\sqrt{14}}$$
$$= \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{14}} \approx 1.852.$$

The point *Q* is approximately 1.852 units from the line $\vec{\ell}(t)$.

Example 10.5.6 Finding the distance between lines

Find the distance between the lines

SOLUTION These are the sames lines as given in Example 10.5.3, where

we showed them to be skew. The equations allow us to identify the following points and vectors:

$$\begin{split} P_1 &= (1,2,0) \quad P_2 = (-2,3,5) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \overrightarrow{P_1P_2} = \langle -3,1,5 \rangle \, . \\ \vec{d}_1 &= \langle 3,-1,1 \rangle \quad \vec{d}_2 = \langle 4,1,2 \rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \vec{c} = \vec{d}_1 \times \vec{d}_2 = \langle -3,-2,7 \rangle \end{split}$$

From Key Idea 10.5.1 we have the distance *h* between the two lines is

$$h = \frac{|\overline{P_1 P_2} \cdot \vec{c}|}{||\vec{c}||}$$
$$= \frac{42}{\sqrt{62}} \approx 5.334.$$

The lines are approximately 5.334 units apart.

One of the key points to understand from this section is this: to describe a line, we need a point and a direction. Whenever a problem is posed concerning a line, one needs to take whatever information is offered and glean point and direction information. Many questions can be asked (and *are* asked in the Exercise section) whose answer immediately follows from this understanding.

Lines are one of two fundamental objects of study in space. The other fundamental object is the *plane*, which we study in detail in the next section. Many complex three dimensional objects are studied by approximating their surfaces with lines and planes.

Exercises 10.5

Terms and Concepts

- To find an equation of a line, what two pieces of information are needed?
- 2. Two distinct lines in the plane can intersect or be
- 3. Two distinct lines in space can intersect, be _____ or be
- Use your own words to describe what it means for two lines in space to be skew.

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 14, write the vector, parametric and symmetric equations of the lines described.

- 5. Passes through P = (2, -4, 1), parallel to $\vec{d} = \langle 9, 2, 5 \rangle$.
- 6. Passes through P = (6, 1, 7), parallel to $\vec{d} = \langle -3, 2, 5 \rangle$.
- 7. Passes through P = (2, 1, 5) and Q = (7, -2, 4).
- 8. Passes through P = (1, -2, 3) and Q = (5, 5, 5).
- 9. Passes through P = (0, 1, 2) and orthogonal to both $\vec{d}_1 = \langle 2, -1, 7 \rangle$ and $\vec{d}_2 = \langle 7, 1, 3 \rangle$.
- 10. Passes through P = (5, 1, 9) and orthogonal to both $\vec{d}_1 = \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{d}_2 = \langle 2, 0, 3 \rangle$.
- 11. Passes through the point of intersection of $\vec{\ell_1}(t)$ and $\vec{\ell_2}(t)$ and orthogonal to both lines, where $\vec{\ell_1}(t) = \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle + t \langle 5, 1, -2 \rangle$ and $\vec{\ell_2}(t) = \langle -2, -1, 2 \rangle + t \langle 3, 1, -1 \rangle$.
- 12. Passes through the point of intersection of $\ell_1(t)$ and $\ell_2(t)$ and orthogonal to both lines, where

$$\ell_1 = \begin{cases} x = t \\ y = -2 + 2t \\ z = 1 + t \end{cases} \text{ and } \ell_2 = \begin{cases} x = 2 + t \\ y = 2 - t \\ z = 3 + 2t \end{cases}$$

- 13. Passes through P = (1, 1), parallel to $\vec{d} = \langle 2, 3 \rangle$.
- 14. Passes through P = (-2, 5), parallel to $\vec{d} = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$.

In Exercises 15 - 22, determine if the described lines are the same line, parallel lines, intersecting or skew lines. If intersecting, give the point of intersection.

15. $\vec{\ell}_1(t) = \langle 1, 2, 1 \rangle + t \langle 2, -1, 1 \rangle,$ $\vec{\ell}_2(t) = \langle 3, 3, 3 \rangle + t \langle -4, 2, -2 \rangle.$

- 16. $\vec{l}_1(t) = \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle + t \langle 5, 1, 3 \rangle,$ $\vec{l}_2(t) = \langle 14, 5, 9 \rangle + t \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle.$
- 17. $\vec{\ell}_1(t) = \langle 3, 4, 1 \rangle + t \langle 2, -3, 4 \rangle$, $\vec{\ell}_2(t) = \langle -3, 3, -3 \rangle + t \langle 3, -2, 4 \rangle$.

18.
$$\vec{\ell}_1(t) = \langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \rangle + t \langle \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3} \rangle,$$

 $\vec{\ell}_2(t) = \langle \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{7} \rangle + t \langle \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{6} \rangle.$

19.
$$\ell_1 = \begin{cases} x = 1 + 2t \\ y = 3 - 2t \\ z = t \end{cases}$$
 and $\ell_2 = \begin{cases} x = 3 - t \\ y = 3 + 5t \\ z = 2 + 7t \end{cases}$

20.
$$\ell_1 = \begin{cases} x = 1.1 + 0.6t \\ y = 3.77 + 0.9t \\ z = -2.3 + 1.5t \end{cases}$$
 and $\ell_2 = \begin{cases} x = 3.11 + 3.4t \\ y = 2 + 5.1t \\ z = 2.5 + 8.5t \end{cases}$

21.
$$\ell_1 = \begin{cases} x = 0.2 + 0.6t \\ y = 1.33 - 0.45t \text{ and } \ell_2 = \\ z = -4.2 + 1.05t \end{cases} \begin{cases} x = 0.86 + 9.2t \\ y = 0.835 - 6.9t \\ z = -3.045 + 16.1t \end{cases}$$

22.
$$\ell_1 = \begin{cases} x = 0.1 + 1.1t \\ y = 2.9 - 1.5t \\ z = 3.2 + 1.6t \end{cases}$$
 and $\ell_2 = \begin{cases} x = 4 - 2.1t \\ y = 1.8 + 7.2t \\ z = 3.1 + 1.1t \end{cases}$

In Exercises 23 – 26, find the distance from the point to the line.

23. $Q = (1, 1, 1), \quad \vec{\ell}(t) = \langle 2, 1, 3 \rangle + t \langle 2, 1, -2 \rangle$ 24. $Q = (2, 5, 6), \quad \vec{\ell}(t) = \langle -1, 1, 1 \rangle + t \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle$ 25. $Q = (0, 3), \quad \vec{\ell}(t) = \langle 2, 0 \rangle + t \langle 1, 1 \rangle$ 26. $Q = (1, 1), \quad \vec{\ell}(t) = \langle 4, 5 \rangle + t \langle -4, 3 \rangle$

In Exercises 27 – 28, find the distance between the two lines.

- 27. $\vec{l_1}(t) = \langle 1, 2, 1 \rangle + t \langle 2, -1, 1 \rangle, \ \vec{l_2}(t) = \langle 3, 3, 3 \rangle + t \langle 4, 2, -2 \rangle.$
- 28. $\vec{\ell}_1(t) = \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle + t \langle 1, 0, 0 \rangle,$ $\vec{\ell}_2(t) = \langle 0, 0, 3 \rangle + t \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle.$

Exercises 29 – 31 explore special cases of the distance formulas found in Key Idea 10.5.1.

- 29. Let *Q* be a point on the line $\vec{\ell}(t)$. Show why the distance formula correctly gives the distance from the point to the line as 0.
- 30. Let lines $\vec{l_1}(t)$ and $\vec{l_2}(t)$ be intersecting lines. Show why the distance formula correctly gives the distance between these lines as 0.

- 31. Let lines $\vec{\ell_1}(t)$ and $\vec{\ell_2}(t)$ be parallel.
 - (a) Show why the distance formula for distance between lines cannot be used as stated to find the distance between the lines.
- (b) Show why letting $\vec{c} = (\vec{P_1P_2} \times \vec{d}_2) \times \vec{d}_2$ allows one to use the formula.
- (c) Show how one can use the formula for the distance between a point and a line to find the distance between parallel lines.

10.6 Planes

Any flat surface, such as a wall, table top or stiff piece of cardboard can be thought of as representing part of a plane. Consider a piece of cardboard with a point *P* marked on it. One can take a nail and stick it into the cardboard at *P* such that the nail is perpendicular to the cardboard; see Figure 10.6.1.

This nail provides a "handle" for the cardboard. Moving the cardboard around moves P to different locations in space. Tilting the nail (but keeping P fixed) tilts the cardboard. Both moving and tilting the cardboard defines a different plane in space. In fact, we can define a plane by: 1) the location of P in space, and 2) the direction of the nail.

The previous section showed that one can define a line given a point on the line and the direction of the line (usually given by a vector). One can make a similar statement about planes: we can define a plane in space given a point on the plane and the direction the plane "faces" (using the description above, the direction of the nail). Once again, the direction information will be supplied by a vector, called a **normal vector**, that is orthogonal to the plane.

What exactly does "orthogonal to the plane" mean? Choose any two points *P* and *Q* in the plane, and consider the vector \overrightarrow{PQ} . We say a vector \vec{n} is orthogonal to the plane if \vec{n} is perpendicular to \overrightarrow{PQ} for all choices of *P* and *Q*; that is, if $\vec{n} \cdot \overrightarrow{PQ} = 0$ for all *P* and *Q*.

This gives us way of writing an equation describing the plane. Let $P = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$ be a point in the plane and let $\vec{n} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ be a normal vector to the plane. A point Q = (x, y, z) lies in the plane defined by P and \vec{n} if, and only if, \overrightarrow{PQ} is orthogonal to \vec{n} . Knowing $\overrightarrow{PQ} = \langle x - x_0, y - y_0, z - z_0 \rangle$, consider:

$$\overrightarrow{PQ} \cdot \overrightarrow{n} = 0$$

$$\langle x - x_0, y - y_0, z - z_0 \rangle \cdot \langle a, b, c \rangle = 0$$

$$a(x - x_0) + b(y - y_0) + c(z - z_0) = 0$$
(10.9)

Equation (10.9) defines an *implicit* function describing the plane. More algebra produces:

$$ax + by + cz = ax_0 + by_0 + cz_0.$$

The right hand side is just a number, so we replace it with d:

$$ax + by + cz = d. \tag{10.10}$$

As long as $c \neq 0$, we can solve for *z*:

$$z = \frac{1}{c}(d - ax - by).$$
 (10.11)




Figure 10.6.1: Illustrating defining a plane with a sheet of cardboard and a nail.

Equation (10.11) is especially useful as many computer programs can graph functions in this form. Equations (10.9) and (10.10) have specific names, given next.

Definition 10.6.1 Equations of a Plane in Standard and General Forms

The plane passing through the point $P = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$ with normal vector $\vec{n} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ can be described by an equation with **standard form**

$$a(x - x_0) + b(y - y_0) + c(z - z_0) = 0;$$

the equation's general form is

$$ax + by + cz = d$$
.

A key to remember throughout this section is this: to find the equation of a plane, we need a point and a normal vector. We will give several examples of finding the equation of a plane, and in each one different types of information are given. In each case, we need to use the given information to find a point on the plane and a normal vector.

Example 10.6.1 Finding the equation of a plane.

Write the equation of the plane that passes through the points P = (1, 1, 0), Q = (1, 2, -1) and R = (0, 1, 2) in standard form.

SOLUTION We need a vector \vec{n} that is orthogonal to the plane. Since *P*, *Q* and *R* are in the plane, so are the vectors \vec{PQ} and \vec{PR} ; $\vec{PQ} \times \vec{PR}$ is orthogonal to \vec{PQ} and \vec{PR} and hence the plane itself.

It is straightforward to compute $\vec{n} = \vec{PQ} \times \vec{PR} = \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle$. We can use any point we wish in the plane (any of *P*, *Q* or *R* will do) and we arbitrarily choose *P*. Following Definition 10.6.1, the equation of the plane in standard form is

$$2(x-1) + (y-1) + z = 0.$$

The plane is sketched in Figure 10.6.2.

We have just demonstrated the fact that any three non-collinear points define a plane. (This is why a three-legged stool does not "rock;" it's three feet always lie in a plane. A four-legged stool will rock unless all four feet lie in the same plane.)

Example 10.6.2 Finding the equation of a plane.

Verify that lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , whose parametric equations are given below, inter-

Notes:




Figure 10.6.2: Sketching the plane in Example 10.6.1.

sect, then give the equation of the plane that contains these two lines in general form.

	х	=	-5 + 2 <i>s</i>		Х	=	2 + 3t
$\ell_{1}:$	у	=	1 + s	ℓ_2 :	у	=	1 - 2t
	Ζ	=	-4 + 2s		Ζ	=	1+t

SOLUTION The lines clearly are not parallel. If they do not intersect, they are skew, meaning there is not a plane that contains them both. If they do intersect, there is such a plane.

To find their point of intersection, we set the *x*, *y* and *z* equations equal to each other and solve for *s* and *t*:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} -5+2s &=& 2+3t \\ 1+s &=& 1-2t \\ -4+2s &=& 1+t \end{array} \Rightarrow \quad s=2, \quad t=-1.$$

When s = 2 and t = -1, the lines intersect at the point P = (-1, 3, 0).

Let $\vec{d}_1 = \langle 2, 1, 2 \rangle$ and $\vec{d}_2 = \langle 3, -2, 1 \rangle$ be the directions of lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , respectively. A normal vector to the plane containing these the two lines will also be orthogonal to \vec{d}_1 and \vec{d}_2 . Thus we find a normal vector \vec{n} by computing $\vec{n} = \vec{d}_1 \times \vec{d}_2 = \langle 5, 4 - 7 \rangle$.

We can pick any point in the plane with which to write our equation; each line gives us infinite choices of points. We choose P, the point of intersection. We follow Definition 10.6.1 to write the plane's equation in general form:

$$5(x + 1) + 4(y - 3) - 7z = 0$$

$$5x + 5 + 4y - 12 - 7z = 0$$

$$5x + 4y - 7z = 7.$$

The plane's equation in general form is 5x + 4y - 7z = 7; it is sketched in Figure 10.6.3.

Example 10.6.3 Finding the equation of a plane

Give the equation, in standard form, of the plane that passes through the point P = (-1, 0, 1) and is orthogonal to the line with vector equation $\vec{\ell}(t) = \langle -1, 0, 1 \rangle + t \langle 1, 2, 2 \rangle$.

SOLUTION As the plane is to be orthogonal to the line, the plane must be orthogonal to the direction of the line given by $\vec{d} = \langle 1, 2, 2 \rangle$. We use this as our normal vector. Thus the plane's equation, in standard form, is

$$(x+1) + 2y + 2(z-1) = 0.$$

The line and plane are sketched in Figure 10.6.4.




Figure 10.6.3: Sketching the plane in Example 10.6.2.




Figure 10.6.4: The line and plane in Example 10.6.3.




Figure 10.6.5: Graphing the planes and their line of intersection in Example 10.6.4.

Example 10.6.4 Finding the intersection of two planes

Give the parametric equations of the line that is the intersection of the planes p_1 and p_2 , where:

$$p_1: x - (y - 2) + (z - 1) = 0$$
$$p_2: -2(x - 2) + (y + 1) + (z - 3) = 0$$

SOLUTION To find an equation of a line, we need a point on the line and the direction of the line.

We can find a point on the line by solving each equation of the planes for z:

$$p_1: z = -x + y - 1$$

 $p_2: z = 2x - y - 2$

We can now set these two equations equal to each other (i.e., we are finding values of *x* and *y* where the planes have the same *z* value):

$$-x + y - 1 = 2x - y - 2$$
$$2y = 3x - 1$$
$$y = \frac{1}{2}(3x - 1)$$

We can choose any value for x; we choose x = 1. This determines that y = 1. We can now use the equations of either plane to find z: when x = 1 and y = 1, z = -1 on both planes. We have found a point P on the line: P = (1, 1, -1).

We now need the direction of the line. Since the line lies in each plane, its direction is orthogonal to a normal vector for each plane. Considering the equations for p_1 and p_2 , we can quickly determine their normal vectors. For p_1 , $\vec{n}_1 = \langle 1, -1, 1 \rangle$ and for p_2 , $\vec{n}_2 = \langle -2, 1, 1 \rangle$. A direction orthogonal to both of these directions is their cross product: $\vec{d} = \vec{n}_1 \times \vec{n}_2 = \langle -2, -3, -1 \rangle$.

The parametric equations of the line through P = (1, 1, -1) in the direction of $d = \langle -2, -3, -1 \rangle$ is:

 $\ell: x = -2t + 1 y = -3t + 1 z = -t - 1.$

The planes and line are graphed in Figure 10.6.5.

Example 10.6.5 Finding the intersection of a plane and a line

Find the point of intersection, if any, of the line $\ell(t) = \langle 3, -3, -1 \rangle + t \langle -1, 2, 1 \rangle$ and the plane with equation in general form 2x + y + z = 4.

SOLUTION The equation of the plane shows that the vector $\vec{n} = \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle$ is a normal vector to the plane, and the equation of the line shows that the line

moves parallel to $\vec{d} = \langle -1, 2, 1 \rangle$. Since these are not orthogonal, we know there is a point of intersection. (If there were orthogonal, it would mean that the plane and line were parallel to each other, either never intersecting or the line was in the plane itself.)

To find the point of intersection, we need to find a t value such that $\ell(t)$ satisfies the equation of the plane. Rewriting the equation of the line with parametric equations will help:

$$\ell(t) = \begin{cases} x = 3 - t \\ y = -3 + 2t \\ z = -1 + t \end{cases}$$

Replacing *x*, *y* and *z* in the equation of the plane with the expressions containing *t* found in the equation of the line allows us to determine a *t* value that indicates the point of intersection:

$$2x + y + z = 4$$

2(3 - t) + (-3 + 2t) + (-1 + t) = 4
t = 2.

When t = 2, the point on the line satisfies the equation of the plane; that point is $\ell(2) = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$. Thus the point (1, 1, 1) is the point of intersection between the plane and the line, illustrated in Figure 10.6.6.

Distances

Just as it was useful to find distances between points and lines in the previous section, it is also often necessary to find the distance from a point to a plane.

Consider Figure 10.6.7, where a plane with normal vector \vec{n} is sketched containing a point *P* and a point *Q*, not on the plane, is given. We measure the distance from *Q* to the plane by measuring the length of the projection of \vec{PQ} onto \vec{n} . That is, we want:

$$\left|\left|\operatorname{proj}_{\vec{n}} \overrightarrow{PQ}\right|\right| = \left|\left|\frac{\vec{n} \cdot \overrightarrow{PQ}}{||\vec{n}||^2} \vec{n}\right|\right| = \frac{|\vec{n} \cdot \overrightarrow{PQ}|}{||\vec{n}||}$$
(10.12)

Equation (10.12) is important as it does more than just give the distance between a point and a plane. We will see how it allows us to find several other distances as well: the distance between parallel planes and the distance from a line and a plane. Because Equation (10.12) is important, we restate it as a Key Idea.




Figure 10.6.6: Illustrating the intersection of a line and a plane in Example 10.6.5.




Figure 10.6.7: Illustrating finding the distance from a point to a plane.

Key Idea 10.6.1 Distance from a Point to a Plane

h

Let a plane with normal vector \vec{n} be given, and let Q be a point. The distance h from Q to the plane is

$$=rac{|\vec{n}\cdot\vec{PQ}|}{||\vec{n}||},$$

where *P* is any point in the plane.

Example 10.6.6 Distance between a point and a plane

Find the distance between the point Q = (2, 1, 4) and the plane with equation 2x - 5y + 6z = 9.

SOLUTION Using the equation of the plane, we find the normal vector $\vec{n} = \langle 2, -5, 6 \rangle$. To find a point on the plane, we can let *x* and *y* be anything we choose, then let *z* be whatever satisfies the equation. Letting *x* and *y* be 0 seems simple; this makes z = 1.5. Thus we let $P = \langle 0, 0, 1.5 \rangle$, and $\overrightarrow{PQ} = \langle 2, 1, 2.5 \rangle$.

The distance *h* from *Q* to the plane is given by Key Idea 10.6.1:

$$h = \frac{|\vec{n} \cdot \vec{PQ}|}{|| \vec{n} ||}$$
$$= \frac{|\langle 2, -5, 6 \rangle \cdot \langle 2, 1, 2.5 \rangle |}{|| \langle 2, -5, 6 \rangle ||}$$
$$= \frac{|14|}{\sqrt{65}}$$
$$\approx 1.74.$$

We can use Key Idea 10.6.1 to find other distances. Given two parallel planes, we can find the distance between these planes by letting *P* be a point on one plane and *Q* a point on the other. If ℓ is a line parallel to a plane, we can use the Key Idea to find the distance between them as well: again, let *P* be a point in the plane and let *Q* be any point on the line. (One can also use Key Idea 10.5.1.) The Exercise section contains problems of these types.

These past two sections have not explored lines and planes in space as an exercise of mathematical curiosity. However, there are many, many applications of these fundamental concepts. Complex shapes can be modeled (or, *approximated*) using planes. For instance, part of the exterior of an aircraft may have a complex, yet smooth, shape, and engineers will want to know how air flows across this piece as well as how heat might build up due to air friction. Many equations that help determine air flow and heat dissipation are difficult to apply to arbitrary surfaces, but simple to apply to planes. By approximating a surface with millions of small planes one can more readily model the needed behavior.

Exercises 10.6

Terms and Concepts

- 1. In order to find the equation of a plane, what two pieces of information must one have?
- 2. What is the relationship between a plane and one of its normal vectors?

Problems

In Exercises 3 – 6, give any two points in the given plane.

- 3. 2x 4y + 7z = 2
- 4. 3(x+2) + 5(y-9) 4z = 0

5. *x* = 2

6. 4(y+2) - (z-6) = 0

In Exercises 7 – 20, give the equation of the described plane in standard and general forms.

- 7. Passes through (2, 3, 4) and has normal vector $\vec{n} = \langle 3, -1, 7 \rangle$.
- 8. Passes through (1, 3, 5) and has normal vector $\vec{n} = \langle 0, 2, 4 \rangle$.
- 9. Passes through the points (1, 2, 3), (3, -1, 4) and (1, 0, 1).
- 10. Passes through the points (5, 3, 8), (6, 4, 9) and (3, 3, 3).
- 11. Contains the intersecting lines $\vec{\ell}_1(t) = \langle 2, 1, 2 \rangle + t \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$ and $\vec{\ell}_2(t) = \langle 2, 1, 2 \rangle + t \langle 2, 5, 4 \rangle$.
- 12. Contains the intersecting lines $\vec{\ell_1}(t) = \langle 5, 0, 3 \rangle + t \langle -1, 1, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{\ell_2}(t) = \langle 1, 4, 7 \rangle + t \langle 3, 0, -3 \rangle.$
- 13. Contains the parallel lines $\vec{\ell}_1(t) = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle + t \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$ and $\vec{\ell}_2(t) = \langle 1, 1, 2 \rangle + t \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle.$
- 14. Contains the parallel lines $\vec{\ell}_1(t) = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle + t \langle 4, 1, 3 \rangle$ and $\vec{\ell}_2(t) = \langle 4, 4, 4 \rangle + t \langle 4, 1, 3 \rangle.$
- 15. Contains the point (2, -6, 1) and the line $\ell(t) = \begin{cases} x = 2 + 5t \\ y = 2 + 2t \\ z = -1 + 2t \end{cases}$

- 16. Contains the point (5, 7, 3) and the line $\ell(t) = \begin{cases} x = t \\ y = t \\ z = t \end{cases}$
- 17. Contains the point (5, 7, 3) and is orthogonal to the line $\vec{\ell}(t) = \langle 4, 5, 6 \rangle + t \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$.
- 18. Contains the point (4, 1, 1) and is orthogonal to the line $\ell(t) = \begin{cases} x = 4 + 4t \\ y = 1 + 1t \\ z = 1 + 1t \end{cases}$
- 19. Contains the point (-4, 7, 2) and is parallel to the plane 3(x-2) + 8(y+1) 10z = 0.
- 20. Contains the point (1, 2, 3) and is parallel to the plane x = 5.

In Exercises 21 - 22, give the equation of the line that is the intersection of the given planes.

- 21. p1: 3(x-2) + (y-1) + 4z = 0, and p2: 2(x-1) - 2(y+3) + 6(z-1) = 0.
- 22. p1: 5(x-5) + 2(y+2) + 4(z-1) = 0, and p2: 3x - 4(y-1) + 2(z-1) = 0.

In Exercises 23 – 26, find the point of intersection between the line and the plane.

- 23. line: (5, 1, -1) + t (2, 2, 1), plane: 5x - y - z = -3
- 24. line: $\langle 4, 1, 0 \rangle + t \langle 1, 0, -1 \rangle$, plane: 3x + y - 2z = 8
- 25. line: (1, 2, 3) + t (3, 5, -1), plane: 3x - 2y - z = 4
- 26. line: (1, 2, 3) + t (3, 5, -1), plane: 3x - 2y - z = -4

In Exercises 27 – 30, find the given distances.

- 27. The distance from the point (1, 2, 3) to the plane 3(x 1) + (y 2) + 5(z 2) = 0.
- 28. The distance from the point (2, 6, 2) to the plane 2(x 1) y + 4(z + 1) = 0.
- 29. The distance between the parallel planes x + y + z = 0 and (x 2) + (y 3) + (z + 4) = 0

- 30. The distance between the parallel planes $2(x-1)+2(y+1)+(z-2)=0 \text{ and } \\ 2(x-3)+2(y-1)+(z-3)=0$
- 31. Show why if the point Q lies in a plane, then the distance

formula correctly gives the distance from the point to the plane as 0.

32. How is Exercise 30 in Section 10.5 easier to answer once we have an understanding of planes?

11: VECTOR VALUED FUNCTIONS

In the previous chapter, we learned about vectors and were introduced to the power of vectors within mathematics. In this chapter, we'll build on this foundation to define functions whose input is a real number and whose output is a vector. We'll see how to graph these functions and apply calculus techniques to analyze their behavior. Most importantly, we'll see *why* we are interested in doing this: we'll see beautiful applications to the study of moving objects.

11.1 Vector–Valued Functions

We are very familiar with **real valued functions**, that is, functions whose output is a real number. This section introduces **vector–valued functions** – functions whose output is a vector.

Definition 11.1.1 Vector–Valued Functions

A vector-valued function is a function of the form

 $ec{r}(t) = \langle f(t), g(t)
angle$ or $ec{r}(t) = \langle f(t), g(t), h(t)
angle$,

where *f*, *g* and *h* are real valued functions.

The **domain** of \vec{r} is the set of all values of t for which $\vec{r}(t)$ is defined. The **range** of \vec{r} is the set of all possible output vectors $\vec{r}(t)$.

Evaluating and Graphing Vector–Valued Functions

Evaluating a vector-valued function at a specific value of t is straightforward; simply evaluate each component function at that value of t. For instance, if $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2, t^2 + t - 1 \rangle$, then $\vec{r}(-2) = \langle 4, 1 \rangle$. We can sketch this vector, as is done in Figure 11.1.1(a). Plotting lots of vectors is cumbersome, though, so generally we do not sketch the whole vector but just the terminal point. The **graph** of a vector-valued function is the set of all terminal points of $\vec{r}(t)$, where the initial point of each vector is always the origin. In Figure 11.1.1(b) we sketch the graph of \vec{r} ; we can indicate individual points on the graph with their respective vector, as shown.

Vector–valued functions are closely related to parametric equations of graphs. While in both methods we plot points (x(t), y(t)) or (x(t), y(t), z(t)) to produce a graph, in the context of vector–valued functions each such point represents a vector. The implications of this will be more fully realized in the next section as we apply calculus ideas to these functions.




Figure 11.1.1: Sketching the graph of a vector–valued function.




Figure 11.1.2: Sketching the vector-valued function of Example 11.1.1.




Figure 11.1.3: The graph of $\vec{r}(t)$ in Example 11.1.2.

Example 11.1.1 Graphing vector-valued functions

Graph
$$ec{r}(t)=\left\langle t^3-t,rac{1}{t^2+1}
ight
angle$$
, for $-2\leq t\leq$ 2. Sketch $ec{r}(-1)$ and $ec{r}(2)$.

SOLUTION We start by making a table of t, x and y values as shown in Figure 11.1.2(a). Plotting these points gives an indication of what the graph looks like. In Figure 11.1.2(b), we indicate these points and sketch the full graph. We also highlight $\vec{r}(-1)$ and $\vec{r}(2)$ on the graph.

Example 11.1.2 Graphing vector–valued functions.

Graph $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t, t \rangle$ for $0 \le t \le 4\pi$.

SOLUTION We can again plot points, but careful consideration of this function is very revealing. Momentarily ignoring the third component, we see the *x* and *y* components trace out a circle of radius 1 centered at the origin. Noticing that the *z* component is *t*, we see that as the graph winds around the *z*-axis, it is also increasing at a constant rate in the positive *z* direction, forming a spiral. This is graphed in Figure 11.1.3. In the graph $\vec{r}(7\pi/4) \approx (0.707, -0.707, 5.498)$ is highlighted to help us understand the graph.

Algebra of Vector–Valued Functions

Definition 11.1.2 Operations on Vector–Valued Functions

Let $\vec{r}_1(t) = \langle f_1(t), g_1(t) \rangle$ and $\vec{r}_2(t) = \langle f_2(t), g_2(t) \rangle$ be vector-valued functions in \mathbb{R}^2 and let *c* be a scalar. Then:

1.
$$\vec{r}_1(t) \pm \vec{r}_2(t) = \langle f_1(t) \pm f_2(t), g_1(t) \pm g_2(t) \rangle$$
.

2. $c\vec{r}_1(t) = \langle cf_1(t), cg_1(t) \rangle$.

A similar definition holds for vector–valued functions in \mathbb{R}^3 .

This definition states that we add, subtract and scale vector-valued functions component–wise. Combining vector–valued functions in this way can be very useful (as well as create interesting graphs).

Example 11.1.3 Adding and scaling vector–valued functions.

Let $\vec{r_1}(t) = \langle 0.2t, 0.3t \rangle$, $\vec{r_2}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t \rangle$ and $\vec{r}(t) = \vec{r_1}(t) + \vec{r_2}(t)$. Graph $\vec{r_1}(t), \vec{r_2}(t), \vec{r}(t)$ and $5\vec{r}(t)$ on $-10 \le t \le 10$.

SOLUTION We can graph $\vec{r_1}$ and $\vec{r_2}$ easily by plotting points (or just using technology). Let's think about each for a moment to better understand how vector–valued functions work.

We can rewrite $\vec{r}_1(t) = \langle 0.2t, 0.3t \rangle$ as $\vec{r}_1(t) = t \langle 0.2, 0.3 \rangle$. That is, the function \vec{r}_1 scales the vector $\langle 0.2, 0.3 \rangle$ by *t*. This scaling of a vector produces a line in the direction of $\langle 0.2, 0.3 \rangle$.

We are familiar with $\vec{r}_2(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t \rangle$; it traces out a circle, centered at the origin, of radius 1. Figure 11.1.4(a) graphs $\vec{r}_1(t)$ and $\vec{r}_2(t)$.

Adding $\vec{r_1}(t)$ to $\vec{r_2}(t)$ produces $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t + 0.2t, \sin t + 0.3t \rangle$, graphed in Figure 11.1.4(b). The linear movement of the line combines with the circle to create loops that move in the direction of $\langle 0.2, 0.3 \rangle$. (We encourage the reader to experiment by changing $\vec{r_1}(t)$ to $\langle 2t, 3t \rangle$, etc., and observe the effects on the loops.)

Multiplying $\vec{r}(t)$ by 5 scales the function by 5, producing $5\vec{r}(t) = \langle 5 \cos t + 1, 5 \sin t + 1.5 \rangle$, which is graphed in Figure 11.1.4(c) along with $\vec{r}(t)$. The new function is "5 times bigger" than $\vec{r}(t)$. Note how the graph of $5\vec{r}(t)$ in (c) looks identical to the graph of $\vec{r}(t)$ in (b). This is due to the fact that the x and y bounds of the plot in (c) are exactly 5 times larger than the bounds in (b).

Example 11.1.4 Adding and scaling vector-valued functions.

A **cycloid** is a graph traced by a point p on a rolling circle, as shown in Figure 11.1.5. Find an equation describing the cycloid, where the circle has radius 1.



Figure 11.1.5: Tracing a cycloid.

SOLUTION This problem is not very difficult if we approach it in a clever way. We start by letting $\vec{p}(t)$ describe the position of the point p on the circle, where the circle is centered at the origin and only rotates clockwise (i.e., it does not roll). This is relatively simple given our previous experiences with parametric equations; $\vec{p}(t) = \langle \cos t, -\sin t \rangle$.

We now want the circle to roll. We represent this by letting $\vec{c}(t)$ represent the location of the center of the circle. It should be clear that the *y* component of $\vec{c}(t)$ should be 1; the center of the circle is always going to be 1 if it rolls on a horizontal surface.

The *x* component of $\vec{c}(t)$ is a linear function of *t*: f(t) = mt for some scalar *m*. When t = 0, f(t) = 0 (the circle starts centered on the *y*-axis). When $t = 2\pi$, the circle has made one complete revolution, traveling a distance equal to its




Figure 11.1.4: Graphing the functions in Example 11.1.3.




Figure 11.1.6: The cycloid in Example 11.1.4.




Figure 11.1.7: Graphing the displacement of a position function in Example 11.1.5.

circumference, which is also 2π . This gives us a point on our line f(t) = mt, the point $(2\pi, 2\pi)$. It should be clear that m = 1 and f(t) = t. So $\vec{c}(t) = \langle t, 1 \rangle$. We now combine \vec{p} and \vec{c} together to form the equation of the cycloid: $\vec{r}(t) = \vec{p}(t) + \vec{c}(t) = \langle \cos t + t, -\sin t + 1 \rangle$, which is graphed in Figure 11.1.6.

Displacement

A vector-valued function $\vec{r}(t)$ is often used to describe the position of a moving object at time t. At $t = t_0$, the object is at $\vec{r}(t_0)$; at $t = t_1$, the object is at $\vec{r}(t_1)$. Knowing the locations $\vec{r}(t_0)$ and $\vec{r}(t_1)$ give no indication of the path taken between them, but often we only care about the difference of the locations, $\vec{r}(t_1) - \vec{r}(t_0)$, the **displacement**.

Definition 11.1.3 Displacement

Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a vector-valued function and let $t_0 < t_1$ be values in the domain. The **displacement** \vec{d} of \vec{r} , from $t = t_0$ to $t = t_1$, is

 $\vec{d}=\vec{r}(t_1)-\vec{r}(t_0).$

When the displacement vector is drawn with initial point at $\vec{r}(t_0)$, its terminal point is $\vec{r}(t_1)$. We think of it as the vector which points from a starting position to an ending position.

Example 11.1.5 Finding and graphing displacement vectors

Let $\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}t), \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}t) \right\rangle$. Graph $\vec{r}(t)$ on $-1 \le t \le 1$, and find the displacement of $\vec{r}(t)$ on this interval.

SOLUTION The function $\vec{r}(t)$ traces out the unit circle, though at a different rate than the "usual" $\langle \cos t, \sin t \rangle$ parametrization. At $t_0 = -1$, we have $\vec{r}(t_0) = \langle 0, -1 \rangle$; at $t_1 = 1$, we have $\vec{r}(t_1) = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$. The displacement of $\vec{r}(t)$ on [-1, 1] is thus $\vec{d} = \langle 0, 1 \rangle - \langle 0, -1 \rangle = \langle 0, 2 \rangle$.

A graph of $\vec{r}(t)$ on [-1, 1] is given in Figure 11.1.7, along with the displacement vector \vec{d} on this interval.

Measuring displacement makes us contemplate related, yet very different, concepts. Considering the semi–circular path the object in Example 11.1.5 took, we can quickly verify that the object ended up a distance of 2 units from its initial location. That is, we can compute $||\vec{d}|| = 2$. However, measuring *distance from the starting point* is different from measuring *distance traveled*. Being a semi–

circle, we can measure the distance traveled by this object as $\pi \approx 3.14$ units. Knowing *distance from the starting point* allows us to compute **average rate of change.**

Definition 11.1.4 Average Rate of Change

Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a vector-valued function, where each of its component functions is continuous on its domain, and let $t_0 < t_1$. The **average rate of change** of $\vec{r}(t)$ on $[t_0, t_1]$ is

average rate of change
$$= rac{ec{r}(t_1) - ec{r}(t_0)}{t_1 - t_0}$$

Example 11.1.6 Average rate of change

Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}t), \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}t) \rangle$ as in Example 11.1.5. Find the average rate of change of $\vec{r}(t)$ on [-1, 1] and on [-1, 5].

SOLUTION We computed in Example 11.1.5 that the displacement of $\vec{r}(t)$ on [-1, 1] was $\vec{d} = \langle 0, 2 \rangle$. Thus the average rate of change of $\vec{r}(t)$ on [-1, 1] is: $\vec{r}(1) = \vec{r}(-1) = \langle 0, 2 \rangle$

$$rac{ec{r}(1)-ec{r}(-1)}{1-(-1)}=rac{\langle 0,2
angle}{2}=\langle 0,1
angle$$
 .

We interpret this as follows: the object followed a semi–circular path, meaning it moved towards the right then moved back to the left, while climbing slowly, then quickly, then slowly again. *On average*, however, it progressed straight up at a constant rate of $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ per unit of time.

We can quickly see that the displacement on [-1, 5] is the same as on [-1, 1], so $\vec{d} = \langle 0, 2 \rangle$. The average rate of change is different, though:

$$rac{ec{r}(5)-ec{r}(-1)}{5-(-1)}=rac{\langle 0,2
angle}{6}=\langle 0,1/3
angle\,.$$

As it took "3 times as long" to arrive at the same place, this average rate of change on [-1, 5] is 1/3 the average rate of change on [-1, 1].

We considered average rates of change in Sections 1.1 and 2.1 as we studied limits and derivatives. The same is true here; in the following section we apply calculus concepts to vector–valued functions as we find limits, derivatives, and integrals. Understanding the average rate of change will give us an understanding of the derivative; displacement gives us one application of integration.

Exercises 11.1

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Vector-valued functions are closely related to ________ of graphs.
- 2. When sketching vector–valued functions, technically one isn't graphing points, but rather _____.
- 3. It can be useful to think of ______ as a vector that points from a starting position to an ending position.
- In the context of vector-valued functions, average rate of change is divided by time.

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 12, sketch the vector–valued function on the given interval.

- 5. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2, t^2 1 \rangle$, for $-2 \leq t \leq 2$.
- 6. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2, t^3 \rangle$, for $-2 \le t \le 2$.
- 7. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 1/t, 1/t^2 \rangle$, for $-2 \le t \le 2$.
- 8. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \frac{1}{10}t^2, \sin t \rangle$, for $-2\pi \le t \le 2\pi$.
- 9. $\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle \frac{1}{10}t^2, \sin t \right\rangle$, for $-2\pi \le t \le 2\pi$.
- 10. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3\sin(\pi t), 2\cos(\pi t) \rangle$, on [0, 2].
- 11. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3\cos t, 2\sin(2t) \rangle$, on $[0, 2\pi]$.
- 12. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2 \sec t, \tan t \rangle$, on $[-\pi, \pi]$.

In Exercises 13 – 16, sketch the vector–valued function on the given interval in \mathbb{R}^3 . Technology may be useful in creating the sketch.

- 13. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2 \cos t, t, 2 \sin t \rangle$, on $[0, 2\pi]$.
- 14. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3 \cos t, \sin t, t/\pi \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$.
- 15. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t, \sin t \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$.
- 16. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t, \sin(2t) \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$.

In Exercises 17 – 20, find $|| \vec{r}(t) ||$.

17.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t^2 \rangle$$
.

18. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 5 \cos t, 3 \sin t \rangle$.

19. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2 \cos t, 2 \sin t, t \rangle$.

20. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, t, t^2 \rangle$.

In Exercises 21 – 30, create a vector-valued function whose graph matches the given description.

- 21. A circle of radius 2, centered at (1, 2), traced counterclockwise once on $[0, 2\pi]$.
- 22. A circle of radius 3, centered at (5,5), traced clockwise once on $[0, 2\pi]$.
- 23. An ellipse, centered at (0,0) with vertical major axis of length 10 and minor axis of length 3, traced once counterclockwise on $[0, 2\pi]$.
- 24. An ellipse, centered at (3, -2) with horizontal major axis of length 6 and minor axis of length 4, traced once clockwise on $[0, 2\pi]$.
- 25. A line through (2,3) with a slope of 5.
- 26. A line through (1, 5) with a slope of -1/2.
- 27. The line through points (1, 2, 3) and (4, 5, 6), where $\vec{r}(0) = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$ and $\vec{r}(1) = \langle 4, 5, 6 \rangle$.
- 28. The line through points (1, 2) and (4, 4), where $\vec{r}(0) = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$ and $\vec{r}(1) = \langle 4, 4 \rangle$.
- 29. A vertically oriented helix with radius of 2 that starts at (2,0,0) and ends at $(2,0,4\pi)$ after 1 revolution on $[0,2\pi]$.
- 30. A vertically oriented helix with radius of 3 that starts at (3,0,0) and ends at (3,0,3) after 2 revolutions on [0, 1].

In Exercises 31 – 34, find the average rate of change of $\vec{r}(t)$ on the given interval.

31.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t^2 \rangle$$
 on $[-2, 2]$.

32. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t + \sin t \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$.

33. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3 \cos t, 2 \sin t, t \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$.

34.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t^2, t^3 \rangle$$
 on $[-1, 3]$.

11.2 Calculus and Vector–Valued Functions

The previous section introduced us to a new mathematical object, the vectorvalued function. We now apply calculus concepts to these functions. We start with the limit, then work our way through derivatives to integrals.

Limits of Vector–Valued Functions

The initial definition of the limit of a vector–valued function is a bit intimidating, as was the definition of the limit in Definition 1.2.1. The theorem following the definition shows that in practice, taking limits of vector–valued functions is no more difficult than taking limits of real–valued functions.

Definition 11.2.1 Limits of Vector–Valued Functions

Let *I* be an open interval containing *c*, and let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a vector-valued function defined on *I*, except possibly at *c*. The **limit of** $\vec{r}(t)$, as *t* approaches *c*, is \vec{L} , expressed as

$$\lim_{t\to c} \vec{r}(t) = \vec{L},$$

means that given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \neq c$, if $|t - c| < \delta$, we have $||\vec{r}(t) - \vec{L}|| < \varepsilon$.

Note how the measurement of distance between real numbers is the absolute value of their difference; the measure of distance between vectors is the vector norm, or magnitude, of their difference.

Theorem 11.2.1 states that we can compute limits of vector–valued functions component–wise.

Theorem 11.2.1 Limits of Vector–Valued Functions

1. Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle f(t), g(t) \rangle$ be a vector-valued function in \mathbb{R}^2 defined on an open interval *I* containing *c*, except possibly at *c*. Then

$$\lim_{t\to c} \vec{r}(t) = \left\langle \lim_{t\to c} f(t) \,, \, \lim_{t\to c} g(t) \right\rangle.$$

2. Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle f(t), g(t), h(t) \rangle$ be a vector–valued function in \mathbb{R}^3 defined on an open interval *I* containing *c*, except possibly at *c*. Then

$$\lim_{t\to c} \vec{r}(t) = \left\langle \lim_{t\to c} f(t) , \lim_{t\to c} g(t) , \lim_{t\to c} h(t) \right\rangle$$

Notes:

Note: we can define one-sided limits in a manner very similar to Definition 11.2.1.

Example 11.2.1 Finding limits of vector-valued functions Let $\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle \frac{\sin t}{t}, t^2 - 3t + 3, \cos t \right\rangle$. Find $\lim_{t \to 0} \vec{r}(t)$.

SOLUTION

We apply the theorem and compute limits component–wise.

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \vec{r}(t) = \left\langle \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\sin t}{t}, \lim_{t\to 0} t^2 - 3t + 3, \lim_{t\to 0} \cos t \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1} \right\rangle.$$

Continuity

Definition 11.2.2 Continuity of Vector–Valued Functions

Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a vector-valued function defined on an open interval *I* containing *c*.

- 1. $\vec{r}(t)$ is continuous at *c* if $\lim_{t\to c} \vec{r}(t) = \vec{r}(c)$.
- 2. If $\vec{r}(t)$ is continuous at all *c* in *I*, then $\vec{r}(t)$ is continuous on *I*.

We again have a theorem that lets us evaluate continuity component-wise.

Theorem 11.2.2 Continuity of Vector–Valued Functions

Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a vector-valued function defined on an open interval *I* containing *c*. Then $\vec{r}(t)$ is continuous at *c* if, and only if, each of its component functions is continuous at *c*.

Example 11.2.2 Evaluating continuity of vector–valued functions

Let $\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle \frac{\sin t}{t}, t^2 - 3t + 3, \cos t \right\rangle$. Determine whether \vec{r} is continuous at t = 0 and t = 1.

SOLUTION While the second and third components of $\vec{r}(t)$ are defined at t = 0, the first component, $(\sin t)/t$, is not. Since the first component is not even defined at t = 0, $\vec{r}(t)$ is not defined at t = 0, and hence it is not continuous at t = 0.

At t = 1 each of the component functions is continuous. Therefore $\vec{r}(t)$ is continuous at t = 1.

Notes:

Note: Using one-sided limits, we can also define continuity on closed intervals as done before.

Derivatives

Consider a vector-valued function \vec{r} defined on an open interval *I* containing t_0 and t_1 . We can compute the displacement of \vec{r} on $[t_0, t_1]$, as shown in Figure 11.2.1(a). Recall that dividing the displacement vector by $t_1 - t_0$ gives the average rate of change on $[t_0, t_1]$, as shown in (b).




Figure 11.2.1: Illustrating displacement, leading to an understanding of the derivative of vector-valued functions.

The **derivative** of a vector-valued function is a measure of the *instantaneous* rate of change, measured by taking the limit as the length of $[t_0, t_1]$ goes to 0. Instead of thinking of an interval as $[t_0, t_1]$, we think of it as [c, c + h] for some value of h (hence the interval has length h). The *average* rate of change is

$$\frac{\vec{r}(c+h)-\vec{r}(c)}{h}$$

for any value of $h \neq 0$. We take the limit as $h \rightarrow 0$ to measure the instantaneous rate of change; this is the derivative of \vec{r} .

Definition 11.2.3 Derivative of a Vector–Valued Function

Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be continuous on an open interval *I* containing *c*.

1. The **derivative of** \vec{r} **at** t = c is

$$\vec{r}'(c) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\vec{r}(c+h) - \vec{r}(c)}{h}$$

2. The **derivative of** \vec{r} is

$$\vec{r}'(t) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\vec{r}(t+h) - \vec{r}(t)}{h}$$

Alternate notations for the derivative of \vec{r} include:

$$\vec{r}'(t) = rac{d}{dt} (\vec{r}(t)) = rac{d\vec{r}}{dt}.$$

Note: again, using one-sided limits, we can define differentiability on closed intervals. We'll make use of this a few times in this chapter.




Figure 11.2.2: Graphing the derivative of a vector–valued function in Example 11.2.3.

If a vector–valued function has a derivative for all *c* in an open interval *I*, we say that $\vec{r}(t)$ is **differentiable** on *I*.

Once again we might view this definition as intimidating, but recall that we can evaluate limits component–wise. The following theorem verifies that this means we can compute derivatives component–wise as well, making the task not too difficult.

Theorem 11.2.3Derivatives of Vector–Valued Functions1. Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle f(t), g(t) \rangle$. Then $\vec{r}'(t) = \langle f'(t), g'(t) \rangle$.2. Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle f(t), g(t), h(t) \rangle$. Then $\vec{r}'(t) = \langle f'(t), g'(t), h'(t) \rangle$.

Example 11.2.3 Derivatives of vector–valued functions Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2, t \rangle$.

- 1. Sketch $\vec{r}(t)$ and $\vec{r}'(t)$ on the same axes.
- 2. Compute $\vec{r}'(1)$ and sketch this vector with its initial point at the origin and at $\vec{r}(1)$.

SOLUTION

1. Theorem 11.2.3 allows us to compute derivatives component-wise, so

$$\vec{r}'(t) = \langle 2t, 1 \rangle$$

 $\vec{r}(t)$ and $\vec{r}'(t)$ are graphed together in Figure 11.2.2(a). Note how plotting the two of these together, in this way, is not very illuminating. When dealing with real-valued functions, plotting f(x) with f'(x) gave us useful information as we were able to compare f and f' at the same x-values. When dealing with vector-valued functions, it is hard to tell which points on the graph of \vec{r}' correspond to which points on the graph of \vec{r} .

2. We easily compute $\vec{r}'(1) = \langle 2, 1 \rangle$, which is drawn in Figure 11.2.2 with its initial point at the origin, as well as at $\vec{r}(1) = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$. These are sketched in Figure 11.2.2(b).

Example 11.2.4 Derivatives of vector–valued functions

Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t, t \rangle$. Compute $\vec{r}'(t)$ and $\vec{r}'(\pi/2)$. Sketch $\vec{r}'(\pi/2)$ with its initial point at the origin and at $\vec{r}(\pi/2)$.

SOLUTION We compute \vec{r}' as $\vec{r}'(t) = \langle -\sin t, \cos t, 1 \rangle$. At $t = \pi/2$, we have $\vec{r}'(\pi/2) = \langle -1, 0, 1 \rangle$. Figure 11.2.3 shows a graph of $\vec{r}(t)$, with $\vec{r}'(\pi/2)$ plotted with its initial point at the origin and at $\vec{r}(\pi/2)$.

In Examples 11.2.3 and 11.2.4, sketching a particular derivative with its initial point at the origin did not seem to reveal anything significant. However, when we sketched the vector with its initial point on the corresponding point on the graph, we did see something significant: the vector appeared to be *tangent* to the graph. We have not yet defined what "tangent" means in terms of curves in space; in fact, we use the derivative to define this term.

Definition 11.2.4 Tangent Vector, Tangent Line

Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a differentiable vector–valued function on an open interval *I* containing *c*, where $\vec{r}'(c) \neq \vec{0}$.

- 1. A vector \vec{v} is tangent to the graph of $\vec{r}(t)$ at t = c if \vec{v} is parallel to $\vec{r}'(c)$.
- 2. The **tangent line** to the graph of $\vec{r}(t)$ at t = c is the line through $\vec{r}(c)$ with direction parallel to $\vec{r}'(c)$. An equation of the tangent line is

$$\vec{\ell}(t) = \vec{r}(c) + t \vec{r}'(c).$$

Example 11.2.5 Finding tangent lines to curves in space

Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t^2, t^3 \rangle$ on [-1.5, 1.5]. Find the vector equation of the line tangent to the graph of \vec{r} at t = -1.

SOLUTION To find the equation of a line, we need a point on the line and the line's direction. The point is given by $\vec{r}(-1) = \langle -1, 1, -1 \rangle$. (To be clear, $\langle -1, 1, -1 \rangle$ is a *vector*, not a point, but we use the point "pointed to" by this vector.)

The direction comes from $\vec{r}'(-1)$. We compute, component–wise, $\vec{r}'(t) = \langle 1, 2t, 3t^2 \rangle$. Thus $\vec{r}'(-1) = \langle 1, -2, 3 \rangle$.

The vector equation of the line is $\ell(t) = \langle -1, 1, -1 \rangle + t \langle 1, -2, 3 \rangle$. This line and $\vec{r}(t)$ are sketched in Figure 11.2.4.




Figure 11.2.3: Viewing a vector-valued function and its derivative at one point.




Figure 11.2.4: Graphing a curve in space with its tangent line.

Example 11.2.6 Finding tangent lines to curves

Find the equations of the lines tangent to $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^3, t^2 \rangle$ at t = -1 and t = 0.

SOLUTION We find that $\vec{r}'(t) = \langle 3t^2, 2t \rangle$. At t = -1, we have

$$\vec{r}(-1) = \langle -1, 1 \rangle$$
 and $\vec{r}'(-1) = \langle 3, -2 \rangle$

so the equation of the line tangent to the graph of $\vec{r}(t)$ at t = -1 is

$$\ell(t) = \langle -1, 1 \rangle + t \langle 3, -2 \rangle$$
.

This line is graphed with $\vec{r}(t)$ in Figure 11.2.5.

At t = 0, we have $\vec{r}'(0) = \langle 0, 0 \rangle = \vec{0}!$ This implies that the tangent line "has no direction." We cannot apply Definition 11.2.4, hence cannot find the equation of the tangent line.

We were unable to compute the equation of the tangent line to $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^3, t^2 \rangle$ at t = 0 because $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{0}$. The graph in Figure 11.2.5 shows that there is a cusp at this point. This leads us to another definition of **smooth**, previously defined by Definition 9.2.2 in Section 9.2.

Definition 11.2.5 Smooth Vector–Valued Functions

Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a differentiable vector–valued function on an open interval *I* where $\vec{r}'(t)$ is continuous on *I*. $\vec{r}(t)$ is **smooth** on *I* if $\vec{r}'(t) \neq \vec{0}$ on *I*.

Having established derivatives of vector–valued functions, we now explore the relationships between the derivative and other vector operations. The following theorem states how the derivative interacts with vector addition and the various vector products.




Figure 11.2.5: Graphing $\vec{r}(t)$ and its tangent line in Example 11.2.6.

Theorem 11.2.4 Properties of Derivatives of Vector–Valued Functions

Let \vec{r} and \vec{s} be differentiable vector–valued functions, let f be a differentiable real–valued function, and let c be a real number.

1.
$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\vec{r}(t) \pm \vec{s}(t) \right) = \vec{r}'(t) \pm \vec{s}'(t)$$

2.
$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(c\vec{r}(t) \right) = c\vec{r}'(t)$$

3.
$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(f(t)\vec{r}(t) \right) = f'(t)\vec{r}(t) + f(t)\vec{r}'(t)$$
 Product Rule
4.
$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\vec{r}(t) \cdot \vec{s}(t) \right) = \vec{r}'(t) \cdot \vec{s}(t) + \vec{r}(t) \cdot \vec{s}'(t)$$
 Product Rule
5.
$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\vec{r}(t) \times \vec{s}(t) \right) = \vec{r}'(t) \times \vec{s}(t) + \vec{r}(t) \times \vec{s}'(t)$$
 Product Rule
6.
$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\vec{r}(f(t)) \right) = \vec{r}'(f(t))f'(t)$$
 Chain Rule

Example 11.2.7 Using derivative properties of vector-valued functions Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t^2 - 1 \rangle$ and let $\vec{u}(t)$ be the unit vector that points in the direction of $\vec{r}(t)$.

- 1. Graph $\vec{r}(t)$ and $\vec{u}(t)$ on the same axes, on [-2, 2].
- 2. Find $\vec{u}'(t)$ and sketch $\vec{u}'(-2)$, $\vec{u}'(-1)$ and $\vec{u}'(0)$. Sketch each with initial point the corresponding point on the graph of \vec{u} .

SOLUTION

1. To form the unit vector that points in the direction of \vec{r} , we need to divide $\vec{r}(t)$ by its magnitude.

$$||\vec{r}(t)|| = \sqrt{t^2 + (t^2 - 1)^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \vec{u}(t) = rac{1}{\sqrt{t^2 + (t^2 - 1)^2}} \langle t, t^2 - 1
angle.$$

 $\vec{r}(t)$ and $\vec{u}(t)$ are graphed in Figure 11.2.6. Note how the graph of $\vec{u}(t)$ forms part of a circle; this must be the case, as the length of $\vec{u}(t)$ is 1 for all t.




Figure 11.2.6: Graphing $\vec{r}(t)$ and $\vec{u}(t)$ in Example 11.2.7.




Figure 11.2.7: Graphing some of the derivatives of $\vec{u}(t)$ in Example 11.2.7.

2. To compute $\vec{u}'(t)$, we use Theorem 11.2.4, writing

$$\vec{u}(t) = f(t)\vec{r}(t),$$
 where $f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2 + (t^2 - 1)^2}} = \left(t^2 + (t^2 - 1)^2\right)^{-1/2}.$

(We could write

$$\vec{u}(t) = \left\langle \frac{t}{\sqrt{t^2 + (t^2 - 1)^2}}, \frac{t^2 - 1}{\sqrt{t^2 + (t^2 - 1)^2}} \right\rangle$$

and then take the derivative. It is a matter of preference; this latter method requires two applications of the Quotient Rule where our method uses the Product and Chain Rules.)

We find f'(t) using the Chain Rule:

$$f'(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(t^2 + (t^2 - 1)^2 \right)^{-3/2} \left(2t + 2(t^2 - 1)(2t) \right)$$
$$= -\frac{2t(2t^2 - 1)}{2 \left(\sqrt{t^2 + (t^2 - 1)^2} \right)^3}$$

We now find $\vec{u}'(t)$ using part 3 of Theorem 11.2.4:

$$egin{aligned} ec{u}\,'(t) &= f'(t)ec{u}(t) + f(t)ec{u}\,'(t) \ &= -rac{2t(2t^2-1)}{2ig(\sqrt{t^2+(t^2-1)^2}ig)^3}\,ig\langle t,t^2-1ig
angle + rac{1}{\sqrt{t^2+(t^2-1)^2}}\,ig\langle 1,2tig
angle \end{aligned}$$

This is admittedly very "messy;" such is usually the case when we deal with unit vectors. We can use this formula to compute $\vec{u}'(-2)$, $\vec{u}'(-1)$ and $\vec{u}'(0)$:

$$\vec{u}'(-2) = \left\langle -\frac{15}{13\sqrt{13}}, -\frac{10}{13\sqrt{13}} \right\rangle \approx \left\langle -0.320, -0.213 \right\rangle$$
$$\vec{u}'(-1) = \left\langle 0, -2 \right\rangle$$
$$\vec{u}'(0) = \left\langle 1, 0 \right\rangle$$

Each of these is sketched in Figure 11.2.7. Note how the length of the vector gives an indication of how quickly the circle is being traced at that point. When t = -2, the circle is being drawn relatively slow; when t = -1, the circle is being traced much more quickly.

It is a basic geometric fact that a line tangent to a circle at a point P is perpendicular to the line passing through the center of the circle and P. This is

illustrated in Figure 11.2.7; each tangent vector is perpendicular to the line that passes through its initial point and the center of the circle. Since the center of the circle is the origin, we can state this another way: $\vec{u}'(t)$ is orthogonal to $\vec{u}(t)$.

Recall that the dot product serves as a test for orthogonality: if $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$, then \vec{u} is orthogonal to \vec{v} . Thus in the above example, $\vec{u}(t) \cdot \vec{u}'(t) = 0$.

This is true of any vector–valued function that has a constant length, that is, that traces out part of a circle. It has important implications later on, so we state it as a theorem (and leave its formal proof as an Exercise.)

Theorem 11.2.5 Vector–Valued Functions of Constant Length

Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a vector-valued function of constant length that is differentiable on an open interval *I*. That is, $||\vec{r}(t)|| = c$ for all *t* in *I* (equivalently, $\vec{r}(t) \cdot \vec{r}(t) = c^2$ for all *t* in *I*). Then $\vec{r}(t) \cdot \vec{r}'(t) = 0$ for all *t* in *I*.

Integration

Before formally defining integrals of vector–valued functions, consider the following equation that our calculus experience tells us *should* be true:

$$\int_a^b \vec{r}'(t) dt = \vec{r}(b) - \vec{r}(a).$$

That is, the integral of a rate of change function should give total change. In the context of vector–valued functions, this total change is displacement. The above equation *is* true; we now develop the theory to show why.

We can define antiderivatives and the indefinite integral of vector–valued functions in the same manner we defined indefinite integrals in Definition 5.1.1. However, we cannot define the definite integral of a vector–valued function as we did in Definition 5.2.1. That definition was based on the signed area between a function y = f(x) and the *x*-axis. An area–based definition will not be useful in the context of vector–valued functions. Instead, we define the definite integral of a vector–valued function in a manner similar to that of Theorem 5.3.2, utilizing Riemann sums.

Definition 11.2.6 Antiderivatives, Indefinite and Definite Integrals of Vector–Valued Functions

Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a continuous vector–valued function on [a, b]. An **antiderivative** of $\vec{r}(t)$ is a function $\vec{R}(t)$ such that $\vec{R}'(t) = \vec{r}(t)$.

The set of all antiderivatives of $\vec{r}(t)$ is the **indefinite integral of** $\vec{r}(t)$, denoted by

$$\int \vec{r}(t) dt.$$

The definite integral of $\vec{r}(t)$ on [a, b] is

$$\int_a^b \vec{r}(t) dt = \lim_{||\Delta t|| \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^n \vec{r}(c_i) \Delta t_i,$$

where Δt_i is the length of the *i*th subinterval of a partition of [a, b], $||\Delta t||$ is the length of the largest subinterval in the partition, and c_i is any value in the *i*th subinterval of the partition.

It is probably difficult to infer meaning from the definition of the definite integral. The important thing to realize from the definition is that it is built upon limits, which we can evaluate component–wise.

The following theorem simplifies the computation of definite integrals; the rest of this section and the following section will give meaning and application to these integrals.

Theorem 11.2.6 Indefinite and Definite Integrals of Vector–Valued Functions

Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle f(t), g(t) \rangle$ be a vector–valued function in \mathbb{R}^2 that are continuous on [a, b].

1.
$$\int \vec{r}(t) dt = \left\langle \int f(t) dt, \int g(t) dt \right\rangle$$

2.
$$\int_{a}^{b} \vec{r}(t) dt = \left\langle \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt, \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt \right\rangle$$

A similar statement holds for vector–valued functions in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Example 11.2.8 Evaluating a definite integral of a vector-valued function

Let
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle e^{2t}, \sin t \rangle$$
. Evaluate $\int_0^1 \vec{r}(t) dt$.

SOLUTION We follow Theorem 11.2.6.

$$\int_{0}^{1} \vec{r}(t) dt = \int_{0}^{1} \langle e^{2t}, \sin t \rangle dt$$
$$= \left\langle \int_{0}^{1} e^{2t} dt, \int_{0}^{1} \sin t dt \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle \frac{1}{2} e^{2t} \Big|_{0}^{1}, -\cos t \Big|_{0}^{1} \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle \frac{1}{2} (e^{2} - 1), -\cos(1) + 1 \right\rangle$$
$$\approx \langle 3.19, 0.460 \rangle.$$

Example 11.2.9 Solving an initial value problem

Let $\vec{r}''(t) = \langle 2, \cos t, 12t \rangle$. Find $\vec{r}(t)$, where $\vec{r}(0) = \langle -7, -1, 2 \rangle$ and $\vec{r}'(0) = \langle 5, 3, 0 \rangle$.

Solution Knowing $\vec{r}''(t) = \langle 2, \cos t, 12t \rangle$, we find $\vec{r}'(t)$ by evaluating the indefinite integral.

$$\int \vec{r}''(t) dt = \left\langle \int 2 dt, \int \cos t dt, \int 12t dt \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle 2t + C_1, \sin t + C_2, 6t^2 + C_3 \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle 2t, \sin t, 6t^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle C_1, C_2, C_3 \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle 2t, \sin t, 6t^2 \right\rangle + \vec{C}.$$

Note how each indefinite integral creates its own constant which we collect as one constant vector \vec{C} . Knowing $\vec{r}'(0) = \langle 5, 3, 0 \rangle$ allows us to solve for \vec{C} :

$$ec{r}'(t) = \left< 2t, \sin t, 6t^2 \right> + ec{c}$$

 $ec{r}'(0) = \left< 0, 0, 0 \right> + ec{c}$
 $\left< 5, 3, 0 \right> = ec{c}.$

So $\vec{r}'(t) = \langle 2t, \sin t, 6t^2 \rangle + \langle 5, 3, 0 \rangle = \langle 2t + 5, \sin t + 3, 6t^2 \rangle$. To find $\vec{r}(t)$, we integrate once more.

$$\int \vec{r}'(t) dt = \left\langle \int 2t + 5 dt, \int \sin t + 3 dt, \int 6t^2 dt \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle t^2 + 5t, -\cos t + 3t, 2t^3 \right\rangle + \vec{C}.$$

With
$$\vec{r}(0) = \langle -7, -1, 2 \rangle$$
, we solve for \vec{C} :
 $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 + 5t, -\cos t + 3t, 2t^3 \rangle + \vec{C}$
 $\vec{r}(0) = \langle 0, -1, 0 \rangle + \vec{C}$
 $\langle -7, -1, 2 \rangle = \langle 0, -1, 0 \rangle + \vec{C}$
 $\langle -7, 0, 2 \rangle = \vec{C}$.

So $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 + 5t, -\cos t + 3t, 2t^3 \rangle + \langle -7, 0, 2 \rangle = \langle t^2 + 5t - 7, -\cos t + 3t, 2t^3 + 2 \rangle$.

What does the integration of a vector-valued function *mean*? There are many applications, but none as direct as "the area under the curve" that we used in understanding the integral of a real-valued function.

A key understanding for us comes from considering the integral of a derivative:

$$\int_a^b \vec{r}'(t) dt = \vec{r}(t) \Big|_a^b = \vec{r}(b) - \vec{r}(a).$$

Integrating a *rate of change* function gives *displacement*.

Noting that vector-valued functions are closely related to parametric equations, we can describe the arc length of the graph of a vector-valued function as an integral. Given parametric equations x = f(t), y = g(t), the arc length on [a, b] of the graph is

Arc Length
$$=\int_a^b \sqrt{f'(t)^2+g'(t)^2} \, dt,$$

as stated in Theorem 9.3.1. If $\vec{r}(t) = \langle f(t), g(t) \rangle$, note that $\sqrt{f'(t)^2 + g'(t)^2} = ||\vec{r}'(t)||$. Therefore we can express the arc length of the graph of a vector-valued function as an integral of the magnitude of its derivative.

Theorem 11.2.7 Arc Length of a Vector–Valued Function

Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a vector-valued function where $\vec{r}'(t)$ is continuous on [a, b]. The arc length *L* of the graph of $\vec{r}(t)$ is

$$L=\int_a^b ||\vec{r}'(t)|| dt.$$

Note that we are actually integrating a scalar-function here, not a vectorvalued function.

The next section takes what we have established thus far and applies it to objects in motion. We will let $\vec{r}(t)$ describe the path of an object in the plane or in space and will discover the information provided by $\vec{r}'(t)$ and $\vec{r}''(t)$.

Exercises 11.2

Terms and Concepts

- 2. The definite integral of a rate of change function gives
- 3. Why is it generally not useful to graph both $\vec{r}(t)$ and $\vec{r}'(t)$ on the same axes?
- 4. Theorem 11.2.4 contains three product rules. What are the three different types of products used in these rules?

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 8, evaluate the given limit.

5.
$$\lim_{t \to 5} \langle 2t + 1, 3t^2 - 1, \sin t \rangle$$

6. $\lim_{t \to 3} \left\langle e^t, \frac{t^2 - 9}{t + 3} \right\rangle$
7. $\lim_{t \to 0} \left\langle \frac{t}{\sin t}, (1 + t)^{\frac{1}{t}} \right\rangle$
8. $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\vec{r}(t + h) - \vec{r}(t)}{h}$, where $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2, t, 1 \rangle$.

In Exercises 9 – 10, identify the interval(s) on which $\vec{r}(t)$ is continuous.

- 9. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2, 1/t \rangle$
- 10. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, e^t, \ln t \rangle$

In Exercises 11 – 16, find the derivative of the given function.

- 11. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, e^t, \ln t \rangle$
- 12. $\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle \frac{1}{t}, \frac{2t-1}{3t+1}, \tan t \right\rangle$
- 13. $\vec{r}(t) = (t^2) \langle \sin t, 2t + 5 \rangle$
- 14. $r(t) = \langle t^2 + 1, t 1 \rangle \cdot \langle \sin t, 2t + 5 \rangle$
- 15. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 + 1, t 1, 1 \rangle \times \langle \sin t, 2t + 5, 1 \rangle$
- 16. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cosh t, \sinh t \rangle$

In Exercises 17 – 20, find $\vec{r}'(t)$. Sketch $\vec{r}(t)$ and $\vec{r}'(1)$, with the initial point of $\vec{r}'(1)$ at $\vec{r}(1)$.

17. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 + t, t^2 - t \rangle$

18.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 - 2t + 2, t^3 - 3t^2 + 2t \rangle$$

19. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 + 1, t^3 - t \rangle$

20. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 - 4t + 5, t^3 - 6t^2 + 11t - 6 \rangle$

In Exercises 21 – 24, give the equation of the line tangent to the graph of $\vec{r}(t)$ at the given t value.

21.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 + t, t^2 - t \rangle$$
 at $t = 1$.
22. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3 \cos t, \sin t \rangle$ at $t = \pi/4$.
23. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3 \cos t, 3 \sin t, t \rangle$ at $t = \pi$.
24. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle e^t, \tan t, t \rangle$ at $t = 0$.

In Exercises 25 – 28, find the value(s) of t for which $\vec{r}(t)$ is not smooth.

25. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t - t \rangle$ 26. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 - 2t + 1, t^3 + t^2 - 5t + 3 \rangle$ 27. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t - \sin t, \sin t - \cos t, \cos(4t) \rangle$

28.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^3 - 3t + 2, -\cos(\pi t), \sin^2(\pi t) \rangle$$

Exercises 29 – 32 ask you to verify parts of Theorem 11.2.4. In each let $f(t) = t^3$, $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2, t-1, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{s}(t) = \langle \sin t, e^t, t \rangle$. Compute the various derivatives as indicated.

- 29. Simplify $f(t)\vec{r}(t)$, then find its derivative; show this is the same as $f'(t)\vec{r}(t) + f(t)\vec{r}'(t)$.
- 30. Simplify $\vec{r}(t) \cdot \vec{s}(t)$, then find its derivative; show this is the same as $\vec{r}'(t) \cdot \vec{s}(t) + \vec{r}(t) \cdot \vec{s}'(t)$.
- 31. Simplify $\vec{r}(t) \times \vec{s}(t)$, then find its derivative; show this is the same as $\vec{r}'(t) \times \vec{s}(t) + \vec{r}(t) \times \vec{s}'(t)$.
- 32. Simplify $\vec{r}(f(t))$, then find its derivative; show this is the same as $\vec{r}'(f(t))f'(t)$.

In Exercises 33 – 36, evaluate the given definite or indefinite integral.

33.
$$\int \langle t^{3}, \cos t, te^{t} \rangle dt$$

34.
$$\int \left\langle \frac{1}{1+t^{2}}, \sec^{2} t \right\rangle dt$$

35.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \langle -\sin t, \cos t \rangle dt$$

36.
$$\int_{-2}^{2} \langle 2t+1, 2t-1 \rangle dt$$

In Exercises 37 – 40, solve the given initial value problems.

- 37. Find $\vec{r}(t)$, given that $\vec{r}'(t) = \langle t, \sin t \rangle$ and $\vec{r}(0) = \langle 2, 2 \rangle$.
- 38. Find $\vec{r}(t)$, given that $\vec{r}'(t) = \langle 1/(t+1), \tan t \rangle$ and $\vec{r}(0) = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$.
- 40. Find $\vec{r}(t)$, given that $\vec{r}''(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t, e^t \rangle$, $\vec{r}'(0) = \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$ and $\vec{r}(0) = \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$.

In Exercises 41 – 44 , find the arc length of $\vec{r}(t)$ on the indi-

cated interval.

- 41. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2 \cos t, 2 \sin t, 3t \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$.
- 42. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 5 \cos t, 3 \sin t, 4 \sin t \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$.
- 43. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^3, t^2, t^3 \rangle$ on [0, 1].
- 44. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle e^{-t} \cos t, e^{-t} \sin t \rangle$ on [0, 1].
- 45. Prove Theorem 11.2.5; that is, show if $\vec{r}(t)$ has constant length and is differentiable, then $\vec{r}(t) \cdot \vec{r}'(t) = 0$. (Hint: use the Product Rule to compute $\frac{d}{dt}(\vec{r}(t) \cdot \vec{r}(t))$.)

11.3 The Calculus of Motion

A common use of vector–valued functions is to describe the motion of an object in the plane or in space. A **position function** $\vec{r}(t)$ gives the position of an object at **time** *t*. This section explores how derivatives and integrals are used to study the motion described by such a function.

Definition 11.3.1 Velocity, Speed and Acceleration

Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a position function in \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^3 .

- 1. **Velocity**, denoted $\vec{v}(t)$, is the instantaneous rate of position change; that is, $\vec{v}(t) = \vec{r}'(t)$.
- 2. **Speed** is the magnitude of velocity, $|| \vec{v}(t) ||$.
- 3. Acceleration, denoted $\vec{a}(t)$, is the instantaneous rate of velocity change; that is, $\vec{a}(t) = \vec{v}'(t) = \vec{r}''(t)$.

Example 11.3.1 Finding velocity and acceleration

An object is moving with position function $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 - t, t^2 + t \rangle$, $-3 \le t \le 3$, where distances are measured in feet and time is measured in seconds.

- 1. Find $\vec{v}(t)$ and $\vec{a}(t)$.
- 2. Sketch $\vec{r}(t)$; plot $\vec{v}(-1)$, $\vec{a}(-1)$, $\vec{v}(1)$ and $\vec{a}(1)$, each with their initial point at their corresponding point on the graph of $\vec{r}(t)$.
- 3. When is the object's speed minimized?

SOLUTION


1. Taking derivatives, we find


$$ec{v}(t)=ec{r}'(t)=\langle 2t-1,2t+1
angle$$
 and $ec{a}(t)=ec{r}''(t)=\langle 2,2
angle$

Note that acceleration is constant.

2. $\vec{v}(-1) = \langle -3, -1 \rangle$, $\vec{a}(-1) = \langle 2, 2 \rangle$; $\vec{v}(1) = \langle 1, 3 \rangle$, $\vec{a}(1) = \langle 2, 2 \rangle$. These are plotted with $\vec{r}(t)$ in Figure 11.3.1(a).

We can think of acceleration as "pulling" the velocity vector in a certain direction. At t = -1, the velocity vector points down and to the left; at t = 1, the velocity vector has been pulled in the $\langle 2, 2 \rangle$ direction and is





now pointing up and to the right. In Figure 11.3.1(b) we plot more velocity/acceleration vectors, making more clear the effect acceleration has on velocity.

Since $\vec{a}(t)$ is constant in this example, as t grows large $\vec{v}(t)$ becomes almost parallel to $\vec{a}(t)$. For instance, when t = 10, $\vec{v}(10) = \langle 19, 21 \rangle$, which is nearly parallel to $\langle 2, 2 \rangle$.

3. The object's speed is given by

$$||\vec{v}(t)|| = \sqrt{(2t-1)^2 + (2t+1)^2} = \sqrt{8t^2 + 2}$$

To find the minimal speed, we could apply calculus techniques (such as set the derivative equal to 0 and solve for t, etc.) but we can find it by inspection. Inside the square root we have a quadratic which is minimized when t = 0. Thus the speed is minimized at t = 0, with a speed of $\sqrt{2}$ ft/s.

The graph in Figure 11.3.1(b) also implies speed is minimized here. The filled dots on the graph are located at integer values of t between -3 and 3. Dots that are far apart imply the object traveled a far distance in 1 second, indicating high speed; dots that are close together imply the object did not travel far in 1 second, indicating a low speed. The dots are closest together near t = 0, implying the speed is minimized near that value.

Example 11.3.2 Analyzing Motion

Two objects follow an identical path at different rates on [-1, 1]. The position function for Object 1 is $\vec{r}_1(t) = \langle t, t^2 \rangle$; the position function for Object 2 is $\vec{r}_2(t) = \langle t^3, t^6 \rangle$, where distances are measured in feet and time is measured in seconds. Compare the velocity, speed and acceleration of the two objects on the path.

SOLUTION We begin by computing the velocity and acceleration function for each object:

$ec{ extsf{v}_1}(t)=\langle extsf{1}, extsf{2}t angle$	$ec{ extbf{v}}_2(t) = \left< 3t^2, 6t^5 \right>$
$ec{a}_1(t)=\langle 0,2 angle$	$\vec{a}_2(t) = \langle 6t, 30t^4 \rangle$

We immediately see that Object 1 has constant acceleration, whereas Object 2 does not.

At t = -1, we have $\vec{v}_1(-1) = \langle 1, -2 \rangle$ and $\vec{v}_2(-1) = \langle 3, -6 \rangle$; the velocity of Object 2 is three times that of Object 1 and so it follows that the speed of Object 2 is three times that of Object 1 ($3\sqrt{5}$ ft/s compared to $\sqrt{5}$ ft/s.)




Figure 11.3.2: Plotting velocity and acceleration vectors for Object 1 in Example 11.3.2.

At t = 0, the velocity of Object 1 is $\vec{v}(1) = \langle 1, 0 \rangle$ and the velocity of Object 2 is $\vec{0}$! This tells us that Object 2 comes to a complete stop at t = 0.

In Figure 11.3.2, we see the velocity and acceleration vectors for Object 1 plotted for t = -1, -1/2, 0, 1/2 and t = 1. Note again how the constant acceleration vector seems to "pull" the velocity vector from pointing down, right to up, right. We could plot the analogous picture for Object 2, but the velocity and acceleration vectors are rather large $(\vec{a}_2(-1) = \langle -6, 30 \rangle!)$

Instead, we simply plot the locations of Object 1 and 2 on intervals of $1/5^{\text{th}}$ of a second, shown in Figure 11.3.3(a) and (b). Note how the *x*-values of Object 1 increase at a steady rate. This is because the *x*-component of $\vec{a}(t)$ is 0; there is no acceleration in the *x*-component. The dots are not evenly spaced; the object is moving faster near t = -1 and t = 1 than near t = 0.

In part (b) of the Figure, we see the points plotted for Object 2. Note the large change in position from t = -1 to t = -0.8; the object starts moving very quickly. However, it slows considerably at it approaches the origin, and comes to a complete stop at t = 0. While it looks like there are 3 points near the origin, there are in reality 5 points there.

Since the objects begin and end at the same location, they have the same displacement. Since they begin and end at the same time, with the same displacement, they have the same average rate of change (i.e, they have the same average velocity). Since they follow the same path, they have the same distance traveled. Even though these three measurements are the same, the objects obviously travel the path in very different ways.

Example 11.3.3 Analyzing the motion of a whirling ball on a string

A young boy whirls a ball, attached to a string, above his head in a counterclockwise circle. The ball follows a circular path and makes 2 revolutions per second. The string has length 2ft.

- 1. Find the position function $\vec{r}(t)$ that describes this situation.
- 2. Find the acceleration of the ball and give a physical interpretation of it.
- 3. A tree stands 10ft in front of the boy. At what *t*-values should the boy release the string so that the ball hits the tree?

SOLUTION

1. The ball whirls in a circle. Since the string is 2ft long, the radius of the circle is 2. The position function $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2 \cos t, 2 \sin t \rangle$ describes a circle with radius 2, centered at the origin, but makes a full revolution every 2π seconds, not two revolutions per second. We modify the period of the




Figure 11.3.3: Comparing the positions of Objects 1 and 2 in Example 11.3.2.




Figure 11.3.4: Modeling the flight of a ball in Example 11.3.3.

trigonometric functions to be 1/2 by multiplying t by $4\pi.$ The final position function is thus

$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2\cos(4\pi t), 2\sin(4\pi t) \rangle$$

(Plot this for 0 $\leq t \leq$ 1/2 to verify that one revolution is made in 1/2 a second.)

2. To find $\vec{a}(t)$, we take the derivative of $\vec{r}(t)$ twice.

$$\vec{\mathbf{v}}(t) = \vec{\mathbf{r}}'(t) = \langle -8\pi \sin(4\pi t), 8\pi \cos(4\pi t) \rangle$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{a}}(t) = \vec{\mathbf{r}}''(t) = \langle -32\pi^2 \cos(4\pi t), -32\pi^2 \sin(4\pi t) \rangle$$

$$= -32\pi^2 \langle \cos(4\pi t), \sin(4\pi t) \rangle.$$

Note how $\vec{a}(t)$ is parallel to $\vec{r}(t)$, but has a different magnitude and points in the opposite direction. Why is this?

Recall the classic physics equation, "Force = mass \times acceleration." A force acting on a mass induces acceleration (i.e., the mass moves); acceleration acting on a mass induces a force (gravity gives our mass a *weight*). Thus force and acceleration are closely related. A moving ball "wants" to travel in a straight line. Why does the ball in our example move in a circle? It is attached to the boy's hand by a string. The string applies a force to the ball, affecting it's motion: the string *accelerates* the ball. This is not acceleration in the sense of "it travels faster;" rather, this acceleration is changing the velocity of the ball. In what direction is this force/acceleration being applied? In the direction of the string, towards the boy's hand.

The magnitude of the acceleration is related to the speed at which the ball is traveling. A ball whirling quickly is rapidly changing direction/velocity. When velocity is changing rapidly, the acceleration must be "large."

3. When the boy releases the string, the string no longer applies a force to the ball, meaning acceleration is $\vec{0}$ and the ball can now move in a straight line in the direction of $\vec{v}(t)$.

Let $t = t_0$ be the time when the boy lets go of the string. The ball will be at $\vec{r}(t_0)$, traveling in the direction of $\vec{v}(t_0)$. We want to find t_0 so that this line contains the point (0, 10) (since the tree is 10ft directly in front of the boy).

There are many ways to find this time value. We choose one that is relatively simple computationally. As shown in Figure 11.3.4, the vector from the release point to the tree is $\langle 0, 10 \rangle - \vec{r}(t_0)$. This line segment is tangent to the circle, which means it is also perpendicular to $\vec{r}(t_0)$ itself, so their dot product is 0.

Notes:

$$\vec{r}(t_0) \cdot (\langle 0, 10 \rangle - \vec{r}(t_0)) = 0$$

$$\langle 2\cos(4\pi t_0), 2\sin(4\pi t_0) \rangle \cdot \langle -2\cos(4\pi t_0), 10 - 2\sin(4\pi t_0) \rangle = 0$$

$$-4\cos^2(4\pi t_0) + 20\sin(4\pi t_0) - 4\sin^2(4\pi t_0) = 0$$

$$20\sin(4\pi t_0) - 4 = 0$$

$$\sin(4\pi t_0) = 1/5$$

$$4\pi t_0 = \sin^{-1}(1/5)$$

$$4\pi t_0 \approx 0.2 + 2\pi n,$$

where *n* is an integer. Solving for t_0 we have:

 $t_0 \approx 0.016 + n/2$

This is a wonderful formula. Every 1/2 second after t = 0.016s the boy can release the string (since the ball makes 2 revolutions per second, he has two chances each second to release the ball).

Example 11.3.4 Analyzing motion in space

An object moves in a spiral with position function $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t, t \rangle$, where distances are measured in meters and time is in minutes. Describe the object's speed and acceleration at time *t*.

Solution With $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t, t \rangle$, we have: $\vec{v}(t) = \langle -\sin t, \cos t, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{a}(t) = \langle -\cos t, -\sin t, 0 \rangle$.

The speed of the object is $||\vec{v}(t)|| = \sqrt{(-\sin t)^2 + \cos^2 t + 1} = \sqrt{2}$ m/min; it moves at a constant speed. Note that the object does not accelerate in the *z*-direction, but rather moves up at a constant rate of 1m/min.

The objects in Examples 11.3.3 and 11.3.4 traveled at a constant speed. That is, $|| \vec{v}(t) || = c$ for some constant *c*. Recall Theorem 11.2.5, which states that if a vector-valued function $\vec{r}(t)$ has constant length, then $\vec{r}(t)$ is perpendicular to its derivative: $\vec{r}(t) \cdot \vec{r}'(t) = 0$. In these examples, the velocity function has constant length, therefore we can conclude that the velocity is perpendicular to the acceleration: $\vec{v}(t) \cdot \vec{a}(t) = 0$. A quick check verifies this.

There is an intuitive understanding of this. If acceleration is parallel to velocity, then it is only affecting the object's speed; it does not change the direction of travel. (For example, consider a dropped stone. Acceleration and velocity are

parallel – straight down – and the direction of velocity never changes, though speed does increase.) If acceleration is not perpendicular to velocity, then there is some acceleration in the direction of travel, influencing the speed. If speed is constant, then acceleration must be orthogonal to velocity, as it then only affects direction, and not speed.

Key Idea 11.3.1 Objects With Constant Speed

If an object moves with constant speed, then its velocity and acceleration vectors are orthogonal. That is, $\vec{v}(t) \cdot \vec{a}(t) = 0$.

Projectile Motion

An important application of vector–valued position functions is *projectile motion*: the motion of objects under only the influence of gravity. We will measure time in seconds, and distances will either be in meters or feet. We will show that we can completely describe the path of such an object knowing its initial position and initial velocity (i.e., where it *is* and where it *is* going.)

Suppose an object has initial position $\vec{r}(0) = \langle x_0, y_0 \rangle$ and initial velocity $\vec{v}(0) = \langle v_x, v_y \rangle$. It is customary to rewrite $\vec{v}(0)$ in terms of its speed v_0 and direction \vec{u} , where \vec{u} is a unit vector. Recall all unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 can be written as $\langle \cos \theta, \sin \theta \rangle$, where θ is an angle measure counter–clockwise from the *x*-axis. (We refer to θ as the **angle of elevation.**) Thus $\vec{v}(0) = v_0 \langle \cos \theta, \sin \theta \rangle$.

Since the acceleration of the object is known, namely $\vec{a}(t) = \langle 0, -g \rangle$, where g is the gravitational constant, we can find $\vec{r}(t)$ knowing our two initial conditions. We first find $\vec{v}(t)$:

 $egin{aligned} ec{v}(t) &= \int ec{a}(t) \; dt \ ec{v}(t) &= \int \langle 0, -g
angle \; dt \ ec{v}(t) &= \langle 0, -gt
angle + ec{c}. \end{aligned}$

Knowing $\vec{v}(0) = v_0 \langle \cos \theta, \sin \theta \rangle$, we have $\vec{C} = v_0 \langle \cos \theta, \sin \theta \rangle$ and so

$$\vec{\mathbf{v}}(t) = \left\langle \mathbf{v}_0 \cos \theta, -gt + \mathbf{v}_0 \sin \theta \right\rangle.$$

Notes:

Note: This text uses g = 32 ft/s² when using Imperial units, and g = 9.8 m/s² when using SI units.

We integrate once more to find $\vec{r}(t)$:

$$\vec{r}(t) = \int \vec{v}(t) dt$$

$$\vec{r}(t) = \int \langle v_0 \cos \theta, -gt + v_0 \sin \theta \rangle dt$$

$$\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle \left(v_0 \cos \theta \right) t, -\frac{1}{2}gt^2 + \left(v_0 \sin \theta \right) t \right\rangle + \vec{C}.$$

Knowing $\vec{r}(0) = \langle x_0, y_0 \rangle$, we conclude $\vec{C} = \langle x_0, y_0 \rangle$ and

$$\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle \left(v_0 \cos \theta \right) t + x_0 , -\frac{1}{2}gt^2 + \left(v_0 \sin \theta \right) t + y_0 \right\rangle$$

Key Idea 11.3.2 Projectile Motion

The position function of a projectile propelled from an initial position of $\vec{r}_0 = \langle x_0, y_0 \rangle$, with initial speed v_0 , with angle of elevation θ and neglecting all accelerations but gravity is

$$\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle \left(v_0 \cos \theta \right) t + x_0 , -\frac{1}{2}gt^2 + \left(v_0 \sin \theta \right) t + y_0 \right\rangle.$$

Letting $\vec{v}_0 = v_0 \langle \cos \theta, \sin \theta \rangle$, $\vec{r}(t)$ can be written as

$$\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle 0, -\frac{1}{2}gt^2 \right\rangle + \vec{v}_0t + \vec{r}_0.$$

We demonstrate how to use this position function in the next two examples.

Example 11.3.5 Projectile Motion

Sydney shoots her Red Ryder[®] bb gun across level ground from an elevation of 4ft, where the barrel of the gun makes a 5° angle with the horizontal. Find how far the bb travels before landing, assuming the bb is fired at the advertised rate of 350ft/s and ignoring air resistance.

Solution A direct application of Key Idea 11.3.2 gives $\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle (350\cos 5^\circ)t, -16t^2 + (350\sin 5^\circ)t + 4 \right\rangle$ $\approx \left\langle 346.67t, -16t^2 + 30.50t + 4 \right\rangle,$

where we set her initial position to be (0, 4). We need to find *when* the bb lands, then we can find *where*. We accomplish this by setting the *y*-component equal to 0 and solving for *t*:

$$-16t^{2} + 30.50t + 4 = 0$$
$$t = \frac{-30.50 \pm \sqrt{30.50^{2} - 4(-16)(4)}}{-32}$$
$$t \approx 2.03s.$$

(We discarded a negative solution that resulted from our quadratic equation.)

We have found that the bb lands 2.03s after firing; with t = 2.03, we find the *x*-component of our position function is 346.67(2.03) = 703.74ft. The bb lands about 704 feet away.

Example 11.3.6 Projectile Motion

Alex holds his sister's bb gun at a height of 3ft and wants to shoot a target that is 6ft above the ground, 25ft away. At what angle should he hold the gun to hit his target? (We still assume the muzzle velocity is 350ft/s.)

SOLUTION The position function for the path of Alex's bb is

 $\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle (350\cos\theta)t, -16t^2 + (350\sin\theta)t + 3 \right\rangle.$

We need to find θ so that $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 25, 6 \rangle$ for some value of t. That is, we want to find θ and t such that

$$(350\cos\theta)t = 25$$
 and $-16t^2 + (350\sin\theta)t + 3 = 6$

This is not trivial (though not "hard"). We start by solving each equation for $\cos \theta$ and $\sin \theta$, respectively.

$$\cos \theta = \frac{25}{350t}$$
 and $\sin \theta = \frac{3 + 16t^2}{350t}$

Using the Pythagorean Identity $\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta = 1$, we have

$$\left(\frac{25}{350t}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{3+16t^2}{350t}\right)^2 = 1$$

Multiply both sides by $(350t)^2$:

$$25^{2} + (3 + 16t^{2})^{2} = 350^{2}t^{2}$$
$$256t^{4} - 122,404t^{2} + 634 = 0.$$

This is a quadratic *in* t^2 . That is, we can apply the quadratic formula to find t^2 , then solve for *t* itself.

$$t^{2} = \frac{122,404 \pm \sqrt{122,404^{2} - 4(256)(634)}}{512}$$

$$t^{2} = 0.0052, 478.135$$

$$t = \pm 0.072, \pm 21.866$$

Clearly the negative *t* values do not fit our context, so we have t = 0.072 and t = 21.866. Using $\cos \theta = 25/(350t)$, we can solve for θ :

$$\theta = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{25}{350 \cdot 0.072}\right)$$
 and $\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{25}{350 \cdot 21.866}\right)$
 $\theta = 7.03^{\circ}$ and 89.8° .

Alex has two choices of angle. He can hold the rifle at an angle of about 7° with the horizontal and hit his target 0.07s after firing, or he can hold his rifle almost straight up, with an angle of 89.8° , where he'll hit his target about 22s later. The first option is clearly the option he should choose.

Distance Traveled

Consider a driver who sets her cruise–control to 60mph, and travels at this speed for an hour. We can ask:

- 1. How far did the driver travel?
- 2. How far from her starting position is the driver?

The first is easy to answer: she traveled 60 miles. The second is impossible to answer with the given information. We do not know if she traveled in a straight line, on an oval racetrack, or along a slowly–winding highway.

This highlights an important fact: to compute distance traveled, we need only to know the speed, given by $|| \vec{v}(t) ||$.

Theorem 11.3.1 Distance Traveled

Let $\vec{v}(t)$ be a velocity function for a moving object. The distance traveled by the object on [a, b] is:

distance traveled
$$= \int_a^b || \vec{v}(t) || dt.$$

Note that this is just a restatement of Theorem 11.2.7: arc length is the same as distance traveled, just viewed in a different context.




Figure 11.3.5: The path of the particle in Example 11.3.7.

Example 11.3.7 Distance Traveled, Displacement, and Average Speed A particle moves in space with position function $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t^2, \sin(\pi t) \rangle$ on [-2, 2], where *t* is measured in seconds and distances are in meters. Find:

- 1. The distance traveled by the particle on [-2, 2].
- 2. The displacement of the particle on [-2, 2].
- 3. The particle's average speed.

SOLUTION

1. We use Theorem 11.3.1 to establish the integral:

distance traveled =
$$\int_{-2}^{2} || \vec{v}(t) || dt$$

= $\int_{-2}^{2} \sqrt{1 + (2t)^2 + \pi^2 \cos^2(\pi t)} dt$

This cannot be solved in terms of elementary functions so we turn to numerical integration, finding the distance to be 12.88m.

2. The displacement is the vector

$$\vec{r}(2) - \vec{r}(-2) = \langle 2, 4, 0 \rangle - \langle -2, 4, 0 \rangle = \langle 4, 0, 0 \rangle.$$

That is, the particle ends with an *x*-value increased by 4 and with *y*- and *z*-values the same (see Figure 11.3.5).

3. We found above that the particle traveled 12.88m over 4 seconds. We can compute average speed by dividing: 12.88/4 = 3.22m/s.

We should also consider Definition 5.4.1 of Section 5.4, which says that the average value of a function f on [a, b] is $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx$. In our context, the average value of the speed is

average speed
$$= rac{1}{2-(-2)}\int_{-2}^2 || \, ec v(t) \, || \, dt pprox rac{1}{4}$$
12.88 $=$ 3.22m/s.

Note how the physical context of a particle traveling gives meaning to a more abstract concept learned earlier.

In Definition 5.4.1 of Chapter 5 we defined the average value of a function f(x) on [a, b] to be

$$\frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b f(x)\ dx$$

Note how in Example 11.3.7 we computed the average speed as

$$rac{ ext{distance traveled}}{ ext{travel time}} = rac{1}{2-(-2)}\int_{-2}^2 ||\,ec{v}(t)\,||\, dt;$$

that is, we just found the average value of $|| \vec{v}(t) ||$ on [-2, 2]. Likewise, given position function $\vec{r}(t)$, the average velocity on [a, b] is

$$rac{ ext{displacement}}{ ext{travel time}} = rac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b ec{r}'(t) \ dt = rac{ec{r}(b) - ec{r}(a)}{b-a};$$

that is, it is the average value of $\vec{r}'(t)$, or $\vec{v}(t)$, on [a, b].

Key Idea 11.3.3 Average Speed, Average Velocity
Let
$$\vec{r}(t)$$
 be a differentiable position function on $[a, b]$.
The average speed is:

$$\frac{\text{distance traveled}}{\text{travel time}} = \frac{\int_a^b || \vec{v}(t) || dt}{b-a} = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b || \vec{v}(t) || dt.$$
The average velocity is:

$$\frac{\text{displacement}}{\text{travel time}} = \frac{\int_a^b \vec{r}'(t) dt}{b-a} = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \vec{r}'(t) dt.$$

The next two sections investigate more properties of the graphs of vectorvalued functions and we'll apply these new ideas to what we just learned about motion.

Exercises 11.3

Terms and Concepts

- 1. How is velocity different from speed?
- 2. What is the difference between *displacement* and *distance traveled*?
- 3. What is the difference between *average velocity* and *average speed*?
- 4. Distance traveled is the same as _____, just viewed in a different context.
- Describe a scenario where an object's average speed is a large number, but the magnitude of the average velocity is not a large number.
- 6. Explain why it is not possible to have an average velocity with a large magnitude but a small average speed.

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 10 , a position function $\vec{r}(t)$ is given. Find $\vec{v}(t)$ and $\vec{a}(t)$.

7.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2t + 1, 5t - 2, 7 \rangle$$

8.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3t^2 - 2t + 1, -t^2 + t + 14 \rangle$$

9.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t \rangle$$

10. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t/10, -\cos t, \sin t \rangle$

In Exercises 11 – 14, a position function $\vec{r}(t)$ is given. Sketch $\vec{r}(t)$ on the indicated interval. Find $\vec{v}(t)$ and $\vec{a}(t)$, then add $\vec{v}(t_0)$ and $\vec{a}(t_0)$ to your sketch, with their initial points at $\vec{r}(t_0)$, for the given value of t_0 .

11.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, \sin t \rangle$$
 on $[0, \pi/2]$; $t_0 = \pi/4$

12.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2, \sin t^2 \rangle$$
 on $[0, \pi/2]$; $t_0 = \sqrt{\pi/4}$

13.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 + t, -t^2 + 2t \rangle$$
 on $[-2, 2]$; $t_0 = 1$

14.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle \frac{2t+3}{t^2+1}, t^2 \right\rangle$$
 on $[-1, 1]; t_0 = 0$

In Exercises 15 – 24, a position function $\vec{r}(t)$ of an object is given. Find the speed of the object in terms of t, and find where the speed is minimized/maximized on the indicated interval.

15.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2, t \rangle$$
 on $[-1, 1]$
16. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2, t^2 - t^3 \rangle$ on $[-1, 1]$

- 17. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 5 \cos t, 5 \sin t \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$
- 18. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2 \cos t, 5 \sin t \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$
- 19. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \sec t, \tan t \rangle$ on $[0, \pi/4]$
- 20. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t + \cos t, 1 \sin t \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$
- 21. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 12t, 5 \cos t, 5 \sin t \rangle$ on $[0, 4\pi]$

22.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 - t, t^2 + t, t \rangle$$
 on [0, 1]

23.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle t, t^2, \sqrt{1 - t^2} \right\rangle$$
 on $[-1, 1]$

24. **Projectile Motion:**
$$\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle (v_0 \cos \theta)t, -\frac{1}{2}gt^2 + (v_0 \sin \theta)t \right\rangle$$

on $\left[0, \frac{2v_0 \sin \theta}{g}\right]$

In Exercises 25 – 28, position functions $\vec{r}_1(t)$ and $\vec{r}_2(s)$ for two objects are given that follow the same path on the respective intervals.

- (a) Show that the positions are the same at the indicated t_0 and s_0 values; i.e., show $\vec{r}_1(t_0) = \vec{r}_2(s_0)$.
- (b) Find the velocity, speed and acceleration of the two objects at t_0 and s_0 , respectively.

25.
$$\vec{r}_1(t) = \langle t, t^2 \rangle$$
 on [0, 1]; $t_0 = 1$
 $\vec{r}_2(s) = \langle s^2, s^4 \rangle$ on [0, 1]; $s_0 = 1$

- 26. $\vec{r}_1(t) = \langle 3\cos t, 3\sin t \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$; $t_0 = \pi/2$ $\vec{r}_2(s) = \langle 3\cos(4s), 3\sin(4s) \rangle$ on $[0, \pi/2]$; $s_0 = \pi/8$
- 27. $\vec{r}_1(t) = \langle 3t, 2t \rangle$ on [0, 2]; $t_0 = 2$ $\vec{r}_2(s) = \langle 6s - 6, 4s - 4 \rangle$ on [1, 2]; $s_0 = 2$
- 28. $\vec{r}_1(t) = \langle t, \sqrt{t} \rangle$ on [0, 1]; $t_0 = 1$ $\vec{r}_2(s) = \langle \sin t, \sqrt{\sin t} \rangle$ on $[0, \pi/2]$; $s_0 = \pi/2$

In Exercises 29 – 32 , find the position function of an object given its acceleration and initial velocity and position.

- 29. $\vec{a}(t) = \langle 2, 3 \rangle; \quad \vec{v}(0) = \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \quad \vec{r}(0) = \langle 5, -2 \rangle$
- 30. $\vec{a}(t) = \langle 2, 3 \rangle$; $\vec{v}(1) = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$, $\vec{r}(1) = \langle 5, -2 \rangle$
- 31. $\vec{a}(t) = \langle \cos t, -\sin t \rangle; \quad \vec{v}(0) = \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \quad \vec{r}(0) = \langle 0, 0 \rangle$
- 32. $\vec{a}(t) = \langle 0, -32 \rangle; \quad \vec{v}(0) = \langle 10, 50 \rangle, \quad \vec{r}(0) = \langle 0, 0 \rangle$

In Exercises 33 - 36, find the displacement, distance traveled, average velocity and average speed of the described object on the given interval.

 An object with position function r
 (t) = (2 cos t, 2 sin t, 3t), where distances are measured in feet and time is in seconds, on [0, 2π].

- 34. An object with position function $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 5 \cos t, -5 \sin t \rangle$, where distances are measured in feet and time is in seconds, on $[0, \pi]$.
- An object with velocity function v
 (t) = (cos t, sin t), where distances are measured in feet and time is in seconds, on [0, 2π].
- An object with velocity function v(t) = ⟨1,2,-1⟩, where distances are measured in feet and time is in seconds, on [0, 10].

Exercises 37 – 42 ask you to solve a variety of problems based on the principles of projectile motion.

 A boy whirls a ball, attached to a 3ft string, above his head in a counter-clockwise circle. The ball makes 2 revolutions per second.

At what *t*-values should the boy release the string so that the ball heads directly for a tree standing 10ft in front of him?


- 38. David faces Goliath with only a stone in a 3ft sling, which he whirls above his head at 4 revolutions per second. They stand 20ft apart.
 - (a) At what *t*-values must David release the stone in his sling in order to hit Goliath?
 - (b) What is the speed at which the stone is traveling when released?
 - (c) Assume David releases the stone from a height of 6ft and Goliath's forehead is 9ft above the ground. What angle of elevation must David apply to the stone to hit Goliath's head?

- 39. A hunter aims at a deer which is 40 yards away. Her crossbow is at a height of 5ft, and she aims for a spot on the deer 4ft above the ground. The crossbow fires her arrows at 300ft/s.
 - (a) At what angle of elevation should she hold the crossbow to hit her target?
 - (b) If the deer is moving perpendicularly to her line of sight at a rate of 20mph, by approximately how much should she lead the deer in order to hit it in the desired location?
- 40. A baseball player hits a ball at 100mph, with an initial height of 3ft and an angle of elevation of 20°, at Boston's Fenway Park. The ball flies towards the famed "Green Monster," a wall 37ft high located 310ft from home plate.
 - (a) Show that as hit, the ball hits the wall.
 - (b) Show that if the angle of elevation is 21° , the ball clears the Green Monster.
- 41. A Cessna flies at 1000ft at 150mph and drops a box of supplies to the professor (and his wife) on an island. Ignoring wind resistance, how far horizontally will the supplies travel before they land?
- 42. A football quarterback throws a pass from a height of 6ft, intending to hit his receiver 20yds away at a height of 5ft.
 - (a) If the ball is thrown at a rate of 50mph, what angle of elevation is needed to hit his intended target?
 - (b) If the ball is thrown at with an angle of elevation of 8°, what initial ball speed is needed to hit his target?

11.4 Unit Tangent and Normal Vectors

Unit Tangent Vector

Given a smooth vector-valued function $\vec{r}(t)$, we defined in Definition 11.2.4 that any vector parallel to $\vec{r}'(t_0)$ is *tangent* to the graph of $\vec{r}(t)$ at $t = t_0$. It is often useful to consider just the *direction* of $\vec{r}'(t)$ and not its magnitude. Therefore we are interested in the unit vector in the direction of $\vec{r}'(t)$. This leads to a definition.



Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a smooth function on an open interval *I*. The unit tangent vector $\vec{T}(t)$ is

$$\vec{T}(t) = \frac{1}{||\vec{r}'(t)||}\vec{r}'(t).$$

Example 11.4.1 Computing the unit tangent vector

Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3 \cos t, 3 \sin t, 4t \rangle$. Find $\vec{T}(t)$ and compute $\vec{T}(0)$ and $\vec{T}(1)$.

SOLUTION

We apply Definition 11.4.1 to find $\vec{T}(t)$.

$$\vec{T}(t) = \frac{1}{||\vec{r}'(t)||}\vec{r}'(t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(-3\sin t)^2 + (3\cos t)^2 + 4^2}} \langle -3\sin t, 3\cos t, 4 \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle -\frac{3}{5}\sin t, \frac{3}{5}\cos t, \frac{4}{5} \right\rangle.$$

We can now easily compute $\vec{T}(0)$ and $\vec{T}(1)$:

$$\vec{T}(0) = \left\langle 0, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5} \right\rangle; \quad \vec{T}(1) = \left\langle -\frac{3}{5} \sin 1, \frac{3}{5} \cos 1, \frac{4}{5} \right\rangle \approx \left\langle -0.505, 0.324, 0.8 \right\rangle.$$

These are plotted in Figure 11.4.1 with their initial points at $\vec{r}(0)$ and $\vec{r}(1)$, respectively. (They look rather "short" since they are only length 1.)

The unit tangent vector $\vec{T}(t)$ always has a magnitude of 1, though it is sometimes easy to doubt that is true. We can help solidify this thought in our minds by computing $||\vec{T}(1)||$:

$$||\vec{T}(1)|| \approx \sqrt{(-0.505)^2 + 0.324^2 + 0.8^2} = 1.000001$$




Figure 11.4.1: Plotting unit tangent vectors in Example 11.4.1.

We have rounded in our computation of $\vec{T}(1)$, so we don't get 1 exactly. We leave it to the reader to use the exact representation of $\vec{T}(1)$ to verify it has length 1.

In many ways, the previous example was "too nice." It turned out that $\vec{r}'(t)$ was always of length 5. In the next example the length of $\vec{r}'(t)$ is variable, leaving us with a formula that is not as clean.

Example 11.4.2 Computing the unit tangent vector Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 - t, t^2 + t \rangle$. Find $\vec{T}(t)$ and compute $\vec{T}(0)$ and $\vec{T}(1)$.

SOLUTION

We find $\vec{r}'(t) = \langle 2t - 1, 2t + 1 \rangle$, and

$$||\vec{r}'(t)|| = \sqrt{(2t-1)^2 + (2t+1)^2} = \sqrt{8t^2 + 2t^2}$$

Therefore

$$ec{T}(t) = rac{1}{\sqrt{8t^2+2}} \left< 2t-1, 2t+1 \right> = \left< rac{2t-1}{\sqrt{8t^2+2}}, rac{2t+1}{\sqrt{8t^2+2}} \right>$$

When t = 0, we have $\vec{T}(0) = \langle -1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2} \rangle$; when t = 1, we have $\vec{T}(1) = \langle 1/\sqrt{10}, 3/\sqrt{10} \rangle$. We leave it to the reader to verify each of these is a unit vector. They are plotted in Figure 11.4.2

Unit Normal Vector

Just as knowing the direction tangent to a path is important, knowing a direction orthogonal to a path is important. When dealing with real-valued functions, we defined the normal line at a point to the be the line through the point that was perpendicular to the tangent line at that point. We can do a similar thing with vector–valued functions. Given $\vec{r}(t)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 , we have 2 directions perpendicular to the tangent vector, as shown in Figure 11.4.3. It is good to wonder "Is one of these two directions preferable over the other?"

Given $\vec{r}(t)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 , there are infinitely many vectors orthogonal to the tangent vector at a given point. Again, we might wonder "Is one of these infinite choices preferable over the others? Is one of these the 'right' choice?"

The answer in both \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 is "Yes, there is one vector that is not only preferable, it is the 'right' one to choose." Recall Theorem 11.2.5, which states that if $\vec{r}(t)$ has constant length, then $\vec{r}(t)$ is orthogonal to $\vec{r}'(t)$ for all t. We know $\vec{T}(t)$, the unit tangent vector, has constant length. Therefore $\vec{T}(t)$ is orthogonal to $\vec{r}'(t)$.

We'll see that $\vec{r}'(t)$ is more than just a convenient choice of vector that is orthogonal to $\vec{r}'(t)$; rather, it is the "right" choice. Since all we care about is the direction, we define this newly found vector to be a unit vector.

Notes:




Figure 11.4.2: Plotting unit tangent vectors in Example 11.4.2.




Figure 11.4.3: Given a direction in the plane, there are always two directions orthogonal to it.

Note: $\vec{T}(t)$ is a unit vector, by definition. This *does not* imply that $\vec{T}'(t)$ is also a unit vector.

Definition 11.4.2 Unit Normal Vector

Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a vector–valued function where the unit tangent vector, $\vec{T}(t)$, is smooth on an open interval *I*. The **unit normal vector** $\vec{N}(t)$ is

$$ec{\mathsf{N}}(t) = rac{\mathsf{1}}{\mid\midec{\mathcal{T}}'(t)\mid\mid}ec{\mathcal{T}}'(t)$$

Example 11.4.3 Computing the unit normal vector

Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3 \cos t, 3 \sin t, 4t \rangle$ as in Example 11.4.1. Sketch both $\vec{T}(\pi/2)$ and $\vec{N}(\pi/2)$ with initial points at $\vec{r}(\pi/2)$.

Solution In Example 11.4.1, we found $\vec{T}(t) = \left\langle (-3/5) \sin t, (3/5) \cos t, 4/5 \right\rangle$. Therefore

$$\vec{\tau}'(t) = \left\langle -\frac{3}{5}\cos t, -\frac{3}{5}\sin t, 0 \right\rangle$$
 and $||\vec{\tau}'(t)|| = \frac{3}{5}$

Thus

$$ec{N}(t) = rac{ec{T}'(t)}{3/5} = \langle -\cos t, -\sin t, 0
angle$$

We compute $\vec{T}(\pi/2) = \langle -3/5, 0, 4/5 \rangle$ and $\vec{N}(\pi/2) = \langle 0, -1, 0 \rangle$. These are sketched in Figure 11.4.4.

The previous example was once again "too nice." In general, the expression for $\vec{T}(t)$ contains fractions of square–roots, hence the expression of $\vec{T}'(t)$ is very messy. We demonstrate this in the next example.

Example 11.4.4 Computing the unit normal vector

Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 - t, t^2 + t \rangle$ as in Example 11.4.2. Find $\vec{N}(t)$ and sketch $\vec{r}(t)$ with the unit tangent and normal vectors at t = -1, 0 and 1.

SOLUTION

In Example 11.4.2, we found

$$\vec{T}(t) = \left\langle \frac{2t-1}{\sqrt{8t^2+2}}, \frac{2t+1}{\sqrt{8t^2+2}} \right\rangle.$$

Finding $\vec{T}'(t)$ requires two applications of the Quotient Rule:




Figure 11.4.4: Plotting unit tangent and normal vectors in Example 11.4.4.

$$T'(t) = \left\langle \frac{\sqrt{8t^2 + 2}(2) - (2t - 1)\left(\frac{1}{2}(8t^2 + 2)^{-1/2}(16t)\right)}{8t^2 + 2}, \\ \frac{\sqrt{8t^2 + 2}(2) - (2t + 1)\left(\frac{1}{2}(8t^2 + 2)^{-1/2}(16t)\right)}{8t^2 + 2} \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle \frac{4(2t + 1)}{(8t^2 + 2)^{3/2}}, \frac{4(1 - 2t)}{(8t^2 + 2)^{3/2}} \right\rangle$$

This is not a unit vector; to find $\vec{N}(t)$, we need to divide $\vec{T}'(t)$ by it's magnitude.

$$\begin{aligned} || \, \vec{\tau}'(t) \, || &= \sqrt{\frac{16(2t+1)^2}{(8t^2+2)^3} + \frac{16(1-2t)^2}{(8t^2+2)^3}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{16(8t^2+2)}{(8t^2+2)^3}} \\ &= \frac{4}{8t^2+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\vec{N}(t) = \frac{1}{4/(8t^2+2)} \left\langle \frac{4(2t+1)}{(8t^2+2)^{3/2}}, \frac{4(1-2t)}{(8t^2+2)^{3/2}} \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle \frac{2t+1}{\sqrt{8t^2+2}}, -\frac{2t-1}{\sqrt{8t^2+2}} \right\rangle.$$

Using this formula for $\vec{N}(t)$, we compute the unit tangent and normal vectors for t = -1, 0 and 1 and sketch them in Figure 11.4.5.

The final result for $\vec{N}(t)$ in Example 11.4.4 is suspiciously similar to $\vec{T}(t)$. There is a clear reason for this. If $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ is a unit vector in \mathbb{R}^2 , then the *only* unit vectors orthogonal to \vec{u} are $\langle -u_2, u_1 \rangle$ and $\langle u_2, -u_1 \rangle$. Given $\vec{T}(t)$, we can quickly determine $\vec{N}(t)$ if we know which term to multiply by (-1).

Consider again Figure 11.4.5, where we have plotted some unit tangent and normal vectors. Note how $\vec{N}(t)$ always points "inside" the curve, or to the concave side of the curve. This is not a coincidence; this is true in general. Knowing the direction that $\vec{r}(t)$ "turns" allows us to quickly find $\vec{N}(t)$.




Figure 11.4.5: Plotting unit tangent and normal vectors in Example 11.4.4.

Theorem 11.4.1 Unit Normal Vectors in \mathbb{R}^2

Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a vector-valued function in \mathbb{R}^2 where $\vec{T}'(t)$ is smooth on an open interval *I*. Let t_0 be in *I* and $\vec{T}(t_0) = \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$ Then $\vec{N}(t_0)$ is either

$$\vec{N}(t_0) = \langle -t_2, t_1 \rangle$$
 or $\vec{N}(t_0) = \langle t_2, -t_1 \rangle$,

whichever is the vector that points to the concave side of the graph of \vec{r} .

Application to Acceleration

Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a position function. It is a fact (stated later in Theorem 11.4.2) that acceleration, $\vec{a}(t)$, lies in the plane defined by \vec{T} and \vec{N} . That is, there are scalar functions $a_{\rm T}(t)$ and $a_{\rm N}(t)$ such that

$$\vec{a}(t) = a_{\mathrm{T}}(t)\vec{T}(t) + a_{\mathrm{N}}(t)\vec{N}(t).$$

We generally drop the "of t" part of the notation and just write a_T and a_N .

The scalar a_T measures "how much" acceleration is in the direction of travel, that is, it measures the component of acceleration that affects the speed. The scalar a_N measures "how much" acceleration is perpendicular to the direction of travel, that is, it measures the component of acceleration that affects the direction of travel.

We can find a_T using the orthogonal projection of $\vec{a}(t)$ onto $\vec{T}(t)$ (review Definition 10.3.3 in Section 10.3 if needed). Recalling that since $\vec{T}(t)$ is a unit vector, $\vec{T}(t) \cdot \vec{T}(t) = 1$, so we have

$$\operatorname{proj}_{\vec{\tau}(t)} \vec{a}(t) = \frac{\vec{a}(t) \cdot T(t)}{\vec{\tau}(t) \cdot \vec{\tau}(t)} \vec{T}(t) = \underbrace{\left(\vec{a}(t) \cdot \vec{T}(t)\right)}_{q_{T}} \vec{T}(t).$$

→

Thus the amount of $\vec{a}(t)$ in the direction of $\vec{T}(t)$ is $a_T = \vec{a}(t) \cdot \vec{T}(t)$. The same logic gives $a_N = \vec{a}(t) \cdot \vec{N}(t)$.

While this is a fine way of computing a_T , there are simpler ways of finding a_N (as finding \vec{N} itself can be complicated). The following theorem gives alternate formulas for a_T and a_N .

Notes:

Note: Keep in mind that both a_T and a_N are functions of t; that is, the scalar changes depending on t. It is convention to drop the "(t)" notation from $a_T(t)$ and simply write a_T .

Theorem 11.4.2 Acceleration in the Plane Defined by \vec{T} and \vec{N}

Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a position function with acceleration $\vec{a}(t)$ and unit tangent and normal vectors $\vec{T}(t)$ and $\vec{N}(t)$. Then $\vec{a}(t)$ lies in the plane defined by $\vec{T}(t)$ and $\vec{N}(t)$; that is, there exists scalars a_T and a_N such that

$$\vec{a}(t) = a_{\mathsf{T}}\vec{T}(t) + a_{\mathsf{N}}\vec{N}(t).$$

Moreover,

$$a_{\mathsf{T}} = \vec{a}(t) \cdot \vec{T}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big(|| \vec{v}(t) || \Big)$$

$$a_{\mathsf{N}} = \vec{a}(t) \cdot \vec{\mathsf{N}}(t) = \sqrt{|| \vec{a}(t) ||^2 - a_{\mathsf{T}}^2} = \frac{|| \vec{a}(t) \times \vec{v}(t) ||}{|| \vec{v}(t) ||} = || \vec{v}(t) || || \vec{T}'(t) ||$$

Note the second formula for a_T : $\frac{d}{dt} (|| \vec{v}(t) ||)$. This measures the rate of change of speed, which again is the amount of acceleration in the direction of travel.

Example 11.4.5 Computing a_T and a_N

Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3 \cos t, 3 \sin t, 4t \rangle$ as in Examples 11.4.1 and 11.4.3. Find a_T and a_N .

Solution The previous examples give $ec{a}(t) = \langle -3\cos t, -3\sin t, 0 \rangle$ and

$$\vec{T}(t) = \left\langle -\frac{3}{5}\sin t, \frac{3}{5}\cos t, \frac{4}{5} \right\rangle$$
 and $\vec{N}(t) = \left\langle -\cos t, -\sin t, 0 \right\rangle$.

We can find a_T and a_N directly with dot products:

$$a_{\rm T} = \vec{a}(t) \cdot \vec{T}(t) = \frac{9}{5} \cos t \sin t - \frac{9}{5} \cos t \sin t + 0 = 0.$$

$$a_{\rm N} = \vec{a}(t) \cdot \vec{N}(t) = 3 \cos^2 t + 3 \sin^2 t + 0 = 3.$$

Thus $\vec{a}(t) = 0\vec{T}(t) + 3\vec{N}(t) = 3\vec{N}(t)$, which is clearly the case.

What is the practical interpretation of these numbers? $a_T = 0$ means the object is moving at a constant speed, and hence all acceleration comes in the form of direction change.

Example 11.4.6 Computing a_{T} and a_{N}

Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 - t, t^2 + t \rangle$ as in Examples 11.4.2 and 11.4.4. Find a_T and a_N .

SOLUTION

The previous examples give $\vec{a}(t) = \langle 2, 2 \rangle$ and

$$\vec{T}(t) = \left\langle \frac{2t-1}{\sqrt{8t^2+2}}, \frac{2t+1}{\sqrt{8t^2+2}} \right\rangle$$
 and $\vec{N}(t) = \left\langle \frac{2t+1}{\sqrt{8t^2+2}}, -\frac{2t-1}{\sqrt{8t^2+2}} \right\rangle$.

While we can compute a_N using $\vec{N}(t)$, we instead demonstrate using another formula from Theorem 11.4.2.

$$a_{\rm T} = \vec{a}(t) \cdot \vec{T}(t) = \frac{4t-2}{\sqrt{8t^2+2}} + \frac{4t+2}{\sqrt{8t^2+2}} = \frac{8t}{\sqrt{8t^2+2}}.$$
$$a_{\rm N} = \sqrt{||\vec{a}(t)||^2 - a_{\rm T}^2} = \sqrt{8 - \left(\frac{8t}{\sqrt{8t^2+2}}\right)^2} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{8t^2+2}}.$$

When t = 2, $a_T = \frac{16}{\sqrt{34}} \approx 2.74$ and $a_N = \frac{4}{\sqrt{34}} \approx 0.69$. We interpret this to mean that at t = 2, the particle is accelerating mostly by increasing speed, not by changing direction. As the path near t = 2 is relatively straight, this should make intuitive sense. Figure 11.4.6 gives a graph of the path for reference.

Contrast this with t = 0, where $a_T = 0$ and $a_N = 4/\sqrt{2} \approx 2.82$. Here the particle's speed is not changing and all acceleration is in the form of direction change.

Example 11.4.7 Analyzing projectile motion

A ball is thrown from a height of 240ft with an initial speed of 64ft/s and an angle of elevation of 30°. Find the position function $\vec{r}(t)$ of the ball and analyze a_T and a_N .

SOLUTION Using Key Idea 11.3.2 of Section 11.3 we form the position function of the ball:

$$ec{r}(t) = \langle (64\cos 30^\circ)t, -16t^2 + (64\sin 30^\circ)t + 240 \rangle$$

which we plot in Figure 11.4.7.

From this we find $\vec{v}(t) = \langle 64 \cos 30^{\circ}, -32t + 64 \sin 30^{\circ} \rangle$ and $\vec{a}(t) = \langle 0, -32 \rangle$. Computing $\vec{T}(t)$ is not difficult, and with some simplification we find

$$ec{ au}(t) = \left\langle rac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{t^2 - 2t + 4}}, rac{1 - t}{\sqrt{t^2 - 2t + 4}}
ight
angle.$$

With $\vec{a}(t)$ as simple as it is, finding a_T is also simple:

$$a_{\mathrm{T}}=\vec{a}(t)\cdot\vec{T}(t)=\frac{32t-32}{\sqrt{t^2-2t+4}}.$$




Figure 11.4.6: Graphing $\vec{r}(t)$ in Example 11.4.6.




Figure 11.4.7: Plotting the position of a thrown ball, with 1s increments shown.

We choose to not find $\vec{N}(t)$ and find a_N through the formula $a_N = \sqrt{||\vec{a}(t)||^2 - a_T^2}$:

$$a_{\rm N} = \sqrt{32^2 - \left(rac{32t - 32}{\sqrt{t^2 - 2t + 4}}
ight)^2} = rac{32\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{t^2 - 2t + 4}}$$

Figure 11.4.8 gives a table of values of a_T and a_N . When t = 0, we see the ball's speed is decreasing; when t = 1 the speed of the ball is unchanged. This corresponds to the fact that at t = 1 the ball reaches its highest point.

After t = 1 we see that a_N is decreasing in value. This is because as the ball falls, it's path becomes straighter and most of the acceleration is in the form of speeding up the ball, and not in changing its direction.

Our understanding of the unit tangent and normal vectors is aiding our understanding of motion. The work in Example 11.4.7 gave quantitative analysis of what we intuitively knew.

The next section provides two more important steps towards this analysis. We currently describe position only in terms of time. In everyday life, though, we often describe position in terms of distance ("The gas station is about 2 miles ahead, on the left."). The *arc length parameter* allows us to reference position in terms of distance traveled.

We also intuitively know that some paths are straighter than others – and some are curvier than others, but we lack a measurement of "curviness." The arc length parameter provides a way for us to compute *curvature*, a quantitative measurement of how curvy a curve is.

t	a _T	a _N
0	-16	27.7
1	0	32
2	16	27.7
3	24.2	20.9
4	27.7	16
5	29.4	12.7

Figure 11.4.8: A table of values of a_T and a_N in Example 11.4.7.

Exercises 11.4

Terms and Concepts

- 1. If $\vec{T}(t)$ is a unit tangent vector, what is $||\vec{T}(t)||$?
- 2. If $\vec{N}(t)$ is a unit normal vector, what is $\vec{N}(t) \cdot \vec{r}'(t)$?
- 3. The acceleration vector $\vec{a}(t)$ lies in the plane defined by what two vectors?
- 4. a_T measures how much the acceleration is affecting the _____ of an object.

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 8 , given $\vec{r}(t)$, find $\vec{T}(t)$ and evaluate it at the indicated value of t.

5.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2t^2, t^2 - t \rangle$$
, $t = 1$

6.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, \cos t \rangle$$
, $t = \pi/4$

- 7. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos^3 t, \sin^3 t \rangle$, $t = \pi/4$
- 8. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t \rangle$, $t = \pi$

In Exercises 9 - 12, find the equation of the line tangent to the curve at the indicated *t*-value using the unit tangent vector. Note: these are the same problems as in Exercises 5 - 8.

- 9. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2t^2, t^2 t \rangle$, t = 1
- 10. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, \cos t \rangle$, $t = \pi/4$
- 11. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos^3 t, \sin^3 t \rangle$, $t = \pi/4$
- 12. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t \rangle$, $t = \pi$

In Exercises 13 – 16 , find $\vec{N}(t)$ using Definition 11.4.2. Confirm the result using Theorem 11.4.1.

- 13. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3\cos t, 3\sin t \rangle$
- 14. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t^2 \rangle$
- 15. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, 2 \sin t \rangle$

16.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle e^t, e^{-t} \rangle$$

In Exercises 17 – 20 , a position function $\vec{r}(t)$ is given along with its unit tangent vector $\vec{T}(t)$ evaluated at t = a, for some value of a.

- (a) Confirm that $\vec{T}(a)$ is as stated.
- (b) Using a graph of $\vec{r}(t)$ and Theorem 11.4.1, find $\vec{N}(a)$.

17.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3\cos t, 5\sin t \rangle; \quad \vec{T}(\pi/4) = \left\langle -\frac{3}{\sqrt{34}}, \frac{5}{\sqrt{34}} \right\rangle.$$

18.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle t, \frac{1}{t^2 + 1} \right\rangle; \quad \vec{T}(1) = \left\langle \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right\rangle.$$

19.
$$\vec{r}(t) = (1 + 2\sin t) \langle \cos t, \sin t \rangle; \quad \vec{T}(0) = \left\langle \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right\rangle.$$

20.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle \cos^3 t, \sin^3 t \right\rangle; \quad \vec{T}(\pi/4) = \left\langle -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle.$$

In Exercises 21 – 24 , find $\vec{N}(t)$.

21.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle 4t, 2 \sin t, 2 \cos t \rangle$$

- 22. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 5 \cos t, 3 \sin t, 4 \sin t \rangle$
- 23. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle a \cos t, a \sin t, bt \rangle; \quad a > 0$
- 24. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos(at), \sin(at), t \rangle$

In Exercises 25 – 30, find a_T and a_N given $\vec{r}(t)$. Sketch $\vec{r}(t)$ on the indicated interval, and comment on the relative sizes of a_T and a_N at the indicated t values.

- 25. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t^2 \rangle$ on [-1, 1]; consider t = 0 and t = 1.
- 26. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, 1/t \rangle$ on (0, 4]; consider t = 1 and t = 2.
- 27. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2\cos t, 2\sin t \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$; consider t = 0 and $t = \pi/2$.
- 28. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos(t^2), \sin(t^2) \rangle$ on $(0, 2\pi]$; consider $t = \sqrt{\pi/2}$ and $t = \sqrt{\pi}$.
- 29. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle a \cos t, a \sin t, bt \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$, where a, b > 0; consider t = 0 and $t = \pi/2$.
- 30. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 5 \cos t, 4 \sin t, 3 \sin t \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$; consider t = 0 and $t = \pi/2$.

11.5 The Arc Length Parameter and Curvature

In normal conversation we describe position in terms of both *time* and *distance*. For instance, imagine driving to visit a friend. If she calls and asks where you are, you might answer "I am 20 minutes from your house," or you might say "I am 10 miles from your house." Both answers provide your friend with a general idea of where you are.

Currently, our vector-valued functions have defined points with a parameter t, which we often take to represent time. Consider Figure 11.5.1(a), where $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 - t, t^2 + t \rangle$ is graphed and the points corresponding to t = 0, 1 and 2 are shown. Note how the arc length between t = 0 and t = 1 is smaller than the arc length between t = 1 and t = 2; if the parameter t is time and \vec{r} is position, we can say that the particle traveled faster on [1, 2] than on [0, 1].

Now consider Figure 11.5.1(b), where the same graph is parametrized by a different variable *s*. Points corresponding to s = 0 through s = 6 are plotted. The arc length of the graph between each adjacent pair of points is 1. We can view this parameter *s* as distance; that is, the arc length of the graph from s = 0 to s = 3 is 3, the arc length from s = 2 to s = 6 is 4, etc. If one wants to find the point 2.5 units from an initial location (i.e., s = 0), one would compute $\vec{r}(2.5)$. This parameter *s* is very useful, and is called the **arc length parameter**.

How do we find the arc length parameter?

Start with any parametrization of \vec{r} . We can compute the arc length of the graph of \vec{r} on the interval [0, t] with

$$\operatorname{arc length} = \int_0^t || \, \vec{r}'(u) \, || \, du.$$

We can turn this into a function: as *t* varies, we find the arc length *s* from 0 to *t*. This function is

$$s(t) = \int_0^t || \vec{r}'(u) || \, du. \tag{11.1}$$

This establishes a relationship between *s* and *t*. Knowing this relationship explicitly, we can rewrite $\vec{r}(t)$ as a function of *s*: $\vec{r}(s)$. We demonstrate this in an example.

Example 11.5.1 Finding the arc length parameter

Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3t - 1, 4t + 2 \rangle$. Parametrize \vec{r} with the arc length parameter *s*.

SOLUTION Using Equation (11.1), we write

$$s(t)=\int_0^t ||\vec{r}'(u)||\,du.$$




Figure 11.5.1: Introducing the arc length parameter.




Figure 11.5.2: Graphing \vec{r} in Example 11.5.1 with parameters *t* and *s*.

We can integrate this, explicitly finding a relationship between *s* and *t*:

$$s(t) = \int_0^t ||\vec{r}'(u)|| du$$
$$= \int_0^t \sqrt{3^2 + 4^2} du$$
$$= \int_0^t 5 du$$
$$= 5t.$$

Since s = 5t, we can write t = s/5 and replace t in $\vec{r}(t)$ with s/5:

$$\vec{r}(s) = \langle 3(s/5) - 1, 4(s/5) + 2 \rangle = \left\langle \frac{3}{5}s - 1, \frac{4}{5}s + 2 \right\rangle.$$

Clearly, as shown in Figure 11.5.2, the graph of \vec{r} is a line, where t = 0 corresponds to the point (-1, 2). What point on the line is 2 units away from this initial point? We find it with $\vec{r}(2) = \langle 1/5, 18/5 \rangle$.

Is the point (1/5, 18/5) really 2 units away from (-1, 2)? We use the Distance Formula to check:

$$d = \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{5} - (-1)\right)^2 + \left(\frac{18}{5} - 2\right)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{36}{25} + \frac{64}{25}} = \sqrt{4} = 2.$$

Yes, $\vec{r}(2)$ is indeed 2 units away, in the direction of travel, from the initial point.

Things worked out very nicely in Example 11.5.1; we were able to establish directly that s = 5t. Usually, the arc length parameter is much more difficult to describe in terms of t, a result of integrating a square–root. There are a number of things that we can learn about the arc length parameter from Equation (11.1), though, that are incredibly useful.

First, take the derivative of s with respect to t. The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus (see Theorem 5.4.1) states that

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = s'(t) = ||\vec{r}'(t)||.$$
(11.2)

Letting *t* represent time and $\vec{r}(t)$ represent position, we see that the rate of change of *s* with respect to *t* is speed; that is, the rate of change of "distance traveled" is speed, which should match our intuition.

The Chain Rule states that

$$\frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} = \frac{d\vec{r}}{ds} \cdot \frac{ds}{dt}$$
$$\vec{r}'(t) = \vec{r}'(s) \cdot ||\vec{r}'(t)||.$$

Solving for $\vec{r}'(s)$, we have

$$\vec{r}'(s) = \frac{\vec{r}'(t)}{||\vec{r}'(t)||} = \vec{T}(t),$$
(11.3)

where $\vec{T}(t)$ is the unit tangent vector. Equation 11.3 is often misinterpreted, as one is tempted to think it states $\vec{r}'(t) = \vec{T}(t)$, but there is a big difference between $\vec{r}'(s)$ and $\vec{r}'(t)$. The key to take from it is that $\vec{r}'(s)$ is a unit vector. In fact, the following theorem states that this characterizes the arc length parameter.

Theorem 11.5.1 Arc Length Parameter

Let $\vec{r}(s)$ be a vector–valued function. The parameter s is the arc length parameter if, and only if, $||\vec{r}'(s)|| = 1$.

Curvature

Consider points *A* and *B* on the curve graphed in Figure 11.5.3(a). One can readily argue that the curve curves more sharply at *A* than at *B*. It is useful to use a number to describe how sharply the curve bends; that number is the **curvature** of the curve.

We derive this number in the following way. Consider Figure 11.5.3(b), where unit tangent vectors are graphed around points A and B. Notice how the direction of the unit tangent vector changes quite a bit near A, whereas it does not change as much around B. This leads to an important concept: measuring the rate of change of the unit tangent vector with respect to arc length gives us a measurement of curvature.

Definition 11.5.1 Curvature

Let $\vec{r}(s)$ be a vector-valued function where *s* is the arc length parameter. The curvature κ of the graph of $\vec{r}(s)$ is

$$\kappa = \left| \left| \frac{d\vec{T}}{ds} \right| \right| = \left| \left| \vec{T}'(s) \right| \right|.$$

If $\vec{r}(s)$ is parametrized by the arc length parameter, then

$$ec{\mathcal{T}}(s) = rac{ec{\mathcal{T}}'(s)}{||\,ec{\mathcal{T}}'(s)\,||} \quad ext{and} \quad ec{\mathcal{N}}(s) = rac{ec{\mathcal{T}}'(s)}{||\,ec{\mathcal{T}}'(s)\,||}$$




Figure 11.5.3: Establishing the concept of curvature.

Having defined $|| \vec{T}'(s) || = \kappa$, we can rewrite the second equation as

$$\vec{T}'(s) = \kappa \vec{N}(s). \tag{11.4}$$

We already knew that $\vec{T}'(s)$ is in the same direction as $\vec{N}(s)$; that is, we can think of $\vec{T}(s)$ as being "pulled" in the direction of $\vec{N}(s)$. How "hard" is it being pulled? By a factor of κ . When the curvature is large, $\vec{T}(s)$ is being "pulled hard" and the direction of $\vec{T}(s)$ changes rapidly. When κ is small, T(s) is not being pulled hard and hence its direction is not changing rapidly.

We use Definition 11.5.1 to find the curvature of the line in Example 11.5.1.

Example 11.5.2 Finding the curvature of a line

Use Definition 11.5.1 to find the curvature of $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3t - 1, 4t + 2 \rangle$.

SOLUTION In Example 11.5.1, we found that the arc length parameter was defined by s = 5t, so $\vec{r}(s) = \langle 3s/5 - 1, 4s/5 + 2 \rangle$ parametrized \vec{r} with the arc length parameter. To find κ , we need to find $\vec{T}'(s)$.

$$ec{T}(s) = ec{r}'(s)$$
 (recall this is a unit vector)
= $\langle 3/5, 4/5 \rangle$.

Therefore

$$\vec{T}'(s) = \langle \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0} \rangle$$

and

$$\kappa = \left| \left| \vec{T}'(s) \right| \right| = \mathbf{0}$$

It probably comes as no surprise that the curvature of a line is 0. (How "curvy" is a line? It is not curvy at all.)

While the definition of curvature is a beautiful mathematical concept, it is nearly impossible to use most of the time; writing \vec{r} in terms of the arc length parameter is generally very hard. Fortunately, there are other methods of calculating this value that are much easier. There is a tradeoff: the definition is "easy" to understand though hard to compute, whereas these other formulas are easy to compute though it may be hard to understand why they work.



Let *C* be a smooth curve in the plane or in space.

1. If *C* is defined by y = f(x), then

$$\kappa = \frac{\left|f''(\mathbf{x})\right|}{\left(\mathbf{1} + \left(f'(\mathbf{x})\right)^2\right)^{3/2}}.$$

2. If *C* is defined as a vector–valued function in the plane, $\vec{r}(t) = \langle x(t), y(t) \rangle$, then

$$\kappa = \frac{|x'y'' - x''y'|}{\left((x')^2 + (y')^2\right)^{3/2}}$$

3. If *C* is defined in space by a vector–valued function $\vec{r}(t)$, then

$$\kappa = \frac{||\vec{T}'(t)||}{||\vec{r}'(t)||} = \frac{||\vec{r}'(t) \times \vec{r}''(t)||}{||\vec{r}'(t)||^3} = \frac{\vec{a}(t) \cdot \vec{N}(t)}{||\vec{v}(t)||^2}.$$

We practice using these formulas.

Example 11.5.3 Finding the curvature of a circle

Find the curvature of a circle with radius *r*, defined by $\vec{c}(t) = \langle r \cos t, r \sin t \rangle$.


SOLUTION Before we start, we should expect the curvature of a circle to be constant, and not dependent on *t*. (Why?)


We compute κ using the second part of Theorem 11.5.2.

$$\begin{split} \kappa &= \frac{|(-r\sin t)(-r\sin t) - (-r\cos t)(r\cos t)|}{\left((-r\sin t)^2 + (r\cos t)^2\right)^{3/2}} \\ &= \frac{r^2(\sin^2 t + \cos^2 t)}{\left(r^2(\sin^2 t + \cos^2 t)\right)^{3/2}} \\ &= \frac{r^2}{r^3} = \frac{1}{r}. \end{split}$$

We have found that a circle with radius *r* has curvature $\kappa = 1/r$.

Example 11.5.3 gives a great result. Before this example, if we were told








Figure 11.5.5: Examining the curvature of $y = x^2$.

"The curve has a curvature of 5 at point A," we would have no idea what this really meant. Is 5 "big" – does is correspond to a really sharp turn, or a not-so-sharp turn? Now we can think of 5 in terms of a circle with radius 1/5. Knowing the units (inches vs. miles, for instance) allows us to determine how sharply the curve is curving.

Let a point *P* on a smooth curve *C* be given, and let κ be the curvature of the curve at *P*. A circle that:

- passes through P,
- lies on the concave side of C,
- has a common tangent line as C at P and
- has radius $r = 1/\kappa$ (hence has curvature κ)

is the **osculating circle**, or **circle of curvature**, to *C* at *P*, and *r* is the **radius of curvature**. Figure 11.5.4 shows the graph of the curve seen earlier in Figure 11.5.3 and its osculating circles at *A* and *B*. A sharp turn corresponds to a circle with a small radius; a gradual turn corresponds to a circle with a large radius. Being able to think of curvature in terms of the radius of a circle is very useful. (The word "osculating" comes from a Latin word related to kissing; an osculating circle "kisses" the graph at a particular point. Many beautiful ideas in mathematics have come from studying the osculating circles to a curve.)

Example 11.5.4 Finding curvature

Find the curvature of the parabola defined by $y = x^2$ at the vertex and at x = 1.

SOLUTION

We use the first formula found in Theorem 11.5.2.

$$\kappa(\mathbf{x}) = rac{|\mathbf{2}|}{\left(1 + (2\mathbf{x})^2
ight)^{3/2}} = rac{2}{\left(1 + 4\mathbf{x}^2
ight)^{3/2}}.$$

At the vertex (x = 0), the curvature is $\kappa = 2$. At x = 1, the curvature is $\kappa = 2/(5)^{3/2} \approx 0.179$. So at x = 0, the curvature of $y = x^2$ is that of a circle of radius 1/2; at x = 1, the curvature is that of a circle with radius $\approx 1/0.179 \approx 5.59$. This is illustrated in Figure 11.5.5. At x = 3, the curvature is 0.009; the graph is nearly straight as the curvature is very close to 0.

Example 11.5.5 Finding curvature

Find where the curvature of $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t^2, 2t^3 \rangle$ is maximized.

SOLUTION We use the third formula in Theorem 11.5.2 as $\vec{r}(t)$ is defined in space. We leave it to the reader to verify that

$$ec{r}'(t) = \left\langle 1, 2t, 6t^2
ight
angle, \quad ec{r}''(t) = \left\langle 0, 2, 12t
ight
angle, \quad ext{and} \quad ec{r}'(t) imes ec{r}''(t) = \left\langle 12t^2, -12t, 2
ight
angle.$$

Thus

$$egin{aligned} \kappa(t) &= rac{||\,ec{r}\,'(t) imesec{r}\,''(t)\,||}{||\,ec{r}\,'(t)\,||^3} \ &= rac{||\,\,\langle 12t^2,-12t,2
angle\,\,||}{||\,\,\langle 1,2t,6t^2
angle\,\,||^3} \ &= rac{\sqrt{144t^4+144t^2+4}}{ig(\sqrt{1+4t^2+36t^4}ig)^3} \end{aligned}$$

While this is not a particularly "nice" formula, it does explicitly tell us what the curvature is at a given t value. To maximize $\kappa(t)$, we should solve $\kappa'(t) = 0$ for t. This is doable, but very time consuming. Instead, consider the graph of $\kappa(t)$ as given in Figure 11.5.6(a). We see that κ is maximized at two t values; using a numerical solver, we find these values are $t \approx \pm 0.189$. In part (b) of the figure we graph $\vec{r}(t)$ and indicate the points where curvature is maximized.

Curvature and Motion

Let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a position function of an object, with velocity $\vec{v}(t) = \vec{r}'(t)$ and acceleration $\vec{a}(t) = \vec{r}''(t)$. In Section 11.4 we established that acceleration is in the plane formed by $\vec{T}(t)$ and $\vec{N}(t)$, and that we can find scalars a_T and a_N such that

$$ec{a}(t) = a_{\mathrm{T}}ec{T}(t) + a_{\mathrm{N}}ec{N}(t).$$

Theorem 11.4.2 gives formulas for a_T and a_N :

$$a_{\mathsf{T}} = rac{d}{dt} \left(\mid\mid ec{v}(t) \mid\mid
ight)$$
 and $a_{\mathsf{N}} = rac{\mid\mid ec{v}(t) imes ec{a}(t) \mid\mid}{\mid\mid ec{v}(t) \mid\mid}$

We understood that the amount of acceleration in the direction of \vec{T} relates only to how the speed of the object is changing, and that the amount of acceleration in the direction of \vec{N} relates to how the direction of travel of the object is changing. (That is, if the object travels at constant speed, $a_T = 0$; if the object travels in a constant direction, $a_N = 0$.)

In Equation (11.2) at the beginning of this section, we found $s'(t) = ||\vec{v}(t)||$. We can combine this fact with the above formula for a_T to write

$$a_{\mathsf{T}} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(|| \vec{\mathbf{v}}(t) || \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(s'(t) \right) = s''(t).$$




Figure 11.5.6: Understanding the curvature of a curve in space.

Since s'(t) is speed, s''(t) is the rate at which speed is changing with respect to time. We see once more that the component of acceleration in the direction of travel relates only to speed, not to a change in direction.

Now compare the formula for a_N above to the formula for curvature in Theorem 11.5.2:

$$a_{\mathsf{N}} = \frac{||\vec{v}(t) \times \vec{a}(t)||}{||\vec{v}(t)||} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa = \frac{||\vec{r}'(t) \times \vec{r}''(t)||}{||\vec{r}'(t)||^3} = \frac{||\vec{v}(t) \times \vec{a}(t)||}{||\vec{v}(t)||^3}.$$

Thus

$$a_{\mathsf{N}} = \kappa || \, \vec{\mathbf{v}}(t) \, ||^2 \tag{11.5}$$
$$= \kappa \left(s'(t) \right)^2$$

This last equation shows that the component of acceleration that changes the object's direction is dependent on two things: the curvature of the path and the speed of the object.

Imagine driving a car in a clockwise circle. You will naturally feel a force pushing you towards the door (more accurately, the door is pushing you as the car is turning and you want to travel in a straight line). If you keep the radius of the circle constant but speed up (i.e., increasing s'(t)), the door pushes harder against you (a_N has increased). If you keep your speed constant but tighten the turn (i.e., increase κ), once again the door will push harder against you.

Putting our new formulas for a_T and a_N together, we have

$$\vec{a}(t) = s''(t)\vec{T}(t) + \kappa ||\vec{v}(t)||^2\vec{N}(t).$$

This is not a particularly practical way of finding a_T and a_N , but it reveals some great concepts about how acceleration interacts with speed and the shape of a curve.

Example 11.5.6 Curvature and road design

The minimum radius of the curve in a highway cloverleaf is determined by the operating speed, as given in the table in Figure 11.5.7. For each curve and speed, compute a_N .

SOLUTION Using Equation (11.5), we can compute the acceleration normal to the curve in each case. We start by converting each speed from "miles per hour" to "feet per second" by multiplying by 5280/3600.

Notes:

Operating	Minimum
Speed (mph)	Radius (ft)
35	310
40	430
45	540

Figure 11.5.7: Operating speed and minimum radius in highway cloverleaf design.

35mph, 310ft ⇒ 51.33ft/s,
$$\kappa = 1/310$$

 $a_N = \kappa || \vec{v}(t) ||^2$
 $= \frac{1}{310} (51.33)^2$
 $= 8.50ft/s^2$.

40mph, 430ft
$$\Rightarrow$$
 58.67ft/s, $\kappa = 1/430$
 $a_{\rm N} = \frac{1}{430} (58.67)^2$
 $= 8.00 {\rm ft/s^2}.$

45mph,540ft ⇒ 66ft/s, κ = 1/540 $a_N = \frac{1}{540} (66)^2$ = 8.07ft/s².

Note that each acceleration is similar; this is by design. Considering the classic "Force = mass × acceleration" formula, this acceleration must be kept small in order for the tires of a vehicle to keep a "grip" on the road. If one travels on a turn of radius 310ft at a rate of 50mph, the acceleration is double, at 17.35ft/s^2 . If the acceleration is too high, the frictional force created by the tires may not be enough to keep the car from sliding. Civil engineers routinely compute a "safe" design speed, then subtract 5-10mph to create the posted speed limit for additional safety.

We end this chapter with a reflection on what we've covered. We started with vector-valued functions, which may have seemed at the time to be just another way of writing parametric equations. However, we have seen that the vector perspective has given us great insight into the behavior of functions and the study of motion. Vector-valued position functions convey displacement, distance traveled, speed, velocity, acceleration and curvature information, each of which has great importance in science and engineering.

Exercises 11.5

Terms and Concepts

- 1. It is common to describe position in terms of both ______ and/or _____.
- 2. A measure of the "curviness" of a curve is _____
- 3. Give two shapes with constant curvature.
- 4. Describe in your own words what an "osculating circle" is.
- 5. Complete the identity: $\vec{T}'(s) = \underline{\vec{N}(s)}$.
- Given a position function r
 [−](t), how are a_T and a_N affected by the curvature?

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 10, a position function $\vec{r}(t)$ is given, where t = 0 corresponds to the initial position. Find the arc length parameter *s*, and rewrite $\vec{r}(t)$ in terms of *s*; that is, find $\vec{r}(s)$.

- 7. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2t, t, -2t \rangle$
- 8. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 7 \cos t, 7 \sin t \rangle$
- 9. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3\cos t, 3\sin t, 2t \rangle$
- 10. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 5 \cos t, 13 \sin t, 12 \cos t \rangle$

In Exercises 11 - 22, a curve *C* is described along with 2 points on *C*.

- (a) Using a sketch, determine at which of these points the curvature is greater.
- (b) Find the curvature κ of C, and evaluate κ at each of the 2 given points.
- 11. C is defined by $y = x^3 x$; points given at x = 0 and x = 1/2.
- 12. C is defined by $y = \frac{1}{x^2 + 1}$; points given at x = 0 and x = 2.
- 13. *C* is defined by $y = \cos x$; points given at x = 0 and $x = \pi/2$.
- 14. C is defined by $y = \sqrt{1 x^2}$ on (-1, 1); points given at x = 0 and x = 1/2.
- 15. *C* is defined by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin(2t) \rangle$; points given at t = 0 and $t = \pi/4$.

- 16. *C* is defined by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos^2 t, \sin t \cos t \rangle$; points given at t = 0 and $t = \pi/3$.
- 17. *C* is defined by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 1, t^3 t \rangle$; points given at t = 0 and t = 5.
- 18. *C* is defined by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \tan t, \sec t \rangle$; points given at t = 0 and $t = \pi/6$.
- 19. *C* is defined by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 4t + 2, 3t 1, 2t + 5 \rangle$; points given at t = 0 and t = 1.
- 20. *C* is defined by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^3 t, t^3 4, t^2 1 \rangle$; points given at t = 0 and t = 1.
- 21. C is defined by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3 \cos t, 3 \sin t, 2t \rangle$; points given at t = 0 and $t = \pi/2$.
- 22. *C* is defined by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 5 \cos t, 13 \sin t, 12 \cos t \rangle$; points given at t = 0 and $t = \pi/2$.
- In Exercises 23 26, find the value of x or t where curvature is maximized.

23.
$$y = \frac{1}{6}x^{3}$$
24.
$$y = \sin x$$
25.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^{2} + 2t, 3t - t^{2} \rangle$$
26.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, 4/t, 3/t \rangle$$

In Exercises 27 - 30, find the radius of curvature at the indicated value.

27. $y = \tan x$, at $x = \pi/4$ 28. $y = x^2 + x - 3$, at $x = \pi/4$ 29. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin(3t) \rangle$, at t = 030. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 5\cos(3t), t \rangle$, at t = 0

In Exercises 31 - 34, find the equation of the osculating circle to the curve at the indicated *t*-value.

31.
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t^2 \rangle$$
, at $t = 0$

- 32. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3 \cos t, \sin t \rangle$, at t = 0
- 33. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3 \cos t, \sin t \rangle$, at $t = \pi/2$
- 34. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 t, t^2 + t \rangle$, at t = 0

12: FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES

A function of the form y = f(x) is a function of a single variable; given a value of x, we can find a value y. Even the vector–valued functions of Chapter 11 are single–variable functions; the input is a single variable though the output is a vector.

There are many situations where a desired quantity is a function of two or more variables. For instance, wind chill is measured by knowing the temperature and wind speed; the volume of a gas can be computed knowing the pressure and temperature of the gas; to compute a baseball player's batting average, one needs to know the number of hits and the number of at–bats.

This chapter studies **multivariable** functions, that is, functions with more than one input.

12.1 Introduction to Multivariable Functions

Definition 12.1.1 Function of Two Variables

Let *D* be a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . A **function** *f* **of two variables** is a rule that assigns each pair (x, y) in *D* a value z = f(x, y) in \mathbb{R} . *D* is the **domain** of *f*; the set of all outputs of *f* is the **range**.

Example 12.1.1 Understanding a function of two variables

Let $z = f(x, y) = x^2 - y$. Evaluate f(1, 2), f(2, 1), and f(-2, 4); find the domain and range of f.

SOLUTION Using the definition $f(x, y) = x^2 - y$, we have:

$$f(1,2) = 1^2 - 2 = -1$$

$$f(2,1) = 2^2 - 1 = 3$$

$$f(-2,4) = (-2)^2 - 4 = 0$$

The domain is not specified, so we take it to be all possible pairs in \mathbb{R}^2 for which f is defined. In this example, f is defined for *all* pairs (x, y), so the domain D of f is \mathbb{R}^2 .

The output of *f* can be made as large or small as possible; any real number *r* can be the output. (In fact, given any real number *r*, f(0, -r) = r.) So the range *R* of *f* is \mathbb{R} .




Figure 12.1.1: Illustrating the domain of f(x, y) in Example 12.1.2.




Figure 12.1.2: Graphing a function of two variables.

Example 12.1.2 Understanding a function of two variables

Let
$$f(x, y) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{9} - \frac{y^2}{4}}$$
. Find the domain and range of f .

 $\frac{x^2}{9}$

SOLUTION The domain is all pairs (x, y) allowable as input in f. Because of the square–root, we need (x, y) such that $0 \le 1 - \frac{x^2}{9} - \frac{y^2}{4}$:

$$0 \le 1 - rac{x^2}{9} - rac{y^2}{4} + rac{y^2}{4} \le 1$$

The above equation describes an ellipse and its interior as shown in Figure 12.1.1. We can represent the domain *D* graphically with the figure; in set notation, we can write $D = \{(x, y) | \frac{x^2}{9} + \frac{y^2}{4} \le 1\}$.

The range is the set of all possible output values. The square–root ensures that all output is ≥ 0 . Since the *x* and *y* terms are squared, then subtracted, inside the square–root, the largest output value comes at x = 0, y = 0: f(0, 0) = 1. Thus the range *R* is the interval [0, 1].

Graphing Functions of Two Variables

The **graph** of a function f of two variables is the set of all points (x, y, f(x, y)) where (x, y) is in the domain of f. This creates a **surface** in space.

One can begin sketching a graph by plotting points, but this has limitations.

Consider Figure 12.1.2(a) where 25 points have been plotted of $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2 + 1}$

More points have been plotted than one would reasonably want to do by hand, yet it is not clear at all what the graph of the function looks like. Technology allows us to plot lots of points, connect adjacent points with lines and add shading to create a graph like Figure 12.1.2b which does a far better job of illustrating the behavior of f.

While technology is readily available to help us graph functions of two variables, there is still a paper-and-pencil approach that is useful to understand and master as it, combined with high-quality graphics, gives one great insight into the behavior of a function. This technique is known as sketching **level curves**.

Level Curves

It may be surprising to find that the problem of representing a three dimensional surface on paper is familiar to most people (they just don't realize it). Topographical maps, like the one shown in Figure 12.1.3, represent the surface of Earth by indicating points with the same elevation with **contour lines**. The

Notes:

elevations marked are equally spaced; in this example, each thin line indicates an elevation change in 50ft increments and each thick line indicates a change of 200ft. When lines are drawn close together, elevation changes rapidly (as one does not have to travel far to rise 50ft). When lines are far apart, such as near "Aspen Campground," elevation changes more gradually as one has to walk farther to rise 50ft.

Given a function z = f(x, y), we can draw a "topographical map" of f by drawing **level curves** (or, contour lines). A level curve at z = c is a curve in the x-y plane such that for all points (x, y) on the curve, f(x, y) = c.

When drawing level curves, it is important that the *c* values are spaced equally apart as that gives the best insight to how quickly the "elevation" is changing. Examples will help one understand this concept.

Example 12.1.3 Drawing Level Curves Let $f(x, y) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{9} - \frac{y^2}{4}}$. Find the level curves of *f* for *c* = 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 and 1.

SOLUTION Consider first c = 0. The level curve for c = 0 is the set of all points (x, y) such that $0 = \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{9} - \frac{y^2}{4}}$. Squaring both sides gives us

$$\frac{x^2}{9}+\frac{y^2}{4}=1,$$

an ellipse centered at (0, 0) with horizontal major axis of length 6 and minor axis of length 4. Thus for any point (x, y) on this curve, f(x, y) = 0.

Now consider the level curve for c = 0.2

$$0.2 = \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{9} - \frac{y^2}{4}}$$
$$0.04 = 1 - \frac{x^2}{9} - \frac{y^2}{4}$$
$$\frac{x^2}{9} + \frac{y^2}{4} = 0.96$$
$$\frac{x^2}{8.64} + \frac{y^2}{3.84} = 1.$$

This is also an ellipse, where $a = \sqrt{8.64} \approx 2.94$ and $b = \sqrt{3.84} \approx 1.96$.



Figure 12.1.3: A topographical map displays elevation by drawing contour lines, along with the elevation is constant. Sample taken from the public domain USGS Digital Raster Graphics, http://topmaps.usga.gove/drg/.




Figure 12.1.4: Graphing the level curves in Example 12.1.3.

In general, for z = c, the level curve is:

$$c = \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{9} - \frac{y^2}{4}}$$

$$c^2 = 1 - \frac{x^2}{9} - \frac{y^2}{4}$$

$$\frac{x^2}{9} + \frac{y^2}{4} = 1 - c^2$$

$$\frac{x^2}{9(1 - c^2)} + \frac{y^2}{4(1 - c^2)} = 1,$$

ellipses that are decreasing in size as *c* increases. A special case is when c = 1; there the ellipse is just the point (0, 0).

The level curves are shown in Figure 12.1.4(a). Note how the level curves for c = 0 and c = 0.2 are very, very close together: this indicates that f is growing rapidly along those curves.

In Figure 12.1.4(b), the curves are drawn on a graph of f in space. Note how the elevations are evenly spaced. Near the level curves of c = 0 and c = 0.2 we can see that f indeed is growing quickly.

Example 12.1.4 Analyzing Level Curves

Let $f(x, y) = \frac{x + y}{x^2 + y^2 + 1}$. Find the level curves for z = c.

SOLUTION We begin by setting f(x, y) = c for an arbitrary c and seeing if algebraic manipulation of the equation reveals anything significant.

$$\frac{x+y}{x^2+y^2+1} = c$$
$$x+y = c(x^2+y^2+1)$$

We recognize this as a circle, though the center and radius are not yet clear. By completing the square, we can obtain:

$$\left(x-\frac{1}{2c}\right)^2+\left(y-\frac{1}{2c}\right)^2=\frac{1}{2c^2}-1,$$

a circle centered at (1/(2c), 1/(2c)) with radius $\sqrt{1/(2c^2) - 1}$, where $|c| < 1/\sqrt{2}$. The level curves for $c = \pm 0.2, \pm 0.4$ and ± 0.6 are sketched in Figure 12.1.5(a). To help illustrate "elevation," we use thicker lines for c values near 0, and dashed lines indicate where c < 0.

There is one special level curve, when c = 0. The level curve in this situation is x + y = 0, the line y = -x.

In Figure 12.1.5(b) we see a graph of the surface. Note how the *y*-axis is pointing away from the viewer to more closely resemble the orientation of the level curves in (a).

Seeing the level curves helps us understand the graph. For instance, the graph does not make it clear that one can "walk" along the line y = -x without elevation change, though the level curve does.

Functions of Three Variables

We extend our study of multivariable functions to functions of three variables. (One can make a function of as many variables as one likes; we limit our study to three variables.)

Definition 12.1.2 Function of Three Variables

Let *D* be a subset of \mathbb{R}^3 . A function *f* of three variables is a rule that assigns each triple (x, y, z) in *D* a value w = f(x, y, z) in \mathbb{R} . *D* is the domain of *f*; the set of all outputs of *f* is the range.

Note how this definition closely resembles that of Definition 12.1.1.

Example 12.1.5 Understanding a function of three variables

Let $f(x, y, z) = \frac{x^2 + z + 3 \sin y}{x + 2y - z}$. Evaluate f at the point (3, 0, 2) and find the domain and range of f.

SOLUTION

$$f(3,0,2) = \frac{3^2 + 2 + 3\sin 0}{3 + 2(0) - 2} = 11$$

As the domain of f is not specified, we take it to be the set of all triples (x, y, z) for which f(x, y, z) is defined. As we cannot divide by 0, we find the domain D is

 $D = \{(x, y, z) \mid x + 2y - z \neq 0\}.$

We recognize that the set of all points in \mathbb{R}^3 that *are not* in *D* form a plane in space that passes through the origin (with normal vector (1, 2, -1)).

We determine the range *R* is \mathbb{R} ; that is, all real numbers are possible outputs of *f*. There is no set way of establishing this. Rather, to get numbers near 0 we can let y = 0 and choose $z \approx -x^2$. To get numbers of arbitrarily large magnitude, we can let $z \approx x + 2y$.




Figure 12.1.5: Graphing the level curves in Example 12.1.4.

Level Surfaces

It is very difficult to produce a meaningful graph of a function of three variables. A function of *one* variable is a *curve* drawn in 2 dimensions; a function of *two* variables is a *surface* drawn in 3 dimensions; a function of *three* variables is a *hypersurface* drawn in 4 dimensions.

There are a few techniques one can employ to try to "picture" a graph of three variables. One is an analogue of level curves: **level surfaces**. Given w = f(x, y, z), the level surface at w = c is the surface in space formed by all points (x, y, z) where f(x, y, z) = c.

Example 12.1.6 Finding level surfaces

If a point source *S* is radiating energy, the intensity *I* at a given point *P* in space is inversely proportional to the square of the distance between *S* and *P*. That is,

when S = (0, 0, 0), $I(x, y, z) = \frac{k}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ for some constant k. Let k = 1; find the level surfaces of I.

SOLUTION We can (mostly) answer this question using "common sense." If energy (say, in the form of light) is emanating from the origin, its intensity will be the same at all points equidistant from the origin. That is, at any point on the surface of a sphere centered at the origin, the intensity should be the same. Therefore, the level surfaces are spheres.

We now find this mathematically. The level surface at I = c is defined by

$$c=\frac{1}{x^2+y^2+z^2}.$$

A small amount of algebra reveals

$$x^2+y^2+z^2=\frac{1}{c}.$$

Given an intensity *c*, the level surface l = c is a sphere of radius $1/\sqrt{c}$, centered at the origin.

Figure 12.1.6 gives a table of the radii of the spheres for given *c* values. Normally one would use equally spaced *c* values, but these values have been chosen purposefully. At a distance of 0.25 from the point source, the intensity is 16; to move to a point of half that intensity, one just moves out 0.1 to 0.35 - not much at all. To again halve the intensity, one moves 0.15, a little more than before.

Note how each time the intensity if halved, the distance required to move away grows. We conclude that the closer one is to the source, the more rapidly the intensity changes.

In the next section we apply the concepts of limits to functions of two or more variables.

Notes:

с	r
16.	0.25
8.	0.35
4.	0.5
2.	0.71
1.	1.
0.5	1.41
0.25	2.
0.125	2.83
0.0625	4.

Figure 12.1.6: A table of *c* values and the corresponding radius *r* of the spheres of constant value in Example 12.1.6.

Exercises 12.1

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Give two examples (other than those given in the text) of "real world" functions that require more than one input.
- 2. The graph of a function of two variables is a _____
- 3. Most people are familiar with the concept of level curves in the context of _____ maps.
- 4. T/F: Along a level curve, the output of a function does not change.
- 5. The analogue of a level curve for functions of three variables is a level ______.
- 6. What does it mean when level curves are close together? Far apart?

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 14, give the domain and range of the multivariable function.

7.
$$f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + 2$$

8.
$$f(x, y) = x + 2y$$

9. f(x, y) = x - 2y

$$10. f(x,y) = \frac{1}{x+2y}$$

11.
$$f(x,y) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2 + 1}$$

12. $f(x, y) = \sin x \cos y$

13.
$$f(x, y) = \sqrt{9 - x^2 - y^2}$$

14. $f(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 - 9}}$

In Exercises 15 – 22, describe in words and sketch the level curves for the function and given c values.

15. f(x, y) = 3x - 2y; c = -2, 0, 2

16.
$$f(x, y) = x^2 - y^2$$
; $c = -1, 0, 1$

17.
$$f(x, y) = x - y^2$$
; $c = -2, 0, 2$

18.
$$f(x,y) = \frac{1-x^2-y^2}{2y-2x}; c = -2, 0, 2$$

19.
$$f(x,y) = \frac{2x - 2y}{x^2 + y^2 + 1}$$
; $c = -1, 0, 1$

20.
$$f(x,y) = \frac{y-x^3-1}{x}; c = -3, -1, 0, 1, 3$$

21.
$$f(x,y) = \sqrt{x^2 + 4y^2}$$
; $c = 1, 2, 3, 4$

22.
$$f(x, y) = x^2 + 4y^2$$
; $c = 1, 2, 3, 4$

In Exercises 23 – 26, give the domain and range of the functions of three variables.

23.
$$f(x, y, z) = \frac{x}{x + 2y - 4z}$$


24. $f(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{1 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2}$
25. $f(x, y, z) = \sqrt{z - x^2 + y^2}$
26. $f(x, y, z) = z^2 \sin x \cos y$


In Exercises 27 – 30, describe the level surfaces of the given functions of three variables.

27.
$$f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$$

28. $f(x, y, z) = z - x^2 + y^2$
29. $f(x, y, z) = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{z}$
30. $f(x, y, z) = \frac{z}{x - y}$

31. Compare the level curves of Exercises 21 and 22. How are they similar, and how are they different? Each surface is a quadric surface; describe how the level curves are consistent with what we know about each surface.





12.2 Limits and Continuity of Multivariable Functions

We continue with the pattern we have established in this text: after defining a new kind of function, we apply calculus ideas to it. The previous section defined functions of two and three variables; this section investigates what it means for these functions to be "continuous."

We begin with a series of definitions. We are used to "open intervals" such as (1,3), which represents the set of all x such that 1 < x < 3, and "closed intervals" such as [1,3], which represents the set of all x such that $1 \le x \le 3$. We need analogous definitions for open and closed sets in the x-y plane.

Definition 12.2.1 Open Disk, Boundary and Interior Points, Open and Closed Sets, Bounded Sets

An **open disk** *B* in \mathbb{R}^2 centered at (x_0, y_0) with radius *r* is the set of all points (x, y) such that $\sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2} < r$.

Let *S* be a set of points in \mathbb{R}^2 . A point *P* in \mathbb{R}^2 is a **boundary point** of *S* if all open disks centered at *P* contain both points in *S* and points not in *S*.

A point *P* in *S* is an **interior point** of *S* if there is an open disk centered at *P* that contains only points in *S*.

A set *S* is **open** if every point in *S* is an interior point.

A set *S* is **closed** if it contains all of its boundary points.

A set S is **bounded** if there is an M > 0 such that the open disk, centered at the origin with radius M, contains S. A set that is not bounded is **unbounded**.

Figure 12.2.1 shows several sets in the *x*-*y* plane. In each set, point P_1 lies on the boundary of the set as all open disks centered there contain both points in, and not in, the set. In contrast, point P_2 is an interior point for there is an open disk centered there that lies entirely within the set.

The set depicted in Figure 12.2.1(a) is a closed set as it contains all of its boundary points. The set in (b) is open, for all of its points are interior points (or, equivalently, it does not contain any of its boundary points). The set in (c) is neither open nor closed as it contains some of its boundary points.

Example 12.2.1 Determining open/closed, bounded/unbounded

Determine if the domain of the function $f(x, y) = \sqrt{1 - x^2/9 - y^2/4}$ is open, closed, or neither, and if it is bounded.

SOLUTION This domain of this function was found in Example 12.1.2 to be $D = \{(x, y) \mid \frac{x^2}{9} + \frac{y^2}{4} \le 1\}$, the region *bounded* by the ellipse $\frac{x^2}{9} + \frac{y^2}{4} = 1$. Since the region includes the boundary (indicated by the use of " \le "), the set contains all of its boundary points and hence is closed. The region is bounded as a disk of radius 4, centered at the origin, contains *D*.

Example 12.2.2 Determining open/closed, bounded/unbounded Determine if the domain of $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{x-y}$ is open, closed, or neither.

SOLUTION As we cannot divide by 0, we find the domain to be $D = \{(x, y) | x - y \neq 0\}$. In other words, the domain is the set of all points (x, y) not on the line y = x.

The domain is sketched in Figure 12.2.2. Note how we can draw an open disk around any point in the domain that lies entirely inside the domain, and also note how the only boundary points of the domain are the points on the line y = x. We conclude the domain is an open set. The set is unbounded.

Limits

Recall a pseudo-definition of the limit of a function of one variable: " $\lim_{x \to a} f(x) =$

L" means that if x is "really close" to c, then f(x) is "really close" to L. A similar pseudo–definition holds for functions of two variables. We'll say that

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(x_0,y_0)}f(x,y)=L^{2}$$

means "if the point (x, y) is really close to the point (x_0, y_0) , then f(x, y) is really close to *L*." The formal definition is given below.

Definition 12.2.2 Limit of a Function of Two Variables

Let *S* be a set containing $P = (x_0, y_0)$ where every open disk centered at *P* contains points in *S* other than *P*, let *f* be a function of two variables defined on *S*, except possibly at *P*, and let *L* be a real number. The **limit** of f(x, y) as (x, y) approaches (x_0, y_0) is *L*, denoted

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(x_0,y_0)}f(x,y)=L,$$

means that given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all (x, y) in *S*, where $(x, y) \neq (x_0, y_0)$, if (x, y) is in the open disk centered at (x_0, y_0) with radius δ , then $|f(x, y) - L| < \varepsilon$.

Notes:




Figure 12.2.2: Sketching the domain of the function in Example 12.2.2.

Note: While our first limit definition was defined over an open interval, we now define limits over a set *S* in the plane (where *S* does not have to be open). As planar sets can be far more complicated than intervals, our definition adds the restriction "... where every open disk centered at *P* contains points in *S* other than *P*." In this text, all sets we'll consider will satisfy this condition and we won't bother to check; it is included in the definition for completeness.




Figure 12.2.3: **Illustrating the definition** of a limit. The open disk in the *x*-*y* plane has radius δ . Let (x, y) be any point in this disk; f(x, y) is within ε of *L*.

The concept behind Definition 12.2.2 is sketched in Figure 12.2.3. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, find $\delta > 0$ such that if (x, y) is any point in the open disk centered at (x_0, y_0) in the *x*-*y* plane with radius δ , then f(x, y) should be within ε of *L*.

Computing limits using this definition is rather cumbersome. The following theorem allows us to evaluate limits much more easily.

Theorem 12.2.1 Basic Limit Properties of Functions of Two Variables

Let *b*, x_0 , y_0 , *L* and *K* be real numbers, let *n* be a positive integer, and let *f* and *g* be functions with the following limits:

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(x_0,y_0)}f(x,y)=L\quad\text{and}\ \lim_{(x,y)\to(x_0,y_0)}g(x,y)=K.$$

The following limits hold.

1. Constants:
$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(x_0,y_0)} b = b$$
2. Identity
$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(x_0,y_0)} x = x_0; \quad \lim_{(x,y)\to(x_0,y_0)} y = y_0$$
3. Sums/Differences:
$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(x_0,y_0)} (f(x,y) \pm g(x,y)) = L \pm K$$
4. Scalar Multiples:
$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(x_0,y_0)} b \cdot f(x,y) = bL$$
5. Products:
$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(x_0,y_0)} f(x,y) \cdot g(x,y) = LK$$
6. Quotients:
$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(x_0,y_0)} f(x,y)/g(x,y) = L/K, (K \neq 0)$$
7. Powers:
$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(x_0,y_0)} f(x,y)^n = L^n$$

This theorem, combined with Theorems 1.3.2 and 1.3.3 of Section 1.3, allows us to evaluate many limits.

Example 12.2.3 Evaluating a limit Evaluate the following limits:

1.
$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(1,\pi)} \left(\frac{y}{x} + \cos(xy)\right)$$
 2. $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{3xy}{x^2 + y^2}$

SOLUTION

1. The aforementioned theorems allow us to simply evaluate $y/x + \cos(xy)$ when x = 1 and $y = \pi$. If an indeterminate form is returned, we must do more work to evaluate the limit; otherwise, the result is the limit. Therefore

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(1,\pi)}\left(\frac{y}{x}+\cos(xy)\right)=\frac{\pi}{1}+\cos\pi$$
$$=\pi-1.$$

2. We attempt to evaluate the limit by substituting 0 in for x and y, but the result is the indeterminate form "0/0." To evaluate this limit, we must "do more work," but we have not yet learned what "kind" of work to do. Therefore we cannot yet evaluate this limit.

When dealing with functions of a single variable we also considered onesided limits and stated

$$\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = L \quad \text{if, and only if,} \quad \lim_{x \to c^+} f(x) = L \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \to c^-} f(x) = L.$$

That is, the limit is L if and only if f(x) approaches L when x approaches c from either direction, the left or the right.

In the plane, there are infinitely many directions from which (x, y) might approach (x_0, y_0) . In fact, we do not have to restrict ourselves to approaching (x_0, y_0) from a particular direction, but rather we can approach that point along a path that is not a straight line. It is possible to arrive at different limiting values by approaching (x_0, y_0) along different paths. If this happens, we say that

 $\lim_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\to(\mathbf{x}_0,\mathbf{y}_0)} f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \text{ does not exist (this is analogous to the left and right hand limits}$

of single variable functions not being equal).

Our theorems tell us that we can evaluate most limits quite simply, without worrying about paths. When indeterminate forms arise, the limit may or may not exist. If it does exist, it can be difficult to prove this as we need to show the same limiting value is obtained regardless of the path chosen. The case where the limit does not exist is often easier to deal with, for we can often pick two paths along which the limit is different.

Example 12.2.4 Showing limits do not exist

1. Show
$$\lim_{\substack{(x,y)\to(0,0)\\y=mx.}} \frac{3xy}{x^2+y^2}$$
 does not exist by finding the limits along the lines

2. Show $\lim_{\substack{(x,y)\to(0,0)\\y=-\sin x}} \frac{\sin(xy)}{x+y}$ does not exist by finding the limit along the path

SOLUTION

1. Evaluating $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{3xy}{x^2+y^2}$ along the lines y = mx means replace all y's with mx and evaluating the resulting limit:

$$\lim_{(x,mx)\to(0,0)} \frac{3x(mx)}{x^2 + (mx)^2} = \lim_{x\to 0} \frac{3mx^2}{x^2(m^2 + 1)}$$
$$= \lim_{x\to 0} \frac{3m}{m^2 + 1}$$
$$= \frac{3m}{m^2 + 1}.$$

While the limit exists for each choice of m, we get a *different* limit for each choice of m. That is, along different lines we get differing limiting values, meaning *the* limit does not exist.

2. Let $f(x, y) = \frac{\sin(xy)}{x+y}$. We are to show that $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} f(x, y)$ does not exist by finding the limit along the path $y = -\sin x$. First, however, consider the limits found along the lines y = mx as done above.

$$\lim_{(x,mx)\to(0,0)}\frac{\sin\left(x(mx)\right)}{x+mx} = \lim_{x\to0}\frac{\sin(mx^2)}{x(m+1)}$$
$$= \lim_{x\to0}\frac{\sin(mx^2)}{x}\cdot\frac{1}{m+1}.$$

By applying L'Hôpital's Rule, we can show this limit is 0 *except* when m = -1, that is, along the line y = -x. This line is not in the domain of f, so we have found the following fact: along every line y = mx in the domain of f, $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} f(x,y) = 0$.

Now consider the limit along the path $y = -\sin x$:

$$\lim_{(x,-\sin x)\to(0,0)}\frac{\sin\left(-x\sin x\right)}{x-\sin x}=\lim_{x\to 0}\frac{\sin\left(-x\sin x\right)}{x-\sin x}$$

Now apply L'Hôpital's Rule twice:

$$= \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\cos\left(-x\sin x\right)(-\sin x - x\cos x)}{1 - \cos x} \quad (" = 0/0")$$
$$= \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{-\sin\left(-x\sin x\right)(-\sin x - x\cos x)^2 + \cos\left(-x\sin x\right)(-2\cos x + x\sin x)}{\sin x}$$
$$= "-2/0" \Rightarrow \text{ the limit does not exist.}$$

Step back and consider what we have just discovered. Along any line y = mx in the domain of the f(x, y), the limit is 0. However, along the path $y = -\sin x$, which lies in the domain of f(x, y) for all $x \neq 0$, the limit does not exist. Since the limit is not the same along every path to (0, 0), we say $\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sin(xy)}{\cos x}$ does not exist.

$$(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)$$
 $x + y$ does not ex

Example 12.2.5 Finding a limit
Let
$$f(x, y) = \frac{5x^2y^2}{x^2 + y^2}$$
. Find $\lim_{(x,y) \to (0,0)} f(x, y)$.

SOLUTION It is relatively easy to show that along any line y = mx, the limit is 0. This is not enough to prove that the limit exists, as demonstrated in the previous example, but it tells us that if the limit does exist then it must be 0.

To prove the limit is 0, we apply Definition 12.2.2. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We want to find $\delta > 0$ such that if $\sqrt{(x-0)^2 + (y-0)^2} < \delta$, then $|f(x,y) - 0| < \varepsilon$.

Set $\delta < \sqrt{\varepsilon/5}$. Note that $\left|\frac{5y^2}{x^2 + y^2}\right| < 5$ for all $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$, and that if $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} < \delta$, then $x^2 < \delta^2$. Let $\sqrt{(x - 0)^2 + (y - 0)^2} = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} < \delta$. Consider |f(x, y) - 0|: $|f(x, y) - 0| = \left|\frac{5x^2y^2}{x^2 + y^2} - 0\right|$ $= \left|x^2 \cdot \frac{5y^2}{x^2 + y^2}\right|$ $< \delta^2 \cdot 5$ $< \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \cdot 5$ $= \varepsilon$.

Thus if $\sqrt{(x-0)^2 + (y-0)^2} < \delta$ then $|f(x,y) - 0| < \varepsilon$, which is what we wanted to show. Thus $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{5x^2y^2}{x^2+y^2} = 0.$

Continuity

Definition 1.5.1 defines what it means for a function of one variable to be continuous. In brief, it meant that the graph of the function did not have breaks, holes, jumps, etc. We define continuity for functions of two variables in a similar way as we did for functions of one variable.

Definition 12.2.3 Continuous

Let a function f(x, y) be defined on a set S containing the point (x_0, y_0) .

- 1. *f* is continuous at (x_0, y_0) if $\lim_{(x,y)\to(x_0,y_0)} f(x,y) = f(x_0, y_0)$.
- 2. f is continuous on S if f is continuous at all points in S. If f is continuous at all points in \mathbb{R}^2 , we say that *f* is **continuous everywhere**.

Example 12.2.6 Continuity of a function of two variables

 $\frac{\cos y \sin x}{x} \quad x \neq 0 \\ \cos y \quad x = 0$. Is *f* continuous at (0,0)? Is *f* continuous Let f(x, y) =

everywhere?

To determine if f is continuous at (0, 0), we need to compare SOLUTION $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)}f(x,y) \text{ to } f(0,0).$

Applying the definition of *f*, we see that $f(0, 0) = \cos 0 = 1$.

We now consider the limit $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} f(x,y)$. Substituting 0 for x and y in $(\cos y \sin x)/x$ returns the indeterminate form "0/0", so we need to do more work to evaluate this limit.

Consider two related limits: $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \cos y$ and $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{\sin x}{x}$. The first limit does not contain x, and since cos y is continuous,

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)}\cos y=\lim_{y\to 0}\cos y=\cos 0=1.$$

The second limit does not contain y. By Theorem 1.3.5 we can say

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)}\frac{\sin x}{x} = \lim_{x\to 0}\frac{\sin x}{x} = 1.$$

Finally, Theorem 12.2.1 of this section states that we can combine these two limits as follows:

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{\cos y \sin x}{x} = \lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} (\cos y) \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)$$
$$= \left(\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \cos y\right) \left(\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{\sin x}{x}\right)$$
$$= (1)(1)$$
$$= 1.$$

We have found that $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{\cos y \sin x}{x} = f(0,0)$, so f is continuous at (0,0).

A similar analysis shows that f is continuous at all points in \mathbb{R}^2 . As long as $x \neq 0$, we can evaluate the limit directly; when x = 0, a similar analysis shows that the limit is cos y. Thus we can say that f is continuous everywhere. A graph of f is given in Figure 12.2.4. Notice how it has no breaks, jumps, etc.

The following theorem is very similar to Theorem 1.5.1, giving us ways to combine continuous functions to create other continuous functions.

Theorem 12.2.2 Properties of Continuous Functions

fⁿ

Let f and g be continuous on a set S, let c be a real number, and let n be a positive integer. The following functions are continuous on S.

- 1. Sums/Differences: $f \pm g$
- 2. Constant Multiples: $c \cdot f$
- 3. Products: $f \cdot g$

g

- 5. Powers:
 - 6. Roots: $\sqrt[n]{f}$ (if *n* is even then $f \ge 0$ on *S*; if *n* is odd, then true for all values of *f* on *S*.)

(as longs as $g \neq 0$ on S)

7. Compositions: Adjust the definitions of f and g to: Let f be continuous on S, where the range of f on S is J, and let g be a single variable function that is continuous on J. Then $g \circ f$, i.e., g(f(x, y)), is continuous on S.

Example 12.2.7 Establishing continuity of a function

Let $f(x, y) = sin(x^2 \cos y)$. Show *f* is continuous everywhere.

SOLUTION We will apply both Theorems 1.5.1 and 12.2.2. Let $f_1(x, y) = x^2$. Since y is not actually used in the function, and polynomials are continuous (by Theorem 1.5.1), we conclude f_1 is continuous everywhere. A similar statement can be made about $f_2(x, y) = \cos y$. Part 3 of Theorem 12.2.2 states that $f_3 = f_1 \cdot f_2$ is continuous everywhere, and Part 7 of the theorem states the composition of sine with f_3 is continuous: that is, $\sin(f_3) = \sin(x^2 \cos y)$ is continuous everywhere.




Figure 12.2.4: A graph of f(x, y) in Example 12.2.6.

Functions of Three Variables

The definitions and theorems given in this section can be extended in a natural way to definitions and theorems about functions of three (or more) variables. We cover the key concepts here; some terms from Definitions 12.2.1 and 12.2.3 are not redefined but their analogous meanings should be clear to the reader.

Definition 12.2.4 Open Balls, Limit, Continuous

(*x*

- 1. An **open ball** in \mathbb{R}^3 centered at (x_0, y_0, z_0) with radius r is the set of all points (x, y, z) such that $\sqrt{(x x_0)^2 + (y y_0)^2 + (z z_0)^2} = r$.
- 2. Let *D* be a set in \mathbb{R}^3 containing (x_0, y_0, z_0) where every open ball centered at (x_0, y_0, z_0) contains points of *D* other than (x_0, y_0, z_0) , and let f(x, y, z) be a function of three variables defined on *D*, except possibly at (x_0, y_0, z_0) . The **limit** of f(x, y, z) as (x, y, z) approaches (x_0, y_0, z_0) is *L*, denoted

$$\lim_{(y,z)\to(x_0,y_0,z_0)}f(x,y,z)=L,$$

means that given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for all (x, y, z)in D, $(x, y, z) \neq (x_0, y_0, z_0)$, if (x, y, z) is in the open ball centered at (x_0, y_0, z_0) with radius δ , then $|f(x, y, z) - L| < \varepsilon$.

3. Let f(x, y, z) be defined on a set D containing (x_0, y_0, z_0) . f is continuous at (x_0, y_0, z_0) if $\lim_{(x, y, z) \to (x_0, y_0, z_0)} f(x, y, z) = f(x_0, y_0, z_0)$; if f is continuous at all points in D, we say f is continuous on D.

These definitions can also be extended naturally to apply to functions of four or more variables. Theorem 12.2.2 also applies to function of three or more variables, allowing us to say that the function

$$f(x, y, z) = \frac{e^{x^2 + y}\sqrt{y^2 + z^2 + 3}}{\sin(xyz) + 5}$$

is continuous everywhere.

When considering single variable functions, we studied limits, then continuity, then the derivative. In our current study of multivariable functions, we have studied limits and continuity. In the next section we study derivation, which takes on a slight twist as we are in a multivarible context.

Exercises 12.2

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Describe in your own words the difference between boundary and interior points of a set.
- 2. Use your own words to describe (informally) what $\lim_{(x,y)\to(1,2)} f(x,y) = 17$ means.
- 3. Give an example of a closed, bounded set.
- 4. Give an example of a closed, unbounded set.
- 5. Give an example of a open, bounded set.
- 6. Give an example of a open, unbounded set.

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 10, a set S is given.

- (a) Give one boundary point and one interior point, when possible, of *S*.
- (b) State whether S is open, closed, or neither.
- (c) State whether *S* is bounded or unbounded.

7.
$$S = \left\{ (x, y) \mid \frac{(x-1)^2}{4} + \frac{(y-3)^2}{9} \le 1 \right\}$$

8.
$$S = \{(x, y) | y \neq x^2\}$$

9.
$$S = \{(x, y) | x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$$

10. $S = \{(x, y) | y > \sin x\}$

In Exercises 11 – 14:

- (a) Find the domain D of the given function.
- (b) State whether D is an open or closed set.
- (c) State whether *D* is bounded or unbounded.

11.
$$f(x, y) = \sqrt{9 - x^2 - y^2}$$

12.
$$f(x, y) = \sqrt{y - x^2}$$

13. $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{y - x^2}}$

14.
$$f(x,y) = \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2}$$

In Exercises 15 - 20, a limit is given. Evaluate the limit along the paths given, then state why these results show the given limit does not exist.

15.
$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2}$$
(a) Along the path $y = 0$.
(b) Along the path $x = 0$.

16.
$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{x+y}{x-y}$$

(a) Along the path y = mx.

17.
$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)}\frac{xy-y^2}{y^2+x}$$

(a) Along the path y = mx.

(b) Along the path x = 0.

18.
$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{\sin(x^2)}{y}$$
(a) Along the path $y = mx$.
(b) Along the path $y = x^2$.

19.
$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(1,2)} \frac{x+y-3}{x^2-1}$$
(a) Along the path $y = 2$.

(b) Along the path y = x + 1.

20.
$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(\pi,\pi/2)}\frac{\sin x}{\cos y}$$

- (a) Along the path $x = \pi$.
- (b) Along the path $y = x \pi/2$.




Figure 12.3.1: By fixing y = 2, the surface $f(x, y) = x^2 + 2y^2$ is a curve in space.

Alternate notations for $f_x(x, y)$ include:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x,y), \ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \ \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}, \ \text{and} \ z_x$$

with similar notations for $f_y(x, y)$. For ease of notation, $f_x(x, y)$ is often abbreviated f_x .

12.3 Partial Derivatives

Let y be a function of x. We have studied in great detail the derivative of y with respect to x, that is, $\frac{dy}{dx}$, which measures the rate at which y changes with respect to x. Consider now z = f(x, y). It makes sense to want to know how z changes with respect to x and/or y. This section begins our investigation into these rates of change.

Consider the function $z = f(x, y) = x^2 + 2y^2$, as graphed in Figure 12.3.1(a). By fixing y = 2, we focus our attention to all points on the surface where the y-value is 2, shown in both parts (a) and (b) of the figure. These points form a curve in space: $z = f(x, 2) = x^2 + 8$ which is a function of just one variable. We can take the derivative of z with respect to x along this curve and find equations of tangent lines, etc.

The key notion to extract from this example is: by treating y as constant (it does not vary) we can consider how z changes with respect to x. In a similar fashion, we can hold x constant and consider how z changes with respect to y. This is the underlying principle of **partial derivatives**. We state the formal, limit–based definition first, then show how to compute these partial derivatives without directly taking limits.

Definition 12.3.1 Partial Derivative

Let z = f(x, y) be a continuous function on a set *S* in \mathbb{R}^2 .

1. The **partial derivative of** *f* **with respect to** *x* is:

$$f_x(x,y) = \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(x+h,y) - f(x,y)}{h}.$$

2. The partial derivative of f with respect to y is:

$$f_{y}(x,y) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x,y+h) - f(x,y)}{h}$$

Example 12.3.1 Computing partial derivatives with the limit definition Let $f(x, y) = x^2y + 2x + y^3$. Find $f_x(x, y)$ using the limit definition.

SOLUTION

Using Definition 12.3.1, we have:

$$f_{x}(x,y) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h,y) - f(x,y)}{h}$$

= $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{(x+h)^{2}y + 2(x+h) + y^{3} - (x^{2}y + 2x + y^{3})}{h}$
= $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{x^{2}y + 2xhy + h^{2}y + 2x + 2h + y^{3} - (x^{2}y + 2x + y^{3})}{h}$
= $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{2xhy + h^{2}y + 2h}{h}$
= $\lim_{h \to 0} 2xy + hy + 2$
= $2xy + 2$.

We have found $f_x(x, y) = 2xy + 2$.

Example 12.3.1 found a partial derivative using the formal, limit–based definition. Using limits is not necessary, though, as we can rely on our previous knowledge of derivatives to compute partial derivatives easily. When computing $f_x(x, y)$, we hold y fixed – it does not vary. Therefore we can compute the derivative with respect to x by treating y as a constant or coefficient.

Just as $\frac{d}{dx}(5x^2) = 10x$, we compute $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x^2y) = 2xy$. Here we are treating y as a coefficient.

Just as $\frac{d}{dx}(5^3) = 0$, we compute $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(y^3) = 0$. Here we are treating y as a constant. More examples will help make this clear.

Example 12.3.2 Finding partial derivatives

Find $f_x(x, y)$ and $f_y(x, y)$ in each of the following.

1.
$$f(x, y) = x^3y^2 + 5y^2 - x + 7$$

2. $f(x, y) = \cos(xy^2) + \sin x$
3. $f(x, y) = e^{x^2y^3}\sqrt{x^2 + 1}$

SOLUTION

1. We have $f(x, y) = x^3y^2 + 5y^2 - x + 7$. Begin with $f_x(x, y)$. Keep y fixed, treating it as a constant or coefficient, as appropriate:

$$f_x(x,y) = 3x^2y^2 - 1.$$

Note how the $5y^2$ and 7 terms go to zero.

To compute $f_{y}(x, y)$, we hold x fixed:

$$f_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})=2\mathbf{x}^{3}\mathbf{y}+10\mathbf{y}.$$

Note how the -x and 7 terms go to zero.

2. We have $f(x, y) = \cos(xy^2) + \sin x$.

Begin with $f_x(x, y)$. We need to apply the Chain Rule with the cosine term; y^2 is the coefficient of the *x*-term inside the cosine function.

$$f_x(x,y) = -\sin(xy^2)(y^2) + \cos x = -y^2 \sin(xy^2) + \cos x.$$

To find $f_y(x, y)$, note that x is the coefficient of the y^2 term inside of the cosine term; also note that since x is fixed, sin x is also fixed, and we treat it as a constant.

$$f_y(x, y) = -\sin(xy^2)(2xy) = -2xy\sin(xy^2).$$

3. We have $f(x, y) = e^{x^2y^3}\sqrt{x^2 + 1}$. Beginning with $f_x(x, y)$, note how we need to apply the Product Rule.

$$f_x(x,y) = e^{x^2y^3} (2xy^3) \sqrt{x^2 + 1} + e^{x^2y^3} \frac{1}{2} (x^2 + 1)^{-1/2} (2x)$$
$$= 2xy^3 e^{x^2y^3} \sqrt{x^2 + 1} + \frac{xe^{x^2y^3}}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1}}.$$

Note that when finding $f_y(x, y)$ we do not have to apply the Product Rule; since $\sqrt{x^2 + 1}$ does not contain y, we treat it as fixed and hence becomes a coefficient of the $e^{x^2y^3}$ term.

$$f_{y}(x,y) = e^{x^{2}y^{3}}(3x^{2}y^{2})\sqrt{x^{2}+1} = 3x^{2}y^{2}e^{x^{2}y^{3}}\sqrt{x^{2}+1}.$$

We have shown *how* to compute a partial derivative, but it may still not be clear what a partial derivative *means*. Given z = f(x, y), $f_x(x, y)$ measures the rate at which z changes as only x varies: y is held constant.

Imagine standing in a rolling meadow, then beginning to walk due east. Depending on your location, you might walk up, sharply down, or perhaps not change elevation at all. This is similar to measuring z_x : you are moving only east (in the "x"-direction) and not north/south at all. Going back to your original location, imagine now walking due north (in the "y"-direction). Perhaps walking due north does not change your elevation at all. This is analogous to $z_y = 0$: z does not change with respect to y. We can see that z_x and z_y do not have to be the same, or even similar, as it is easy to imagine circumstances where walking east means you walk downhill, though walking north makes you walk uphill.

The following example helps us visualize this more.

Example 12.3.3 Evaluating partial derivatives

Let $z = f(x, y) = -x^2 - \frac{1}{2}y^2 + xy + 10$. Find $f_x(2, 1)$ and $f_y(2, 1)$ and interpret their meaning.

SOLUTION We begin by computing $f_x(x,y) = -2x + y$ and $f_y(x,y) = -y + x$. Thus

$$f_x(2,1) = -3$$
 and $f_y(2,1) = 1$.

It is also useful to note that f(2, 1) = 7.5. What does each of these numbers mean?


Consider $f_x(2, 1) = -3$, along with Figure 12.3.2(a). If one "stands" on the surface at the point (2, 1, 7.5) and moves parallel to the *x*-axis (i.e., only the *x*-value changes, not the *y*-value), then the instantaneous rate of change is -3. Increasing the *x*-value will decrease the *z*-value; decreasing the *x*-value will increase the *z*-value.


Now consider $f_y(2, 1) = 1$, illustrated in Figure 12.3.2(b). Moving along the curve drawn on the surface, i.e., parallel to the *y*-axis and not changing the *x*-values, increases the *z*-value instantaneously at a rate of 1. Increasing the *y*-value by 1 would increase the *z*-value by approximately 1.

Since the magnitude of f_x is greater than the magnitude of f_y at (2, 1), it is "steeper" in the *x*-direction than in the *y*-direction.

Second Partial Derivatives

Let z = f(x, y). We have learned to find the partial derivatives $f_x(x, y)$ and $f_y(x, y)$, which are each functions of x and y. Therefore we can take partial derivatives of them, each with respect to x and y. We define these "second partials" along with the notation, give examples, then discuss their meaning.





Note: The terms in Definition 12.3.2 all depend on limits, so each definition comes with the caveat "where the limit exists."

Definition 12.3.2 Second Partial Derivative, Mixed Partial Derivative

- Let z = f(x, y) be continuous on a set S.
 - 1. The second partial derivative of f with respect to x then x is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = \left(f_x \right)_x = f_{xx}$$

2. The second partial derivative of f with respect to x then y is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} = \left(f_x\right)_y = f_{xy}$$

Similar definitions hold for $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = f_{yy}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = f_{yx}$.

The second partial derivatives f_{xy} and f_{yx} are **mixed partial derivatives**.

The notation of second partial derivatives gives some insight into the notation of the second derivative of a function of a single variable. If y = f(x), then $f''(x) = \frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$. The " d^2y " portion means "take the derivative of y twice," while " dx^2 " means "with respect to x both times." When we only know of functions of a single variable, this latter phrase seems silly: there is only one variable to take the derivative with respect to. Now that we understand functions of multiple variables, we see the importance of specifying which variables we are referring to.

Example 12.3.4 Second partial derivatives

For each of the following, find all six first and second partial derivatives. That is, find

$$f_{x}, \quad f_{y}, \quad f_{xx}, \quad f_{yy}, \quad f_{xy} \text{ and } f_{yx}.$$
1. $f(x,y) = x^{3}y^{2} + 2xy^{3} + \cos x$
2. $f(x,y) = \frac{x^{3}}{y^{2}}$
3. $f(x,y) = e^{x} \sin(x^{2}y)$

SOLUTION In each, we give f_x and f_y immediately and then spend time de-

riving the second partial derivatives.

1.
$$f(x, y) = x^3y^2 + 2xy^3 + \cos x$$

 $f_x(x, y) = 3x^2y^2 + 2y^3 - \sin x$
 $f_y(x, y) = 2x^3y + 6xy^2$
 $f_{xx}(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(f_x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(3x^2y^2 + 2y^3 - \sin x) = 6xy^2 - \cos x$
 $f_{yy}(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(f_y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(2x^3y + 6xy^2) = 2x^3 + 12xy$
 $f_{xy}(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(f_x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(3x^2y^2 + 2y^3 - \sin x) = 6x^2y + 6y^2$
 $f_{yx}(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(f_y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(2x^3y + 6xy^2) = 6x^2y + 6y^2$
2. $f(x, y) = \frac{x^3}{y^2} = x^3y^{-2}$
 $f_x(x, y) = \frac{3x^2}{y^2}$
 $f_y(x, y) = -\frac{2x^3}{y^3}$
 $f_{xx}(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(f_x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\frac{3x^2}{y^2}) = \frac{6x}{y^2}$
 $f_{yy}(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(f_y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(-\frac{2x^3}{y^3}) = \frac{6x^3}{y^4}$
 $f_{xy}(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(f_x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\frac{3x^2}{y^2}) = -\frac{6x^2}{y^3}$
 $f_{yx}(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(f_y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(-\frac{2x^3}{y^3}) = -\frac{6x^2}{y^3}$

3. $f(x, y) = e^x \sin(x^2 y)$

Because the following partial derivatives get rather long, we omit the extra notation and just give the results. In several cases, multiple applications of the Product and Chain Rules will be necessary, followed by some basic combination of like terms.

$$f_{x}(x,y) = e^{x} \sin(x^{2}y) + 2xye^{x} \cos(x^{2}y)$$

$$f_{y}(x,y) = x^{2}e^{x} \cos(x^{2}y)$$

$$f_{xx}(x,y) = e^{x} \sin(x^{2}y) + 4xye^{x} \cos(x^{2}y) + 2ye^{x} \cos(x^{2}y) - 4x^{2}y^{2}e^{x} \sin(x^{2}y)$$

$$f_{yy}(x,y) = -x^{4}e^{x} \sin(x^{2}y)$$

$$f_{xy}(x,y) = x^{2}e^{x} \cos(x^{2}y) + 2xe^{x} \cos(x^{2}y) - 2x^{3}ye^{x} \sin(x^{2}y)$$

$$f_{yx}(x,y) = x^{2}e^{x} \cos(x^{2}y) + 2xe^{x} \cos(x^{2}y) - 2x^{3}ye^{x} \sin(x^{2}y)$$

Notice how in each of the three functions in Example 12.3.4, $f_{xy} = f_{yx}$. Due to the complexity of the examples, this likely is not a coincidence. The following theorem states that it is not.

Theorem 12.3.1 Mixed Partial Derivatives

Let *f* be defined such that f_{xy} and f_{yx} are continuous on a set *S*. Then for each point (x, y) in *S*, $f_{xy}(x, y) = f_{yx}(x, y)$.

Finding f_{xy} and f_{yx} independently and comparing the results provides a convenient way of checking our work.

Understanding Second Partial Derivatives

Now that we know *how* to find second partials, we investigate *what* they tell us.

Again we refer back to a function y = f(x) of a single variable. The second derivative of f is "the derivative of the derivative," or "the rate of change of the rate of change." The second derivative measures how much the derivative is changing. If f''(x) < 0, then the derivative is getting smaller (so the graph of f is concave down); if f''(x) > 0, then the derivative is growing, making the graph of f concave up.

Now consider z = f(x, y). Similar statements can be made about f_{xx} and f_{yy} as could be made about f''(x) above. When taking derivatives with respect to x twice, we measure how much f_x changes with respect to x. If $f_{xx}(x, y) < 0$, it means that as x increases, f_x decreases, and the graph of f will be concave down *in the x-direction*. Using the analogy of standing in the rolling meadow used earlier in this section, f_{xx} measures whether one's path is concave up/down when walking due east.

Similarly, f_{yy} measures the concavity in the y-direction. If $f_{yy}(x, y) > 0$, then f_y is increasing with respect to y and the graph of f will be concave up in the y-direction. Appealing to the rolling meadow analogy again, f_{yy} measures whether one's path is concave up/down when walking due north.

We now consider the mixed partials f_{xy} and f_{yx} . The mixed partial f_{xy} measures how much f_x changes with respect to y. Once again using the rolling meadow analogy, f_x measures the slope if one walks due east. Looking east, begin walking *north* (side–stepping). Is the path towards the east getting steeper? If so, $f_{xy} > 0$. Is the path towards the east not changing in steepness? If so, then $f_{xy} = 0$. A similar thing can be said about f_{yx} : consider the steepness of paths heading north while side–stepping to the east.

The following example examines these ideas with concrete numbers and

graphs.

Example 12.3.5 Understanding second partial derivatives

Let $z = x^2 - y^2 + xy$. Evaluate the 6 first and second partial derivatives at (-1/2, 1/2) and interpret what each of these numbers mean.

SOLUTION We find that:

 $f_x(x,y) = 2x + y$, $f_y(x,y) = -2y + x$, $f_{xx}(x,y) = 2$, $f_{yy}(x,y) = -2$ and $f_{xy}(x,y) = f_{yx}(x,y) = 1$. Thus at (-1/2, 1/2) we have

$$f_x(-1/2, 1/2) = -1/2, \qquad f_y(-1/2, 1/2) = -3/2.$$

The slope of the tangent line at (-1/2, 1/2, -1/4) in the direction of x is -1/2: if one moves from that point parallel to the x-axis, the instantaneous rate of change will be -1/2. The slope of the tangent line at this point in the direction of y is -3/2: if one moves from this point parallel to the y-axis, the instantaneous rate of change will be -3/2. These tangents lines are graphed in Figure 12.3.3(a) and (b), respectively, where the tangent lines are drawn in a solid line.

Now consider only Figure 12.3.3(a). Three directed tangent lines are drawn (two are dashed), each in the direction of x; that is, each has a slope determined by f_x . Note how as y increases, the slope of these lines get closer to 0. Since the slopes are all negative, getting closer to 0 means the *slopes are increasing*. The slopes given by f_x are increasing as y increases, meaning f_{xy} must be positive.

Since $f_{xy} = f_{yx}$, we also expect f_y to increase as x increases. Consider Figure 12.3.3(b) where again three directed tangent lines are drawn, this time each in the direction of y with slopes determined by f_y . As x increases, the slopes become less steep (closer to 0). Since these are negative slopes, this means the slopes are increasing.

Thus far we have a visual understanding of f_x , f_y , and $f_{xy} = f_{yx}$. We now interpret f_{xx} and f_{yy} . In Figure 12.3.3(a), we see a curve drawn where x is held constant at x = -1/2: only y varies. This curve is clearly concave down, corresponding to the fact that $f_{yy} < 0$. In part (b) of the figure, we see a similar curve where y is constant and only x varies. This curve is concave up, corresponding to the fact that $f_{xx} > 0$.

Partial Derivatives and Functions of Three Variables

The concepts underlying partial derivatives can be easily extend to more than two variables. We give some definitions and examples in the case of three variables and trust the reader can extend these definitions to more variables if needed.




Figure 12.3.3: Understanding the second partial derivatives in Example 12.3.5.

Definition 12.3.3 Partial Derivatives with Three Variables

Let w = f(x, y, z) be a continuous function on a set D in \mathbb{R}^3 . The **partial derivative of** f **with respect to** x is:

$$f_x(x,y,z) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h,y,z) - f(x,y,z)}{h}$$

Similar definitions hold for $f_y(x, y, z)$ and $f_z(x, y, z)$.

By taking partial derivatives of partial derivatives, we can find second partial derivatives of f with respect to z then y, for instance, just as before.

Example 12.3.6 Partial derivatives of functions of three variables For each of the following, find f_x , f_y , f_z , f_{xz} , f_{yz} , and f_{zz} .

1.
$$f(x, y, z) = x^2 y^3 z^4 + x^2 y^2 + x^3 z^3 + y^4 z^4$$

2. $f(x, y, z) = x \sin(yz)$

SOLUTION

1.
$$f_x = 2xy^3z^4 + 2xy^2 + 3x^2z^3$$
; $f_y = 3x^2y^2z^4 + 2x^2y + 4y^3z^4$;
 $f_z = 4x^2y^3z^3 + 3x^3z^2 + 4y^4z^3$; $f_{xz} = 8xy^3z^3 + 9x^2z^2$;
 $f_{yz} = 12x^2y^2z^3 + 16y^3z^3$; $f_{zz} = 12x^2y^3z^2 + 6x^3z + 12y^4z^2$
2. $f_x = \sin(yz)$; $f_y = xz\cos(yz)$; $f_z = xy\cos(yz)$;
 $f_{xz} = y\cos(yz)$; $f_{yz} = x\cos(yz) - xyz\sin(yz)$; $f_{zz} = -xy^2\sin(xy)$

Higher Order Partial Derivatives

We can continue taking partial derivatives of partial derivatives of partial derivatives of ...; we do not have to stop with second partial derivatives. These higher order partial derivatives do not have a tidy graphical interpretation; nevertheless they are not hard to compute and worthy of some practice.

We do not formally define each higher order derivative, but rather give just a few examples of the notation.

$$f_{xyx}(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) \right) \text{ and } f_{xyz}(x, y, z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) \right).$$

Example 12.3.7 Higher order partial derivatives

- 1. Let $f(x, y) = x^2y^2 + \sin(xy)$. Find f_{xxy} and f_{yxx} .
- 2. Let $f(x, y, z) = x^3 e^{xy} + \cos(z)$. Find f_{xyz} .

SOLUTION

1. To find f_{xxy} , we first find f_x , then f_{xx} , then f_{xxy} :

$$f_x = 2xy^2 + y\cos(xy) \qquad f_{xx} = 2y^2 - y^2\sin(xy) f_{xxy} = 4y - 2y\sin(xy) - xy^2\cos(xy).$$

To find f_{yxx} , we first find f_y , then f_{yx} , then f_{yxx} :

$$f_{y} = 2x^{2}y + x\cos(xy) \qquad f_{yx} = 4xy + \cos(xy) - xy\sin(xy)$$

$$f_{yxx} = 4y - y\sin(xy) - (y\sin(xy) + xy^{2}\cos(xy))$$

$$= 4y - 2y\sin(xy) - xy^{2}\cos(xy).$$

Note how $f_{xxy} = f_{yxx}$.

2. To find f_{xyz} , we find f_x , then f_{xy} , then f_{xyz} :

$$f_x = 3x^2 e^{xy} + x^3 y e^{xy} \qquad f_{xy} = 3x^3 e^{xy} + x^3 e^{xy} + x^4 y e^{xy} = 4x^3 e^{xy} + x^4 y e^{xy}$$

$$f_{xyz} = 0.$$

In the previous example we saw that $f_{xxy} = f_{yxx}$; this is not a coincidence. While we do not state this as a formal theorem, as long as each partial derivative is continuous, it does not matter the order in which the partial derivatives are taken. For instance, $f_{xxy} = f_{yyx} = f_{yxx}$.

This can be useful at times. Had we known this, the second part of Example 12.3.7 would have been much simpler to compute. Instead of computing f_{xyz} in the *x*, *y* then *z* orders, we could have applied the *z*, then *x* then *y* order (as $f_{xyz} = f_{zxy}$). It is easy to see that $f_z = -\sin z$; then f_{zx} and f_{zxy} are clearly 0 as f_z does not contain an *x* or *y*.

A brief review of this section: partial derivatives measure the instantaneous rate of change of a multivariable function with respect to one variable. With z = f(x, y), the partial derivatives f_x and f_y measure the instantaneous rate of change of z when moving parallel to the x- and y-axes, respectively. How do we measure the rate of change at a point when we do not move parallel to one of these axes? What if we move in the direction given by the vector $\langle 2, 1 \rangle$? Can we measure that rate of change? The answer is, of course, yes, we can. This is the topic of Section 12.6. First, we need to define what it means for a function of two variables to be *differentiable*.

Exercises 12.3

Terms and Concepts

- 1. What is the difference between a constant and a coefficient?
- 2. Given a function z = f(x, y), explain in your own words how to compute f_x .
- 3. In the mixed partial fraction f_{xy} , which is computed first, f_x or f_y ?
- 4. In the mixed partial fraction $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}$, which is computed first, f_x or f_y ?

Problems

- In Exercises 5 8, evaluate $f_x(x, y)$ and $f_y(x, y)$ at the indicated point.
- 5. $f(x, y) = x^2y x + 2y + 3$ at (1, 2)
- 6. $f(x, y) = x^3 3x + y^2 6y$ at (-1, 3)
- 7. $f(x, y) = \sin y \cos x$ at $(\pi/3, \pi/3)$
- 8. $f(x, y) = \ln(xy)$ at (-2, -3)

In Exercises 9 – 26, find f_x , f_y , f_{xx} , f_{yy} , f_{xy} and f_{yx} .

9. $f(x, y) = x^2y + 3x^2 + 4y - 5$ 10. $f(x, y) = y^3 + 3xy^2 + 3x^2y + x^3$ 11. $f(x, y) = \frac{x}{y}$ 12. $f(x, y) = \frac{4}{xy}$ 13. $f(x, y) = e^{x^2 + y^2}$ 14. $f(x, y) = e^{x + 2y}$ 15. $f(x, y) = \sin x \cos y$

- 16. $f(x, y) = (x + y)^3$ 17. $f(x, y) = \cos(5xy^3)$ 18. $f(x, y) = \sin(5x^2 + 2y^3)$ 19. $f(x, y) = \sqrt{4xy^2 + 1}$ 20. $f(x, y) = (2x + 5y)\sqrt{y}$ 21. $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2 + 1}$ 22. f(x, y) = 5x - 17y23. $f(x, y) = 3x^2 + 1$ 24. $f(x, y) = \ln(x^2 + y)$ 25. $f(x, y) = \frac{\ln x}{4y}$ 26. $f(x, y) = 5e^x \sin y + 9$
- In Exercises 27 30, form a function z = f(x, y) such that f_x and f_y match those given.
- 27. $f_x = \sin y + 1$, $f_y = x \cos y$ 28. $f_x = x + y$, $f_y = x + y$ 29. $f_x = 6xy - 4y^2$, $f_y = 3x^2 - 8xy + 2$ 30. $f_x = \frac{2x}{x^2 + y^2}$, $f_y = \frac{2y}{x^2 + y^2}$ In Exercises 31 – 34, find f_x , f_y , f_z , f_{yz} and f_{zy} .
- 31. $f(x, y, z) = x^2 e^{2y-3z}$ 32. $f(x, y, z) = x^3 y^2 + x^3 z + y^2 z$ 33. $f(x, y, z) = \frac{3x}{7y^2 z}$ 34. $f(x, y, z) = \ln(xyz)$

12.4 Differentiability and the Total Differential

We studied **differentials** in Section 4.4, where Definition 4.4.1 states that if y = f(x) and f is differentiable, then dy = f'(x)dx. One important use of this differential is in Integration by Substitution. Another important application is approximation. Let $\Delta x = dx$ represent a change in x. When dx is small, $dy \approx \Delta y$, the change in y resulting from the change in x. Fundamental in this understanding is this: as dx gets small, the difference between Δy and dy goes to 0. Another way of stating this: as dx goes to 0, the *error* in approximating Δy with dy goes to 0.

We extend this idea to functions of two variables. Let z = f(x, y), and let $\Delta x = dx$ and $\Delta y = dy$ represent changes in x and y, respectively. Let $\Delta z = f(x + dx, y + dy) - f(x, y)$ be the change in z over the change in x and y. Recalling that f_x and f_y give the instantaneous rates of z-change in the x- and y-directions, respectively, we can approximate Δz with $dz = f_x dx + f_y dy$; in words, the total change in z is approximately the change caused by changing x plus the change caused by changing y. In a moment we give an indication of whether or not this approximation is any good. First we give a name to dz.

Definition 12.4.1 Total Differential

Let z = f(x, y) be continuous on a set *S*. Let *dx* and *dy* represent changes in *x* and *y*, respectively. Where the partial derivatives f_x and f_y exist, the **total differential of** *z* is

$$dz = f_x(x, y)dx + f_y(x, y)dy.$$

Example 12.4.1 Finding the total differential Let $z = x^4 e^{3y}$. Find *dz*.

SOLUTION We compute the partial derivatives: $f_x = 4x^3e^{3y}$ and $f_y = 3x^4e^{3y}$. Following Definition 12.4.1, we have

$$dz = 4x^3e^{3y}dx + 3x^4e^{3y}dy.$$

We *can* approximate Δz with dz, but as with all approximations, there is error involved. A good approximation is one in which the error is small. At a given point (x_0, y_0) , let E_x and E_y be functions of dx and dy such that $E_x dx + E_y dy$ describes this error. Then

$$\Delta z = dz + E_x dx + E_y dy$$

= $f_x(x_0, y_0) dx + f_y(x_0, y_0) dy + E_x dx + E_y dy.$

Notes:

Note: From Definition 12.4.1, we can write

$$dz = \langle f_x, f_y \rangle \cdot \langle dx, dy \rangle.$$

While not explored in this section, the vector $\langle f_x, f_y \rangle$ is seen again in the next section and fully defined in Section 12.6.

If the approximation of Δz by dz is good, then as dx and dy get small, so does $E_x dx + E_y dy$. The approximation of Δz by dz is even better if, as dx and dy go to 0, so do E_x and E_y . This leads us to our definition of differentiability.

Definition 12.4.2 Multivariable Differentiability

Let z = f(x, y) be defined on a set *S* containing (x_0, y_0) where $f_x(x_0, y_0)$ and $f_y(x_0, y_0)$ exist. Let dz be the total differential of z at (x_0, y_0) , let $\Delta z = f(x_0 + dx, y_0 + dy) - f(x_0, y_0)$, and let E_x and E_y be functions of dxand dy such that

$$\Delta z = dz + E_x dx + E_y dy.$$

- 1. We say *f* is **differentiable at** (x_0, y_0) if, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $|| \langle dx, dy \rangle || < \delta$, then $|| \langle E_x, E_y \rangle || < \varepsilon$. That is, as dx and dy go to 0, so do E_x and E_y .
- 2. We say *f* is **differentiable on** *S* if *f* is differentiable at every point in *S*. If *f* is differentiable on \mathbb{R}^2 , we say that *f* is **differentiable everywhere**.

Example 12.4.2 Showing a function is differentiable

Show $f(x, y) = xy + 3y^2$ is differentiable using Definition 12.4.2.

SOLUTION We begin by finding
$$f(x + dx, y + dy)$$
, Δz , f_x and f_y .

$$f(x + dx, y + dy) = (x + dx)(y + dy) + 3(y + dy)^2$$

$$= xy + xdy + ydx + dxdy + 3y^2 + 6ydy + 3dy^2.$$

 $\Delta z = f(x + dx, y + dy) - f(x, y)$, so

$$\Delta z = xdy + ydx + dxdy + 6ydy + 3dy^2$$

It is straightforward to compute $f_x = y$ and $f_y = x + 6y$. Consider once more Δz :

$$\Delta z = xdy + ydx + dxdy + 6ydy + 3dy^{2} \qquad \text{(now reorder)}$$

$$= ydx + xdy + 6ydy + dxdy + 3dy^{2}$$

$$= \underbrace{(y)}_{f_{x}} dx + \underbrace{(x+6y)}_{f_{y}} dy + \underbrace{(dy)}_{E_{x}} dx + \underbrace{(3dy)}_{E_{y}} dy$$

$$= f_{x}dx + f_{y}dy + E_{x}dx + E_{y}dy.$$

With $E_x = dy$ and $E_y = 3dy$, it is clear that as dx and dy go to 0, E_x and E_y also go to 0. Since this did not depend on a specific point (x_0, y_0) , we can say that f(x, y)

is differentiable for all pairs (x, y) in \mathbb{R}^2 , or, equivalently, that f is differentiable everywhere.

Our intuitive understanding of differentiability of functions y = f(x) of one variable was that the graph of f was "smooth." A similar intuitive understanding of functions z = f(x, y) of two variables is that the surface defined by f is also "smooth," not containing cusps, edges, breaks, etc. The following theorem states that differentiable functions are continuous, followed by another theorem that provides a more tangible way of determining whether a great number of functions are differentiable or not.

Theorem 12.4.1 Continuity and Differentiability of Multivariable Functions

Let z = f(x, y) be defined on a set *S* containing (x_0, y_0) . If *f* is differentiable at (x_0, y_0) , then *f* is continuous at (x_0, y_0) .

Theorem 12.4.2 Differentiability of Multivariable Functions

Let z = f(x, y) be defined on a set *S*. If f_x and f_y are both continuous on *S*, then *f* is differentiable on *S*.

The theorems assure us that essentially all functions that we see in the course of our studies here are differentiable (and hence continuous) on their natural domains. There is a difference between Definition 12.4.2 and Theorem 12.4.2, though: it is possible for a function f to be differentiable yet f_x and/or f_y is not continuous. Such strange behavior of functions is a source of delight for many mathematicians.

When f_x and f_y exist at a point but are not continuous at that point, we need to use other methods to determine whether or not f is differentiable at that point.

For instance, consider the function

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy}{x^2 + y^2} & (x,y) \neq (0,0) \\ 0 & (x,y) = (0,0) \end{cases}$$

We can find $f_x(0,0)$ and $f_y(0,0)$ using Definition 12.3.1:

$$f_x(0,0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(0+h,0) - f(0,0)}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{0}{h^2} = 0;$$
$$f_y(0,0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(0,0+h) - f(0,0)}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{0}{h^2} = 0.$$

Both f_x and f_y exist at (0, 0), but they are not continuous at (0, 0), as

$$f_x(x,y) = rac{y(y^2 - x^2)}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}$$
 and $f_y(x,y) = rac{x(x^2 - y^2)}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}$

are not continuous at (0, 0). (Take the limit of f_x as $(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ along the x- and y-axes; they give different results.) So even though f_x and f_y exist at every point in the x-y plane, they are not continuous. Therefore it is possible, by Theorem 12.4.2, for f to not be differentiable.

Indeed, it is not. One can show that f is not continuous at (0, 0) (see Example 12.2.4), and by Theorem 12.4.1, this means f is not differentiable at (0, 0).

Approximating with the Total Differential

By the definition, when f is differentiable dz is a good approximation for Δz when dx and dy are small. We give some simple examples of how this is used here.

Example 12.4.3 Approximating with the total differential

Let $z = \sqrt{x} \sin y$. Approximate f(4.1, 0.8).

SOLUTION Recognizing that $\pi/4 \approx 0.785 \approx 0.8$, we can approximate f(4.1, 0.8) using $f(4, \pi/4)$. We can easily compute $f(4, \pi/4) = \sqrt{4} \sin(\pi/4) = 2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) = \sqrt{2} \approx 1.414$. Without calculus, this is the best approximation we could reasonably come up with. The total differential gives us a way of adjusting this initial approximation to hopefully get a more accurate answer.

We let $\Delta z = f(4.1, 0.8) - f(4, \pi/4)$. The total differential *dz* is approximately equal to Δz , so

 $f(4.1, 0.8) - f(4, \pi/4) \approx dz \quad \Rightarrow \quad f(4.1, 0.8) \approx dz + f(4, \pi/4).$ (12.1)

To find dz, we need f_x and f_y .

$$f_x(x,y) = \frac{\sin y}{2\sqrt{x}} \quad \Rightarrow \qquad f_x(4,\pi/4) = \frac{\sin \pi/4}{2\sqrt{4}}$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{2}/2}{4} = \sqrt{2}/8.$$
$$f_y(x,y) = \sqrt{x}\cos y \quad \Rightarrow \qquad f_y(4,\pi/4) = \sqrt{4}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$$
$$= \sqrt{2}.$$

Approximating 4.1 with 4 gives dx $\,=\,$ 0.1; approximating 0.8 with $\pi/4$ gives dy \approx 0.015. Thus

$$dz(4, \pi/4) = f_x(4, \pi/4)(0.1) + f_y(4, \pi/4)(0.015)$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8}(0.1) + \sqrt{2}(0.015)$$
$$\approx 0.039.$$

Returning to Equation (12.1), we have

$$f(4.1, 0.8) \approx 0.039 + 1.414 = 1.4531.$$

We, of course, can compute the actual value of f(4.1, 0.8) with a calculator; the actual value, accurate to 5 places after the decimal, is 1.45254. Obviously our approximation is quite good.

The point of the previous example was *not* to develop an approximation method for known functions. After all, we can very easily compute f(4.1, 0.8) using readily available technology. Rather, it serves to illustrate how well this method of approximation works, and to reinforce the following concept:

"New position = old position + amount of change," so "New position \approx old position + approximate amount of change."

In the previous example, we could easily compute $f(4, \pi/4)$ and could approximate the amount of z-change when computing f(4.1, 0.8), letting us approximate the new z-value.

It may be surprising to learn that it is not uncommon to know the values of f, f_x and f_y at a particular point without actually knowing the function f. The total differential gives a good method of approximating f at nearby points.

Example 12.4.4 Approximating an unknown function

Given that f(2, -3) = 6, $f_x(2, -3) = 1.3$ and $f_y(2, -3) = -0.6$, approximate f(2.1, -3.03).

SOLUTION The total differential approximates how much *f* changes from the point (2, -3) to the point (2.1, -3.03). With dx = 0.1 and dy = -0.03, we have

$$dz = f_x(2, -3)dx + f_y(2, -3)dy$$

= 1.3(0.1) + (-0.6)(-0.03)
= 0.148.

The change in z is approximately 0.148, so we approximate $f(2.1, -3.03) \approx 6.148$.

Error/Sensitivity Analysis

The total differential gives an approximation of the change in z given small changes in x and y. We can use this to approximate error propagation; that is, if the input is a little off from what it should be, how far from correct will the output be? We demonstrate this in an example.

Example 12.4.5 Sensitivity analysis

A cylindrical steel storage tank is to be built that is 10ft tall and 4ft across in diameter. It is known that the steel will expand/contract with temperature changes; is the overall volume of the tank more sensitive to changes in the diameter or in the height of the tank?

SOLUTION A cylindrical solid with height *h* and radius *r* has volume $V = \pi r^2 h$. We can view *V* as a function of two variables, *r* and *h*. We can compute partial derivatives of *V*:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} = V_r(r,h) = 2\pi rh$$
 and $\frac{\partial V}{\partial h} = V_h(r,h) = \pi r^2$.

The total differential is $dV = (2\pi rh)dr + (\pi r^2)dh$. When h = 10 and r = 2, we have $dV = 40\pi dr + 4\pi dh$. Note that the coefficient of dr is $40\pi \approx 125.7$; the coefficient of dh is a tenth of that, approximately 12.57. A small change in radius will be multiplied by 125.7, whereas a small change in height will be multiplied by 12.57. Thus the volume of the tank is more sensitive to changes in radius than in height.

The previous example showed that the volume of a particular tank was more sensitive to changes in radius than in height. Keep in mind that this analysis only applies to a tank of those dimensions. A tank with a height of 1ft and radius of 5ft would be more sensitive to changes in height than in radius.

One could make a chart of small changes in radius and height and find exact changes in volume given specific changes. While this provides exact numbers, it does not give as much insight as the error analysis using the total differential.

Differentiability of Functions of Three Variables

The definition of differentiability for functions of three variables is very similar to that of functions of two variables. We again start with the total differential.

Definition 12.4.3 Total Differential

Let w = f(x, y, z) be continuous on a set *D*. Let dx, dy and dz represent changes in *x*, *y* and *z*, respectively. Where the partial derivatives f_x , f_y and f_z exist, the **total differential of** *w* is

 $dw = f_x(x, y, z)dx + f_y(x, y, z)dy + f_z(x, y, z)dz.$

This differential can be a good approximation of the change in *w* when w = f(x, y, z) is **differentiable**.

Definition 12.4.4 Multivariable Differentiability

Let w = f(x, y, z) be defined on a set D containing (x_0, y_0, z_0) where $f_x(x_0, y_0, z_0)$, $f_y(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ and $f_z(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ exist. Let dw be the total differential of w at (x_0, y_0, z_0) , let $\Delta w = f(x_0 + dx, y_0 + dy, z_0 + dz) - f(x_0, y_0, z_0)$, and let E_x , E_y and E_z be functions of dx, dy and dz such that

 $\Delta w = dw + E_x dx + E_y dy + E_z dz.$

- 1. We say *f* is **differentiable at** (x_0, y_0, z_0) if, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $|| \langle dx, dy, dz \rangle || < \delta$, then $|| \langle E_x, E_y, E_z \rangle || < \varepsilon$.
- 2. We say *f* is **differentiable on** *B* if *f* is differentiable at every point in *B*. If *f* is differentiable on \mathbb{R}^3 , we say that *f* is **differentiable everywhere**.

Just as before, this definition gives a rigorous statement about what it means to be differentiable that is not very intuitive. We follow it with a theorem similar to Theorem 12.4.2.

т	heore	m 12.	4.3	Cont Varia	and Diffe	rentiabi	lity of I	Functions of Th	ree
		r (\.				1	\ \	

Let w = f(x, y, z) be defined on a set D containing (x_0, y_0, z_0) .

1. If *f* is differentiable at (x_0, y_0, z_0) , then *f* is continuous at (x_0, y_0, z_0) .

2. If f_x , f_y and f_z are continuous on *B*, then *f* is differentiable on *B*.

This set of definition and theorem extends to functions of any number of variables. The theorem again gives us a simple way of verifying that most functions that we encounter are differentiable on their natural domains.

This section has given us a formal definition of what it means for a functions to be "differentiable," along with a theorem that gives a more accessible understanding. The following sections return to notions prompted by our study of partial derivatives that make use of the fact that most functions we encounter are differentiable.

Exercises 12.4

Terms and Concepts

- 1. T/F: If f(x, y) is differentiable on S, the f is continuous on S.
- T/F: If f_x and f_y are continuous on S, then f is differentiable on S.
- T/F: If z = f(x, y) is differentiable, then the change in z over small changes dx and dy in x and y is approximately dz.
- 4. Finish the sentence: "The new *z*-value is approximately the old *z*-value plus the approximate _____."

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 8, find the total differential dz.

5.
$$z = x \sin y + x^2$$

6.
$$z = (2x^2 + 3y)^2$$

- 7. z = 5x 7y
- 8. $z = xe^{x+y}$

In Exercises 9 – 12, a function z = f(x, y) is given. Give the indicated approximation using the total differential.

- 9. $f(x, y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y}$. Approximate f(2.95, 7.1) knowing f(3, 7) = 4.
- 10. $f(x, y) = \sin x \cos y$. Approximate f(0.1, -0.1) knowing f(0, 0) = 0.
- 11. $f(x, y) = x^2 y x y^2$. Approximate f(2.04, 3.06) knowing f(2, 3) = -6.
- 12. $f(x, y) = \ln(x y)$. Approximate f(5.1, 3.98) knowing f(5, 4) = 0.


Exercises 13 - 16 ask a variety of questions dealing with approximating error and sensitivity analysis.

- 13. A cylindrical storage tank is to be 2ft tall with a radius of 1ft. Is the volume of the tank more sensitive to changes in the radius or the height?
- 14. **Projectile Motion:** The *x*-value of an object moving under the principles of projectile motion is $x(\theta, v_0, t) = (v_0 \cos \theta)t$. A particular projectile is fired with an initial velocity of $v_0 = 250$ ft/s and an angle of elevation of $\theta = 60^\circ$. It travels a distance of 375 ft in 3 seconds.

Is the projectile more sensitive to errors in initial speed or angle of elevation?

15. The length ℓ of a long wall is to be approximated. The angle θ , as shown in the diagram (not to scale), is measured to be 85°, and the distance *x* is measured to be 30'. Assume that the triangle formed is a right triangle.

Is the measurement of the length of ℓ more sensitive to errors in the measurement of *x* or in θ ?



16. It is "common sense" that it is far better to measure a long distance with a long measuring tape rather than a short one. A measured distance *D* can be viewed as the product of the length ℓ of a measuring tape times the number *n* of times it was used. For instance, using a 3' tape 10 times gives a length of 30'. To measure the same distance with a 12' tape, we would use the tape 2.5 times. (I.e., $30 = 12 \times 2.5$.) Thus $D = n\ell$.

Suppose each time a measurement is taken with the tape, the recorded distance is within 1/16" of the actual distance. (I.e., $d\ell = 1/16'' \approx 0.005$ ft). Using differentials, show why common sense proves correct in that it is better to use a long tape to measure long distances.

In Exercises 17 – 18, find the total differential dw.

17. $w = x^2 y z^3$

18. $w = e^x \sin y \ln z$

In Exercises 19 - 22, use the information provided and the total differential to make the given approximation.

- 19. f(3,1) = 7, $f_x(3,1) = 9$, $f_y(3,1) = -2$. Approximate f(3.05, 0.9).
- 20. f(-4, 2) = 13, $f_x(-4, 2) = 2.6$, $f_y(-4, 2) = 5.1$. Approximate f(-4.12, 2.07).
- 21. f(2,4,5) = -1, $f_x(2,4,5) = 2$, $f_y(2,4,5) = -3$, $f_z(2,4,5) = 3.7$. Approximate f(2.5,4.1,4.8).
- 22. f(3,3,3) = 5, $f_x(3,3,3) = 2$, $f_y(3,3,3) = 0$, $f_z(3,3,3) = -2$. Approximate f(3.1,3.1,3.1).

12.5 The Multivariable Chain Rule

Consider driving an off-road vehicle along a dirt road. As you drive, your elevation likely changes. What factors determine how quickly your elevation rises and falls? After some thought, generally one recognizes that one's *velocity* (speed and direction) and the *terrain* influence your rise and fall.

One can represent the terrain as the surface defined by a multivariable function z = f(x, y); one can represent the path of the off-road vehicle, as seen from above, with a vector-valued function $\vec{r}(t) = \langle x(t), y(t) \rangle$; the velocity of the vehicle is thus $\vec{r}'(t) = \langle x'(t), y'(t) \rangle$.

Consider Figure 12.5.1 in which a surface z = f(x, y) is drawn, along with a dashed curve in the *x*-*y* plane. Restricting *f* to just the points on this circle gives the curve shown on the surface (i.e., "the path of the off-road vehicle.") The derivative $\frac{df}{dt}$ gives the instantaneous rate of change of *f* with respect to *t*. If we consider an object traveling along this path, $\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{dz}{dt}$ gives the rate at which the object rises/falls (i.e., "the rate of elevation change" of the vehicle.) Conceptually, the Multivariable Chain Rule combines terrain and velocity information properly to compute this rate of elevation change.

Abstractly, let *z* be a function of *x* and *y*; that is, z = f(x, y) for some function *f*, and let *x* and *y* each be functions of *t*. By choosing a *t*-value, *x*- and *y*-values are determined, which in turn determine *z*: this defines *z* as a function of *t*. The Multivariable Chain Rule gives a method of computing $\frac{dz}{dt}$.

Theorem 12.5.1 Multivariable Chain Rule, Part I Let z = f(x, y), x = g(t) and y = h(t), where f, g and h are differentiable functions. Then z = f(x, y) = f(g(t), h(t)) is a function of t, and $\frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{df}{dt} = f_x(x, y)\frac{dx}{dt} + f_y(x, y)\frac{dy}{dt}$ $= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{dy}{dt}$

 $= \langle f_x, f_y \rangle \cdot \langle x', y' \rangle.$

The Chain Rule of Section 2.5 states that $\frac{d}{dx}(f(g(x))) = f'(g(x))g'(x)$. If t = g(x), we can express the Chain Rule as

$$\frac{df}{dx} = \frac{df}{dt}\frac{dt}{dx};$$

recall that the derivative notation is deliberately chosen to reflect their fraction-




Figure 12.5.1: Understanding the application of the Multivariable Chain Rule.

like properties. A similar effect is seen in Theorem 12.5.1. In the second line of equations, one can think of the dx and ∂x as "sort of" canceling out, and likewise with dy and ∂y .

Notice, too, the third line of equations in Theorem 12.5.1. The vector $\langle f_x, f_y \rangle$ contains information about the surface (terrain); the vector $\langle x', y' \rangle$ can represent velocity. In the context measuring the rate of elevation change of the off-road vehicle, the Multivariable Chain Rule states it can be found through a product of terrain and velocity information.

We now practice applying the Multivariable Chain Rule.

Example 12.5.1 Using the Multivariable Chain Rule

Let $z = x^2y + x$, where $x = \sin t$ and $y = e^{5t}$. Find $\frac{dz}{dt}$ using the Chain Rule.

SOLUTION Following Theorem 12.5.1, we find

$$f_x(x,y) = 2xy + 1,$$
 $f_y(x,y) = x^2,$ $\frac{dx}{dt} = \cos t,$ $\frac{dy}{dt} = 5e^{5t}.$

Applying the theorem, we have

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = (2xy+1)\cos t + 5x^2e^{5t}.$$

This may look odd, as it seems that $\frac{dz}{dt}$ is a function of x, y and t. Since x and y are functions of t, $\frac{dz}{dt}$ is really just a function of t, and we can replace x with sin t and y with e^{5t} :

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = (2xy+1)\cos t + 5x^2e^{5t} = (2\sin(t)e^{5t}+1)\cos t + 5e^{5t}\sin^2 t.$$

The previous example can make us wonder: if we substituted for x and y at the end to show that $\frac{dz}{dt}$ is really just a function of t, why not substitute before differentiating, showing clearly that z is a function of t?

That is, $z = x^2y + x = (\sin t)^2 e^{5t} + \sin t$. Applying the Chain and Product Rules, we have

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = 2\sin t\cos t \, e^{5t} + 5\sin^2 t \, e^{5t} + \cos t,$$

which matches the result from the example.

This may now make one wonder "What's the point? If we could already find the derivative, why learn another way of finding it?" In some cases, applying this rule makes deriving simpler, but this is hardly the power of the Chain Rule. Rather, in the case where z = f(x, y), x = g(t) and y = h(t), the Chain Rule is

extremely powerful when *we do not know what f, g and/or h are*. It may be hard to believe, but often in "the real world" we know rate–of–change information (i.e., information about derivatives) without explicitly knowing the underlying functions. The Chain Rule allows us to combine several rates of change to find another rate of change. The Chain Rule also has theoretic use, giving us insight into the behavior of certain constructions (as we'll see in the next section).

We demonstrate this in the next example.

Example 12.5.2 Applying the Multivarible Chain Rule

An object travels along a path on a surface. The exact path and surface are not known, but at time $t = t_0$ it is known that :

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = 5, \qquad \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = -2, \qquad \frac{dx}{dt} = 3 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{dy}{dt} = 7.$$

Find $\frac{dz}{dt}$ at time t_0 .

SOLUTION The Multivariable Chain Rule states that

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\frac{dy}{dt}$$
$$= 5(3) + (-2)(7)$$
$$= 1.$$

By knowing certain rates—of—change information about the surface and about the path of the particle in the *x*-*y* plane, we can determine how quickly the object is rising/falling.

We next apply the Chain Rule to solve a max/min problem.

Example 12.5.3 Applying the Multivariable Chain Rule

Consider the surface $z = x^2 + y^2 - xy$, a paraboloid, on which a particle moves with x and y coordinates given by $x = \cos t$ and $y = \sin t$. Find $\frac{dz}{dt}$ when t = 0, and find where the particle reaches its maximum/minimum z-values.

SOLUTION It is straightforward to compute

$$f_x(x,y) = 2x - y,$$
 $f_y(x,y) = 2y - x,$ $\frac{dx}{dt} = -\sin t,$ $\frac{dy}{dt} = \cos t.$

Combining these according to the Chain Rule gives:

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = -(2x-y)\sin t + (2y-x)\cos t.$$




Figure 12.5.2: Plotting the path of a particle on a surface in Example 12.5.3.


When t = 0, x = 1 and y = 0. Thus $\frac{dz}{dt} = -(2)(0) + (-1)(1) = -1$. When t = 0, the particle is moving down, as shown in Figure 12.5.2.

To find where *z*-value is maximized/minimized on the particle's path, we set $\frac{dz}{dt} = 0$ and solve for *t*:

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = 0 = -(2x - y)\sin t + (2y - x)\cos t$$
$$0 = -(2\cos t - \sin t)\sin t + (2\sin t - \cos t)\cos t$$
$$0 = \sin^2 t - \cos^2 t$$
$$\cos^2 t = \sin^2 t$$
$$t = n\frac{\pi}{4} \quad \text{(for odd } n\text{)}$$

We can use the First Derivative Test to find that on $[0, 2\pi]$, *z* has reaches its absolute minimum at $t = \pi/4$ and $5\pi/4$; it reaches its absolute maximum at $t = 3\pi/4$ and $7\pi/4$, as shown in Figure 12.5.2.

We can extend the Chain Rule to include the situation where z is a function of more than one variable, and each of these variables is also a function of more than one variable. The basic case of this is where z = f(x, y), and x and y are functions of two variables, say s and t.



Example 12.5.4 Using the Multivarible Chain Rule, Part II

Let $z = x^2y + x$, $x = s^2 + 3t$ and y = 2s - t. Find $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s}$ and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}$, and evaluate each when s = 1 and t = 2.

Following Theorem 12.5.2, we compute the following partial SOLUTION derivatives: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 2xy + 1 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = x^2,$ $\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = 3 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} = 2 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = -1.$

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial s} = 2s \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = 3$$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = (2xy+1)(2s) + (x^2)(2) = 4xys + 2s + 2x^2, \text{ and}$$
$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = (2xy+1)(3) + (x^2)(-1) = 6xy - x^2 + 3.$$

When s = 1 and t = 2, x = 7 and y = 0, so

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = 100$$
 and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = -46$.

Example 12.5.5 Using the Multivarible Chain Rule, Part II Let $w = xy + z^2$, where $x = t^2 e^s$, $y = t \cos s$, and $z = s \sin t$. Find $\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$ when s = 0

and $t = \pi$.

Following Theorem 12.5.2, we compute the following partial SOLUTION

derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = y \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = x \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 2z,$$
$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = 2te^{s} \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = \cos s \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = s\cos t.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = y(2te^s) + x(\cos s) + 2z(s\cos t)$$

When s = 0 and $t = \pi$, we have $x = \pi^2$, $y = \pi$ and z = 0. Thus

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \pi(2\pi) + \pi^2 = 3\pi^2.$$

Implicit Differentiation

We studied finding $\frac{dy}{dx}$ when y is given as an implicit function of x in detail in Section 2.6. We find here that the Multivariable Chain Rule gives a simpler method of finding $\frac{dy}{dx}$.

For instance, consider the implicit function $x^2y - xy^3 = 3$. We learned to use the following steps to find $\frac{dy}{dx}$:

$$\frac{d}{dx}(x^2y - xy^3) = \frac{d}{dx}(3)$$

$$2xy + x^2\frac{dy}{dx} - y^3 - 3xy^2\frac{dy}{dx} = 0$$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{2xy - y^3}{x^2 - 3xy^2}.$$
(12.2)

Instead of using this method, consider $z = x^2y - xy^3$. The implicit function above describes the level curve z = 3. Considering x and y as functions of x, the Multivariable Chain Rule states that

$$\frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\frac{dx}{dx} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\frac{dy}{dx}.$$
(12.3)

 \Rightarrow

Since z is constant (in our example, z = 3), $\frac{dz}{dx} = 0$. We also know $\frac{dx}{dx} = 1$. Equation (12.3) becomes

$$0 = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}(1) + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\frac{dy}{dx}$$
$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} / \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$$
$$= -\frac{f_x}{f_y}.$$

Note how our solution for $\frac{dy}{dx}$ in Equation (12.2) is just the partial derivative of *z* with respect to *x*, divided by the partial derivative of *z* with respect to *y*, all multiplied by (-1).

We state the above as a theorem.

Theorem 12.5.3 Implicit Differentiation

Let *f* be a differentiable function of *x* and *y*, where f(x, y) = c defines *y* as an implicit function of *x*, for some constant *c*. Then

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{f_x(x,y)}{f_y(x,y)}.$$

We practice using Theorem 12.5.3 by applying it to a problem from Section 2.6.

Implicit Differentiation Example 12.5.6

Given the implicitly defined function $sin(x^2y^2) + y^3 = x + y$, find y'. Note: this is the same problem as given in Example 2.6.4 of Section 2.6, where the solution took about a full page to find.

SOLUTION Let $f(x, y) = \sin(x^2y^2) + y^3 - x - y$; the implicitly defined function above is equivalent to f(x, y) = 0. We find $\frac{dy}{dx}$ by applying Theorem 12.5.3. We find

 $f_x(x,y) = 2xy^2 \cos(x^2y^2) - 1$ and $f_y(x,y) = 2x^2y \cos(x^2y^2) + 3y^2 - 1$, so $\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{2xy^2\cos(x^2y^2) - 1}{2x^2y\cos(x^2y^2) + 3y^2 - 1},$

which matches our solution from Example 2.6.4.

In Section 12.3 we learned how partial derivatives give certain instantaneous rate of change information about a function z = f(x, y). In that section, we measured the rate of change of f by holding one variable constant and letting the other vary (such as, holding y constant and letting x vary gives f_x). We can visualize this change by considering the surface defined by f at a point and moving parallel to the *x*-axis.

What if we want to move in a direction that is not parallel to a coordinate axis? Can we still measure instantaneous rates of change? Yes; we find out how in the next section. In doing so, we'll see how the Multivariable Chain Rule informs our understanding of these directional derivatives.

Exercises 12.5

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Let a level curve of z = f(x, y) be described by x = g(t), y = h(t). Explain why $\frac{dz}{dt} = 0$.
- 2. Fill in the blank: The single variable Chain Rule states $\frac{d}{dx} \left(f(g(x)) \right) = f'(g(x)) \cdot ___.$
- 3. Fill in the blank: The Multivariable Chain Rule states

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \cdot \underline{\qquad} + \underline{\qquad} \cdot \frac{dy}{dt}$$

- 4. If z = f(x, y), where x = g(t) and y = h(t), we can substitute and write z as an explicit function of t. T/F: Using the Multivariable Chain Rule to find $\frac{dz}{dt}$ is sometimes easier than first substituting and then taking the derivative.
- 5. T/F: The Multivariable Chain Rule is only useful when all the related functions are known explicitly.
- The Multivariable Chain Rule allows us to compute implicit derivatives easily by just computing two ______ derivatives.

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 12, functions z = f(x, y), x = g(t) and y = h(t) are given.

- (a) Use the Multivariable Chain Rule to compute $\frac{dz}{dt}$.
- (b) Evaluate $\frac{dz}{dt}$ at the indicated *t*-value.

7. z = 3x + 4y, $x = t^2$, y = 2t; t = 18. $z = x^2 - y^2$, x = t, $y = t^2 - 1$; t = 1

- 9. z = 5x + 2y, $x = 2\cos t + 1$, $y = \sin t 3$; $t = \pi/4$
- 10. $z = \frac{x}{y^2 + 1}$, $x = \cos t$, $y = \sin t$; $t = \pi/2$
- 11. $z = x^2 + 2y^2$, $x = \sin t$, $y = 3\sin t$; $t = \pi/4$
- 12. $z = \cos x \sin y$, $x = \pi t$, $y = 2\pi t + \pi/2$; t = 3

In Exercises 13 – 18, functions z = f(x, y), x = g(t) and y = h(t) are given. Find the values of t where $\frac{dz}{dt} = 0$. Note: these are the same surfaces/curves as found in Exercises 7 – 12.

13.
$$z = 3x + 4y$$
, $x = t^2$, $y = 2t$
14. $z = x^2 - y^2$, $x = t$, $y = t^2 - 1$

15. z = 5x + 2y, $x = 2\cos t + 1$, $y = \sin t - 3$

16.
$$z = \frac{x}{y^2 + 1}$$
, $x = \cos t$, $y = \sin t$

17. $z = x^2 + 2y^2$, $x = \sin t$, $y = 3\sin t$

18. $z = \cos x \sin y$, $x = \pi t$, $y = 2\pi t + \pi/2$

In Exercises 19 – 22, functions z = f(x, y), x = g(s, t) and y = h(s, t) are given.

- (a) Use the Multivariable Chain Rule to compute $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s}$ and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}$.
- (b) Evaluate $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s}$ and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}$ at the indicated *s* and *t* values.

19.
$$z = x^2 y$$
, $x = s - t$, $y = 2s + 4t$; $s = 1, t = 0$
20. $z = \cos(\pi x + \frac{\pi}{2}y)$, $x = st^2$, $y = s^2t$; $s = 1, t = 1$
21. $z = x^2 + y^2$, $x = s\cos t$, $y = s\sin t$; $s = 2, t = \pi/4$
22. $z = e^{-(x^2+y^2)}$, $x = t$, $y = st^2$; $s = 1, t = 1$

In Exercises 23 – 26, find $\frac{dy}{dx}$ using Implicit Differentiation and Theorem 12.5.3.

23.
$$x^{2} \tan y = 50$$

24. $(3x^{2} + 2y^{3})^{4} = 2$
25. $\frac{x^{2} + y}{x + y^{2}} = 17$

26. $\ln(x^2 + xy + y^2) = 1$

In Exercises 27 – 30, find $\frac{dz}{dt}$, or $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s}$ and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}$, using the supplied information.

27. $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = 2$, $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = 1$, $\frac{dx}{dt} = 4$, $\frac{dy}{dt} = -5$

28.
$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = 1$$
, $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = -3$, $\frac{dx}{dt} = 6$, $\frac{dy}{dt} = 2$

29.
$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = -4$$
, $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = 9$,
 $\frac{\partial x}{\partial s} = 5$, $\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = 7$, $\frac{\partial y}{\partial s} = -2$, $\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = 6$

30.
$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = 2$$
, $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = 1$,
 $\frac{\partial x}{\partial s} = -2$, $\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = 3$, $\frac{\partial y}{\partial s} = 2$, $\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = -1$

12.6 Directional Derivatives

Partial derivatives give us an understanding of how a surface changes when we move in the x and y directions. We made the comparison to standing in a rolling meadow and heading due east: the amount of rise/fall in doing so is comparable to f_x . Likewise, the rise/fall in moving due north is comparable to f_y . The steeper the slope, the greater in magnitude f_y .

But what if we didn't move due north or east? What if we needed to move northeast and wanted to measure the amount of rise/fall? Partial derivatives alone cannot measure this. This section investigates **directional derivatives**, which do measure this rate of change.

We begin with a definition.

Definition 12.6.1 Directional Derivatives

Let z = f(x, y) be continuous on a set *S* and let $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ be a unit vector. For all points (x, y), the **directional derivative of** f at (x, y) in the **direction of** \vec{u} is

$$D_{\vec{u}}f(x,y) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+hu_1,y+hu_2) - f(x,y)}{h}.$$

The partial derivatives f_x and f_y are defined with similar limits, but only x or y varies with h, not both. Here both x and y vary with a weighted h, determined by a particular unit vector \vec{u} . This may look a bit intimidating but in reality it is not too difficult to deal with; it often just requires extra algebra. However, the following theorem reduces this algebraic load.

Theorem 12.6.1 Directional Derivatives

Let z = f(x, y) be differentiable on a set *S* containing (x_0, y_0) , and let $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ be a unit vector. The directional derivative of *f* at (x_0, y_0) in the direction of \vec{u} is

 $D_{\vec{u}}f(x_0,y_0) = f_x(x_0,y_0)u_1 + f_y(x_0,y_0)u_2.$

Example 12.6.1 Computing directional derivatives

Let $z = 14 - x^2 - y^2$ and let P = (1, 2). Find the directional derivative of f, at P, in the following directions:

- 1. toward the point Q = (3, 4),
- 2. in the direction of (2, -1), and




Figure 12.6.1: Understanding the directional derivative in Example 12.6.1.

3. toward the origin.

SOLUTION The surface is plotted in Figure 12.6.1, where the point P = (1, 2) is indicated in the *x*, *y*-plane as well as the point (1, 2, 9) which lies on the surface of *f*. We find that $f_x(x, y) = -2x$ and $f_x(1, 2) = -2$; $f_y(x, y) = -2y$ and $f_y(1, 2) = -4$.

1. Let \vec{u}_1 be the unit vector that points from the point (1, 2) to the point Q = (3, 4), as shown in the figure. The vector $\vec{PQ} = \langle 2, 2 \rangle$; the unit vector in this direction is $\vec{u}_1 = \langle 1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2} \rangle$. Thus the directional derivative of f at (1, 2) in the direction of \vec{u}_1 is

$$D_{\vec{u}_1}f(1,2) = -2(1/\sqrt{2}) + (-4)(1/\sqrt{2}) = -6/\sqrt{2} \approx -4.24.$$

Thus the instantaneous rate of change in moving from the point (1, 2, 9) on the surface in the direction of \vec{u}_1 (which points toward the point *Q*) is about -4.24. Moving in this direction moves one steeply downward.

2. We seek the directional derivative in the direction of $\langle 2, -1 \rangle$. The unit vector in this direction is $\vec{u}_2 = \langle 2/\sqrt{5}, -1/\sqrt{5} \rangle$. Thus the directional derivative of f at (1, 2) in the direction of \vec{u}_2 is

$$D_{\vec{u}_2}f(1,2) = -2(2/\sqrt{5}) + (-4)(-1/\sqrt{5}) = 0.$$

Starting on the surface of f at (1, 2) and moving in the direction of $\langle 2, -1 \rangle$ (or \vec{u}_2) results in no instantaneous change in *z*-value. This is analogous to standing on the side of a hill and choosing a direction to walk that does not change the elevation. One neither walks up nor down, rather just "along the side" of the hill.

Finding these directions of "no elevation change" is important.

3. At P = (1,2), the direction towards the origin is given by the vector $\langle -1, -2 \rangle$; the unit vector in this direction is $\vec{u}_3 = \langle -1/\sqrt{5}, -2/\sqrt{5} \rangle$. The directional derivative of *f* at *P* in the direction of the origin is

$$D_{\vec{u}_3}f(1,2) = -2(-1/\sqrt{5}) + (-4)(-2/\sqrt{5}) = 10/\sqrt{5} \approx 4.47.$$

Moving towards the origin means "walking uphill" quite steeply, with an initial slope of about 4.47.

As we study directional derivatives, it will help to make an important connection between the unit vector $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ that describes the direction and the partial derivatives f_x and f_y . We start with a definition and follow this with a Key Idea.

Definition 12.6.2 Gradient

Let z = f(x, y) be differentiable on a set *S* that contains the point (x_0, y_0) .

1. The gradient of *f* is $\nabla f(x, y) = \langle f_x(x, y), f_y(x, y) \rangle$.

2. The gradient of *f* at (x_0, y_0) is $\nabla f(x_0, y_0) = \langle f_x(x_0, y_0), f_y(x_0, y_0) \rangle$.

To simplify notation, we often express the gradient as $\nabla f = \langle f_x, f_y \rangle$. The gradient allows us to compute directional derivatives in terms of a dot product.

Key Idea 12.6.1The Gradient and Directional DerivativesThe directional derivative of z = f(x, y) in the direction of \vec{u} is

 $D_{\vec{u}}f = \nabla f \cdot \vec{u}.$

The properties of the dot product previously studied allow us to investigate the properties of the directional derivative. Given that the directional derivative gives the instantaneous rate of change of z when moving in the direction of \vec{u} , three questions naturally arise:

- 1. In what direction(s) is the change in *z* the greatest (i.e., the "steepest up-hill")?
- 2. In what direction(s) is the change in *z* the least (i.e., the "steepest down-hill")?
- 3. In what direction(s) is there no change in *z*?

Using the key property of the dot product, we have

$$\nabla f \cdot \vec{u} = || \nabla f || || \vec{u} || \cos \theta = || \nabla f || \cos \theta, \qquad (12.4)$$

where θ is the angle between the gradient and \vec{u} . (Since \vec{u} is a unit vector, $||\vec{u}|| = 1$.) This equation allows us to answer the three questions stated previously.

1. Equation 12.4 is maximized when $\cos \theta = 1$, i.e., when the gradient and \vec{u} have the same direction. We conclude the gradient points in the direction of greatest *z* change.

Notes:

Note: The symbol " ∇ " is named "nabla," derived from the Greek name of a Jewish harp. Oddly enough, in mathematics the expression ∇f is pronounced "del f."

- 2. Equation 12.4 is minimized when $\cos \theta = -1$, i.e., when the gradient and \vec{u} have opposite directions. We conclude the gradient points in the opposite direction of the least *z* change.
- 3. Equation 12.4 is 0 when $\cos \theta = 0$, i.e., when the gradient and \vec{u} are orthogonal to each other. We conclude the gradient is orthogonal to directions of no *z* change.

This result is rather amazing. Once again imagine standing in a rolling meadow and face the direction that leads you steepest uphill. Then the direction that leads steepest downhill is directly behind you, and side–stepping either left or right (i.e., moving perpendicularly to the direction you face) does not change your elevation at all.

Recall that a level curve is defined as a curve in the *x*-*y* plane along which the *z*-values of a function do not change. Let a surface z = f(x, y) be given, and let's represent one such level curve as a vector–valued function, $\vec{r}(t) = \langle x(t), y(t) \rangle$. As the output of *f* does not change along this curve, f(x(t), y(t)) = c for all *t*, for some constant *c*.

Since *f* is constant for all *t*, $\frac{df}{dt} = 0$. By the Multivariable Chain Rule, we also know

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df}{dt} &= f_x(x, y)x'(t) + f_y(x, y)y'(t) \\ &= \langle f_x(x, y), f_y(x, y) \rangle \cdot \langle x'(t), y'(t) \rangle \\ &= \nabla f \cdot \vec{r}'(t) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This last equality states $\nabla f \cdot \vec{r}'(t) = 0$: the gradient is orthogonal to the derivative of \vec{r} , meaning the gradient is orthogonal to the graph of \vec{r} . Our conclusion: at any point on a surface, the gradient at that point is orthogonal to the level curve that passes through that point.

We restate these ideas in a theorem, then use them in an example.

Theorem 12.6.2 The Gradient and Directional Derivatives Let z = f(x, y) be differentiable on a set *S* with gradient ∇f , let $P = (x_0, y_0)$ be a point in *S* and let \vec{u} be a unit vector.

- 1. The maximum value of $D_{\vec{u}}f(x_0, y_0)$ is $|| \nabla f(x_0, y_0) ||$; the direction of maximal *z* increase is $\nabla f(x_0, y_0)$.
- 2. The minimum value of $D_{\vec{u}}f(x_0, y_0)$ is $-||\nabla f(x_0, y_0)||$; the direction of minimal *z* increase is $-\nabla f(x_0, y_0)$.
- 3. At *P*, $\nabla f(x_0, y_0)$ is orthogonal to the level curve passing through $(x_0, y_0, f(x_0, y_0))$.

Example 12.6.2 Finding directions of maximal and minimal increase Let $f(x, y) = \sin x \cos y$ and let $P = (\pi/3, \pi/3)$. Find the directions of maximal/minimal increase, and find a direction where the instantaneous rate of *z* change is 0.

SOLUTION We begin by finding the gradient. $f_x = \cos x \cos y$ and $f_y = -\sin x \sin y$, thus

$$\nabla f = \langle \cos x \cos y, -\sin x \sin y \rangle$$
 and, at *P*, $\nabla f\left(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}\right) = \left\langle \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{3}{4} \right\rangle$

Thus the direction of maximal increase is $\langle 1/4, -3/4 \rangle$. In this direction, the instantaneous rate of *z* change is $||\langle 1/4, -3/4 \rangle || = \sqrt{10}/4 \approx 0.79$.

Figure 12.6.2 shows the surface plotted from two different perspectives. In each, the gradient is drawn at *P* with a dashed line (because of the nature of this surface, the gradient points "into" the surface). Let $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ be the unit vector in the direction of ∇f at *P*. Each graph of the figure also contains the vector $\langle u_1, u_2, ||\nabla f|| \rangle$. This vector has a "run" of 1 (because in the *x*-*y* plane it moves 1 unit) and a "rise" of $||\nabla f||$, hence we can think of it as a vector with slope of $||\nabla f||$ in the direction of ∇f , helping us visualize how "steep" the surface is in its steepest direction.

The direction of minimal increase is $\langle -1/4, 3/4 \rangle$; in this direction the instantaneous rate of *z* change is $-\sqrt{10}/4 \approx -0.79$.

Any direction orthogonal to ∇f is a direction of no *z* change. We have two choices: the direction of $\langle 3, 1 \rangle$ and the direction of $\langle -3, -1 \rangle$. The unit vector in the direction of $\langle 3, 1 \rangle$ is shown in each graph of the figure as well. The level curve at $z = \sqrt{3}/4$ is drawn: recall that along this curve the *z*-values do not change. Since $\langle 3, 1 \rangle$ is a direction of no *z*-change, this vector is tangent to the level curve at *P*.

Example 12.6.3 Understanding when $\nabla f = \vec{0}$

Let $f(x, y) = -x^2 + 2x - y^2 + 2y + 1$. Find the directional derivative of f in any direction at P = (1, 1).

SOLUTION We find $\nabla f = \langle -2x + 2, -2y + 2 \rangle$. At *P*, we have $\nabla f(1, 1) = \langle 0, 0 \rangle$. According to Theorem 12.6.2, this is the direction of maximal increase. However, $\langle 0, 0 \rangle$ is directionless; it has no displacement. And regardless of the unit vector \vec{u} chosen, $D_{\vec{u}}f = 0$.

Figure 12.6.3 helps us understand what this means. We can see that *P* lies at the top of a paraboloid. In all directions, the instantaneous rate of change is 0.

So what is the direction of maximal increase? It is fine to give an answer of $\vec{0} = \langle 0, 0 \rangle$, as this indicates that all directional derivatives are 0.




Figure 12.6.2: Graphing the surface and important directions in Example 12.6.2.




Figure 12.6.3: At the top of a paraboloid, all directional derivatives are 0.

The fact that the gradient of a surface always points in the direction of steepest increase/decrease is very useful, as illustrated in the following example.

Example 12.6.4 The flow of water downhill

Consider the surface given by $f(x, y) = 20 - x^2 - 2y^2$. Water is poured on the surface at (1, 1/4). What path does it take as it flows downhill?

Let $\vec{r}(t) = \langle x(t), y(t) \rangle$ be the vector–valued function de-SOLUTION scribing the path of the water in the x-y plane; we seek x(t) and y(t). We know that water will always flow downhill in the steepest direction; therefore, at any point on its path, it will be moving in the direction of $-\nabla f$. (We ignore the physical effects of momentum on the water.) Thus $\vec{r}'(t)$ will be parallel to ∇f , and there is some constant *c* such that $c\nabla f = \vec{r}'(t) = \langle x'(t), y'(t) \rangle$. We find $\nabla f = \langle -2x, -4y \rangle$ and write x'(t) as $\frac{dx}{dt}$ and y'(t) as $\frac{dy}{dt}$. Then

$$c
abla f = \langle x'(t), y'(t)
angle$$

 $\langle -2cx, -4cy
angle = \left\langle rac{dx}{dt}, rac{dy}{dt}
ight
angle.$

This implies

$$-2cx = \frac{dx}{dt}$$
 and $-4cy = \frac{dy}{dt}$, i.e.,
 $c = -\frac{1}{2x}\frac{dx}{dt}$ and $c = -\frac{1}{4y}\frac{dy}{dt}$.

As c equals both expressions, we have

$$\frac{1}{2x}\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{1}{4y}\frac{dy}{dt}.$$

To find an explicit relationship between x and y, we can integrate both sides with respect to t. Recall from our study of differentials that $\frac{dx}{dt}dt = dx$. Thus:

$$\int \frac{1}{2x} \frac{dx}{dt} dt = \int \frac{1}{4y} \frac{dy}{dt} dt$$
$$\int \frac{1}{2x} dx = \int \frac{1}{4y} dy$$
$$\frac{1}{2} \ln |\mathbf{x}| = \frac{1}{4} \ln |\mathbf{y}| + C_1$$
$$2 \ln |\mathbf{x}| = \ln |\mathbf{y}| + C_1$$
$$\ln |\mathbf{x}^2| = \ln |\mathbf{y}| + C_1$$

Now raise both sides as a power of *e*:

$$x^{2} = e^{\ln |y| + C_{1}}$$

$$x^{2} = e^{\ln |y|} e^{C_{1}}$$
(Note that $e^{C_{1}}$ is just a constant.)
$$x^{2} = yC_{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{C_{2}}x^{2} = y$$
(Note that $1/C_{2}$ is just a constant.)
$$Cx^{2} = y.$$

As the water started at the point (1, 1/4), we can solve for C:

$$C(1)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \quad \Rightarrow \quad C = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Thus the water follows the curve $y = x^2/4$ in the *x*-*y* plane. The surface and the path of the water is graphed in Figure 12.6.4(a). In part (b) of the figure, the level curves of the surface are plotted in the *x*-*y* plane, along with the curve $y = x^2/4$. Notice how the path intersects the level curves at right angles. As the path follows the gradient downhill, this reinforces the fact that the gradient is orthogonal to level curves.

Functions of Three Variables

The concepts of directional derivatives and the gradient are easily extended to three (and more) variables. We combine the concepts behind Definitions 12.6.1 and 12.6.2 and Theorem 12.6.1 into one set of definitions.

Definition 12.6.3 Directional Derivatives and Gradient with Three Variables

Let w = F(x, y, z) be differentiable on a set D and let \vec{u} be a unit vector in \mathbb{R}^3 .

1. The gradient of *F* is $\nabla F = \langle F_x, F_y, F_z \rangle$.

2. The directional derivative of *F* in the direction of \vec{u} is

 $D_{\vec{u}}F = \nabla F \cdot \vec{u}.$

The same properties of the gradient given in Theorem 12.6.2, when f is a




Figure 12.6.4: A graph of the surface described in Example 12.6.4 along with the path in the *x*-*y* plane with the level curves.

function of two variables, hold for *F*, a function of three variables.

Theorem 12.6.3 The Gradient and Directional Derivatives with Three Variables

Let w = F(x, y, z) be differentiable on a set D, let ∇F be the gradient of F, and let \vec{u} be a unit vector.

- The maximum value of D_u F is || ∇F ||, obtained when the angle between ∇F and u is 0, i.e., the direction of maximal increase is ∇F.
- 2. The minimum value of $D_{\vec{u}}F$ is $-||\nabla F||$, obtained when the angle between ∇F and \vec{u} is π , i.e., the direction of minimal increase is $-\nabla F$.
- 3. $D_{\vec{u}} F = 0$ when ∇F and \vec{u} are orthogonal.

We interpret the third statement of the theorem as "the gradient is orthogonal to level surfaces," the three–variable analogue to level curves.

Example 12.6.5 Finding directional derivatives with functions of three variables

If a point source *S* is radiating energy, the intensity *I* at a given point *P* in space is inversely proportional to the square of the distance between *S* and *P*. That is,

when S = (0, 0, 0), $I(x, y, z) = \frac{k}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ for some constant k.

Let k = 1, let $\vec{u} = \langle 2/3, 2/3, 1/3 \rangle$ be a unit vector, and let P = (2, 5, 3). Measure distances in inches. Find the directional derivative of *I* at *P* in the direction of \vec{u} , and find the direction of greatest intensity increase at *P*.

SOLUTION We need the gradient ∇I , meaning we need I_x , I_y and I_z . Each partial derivative requires a simple application of the Quotient Rule, giving

$$\nabla I = \left\langle \frac{-2x}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^2}, \frac{-2y}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^2}, \frac{-2z}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^2} \right\rangle$$
$$\nabla I(2, 5, 3) = \left\langle \frac{-4}{1444}, \frac{-10}{1444}, \frac{-6}{1444} \right\rangle \approx \langle -0.003, -0.007, -0.004 \rangle$$
$$D_{\vec{u}} I = \nabla I(2, 5, 3) \cdot \vec{u}$$
$$= -\frac{17}{2166} \approx -0.0078.$$

The directional derivative tells us that moving in the direction of \vec{u} from *P* results in a decrease in intensity of about -0.008 units per inch. (The intensity is decreasing as \vec{u} moves one farther from the origin than *P*.)

The gradient gives the direction of greatest intensity increase. Notice that

$$\nabla I(2,5,3) = \left\langle \frac{-4}{1444}, \frac{-10}{1444}, \frac{-6}{1444} \right\rangle$$
$$= \frac{2}{1444} \left\langle -2, -5, -3 \right\rangle.$$

That is, the gradient at (2, 5, 3) is pointing in the direction of $\langle -2, -5, -3 \rangle$, that is, towards the origin. That should make intuitive sense: the greatest increase in intensity is found by moving towards to source of the energy.

The directional derivative allows us to find the instantaneous rate of *z* change in any direction at a point. We can use these instantaneous rates of change to define lines and planes that are *tangent* to a surface at a point, which is the topic of the next section.

Exercises 12.6

Terms and Concepts

- 1. What is the difference between a directional derivative and a partial derivative?
- 2. For what \vec{u} is $D_{\vec{u}}f = f_x$?
- 3. For what \vec{u} is $D_{\vec{u}}f = f_y$?
- 4. The gradient is ______ to level curves.
- 5. The gradient points in the direction of ______ increase.
- 6. It is generally more informative to view the directional derivative not as the result of a limit, but rather as the result of a _____ product.

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 12, a function z = f(x, y) is given. Find ∇f .

- 7. $f(x, y) = -x^2y + xy^2 + xy$
- 8. $f(x, y) = \sin x \cos y$
- 9. $f(x,y) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2 + 1}$
- 10. f(x, y) = -4x + 3y
- 11. $f(x, y) = x^2 + 2y^2 xy 7x$
- 12. $f(x, y) = x^2 y^3 2x$

In Exercises 13 – 18, a function z = f(x, y) and a point *P* are given. Find the directional derivative of *f* in the indicated directions. Note: these are the same functions as in Exercises 7 through 12.

- 13. $f(x, y) = -x^2y + xy^2 + xy$, P = (2, 1)
 - (a) In the direction of $\vec{v} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle$
 - (b) In the direction toward the point Q = (1, -1).
- 14. $f(x, y) = \sin x \cos y, P = \left(\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{3}\right)$
 - (a) In the direction of $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$.
 - (b) In the direction toward the point Q = (0, 0).

15.
$$f(x,y) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2 + 1}$$
, $P = (1,1)$.

- (a) In the direction of $\vec{v} = \langle 1, -1 \rangle$.
- (b) In the direction toward the point Q = (-2, -2).

- 16. f(x, y) = -4x + 3y, P = (5, 2)
 - (a) In the direction of $\vec{v} = \langle 3, 1 \rangle$.
 - (b) In the direction toward the point Q = (2, 7).
- 17. $f(x, y) = x^2 + 2y^2 xy 7x$, P = (4, 1)
 - (a) In the direction of $\vec{v} = \langle -2, 5 \rangle$
 - (b) In the direction toward the point Q = (4, 0).

18. $f(x, y) = x^2 y^3 - 2x$, P = (1, 1)

- (a) In the direction of $\vec{v} = \langle 3, 3 \rangle$
- (b) In the direction toward the point Q = (1, 2).

In Exercises 19 – 24, a function z = f(x, y) and a point *P* are given.

- (a) Find the direction of maximal increase of f at P.
- (b) What is the maximal value of $D_{\vec{u}}f$ at P?
- (c) Find the direction of minimal increase of f at P.
- (d) Give a direction \vec{u} such that $D_{\vec{u}}f = 0$ at *P*.

Note: these are the same functions and points as in Exercises 13 through 18.

19.
$$f(x, y) = -x^2y + xy^2 + xy$$
, $P = (2, 1)$

20.
$$f(x, y) = \sin x \cos y, P = \left(\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{3}\right)$$

21.
$$f(x,y) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2 + 1}$$
, $P = (1,1)$.

- 22. f(x, y) = -4x + 3y, P = (5, 4).
- 23. $f(x, y) = x^2 + 2y^2 xy 7x$, P = (4, 1)
- 24. $f(x, y) = x^2 y^3 2x$, P = (1, 1)

In Exercises 25 – 28, a function w = F(x, y, z), a vector \vec{v} and a point *P* are given.

(a) Find $\nabla F(x, y, z)$.

1

2

(b) Find $D_{\vec{u}} F$ at P, where \vec{u} is the unit vector in the direction of \vec{v} .

25.
$$F(x, y, z) = 3x^2z^3 + 4xy - 3z^2$$
, $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$, $P = (3, 2, 1)$

26.
$$F(x, y, z) = \sin(x) \cos(y)e^{z}$$
, $\vec{v} = \langle 2, 2, 1 \rangle$, $P = (0, 0, 0)$

27.
$$F(x, y, z) = x^2 y^2 - y^2 z^2$$
, $\vec{v} = \langle -1, 7, 3 \rangle$, $P = (1, 0, -1)$

28.
$$F(x, y, z) = \frac{2}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$$
, $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 1, -2 \rangle$, $P = (1, 1, 1)$

12.7 Tangent Lines, Normal Lines, and Tangent Planes

Derivatives and tangent lines go hand—in—hand. Given y = f(x), the line tangent to the graph of f at $x = x_0$ is the line through $(x_0, f(x_0))$ with slope $f'(x_0)$; that is, the slope of the tangent line is the instantaneous rate of change of f at x_0 .

When dealing with functions of two variables, the graph is no longer a curve but a surface. At a given point on the surface, it seems there are many lines that fit our intuition of being "tangent" to the surface.

In Figure 12.7.1 we see lines that are tangent to curves in space. Since each curve lies on a surface, it makes sense to say that the lines are also tangent to the surface. The next definition formally defines what it means to be "tangent to a surface."

Definition 12.7.1 Directional Tangent Line

Let z = f(x, y) be differentiable on a set *S* containing (x_0, y_0) and let $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ be a unit vector.

- 1. The line ℓ_x through $(x_0, y_0, f(x_0, y_0))$ parallel to $(1, 0, f_x(x_0, y_0))$ is the tangent line to f in the direction of x at (x_0, y_0) .
- 2. The line ℓ_y through $(x_0, y_0, f(x_0, y_0))$ parallel to $(0, 1, f_y(x_0, y_0))$ is the tangent line to f in the direction of y at (x_0, y_0) .
- 3. The line $\ell_{\vec{u}}$ through $(x_0, y_0, f(x_0, y_0))$ parallel to $\langle u_1, u_2, D_{\vec{u}}f(x_0, y_0) \rangle$ is the **tangent line to** *f* **in the direction of** \vec{u} **at** (x_0, y_0) .

It is instructive to consider each of three directions given in the definition in terms of "slope." The direction of ℓ_x is $\langle 1, 0, f_x(x_0, y_0) \rangle$; that is, the "run" is one unit in the *x*-direction and the "rise" is $f_x(x_0, y_0)$ units in the *z*-direction. Note how the slope is just the partial derivative with respect to *x*. A similar statement can be made for ℓ_y . The direction of $\ell_{\vec{u}}$ is $\langle u_1, u_2, D_{\vec{u}}f(x_0, y_0) \rangle$; the "run" is one unit in the \vec{u} direction (where \vec{u} is a unit vector) and the "rise" is the directional derivative of *z* in that direction.

Definition 12.7.1 leads to the following parametric equations of directional tangent lines:

$$\ell_x(t) = \begin{cases} x = x_0 + t \\ y = y_0 \\ z = z_0 + f_x(x_0, y_0)t \end{cases}, \quad \ell_y(t) = \begin{cases} x = x_0 \\ y = y_0 + t \\ z = z_0 + f_y(x_0, y_0)t \end{cases} \text{ and } \ell_{\vec{u}}(t) = \begin{cases} x = x_0 + u_1 t \\ y = y_0 + u_2 t \\ z = z_0 + D_{\vec{u}}f(x_0, y_0)t \end{cases}$$






Figure 12.7.1: Showing various lines tangent to a surface.





Example 12.7.1 Finding directional tangent lines

Find the lines tangent to the surface $z = \sin x \cos y$ at $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ in the x and y directions and also in the direction of $\vec{v} = \langle -1, 1 \rangle$.

SOLUTION The partial derivatives with respect to *x* and *y* are:

 $f_x(x,y) = \cos x \cos y \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_x(\pi/2,\pi/2) = 0$ $f_y(x,y) = -\sin x \sin y \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_y(\pi/2,\pi/2) = -1.$

At $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$, the *z*-value is 0.

Thus the parametric equations of the line tangent to f at $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ in the directions of x and y are:

$$\ell_x(t) = \begin{cases} x = \pi/2 + t \\ y = \pi/2 \\ z = 0 \end{cases} \text{ and } \ell_y(t) = \begin{cases} x = \pi/2 \\ y = \pi/2 + t \\ z = -t \end{cases}.$$

The two lines are shown with the surface in Figure 12.7.2(a). To find the equation of the tangent line in the direction of \vec{v} , we first find the unit vector in the direction of \vec{v} : $\vec{u} = \langle -1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2} \rangle$. The directional derivative at $(\pi/2, \pi, 2)$ in the direction of \vec{u} is

$$D_{\overline{u}}f(\pi/2,\pi,2) = \langle 0,-1\rangle \cdot \left\langle -1/\sqrt{2},1/\sqrt{2}\right\rangle = -1/\sqrt{2}.$$

Thus the directional tangent line is

$$\ell_{\vec{u}}(t) = \begin{cases} x = \pi/2 - t/\sqrt{2} \\ y = \pi/2 + t/\sqrt{2} \\ z = -t/\sqrt{2} \end{cases}.$$

The curve through $(\pi/2, \pi/2, 0)$ in the direction of \vec{v} is shown in Figure 12.7.2(b) along with $\ell_{\vec{u}}(t)$.

Example 12.7.2 Finding directional tangent lines

Let $f(x, y) = 4xy - x^4 - y^4$. Find the equations of *all* directional tangent lines to f at (1, 1).

SOLUTION First note that f(1, 1) = 2. We need to compute directional derivatives, so we need ∇f . We begin by computing partial derivatives.

$$f_x = 4y - 4x^3 \Rightarrow f_x(1, 1) = 0; \quad f_y = 4x - 4y^3 \Rightarrow f_y(1, 1) = 0$$

Thus $\nabla f(1,1) = \langle 0,0 \rangle$. Let $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ be any unit vector. The directional derivative of f at (1,1) will be $D_{\vec{u}}f(1,1) = \langle 0,0 \rangle \cdot \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle = 0$. It does not matter

what direction we choose; the directional derivative is always 0. Therefore

$$\ell_{\vec{u}}(t) = \begin{cases} x = 1 + u_1 t \\ y = 1 + u_2 t \\ z = 2 \end{cases}$$

Figure 12.7.3 shows a graph of f and the point (1, 1, 2). Note that this point comes at the top of a "hill," and therefore every tangent line through this point will have a "slope" of 0.

That is, consider any curve on the surface that goes through this point. Each curve will have a relative maximum at this point, hence its tangent line will have a slope of 0. The following section investigates the points on surfaces where all tangent lines have a slope of 0.

Normal Lines

When dealing with a function y = f(x) of one variable, we stated that a line through (c, f(c)) was *tangent* to f if the line had a slope of f'(c) and was *normal* (or, *perpendicular*, *orthogonal*) to f if it had a slope of -1/f'(c). We extend the concept of normal, or orthogonal, to functions of two variables.

Let z = f(x, y) be a differentiable function of two variables. By Definition 12.7.1, at (x_0, y_0) , $\ell_x(t)$ is a line parallel to the vector $\vec{d}_x = \langle 1, 0, f_x(x_0, y_0) \rangle$ and $\ell_y(t)$ is a line parallel to $\vec{d}_y = \langle 0, 1, f_y(x_0, y_0) \rangle$. Since lines in these directions through $(x_0, y_0, f(x_0, y_0))$ are *tangent* to the surface, a line through this point and orthogonal to these directions would be *orthogonal*, or *normal*, to the surface. We can use this direction to create a normal line.

The direction of the normal line is orthogonal to \vec{d}_x and \vec{d}_y , hence the direction is parallel to $\vec{d}_n = \vec{d}_x \times \vec{d}_y$. It turns out this cross product has a very simple form:

$$ec{d}_x imes ec{d}_y = \langle 1, 0, f_x
angle imes \langle 0, 1, f_y
angle = \langle -f_x, -f_y, 1
angle$$

It is often more convenient to refer to the opposite of this direction, namely $\langle f_x, f_y, -1 \rangle$. This leads to a definition.




Figure 12.7.3: Graphing f in Example 12.7.2.

Definition 12.7.2 Normal Line

Let z = f(x, y) be differentiable on a set *S* containing (x_0, y_0) where

$$a = f_x(x_0, y_0)$$
 and $b = f_y(x_0, y_0)$

are defined.

- 1. A nonzero vector parallel to $\vec{n} = \langle a, b, -1 \rangle$ is orthogonal to f at $P = (x_0, y_0, f(x_0, y_0))$.
- 2. The line ℓ_n through *P* with direction parallel to \vec{n} is the **normal line** to *f* at *P*.

Thus the parametric equations of the normal line to a surface f at $(x_0, y_0, f(x_0, y_0))$ is:

$$\ell_n(t) = \begin{cases} x = x_0 + at \\ y = y_0 + bt \\ z = f(x_0, y_0) - t \end{cases}.$$

Example 12.7.3 Finding a normal line

Find the equation of the normal line to $z = -x^2 - y^2 + 2$ at (0, 1).

SOLUTION We find $z_x(x, y) = -2x$ and $z_y(x, y) = -2y$; at (0, 1), we have $z_x = 0$ and $z_y = -2$. We take the direction of the normal line, following Definition 12.7.2, to be $\vec{n} = \langle 0, -2, -1 \rangle$. The line with this direction going through the point (0, 1, 1) is

$$\ell_n(t) = \begin{cases} x = 0\\ y = -2t + 1\\ z = -t + 1 \end{cases} \text{ or } \ell_n(t) = \langle 0, -2, -1 \rangle t + \langle 0, 1, 1 \rangle.$$

The surface $z = -x^2 - y^2 + 2$, along with the found normal line, is graphed in Figure 12.7.4.

The direction of the normal line has many uses, one of which is the definition of the **tangent plane** which we define shortly. Another use is in measuring distances from the surface to a point. Given a point Q in space, it is a general geometric concept to define the distance from Q to the surface as being the length of the shortest line segment \overline{PQ} over all points P on the surface. This, in turn, implies that \overline{PQ} will be orthogonal to the surface at P. Therefore we can measure the distance from Q to the surface f by finding a point P on the surface such that \overline{PQ} is parallel to the normal line to f at P.




Figure 12.7.4: Graphing a surface with a normal line from Example 12.7.3.

Example 12.7.4 Finding the distance from a point to a surface

Let $f(x, y) = 2 - x^2 - y^2$ and let Q = (2, 2, 2). Find the distance from Q to the surface defined by f.

SOLUTION This surface is used in Example 12.7.2, so we know that at (x, y), the direction of the normal line will be $\vec{d}_n = \langle -2x, -2y, -1 \rangle$. A point *P* on the surface will have coordinates $(x, y, 2-x^2-y^2)$, so $\vec{PQ} = \langle 2-x, 2-y, x^2+y^2 \rangle$. To find where \vec{PQ} is parallel to \vec{d}_n , we need to find *x*, *y* and *c* such that $c\vec{PQ} = \vec{d}_n$.

$$c \overrightarrow{PQ} = \overrightarrow{d}_n$$

 $c \left\langle 2 - x, 2 - y, x^2 + y^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle -2x, -2y, -1 \right\rangle.$

This implies

$$c(2-x) = -2x$$

$$c(2-y) = -2y$$

$$c(x^2 + y^2) = -1$$

In each equation, we can solve for c:

$$c = \frac{-2x}{2-x} = \frac{-2y}{2-y} = \frac{-1}{x^2+y^2}.$$

The first two fractions imply x = y, and so the last fraction can be rewritten as $c = -1/(2x^2)$. Then

$$\frac{-2x}{2-x} = \frac{-1}{2x^2}$$
$$-2x(2x^2) = -1(2-x)$$
$$4x^3 = 2-x$$
$$4x^3 + x - 2 = 0.$$

This last equation is a cubic, which is not difficult to solve with a numeric solver. We find that x = 0.689, hence P = (0.689, 0.689, 1.051). We find the distance from Q to the surface of f is

$$|| \overrightarrow{PQ} || = \sqrt{(2 - 0.689)^2 + (2 - 0.689)^2 + (2 - 1.051)^2} = 2.083.$$

We can take the concept of measuring the distance from a point to a surface to find a point Q a particular distance from a surface at a given point P on the surface.




Figure 12.7.5: Graphing the surface in Example 12.7.5 along with points 4 units from the surface.

Example 12.7.5 Finding a point a set distance from a surface

Let $f(x, y) = x - y^2 + 3$. Let P = (2, 1, f(2, 1)) = (2, 1, 4). Find points Q in space that are 4 units from the surface of f at P. That is, find Q such that $|| \overrightarrow{PQ} || = 4$ and \overrightarrow{PQ} is orthogonal to f at P.

SOLUTION We begin by finding partial derivatives:

$$f_x(x,y) = 1 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad f_x(2,1) = 1 \ f_y(x,y) = -2y \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad f_y(2,1) = -2$$

The vector $\vec{n} = \langle 1, -2, -1 \rangle$ is orthogonal to f at P. For reasons that will become more clear in a moment, we find the unit vector in the direction of \vec{n} :

$$\vec{u} = \frac{\vec{n}}{||\vec{n}||} = \left\langle 1/\sqrt{6}, -2/\sqrt{6}, -1/\sqrt{6} \right\rangle \approx \left\langle 0.408, -0.816, -0.408 \right\rangle.$$

Thus a the normal line to f at P can be written as

$$\ell_n(t) = \langle 2, 1, 4 \rangle + t \langle 0.408, -0.816, -0.408 \rangle$$

An advantage of this parametrization of the line is that letting $t = t_0$ gives a point on the line that is $|t_0|$ units from *P*. (This is because the direction of the line is given in terms of a unit vector.) There are thus two points in space 4 units from *P*:

$$\begin{array}{ll} Q_1 = \ell_n(4) & Q_2 = \ell_n(-4) \\ \approx \langle 3.63, -2.27, 2.37 \rangle & \approx \langle 0.37, 4.27, 5.63 \rangle \end{array}$$

width=150pt The surface is graphed along with points P, Q_1 , Q_2 and a portion of the normal line to f at P.

Tangent Planes

We can use the direction of the normal line to define a plane. With $a = f_x(x_0, y_0)$, $b = f_y(x_0, y_0)$ and $P = (x_0, y_0, f(x_0, y_0))$, the vector $\vec{n} = \langle a, b, -1 \rangle$ is orthogonal to f at P. The plane through P with normal vector \vec{n} is therefore **tangent** to f at P.

Definition 12.7.3 Tangent Plane

Let z = f(x, y) be differentiable on a set S containing (x_0, y_0) , where $a = f_x(x_0, y_0)$, $b = f_y(x_0, y_0)$, $\vec{n} = \langle a, b, -1 \rangle$ and $P = (x_0, y_0, f(x_0, y_0))$.

The plane through *P* with normal vector \vec{n} is the **tangent plane to** *f* **at** *P*. The standard form of this plane is

$$a(x-x_0)+b(y-y_0)-(z-f(x_0,y_0))=0.$$

Example 12.7.6 Finding tangent planes

Find the equation of the tangent plane to $z = -x^2 - y^2 + 2$ at (0, 1).

SOLUTION Note that this is the same surface and point used in Example 12.7.3. There we found $\vec{n} = \langle 0, -2, -1 \rangle$ and P = (0, 1, 1). Therefore the equation of the tangent plane is

$$-2(y-1) - (z-1) = 0.$$

The surface $z = -x^2 - y^2 + 2$ and tangent plane are graphed in Figure 12.7.6.

Example 12.7.7 Using the tangent plane to approximate function values The point (3, -1, 4) lies on the surface of an unknown differentiable function fwhere $f_x(3, -1) = 2$ and $f_y(3, -1) = -1/2$. Find the equation of the tangent plane to f at P, and use this to approximate the value of f(2.9, -0.8).

SOLUTION Knowing the partial derivatives at (3, -1) allows us to form the normal vector to the tangent plane, $\vec{n} = \langle 2, -1/2, -1 \rangle$. Thus the equation of the tangent line to f at P is:

$$2(x-3)-1/2(y+1)-(z-4) = 0 \Rightarrow z = 2(x-3)-1/2(y+1)+4.$$
 (12.5)

Just as tangent lines provide excellent approximations of curves near their point of intersection, tangent planes provide excellent approximations of surfaces near their point of intersection. So $f(2.9, -0.8) \approx z(2.9, -0.8) = 3.7$.

This is not a new method of approximation. Compare the right hand expression for *z* in Equation (12.5) to the total differential:

$$dz = f_x dx + f_y dy$$
 and $z = \underbrace{\underbrace{2}_{f_x} \underbrace{(x-3)}_{dx} + \underbrace{-1/2}_{f_y} \underbrace{(y+1)}_{dy}}_{dz} + 4.$




Figure 12.7.6: Graphing a surface with tangent plane from Example 12.7.6.

Thus the "new *z*-value" is the sum of the change in *z* (i.e., dz) and the old *z*-value (4). As mentioned when studying the total differential, it is not uncommon to know partial derivative information about a unknown function, and tangent planes are used to give accurate approximations of the function.

The Gradient and Normal Lines, Tangent Planes

The methods developed in this section so far give a straightforward method of finding equations of normal lines and tangent planes for surfaces with explicit equations of the form z = f(x, y). However, they do not handle implicit equations well, such as $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$. There is a technique that allows us to find vectors orthogonal to these surfaces based on the **gradient**.

Definition 12.7.4 Gradient

Let w = F(x, y, z) be differentiable on a set D that contains the point (x_0, y_0, z_0) .

- 1. The gradient of F is $\nabla F(x, y, z) = \langle f_x(x, y, z), f_y(x, y, z), f_z(x, y, z) \rangle$.
- 2. The gradient of F at (x_0, y_0, z_0) is

 $\nabla F(x_0, y_0, z_0) = \langle f_x(x_0, y_0, z_0), f_y(x_0, y_0, z_0), f_z(x_0, y_0, z_0) \rangle.$

Recall that when z = f(x, y), the gradient $\nabla f = \langle f_x, f_y \rangle$ is orthogonal to level curves of f. An analogous statement can be made about the gradient ∇F , where w = F(x, y, z). Given a point (x_0, y_0, z_0) , let $c = F(x_0, y_0, z_0)$. Then F(x, y, z) = c is a **level surface** that contains the point (x_0, y_0, z_0) . The following theorem states that $\nabla F(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ is orthogonal to this level surface.

Theorem 12.7.1 The Gradient and Level Surfaces

Let w = F(x, y, z) be differentiable on a set D containing (x_0, y_0, z_0) with gradient ∇F , where $F(x_0, y_0, z_0) = c$.

The vector $\nabla F(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ is orthogonal to the level surface F(x, y, z) = c at (x_0, y_0, z_0) .

The gradient at a point gives a vector orthogonal to the surface at that point. This direction can be used to find tangent planes and normal lines.

Example 12.7.8 Using the gradient to find a tangent plane

Find the equation of the plane tangent to the ellipsoid $\frac{x^2}{12} + \frac{y^2}{6} + \frac{z^2}{4} = 1$ at P = (1, 2, 1).

SOLUTION We consider the equation of the ellipsoid as a level surface of a function *F* of three variables, where $F(x, y, z) = \frac{x^2}{12} + \frac{y^2}{6} + \frac{z^2}{4}$. The gradient is:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla F(x,y,z) &= \langle F_x,F_y,F_z \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{x}{6},\frac{y}{3},\frac{z}{2} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

At P, the gradient is $\nabla F(1,2,1) = \langle 1/6, 2/3, 1/2 \rangle$. Thus the equation of the plane tangent to the ellipsoid at P is

$$\frac{1}{6}(x-1)+\frac{2}{3}(y-2)+\frac{1}{2}(z-1)=0.$$

The ellipsoid and tangent plane are graphed in Figure 12.7.7.

Tangent lines and planes to surfaces have many uses, including the study of instantaneous rates of changes and making approximations. Normal lines also have many uses. In this section we focused on using them to measure distances from a surface. Another interesting application is in computer graphics, where the effects of light on a surface are determined using normal vectors.

The next section investigates another use of partial derivatives: determining relative extrema. When dealing with functions of the form y = f(x), we found relative extrema by finding x where f'(x) = 0. We can *start* finding relative extrema of z = f(x, y) by setting f_x and f_y to 0, but it turns out that there is more to consider.




Figure 12.7.7: An ellipsoid and its tangent plane at a point.

Exercises 12.7

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Explain how the vector $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 0, 3 \rangle$ can be thought of as having a "slope" of 3.
- 2. Explain how the vector $\vec{v} = \langle 0.6, 0.8, -2 \rangle$ can be thought of as having a "slope" of -2.
- 3. T/F: Let z = f(x, y) be differentiable at *P*. If \vec{n} is a normal vector to the tangent plane of *f* at *P*, then \vec{n} is orthogonal to ℓ_x and ℓ_y at *P*.
- 4. Explain in your own words why we do not refer to *the* tangent line to a surface at a point, but rather to *directional* tangent lines to a surface at a point.

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 8, a function z = f(x, y), a vector \vec{v} and a point *P* are given. Give the parametric equations of the following directional tangent lines to *f* at *P*:

- (a) $\ell_{x}(t)$
- **(b)** $\ell_{y}(t)$
- (c) $\ell_{\vec{u}}(t)$, where \vec{u} is the unit vector in the direction of \vec{v} .
- 5. $f(x, y) = 2x^2y 4xy^2$, $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 3 \rangle$, P = (2, 3).
- 6. $f(x, y) = 3\cos x \sin y$, $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$, $P = (\pi/3, \pi/6)$.
- 7. f(x, y) = 3x 5y, $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$, P = (4, 2).
- 8. $f(x,y) = x^2 2x y^2 + 4y$, $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$, P = (1, 2).

In Exercises 9 – 12, a function z = f(x, y) and a point *P* are given. Find the equation of the normal line to *f* at *P*. Note: these are the same functions as in Exercises 5 – 8.

- 9. $f(x, y) = 2x^2y 4xy^2$, P = (2, 3).
- 10. $f(x, y) = 3 \cos x \sin y$, $P = (\pi/3, \pi/6)$.
- 11. f(x, y) = 3x 5y, P = (4, 2).

12.
$$f(x, y) = x^2 - 2x - y^2 + 4y$$
, $P = (1, 2)$.

In Exercises 13 – 16, a function z = f(x, y) and a point *P* are given. Find the two points that are 2 units from the surface *f* at *P*. Note: these are the same functions as in Exercises 5 – 8.

13.
$$f(x, y) = 2x^2y - 4xy^2$$
, $P = (2, 3)$.

14. $f(x, y) = 3 \cos x \sin y$, $P = (\pi/3, \pi/6)$.

15.
$$f(x, y) = 3x - 5y, P = (4, 2).$$

16.
$$f(x, y) = x^2 - 2x - y^2 + 4y$$
, $P = (1, 2)$

In Exercises 17 – 20, a function z = f(x, y) and a point *P* are given. Find the equation of the tangent plane to *f* at *P*. Note: these are the same functions as in Exercises 5 – 8.

- 17. $f(x, y) = 2x^2y 4xy^2$, P = (2, 3).
- 18. $f(x, y) = 3\cos x \sin y$, $P = (\pi/3, \pi/6)$.

19.
$$f(x, y) = 3x - 5y, P = (4, 2).$$

20. $f(x, y) = x^2 - 2x - y^2 + 4y$, P = (1, 2).

In Exercises 21 – 24, an implicitly defined function of *x*, *y* and *z* is given along with a point *P* that lies on the surface. Use the gradient ∇F to:

- (a) find the equation of the normal line to the surface at *P*, and
- (b) find the equation of the plane tangent to the surface at *P*.

21.
$$\frac{x^2}{8} + \frac{y^2}{4} + \frac{z^2}{16} = 1$$
, at $P = (1, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{6})$
22. $z^2 - \frac{x^2}{4} - \frac{y^2}{9} = 0$, at $P = (4, -3, \sqrt{5})$
23. $xy^2 - xz^2 = 0$, at $P = (2, 1, -1)$
24. $\sin(xy) + \cos(yz) = 0$, at $P = (2, \pi/12, 4)$

12.8 Extreme Values

Given a function z = f(x, y), we are often interested in points where z takes on the largest or smallest values. For instance, if z represents a cost function, we would likely want to know what (x, y) values minimize the cost. If z represents the ratio of a volume to surface area, we would likely want to know where z is greatest. This leads to the following definition.

Definition 12.8.1 Relative and Absolute Extrema

Let z = f(x, y) be defined on a set *S* containing the point $P = (x_0, y_0)$.

1. If $f(x_0, y_0) \ge f(x, y)$ for all (x, y) in *S*, then *f* has an **absolute maximum** at *P*

If $f(x_0, y_0) \le f(x, y)$ for all (x, y) in *S*, then *f* has an **absolute minimum** at *P*.

2. If there is an open disk *D* containing *P* such that $f(x_0, y_0) \ge f(x, y)$ for all points (x, y) that are in both *D* and *S*, then *f* has a **relative maximum** at *P*.

If there is an open disk *D* containing *P* such that $f(x_0, y_0) \le f(x, y)$ for all points (x, y) that are in both *D* and *S*, then *f* has a **relative minimum** at *P*.

3. If *f* has an absolute maximum or minimum at *P*, then *f* has an **ab**-solute extrema at *P*.

If *f* has a relative maximum or minimum at *P*, then *f* has a **relative** extrema at *P*.

If *f* has a relative or absolute maximum at $P = (x_0, y_0)$, it means every curve on the surface of *f* through *P* will also have a relative or absolute maximum at *P*. Recalling what we learned in Section 3.1, the slopes of the tangent lines to these curves at *P* must be 0 or undefined. Since directional derivatives are computed using f_x and f_y , we are led to the following definition and theorem.

Definition 12.8.2 Critical Point

Let z = f(x, y) be continuous on a set S. A critical point $P = (x_0, y_0)$ of f is a point in S such that, at P,

- $f_x(x_0, y_0) = 0$ and $f_y(x_0, y_0) = 0$, or
- $f_x(x_0, y_0)$ and/or $f_y(x_0, y_0)$ is undefined.




Figure 12.8.1: The surface in Example 12.8.1 with its absolute minimum indicated.




Figure 12.8.2: The surface in Example 12.8.2 with its absolute maximum indicated.

Theorem 12.8.1 **Critical Points and Relative Extrema**

Let z = f(x, y) be defined on an open set S containing $P = (x_0, y_0)$. If f has a relative extrema at P, then P is a critical point of f.

Therefore, to find relative extrema, we find the critical points of f and determine which correspond to relative maxima, relative minima, or neither. The following examples demonstrate this process.

Example 12.8.1 Finding critical points and relative extrema Let $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - xy - x - 2$. Find the relative extrema of f.

We start by computing the partial derivatives of *f*: SOLUTION

$$f_x(x,y) = 2x - y - 1$$
 and $f_y(x,y) = 2y - x$.

Each is never undefined. A critical point occurs when f_x and f_y are simultaneously 0, leading us to solve the following system of linear equations:

$$2x - y - 1 = 0$$
 and $-x + 2y = 0$.

This solution to this system is x = 2/3, y = 1/3. (Check that at (2/3, 1/3), both f_x and f_y are 0.)

The graph in Figure 12.8.1 shows f along with this critical point. It is clear from the graph that this is a relative minimum; further consideration of the function shows that this is actually the absolute minimum.

Example 12.8.2 Finding critical points and relative extrema Let $f(x, y) = -\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + 2$. Find the relative extrema of f.

SOLUTION

We start by computing the partial derivatives of *f*:

$$f_x(x,y) = rac{-x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}$$
 and $f_y(x,y) = rac{-y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}$

It is clear that $f_x = 0$ when x = 0 & $y \neq 0$, and that $f_y = 0$ when y = 0 & $x \neq 0$. At (0, 0), both f_x and f_y are *not* 0, but rather undefined. The point (0, 0) is still a critical point, though, because the partial derivatives are undefined. This is the only critical point of *f*.

The surface of f is graphed in Figure 12.8.2 along with the point (0, 0, 2). The graph shows that this point is the absolute maximum of *f*.

In each of the previous two examples, we found a critical point of f and then determined whether or not it was a relative (or absolute) maximum or minimum by graphing. It would be nice to be able to determine whether a critical point corresponded to a max or a min without a graph. Before we develop such a test, we do one more example that sheds more light on the issues our test needs to consider.

Example 12.8.3 Finding critical points and relative extrema Let $f(x, y) = x^3 - 3x - y^2 + 4y$. Find the relative extrema of f.

SOLUTION Once again we start by finding the partial derivatives of *f*:

$$f_x(x, y) = 3x^2 - 3$$
 and $f_y(x, y) = -2y + 4$.

Each is always defined. Setting each equal to 0 and solving for x and y, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &f_x(x,y)=0 \quad \Rightarrow x=\pm 1 \\ &f_y(x,y)=0 \quad \Rightarrow y=2. \end{aligned}$$

We have two critical points: (-1, 2) and (1, 2). To determine if they correspond to a relative maximum or minimum, we consider the graph of *f* in Figure 12.8.3.

The critical point (-1, 2) clearly corresponds to a relative maximum. However, the critical point at (1, 2) is neither a maximum nor a minimum, displaying a different, interesting characteristic.

If one walks parallel to the *y*-axis towards this critical point, then this point becomes a relative maximum along this path. But if one walks towards this point parallel to the *x*-axis, this point becomes a relative minimum along this path. A point that seems to act as both a max and a min is a **saddle point**. A formal definition follows.

Definition 12.8.3 Saddle Point

Let $P = (x_0, y_0)$ be in the domain of f where $f_x = 0$ and $f_y = 0$ at P. We say P is a **saddle point** of f if, for every open disk D containing P, there are points (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) in D such that $f(x_0, y_0) > f(x_1, y_1)$ and $f(x_0, y_0) < f(x_2, y_2)$.

At a saddle point, the instantaneous rate of change in all directions is 0 and there are points nearby with *z*-values both less than and greater than the *z*-value of the saddle point.




Figure 12.8.3: The surface in Example 12.8.3 with both critical points marked.

Before Example 12.8.3 we mentioned the need for a test to differentiate between relative maxima and minima. We now recognize that our test also needs to account for saddle points. To do so, we consider the second partial derivatives of *f*.

Recall that with single variable functions, such as y = f(x), if f''(c) > 0, then if f is concave up at c, and if f'(c) = 0, then f has a relative minimum at x = c. (We called this the Second Derivative Test.) Note that at a saddle point, it seems the graph is "both" concave up and concave down, depending on which direction you are considering.

It would be nice if the following were true:

f_{xx} and $f_{yy} > 0$	\Rightarrow	relative minimum
f_{xx} and $f_{yy} < 0$	\Rightarrow	relative maximum
f_{xx} and f_{yy} have opposite signs	\Rightarrow	saddle point.

However, this is not the case. Functions f exist where f_{xx} and f_{yy} are both positive but a saddle point still exists. In such a case, while the concavity in the *x*-direction is up (i.e., $f_{xx} > 0$) and the concavity in the *y*-direction is also up (i.e., $f_{yy} > 0$), the concavity switches somewhere in between the *x*- and *y*-directions.

To account for this, consider $D = f_{xx}f_{yy} - f_{xy}f_{yx}$. Since f_{xy} and f_{yx} are equal when continuous (refer back to Theorem 12.3.1), we can rewrite this as $D = f_{xx}f_{yy} - f_{xy}^2$. D can be used to test whether the concavity at a point changes depending on direction. If D > 0, the concavity does not switch (i.e., at that point, the graph is concave up or down in all directions). If D < 0, the concavity does switch. If D = 0, our test fails to determine whether concavity switches or not. We state the use of D in the following theorem.

Theorem 12.8.2 Second Derivative Test

Let *R* be an open set on which a function z = f(x, y) and all its first and second partial derivatives are defined, let $P = (x_0, y_0)$ be a critical point of *f* in *R*, and let

$$D = f_{xx}(x_0, y_0) f_{yy}(x_0, y_0) - f_{xy}^2(x_0, y_0).$$

- 1. If D > 0 and $f_{xx}(x_0, y_0) > 0$, then f has a relative minimum at P.
- 2. If D > 0 and $f_{xx}(x_0, y_0) < 0$, then f has a relative maximum at P.
- 3. If D < 0, then *f* has a saddle point at *P*.
- 4. If D = 0, the test is inconclusive.

We first practice using this test with the function in the previous example, where we visually determined we had a relative maximum and a saddle point.

Example 12.8.4 Using the Second Derivative Test

Let $f(x, y) = x^3 - 3x - y^2 + 4y$ as in Example 12.8.3. Determine whether the function has a relative minimum, maximum, or saddle point at each critical point.

SOLUTION We determined previously that the critical points of f are (-1, 2) and (1, 2). To use the Second Derivative Test, we must find the second partial derivatives of f:

$$f_{xx} = 6x;$$
 $f_{yy} = -2;$ $f_{xy} = 0.$

Thus D(x, y) = -12x.

At (-1, 2): D(-1, 2) = 12 > 0, and $f_{xx}(-1, 2) = -6$. By the Second Derivative Test, f has a relative maximum at (-1, 2).

At (1, 2): D(1, 2) = -12 < 0. The Second Derivative Test states that f has a saddle point at (1, 2).

The Second Derivative Test confirmed what we determined visually.

Example 12.8.5 Using the Second Derivative Test

Find the relative extrema of $f(x, y) = x^2y + y^2 + xy$.

SOLUTION We start by finding the first and second partial derivatives of

f:

$$\begin{array}{ll} f_x = 2xy + y & f_y = x^2 + 2y + x \\ f_{xx} = 2y & f_{yy} = 2 \\ f_{xy} = 2x + 1 & f_{yx} = 2x + 1. \end{array}$$

We find the critical points by finding where f_x and f_y are simultaneously 0 (they are both never undefined). Setting $f_x = 0$, we have:

$$f_x = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2xy + y = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y(2x + 1) = 0$$

This implies that for $f_x = 0$, either y = 0 or 2x + 1 = 0. Assume y = 0 then consider $f_y = 0$:

> $f_y = 0$ $x^2 + 2y + x = 0$, and since y = 0, we have $x^2 + x = 0$ x(x + 1) = 0.

Thus if y = 0, we have either x = 0 or x = -1, giving two critical points: (-1, 0) and (0, 0).




Figure 12.8.4: Graphing f from Example 12.8.5 and its relative extrema.

Going back to f_x , now assume 2x + 1 = 0, i.e., that x = -1/2, then consider $f_y = 0$:

$$f_y = 0$$

 $x^2 + 2y + x = 0$, and since $x = -1/2$, we have
 $1/4 + 2y - 1/2 = 0$
 $y = 1/8$.

Thus if x = -1/2, y = 1/8 giving the critical point (-1/2, 1/8). With $D = 4y - (2x+1)^2$, we apply the Second Derivative Test to each critical point.

At (-1, 0), D < 0, so (-1, 0) is a saddle point.

At (0,0), D < 0, so (0,0) is also a saddle point.

At (-1/2, 1/8), D > 0 and $f_{xx} > 0$, so (-1/2, 1/8) is a relative minimum.

Figure 12.8.4 shows a graph of f and the three critical points. Note how this function does not vary much near the critical points – that is, visually it is difficult to determine whether a point is a saddle point or relative minimum (or even a critical point at all!). This is one reason why the Second Derivative Test is so important to have.

Constrained Optimization

When optimizing functions of one variable such as y = f(x), we made use of Theorem 3.1.1, the Extreme Value Theorem, that said that over a closed interval *I*, a continuous function has both a maximum and minimum value. To find these maximum and minimum values, we evaluated *f* at all critical points in the interval, as well as at the endpoints (the "boundary") of the interval.

A similar theorem and procedure applies to functions of two variables. A continuous function over a closed set also attains a maximum and minimum value (see the following theorem). We can find these values by evaluating the function at the critical values in the set and over the boundary of the set. After formally stating this extreme value theorem, we give examples.

Theorem 12.8.3 Extreme Value Theorem

Let z = f(x, y) be a continuous function on a closed, bounded set S. Then f has a maximum and minimum value on S.

Example 12.8.6 Finding extrema on a closed set

Let $f(x, y) = x^2 - y^2 + 5$ and let S be the triangle with vertices (-1, -2), (0, 1) and (2, -2). Find the maximum and minimum values of f on S.

SOLUTION It can help to see a graph of *f* along with the set *S*. In Figure 12.8.5(a) the triangle defining *S* is shown in the *x*-*y* plane in a dashed line. Above it is the surface of *f*; we are only concerned with the portion of *f* enclosed by the "triangle" on its surface.

We begin by finding the critical points of *f*. With $f_x = 2x$ and $f_y = -2y$, we find only one critical point, at (0, 0).

We now find the maximum and minimum values that f attains along the boundary of S, that is, along the edges of the triangle. In Figure 12.8.5(b) we see the triangle sketched in the plane with the equations of the lines forming its edges labeled.

Start with the bottom edge, along the line y = -2. If y is -2, then on the surface, we are considering points f(x, -2); that is, our function reduces to $f(x, -2) = x^2 - (-2)^2 + 5 = x^2 + 1 = f_1(x)$. We want to maximize/minimize $f_1(x) = x^2 + 1$ on the interval [-1, 2]. To do so, we evaluate $f_1(x)$ at its critical points and at the endpoints.

The critical points of f_1 are found by setting its derivative equal to 0:

$$f_1'(x) = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow x = 0.$$

Evaluating f_1 at this critical point, and at the endpoints of [-1, 2] gives:

Notice how evaluating f_1 at a point is the same as evaluating f at its corresponding point.

We need to do this process twice more, for the other two edges of the triangle.

Along the left edge, along the line y = 3x + 1, we substitute 3x + 1 in for y in f(x, y):

$$f(x,y) = f(x,3x+1) = x^2 - (3x+1)^2 + 5 = -8x^2 - 6x + 4 = f_2(x).$$

We want the maximum and minimum values of f_2 on the interval [-1, 0], so we evaluate f_2 at its critical points and the endpoints of the interval. We find the critical points:

$$f_2'(x) = -16x - 6 = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad x = -3/8.$$

Evaluate f_2 at its critical point and the endpoints of [-1, 0]:

$$\begin{array}{rll} f_2(-1)=2 & \Rightarrow & f(-1,-2)=2 \\ f_2(-3/8)=41/8=5.125 & \Rightarrow & f(-3/8,-0.125)=5.125 \\ f_2(0)=4 & \Rightarrow & f(0,1)=4. \end{array}$$




Figure 12.8.5: Plotting the surface of f along with the restricted domain S in Example 12.8.6.




Figure 12.8.6: The surface of *f* along with important points along the boundary of *S* and the interior in Example 12.8.6.

Finally, we evaluate f along the right edge of the triangle, where y = -3/2x+1.

$$f(x,y) = f(x,-3/2x+1) = x^2 - (-3/2x+1)^2 + 5 = -\frac{5}{4}x^2 + 3x + 4 = f_3(x).$$

The critical points of $f_3(x)$ are:

$$f'_3(x) = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad x = 6/5 = 1.2.$$

We evaluate f_3 at this critical point and at the endpoints of the interval [0, 2]:

$f_{3}(0) = 4$	\Rightarrow	f(0, 1) = 4
$f_3(1.2) = 5.8$	\Rightarrow	f(1.2, -0.8) = 5.8
$f_{3}(2) = 5$	\Rightarrow	f(2, -2) = 5.

One last point to test: the critical point of f, (0, 0). We find f(0, 0) = 5.

We have evaluated f at a total of 7 different places, all shown in Figure 12.8.6. We checked each vertex of the triangle twice, as each showed up as the endpoint of an interval twice. Of all the *z*-values found, the maximum is 5.8, found at (1.2, -0.8); the minimum is 1, found at (0, -2).

This portion of the text is entitled "Constrained Optimization" because we want to optimize a function (i.e., find its maximum and/or minimum values) subject to a *constraint* – some limit to what values the function can attain. In the previous example, we constrained ourselves by considering a function only within the boundary of a triangle. This was largely arbitrary; the function and the boundary were chosen just as an example, with no real "meaning" behind the function or the chosen constraint.

However, solving constrained optimization problems is a very important topic in applied mathematics. The techniques developed here are the basis for solving larger problems, where more than two variables are involved.

We illustrate the technique once more with a classic problem.

Example 12.8.7 Constrained Optimization

The U.S. Postal Service states that the girth+length of Standard Post Package must not exceed 130". Given a rectangular box, the "length" is the longest side, and the "girth" is twice the width+height.

Given a rectangular box where the width and height are equal, what are the dimensions of the box that give the maximum volume subject to the constraint of the size of a Standard Post Package?

SOLUTION Let w, h and ℓ denote the width, height and length of a rectangular box; we assume here that w = h. The girth is then 2(w + h) = 4w. The

volume of the box is $V(w, \ell) = wh\ell = w^2\ell$. We wish to maximize this volume subject to the constraint $4w + \ell \le 130$, or $\ell \le 130 - 4w$. (Common sense also indicates that $\ell > 0, w > 0$.)

We begin by finding the critical values of V. We find that $V_w = 2w\ell$ and $V_\ell = w^2$; these are simultaneously 0 only at (0,0). This gives a volume of 0, so we can ignore this critical point.

We now consider the volume along the constraint $\ell = 130 - 4w$. Along this line, we have:

$$V(w, \ell) = V(w, 130 - 4w) = w^2(130 - 4w) = 130w^2 - 4w^3 = V_1(w).$$

The constraint is applicable on the *w*-interval [0, 32.5] as indicated in the figure. Thus we want to maximize V_1 on [0, 32.5].

Finding the critical values of V_1 , we take the derivative and set it equal to 0:

$$V'_1(w) = 260w - 12w^2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad w(260 - 12w) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad w = 0, \frac{260}{12} \approx 21.67.$$

We found two critical values: when w = 0 and when w = 21.67. We again ignore the w = 0 solution; the maximum volume, subject to the constraint, comes at w = h = 21.67, $\ell = 130 - 4(21.6) = 43.33$. This gives a volume of $V(21.67, 43.33) \approx 19,408$ in³.

The volume function $V(w, \ell)$ is shown in Figure 12.8.7 along with the constraint $\ell = 130 - 4w$. As done previously, the constraint is drawn dashed in the *x*-*y* plane and also along the surface of the function. The point where the volume is maximized is indicated.

It is hard to overemphasize the importance of optimization. In "the real world," we routinely seek to make *something* better. By expressing the *something* as a mathematical function, "making *something* better" means "optimize *some function*."

The techniques shown here are only the beginning of an incredibly important field. Many functions that we seek to optimize are incredibly complex, making the step of "find the gradient and set it equal to $\vec{0}$ " highly nontrivial. Mastery of the principles here are key to being able to tackle these more complicated problems.




Figure 12.8.7: Graphing the volume of a box with girth 4w and length ℓ , subject to a size constraint.

Exercises 12.8

Terms and Concepts

- 1. T/F: Theorem 12.8.1 states that if *f* has a critical point at *P*, then *f* has a relative extrema at *P*.
- 2. T/F: A point *P* is a critical point of *f* if *f_x* and *f_y* are both 0 at *P*.
- 3. T/F: A point *P* is a critical point of *f* if *f_x* or *f_y* are undefined at *P*.
- 4. Explain what it means to "solve a constrained optimization" problem.

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 14, find the critical points of the given function. Use the Second Derivative Test to determine if each critical point corresponds to a relative maximum, minimum, or saddle point.

5.
$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + 2y^2 - 8y + 4x$$

- 6. $f(x, y) = x^2 + 4x + y^2 9y + 3xy$
- 7. $f(x, y) = x^2 + 3y^2 6y + 4xy$
- 8. $f(x,y) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2 + 1}$

9.
$$f(x, y) = x^2 + y^3 - 3y + 1$$

10. $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{3}x^3 - x + \frac{1}{3}y^3 - 4y$
11. $f(x, y) = x^2y^2$
12. $f(x, y) = x^4 - 2x^2 + y^3 - 27y - 15$
13. $f(x, y) = \sqrt{16 - (x - 3)^2 - y^2}$
14. $f(x, y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$

In Exercises 15 - 18, find the absolute maximum and minimum of the function subject to the given constraint.

- 15. $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + y + 1$, constrained to the triangle with vertices (0, 1), (-1, -1) and (1, -1).
- 16. f(x, y) = 5x 7y, constrained to the region bounded by $y = x^2$ and y = 1.
- 17. $f(x,y) = x^2 + 2x + y^2 + 2y$, constrained to the region bounded by the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 4$.
- 18. $f(x, y) = 3y 2x^2$, constrained to the region bounded by the parabola $y = x^2 + x 1$ and the line y = x.

13: MULTIPLE INTEGRATION

The previous chapter introduced multivariable functions and we applied concepts of differential calculus to these functions. We learned how we can view a function of two variables as a surface in space, and learned how partial derivatives convey information about how the surface is changing in any direction.

In this chapter we apply techniques of integral calculus to multivariable functions. In Chapter 5 we learned how the definite integral of a single variable function gave us "area under the curve." In this chapter we will see that integration applied to a multivariable function gives us "volume under a surface." And just as we learned applications of integration beyond finding areas, we will find applications of integration in this chapter beyond finding volume.

13.1 Iterated Integrals and Area

In Chapter 12 we found that it was useful to differentiate functions of several variables with respect to one variable, while treating all the other variables as constants or coefficients. We can integrate functions of several variables in a similar way. For instance, if we are told that $f_x(x, y) = 2xy$, we can treat y as staying constant and integrate to obtain f(x, y):

$$f(x, y) = \int f_x(x, y) \, dx$$
$$= \int 2xy \, dx$$
$$= x^2y + C.$$

Make a careful note about the constant of integration, *C*. This "constant" is something with a derivative of 0 with respect to *x*, so it could be any expression that contains only constants and functions of *y*. For instance, if $f(x, y) = x^2y + \sin y + y^3 + 17$, then $f_x(x, y) = 2xy$. To signify that *C* is actually a function of *y*, we write:

$$f(x,y) = \int f_x(x,y) \, dx = x^2 y + C(y)$$

Using this process we can even evaluate definite integrals.

Example 13.1.1 Integrating functions of more than one variable Evaluate the integral $\int_{0}^{2y} 2xy \, dx$.

SOLUTION We find the indefinite integral as before, then apply the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus to evaluate the definite integral:

$$\int_{1}^{2y} 2xy \, dx = x^2 y \Big|_{1}^{2y}$$

= $(2y)^2 y - (1)^2 y$
= $4y^3 - y$.

We can also integrate with respect to y. In general,

$$\int_{h_1(y)}^{h_2(y)} f_x(x,y) \, dx = f(x,y) \Big|_{h_1(y)}^{h_2(y)} = f(h_2(y),y) - f(h_1(y),y),$$

and

$$\int_{g_1(x)}^{g_2(x)} f_y(x,y) \, dy = f(x,y) \Big|_{g_1(x)}^{g_2(x)} = f\big(x,g_2(x)\big) - f\big(x,g_1(x)\big).$$

Note that when integrating with respect to x, the bounds are functions of y (of the form $x = h_1(y)$ and $x = h_2(y)$) and the final result is also a function of y. When integrating with respect to y, the bounds are functions of x (of the form $y = g_1(x)$ and $y = g_2(x)$) and the final result is a function of x. Another example will help us understand this.

Example 13.1.2 Integrating functions of more than one variable Evaluate $\int_{1}^{x} (5x^{3}y^{-3} + 6y^{2}) dy$.

SOLUTION We consider *x* as staying constant and integrate with respect to *y*:

$$\int_{1}^{x} (5x^{3}y^{-3} + 6y^{2}) dy = \left(\frac{5x^{3}y^{-2}}{-2} + \frac{6y^{3}}{3}\right)\Big|_{1}^{x}$$
$$= \left(-\frac{5}{2}x^{3}x^{-2} + 2x^{3}\right) - \left(-\frac{5}{2}x^{3} + 2\right)$$
$$= \frac{9}{2}x^{3} - \frac{5}{2}x - 2.$$

Note how the bounds of the integral are from y = 1 to y = x and that the final answer is a function of x.

In the previous example, we integrated a function with respect to *y* and ended up with a function of *x*. We can integrate this as well. This process is known as **iterated integration**, or **multiple integration**.

```
Example 13.1.3 Integrating an integral
Evaluate \int_{1}^{2} \left( \int_{1}^{x} (5x^{3}y^{-3} + 6y^{2}) dy \right) dx.
```

SOLUTION We follow a standard "order of operations" and perform the operations inside parentheses first (which is the integral evaluated in Example

13.1.2.)

$$\int_{1}^{2} \left(\int_{1}^{x} \left(5x^{3}y^{-3} + 6y^{2} \right) dy \right) dx = \int_{1}^{2} \left(\left[\frac{5x^{3}y^{-2}}{-2} + \frac{6y^{3}}{3} \right] \Big|_{1}^{x} \right) dx$$

$$= \int_{1}^{2} \left(\frac{9}{2}x^{3} - \frac{5}{2}x - 2 \right) dx$$

$$= \left(\frac{9}{8}x^{4} - \frac{5}{4}x^{2} - 2x \right) \Big|_{1}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{89}{8}.$$

Note how the bounds of x were x = 1 to x = 2 and the final result was a number.

The previous example showed how we could perform something called an iterated integral; we do not yet know *why* we would be interested in doing so nor what the result, such as the number 89/8, *means*. Before we investigate these questions, we offer some definitions.

Definition 13.1.1 Iterated Integration

Iterated integration is the process of repeatedly integrating the results of previous integrations. Integrating one integral is denoted as follows.

Let *a*, *b*, *c* and *d* be numbers and let $g_1(x)$, $g_2(x)$, $h_1(y)$ and $h_2(y)$ be functions of *x* and *y*, respectively. Then:

1.
$$\int_{c}^{d} \int_{h_{1}(y)}^{h_{2}(y)} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy = \int_{c}^{d} \left(\int_{h_{1}(y)}^{h_{2}(y)} f(x, y) \, dx \right) \, dy.$$

2.
$$\int_{a}^{b} \int_{g_{1}(x)}^{g_{2}(x)} f(x, y) \, dy \, dx = \int_{a}^{b} \left(\int_{g_{1}(x)}^{g_{2}(x)} f(x, y) \, dy \right) \, dx.$$

Again make note of the bounds of these iterated integrals.

With $\int_{c}^{d} \int_{h_{1}(y)}^{h_{2}(y)} f(x, y) dx dy$, x varies from $h_{1}(y)$ to $h_{2}(y)$, whereas y varies from c to d. That is, the bounds of x are *curves*, the curves $x = h_{1}(y)$ and $x = h_{2}(y)$, whereas the bounds of y are *constants*, y = c and y = d. It is useful to remember that when setting up and evaluating such iterated integrals, we integrate "from

curve to curve, then from point to point."

We now begin to investigate *why* we are interested in iterated integrals and *what* they mean.

Area of a plane region

Consider the plane region *R* bounded by $a \le x \le b$ and $g_1(x) \le y \le g_2(x)$, shown in Figure 13.1.1. We learned in Section 7.1 that the area of *R* is given by

$$\int_a^b \left(g_2(x)-g_1(x)\right)\,dx.$$

We can view the expression $(g_2(x) - g_1(x))$ as

$$\left(g_2(x) - g_1(x)\right) = \int_{g_1(x)}^{g_2(x)} 1 \, dy = \int_{g_1(x)}^{g_2(x)} \, dy$$

meaning we can express the area of R as an iterated integral:

area of
$$R = \int_a^b (g_2(x) - g_1(x)) dx = \int_a^b \left(\int_{g_1(x)}^{g_2(x)} dy \right) dx = \int_a^b \int_{g_1(x)}^{g_2(x)} dy dx.$$

In short: a certain iterated integral can be viewed as giving the area of a plane region.

A region *R* could also be defined by $c \le y \le d$ and $h_1(y) \le x \le h_2(y)$, as shown in Figure 13.1.2. Using a process similar to that above, we have

the area of
$$R = \int_c^d \int_{h_1(y)}^{h_2(y)} dx dy$$
.

We state this formally in a theorem.




Figure 13.1.1: Calculating the area of a plane region *R* with an iterated integral.




Figure 13.1.2: Calculating the area of a plane region *R* with an iterated integral.



1. Let *R* be a plane region bounded by $a \le x \le b$ and $g_1(x) \le y \le g_2(x)$, where g_1 and g_2 are continuous functions on [a, b]. The area *A* of *R* is

$$A = \int_a^b \int_{g_1(x)}^{g_2(x)} dy \, dx.$$

2. Let *R* be a plane region bounded by $c \le y \le d$ and $h_1(y) \le x \le h_2(y)$, where h_1 and h_2 are continuous functions on [c, d]. The area *A* of *R* is

$$A = \int_c^d \int_{h_1(y)}^{h_2(y)} dx \, dy.$$

The following examples should help us understand this theorem.

Example 13.1.4 Area of a rectangle

Find the area A of the rectangle with corners (-1, 1) and (3, 3), as shown in Figure 13.1.3.

SOLUTION Multiple integration is obviously overkill in this situation, but we proceed to establish its use.

The region *R* is bounded by x = -1, x = 3, y = 1 and y = 3. Choosing to integrate with respect to *y* first, we have

$$A = \int_{-1}^{3} \int_{1}^{3} 1 \, dy \, dx = \int_{-1}^{3} \left(y \Big|_{1}^{3} \right) \, dx = \int_{-1}^{3} 2 \, dx = 2x \Big|_{-1}^{3} = 8$$

We could also integrate with respect to *x* first, giving:

$$A = \int_{1}^{3} \int_{-1}^{3} 1 \, dx \, dy = \int_{1}^{3} \left(x \Big|_{-1}^{3} \right) \, dy = \int_{1}^{3} 4 \, dy = 4y \Big|_{1}^{3} = 8$$

Clearly there are simpler ways to find this area, but it is interesting to note that this method works.

Example 13.1.5 Area of a triangle

Find the area A of the triangle with vertices at (1, 1), (3, 1) and (5, 5), as shown in Figure 13.1.4.

SOLUTION The triangle is bounded by the lines as shown in the figure. Choosing to integrate with respect to x first gives that x is bounded by x = y




Figure 13.1.3: Calculating the area of a rectangle with an iterated integral in Example 13.1.4.





Figure 13.1.4: Calculating the area of a triangle with iterated integrals in Example 13.1.5.

to $x = \frac{y+5}{2}$, while y is bounded by y = 1 to y = 5. (Recall that since x-values increase from left to right, the leftmost curve, x = y, is the lower bound and the rightmost curve, x = (y + 5)/2, is the upper bound.) The area is

$$A = \int_{1}^{5} \int_{y}^{\frac{y+5}{2}} dx \, dy$$
$$= \int_{1}^{5} \left(x \Big|_{y}^{\frac{y+5}{2}}\right) \, dy$$
$$= \int_{1}^{5} \left(-\frac{1}{2}y + \frac{5}{2}\right) \, dy$$
$$= \left(-\frac{1}{4}y^{2} + \frac{5}{2}y\right) \Big|_{1}^{5}$$
$$= 4.$$

We can also find the area by integrating with respect to y first. In this situation, though, we have two functions that act as the lower bound for the region R, y = 1 and y = 2x - 5. This requires us to use two iterated integrals. Note how the x-bounds are different for each integral:



As expected, we get the same answer both ways.

Example 13.1.6 Area of a plane region

Find the area of the region enclosed by y = 2x and $y = x^2$, as shown in Figure 13.1.5.

SOLUTION Once again we'll find the area of the region using both orders of integration.

Using dy dx:

$$\int_0^2 \int_{x^2}^{2x} 1 \, dy \, dx = \int_0^2 (2x - x^2) \, dx = \left(x^2 - \frac{1}{3}x^3\right)\Big|_0^2 = \frac{4}{3}$$





Figure 13.1.5: Calculating the area of a



Using dx dy:

$$\int_0^4 \int_{y/2}^{\sqrt{y}} 1 \, dx \, dy = \int_0^4 (\sqrt{y} - y/2) \, dy = \left(\frac{2}{3}y^{3/2} - \frac{1}{4}y^2\right) \Big|_0^4 = \frac{4}{3}$$

Changing Order of Integration

In each of the previous examples, we have been given a region *R* and found the bounds needed to find the area of *R* using both orders of integration. We integrated using both orders of integration to demonstrate their equality.

We now approach the skill of describing a region using both orders of integration from a different perspective. Instead of starting with a region and creating iterated integrals, we will start with an iterated integral and rewrite it in the other integration order. To do so, we'll need to understand the region over which we are integrating.

The simplest of all cases is when both integrals are bound by constants. The region described by these bounds is a rectangle (see Example 13.1.4), and so:

$$\int_a^b \int_c^d 1 \, dy \, dx = \int_c^d \int_a^b 1 \, dx \, dy.$$

When the inner integral's bounds are not constants, it is generally very useful to sketch the bounds to determine what the region we are integrating over looks like. From the sketch we can then rewrite the integral with the other order of integration.

Examples will help us develop this skill.

Example 13.1.7 Changing the order of integration

Rewrite the iterated integral $\int_{0}^{6} \int_{0}^{x/3} 1 \, dy \, dx$ with the order of integration $dx \, dy$.

SOLUTION We need to use the bounds of integration to determine the region we are integrating over.

The bounds tell us that y is bounded by 0 and x/3; x is bounded by 0 and 6. We plot these four curves: y = 0, y = x/3, x = 0 and x = 6 to find the region described by the bounds. Figure 13.1.6 shows these curves, indicating that R is a triangle.

To change the order of integration, we need to consider the curves that bound the *x*-values. We see that the lower bound is x = 3y and the upper bound is x = 6. The bounds on *y* are 0 to 2. Thus we can rewrite the integral as $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{3u}^{6} 1 \, dx \, dy.$




Figure 13.1.6: Sketching the region *R* described by the iterated integral in Example 13.1.7.




Figure 13.1.7: Drawing the region determined by the bounds of integration in Example 13.1.8.

Example 13.1.8 Changing the order of integration

Change the order of integration of $\int_0^4 \int_{y^2/4}^{(y+4)/2} 1 \, dx \, dy$.

SOLUTION We sketch the region described by the bounds to help us change the integration order. *x* is bounded below and above (i.e., to the left and right) by $x = y^2/4$ and x = (y + 4)/2 respectively, and *y* is bounded between 0 and 4. Graphing the previous curves, we find the region *R* to be that shown in Figure 13.1.7.

To change the order of integration, we need to establish curves that bound y. The figure makes it clear that there are two lower bounds for y: y = 0 on $0 \le x \le 2$, and y = 2x - 4 on $2 \le x \le 4$. Thus we need two double integrals. The upper bound for each is $y = 2\sqrt{x}$. Thus we have

$$\int_0^4 \int_{y^2/4}^{(y+4)/2} 1 \, dx \, dy = \int_0^2 \int_0^{2\sqrt{x}} 1 \, dy \, dx + \int_2^4 \int_{2x-4}^{2\sqrt{x}} 1 \, dy \, dx$$

This section has introduced a new concept, the iterated integral. We developed one application for iterated integration: area between curves. However, this is not new, for we already know how to find areas bounded by curves.

In the next section we apply iterated integration to solve problems we currently do not know how to handle. The "real" goal of this section was not to learn a new way of computing area. Rather, our goal was to learn how to define a region in the plane using the bounds of an iterated integral. That skill is very important in the following sections.

Exercises 13.1

Terms and Concepts

- When integrating f_x(x, y) with respect to x, the constant of integration C is really which: C(x) or C(y)? What does this mean?
- 2. Integrating an integral is called ______
- 3. When evaluating an iterated integral, we integrate from ______ to _____, then from ______ to _____.
- 4. One understanding of an iterated integral is that $\int_{a}^{b} \int_{g_{1}(x)}^{g_{2}(x)} dy \, dx \text{ gives the } \underline{\qquad} \text{ of a plane region.}$

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 10, evaluate the integral and subsequent iterated integral.


- 5. (a) $\int_{2}^{5} (6x^{2} + 4xy 3y^{2}) dy$ (b) $\int_{-3}^{-2} \int_{2}^{5} (6x^{2} + 4xy - 3y^{2}) dy dx$
- 6. (a) $\int_0^{\pi} (2x \cos y + \sin x) dx$ (b) $\int_0^{\pi/2} \int_0^{\pi} (2x \cos y + \sin x) dx dy$
- 7. (a) $\int_{1}^{x} (x^{2}y y + 2) dy$ (b) $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{1}^{x} (x^{2}y - y + 2) dy dx$
- 8. (a) $\int_{y}^{y^{2}} (x y) dx$ (b) $\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{y}^{y^{2}} (x - y) dx dy$

9. (a)
$$\int_{0}^{y} (\cos x \sin y) dx$$


(b) $\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{y} (\cos x \sin y) dx dy$

10. (a)
$$\int_{0}^{x} \left(\frac{1}{1+x^{2}}\right) dy$$

(b) $\int_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{x} \left(\frac{1}{1+x^{2}}\right) dy dx$



In Exercises 11 - 16, a graph of a planar region *R* is given. Give the iterated integrals, with both orders of integration dy dx and dx dy, that give the area of *R*. Evaluate one of the iterated integrals to find the area.



In Exercises 17 - 22, iterated integrals are given that compute the area of a region *R* in the *x*-*y* plane. Sketch the region *R*, and give the iterated integral(s) that give the area of *R* with the opposite order of integration.

17.
$$\int_{-2}^{2} \int_{0}^{4-x^{2}} dy \, dx$$

18.
$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{5-5x}^{5-5x^{2}} dy \, dx$$

19.
$$\int_{-2}^{2} \int_{0}^{2\sqrt{4-y^{2}}} dx \, dy$$

20.
$$\int_{-3}^{3} \int_{-\sqrt{9-x^{2}}}^{\sqrt{9-x^{2}}} dy \, dx$$

21.
$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\sqrt{y}}^{\sqrt{y}} dx \, dy + \int_{1}^{4} \int_{y-2}^{\sqrt{y}} dx \, dy$$

22.
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{(x-1)/2}^{(1-x)/2} dy \, dx$$

13.2 Double Integration and Volume

The definite integral of f over [a, b], $\int_a^b f(x) dx$, was introduced as "the signed area under the curve." We approximated the value of this area by first subdividing [a, b] into n subintervals, where the ith subinterval has length Δx_i , and letting c_i be any value in the ith subinterval. We formed rectangles that approximated part of the region under the curve with width Δx_i , height $f(c_i)$, and hence with area $f(c_i)\Delta x_i$. Summing all the rectangle's areas gave an approximation of the definite integral, and Theorem 5.3.2 stated that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx = \lim_{\|\Delta x\| \to 0} \sum f(c_i) \Delta x_i,$$

connecting the area under the curve with sums of the areas of rectangles.

We use a similar approach in this section to find volume under a surface.

Let *R* be a closed, bounded region in the *x*-*y* plane and let z = f(x, y) be a continuous function defined on *R*. We wish to find the signed volume under the surface of *f* over *R*. (We use the term "signed volume" to denote that space above the *x*-*y* plane, under *f*, will have a positive volume; space above *f* and under the *x*-*y* plane will have a "negative" volume, similar to the notion of signed area used before.)

We start by partitioning *R* into *n* rectangular subregions as shown in Figure 13.2.1(a). For simplicity's sake, we let all widths be Δx and all heights be Δy . Note that the sum of the areas of the rectangles is not equal to the area of *R*, but rather is a close approximation. Arbitrarily number the rectangles 1 through *n*, and pick a point (x_i, y_i) in the *i*th subregion.


The volume of the rectangular solid whose base is the *i*th subregion and whose height is $f(x_i, y_i)$ is $V_i = f(x_i, y_i) \Delta x \Delta y$. Such a solid is shown in Figure 13.2.1(b). Note how this rectangular solid only approximates the true volume under the surface; part of the solid is above the surface and part is below.


For each subregion R_i used to approximate R, create the rectangular solid with base area $\Delta x \Delta y$ and height $f(x_i, y_i)$. The sum of all rectangular solids is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i) \Delta \mathbf{x} \Delta \mathbf{y}.$$

This approximates the signed volume under f over R. As we have done before, to get a better approximation we can use more rectangles to approximate the region R.

In general, each rectangle could have a different width Δx_j and height Δy_k , giving the *i*th rectangle an area $\Delta A_i = \Delta x_j \Delta y_k$ and the *i*th rectangular solid a





Note: Recall that the integration symbol " \int " is an "elongated S," representing the word "sum." We interpreted $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ as "take the *sum* of the areas of rectangles over the interval [a, b]." The double integral uses two integration symbols to represent a "double sum." When adding up the volumes of rectangular solids over a partition of a region *R*, as done in Figure 13.2.1, one could first add up the volumes across each row (one type of sum), then add these totals together (another sum), as in

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m f(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j) \Delta \mathbf{x}_i \Delta \mathbf{y}_j.$$

One can rewrite this as

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^m f(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j) \Delta \mathbf{x}_i \right) \Delta \mathbf{y}_j.$$

The summation inside the parenthesis indicates the sum of heights \times widths, which gives an area; multiplying these areas by the thickness Δy_j gives a volume. The illustration in Figure 13.2.2 relates to this understanding.

volume of $f(x_i, y_i) \Delta A_i$. Let $||\Delta A||$ denote the length of the longest diagonal of all rectangles in the subdivision of R; $||\Delta A|| \rightarrow 0$ means each rectangle's width and height are both approaching 0. If f is a continuous function, as $||\Delta A||$ shrinks (and hence $n \rightarrow \infty$) the summation $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i, y_i) \Delta A_i$ approximates the signed volume better and better. This leads to a definition.

Definition 13.2.1 Double Integral, Signed Volume

Let z = f(x, y) be a continuous function defined over a closed, bounded region *R* in the *x*-*y* plane. The **signed volume** *V* under *f* over *R* is denoted by the **double integral**

$$V = \iint_R f(x, y) \, dA.$$

Alternate notations for the double integral are

$$\iint_R f(x, y) \, dA = \iint_R f(x, y) \, dx \, dy = \iint_R f(x, y) \, dy \, dx.$$

The definition above does not state how to find the signed volume, though the notation offers a hint. We need the next two theorems to evaluate double integrals to find volume.

Theorem 13.2.1 Double Integrals and Signed Volume

Let z = f(x, y) be a continuous function defined over a closed , bounded region *R* in the *x*-*y* plane. Then the signed volume *V* under *f* over *R* is

$$V = \iint_{R} f(x, y) \ dA = \lim_{|| \varDelta A || \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i, y_i) \varDelta A_i.$$

This theorem states that we can find the exact signed volume using a limit of sums. The partition of the region *R* is not specified, so any partitioning where the diagonal of each rectangle shrinks to 0 results in the same answer.

This does not offer a very satisfying way of computing volume, though. Our experience has shown that evaluating the limits of sums can be tedious. We seek a more direct method.

Recall Theorem 7.2.1 in Section 7.2. This stated that if A(x) gives the crosssectional area of a solid at x, then $\int_a^b A(x) dx$ gave the volume of that solid over [a, b].

Consider Figure 13.2.2, where a surface z = f(x, y) is drawn over a region *R*. Fixing a particular *x* value, we can consider the area under *f* over *R* where *x* has that fixed value. That area can be found with a definite integral, namely

$$A(x) = \int_{g_1(x)}^{g_2(x)} f(x, y) \, dy.$$

Remember that though the integrand contains *x*, we are viewing *x* as fixed. Also note that the bounds of integration are functions of *x*: the bounds depend on the value of *x*.

As A(x) is a cross-sectional area function, we can find the signed volume V under f by integrating it:

$$V = \int_{a}^{b} A(x) \, dx = \int_{a}^{b} \left(\int_{g_{1}(x)}^{g_{2}(x)} f(x, y) \, dy \right) \, dx = \int_{a}^{b} \int_{g_{1}(x)}^{g_{2}(x)} f(x, y) \, dy \, dx$$

This gives a concrete method for finding signed volume under a surface. We could do a similar procedure where we started with y fixed, resulting in an iterated integral with the order of integration dx dy. The following theorem states that both methods give the same result, which is the value of the double integral. It is such an important theorem it has a name associated with it.

Theorem 13.2.2 Fubini's Theorem

Let *R* be a closed, bounded region in the *x*-*y* plane and let z = f(x, y) be a continuous function on *R*.

1. If *R* is bounded by $a \le x \le b$ and $g_1(x) \le y \le g_2(x)$, where g_1 and g_2 are continuous functions on [a, b], then

$$\iint_R f(x,y) \, dA = \int_a^b \int_{g_1(x)}^{g_2(x)} f(x,y) \, dy \, dx.$$

2. If *R* is bounded by $c \le y \le d$ and $h_1(y) \le x \le h_2(y)$, where h_1 and h_2 are continuous functions on [c, d], then

$$\iint_{R} f(x,y) dA = \int_{c}^{d} \int_{h_{1}(y)}^{h_{2}(y)} f(x,y) dx dy.$$




Figure 13.2.2: Finding volume under a surface by sweeping out a cross–sectional area.




Figure 13.2.3: Finding the signed volume under a surface in Example 13.2.1.

Note that once again the bounds of integration follow the "curve to curve, point to point" pattern discussed in the previous section. In fact, one of the main points of the previous section is developing the skill of describing a region R with the bounds of an iterated integral. Once this skill is developed, we can use double integrals to compute many quantities, not just signed volume under a surface.

Example 13.2.1 Evaluating a double integral

Let $f(x, y) = xy + e^y$. Find the signed volume under f on the region R, which is the rectangle with corners (3, 1) and (4, 2) pictured in Figure 13.2.3, using Fubini's Theorem and both orders of integration.

SOLUTION We wish to evaluate $\iint_R (xy + e^y) dA$. As *R* is a rectangle, the bounds are easily described as $3 \le x \le 4$ and $1 \le y \le 2$.

Using the order dy dx:

$$\iint_{R} (xy + e^{y}) dA = \int_{3}^{4} \int_{1}^{2} (xy + e^{y}) dy dx$$
$$= \int_{3}^{4} \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} xy^{2} + e^{y} \right] \right]_{1}^{2} dx$$
$$= \int_{3}^{4} \left(\frac{3}{2} x + e^{2} - e \right) dx$$
$$= \left(\frac{3}{4} x^{2} + (e^{2} - e) x \right) \Big|_{3}^{4}$$
$$= \frac{21}{4} + e^{2} - e \approx 9.92.$$

Now we check the validity of Fubini's Theorem by using the order dx dy:

$$\iint_{R} (xy + e^{y}) dA = \int_{1}^{2} \int_{3}^{4} (xy + e^{y}) dx dy$$
$$= \int_{1}^{2} \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} x^{2} y + x e^{y} \right] \Big|_{3}^{4} \right) dy$$
$$= \int_{1}^{2} \left(\frac{7}{2} y + e^{y} \right) dy$$
$$= \left(\frac{7}{4} y^{2} + e^{y} \right) \Big|_{1}^{2}$$
$$= \frac{21}{4} + e^{2} - e \approx 9.92.$$

Both orders of integration return the same result, as expected.

Example 13.2.2 Evaluating a double integral

Evaluate $\iint_R (3xy - x^2 - y^2 + 6) dA$, where *R* is the triangle bounded by x = 0, y = 0 and x/2 + y = 1, as shown in Figure 13.2.4.

SOLUTION While it is not specified which order we are to use, we will evaluate the double integral using both orders to help drive home the point that it does not matter which order we use.

Using the order *dy dx*: The bounds on *y* go from "curve to curve," i.e., $0 \le y \le 1 - x/2$, and the bounds on *x* go from "point to point," i.e., $0 \le x \le 2$.

$$\iint_{R} (3xy - x^{2} - y^{2} + 6) dA = \int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{-\frac{x}{2} + 1} (3xy - x^{2} - y^{2} + 6) dy dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2} \left(\frac{3}{2}xy^{2} - x^{2}y - \frac{1}{3}y^{3} + 6y\right) \Big|_{0}^{-\frac{x}{2} + 1} dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2} \left(\frac{11}{12}x^{3} - \frac{11}{4}x^{2} - x + \frac{17}{3}\right) dx$$
$$= \left(\frac{11}{48}x^{4} - \frac{11}{12}x^{3} - \frac{1}{2}x^{2} + \frac{17}{3}x\right) \Big|_{0}^{2}$$
$$= \frac{17}{3} = 5.\overline{6}.$$

Figure 13.2.4: Finding the signed volume under the surface in Example 13.2.2.

Now lets consider the order *dx dy*. Here *x* goes from "curve to curve," $0 \le x \le 2 - 2y$, and *y* goes from "point to point," $0 \le y \le 1$:

$$\iint_{R} (3xy - x^{2} - y^{2} + 6) \, dA = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2-2y} (3xy - x^{2} - y^{2} + 6) \, dx \, dy$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{3}{2}x^{2}y - \frac{1}{3}x^{3} - xy^{2} + 6x \right) \Big|_{0}^{2-2y} \, dy$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{32}{3}y^{3} - 22y^{2} + 2y + \frac{28}{3} \right) \, dy$$
$$= \left(\frac{8}{3}y^{4} - \frac{22}{3}y^{3} + y^{2} + \frac{28}{3}y \right) \Big|_{0}^{1}$$
$$= \frac{17}{3} = 5.\overline{6}.$$

We obtained the same result using both orders of integration.

Note how in these two examples that the bounds of integration depend only on *R*; the bounds of integration have nothing to do with f(x, y). This is an important concept, so we include it as a Key Idea.




Figure 13.2.5: R is the union of two nonoverlapping regions, R_1 and R_2 .




Figure 13.2.6: Finding the signed volume under a surface in Example 13.2.3.

Key Idea 13.2.1 Double Integration Bounds

Theorem 13.2.3

When evaluating $\iint_R f(x, y) dA$ using an iterated integral, the bounds of integration depend only on *R*. The surface *f* does not determine the bounds of integration.

Before doing another example, we give some properties of double integrals. Each should make sense if we view them in the context of finding signed volume under a surface, over a region.

Properties of Double Integrals

Let *f* and *g* be continuous functions over a closed, bounded plane region *R*, and let *c* be a constant. 1. $\iint_R cf(x, y) dA = c \iint_R f(x, y) dA$. 2. $\iint_R (f(x, y) \pm g(x, y)) dA = \iint_R f(x, y) dA \pm \iint_R g(x, y) dA$ 3. If $f(x, y) \ge 0$ on *R*, then $\iint_R f(x, y) dA \ge 0$. 4. If $f(x, y) \ge g(x, y)$ on *R*, then $\iint_R f(x, y) dA \ge \iint_R g(x, y) dA$. 5. Let *R* be the union of two popoverlapping regions R = R.

5. Let *R* be the union of two nonoverlapping regions, $R = R_1 \bigcup R_2$ (see Figure 13.2.5). Then

$$\iint_R f(x,y) \, dA = \iint_{R_1} f(x,y) \, dA + \iint_{R_2} f(x,y) \, dA.$$

Example 13.2.3 Evaluating a double integral

Let $f(x, y) = \sin x \cos y$ and R be the triangle with vertices (-1, 0), (1, 0) and (0, 1) (see Figure 13.2.6). Evaluate the double integral $\iint_R f(x, y) dA$.

SOLUTION If we attempt to integrate using an iterated integral with the order *dy dx*, note how there are two upper bounds on *R* meaning we'll need to use two iterated integrals. We would need to split the triangle into two regions along the *y*-axis, then use Theorem 13.2.3, part 5.

Instead, let's use the order dx dy. The curves bounding x are $y - 1 \le x \le x$

1 - y; the bounds on y are $0 \le y \le 1$. This gives us:

$$\iint_{R} f(x, y) \, dA = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{y-1}^{1-y} \sin x \cos y \, dx \, dy$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \left(-\cos x \cos y \right) \Big|_{y-1}^{1-y} dy$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \cos y \Big(-\cos(1-y) + \cos(y-1) \Big) dy.$$

Recall that the cosine function is an even function; that is, $\cos x = \cos(-x)$. Therefore, from the last integral above, we have $\cos(y - 1) = \cos(1 - y)$. Thus the integrand simplifies to 0, and we have

$$\iint_{R} f(x, y) \, dA = \int_{0}^{1} 0 \, dy$$
$$= 0.$$

It turns out that over *R*, there is just as much volume above the *x*-*y* plane as below (look again at Figure 13.2.6), giving a final signed volume of 0.

Example 13.2.4 Evaluating a double integral

Evaluate $\iint_R (4-y) dA$, where *R* is the region bounded by the parabolas $y^2 = 4x$ and $x^2 = 4y$, graphed in Figure 13.2.7.

SOLUTION Graphing each curve can help us find their points of intersection. Solving analytically, the second equation tells us that $y = x^2/4$. Substituting this value in for y in the first equation gives us $x^4/16 = 4x$. Solving for x:

$$\frac{x^4}{16} = 4x$$
$$x^4 - 64x = 0$$
$$x(x^3 - 64) = 0$$
$$x = 0,$$

Thus we've found analytically what was easy to approximate graphically: the regions intersect at (0,0) and (4,4), as shown in Figure 13.2.7.

4.

We now choose an order of integration: $dy \, dx$ or $dx \, dy$? Either order works; since the integrand does not contain x, choosing $dx \, dy$ might be simpler – at least, the first integral is very simple.




Figure 13.2.7: Finding the volume under the surface in Example 13.2.4.

Thus we have the following "curve to curve, point to point" bounds: $y^2/4 \le x \le 2\sqrt{y}$, and $0 \le y \le 4$.

$$\begin{split} \iint_{R}(4-y) \, dA &= \int_{0}^{4} \int_{y^{2}/4}^{2\sqrt{y}} (4-y) \, dx \, dy \\ &= \int_{0}^{4} \left(x(4-y) \right) \Big|_{y^{2}/4}^{2\sqrt{y}} dy \\ &= \int_{0}^{4} \left(\left(2\sqrt{y} - \frac{y^{2}}{4} \right) (4-y) \right) \, dy = \int_{0}^{4} \left(\frac{y^{3}}{4} - y^{2} - 2y^{3/2} + 8y^{1/2} \right) \, dy \\ &= \left(\frac{y^{4}}{16} - \frac{y^{3}}{3} - \frac{4y^{5/2}}{5} + \frac{16y^{3/2}}{3} \right) \Big|_{0}^{4} \\ &= \frac{176}{15} = 11.7\overline{3}. \end{split}$$

The signed volume under the surface *f* is about 11.7 cubic units.

In the previous section we practiced changing the order of integration of a given iterated integral, where the region R was not explicitly given. Changing the bounds of an integral is more than just an test of understanding. Rather, there are cases where integrating in one order is really hard, if not impossible, whereas integrating with the other order is feasible.

Example 13.2.5 Changing the order of integration

Rewrite the iterated integral $\int_0^3 \int_y^3 e^{-x^2} dx dy$ with the order dy dx. Comment on the feasibility to evaluate each integral.

SOLUTION Once again we make a sketch of the region over which we are integrating to facilitate changing the order. The bounds on *x* are from x = y to x = 3; the bounds on *y* are from y = 0 to y = 3. These curves are sketched in Figure 13.2.8, enclosing the region *R*.

To change the bounds, note that the curves bounding y are y = 0 up to y = x; the triangle is enclosed between x = 0 and x = 3. Thus the new bounds of integration are $0 \le y \le x$ and $0 \le x \le 3$, giving the iterated integral $\int_0^3 \int_0^x e^{-x^2} dy dx$.

How easy is it to evaluate each iterated integral? Consider the order of integrating dx dy, as given in the original problem. The first indefinite integral we need to evaluate is $\int e^{-x^2} dx$; we have stated before (see Section 5.5) that this integral cannot be evaluated in terms of elementary functions. We are stuck.

Changing the order of integration makes a big difference here. In the second




Figure 13.2.8: Determining the region *R* determined by the bounds of integration in Example 13.2.5.

iterated integral, we are faced with $\int e^{-x^2} dy$; integrating with respect to y gives us $ye^{-x^2} + C$, and the first definite integral evaluates to

 $\int_0^x e^{-x^2} \, dy = x e^{-x^2}.$

Thus

$$\int_0^3 \int_0^x e^{-x^2} \, dy \, dx = \int_0^3 \left(x e^{-x^2} \right) dx.$$

This last integral is easy to evaluate with substitution, giving a final answer of $\frac{1}{2}(1-e^{-9}) \approx 0.5$. Figure 13.2.9 shows the surface over *R*.

In short, evaluating one iterated integral is impossible; the other iterated integral is relatively simple.

Definition 5.4.1 defines the average value of a single–variable function f(x) on the interval [a, b] as

average value of
$$f(x)$$
 on $[a, b] = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx;$

that is, it is the "area under f over an interval divided by the length of the interval." We make an analogous statement here: the average value of z = f(x, y) over a region R is the volume under f over R divided by the area of R.

Definition 13.2.2 The Average Value of f on R

Let z = f(x, y) be a continuous function defined over a closed, bounded region *R* in the *x*-*y* plane. The **average value of** *f* **on** *R* is

average value of
$$f$$
 on $R = \frac{\iint_R f(x, y) dA}{\iint_R dA}$

Example 13.2.6 Finding average value of a function over a region *R*

Find the average value of f(x, y) = 4 - y over the region *R*, which is bounded by the parabolas $y^2 = 4x$ and $x^2 = 4y$. Note: this is the same function and region as used in Example 13.2.4.

SOLUTION In Example 13.2.4 we found

$$\iint_{R} f(x,y) \, dA = \int_{0}^{4} \int_{y^{2}/4}^{2\sqrt{y}} (4-y) \, dx \, dy = \frac{176}{15}.$$




Figure 13.2.9: Showing the surface *f* defined in Example 13.2.5 over its region *R*.




Figure 13.2.10: Finding the average value of *f* in Example 13.2.6.




Figure 13.2.11: Showing how an iterated integral used to find area also finds a certain volume.

We find the area of *R* by computing $\iint_R dA$:

$$\iint_{R} dA = \int_{0}^{4} \int_{y^{2}/4}^{2\sqrt{y}} dx \, dy = \frac{16}{3}$$

Dividing the volume under the surface by the area gives the average value:

average value of *f* on
$$R = \frac{176/15}{16/3} = \frac{11}{5} = 2.2.$$

While the surface, as shown in Figure 13.2.10, covers z-values from z = 0 to z = 4, the "average" z-value on R is 2.2.

The previous section introduced the iterated integral in the context of finding the area of plane regions. This section has extended our understanding of iterated integrals; now we see they can be used to find the signed volume under a surface.

This new understanding allows us to revisit what we did in the previous section. Given a region R in the plane, we computed $\iint_R 1 \, dA$; again, our understanding at the time was that we were finding the area of R. However, we can now view the function z = 1 as a surface, a flat surface with constant z-value of 1. The double integral $\iint_R 1 \, dA$ finds the volume, under z = 1, over R, as shown in Figure 13.2.11. Basic geometry tells us that if the base of a general right cylinder has area A, its volume is $A \cdot h$, where h is the height. In our case, the height is 1. We were "actually" computing the volume of a solid, though we interpreted the number as an area.

The next section extends our abilities to find "volumes under surfaces." Currently, some integrals are hard to compute because either the region R we are integrating over is hard to define with rectangular curves, or the integrand itself is hard to deal with. Some of these problems can be solved by converting everything into polar coordinates.

Exercises 13.2

Terms and Concepts

- An integral can be interpreted as giving the signed area over an interval; a double integral can be interpreted as giving the signed ______ over a region.
- 2. Explain why the following statement is false: "Fubini's Theorem states that $\int_{a}^{b} \int_{g_{1}(x)}^{g_{2}(x)} f(x, y) dy dx = \int_{a}^{b} \int_{g_{1}(y)}^{g_{2}(y)} f(x, y) dx dy$."
- 3. Explain why if f(x, y) > 0 over a region *R*, then $\iint_R f(x, y) dA > 0$.
- 4. If $\iint_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, y) dA = \iint_{\mathbb{R}} g(x, y) dA$, does this imply f(x, y) = g(x, y)?

Problems

In Exercises 5 - 10,

- (a) Evaluate the given iterated integral, and
- (b) rewrite the integral using the other order of integration.

5.
$$\int_{1}^{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \left(\frac{x}{y} + 3\right) dx dy$$

6.
$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{\pi} (\sin x \cos y) dx dy$$

7.
$$\int_{0}^{4} \int_{0}^{-x/2+2} (3x^{2} - y + 2) dy dx$$

8.
$$\int_{1}^{3} \int_{y}^{3} (x^{2}y - xy^{2}) dx dy$$

9.
$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\sqrt{1-y}}^{\sqrt{1-y}} (x + y + 2) dx dy$$

10.
$$\int_{0}^{9} \int_{y/3}^{\sqrt{y}} (xy^{2}) dx dy$$

In Exercises 11 – 18:

- (a) Sketch the region R given by the problem.
- (b) Set up the iterated integrals, in both orders, that evaluate the given double integral for the described region *R*.
- (c) Evaluate one of the iterated integrals to find the signed volume under the surface z = f(x, y) over the region *R*.

11.
$$\iint_R x^2 y \, dA$$
, where *R* is bounded by $y = \sqrt{x}$ and $y = x^2$.

- 12. $\iint_R x^2 y \, dA$, where *R* is bounded by $y = \sqrt[3]{x}$ and $y = x^3$.
- 13. $\iint_{R} x^{2} y^{2} dA$, where *R* is the rectangle with corners (-1, -1), (1, -1), (1, 1) and (-1, 1).
- 14. $\iint_{R} ye^{x} dA$, where *R* is bounded by x = 0, $x = y^{2}$ and y = 1.
- 15. $\iint_{R} (6 3x 2y) \, dA$, where *R* is bounded by x = 0, y = 0and 3x + 2y = 6.
- 16. $\iint_{R} e^{y} dA$, where *R* is bounded by $y = \ln x$ and $y = \frac{1}{e 1}(x 1).$
- 17. $\iint_{R} (x^{3}y x) \, dA$, where *R* is the half of the circle $x^{2} + y^{2} = 9$ in the first and second quadrants.
- 18. $\iint_{R} (4 3y) \, dA$, where *R* is bounded by y = 0, y = x/e and $y = \ln x$.

In Exercises 19 - 22, state why it is difficult/impossible to integrate the iterated integral in the given order of integration. Change the order of integration and evaluate the new iterated integral.

dx

19.
$$\int_{0}^{4} \int_{y/2}^{2} e^{x^{2}} dx dy$$

20.
$$\int_{0}^{\sqrt{\pi/2}} \int_{x}^{\sqrt{\pi/2}} \cos(y^{2}) dy$$

21.
$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{y}^{1} \frac{2y}{x^{2} + y^{2}} dx dy$$

22.
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{1}^{2} \frac{x \tan^{2} y}{1 + \ln y} dy dx$$

In Exercises 23 – 26, find the average value of f over the region R. Notice how these functions and regions are related to the iterated integrals given in Exercises 5 – 8.

- 23. $f(x,y) = \frac{x}{y} + 3$; *R* is the rectangle with opposite corners (-1, 1) and (1, 2).
- 24. $f(x, y) = \sin x \cos y$; *R* is bounded by $x = 0, x = \pi$, $y = -\pi/2$ and $y = \pi/2$.
- 25. $f(x, y) = 3x^2 y + 2$; *R* is bounded by the lines y = 0, y = 2 x/2 and x = 0.
- 26. $f(x, y) = x^2y xy^2$; *R* is bounded by y = x, y = 1 and x = 3.




Figure 13.3.1: Approximating a region *R* with portions of sectors of circles.

13.3 Double Integration with Polar Coordinates

We have used iterated integrals to evaluate double integrals, which give the signed volume under a surface, z = f(x, y), over a region R of the x-y plane. The integrand is simply f(x, y), and the bounds of the integrals are determined by the region R.

Some regions *R* are easy to describe using rectangular coordinates – that is, with equations of the form y = f(x), x = a, etc. However, some regions are easier to handle if we represent their boundaries with polar equations of the form $r = f(\theta)$, $\theta = \alpha$, etc.

The basic form of the double integral is $\iint_R f(x, y) dA$. We interpret this integral as follows: over the region R, sum up lots of products of heights (given by $f(x_i, y_i)$) and areas (given by ΔA_i). That is, dA represents "a little bit of area." In rectangular coordinates, we can describe a small rectangle as having area dx dy or dy dx – the area of a rectangle is simply length × width – a small change in x times a small change in y. Thus we replace dA in the double integral with dx dy or dy dx.

Now consider representing a region R with polar coordinates. Consider Figure 13.3.1(a). Let R be the region in the first quadrant bounded by the curve. We can approximate this region using the natural shape of polar coordinates: portions of sectors of circles. In the figure, one such region is shaded, shown again in part (b) of the figure.

As the area of a sector of a circle with radius r, subtended by an angle θ , is $A = \frac{1}{2}r^2\theta$, we can find the area of the shaded region. The whole sector has area $\frac{1}{2}r_2^2\Delta\theta$, whereas the smaller, unshaded sector has area $\frac{1}{2}r_1^2\Delta\theta$. The area of the shaded region is the difference of these areas:

$$\Delta A_i = \frac{1}{2}r_2^2 \Delta \theta - \frac{1}{2}r_1^2 \Delta \theta = \frac{1}{2}(r_2^2 - r_1^2)(\Delta \theta) = \frac{r_2 + r_1}{2}(r_2 - r_1)\Delta \theta.$$

Note that $(r_2 + r_1)/2$ is just the average of the two radii.

To approximate the region R, we use many such subregions; doing so shrinks the difference $r_2 - r_1$ between radii to 0 and shrinks the change in angle $\Delta \theta$ also to 0. We represent these infinitesimal changes in radius and angle as dr and $d\theta$, respectively. Finally, as dr is small, $r_2 \approx r_1$, and so $(r_2 + r_1)/2 \approx r_1$. Thus, when dr and $d\theta$ are small,

$$\Delta A_i \approx r_i \, dr \, d\theta$$
.

Taking a limit, where the number of subregions goes to infinity and both $r_2 - r_1$ and $\Delta \theta$ go to 0, we get

$$dA = r dr d\theta.$$

So to evaluate $\iint_R f(x, y) dA$, replace dA with $r dr d\theta$. Convert the function z = f(x, y) to a function with polar coordinates with the substitutions $x = r \cos \theta$,

 $y = r \sin \theta$. Finally, find bounds $g_1(\theta) \le r \le g_2(\theta)$ and $\alpha \le \theta \le \beta$ that describe *R*. This is the key principle of this section, so we restate it here as a Key Idea.

Key Idea 13.3.1 Evaluating Double Integrals with Polar Coordinates

Let z = f(x, y) be a continuous function defined over a closed, bounded region R in the *x*-*y* plane, where R is bounded by the polar equations $\alpha \le \theta \le \beta$ and $g_1(\theta) \le r \le g_2(\theta)$. Then

$$\iint_{R} f(x, y) \, dA = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \int_{g_{1}(\theta)}^{g_{2}(\theta)} f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) \, r \, dr \, d\theta.$$

Examples will help us understand this Key Idea.

Example 13.3.1 Evaluating a double integral with polar coordinates Find the signed volume under the plane z = 4 - x - 2y over the disk bounded by the circle with equation $x^2 + y^2 = 1$.

SOLUTION The bounds of the integral are determined solely by the region *R* over which we are integrating. In this case, it is a disk with boundary $x^2 + y^2 = 1$. We need to find polar bounds for this region. It may help to review Section 9.4; bounds for this disk are $0 \le r \le 1$ and $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$.

We replace f(x, y) with $f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$. That means we make the following substitutions:

$$4-x-2y \Rightarrow 4-r\cos\theta-2r\sin\theta.$$

Finally, we replace dA in the double integral with $r dr d\theta$. This gives the final iterated integral, which we evaluate:

$$\iint_{R} f(x, y) \, dA = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \left(4 - r\cos\theta - 2r\sin\theta\right) r \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \left(4r - r^{2}(\cos\theta - 2\sin\theta)\right) \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(2r^{2} - \frac{1}{3}r^{3}(\cos\theta - 2\sin\theta)\right) \Big|_{0}^{1} \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(2 - \frac{1}{3}\left(\cos\theta - 2\sin\theta\right)\right) \, d\theta$$
$$= \left(2\theta - \frac{1}{3}\left(\sin\theta + 2\cos\theta\right)\right) \Big|_{0}^{2\pi}$$
$$= 4\pi \approx 12.566.$$

The surface and region *R* are shown in Figure 13.3.2.




Figure 13.3.2: Evaluating a double integral with polar coordinates in Example 13.3.1.




Figure 13.3.3: Showing the region *R* and surface used in Example 13.3.2.

Example 13.3.2 Evaluating a double integral with polar coordinates

Find the volume under the paraboloid $z = 4 - (x - 2)^2 - y^2$ over the region bounded by the circles $(x - 1)^2 + y^2 = 1$ and $(x - 2)^2 + y^2 = 4$.

SOLUTION At first glance, this seems like a very hard volume to compute as the region *R* (shown in Figure 13.3.3(a)) has a hole in it, cutting out a strange portion of the surface, as shown in part (b) of the figure. However, by describing *R* in terms of polar equations, the volume is not very difficult to compute. It is straightforward to show that the circle $(x - 1)^2 + y^2 = 1$ has polar equation $r = 2 \cos \theta$, and that the circle $(x - 2)^2 + y^2 = 4$ has polar equation $r = 4 \cos \theta$. Each of these circles is traced out on the interval $0 \le \theta \le \pi$. The bounds on *r* are $2 \cos \theta \le r \le 4 \cos \theta$.

Replacing x with $r \cos \theta$ in the integrand, along with replacing y with $r \sin \theta$, prepares us to evaluate the double integral $\iint_R f(x, y) dA$:

$$\iint_{R} f(x,y) \, dA = \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{2\cos\theta}^{4\cos\theta} \left(4 - \left(r\cos\theta - 2\right)^{2} - \left(r\sin\theta\right)^{2}\right) r \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{2\cos\theta}^{4\cos\theta} \left(-r^{3} + 4r^{2}\cos\theta\right) \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\pi} \left(-\frac{1}{4}r^{4} + \frac{4}{3}r^{3}\cos\theta\right) \Big|_{2\cos\theta}^{4\cos\theta} \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\pi} \left(\left[-\frac{1}{4}(256\cos^{4}\theta) + \frac{4}{3}(64\cos^{4}\theta)\right] - \left[-\frac{1}{4}(16\cos^{4}\theta) + \frac{4}{3}(8\cos^{4}\theta)\right]\right) \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{44}{3}\cos^{4}\theta \, d\theta.$$

To integrate $\cos^4 \theta$, rewrite it as $\cos^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta$ and employ the power-reducing formula twice:

$$\cos^4 \theta = \cos^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos(2\theta)) \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos(2\theta))$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} (1 + 2\cos(2\theta) + \cos^2(2\theta))$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} (1 + 2\cos(2\theta) + \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos(4\theta)))$$
$$= \frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{2} \cos(2\theta) + \frac{1}{8} \cos(4\theta).$$

Picking up from where we left off above, we have

$$\begin{split} &= \int_0^{\pi} \frac{44}{3} \cos^4 \theta \, d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{\pi} \frac{44}{3} \left(\frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{2} \cos(2\theta) + \frac{1}{8} \cos(4\theta) \right) d\theta \\ &= \frac{44}{3} \left(\frac{3}{8} \theta + \frac{1}{4} \sin(2\theta) + \frac{1}{32} \sin(4\theta) \right) \Big|_0^{\pi} \\ &= \frac{11}{2} \pi \approx 17.279. \end{split}$$

While this example was not trivial, the double integral would have been *much* harder to evaluate had we used rectangular coordinates.

Example 13.3.3 Evaluating a double integral with polar coordinates Find the volume under the surface $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2 + 1}$ over the sector of the circle with radius *a* centered at the origin in the first quadrant, as shown in Figure 13.3.4.

SOLUTION The region *R* we are integrating over is a circle with radius *a*, restricted to the first quadrant. Thus, in polar, the bounds on *R* are $0 \le r \le a$, $0 \le \theta \le \pi/2$. The integrand is rewritten in polar as

$$\frac{1}{x^2 + y^2 + 1} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{r^2 \cos^2 \theta + r^2 \sin^2 \theta + 1} = \frac{1}{r^2 + 1}.$$

We find the volume as follows:

$$\iint_{R} f(x, y) \, dA = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{a} \frac{r}{r^{2} + 1} \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{2} \left(\ln |r^{2} + 1| \right) \Big|_{0}^{a} \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{2} \ln(a^{2} + 1) \, d\theta$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln(a^{2} + 1)\theta \right) \Big|_{0}^{\pi/2}$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{4} \ln(a^{2} + 1).$$

Figure 13.3.4: The surface and region *R* used in Example 13.3.3.

Note: Previous work has shown that there is finite *area* under $\frac{1}{x^2+1}$ over the entire *x*-axis. However, Example 13.3.3 shows that there is infinite *volume* under $\frac{1}{x^2+y^2+1}$ over the entire *x*-*y* plane.

Figure 13.3.4 shows that *f* shrinks to near 0 very quickly. Regardless, as *a* grows, so does the volume, without bound.

Example 13.3.4 Finding the volume of a sphere

Find the volume of a sphere with radius *a*.

SOLUTION The sphere of radius *a*, centered at the origin, has equation $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = a^2$; solving for *z*, we have $z = \sqrt{a^2 - x^2 - y^2}$. This gives the upper half of a sphere. We wish to find the volume under this top half, then double it to find the total volume.

The region we need to integrate over is the disk of radius *a*, centered at the origin. Polar bounds for this equation are $0 \le r \le a$, $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$.

All together, the volume of a sphere with radius *a* is:

$$2\iint_{R} \sqrt{a^{2} - x^{2} - y^{2}} \, dA = 2 \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{a} \sqrt{a^{2} - (r\cos\theta)^{2} - (r\sin\theta)^{2}} r \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= 2 \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{a} r \sqrt{a^{2} - r^{2}} \, dr \, d\theta.$$

We can evaluate this inner integral with substitution. With $u = a^2 - r^2$, du = -2r dr. The new bounds of integration are $u(0) = a^2$ to u(a) = 0. Thus we have:

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{a^2}^0 \left(-u^{1/2}\right) du \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \left(-\frac{2}{3}u^{3/2}\right) \Big|_{a^2}^0 d\theta$$
$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{2}{3}a^3\right) \, d\theta$$
$$= \left(\frac{2}{3}a^3\theta\right) \Big|_0^{2\pi}$$
$$= \frac{4}{3}\pi a^3.$$

Generally, the formula for the volume of a sphere with radius *r* is given as $4/3\pi r^3$; we have justified this formula with our calculation.

Example 13.3.5 Finding the volume of a solid

A sculptor wants to make a solid bronze cast of the solid shown in Figure 13.3.5, where the base of the solid has boundary, in polar coordinates, $r = \cos(3\theta)$, and the top is defined by the plane z = 1 - x + 0.1y. Find the volume of the solid.

SOLUTION From the outset, we should recognize that knowing *how to set up* this problem is probably more important than knowing *how to compute*




Figure 13.3.5: Visualizing the solid used in Example 13.3.5.

the integrals. The iterated integral to come is not "hard" to evaluate, though it is long, requiring lots of algebra. Once the proper iterated integral is determined, one can use readily–available technology to help compute the final answer.

The region *R* that we are integrating over is bound by $0 \le r \le \cos(3\theta)$, for $0 \le \theta \le \pi$ (note that this rose curve is traced out on the interval $[0, \pi]$, not $[0, 2\pi]$). This gives us our bounds of integration. The integrand is z = 1-x+0.1y; converting to polar, we have that the volume *V* is:

$$V = \iint_R f(x, y) \, dA = \int_0^\pi \int_0^{\cos(3\theta)} \left(1 - r\cos\theta + 0.1r\sin\theta\right) r \, dr \, d\theta.$$

Distributing the r, the inner integral is easy to evaluate, leading to

$$\int_0^{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2}\cos^2(3\theta) - \frac{1}{3}\cos^3(3\theta)\cos\theta + \frac{0.1}{3}\cos^3(3\theta)\sin\theta\right) \, d\theta.$$

This integral takes time to compute by hand; it is rather long and cumbersome. The powers of cosine need to be reduced, and products like $\cos(3\theta) \cos \theta$ need to be turned to sums using the Product To Sum formulas in the back cover of this text.

We rewrite $\frac{1}{2}\cos^2(3\theta)$ as $\frac{1}{4}(1+\cos(6\theta))$. We can also rewrite $\frac{1}{3}\cos^3(3\theta)\cos\theta$ as:

$$\frac{1}{3}\cos^3(3\theta)\cos\theta = \frac{1}{3}\cos^2(3\theta)\cos(3\theta)\cos\theta = \frac{1}{3}\frac{1+\cos(6\theta)}{2}(\cos(4\theta)+\cos(2\theta)).$$

This last expression still needs simplification, but eventually all terms can be reduced to the form $a \cos(m\theta)$ or $a \sin(m\theta)$ for various values of a and m.

We forgo the algebra and recommend the reader employ technology, such as WolframAlpha[®], to compute the numeric answer. Such technology gives:

$$\int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{\cos(3\theta)} \left(1 - r\cos\theta + 0.1r\sin\theta\right) r \, dr \, d\theta = \frac{\pi}{4} \approx 0.785 u^3.$$

Since the units were not specified, we leave the result as almost 0.8 cubic units (meters, feet, etc.) Should the artist want to scale the piece uniformly, so that each rose petal had a length other than 1, she should keep in mind that scaling by a factor of k scales the volume by a factor of k^3 .

We have used iterated integrals to find areas of plane regions and volumes under surfaces. Just as a single integral can be used to compute much more than "area under the curve," iterated integrals can be used to compute much more than we have thus far seen. The next two sections show two, among many, applications of iterated integrals.

Exercises 13.3

Terms and Concepts

- 1. When evaluating $\iint_R f(x, y) \, dA$ using polar coordinates, f(x, y) is replaced with _____ and dA is replaced with
- 2. Why would one be interested in evaluating a double integral with polar coordinates?

Problems

In Exercises 3 – 10, a function f(x, y) is given and a region *R* of the *x*-*y* plane is described. Set up and evaluate $\iint_R f(x, y) dA$ using polar coordinates.

- 3. f(x, y) = 3x y + 4; *R* is the region enclosed by the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 1$.
- 4. f(x, y) = 4x + 4y; *R* is the region enclosed by the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 4$.
- 5. f(x, y) = 8 y; *R* is the region enclosed by the circles with polar equations $r = \cos \theta$ and $r = 3 \cos \theta$.
- f(x, y) = 4; R is the region enclosed by the petal of the rose curve r = sin(2θ) in the first quadrant.
- 7. $f(x, y) = \ln (x^2 + y^2)$; *R* is the annulus enclosed by the circles $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ and $x^2 + y^2 = 4$.
- 8. $f(x, y) = 1 x^2 y^2$; *R* is the region enclosed by the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 1$.
- 9. $f(x,y) = x^2 y^2$; *R* is the region enclosed by the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 36$ in the first and fourth quadrants.
- 10. f(x, y) = (x y)/(x + y); *R* is the region enclosed by the lines y = x, y = 0 and the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ in the first quadrant.

In Exercises 11 - 14, an iterated integral in rectangular coordinates is given. Rewrite the integral using polar coordinates and evaluate the new double integral.

11.
$$\int_{0}^{5} \int_{-\sqrt{25-x^{2}}}^{\sqrt{25-x^{2}}} \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} \, dy \, dx$$

12.
$$\int_{-4}^{4} \int_{-\sqrt{16-y^{2}}}^{0} (2y-x) \, dx \, dy$$

13.
$$\int_{0}^{2} \int_{y}^{\sqrt{8-y^{2}}} (x+y) \, dx \, dy$$

14.
$$\int_{-2}^{-1} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{4-x^{2}}} (x+5) \, dy \, dx + \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}^{\sqrt{4-x^{2}}} (x+5) \, dy \, dx + \int_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{4-x^{2}}} (x+5) \, dy \, dx$$

Hint: draw the region of each integral carefully and see how they all connect.

In Exercises 15 - 16, special double integrals are presented that are especially well suited for evaluation in polar coordinates.

- 15. Consider $\iint_R e^{-(x^2+y^2)} dA$.
 - (a) Why is this integral difficult to evaluate in rectangular coordinates, regardless of the region *R*?
 - (b) Let R be the region bounded by the circle of radius a centered at the origin. Evaluate the double integral using polar coordinates.
 - (c) Take the limit of your answer from (b), as $a \to \infty$. What does this imply about the volume under the surface of $e^{-(x^2+y^2)}$ over the entire *x*-*y* plane?
- 16. The surface of a right circular cone with height *h* and base radius *a* can be described by the equation $f(x, y) = h h\sqrt{\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{a^2}}$, where the tip of the cone lies at (0, 0, h) and the circular base lies in the *x*-*y* plane, centered at the origin.

Confirm that the volume of a right circular cone with height *h* and base radius *a* is $V = \frac{1}{3}\pi a^2 h$ by evaluating $\iint_R f(x, y) dA$ in polar coordinates.

13.4 Center of Mass

We have used iterated integrals to find areas of plane regions and signed volumes under surfaces. A brief recap of these uses will be useful in this section as we apply iterated integrals to compute the **mass** and **center of mass** of planar regions.

To find the area of a planar region, we evaluated the double integral $\iint_R dA$. That is, summing up the areas of lots of little subregions of R gave us the total area. Informally, we think of $\iint_R dA$ as meaning "sum up lots of little areas over R."

To find the signed volume under a surface, we evaluated the double integral $\iint_R f(x, y) \, dA$. Recall that the "dA" is not just a "bookend" at the end of an integral; rather, it is multiplied by f(x, y). We regard f(x, y) as giving a height, and dA still giving an area: $f(x, y) \, dA$ gives a volume. Thus, informally, $\iint_R f(x, y) \, dA$ means "sum up lots of little volumes over R."

We now extend these ideas to other contexts.

Mass and Weight

Consider a thin sheet of material with constant thickness and finite area. Mathematicians (and physicists and engineers) call such a sheet a **lamina**. So consider a lamina, as shown in Figure 13.4.1(a), with the shape of some planar region R, as shown in part (b).

We can write a simple double integral that represents the mass of the lamina: $\iint_R dm$, where "dm" means "a little mass." That is, the double integral states the total mass of the lamina can be found by "summing up lots of little masses over *R*."

To evaluate this double integral, partition *R* into *n* subregions as we have done in the past. The *i*th subregion has area ΔA_i . A fundamental property of mass is that "mass=density×area." If the lamina has a constant density δ , then the mass of this *i*th subregion is $\Delta m_i = \delta \Delta A_i$. That is, we can compute a small amount of mass by multiplying a small amount of area by the density.

If density is variable, with density function $\delta = \delta(x, y)$, then we can approximate the mass of the *i*th subregion of *R* by multiplying ΔA_i by $\delta(x_i, y_i)$, where (x_i, y_i) is a point in that subregion. That is, for a small enough subregion of *R*, the density across that region is almost constant.

The total mass M of the lamina is approximately the sum of approximate masses of subregions:

$$M \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta m_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i) \Delta A_i.$$

Notes:




Figure 13.4.1: Illustrating the concept of a lamina.

Note: Mass and weight are different measures. Since they are scalar multiples of each other, it is often easy to treat them as the same measure. In this section we effectively treat them as the same, as our technique for finding mass is the same as for finding weight. The density functions used will simply have different units.

Taking the limit as the size of the subregions shrinks to 0 gives us the actual mass; that is, integrating $\delta(x, y)$ over *R* gives the mass of the lamina.

Definition 13.4.1 Mass of a Lamina with Vairable Density

Let $\delta(x, y)$ be a continuous density function of a lamina corresponding to a closed, bounded plane region *R*. The mass *M* of the lamina is

mass
$$M = \iint_R dm = \iint_R \delta(x, y) dA.$$

Example 13.4.1 Finding the mass of a lamina with constant density

Find the mass of a square lamina, with side length 1, with a density of $\delta={\rm 3gm/cm^2}.$

SOLUTION We represent the lamina with a square region in the plane as shown in Figure 13.4.2. As the density is constant, it does not matter where we place the square.

Following Definition 13.4.1, the mass M of the lamina is

$$M = \iint_{R} 3 \, dA = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} 3 \, dx \, dy = 3 \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \, dx \, dy = 3 \text{gm}$$

This is all very straightforward; note that all we really did was find the area of the lamina and multiply it by the constant density of 3gm/cm².

Example 13.4.2 Finding the mass of a lamina with variable density

Find the mass of a square lamina, represented by the unit square with lower lefthand corner at the origin (see Figure 13.4.2), with variable density $\delta(x, y) = (x + y + 2) \text{gm/cm}^2$.

SOLUTION The variable density δ , in this example, is very uniform, giving a density of 3 in the center of the square and changing linearly. A graph of $\delta(x, y)$ can be seen in Figure 13.4.3; notice how "same amount" of density is above z = 3 as below. We'll comment on the significance of this momentarily.

The mass *M* is found by integrating $\delta(x, y)$ over *R*. The order of integration




Figure 13.4.2: A region *R* representing a lamina in Example 13.4.1.

is not important; we choose dx dy arbitrarily. Thus:

$$M = \iint_{R} (x + y + 2) \, dA = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} (x + y + 2) \, dx \, dy$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{1}{2} x^{2} + x(y + 2) \right) \Big|_{0}^{1} \, dy$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{5}{2} + y \right) \, dy$$
$$= \left(\frac{5}{2} y + \frac{1}{2} y^{2} \right) \Big|_{0}^{1}$$
$$= 3gm.$$

It turns out that since the density of the lamina is so uniformly distributed "above and below" z = 3 that the mass of the lamina is the same as if it had a constant density of 3. The density functions in Examples 13.4.1 and 13.4.2 are graphed in Figure 13.4.3, which illustrates this concept.

Example 13.4.3 Finding the weight of a lamina with variable density

Find the weight of the lamina represented by the disk with radius 2ft, centered at the origin, with density function $\delta(x, y) = (x^2 + y^2 + 1)$ lb/ft². Compare this to the weight of the lamina with the same shape and density $\delta(x, y) = (2\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + 1)$ lb/ft².

SOLUTION A direct application of Definition 13.4.1 states that the weight of the lamina is $\iint_R \delta(x, y) \, dA$. Since our lamina is in the shape of a circle, it makes sense to approach the double integral using polar coordinates.

The density function $\delta(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + 1$ becomes $\delta(r, \theta) = (r \cos \theta)^2 + (r \sin \theta)^2 + 1 = r^2 + 1$. The circle is bounded by $0 \le r \le 2$ and $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$. Thus the weight *W* is:

$$W = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^2 (r^2 + 1) r \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{4} r^4 + \frac{1}{2} r^2 \right) \Big|_0^2 d\theta$$
$$= \int_0^{2\pi} (6) \, d\theta$$
$$= 12\pi \approx 37.70$$
lb.

Now compare this with the density function $\delta(x, y) = 2\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + 1$. Converting this to polar coordinates gives $\delta(r, \theta) = 2\sqrt{(r \cos \theta)^2 + (r \sin \theta)^2} + 1 = 1$




Figure 13.4.3: Graphing the density functions in Examples 13.4.1 and 13.4.2.

2r + 1. Thus the weight *W* is:

$$W = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2} (2r+1)r \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\frac{2}{3}r^{3} + \frac{1}{2}r^{2}\right) \Big|_{0}^{2} d\theta$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\frac{22}{3}\right) \, d\theta$$
$$= \frac{44}{3}\pi \approx 46.08 \text{lb.}$$

One would expect different density functions to return different weights, as we have here. The density functions were chosen, though, to be similar: each gives a density of 1 at the origin and a density of 5 at the outside edge of the circle, as seen in Figure 13.4.4.




Figure 13.4.4: Graphing the density functions in Example 13.4.3. In (a) is the density function $\delta(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + 1$; in (b) is $\delta(x, y) = 2\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + 1$.

Notice how $x^2 + y^2 + 1 \le 2\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + 1$ over the circle; this results in less weight.

Plotting the density functions can be useful as our understanding of mass can be related to our understanding of "volume under a surface." We interpreted $\iint_R f(x, y) dA$ as giving the volume under f over R; we can understand $\iint_R \delta(x, y) dA$ in the same way. The "volume" under δ over R is actually mass;

by compressing the "volume" under δ onto the *x*-*y* plane, we get "more mass" in some areas than others – i.e., areas of greater density.

Knowing the mass of a lamina is one of several important measures. Another is the **center of mass**, which we discuss next.

Center of Mass

Consider a disk of radius 1 with uniform density. It is common knowledge that the disk will balance on a point if the point is placed at the center of the disk. What if the disk does not have a uniform density? Through trial-and-error, we should still be able to find a spot on the disk at which the disk will balance on a point. This balance point is referred to as the **center of mass**, or **center of gravity**. It is though all the mass is "centered" there. In fact, if the disk has a mass of 3kg, the disk will behave physically as though it were a point-mass of 3kg located at its center of mass. For instance, the disk will naturally spin with an axis through its center of mass (which is why it is important to "balance" the tires of your car: if they are "out of balance", their center of mass will be outside of the axle and it will shake terribly).

We find the center of mass based on the principle of a **weighted average**. Consider a college class in which your homework average is 90%, your test average is 73%, and your final exam grade is an 85%. Experience tells us that our final grade *is not* the *average* of these three grades: that is, it is not:

$$\frac{0.9 + 0.73 + 0.85}{3} \approx 0.837 = 83.7\%$$

That is, you are probably not pulling a B in the course. Rather, your grades are *weighted*. Let's say the homework is worth 10% of the grade, tests are 60% and the exam is 30%. Then your final grade is:

$$(0.1)(0.9) + (0.6)(0.73) + (0.3)(0.85) = 0.783 = 78.3\%.$$

Each grade is multiplied by a weight.

In general, given values x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n and weights w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n , the weighted average of the *n* values is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \bigg/ \sum_{i=1}^n w_i.$$

In the grading example above, the sum of the weights 0.1, 0.6 and 0.3 is 1, so we don't see the division by the sum of weights in that instance.

How this relates to center of mass is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 13.4.1 Center of Mass of Discrete Linear System

Let point masses m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n be distributed along the *x*-axis at locations x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n , respectively. The center of mass \overline{x} of the system is located at

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \mathbf{x}_i / \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i.$$

Example 13.4.4 Finding the center of mass of a discrete linear system

- 1. Point masses of 2gm are located at x = -1, x = 2 and x = 3 are connected by a thin rod of negligible weight. Find the center of mass of the system.
- 2. Point masses of 10gm, 2gm and 1gm are located at x = -1, x = 2 and x = 3, respectively, are connected by a thin rod of negligible weight. Find the center of mass of the system.

SOLUTION

1. Following Theorem 13.4.1, we compute the center of mass as:

$$\overline{x} = \frac{2(-1) + 2(2) + 2(3)}{2 + 2 + 2} = \frac{4}{3} = 1.\overline{3}.$$

So the system would balance on a point placed at x = 4/3, as illustrated in Figure 13.4.5(a).

2. Again following Theorem 13.4.1, we find:

$$\overline{x} = rac{10(-1) + 2(2) + 1(3)}{10 + 2 + 1} = rac{-3}{13} pprox -0.23.$$

Placing a large weight at the left hand side of the system moves the center of mass left, as shown in Figure 13.4.5(b).

In a discrete system (i.e., mass is located at individual points, not along a continuum) we find the center of mass by dividing the mass into a **moment** of the system. In general, a moment is a weighted measure of distance from a particular point or line. In the case described by Theorem 13.4.1, we are finding a weighted measure of distances from the *y*-axis, so we refer to this as **the moment about the** *y*-**axis**, represented by M_y . Letting *M* be the total mass of the system, we have $\bar{x} = M_y/M$.




Figure 13.4.5: Illustrating point masses along a thin rod and the center of mass.

We can extend the concept of the center of mass of discrete points along a line to the center of mass of discrete points in the plane rather easily. To do so, we define some terms then give a theorem.

Definition 13.4.2 Moments about the *x*- and *y*- Axes.

Let point masses m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n be located at points (x_1, y_1) , $(x_2, y_2) \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$, respectively, in the *x*-*y* plane.

- 1. The moment about the y-axis, M_y , is $M_y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i x_i$.
- 2. The moment about the x-axis, M_x , is $M_x = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i y_i$.

One can think that these definitions are "backwards" as M_y sums up "x" distances. But remember, "x" distances are measurements of distance from the y-axis, hence defining the moment about the y-axis.

We now define the center of mass of discrete points in the plane.

Theorem 13.4.2Center of Mass of Discrete Planar SystemLet point masses m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n be located at points (x_1, y_1) , $(x_2, y_2) \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$, respectively, in the x-y plane, and let $M = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i$.The center of mass of the system is at (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) , where $\bar{x} = \frac{M_y}{M}$ and $\bar{y} = \frac{M_x}{M}$.

Example 13.4.5 Finding the center of mass of a discrete planar system Let point masses of 1kg, 2kg and 5kg be located at points (2, 0), (1, 1) and (3, 1), respectively, and are connected by thin rods of negligible weight. Find the center of mass of the system.

SOLUTION We follow Theorem 13.4.2 and Definition 13.4.2 to find M, M_x and M_y :

M = 1 + 2 + 5 = 8kg.

Notes:




Figure 13.4.6: Illustrating the center of mass of a discrete planar system in Example 13.4.5.

$$M_{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} y_{i} \qquad M_{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} x_{i}$$

= 1(0) + 2(1) + 5(1) = 1(2) + 2(1) + 5(3)
= 7. = 19.

Thus the center of mass is $(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = \left(\frac{M_y}{M}, \frac{M_x}{M}\right) = \left(\frac{19}{8}, \frac{7}{8}\right) = (2.375, 0.875),$ illustrated in Figure 13.4.6.

We finally arrive at our true goal of this section: finding the center of mass of a lamina with variable density. While the above measurement of center of mass is interesting, it does not directly answer more realistic situations where we need to find the center of mass of a contiguous region. However, understanding the discrete case allows us to approximate the center of mass of a planar lamina; using calculus, we can refine the approximation to an exact value.

We begin by representing a planar lamina with a region *R* in the *x*-*y* plane with density function $\delta(x, y)$. Partition *R* into *n* subdivisions, each with area ΔA_i . As done before, we can approximate the mass of the *i*th subregion with $\delta(x_i, y_i)\Delta A_i$, where (x_i, y_i) is a point inside the *i*th subregion. We can approximate the moment of this subregion about the *y*-axis with $x_i\delta(x_i, y_i)\Delta A_i$ – that is, by multiplying the approximate mass of the region by its approximate distance from the *y*-axis. Similarly, we can approximate the moment about the *x*-axis with $y_i\delta(x_i, y_i)\Delta A_i$. By summing over all subregions, we have:

mass:
$$M \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{i}) \Delta \mathbf{A}_{i}$$
 (as seen before)
moment about the x-axis: $M_{\mathbf{x}} \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_{i} \delta(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{i}) \Delta \mathbf{A}_{i}$
moment about the y-axis: $M_{\mathbf{y}} \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i} \delta(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{i}) \Delta \mathbf{A}_{i}$

By taking limits, where size of each subregion shrinks to 0 in both the x and y directions, we arrive at the double integrals given in the following theorem.

Theorem 13.4.3 Center of Mass of a Planar Lamina, Moments

Let a planar lamina be represented by a closed, bounded region *R* in the *x*-*y* plane with density function $\delta(x, y)$.

1. mass: $M = \iint_R \delta(x, y) \, dA$

2. moment about the *x*-axis:
$$M_x = \iint_R y \delta(x, y) \, dA$$

- 3. moment about the y-axis: $M_y = \iint_R x \delta(x, y) \, dA$
- 4. The center of mass of the lamina is

$$(\overline{x},\overline{y}) = \left(rac{M_y}{M},rac{M_x}{M}
ight).$$

We start our practice of finding centers of mass by revisiting some of the lamina used previously in this section when finding mass. We will just set up the integrals needed to compute M, M_x and M_y and leave the details of the integration to the reader.

Example 13.4.6 Finding the center of mass of a lamina

Find the center mass of a square lamina, with side length 1, with a density of $\delta = 3 \text{gm/cm}^2$. (Note: this is the lamina from Example 13.4.1.)

SOLUTION We represent the lamina with a square region in the plane as shown in Figure 13.4.7 as done previously.

Following Theorem 13.4.3, we find M, M_x and M_y :

$$M = \iint_{R} 3 \, dA = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} 3 \, dx \, dy = 3 \text{gm.}$$
$$M_{x} = \iint_{R} 3y \, dA = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} 3y \, dx \, dy = 3/2 = 1.5.$$
$$M_{y} = \iint_{R} 3x \, dA = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} 3x \, dx \, dy = 3/2 = 1.5.$$

Thus the center of mass is $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \left(\frac{M_y}{M}, \frac{M_x}{M}\right) = (1.5/3, 1.5/3) = (0.5, 0.5).$ This is what we should have expected: the center of mass of a square with con-

This is what we should have expected: the center of mass of a square with co stant density is the center of the square.




Figure 13.4.7: A region *R* representing a lamina in Example 13.4.1.

Example 13.4.7 Finding the center of mass of a lamina

Find the center of mass of a square lamina, represented by the unit square with lower lefthand corner at the origin (see Figure 13.4.7), with variable density $\delta(x, y) = (x + y + 2)$ gm/cm². (Note: this is the lamina from Example 13.4.2.)

SOLUTION We follow Theorem 13.4.3, to find M, M_x and M_y :

$$M = \iint_{R} (x + y + 2) \, dA = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} (x + y + 2) \, dx \, dy = 3 \text{gm.}$$
$$M_{x} = \iint_{R} y(x + y + 2) \, dA = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} y(x + y + 2) \, dx \, dy = \frac{19}{12}.$$
$$M_{y} = \iint_{R} x(x + y + 2) \, dA = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} x(x + y + 2) \, dx \, dy = \frac{19}{12}.$$

Thus the center of mass is $(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = \left(\frac{M_y}{M}, \frac{M_x}{M}\right) = \left(\frac{19}{36}, \frac{19}{36}\right) \approx (0.528, 0.528).$

While the mass of this lamina is the same as the lamina in the previous example, the greater density found with greater *x* and *y* values pulls the center of mass from the center slightly towards the upper righthand corner.

Example 13.4.8 Finding the center of mass of a lamina

Find the center of mass of the lamina represented by the circle with radius 2ft, centered at the origin, with density function $\delta(x, y) = (x^2 + y^2 + 1)$ lb/ft². (Note: this is one of the lamina used in Example 13.4.3.)

SOLUTION As done in Example 13.4.3, it is best to describe *R* using polar coordinates. Thus when we compute M_y , we will integrate not $x\delta(x, y) = x(x^2 + y^2 + 1)$, but rather $(r \cos \theta)\delta(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) = (r \cos \theta)(r^2 + 1)$. We compute M, M_x and M_y :

$$M = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^2 (r^2 + 1) r \, dr \, d\theta = 12\pi \approx 37.7 \text{lb}$$
$$M_x = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^2 (r \sin \theta) (r^2 + 1) r \, dr \, d\theta = 0.$$
$$M_y = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^2 (r \cos \theta) (r^2 + 1) r \, dr \, d\theta = 0.$$

Since *R* and the density of *R* are both symmetric about the *x* and *y* axes, it should come as no big surprise that the moments about each axis is 0. Thus the center of mass is $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (0, 0)$.

Example 13.4.9 Finding the center of mass of a lamina

Find the center of mass of the lamina represented by the region *R* shown in Figure 13.4.8, half an annulus with outer radius 6 and inner radius 5, with constant density 2lb/ft².

SOLUTION Once again it will be useful to represent *R* in polar coordinates. Using the description of *R* and/or the illustration, we see that *R* is bounded by $5 \le r \le 6$ and $0 \le \theta \le \pi$. As the lamina is symmetric about the *y*-axis, we should expect $M_y = 0$. We compute M, M_x and M_y :

$$M = \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{5}^{6} (2)r \, dr \, d\theta = 11\pi \text{lb.}$$
$$M_{x} = \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{5}^{6} (r\sin\theta)(2)r \, dr \, d\theta = \frac{364}{3} \approx 121.33$$
$$M_{y} = \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{5}^{6} (r\cos\theta)(2)r \, dr \, d\theta = 0.$$




Figure 13.4.8: Illustrating the region *R* in Example 13.4.9.

Thus the center of mass is $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (0, \frac{364}{33\pi}) \approx (0, 3.51)$. The center of mass is indicated in Figure 13.4.8; note how it lies outside of R!

This section has shown us another use for iterated integrals beyond finding area or signed volume under the curve. While there are many uses for iterated integrals, we give one more application in the following section: computing surface area.

Exercises 13.4

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Why is it easy to use "mass" and "weight" interchangeably, even though they are different measures?
- Given a point (x, y), the value of x is a measure of distance from the ______-axis.
- 3. We can think of $\iint_R dm$ as meaning "sum up lots of _____"
- 4. What is a "discrete planar system?"
- 5. Why does M_x use $\iint_R y\delta(x, y) dA$ instead of $\iint_R x\delta(x, y) dA$; that is, why do we use "y" and not "x"?
- 6. Describe a situation where the center of mass of a lamina does not lie within the region of the lamina itself.

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 10, point masses are given along a line or in the plane. Find the center of mass \bar{x} or (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) , as appropriate. (All masses are in grams and distances are in cm.)

- 7. $m_1 = 4$ at x = 1; $m_2 = 3$ at x = 3; $m_3 = 5$ at x = 10
- 8. $m_1 = 2$ at x = -3; $m_2 = 2$ at x = -1; $m_3 = 3$ at x = 0; $m_4 = 3$ at x = 7
- 9. $m_1 = 2$ at (-2, -2); $m_2 = 2$ at (2, -2); $m_3 = 20$ at (0, 4)
- 10. $m_1 = 1$ at (-1, -1); $m_2 = 2$ at (-1, 1); $m_3 = 2$ at (1, 1); $m_4 = 1$ at (1, -1)

In Exercises 11 – 18, find the mass/weight of the lamina described by the region *R* in the plane and its density function $\delta(x, y)$.

- 11. *R* is the rectangle with corners (1, -3), (1, 2), (7, 2) and (7, -3); $\delta(x, y) = 5$ gm/cm²
- 12. *R* is the rectangle with corners (1, -3), (1, 2), (7, 2) and (7, -3); $\delta(x, y) = (x + y^2)$ gm/cm²
- 13. *R* is the triangle with corners (-1, 0), (1, 0), and (0, 1); $\delta(x, y) = 2lb/in^2$
- 14. *R* is the triangle with corners (0,0), (1,0), and (0,1); $\delta(x,y) = (x^2 + y^2 + 1) \text{lb/in}^2$
- 15. *R* is the disk centered at the origin with radius 2; $\delta(x, y) = (x + y + 4) \text{kg/m}^2$
- 16. *R* is the circle sector bounded by $x^2 + y^2 = 25$ in the first quadrant; $\delta(x, y) = (\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + 1)$ kg/m²
- **798** 17. *R* is the annulus in the first and second quadrants bounded by $x^2 + y^2 = 9$ and $x^2 + y^2 = 36$; $\delta(x, y) = 41$ /ft²

18. *R* is the annulus in the first and second quadrants bounded by $x^2 + y^2 = 9$ and $x^2 + y^2 = 36$; $\delta(x, y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ lb/ft²

In Exercises 19 – 26, find the center of mass of the lamina described by the region *R* in the plane and its density function $\delta(x, y)$.

Note: these are the same lamina as in Exercises 11 – 18.

- 19. *R* is the rectangle with corners (1, -3), (1, 2), (7, 2) and (7, -3); $\delta(x, y) = 5$ gm/cm²
- 20. *R* is the rectangle with corners (1, -3), (1, 2), (7, 2) and (7, -3); $\delta(x, y) = (x + y^2)$ gm/cm²
- 21. *R* is the triangle with corners (-1, 0), (1, 0), and (0, 1); $\delta(x, y) = 2lb/in^2$
- 22. R is the triangle with corners (0,0), (1,0), and (0,1); $\delta(x,y)=(x^2+y^2+1)\mathsf{lb}/\mathsf{in}^2$
- 23. *R* is the disk centered at the origin with radius 2; $\delta(x, y) = (x + y + 4) \text{kg/m}^2$
- 24. *R* is the circle sector bounded by $x^2 + y^2 = 25$ in the first quadrant; $\delta(x, y) = (\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + 1)$ kg/m²
- 25. *R* is the annulus in the first and second quadrants bounded by $x^2 + y^2 = 9$ and $x^2 + y^2 = 36$; $\delta(x, y) = 4$ lb/ft²
- 26. *R* is the annulus in the first and second quadrants bounded by $x^2 + y^2 = 9$ and $x^2 + y^2 = 36$; $\delta(x, y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \text{lb/ft}^2$

The moment of inertia l is a measure of the tendency of a lamina to resist rotating about an axis or continue to rotate about an axis. l_x is the moment of inertia about the x-axis, l_y is the moment of inertia about the x-axis, and l_o is the moment of inertia about the origin. These are computed as follows:

•
$$I_x = \iint_R y^2 dm$$

• $I_y = \iint_R x^2 dm$
• $I_o = \iint_R (x^2 + y^2) dm$

In Exercises 27 – 30, a lamina corresponding to a planar region *R* is given with a mass of 16 units. For each, compute I_x , I_y and I_o .

- 27. *R* is the 4 \times 4 square with corners at (-2, -2) and (2, 2) with density $\delta(x, y) = 1$.
- 28. *R* is the 8 × 2 rectangle with corners at (-4, -1) and (4, 1) with density $\delta(x, y) = 1$.
- 29. *R* is the 4 × 2 rectangle with corners at (-2, -1) and (2, 1) with density $\delta(x, y) = 2$.
- 30. *R* is the disk with radius 2 centered at the origin with density $\delta(x, y) = 4/\pi$.

13.5 Surface Area

In Section 7.4 we used definite integrals to compute the arc length of plane curves of the form y = f(x). We later extended these ideas to compute the arc length of plane curves defined by parametric or polar equations.

The natural extension of the concept of "arc length over an interval" to surfaces is "surface area over a region."

Consider the surface z = f(x, y) over a region *R* in the *x*-*y* plane, shown in Figure 13.5.1(a). Because of the domed shape of the surface, the surface area will be greater than that of the area of the region *R*. We can find this area using the same basic technique we have used over and over: we'll make an approximation, then using limits, we'll refine the approximation to the exact value.

As done to find the volume under a surface or the mass of a lamina, we subdivide *R* into *n* subregions. Here we subdivide *R* into rectangles, as shown in the figure. One such subregion is outlined in the figure, where the rectangle has dimensions Δx_i and Δy_i , along with its corresponding region on the surface.

In part (b) of the figure, we zoom in on this portion of the surface. When Δx_i and Δy_i are small, the function is approximated well by the tangent plane at any point (x_i, y_i) in this subregion, which is graphed in part (b). In fact, the tangent plane approximates the function so well that in this figure, it is virtually indistinguishable from the surface itself! Therefore we can approximate the surface area S_i of this region of the surface with the area T_i of the corresponding portion of the tangent plane.

This portion of the tangent plane is a parallelogram, defined by sides \vec{u} and \vec{v} , as shown. One of the applications of the cross product from Section 10.4 is that the area of this parallelogram is $||\vec{u} \times \vec{v}||$. Once we can determine \vec{u} and \vec{v} , we can determine the area.

 \vec{u} is tangent to the surface in the direction of x, therefore, from Section 12.7, \vec{u} is parallel to $\langle 1, 0, f_x(x_i, y_i) \rangle$. The *x*-displacement of \vec{u} is Δx_i , so we know that $\vec{u} = \Delta x_i \langle 1, 0, f_x(x_i, y_i) \rangle$. Similar logic shows that $\vec{v} = \Delta y_i \langle 0, 1, f_y(x_i, y_i) \rangle$. Thus:

> surface area $S_i \approx \text{area of } T_i$ $= || \vec{u} \times \vec{v} ||$ $= || \Delta x_i \langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0}, f_x(x_i, y_i) \rangle \times \Delta y_i \langle \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, f_y(x_i, y_i) \rangle ||$ $= \sqrt{\mathbf{1} + f_x(x_i, y_i)^2 + f_y(x_i, y_i)^2} \Delta x_i \Delta y_i.$

Note that $\Delta x_i \Delta y_i = \Delta A_i$, the area of the *i*th subregion.

Summing up all *n* of the approximations to the surface area gives

surface area over
$$R \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{1 + f_x(x_i, y_i)^2 + f_y(x_i, y_i)^2} \Delta A_i$$




Figure 13.5.1: Developing a method of computing surface area.

Once again take a limit as all of the Δx_i and Δy_i shrink to 0; this leads to a double integral.

Note: as done before, we think of " $\iint_R dS$ " as meaning "sum up lots of little surface areas over *R*."

The concept of surface area is *defined* here, for while we already have a notion of the area of a region in the *plane*, we did not yet have a solid grasp of what "the area of a surface in *space*" means.




Figure 13.5.2: Finding the area of a triangle in space in Example 13.5.1.

Definition 13.5.1 Surface Area

Let z = f(x, y) where f_x and f_y are continuous over a closed, bounded region *R*. The surface area *S* over *R* is

$$S = \iint_{R} dS$$

= $\iint_{R} \sqrt{1 + f_{x}(x, y)^{2} + f_{y}(x, y)^{2}} dA.$

We test this definition by using it to compute surface areas of known surfaces. We start with a triangle.

Example 13.5.1 Finding the surface area of a plane over a triangle

Let f(x, y) = 4 - x - 2y, and let *R* be the region in the plane bounded by x = 0, y = 0 and y = 2 - x/2, as shown in Figure 13.5.2. Find the surface area of *f* over *R*.

SOLUTION We follow Definition 13.5.1. We start by noting that $f_x(x, y) = -1$ and $f_y(x, y) = -2$. To define *R*, we use bounds $0 \le y \le 2 - x/2$ and $0 \le x \le 4$. Therefore

$$S = \iint_{R} dS$$

= $\int_{0}^{4} \int_{0}^{2-x/2} \sqrt{1 + (-1)^{2} + (-2)^{2}} dy dx$
= $\int_{0}^{4} \sqrt{6} \left(2 - \frac{x}{2}\right) dx$
= $4\sqrt{6}$.

Because the surface is a triangle, we can figure out the area using geometry. Considering the base of the triangle to be the side in the *x*-*y* plane, we find the length of the base to be $\sqrt{20}$. We can find the height using our knowledge of vectors: let \vec{u} be the side in the *x*-*z* plane and let \vec{v} be the side in the *x*-*y* plane. The height is then $||\vec{u} - \text{proj}_{\vec{v}}\vec{u}|| = 4\sqrt{6/5}$. Geometry states that the area is thus

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot 4\sqrt{6/5} \cdot \sqrt{20} = 4\sqrt{6}$$

We affirm the validity of our formula.

It is "common knowledge" that the surface area of a sphere of radius r is $4\pi r^2$. We confirm this in the following example, which involves using our formula with polar coordinates.

Example 13.5.2 The surface area of a sphere.

Find the surface area of the sphere with radius *a* centered at the origin, whose top hemisphere has equation $f(x, y) = \sqrt{a^2 - x^2 - y^2}$.

SOLUTION We start by computing partial derivatives and find

$$f_x(x,y) = rac{-x}{\sqrt{a^2 - x^2 - y^2}}$$
 and $f_y(x,y) = rac{-y}{\sqrt{a^2 - x^2 - y^2}}$

As our function *f* only defines the top upper hemisphere of the sphere, we double our surface area result to get the total area:

$$S = 2 \iint_{R} \sqrt{1 + f_{x}(x, y)^{2} + f_{y}(x, y)^{2}} dA$$
$$= 2 \iint_{R} \sqrt{1 + \frac{x^{2} + y^{2}}{a^{2} - x^{2} - y^{2}}} dA.$$

The region *R* that we are integrating over is bounded by the circle, centered at the origin, with radius *a*: $x^2 + y^2 = a^2$. Because of this region, we are likely to have greater success with our integration by converting to polar coordinates. Using the substitutions $x = r \cos \theta$, $y = r \sin \theta$, $dA = r dr d\theta$ and bounds $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ and $0 \le r \le a$, we have:

$$S = 2 \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{a} \sqrt{1 + \frac{r^{2} \cos^{2} \theta + r^{2} \sin^{2} \theta}{a^{2} - r^{2} \cos^{2} \theta - r^{2} \sin^{2} \theta}} r \, dr \, d\theta$$

= $2 \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{a} r \sqrt{1 + \frac{r^{2}}{a^{2} - r^{2}}} \, dr \, d\theta$
= $2 \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{a} r \sqrt{\frac{a^{2}}{a^{2} - r^{2}}} \, dr \, d\theta.$ (13.1)

Apply substitution $u = a^2 - r^2$ and integrate the inner integral, giving

$$= 2 \int_0^{2\pi} a^2 d\theta$$
$$= 4\pi a^2.$$

Our work confirms our previous formula.

Notes:

Note: The inner integral in Equation (13.1) is an improper integral, as the integrand of $\int_{0}^{a} r \sqrt{\frac{a^{2}}{a^{2} - r^{2}}} dr$ is not defined at r = a. To properly evaluate this integral, one must use the techniques of Section 6.8.

The reason this need arises is that the function $f(x, y) = \sqrt{a^2 - x^2 - y^2}$ fails the requirements of Definition 13.5.1, as f_x and f_y are not continuous on the boundary of the circle $x^2 + y^2 = a^2$.

The computation of the surface area is still valid. The definition makes stronger requirements than necessary in part to avoid the use of improper integration, as when f_x and/or f_y are not continuous, the resulting improper integral may not converge. Since the improper integral does converge in this example, the surface area is accurately computed.




Figure 13.5.3: Finding the surface area of a cone in Example 13.5.3.

Note: Once again f_x and f_y are not continuous on the domain of f, as both are undefined at (0, 0). (A similar problem occurred in the previous example.) Once again the resulting improper integral converges and the computation of the surface area is valid.




Figure 13.5.4: Graphing the surface in Example 13.5.4.

Example 13.5.3 Finding the surface area of a cone

The general formula for a right cone with height *h* and base radius *a* is

$$f(x,y)=h-\frac{h}{a}\sqrt{x^2+y^2},$$

shown in Figure 13.5.3. Find the surface area of this cone.

SOLUTION We begin by computing partial derivatives.

$$f_x(x,y) = -rac{xh}{a\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}$$
 and $f_y(x,y) = -rac{yh}{a\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}.$

Since we are integrating over the disk bounded by $x^2 + y^2 = a^2$, we again use polar coordinates. Using the standard substitutions, our integrand becomes

$$\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{hr\cos\theta}{a\sqrt{r^2}}\right)^2+\left(\frac{hr\sin\theta}{a\sqrt{r^2}}\right)^2}.$$

This may look intimidating at first, but there are lots of simple simplifications to be done. It amazingly reduces to just

$$\sqrt{1+\frac{h^2}{a^2}}=\frac{1}{a}\sqrt{a^2+h^2}.$$

Our polar bounds are $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ and $0 \le r \le a$. Thus

$$S = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{a} r \frac{1}{a} \sqrt{a^{2} + h^{2}} \, dr \, d\theta$$

= $\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} r^{2} \frac{1}{a} \sqrt{a^{2} + h^{2}} \right) \Big|_{0}^{a} d\theta$
= $\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{1}{2} a \sqrt{a^{2} + h^{2}} \, d\theta$
= $\pi a \sqrt{a^{2} + h^{2}}$.

This matches the formula found in the back of this text.

Example 13.5.4 Finding surface area over a region

Find the area of the surface $f(x, y) = x^2 - 3y + 3$ over the region *R* bounded by $-x \le y \le x$, $0 \le x \le 4$, as pictured in Figure 13.5.4.

SOLUTION It is straightforward to compute $f_x(x, y) = 2x$ and $f_y(x, y) = -3$. Thus the surface area is described by the double integral

$$\iint_{R} \sqrt{1 + (2x)^2 + (-3)^2} \, dA = \iint_{R} \sqrt{10 + 4x^2} \, dA$$

As with integrals describing arc length, double integrals describing surface area are in general hard to evaluate directly because of the square–root. This particular integral can be easily evaluated, though, with judicious choice of our order of integration.

Integrating with order dx dy requires us to evaluate $\int \sqrt{10 + 4x^2} dx$. This can be done, though it involves Integration By Parts and $\sinh^{-1} x$. Integrating with order dy dx has as its first integral $\int \sqrt{10 + 4x^2} dy$, which is easy to evaluate: it is simply $y\sqrt{10 + 4x^2} + C$. So we proceed with the order dy dx; the bounds are already given in the statement of the problem.

$$\iint_{R} \sqrt{10 + 4x^{2}} \, dA = \int_{0}^{4} \int_{-x}^{x} \sqrt{10 + 4x^{2}} \, dy \, dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{4} \left(y\sqrt{10 + 4x^{2}} \right) \Big|_{-x}^{x} \, dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{4} \left(2x\sqrt{10 + 4x^{2}} \right) \, dx.$$

Apply substitution with $u = 10 + 4x^2$:

$$= \left(\frac{1}{6} \left(10 + 4x^2\right)^{3/2}\right) \Big|_0^4$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} \left(37\sqrt{74} - 5\sqrt{10}\right) \approx 100.825u^2.$$

So while the region *R* over which we integrate has an area of $16u^2$, the surface has a much greater area as its *z*-values change dramatically over *R*.


In practice, technology helps greatly in the evaluation of such integrals. High powered computer algebra systems can compute integrals that are difficult, or at least time consuming, by hand, and can at the least produce very accurate approximations with numerical methods. In general, just knowing *how* to set up the proper integrals brings one very close to being able to compute the needed value. Most of the work is actually done in just describing the region *R* in terms of polar or rectangular coordinates. Once this is done, technology can usually provide a good answer.

We have learned how to integrate integrals; that is, we have learned to evaluate double integrals. In the next section, we learn how to integrate double integrals – that is, we learn to evaluate *triple integrals*, along with learning some uses for this operation.


Exercises 13.5

Terms and Concepts

- "Surface area" is analogous to what previously studied concept?
- 2. To approximate the area of a small portion of a surface, we computed the area of its _____ plane.
- 3. We interpret $\iint_R dS$ as "sum up lots of little _____
- 4. Why is it important to know how to set up a double integral to compute surface area, even if the resulting integral is hard to evaluate?
- 5. Why do z = f(x, y) and z = g(x, y) = f(x, y) + h, for some real number h, have the same surface area over a region R?
- Let z = f(x, y) and z = g(x, y) = 2f(x, y). Why is the surface area of g over a region R not twice the surface area of f over R?




9. $f(x, y) = x^2 - y^2$; *R* is the rectangle with opposite corners (-1, -1) and (1, 1).




Problems

- In Exercises 7 10, set up the iterated integral that computes the surface area of the given surface over the region *R*.
- 7. $f(x,y) = \sin x \cos y$; *R* is the rectangle with bounds $0 \le x \le 2\pi$, $0 \le y \le 2\pi$.



10. $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{e^{x^2} + 1}$; *R* is the rectangle bounded by $-5 \le x \le 5$ and $0 \le y \le 1$.



In Exercises 11 – 19, find the area of the given surface over the region *R*.

11. f(x, y) = 3x - 7y + 2; *R* is the rectangle with opposite corners (-1, 0) and (1, 3).

12. f(x, y) = 2x + 2y + 2; *R* is the triangle with corners (0, 0),

(1, 0) and (0, 1).

- 13. $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + 10$; *R* is bounded by the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 16$.
- 14. $f(x, y) = -2x + 4y^2 + 7$ over *R*, the triangle bounded by $y = -x, y = x, 0 \le y \le 1$.
- 15. $f(x, y) = x^2 + y$ over *R*, the triangle bounded by y = 2x, y = 0 and x = 2.
- 16. $f(x, y) = \frac{2}{3}x^{3/2} + 2y^{3/2}$ over *R*, the rectangle with opposite corners (0, 0) and (1, 1).
- 17. $f(x, y) = 10 2\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ over *R*, bounded by the circle

 $x^2 + y^2 = 25$. (This is the cone with height 10 and base radius 5; be sure to compare your result with the known formula.)

- 18. Find the surface area of the sphere with radius 5 by doubling the surface area of $f(x, y) = \sqrt{25 x^2 y^2}$ over *R*, bounded by the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 25$. (Be sure to compare your result with the known formula.)
- 19. Find the surface area of the ellipse formed by restricting the plane f(x, y) = cx + dy + h to the region *R*, bounded by the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, where *c*, *d* and *h* are some constants. Your answer should be given in terms of *c* and *d*; why does the value of *h* not matter?

13.6 Volume Between Surfaces and Triple Integration

We learned in Section 13.2 how to compute the signed volume V under a surface z = f(x, y) over a region R: $V = \iint_R f(x, y) dA$. It follows naturally that if $f(x, y) \ge g(x, y)$ on R, then the **volume between** f(x, y) and g(x, y) on R is

$$V = \iint_R f(x, y) \, dA - \iint_R g(x, y) \, dA = \iint_R \left(f(x, y) - g(x, y) \right) \, dA.$$

Theorem 13.6.1 Volume Between Surfaces

Let *f* and *g* be continuous functions on a closed, bounded region *R*, where $f(x, y) \ge g(x, y)$ for all (x, y) in *R*. The volume *V* between *f* and *g* over *R*

$$V = \iint_R (f(x,y) - g(x,y)) \, dA.$$

Example 13.6.1 Finding volume between surfaces

Find the volume of the space region bounded by the planes z = 3x + y - 4, z = 8 - 3x - 2y, x = 0 and y = 0. In Figure 13.6.1(a) the planes are drawn; in (b), only the defined region is given.

SOLUTION We need to determine the region *R* over which we will integrate. To do so, we need to determine where the planes intersect. They have common *z*-values when 3x + y - 4 = 8 - 3x - 2y. Applying a little algebra, we have:

$$3x + y - 4 = 8 - 3x - 2y$$
$$6x + 3y = 12$$
$$2x + y = 4$$

The planes intersect along the line 2x+y = 4. Therefore the region *R* is bounded by x = 0, y = 0, and y = 4 - 2x; we can convert these bounds to integration bounds of $0 \le x \le 2$, $0 \le y \le 4 - 2x$. Thus

$$V = \iint_{R} \left(8 - 3x - 2y - (3x + y - 4) \right) dA$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{4 - 2x} \left(12 - 6x - 3y \right) dy dx$$
$$= 16u^{3}.$$

The volume between the surfaces is 16 cubic units.

Notes:

is




Figure 13.6.1: Finding the volume between the planes given in Example 13.6.1.

In the preceding example, we found the volume by evaluating the integral

$$\int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{4-2x} \left(8 - 3x - 2y - (3x + y - 4) \right) \, dy \, dx$$

Note how we can rewrite the integrand as an integral, much as we did in Section 13.1:

$$8-3x-2y-(3x+y-4)=\int_{3x+y-4}^{8-3x-2y} dz.$$

Thus we can rewrite the double integral that finds volume as

$$\int_0^2 \int_0^{4-2x} \left(8 - 3x - 2y - (3x + y - 4) \right) dy \, dx = \int_0^2 \int_0^{4-2x} \left(\int_{3x + y - 4}^{8-3x - 2y} dz \right) \, dy \, dx.$$

This no longer looks like a "double integral," but more like a "triple integral." Just as our first introduction to double integrals was in the context of finding the area of a plane region, our introduction into triple integrals will be in the context of finding the volume of a space region.

To formally find the volume of a closed, bounded region D in space, such as the one shown in Figure 13.6.2(a), we start with an approximation. Break D into n rectangular solids; the solids near the boundary of D may possibly not include portions of D and/or include extra space. In Figure 13.6.2(b), we zoom in on a portion of the boundary of D to show a rectangular solid that contains space not in D; as this is an approximation of the volume, this is acceptable and this error will be reduced as we shrink the size of our solids.

The volume ΔV_i of the *i*th solid D_i is $\Delta V_i = \Delta x_i \Delta y_i \Delta z_i$, where Δx_i , Δy_i and Δz_i give the dimensions of the rectangular solid in the *x*, *y* and *z* directions, respectively. By summing up the volumes of all *n* solids, we get an approximation of the volume *V* of *D*:

$$V \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta V_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta x_i \Delta y_i \Delta z_i.$$

Let $||\Delta D||$ represent the length of the longest diagonal of rectangular solids in the subdivision of *D*. As $||\Delta D|| \rightarrow 0$, the volume of each solid goes to 0, as do each of Δx_i , Δy_i and Δz_i , for all *i*. Our calculus experience tells us that taking a limit as $||\Delta D|| \rightarrow 0$ turns our approximation of *V* into an exact calculation of *V*. Before we state this result in a theorem, we use a definition to define some terms.




Figure 13.6.2: Approximating the volume of a region *D* in space.

Definition 13.6.1 Triple Integrals, Iterated Integration (Part I)

Let *D* be a closed, bounded region in space. Let *a* and *b* be real numbers, let $g_1(x)$ and $g_2(x)$ be continuous functions of *x*, and let $f_1(x, y)$ and $f_2(x, y)$ be continuous functions of *x* and *y*.

1. The volume V of D is denoted by a triple integral,

$$V = \iiint_D dV.$$

2. The iterated integral $\int_{a}^{b} \int_{g_{1}(x)}^{g_{2}(x)} \int_{f_{1}(x,y)}^{f_{2}(x,y)} dz \, dy \, dx$ is evaluated as

$$\int_{a}^{b} \int_{g_{1}(x)}^{g_{2}(x)} \int_{f_{1}(x,y)}^{f_{2}(x,y)} dz \, dy \, dx = \int_{a}^{b} \int_{g_{1}(x)}^{g_{2}(x)} \left(\int_{f_{1}(x,y)}^{f_{2}(x,y)} dz \right) \, dy \, dx$$

Evaluating the above iterated integral is triple integration.

Our informal understanding of the notation $\iint_D dV$ is "sum up lots of little volumes over D," analogous to our understanding of $\iint_R dA$ and $\iint_R dm$. We now state the major theorem of this section.

Theorem 13.6.2 Triple Integration (Part I)

Let *D* be a closed, bounded region in space and let ΔD be any subdivision of *D* into *n* rectangular solids, where the *i*th subregion *D_i* has dimensions $\Delta x_i \times \Delta y_i \times \Delta z_i$ and volume ΔV_i .

1. The volume V of D is

$$V = \iiint_D dV = \lim_{||\Delta D|| \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta V_i = \lim_{||\Delta D|| \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i \Delta y_i \Delta z_i.$$

2. If *D* is defined as the region bounded by the planes x = a and x = b, the cylinders $y = g_1(x)$ and $y = g_2(x)$, and the surfaces $z = f_1(x, y)$ and $z = f_2(x, y)$, where a < b, $g_1(x) \le g_2(x)$ and $f_1(x, y) \le f_2(x, y)$ on *D*, then

$$\iiint_{D} dV = \int_{a}^{b} \int_{g_{1}(x)}^{g_{2}(x)} \int_{f_{1}(x,y)}^{f_{2}(x,y)} dz \, dy \, dx.$$

3. V can be determined using iterated integration with other orders of integration (there are 6 total), as long as D is defined by the region enclosed by a pair of planes, a pair of cylinders, and a pair of surfaces.

We evaluated the area of a plane region *R* by iterated integration, where the bounds were "from curve to curve, then from point to point." Theorem 13.6.2 allows us to find the volume of a space region with an iterated integral with bounds "from surface to surface, then from curve to curve, then from point to point." In the iterated integral

$$\int_{a}^{b} \int_{g_{1}(x)}^{g_{2}(x)} \int_{f_{1}(x,y)}^{f_{2}(x,y)} dz \, dy \, dx,$$

the bounds $a \le x \le b$ and $g_1(x) \le y \le g_2(x)$ define a region *R* in the *x*-*y* plane over which the region *D* exists in space. However, these bounds are also defining surfaces in space; x = a is a plane and $y = g_1(x)$ is a cylinder. The combination of these 6 surfaces enclose, and define, *D*.

Examples will help us understand triple integration, including integrating with various orders of integration.

Example 13.6.2 Finding the volume of a space region with triple integration Find the volume of the space region in the first octant bounded by the plane z = 2 - y/3 - 2x/3, shown in Figure 13.6.3(a), using the order of integration dz dy dx. Set up the triple integrals that give the volume in the other 5 orders of integration.

SOLUTION Starting with the order of integration $dz \, dy \, dx$, we need to first find bounds on z. The region D is bounded below by the plane z = 0 (because we are restricted to the first octant) and above by z = 2 - y/3 - 2x/3; $0 \le z \le 2 - y/3 - 2x/3$.

To find the bounds on y and x, we "collapse" the region onto the x-y plane, giving the triangle shown in Figure 13.6.3(b). (We know the equation of the line y = 6 - 2x in two ways. First, by setting z = 0, we have $0 = 2 - y/3 - 2x/3 \Rightarrow y = 6 - 2x$. Secondly, we know this is going to be a straight line between the points (3, 0) and (0, 6) in the x-y plane.)

We define that region *R*, in the integration order of *dy dx*, with bounds $0 \le y \le 6 - 2x$ and $0 \le x \le 3$. Thus the volume *V* of the region *D* is:

$$V = \iiint_{D} dV$$

= $\int_{0}^{3} \int_{0}^{6-2x} \int_{0}^{2-\frac{1}{3}y-\frac{2}{3}x} dz \, dy \, dx$

Note: Example 13.6.2 uses the term "first octant." Recall how the *x*-, *y*- and *z*-axes divide space into eight *octants*; the octant in which *x*, *y* and *z* are all positive is called the *first octant*.




Figure 13.6.3: The region *D* used in Example 13.6.2 in (a); in (b), the region found by collapsing *D* onto the *x*-*y* plane.




Figure 13.6.4: The region D in Example 13.6.2 is collapsed onto the *y*-*z* plane in (a); in (b), the region is collapsed onto the *x*-*z* plane.

$$= \int_{0}^{3} \int_{0}^{6-2x} \left(\int_{0}^{2-\frac{1}{3}y-\frac{2}{3}x} dz \right) dy dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{3} \int_{0}^{6-2x} z \Big|_{0}^{2-\frac{1}{3}y-\frac{2}{3}x} dy dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{3} \int_{0}^{6-2x} \left(2 - \frac{1}{3}y - \frac{2}{3}x \right) dy dx.$$

From this step on, we are evaluating a double integral as done many times before. We skip these steps and give the final volume,

$$= 6u^{3}$$
.

The order *dz dx dy*:

Now consider the volume using the order of integration $dz \, dx \, dy$. The bounds on z are the same as before, $0 \le z \le 2-y/3-2x/3$. Collapsing the space region on the x-y plane as shown in Figure 13.6.3(b), we now describe this triangle with the order of integration $dx \, dy$. This gives bounds $0 \le x \le 3-y/2$ and $0 \le y \le 6$. Thus the volume is given by the triple integral

$$V = \int_0^6 \int_0^{3-\frac{1}{2}y} \int_0^{2-\frac{1}{3}y-\frac{2}{3}x} dz \, dx \, dy.$$

The order dx dy dz:

Following our "surface to surface..." strategy, we need to determine the *x*-surfaces that bound our space region. To do so, approach the region "from behind," in the direction of increasing *x*. The first surface we hit as we enter the region is the *y*-*z* plane, defined by x = 0. We come out of the region at the plane z = 2 - y/3 - 2x/3; solving for *x*, we have x = 3 - y/2 - 3z/2. Thus the bounds on *x* are: $0 \le x \le 3 - y/2 - 3z/2$.

Now collapse the space region onto the y-z plane, as shown in Figure 13.6.4(a). (Again, we find the equation of the line z = 2 - y/3 by setting x = 0 in the equation x = 3 - y/2 - 3z/2.) We need to find bounds on this region with the order dy dz. The curves that bound y are y = 0 and y = 6 - 3z; the points that bound z are 0 and 2. Thus the triple integral giving volume is:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \le x \le 3 - y/2 - 3z/2 \\ 0 \le y \le 6 - 3z \\ 0 \le z \le 2 \end{array} \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{6 - 3z} \int_{0}^{3 - y/2 - 3z/2} dx \, dy \, dz$$

The order dx dz dy:

The *x*-bounds are the same as the order above. We now consider the triangle in Figure 13.6.4(a) and describe it with the order *dz dy*: $0 \le z \le 2 - y/3$ and $0 \le y \le 6$. Thus the volume is given by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \le x \le 3 - y/2 - 3z/2 \\ 0 \le z \le 2 - y/3 \\ 0 \le y \le 6 \end{array} \Rightarrow \int_0^6 \int_0^{2 - y/3} \int_0^{3 - y/2 - 3z/2} dx \, dz \, dy.$$

The order dy dz dx:

We now need to determine the *y*-surfaces that determine our region. Approaching the space region from "behind" and moving in the direction of increasing *y*, we first enter the region at y = 0, and exit along the plane z = 2 - y/3 - 2x/3. Solving for *y*, this plane has equation y = 6 - 2x - 3z. Thus *y* has bounds $0 \le y \le 6 - 2x - 3z$.

Now collapse the region onto the *x*-*z* plane, as shown in Figure 13.6.4(b). The curves bounding this triangle are z = 0 and z = 2 - 2x/3; *x* is bounded by the points x = 0 to x = 3. Thus the triple integral giving volume is:

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0 \leq y \leq 6 - 2x - 3z \\ 0 \leq z \leq 2 - 2x/3 \\ 0 \leq x \leq 3 \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_0^3 \int_0^{2 - 2x/3} \int_0^{6 - 2x - 3z} dy \, dz \, dx. \end{array}$$

The order dy dx dz:

The *y*-bounds are the same as in the order above. We now determine the bounds of the triangle in Figure 13.6.4(b) using the order dy dx dz. *x* is bounded by x = 0 and x = 3 - 3z/2; *z* is bounded between z = 0 and z = 2. This leads to the triple integral:

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0 \leq y \leq 6 - 2x - 3z \\ 0 \leq x \leq 3 - 3z/2 \\ 0 \leq z \leq 2 \end{array} \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{3 - 3z/2} \int_{0}^{6 - 2x - 3z} dy \, dx \, dz. \end{array}$$

This problem was long, but hopefully useful, demonstrating how to determine bounds with every order of integration to describe the region *D*. In practice, we only need 1, but being able to do them all gives us flexibility to choose the order that suits us best.






Figure 13.6.5: Finding the projections of the curve of intersection in Example 13.6.3.

In the previous example, we collapsed the surface into the *x*-*y*, *x*-*z*, and *y*-*z* planes as we determined the "curve to curve, point to point" bounds of integration. Since the surface was a triangular portion of a plane, this collapsing, or *projecting*, was simple: the *projection* of a straight line in space onto a coordinate plane is a line.

The following example shows us how to do this when dealing with more complicated surfaces and curves.

Example 13.6.3 Finding the projection of a curve in space onto the coordinate planes

Consider the surfaces $z = 3 - x^2 - y^2$ and z = 2y, as shown in Figure 13.6.5(a). The curve of their intersection is shown, along with the projection of this curve into the coordinate planes, shown dashed. Find the equations of the projections into the coordinate planes.

SOLUTION The two surfaces are $z = 3 - x^2 - y^2$ and z = 2y. To find where they intersect, it is natural to set them equal to each other: $3 - x^2 - y^2 = 2y$. This is an implicit function of x and y that gives all points (x, y) in the x-y plane where the z values of the two surfaces are equal.

We can rewrite this implicit function by completing the square:

$$3 - x^2 - y^2 = 2y \Rightarrow y^2 + 2y + x^2 = 3 \Rightarrow (y+1)^2 + x^2 = 4$$

Thus in the *x*-*y* plane the projection of the intersection is a circle with radius 2, centered at (0, -1).

To project onto the x-z plane, we do a similar procedure: find the x and z values where the y values on the surface are the same. We start by solving the equation of each surface for y. In this particular case, it works well to actually solve for y^2 :

$$z = 3 - x^2 - y^2 \Rightarrow y^2 = 3 - x^2 - z$$

$$z = 2y \Rightarrow y^2 = z^2/4.$$

Thus we have (after again completing the square):

$$3-x^2-z=z^2/4$$
 \Rightarrow $\frac{(z+2)^2}{16}+\frac{x^2}{4}=1,$

and ellipse centered at (0, -2) in the *x*-*z* plane with a major axis of length 8 and a minor axis of length 4.

Finally, to project the curve of intersection into the *y*-*z* plane, we solve equation for *x*. Since z = 2y is a cylinder that lacks the variable *x*, it becomes our equation of the projection in the *y*-*z* plane.

All three projections are shown in Figure 13.6.5(b).

Example 13.6.4 Finding the volume of a space region with triple integration Set up the triple integrals that find the volume of the space region *D* bounded by the surfaces $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, z = 0 and z = -y, as shown in Figure 13.6.6(a), with the orders of integration dz dy dx, dy dx dz and dx dz dy.

SOLUTION The order *dz dy dx*:

The region *D* is bounded below by the plane z = 0 and above by the plane z = -y. The cylinder $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ does not offer any bounds in the z-direction, as that surface is parallel to the z-axis. Thus $0 \le z \le -y$.

Collapsing the region into the *x*-*y* plane, we get part of the disk bounded by the circle with equation $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ as shown in Figure 13.6.6(b). As a function of *x*, this half circle has equation $y = -\sqrt{1-x^2}$. Thus *y* is bounded below by $-\sqrt{1-x^2}$ and above by y = 0: $-\sqrt{1-x^2} \le y \le 0$. The *x* bounds of the half circle are $-1 \le x \le 1$. All together, the bounds of integration and triple integral are as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0 \leq z \leq -y \\ -\sqrt{1-x^2} \leq y \leq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-\sqrt{1-x^2}}^0 \int_0^{-y} dz \, dy \, dx. \\ -1 \leq x \leq 1 \end{array}$$

We evaluate this triple integral:

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-\sqrt{1-x^2}}^{0} \int_{0}^{-y} dz \, dy \, dx = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-\sqrt{1-x^2}}^{0} (-y) \, dy \, dx$$
$$= \int_{-1}^{1} \left(-\frac{1}{2} y^2 \right) \Big|_{-\sqrt{1-x^2}}^{0} dx$$
$$= \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{2} (1-x^2) \, dx$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(x - \frac{1}{3} x^3 \right) \right) \Big|_{-1}^{1}$$
$$= \frac{2}{3} \text{units}^3.$$




Figure 13.6.6: The region *D* in Example 13.6.4 is shown in (a); in (b), it is collapsed onto the *x*-*y* plane.

With the order *dy dx dz*:

The region is bounded "below" in the *y*-direction by the surface $x^2 + y^2 = 1 \Rightarrow y = -\sqrt{1-x^2}$ and "above" by the surface y = -z. Thus the *y* bounds are $-\sqrt{1-x^2} \le y \le -z$.

Collapsing the region onto the x-z plane gives the region shown in Figure 13.6.7(a); this half disk is bounded by z = 0 and $x^2 + z^2 = 1$. (We find this curve




Figure 13.6.7: The region D in Example 13.6.4 is shown collapsed onto the x-z plane in (a); in (b), it is collapsed onto the y-z plane.

by solving each surface for y^2 , then setting them equal to each other. We have $y^2 = 1 - x^2$ and $y = -z \Rightarrow y^2 = z^2$. Thus $x^2 + z^2 = 1$.) It is bounded below by $x = -\sqrt{1 - z^2}$ and above by $x = \sqrt{1 - z^2}$, where z is bounded by $0 \le z \le 1$. All together, we have:

$$\begin{array}{ll} -\sqrt{1-x^2} \le y \le -z \\ -\sqrt{1-z^2} \le x \le \sqrt{1-z^2} \\ 0 \le z \le 1 \end{array} \Rightarrow \int_0^1 \int_{-\sqrt{1-z^2}}^{\sqrt{1-z^2}} \int_{-\sqrt{1-x^2}}^{-z} dy \, dx \, dz.$$

With the order *dx dz dy*:

D is bounded below by the surface $x = -\sqrt{1-y^2}$ and above by $\sqrt{1-y^2}$. We then collapse the region onto the *y*-*z* plane and get the triangle shown in Figure 13.6.7(b). (The hypotenuse is the line z = -y, just as the plane.) Thus *z* is bounded by $0 \le z \le -y$ and *y* is bounded by $-1 \le y \le 0$. This gives:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} -\sqrt{1-y^2} \le x \le \sqrt{1-y^2} \\ 0 \le z \le -y \\ -1 \le y \le 0 \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_{-1}^0 \int_0^{-y} \int_{-\sqrt{1-y^2}}^{\sqrt{1-y^2}} dx \, dz \, dy.$$

The following theorem states two things that should make "common sense" to us. First, using the triple integral to find volume of a region *D* should always return a positive number; we are computing *volume* here, not *signed volume*. Secondly, to compute the volume of a "complicated" region, we could break it up into subregions and compute the volumes of each subregion separately, summing them later to find the total volume.

Theorem 13.6.3 Properties of Triple Integrals

Let *D* be a closed, bounded region in space, and let D_1 and D_2 be nonoverlapping regions such that $D = D_1 \bigcup D_2$.

1.
$$\iiint_{D} dV \ge 0$$

2. $\iiint_{D} dV = \iiint_{D_{1}} dV + \iiint_{D_{2}} dV.$

We use this latter property in the next example.

Example 13.6.5 Finding the volume of a space region with triple integration Find the volume of the space region *D* bounded by the coordinate planes, z = 1 - x/2 and z = 1 - y/4, as shown in Figure 13.6.8(a). Set up the triple integrals that find the volume of *D* in all 6 orders of integration.

SOLUTION Following the bounds-determining strategy of "surface to surface, curve to curve, and point to point," we can see that the most difficult orders of integration are the two in which we integrate with respect to z first, for there are two "upper" surfaces that bound D in the z-direction. So we start by noting that we have

$$0 \leq z \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2}x$$
 and $0 \leq z \leq 1 - \frac{1}{4}y$.

We now collapse the region *D* onto the *x*-*y* axis, as shown in Figure 13.6.8(b). The boundary of *D*, the line from (0, 0, 1) to (2, 4, 0), is shown in part (b) of the figure as a dashed line; it has equation y = 2x. (We can recognize this in two ways: one, in collapsing the line from (0, 0, 1) to (2, 4, 0) onto the *x*-*y* plane, we simply ignore the *z*-values, meaning the line now goes from (0, 0) to (2, 4). Secondly, the two surfaces meet where z = 1 - x/2 is equal to z = 1 - y/4: thus $1 - x/2 = 1 - y/4 \Rightarrow y = 2x$.)

We use the second property of Theorem 13.6.3 to state that

$$\iiint_{D} dV = \iiint_{D_1} dV + \iiint_{D_2} dV,$$

where D_1 and D_2 are the space regions above the plane regions R_1 and R_2 , respectively. Thus we can say

$$\iiint_D dV = \iint_{R_1} \left(\int_0^{1-x/2} dz \right) dA + \iint_{R_2} \left(\int_0^{1-y/4} dz \right) dA.$$

All that is left is to determine bounds of R_1 and R_2 , depending on whether we are integrating with order dx dy or dy dx. We give the final integrals here, leaving it to the reader to confirm these results.

dz dy dx:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
0 \le z \le 1 - x/2 & 0 \le z \le 1 - y/4 \\
0 \le y \le 2x & 2x \le y \le 4 \\
0 \le x \le 2 & 0 \le x \le 2
\end{array}$$

$$\iiint_{D} dV = \int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{2x} \int_{0}^{1 - x/2} dz \, dy \, dx + \int_{0}^{2} \int_{2x}^{4} \int_{0}^{1 - y/4} dz \, dy \, dx$$




Figure 13.6.8: The region *D* in Example 13.6.5 is shown in (a); in (b), it is collapsed onto the *x*-*y* plane.




Figure 13.6.9: The region D in Example 13.6.5 is shown collapsed onto the x-z plane in (a); in (b), it is collapsed onto the y-z plane.

dz dx dy:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
0 \leq z \leq 1 - x/2 & 0 \leq z \leq 1 - y/4 \\
y/2 \leq x \leq 2 & 0 \leq x \leq y/2 \\
0 \leq y \leq 4 & 0 \leq y \leq 4
\end{array}$$

$$\iiint_{D} dV = \int_{0}^{4} \int_{y/2}^{2} \int_{0}^{1 - x/2} dz \, dx \, dy + \int_{0}^{4} \int_{0}^{y/2} \int_{0}^{1 - y/4} dz \, dx \, dy$$

The remaining four orders of integration do not require a sum of triple integrals. In Figure 13.6.9 we show *D* collapsed onto the other two coordinate planes. Using these graphs, we give the final orders of integration here, again leaving it to the reader to confirm these results.

dy dx dz:

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 \leq y \leq 4 - 4z \\ 0 \leq x \leq 2 - 2z \\ 0 \leq z \leq 1 \end{array} \Rightarrow \int_0^1 \int_0^{2 - 2z} \int_0^{4 - 4z} dy \, dx \, dz$$

dy dz dx:

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \le y \le 4 - 4z \\ 0 \le z \le 1 - x/2 \\ 0 \le x \le 2 \end{array} \Rightarrow \int_0^2 \int_0^{1 - x/2} \int_0^{4 - 4z} dy \, dx \, dz$$

dx dy dz:

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq x \leq 2 - 2z \\ 0 \leq y \leq 4 - 4z \\ 0 \leq z \leq 1 \end{array} \Rightarrow \int_0^1 \int_0^{4 - 4z} \int_0^{2 - 2z} dx \, dy \, dz$$

dx dz dy:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \le x \le 2 - 2z \\ 0 \le z \le 1 - y/4 \\ 0 \le y \le 4 \end{array} \implies \int_0^4 \int_0^{1 - y/4} \int_0^{2 - 2z} dx \, dz \, dy$$

We give one more example of finding the volume of a space region.

Example 13.6.6 Finding the volume of a space region

Set up a triple integral that gives the volume of the space region *D* bounded by $z = 2x^2 + 2$ and $z = 6 - 2x^2 - y^2$. These surfaces are plotted in Figure 13.6.10(a) and (b), respectively; the region *D* is shown in part (c) of the figure.

SOLUTION The main point of this example is this: integrating with respect to *z* first is rather straightforward; integrating with respect to *x* first is not.

The order *dz dy dx*:

The bounds on z are clearly $2x^2 + 2 \le z \le 6 - 2x^2 - y^2$. Collapsing D onto the x-y plane gives the ellipse shown in Figure 13.6.10(c). The equation of this ellipse is found by setting the two surfaces equal to each other:

$$2x^{2} + 2 = 6 - 2x^{2} - y^{2} \Rightarrow 4x^{2} + y^{2} = 4 \Rightarrow x^{2} + \frac{y^{2}}{4} = 1.$$

We can describe this ellipse with the bounds

$$-\sqrt{4-4x^2} \le y \le \sqrt{4-4x^2}$$
 and $-1 \le x \le 1$.

Thus we find volume as

$$\begin{array}{l} 2x^2 + 2 \leq z \leq 6 - 2x^2 - y^2 \\ -\sqrt{4 - 4x^2} \leq y \leq \sqrt{4 - 4x^2} \\ -1 \leq x \leq 1 \end{array} \Rightarrow \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-\sqrt{4 - 4x^2}}^{\sqrt{4 - 4x^2}} \int_{2x^2 + 2}^{6 - 2x^2 - y^2} dz \, dy \, dx \ . \end{array}$$

The order dy dz dx:

Integrating with respect to y is not too difficult. Since the surface $z = 2x^2 + 2$ is a cylinder whose directrix is the y-axis, it does not create a border for y. The paraboloid $z = 6 - 2x^2 - y^2$ does; solving for y, we get the bounds

 $-\sqrt{6-2x^2-z} \le y \le \sqrt{6-2x^2-z}.$

Collapsing *D* onto the *x*-*z* axes gives the region shown in Figure 13.6.11(a); the lower curve is from the cylinder, with equation $z = 2x^2 + 2$. The upper curve is from the paraboloid; with y = 0, the curve is $z = 6 - 2x^2$. Thus bounds on *z* are $2x^2 + 2 \le z \le 6 - 2x^2$; the bounds on *x* are $-1 \le x \le 1$. Thus we have:

$$\begin{array}{l} -\sqrt{6-2x^2-z} \le y \le \sqrt{6-2x^2-z} \\ 2x^2+2 \le z \le 6-2x^2 \\ -1 \le x \le 1 \end{array} \Rightarrow \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{2x^2+2}^{6-2x^2} \int_{-\sqrt{6-2x^2-z}}^{\sqrt{6-2x^2-z}} dy \, dz \, dx. \end{array}$$




Figure 13.6.10: The region *D* is bounded by the surfaces shown in (a) and (b); *D* is shown in (c).




Figure 13.6.11: The region D in Example 13.6.6 is collapsed onto the *x*-*z* plane in (a); in (b), it is collapsed onto the *y*-*z* plane.

The order *dx dz dy*:

This order takes more effort as D must be split into two subregions. The two surfaces create two sets of upper/lower bounds in terms of x; the cylinder creates bounds

$$-\sqrt{z/2}-1 \leq x \leq \sqrt{z/2}-1$$

for region D_1 and the paraboloid creates bounds

$$\sqrt{3-y^2/2-z^2/2} \le x \le \sqrt{3-y^2/2-z^2/2}$$

for region D_2 .

Collapsing *D* onto the *y*-*z* axes gives the regions shown in Figure 13.6.11(b). We find the equation of the curve $z = 4 - y^2/2$ by noting that the equation of the ellipse seen in Figure 13.6.10(c) has equation

$$x^2 + y^2/4 = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x = \sqrt{1 - y^2/4}$$

Substitute this expression for x in either surface equation, $z = 6 - 2x^2 - y^2$ or $z = 2x^2 + 2$. In both cases, we find

$$z=4-\frac{1}{2}y^2.$$

Region R_1 , corresponding to D_1 , has bounds

$$2 \le z \le 4 - y^2/2, \quad -2 \le y \le 2$$

and region R_2 , corresponding to D_2 , has bounds

$$4 - y^2/2 \le z \le 6 - y^2, \quad -2 \le y \le 2.$$

Thus the volume of D is given by:

$$\int_{-2}^{2} \int_{2}^{4-y^{2}/2} \int_{-\sqrt{z/2-1}}^{\sqrt{z/2-1}} dx \, dz \, dy \, + \, \int_{-2}^{2} \int_{4-y^{2}/2}^{6-y^{2}} \int_{-\sqrt{3-y^{2}/2-z^{2}/2}}^{\sqrt{3-y^{2}/2-z^{2}/2}} dx \, dz \, dy.$$

If all one wanted to do in Example 13.6.6 was find the volume of the region *D*, one would have likely stopped at the first integration setup (with order dz dy dx) and computed the volume from there. However, we included the other two methods 1) to show that it could be done, "messy" or not, and 2) because

sometimes we "have" to use a less desirable order of integration in order to actually integrate.

Triple Integration and Functions of Three Variables

There are uses for triple integration beyond merely finding volume, just as there are uses for integration beyond "area under the curve." These uses start with understanding how to integrate functions of three variables, which is effectively no different than integrating functions of two variables. This leads us to a definition, followed by an example.

Definition 13.6.2 Iterated Integration, (Part II)

Let *D* be a closed, bounded region in space, over which $g_1(x)$, $g_2(x)$, $f_1(x, y)$, $f_2(x, y)$ and h(x, y, z) are all continuous, and let *a* and *b* be real numbers.

The **iterated integral** $\int_{a}^{b} \int_{g_{1}(x)}^{g_{2}(x)} \int_{f_{1}(x,y)}^{f_{2}(x,y)} h(x, y, z) \, dz \, dy \, dx$ is evaluated as $\int_{a}^{b} \int_{g_{1}(x)}^{g_{2}(x)} \int_{f_{1}(x,y)}^{f_{2}(x,y)} h(x, y, z) \, dz \, dy \, dx = \int_{a}^{b} \int_{g_{1}(x)}^{g_{2}(x)} \left(\int_{f_{1}(x,y)}^{f_{2}(x,y)} h(x, y, z) \, dz \right) dy \, dx.$

Example 13.6.7 Evaluating a triple integral of a function of three variables Evaluate $\int_0^1 \int_{x^2}^x \int_{x^2-y}^{2x+3y} (xy+2xz) dz dy dx.$

SOLUTION We evaluate this integral according to Definition 13.6.2.

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{x^{2}}^{x} \int_{x^{2}-y}^{2x+3y} (xy+2xz) dz dy dx$$

= $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{x^{2}}^{x} \left(\int_{x^{2}-y}^{2x+3y} (xy+2xz) dz \right) dy dx$
= $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{x^{2}}^{x} \left((xyz+xz^{2}) \Big|_{x^{2}-y}^{2x+3y} \right) dy dx$
= $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{x^{2}}^{x} \left(xy(2x+3y) + x(2x+3y)^{2} - \left(xy(x^{2}-y) + x(x^{2}-y)^{2} \right) \right) dy dx$
= $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{x^{2}}^{x} \left(-x^{5} + x^{3}y + 4x^{3} + 14x^{2}y + 12xy^{2} \right) dy dx.$

We continue as we have in the past, showing fewer steps.

$$= \int_0^1 \left(-\frac{7}{2}x^7 - 8x^6 - \frac{7}{2}x^5 + 15x^4 \right) dx$$
$$= \frac{281}{336} \approx 0.836.$$

We now know how to evaluate a triple integral of a function of three variables; we do not yet understand what it *means*. We build up this understanding in a way very similar to how we have understood integration and double integration.

Let h(x, y, z) be a continuous function of three variables, defined over some space region *D*. We can partition *D* into *n* rectangular–solid subregions, each with dimensions $\Delta x_i \times \Delta y_i \times \Delta z_i$. Let (x_i, y_i, z_i) be some point in the *i*th subregion, and consider the product $h(x_i, y_i, z_i)\Delta x_i\Delta y_i\Delta z_i$. It is the product of a function value (that's the $h(x_i, y_i, z_i)$ part) and a small volume ΔV_i (that's the $\Delta x_i\Delta y_i\Delta z_i$ part). One of the simplest understanding of this type of product is when *h* describes the density of an object, for then $h \times$ volume = mass.

We can sum up all *n* products over *D*. Again letting $||\Delta D||$ represent the length of the longest diagonal of the *n* rectangular solids in the partition, we can take the limit of the sums of products as $||\Delta D|| \rightarrow 0$. That is, we can find

$$S = \lim_{||\Delta D|| \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^n h(x_i, y_i, z_i) \Delta V_i = \lim_{||\Delta D|| \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^n h(x_i, y_i, z_i) \Delta x_i \Delta y_i \Delta z_i.$$

While this limit has lots of interpretations depending on the function h, in the case where h describes density, S is the total mass of the object described by the region D.

We now use the above limit to define the **triple integral**, give a theorem that relates triple integrals to iterated iteration, followed by the application of triple integrals to find the centers of mass of solid objects.

Definition 13.6.3 Triple Integral

Let w = h(x, y, z) be a continuous function over a closed, bounded region *D* in space, and let ΔD be any partition of *D* into *n* rectangular solids with volume ΔV_i . The **triple integral of** *h* **over** *D* is

$$\iiint_D h(x, y, z) \, dV = \lim_{||\Delta D|| \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^n h(x_i, y_i, z_i) \Delta V_i.$$

The following theorem assures us that the above limit exists for continuous functions h and gives us a method of evaluating the limit.

Theorem 13.6.4 Triple Integration (Part II)

Let w = h(x, y, z) be a continuous function over a closed, bounded region *D* in space, and let ΔD be any partition of *D* into *n* rectangular solids with volume V_i .

1. The limit
$$\lim_{||\Delta D|| \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(x_i, y_i, z_i) \Delta V_i$$
 exists.

2. If *D* is defined as the region bounded by the planes x = a and x = b, the cylinders $y = g_1(x)$ and $y = g_2(x)$, and the surfaces $z = f_1(x, y)$ and $z = f_2(x, y)$, where a < b, $g_1(x) \le g_2(x)$ and $f_1(x, y) \le f_2(x, y)$ on *D*, then

$$\iiint_{D} h(x,y,z) \, dV = \int_{a}^{b} \int_{g_{1}(x)}^{g_{2}(x)} \int_{f_{1}(x,y)}^{f_{2}(x,y)} h(x,y,z) \, dz \, dy \, dx.$$

Note: In the marginal note on page 770, we showed how the summation of rectangles over a region *R* in the plane could be viewed as a double sum, leading to the double integral. Likewise, we can view the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} h(x_i, y_i, z_i) \Delta x_i \Delta y_i \Delta z_i$$

as a triple sum,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{m}h(x_{i},y_{j},z_{k})\Delta x_{i}\Delta y_{j}\Delta z_{k},$$

which we evaluate as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} h(x_i, y_j, z_k) \Delta x_i \right) \Delta y_j \right) \Delta z_k.$$

Here we fix a *k* value, which establishes the *z*-height of the rectangular solids on one "level" of all the rectangular solids in the space region *D*. The inner double summation adds up all the volumes of the rectangular solids on this level, while the outer summation adds up the volumes of each level.

This triple summation understanding leads to the $\int \int \int_D$ notation of the triple integral, as well as the method of evaluation shown in Theorem 13.6.4.

We now apply triple integration to find the centers of mass of solid objects.

Mass and Center of Mass

One may wish to review Section 13.4 for a reminder of the relevant terms and concepts.

Definition 13.6.4 Mass, Center of Mass of Solids

Let a solid be represented by a closed, bounded region *D* in space with variable density function $\delta(x, y, z)$.


- 1. The **mass** of the object is $M = \iiint_D dm = \iiint_D \delta(x, y, z) dV$.
- 2. The moment about the *y*-*z* plane is $M_{yz} = \iiint_D x \delta(x, y, z) \, dV$.
- 3. The moment about the *x*-*z* plane is $M_{xz} = \iiint_D y \delta(x, y, z) dV$.
- 4. The moment about the *x*-*y* plane is $M_{xy} = \iiint_D z \delta(x, y, z) \, dV$.
- 5. The center of mass of the object is


$$\left(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}\right) = \left(\frac{M_{yz}}{M}, \frac{M_{xz}}{M}, \frac{M_{xy}}{M}\right).$$

Example 13.6.8 Finding the center of mass of a solid

Find the mass and center of mass of the solid represented by the space region bounded by the coordinate planes and z = 2 - y/3 - 2x/3, shown in Figure 13.6.12, with constant density $\delta(x, y, z) = 3$ gm/cm³. (Note: this space region was used in Example 13.6.2.)

SOLUTION We apply Definition 13.6.4. In Example 13.6.2, we found bounds for the order of integration $dz \, dy \, dx$ to be $0 \le z \le 2 - y/3 - 2x/3$,





 $0 \le y \le 6 - 2x$ and $0 \le x \le 3$. We find the mass of the object:

$$M = \iiint_{D} \delta(x, y, z) \, dV$$

= $\int_{0}^{3} \int_{0}^{6-2x} \int_{0}^{2-y/3-2x/3} (3) \, dz \, dy \, dx$
= $3 \int_{0}^{3} \int_{0}^{6-2x} \int_{0}^{2-y/3-2x/3} \, dz \, dy \, dx$
= $3(6) = 18$ gm.

The evaluation of the triple integral is done in Example 13.6.2, so we skipped those steps above. Note how the mass of an object with constant density is simply "density×volume."

We now find the moments about the planes.

$$M_{xy} = \iiint_{D} 3z \, dV$$


= $\int_{0}^{3} \int_{0}^{6-2x} \int_{0}^{2-y/3-2x/3} (3z) \, dz \, dy \, dx$
= $\int_{0}^{3} \int_{0}^{6-2x} \frac{3}{2} (2-y/3-2x/3)^{2} \, dy \, dx$
= $\int_{0}^{3} -\frac{4}{9} (x-3)^{3} \, dx$
= 9.


We omit the steps of integrating to find the other moments.

$$M_{yz} = \iiint_D 3x \, dV$$
$$= \frac{27}{2}.$$
$$M_{xz} = \iiint_D 3y \, dV$$
$$= 27.$$

The center of mass is

$$\left(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}\right) = \left(\frac{27/2}{18}, \frac{27}{18}, \frac{9}{18}\right) = (0.75, 1.5, 0.5).$$





Example 13.6.9 Finding the center of mass of a solid

Find the center of mass of the solid represented by the region bounded by the planes z = 0 and z = -y and the cylinder $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, shown in Figure 13.6.13, with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = 10 + x^2 + 5y - 5z$. (Note: this space region was used in Example 13.6.4.)

SOLUTION As we start, consider the density function. It is symmetric about the *y*-*z* plane, and the farther one moves from this plane, the denser the object is. The symmetry indicates that \bar{x} should be 0.

As one moves away from the origin in the *y* or *z* directions, the object becomes less dense, though there is more volume in these regions.

Though none of the integrals needed to compute the center of mass are particularly hard, they do require a number of steps. We emphasize here the importance of knowing how to set up the proper integrals; in complex situations we can appeal to technology for a good approximation, if not the exact answer. We use the order of integration $dz \, dy \, dx$, using the bounds found in Example 13.6.4. (As these are the same for all four triple integrals, we explicitly show the bounds only for *M*.)

$$M = \iiint_{D} (10 + x^{2} + 5y - 5z) \, dV$$

$$= \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}^{0} \int_{0}^{-y} (10 + x^{2} + 5y - 5z) \, dV$$

$$= \frac{64}{5} - \frac{15\pi}{16} \approx 3.855.$$

$$M_{yz} = \iiint_{D} x (10 + x^{2} + 5y - 5z) \, dV$$

$$= 0.$$

$$M_{xz} = \iiint_{D} y (10 + x^{2} + 5y - 5z) \, dV$$

$$= 2 - \frac{61\pi}{48} \approx -1.99.$$

$$M_{xy} = \iiint_{D} z (10 + x^{2} + 5y - 5z) \, dV$$

$$= \frac{61\pi}{96} - \frac{10}{9} \approx 0.885.$$

Note how $M_{yz} = 0$, as expected. The center of mass is

$$\left(\bar{x},\bar{y},\bar{z}\right) = \left(0,\frac{-1.99}{3.855},\frac{0.885}{3.855}\right) \approx \left(0,-0.516,0.230\right)$$

As stated before, there are many uses for triple integration beyond finding volume. When h(x, y, z) describes a rate of change function over some space region *D*, then $\iiint_D h(x, y, z) \, dV$ gives the total change over *D*. Our one specific example of this was computing mass; a density function is simply a "rate of mass change per volume" function. Integrating density gives total mass.

While knowing *how to integrate* is important, it is arguably much more important to know *how to set up* integrals. It takes skill to create a formula that describes a desired quantity; modern technology is very useful in evaluating these formulas quickly and accurately.

In the next section, we learn about two new coordinate systems (each related to polar coordinates) that allow us to integrate over closed regions in space more easily than when using rectangular coordinates.

Exercises 13.6

Terms and Concepts

- 1. The strategy for establishing bounds for triple integrals is "______ to _____, _____ to _____ and _____."
- 2. Give an informal interpretation of what " $\iiint_D dV$ " means.
- 3. Give two uses of triple integration.
- 4. If an object has a constant density δ and a volume V, what is its mass?

Problems


In Exercises 5 – 8, two surfaces $f_1(x, y)$ and $f_2(x, y)$ and a region R in the x, y plane are given. Set up and evaluate the double integral that finds the volume between these surfaces over R.

- 5. $f_1(x, y) = 8 x^2 y^2$, $f_2(x, y) = 2x + y$; *R* is the square with corners (-1, -1) and (1, 1).
- 6. $f_1(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$, $f_2(x, y) = -x^2 y^2$; *R* is the square with corners (0, 0) and (2, 3).
- 7. $f_1(x, y) = \sin x \cos y, f_2(x, y) = \cos x \sin y + 2;$ *R* is the triangle with corners (0, 0), (π , 0) and (π , π).
- 8. $f_1(x, y) = 2x^2 + 2y^2 + 3$, $f_2(x, y) = 6 x^2 y^2$; *R* is the disk bounded by $x^2 + y^2 = 1$.

In Exercises 9 - 16, a domain *D* is described by its bounding surfaces, along with a graph. Set up the triple integrals that give the volume of *D* in all 6 orders of integration, and find the volume of *D* by evaluating the indicated triple integral.


9. *D* is bounded by the coordinate planes and z = 2 - 2x/3 - 2y.

Evaluate the triple integral with order dz dy dx.




10. *D* is bounded by the planes y = 0, y = 2, x = 1, z = 0 and z = (3 - x)/2.

Evaluate the triple integral with order *dx dy dz*.




11. *D* is bounded by the planes x = 0, x = 2, z = -y and by $z = y^2/2$.

Evaluate the triple integral with the order *dy dz dx*.




12. *D* is bounded by the planes z = 0, y = 9, x = 0 and by $z = \sqrt{y^2 - 9x^2}$.

Do not evaluate any triple integral.




13. *D* is bounded by the planes x = 2, y = 1, z = 0 and z=2x+4y-4.

Evaluate the triple integral with the order dx dy dz.




14. *D* is bounded by the plane z = 2y and by $y = 4 - x^2$.




15. *D* is bounded by the coordinate planes and by $y = 1 - x^2$ and $y = 1 - z^2$.

Do not evaluate any triple integral. Which order is easier to evaluate: dz dy dx or dy dz dx? Explain why.



16. *D* is bounded by the coordinate planes and by z = 1 - y/3 and z = 1 - x.

Evaluate the triple integral with order *dx dy dz*.



In Exercises 17 – 20, evaluate the triple integral.

17.
$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \left(\cos x \sin y \sin z\right) dz \, dy \, dx$$

18.
$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x+y} \left(x+y+z\right) dz \, dy \, dx$$

19.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{z} \left(\sin(yz)\right) dx \, dy \, dz$$

20.
$$\int_{\pi}^{\pi^{2}} \int_{x}^{x^{3}} \int_{-y^{2}}^{y^{2}} \left(z \frac{x^{2}y+y^{2}x}{e^{x^{2}+y^{2}}}\right) dz \, dy \, dx$$

In Exercises 21 – 24, find the center of mass of the solid represented by the indicated space region D with density function $\delta(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z})$.

- 21. *D* is bounded by the coordinate planes and $z = 2 - 2x/3 - 2y; \quad \delta(x, y, z) = 10 \text{gm/cm}^3.$ (Note: this is the same region as used in Exercise 9.)
- 22. *D* is bounded by the planes y = 0, y = 2, x = 1, z = 0 and $z = (3 - x)/2; \quad \delta(x, y, z) = 2 \text{gm/cm}^3.$ (Note: this is the same region as used in Exercise 10.)
- 23. *D* is bounded by the planes x = 2, y = 1, z = 0 and $z = 2x + 4y - 4; \quad \delta(x, y, z) = x^{2} lb/in^{3}.$ (Note: this is the same region as used in Exercise 13.)
- 24. *D* is bounded by the plane z = 2y and by $y = 4 x^2$. $\delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{y}^2 \mathrm{lb/in^3}.$ (Note: this is the same region as used in Exercise 14.)




Figure 13.7.1: Illustrating the principles behind cylindrical coordinates.

Note: Our rectangular to polar conversion formulas used $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$, allowing for negative *r* values. Since we now restrict $r \ge 0$, we can use $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$.

13.7 Triple Integration with Cylindrical and Spherical Coordinates

Just as polar coordinates gave us a new way of describing curves in the plane, in this section we will see how *cylindrical* and *spherical* coordinates give us new ways of desribing surfaces and regions in space.

Cylindrical Coordinates

In short, cylindrical coordinates can be thought of as a combination of the polar and rectangular coordinate systems. One can identify a point (x_0, y_0, z_0) , given in rectangular coordinates, with the point (r_0, θ_0, z_0) , given in cylindrical coordinates, where the *z*-value in both systems is the same, and the point (x_0, y_0) in the *x*-*y* plane is identified with the polar point $P(r_0, \theta_0)$; see Figure 13.7.1. So that each point in space that does not lie on the *z*-axis is defined uniquely, we will restrict $r \ge 0$ and $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$.

We use the identity z = z along with the identities found in Key Idea 9.4.1 to convert between the rectangular coordinate (x, y, z) and the cylindrical coordinate (r, θ, z) , namely:

From rectangular to cylindrical: $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$, $\tan \theta = y/x$ and z = z; From cylindrical to rectangular: $x = r \cos \theta$ $y = r \sin \theta$ and z = z.

These identities, along with conversions related to spherical coordinates, are given later in Key Idea 13.7.1.

Example 13.7.1 Converting between rectangular and cylindrical coordinates Convert the rectangular point (2, -2, 1) to cylindrical coordinates, and convert the cylindrical point $(4, 3\pi/4, 5)$ to rectangular.

SOLUTION Following the identities given above (and, later in Key Idea 13.7.1), we have $r = \sqrt{2^2 + (-2)^2} = 2\sqrt{2}$. Using $\tan \theta = y/x$, we find $\theta = \tan^{-1}(-2/2) = -\pi/4$. As we restrict θ to being between 0 and 2π , we set $\theta = 7\pi/4$. Finally, z = 1, giving the cylindrical point $(2\sqrt{2}, 7\pi/4, 1)$.

In converting the cylindrical point $(4, 3\pi/4, 5)$ to rectangular, we have $x = 4 \cos(3\pi/4) = -2\sqrt{2}$, $y = 4 \sin(3\pi/4) = 2\sqrt{2}$ and z = 5, giving the rectangular point $(-2\sqrt{2}, 2\sqrt{2}, 5)$.

Setting each of r, θ and z equal to a constant defines a surface in space, as illustrated in the following example.

Example 13.7.2 Canonical surfaces in cylindrical coordinates

Describe the surfaces r = 1, $\theta = \pi/3$ and z = 2, given in cylindrical coordinates.

SOLUTION The equation r = 1 describes all points in space that are 1 unit away from the *z*-axis. This surface is a "tube" or "cylinder" of radius 1, centered on the *z*-axis, as graphed in Figure 10.1.8 (which describes the cylinder $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ in space).

The equation $\theta = \pi/3$ describes the plane formed by extending the line $\theta = \pi/3$, as given by polar coordinates in the *x*-*y* plane, parallel to the *z*-axis.

The equation z = 2 describes the plane of all points in space that are 2 units above the *x*-*y* plane. This plane is the same as the plane described by z = 2 in rectangular coordinates.

All three surfaces are graphed in Figure 13.7.2. Note how their intersection uniquely defines the point $P = (1, \pi/3, 2)$.

Cylindrical coordinates are useful when describing certain domains in space, allowing us to evaluate triple integrals over these domains more easily than if we used rectangular coordinates.

Theorem 13.6.4 shows how to evaluate $\int \iint_D h(x, y, z) dV$ using rectangular coordinates. In that evaluation, we use dV = dz dy dx (or one of the other five orders of integration). Recall how, in this order of integration, the bounds on y are "curve to curve" and the bounds on x are "point to point": these bounds describe a region R in the x-y plane. We could describe R using polar coordinates as done in Section 13.3. In that section, we saw how we used $dA = r dr d\theta$ instead of dA = dy dx.

Considering the above thoughts, we have $dV = dz(r dr d\theta) = r dz dr d\theta$. We set bounds on z as "surface to surface" as done in the previous section, and then use "curve to curve" and "point to point" bounds on r and θ , respectively. Finally, using the identities given above, we change the integrand h(x, y, z) to $h(r, \theta, z)$.

This process should sound plausible; the following theorem states it is truly a way of evaluating a triple integral.

Theorem 13.7.1 Triple Integration in Cylindrical Coordinates

Let $w = h(r, \theta, z)$ be a continuous function on a closed, bounded region D in space, bounded in cylindrical coordinates by $\alpha \le \theta \le \beta$, $g_1(\theta) \le r \le g_2(\theta)$ and $f_1(r, \theta) \le z \le f_2(r, \theta)$. Then

$$\iiint_{D} h(r,\theta,z) \, dV = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \int_{g_{1}(\theta)}^{g_{2}(\theta)} \int_{f_{1}(r,\theta)}^{f_{2}(r,\theta)} h(r,\theta,z) r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta.$$




Figure 13.7.2: Graphing the canoncial surfaces in cylindrical coordinates from Example 13.7.2.




Figure 13.7.3: Visualizing the solid used in Example 13.7.3.




Figure 13.7.4: Visualizing the solid used in Example 13.7.4.

Example 13.7.3 Evaluating a triple integral with cylindrical coordinates Find the mass of the solid represented by the region in space bounded by z = 0, $z = \sqrt{4 - x^2 - y^2} + 3$ and the cylinder $x^2 + y^2 = 4$ (as shown in Figure 13.7.3), with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z + 1$, using a triple integral in cylindrical coordinates. Distances are measured in centimeters and density is measured in grams/cm³.

SOLUTION We begin by describing this region of space with cylindrical coordinates. The plane z = 0 is left unchanged; with the identity $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$, we convert the hemisphere of radius 2 to the equation $z = \sqrt{4 - r^2}$; the cylinder $x^2 + y^2 = 4$ is converted to $r^2 = 4$, or, more simply, r = 2. We also convert the density function: $\delta(r, \theta, z) = r^2 + z + 1$.

To describe this solid with the bounds of a triple integral, we bound *z* with $0 \le z \le \sqrt{4 - r^2} + 3$; we bound *r* with $0 \le r \le 2$; we bound θ with $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$.

Using Definition 13.6.4 and Theorem 13.7.1, we have the mass of the solid is

$$M = \iiint_{D} \delta(x, y, z) \, dV = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{4-r^{2}}+3} \left(r^{2}+z+1\right) r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2} \left((r^{3}+4r)\sqrt{4-r^{2}}+\frac{5}{2}r^{3}+\frac{19}{2}r\right) \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1318\pi}{15} \approx 276.04 \, \text{gm},$$

where we leave the details of the remaining double integral to the reader.

Example 13.7.4 Finding the center of mass using cylindrical coordinates

Find the center of mass of the solid with constant density whose base can be described by the polar curve $r = \cos(3\theta)$ and whose top is defined by the plane z = 1 - x + 0.1y, where distances are measured in feet, as seen in Figure 13.7.4. (The volume of this solid was found in Example 13.3.5.)

SOLUTION We convert the equation of the plane to use cylindrical coordinates: $z = 1 - r \cos \theta + 0.1r \sin \theta$. Thus the region is space is bounded by $0 \le z \le 1 - r \cos \theta + 0.1r \sin \theta$, $0 \le r \le \cos(3\theta)$, $0 \le \theta \le \pi$ (recall that the rose curve $r = \cos(3\theta)$ is traced out once on $[0, \pi]$.

Since density is constant, we set $\delta = 1$ and finding the mass is equivalent to finding the volume of the solid. We set up the triple integral to compute this but do not evaluate it; we leave it to the reader to confirm it evaluates to the same

result found in Example 13.3.5.

$$M = \iiint_{D} \delta \, dV = \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\cos(3\theta)} \int_{0}^{1 - r\cos\theta + 0.1r\sin\theta} r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta \approx 0.785$$

From Definition 13.6.4 we set up the triple integrals to compute the moments about the three coordinate planes. The computation of each is left to the reader (using technology is recommended):

$$M_{yz} = \iiint_D x \, dV = \int_0^\pi \int_0^{\cos(3\theta)} \int_0^{1-r\cos\theta + 0.1r\sin\theta} (r\cos\theta) r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= -0.147.$$

$$M_{xz} = \iiint_{D} y \, dV = \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\cos(3\theta)} \int_{0}^{1-r\cos\theta+0.1r\sin\theta} (r\sin\theta) r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= 0.015.$$
$$M_{xy} = \iiint_{D} z \, dV = \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\cos(3\theta)} \int_{0}^{1-r\cos\theta+0.1r\sin\theta} (z) r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= 0.467.$$

The center of mass, in rectangular coordinates, is located at (-0.147, 0.015, 0.467), which lies outside the bounds of the solid.

Spherical Coordinates

In short, spherical coordinates can be thought of as a "double application" of the polar coordinate system. In spherical coordinates, a point *P* is identified with (ρ, θ, φ) , where ρ is the distance from the origin to *P*, θ is the same angle as would be used to describe *P* in the cylindrical coordinate system, and φ is the angle between the positive *z*-axis and the ray from the origin to *P*; see Figure 13.7.5. So that each point in space that does not lie on the *z*-axis is defined uniquely, we will restrict $\rho \ge 0$, $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ and $0 \le \varphi \le \pi$.

The following Key Idea gives conversions to/from our three spatial coordinate systems.

 (ρ, θ, φ)

Figure 13.7.5: Illustrating the principles behind spherical coordinates.

Note: The symbol ρ is the Greek letter "rho." Traditionally it is used in the spherical coordinate system, while *r* is used in the polar and cylindrical coordinate systems.

Note: The role of θ and φ in spherical coordinates differs between mathematicians and physicists. When reading about physics in spherical coordinates, be careful to note how that particular author uses these variables and recognize that these identities will may no longer be valid.

Key Idea 13.7.1 Converting Between Rectangular, Cylindrical and Spherical Coordinates

Rectangular and Cylindrical

 $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$, $\tan \theta = y/x$, z = z $x = r \cos \theta$, $y = r \sin \theta$, z = z

Rectangular and Spherical

$$\rho = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}, \quad \tan \theta = y/x, \quad \cos \varphi = z/\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$$
$$x = \rho \sin \varphi \cos \theta, \quad y = \rho \sin \varphi \sin \theta, \quad z = \rho \cos \varphi$$

Cylindrical and Spherical

$$\begin{split} \rho &= \sqrt{r^2 + z^2}, \quad \theta = \theta, \quad \tan \varphi = r/z \\ r &= \rho \sin \varphi, \quad \theta = \theta, \quad z = \rho \cos \varphi \end{split}$$

Example 13.7.5 Converting between rectangular and spherical coordinates Convert the rectangular point (2, -2, 1) to spherical coordinates, and convert the spherical point $(6, \pi/3, \pi/2)$ to rectangular and cylindrical coordinates.

SOLUTION This rectangular point is the same as used in Example 13.7.1. Using Key Idea 13.7.1, we find $\rho = \sqrt{2^2 + (-1)^2 + 1^2} = 3$. Using the same logic as in Example 13.7.1, we find $\theta = 7\pi/4$. Finally, $\cos \varphi = 1/3$, giving $\varphi = \cos^{-1}(1/3) \approx 1.23$, or about 70.53°. Thus the spherical coordinates are approximately $(3, 7\pi/4, 1.23)$.

Converting the spherical point $(6, \pi/3, \pi/2)$ to rectangular, we have $x = 6\sin(\pi/2)\cos(\pi/3) = 3$, $y = 6\sin(\pi/2)\sin(\pi/3) = 3\sqrt{3}$ and $z = 6\cos(\pi/2) = 0$. Thus the rectangular coordinates are $(3, 3\sqrt{3}, 0)$.

To convert this spherical point to cylindrical, we have $r = 6 \sin(\pi/2) = 6$, $\theta = \pi/3$ and $z = 6 \cos(\pi/2) = 0$, giving the cylindrical point $(6, \pi/3, 0)$.

Example 13.7.6 Canonical surfaces in spherical coordinates

Describe the surfaces $\rho=$ 1, $\theta=\pi/{\rm 3}$ and $\varphi=\pi/{\rm 6},$ given in spherical coordinates.

SOLUTION The equation $\rho = 1$ describes all points in space that are 1 unit away from the origin: this is the sphere of radius 1, centered at the origin.

The equation $\theta = \pi/3$ describes the same surface in spherical coordinates as it does in cylindrical coordinates: beginning with the line $\theta = \pi/3$ in the *x*-*y* plane as given by polar coordinates, extend the line parallel to the *z*-axis, forming

a plane.

The equation $\varphi = \pi/6$ describes all points *P* in space where the ray from the origin to *P* makes an angle of $\pi/6$ with the positive *z*-axis. This describes a cone, with the positive *z*-axis its axis of symmetry, with point at the origin.

All three surfaces are graphed in Figure 13.7.6. Note how their intersection uniquely defines the point $P = (1, \pi/3, \pi/6)$.

Spherical coordinates are useful when describing certain domains in space, allowing us to evaluate triple integrals over these domains more easily than if we used rectangular coordinates or cylindrical coordinates. The crux of setting up a triple integral in spherical coordinates is appropriately describing the "small amount of volume," *dV*, used in the integral.

Considering Figure 13.7.7, we can make a small "spherical wedge" by varying ρ , θ and φ each a small amount, $\Delta \rho$, $\Delta \theta$ and $\Delta \varphi$, respectively. This wedge is approximately a rectangular solid when the change in each coordinate is small, giving a volume of about

$$\Delta \mathbf{V} \approx \Delta \rho \ \times \ \rho \Delta \varphi \ \times \ \rho \sin(\varphi) \Delta \theta.$$

Given a region *D* in space, we can approximate the volume of *D* with many such wedges. As the size of each of $\Delta \rho$, $\Delta \theta$ and $\Delta \varphi$ goes to zero, the number of wedges increases to infinity and the volume of *D* is more accurately approximated, giving

$$dV = d\rho \times \rho \, d\varphi \times \rho \sin(\varphi) d\theta = \rho^2 \sin(\varphi) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi$$

Again, this development of *dV* should sound reasonable, and the following theorem states it is the appropriate manner by which triple integrals are to be evaluated in spherical coordinates.

Theorem 13.7.2 Triple Integration in Spherical Coordinates

Let $w = h(\rho, \theta, \varphi)$ be a continuous function on a closed, bounded region D in space, bounded in spherical coordinates by $\alpha_1 \leq \varphi \leq \alpha_2$, $\beta_1 \leq \theta \leq \beta_2$ and $f_1(\theta, \varphi) \leq \rho \leq f_2(\theta, \varphi)$. Then

$$\iiint_{D} h(\rho,\theta,\varphi) \, dV = \int_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} \int_{\beta_1}^{\beta_2} \int_{f_1(\theta,\varphi)}^{f_2(\theta,\varphi)} h(\rho,\theta,\varphi) \rho^2 \sin(\varphi) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi.$$

Example 13.7.7 Establishing the volume of a sphere

Let *D* be the region in space bounded by the sphere, centered at the origin, of radius *r*. Use a triple integral in spherical coordinates to find the volume *V* of *D*.

Notes:




Figure 13.7.6: Graphing the canonical surfaces in spherical coordinates from Example 13.7.6.




Figure 13.7.7: Approximating the volume of a standard region in space using spherical coordinates.

Note: It is generally most intuitive to evaluate the triple integral in Theorem 13.7.2 by integrating with respect to ρ first; it often does not matter whether we next integrate with respect to θ or φ . Different texts present different standard orders, some preferring $d\varphi \ d\theta$ instead of $d\theta \ d\varphi$. As the bounds for these variables are usually constants in practice, it generally is a matter of preference.

SOLUTION The sphere of radius *r*, centered at the origin, has equation $\rho = r$. To obtain the full sphere, the bounds on θ and φ are $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ and $0 \le \varphi \le \pi$. This leads us to:

$$V = \iiint_{D} dV$$

= $\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{r} (\rho^{2} \sin(\varphi)) d\rho d\theta d\varphi$
= $\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{3}\rho^{3} \sin(\varphi)\right)_{0}^{r} d\theta d\varphi$
= $\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{3}r^{3} \sin(\varphi)\right) d\theta d\varphi$
= $\int_{0}^{\pi} \left(\frac{2\pi}{3}r^{3} \sin(\varphi)\right) d\varphi$
= $\left(-\frac{2\pi}{3}r^{3}\cos(\varphi)\right)\Big|_{0}^{\pi}$
= $\frac{4\pi}{3}r^{3}$,

the familiar formula for the volume of a sphere. Note how the integration steps were easy, not using square–roots nor integration steps such as Substitution.

Example 13.7.8 Finding the center of mass using spherical coordinates Find the center of mass of the solid with constant density enclosed above by $\rho = 4$ and below by $\varphi = \pi/6$, as illustrated in Figure 13.7.8.

SOLUTION We will set up the four triple integrals needed to find the center of mass (i.e., to compute M, M_{yz} , M_{xz} and M_{xy}) and leave it to the reader to evaluate each integral. Because of symmetry, we expect the *x*- and *y*- coordinates of the center of mass to be 0.

While the surfaces describing the solid are given in the statement of the problem, to describe the full solid *D*, we use the following bounds: $0 \le \rho \le 4$, $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ and $0 \le \varphi \le \pi/6$. Since density δ is constant, we assume $\delta = 1$. The mass of the solid:

$$M = \iiint_{D} dm = \iiint_{D} dV$$

= $\int_{0}^{\pi/6} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{4} (\rho^{2} \sin(\varphi)) d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi$
= $\frac{64}{3} (2 - \sqrt{3}) \pi \approx 17.958.$






Figure 13.7.8: Graphing the solid, and its center of mass, from Example 13.7.8.

To compute M_{yz} , the integrand is x; using Key Idea 13.7.1, we have $x = \rho \sin \varphi \cos \theta$. This gives:

$$M_{yz} = \iiint_{D} x \, dm$$

= $\int_{0}^{\pi/6} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{4} \left((\rho \sin(\varphi) \cos(\theta)) \rho^{2} \sin(\varphi) \right) d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi$
= $\int_{0}^{\pi/6} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{4} \left(\rho^{3} \sin^{2}(\varphi) \cos(\theta) \right) d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi$
= 0,

which we expected as we expect $\overline{x} = 0$.

To compute $M_{\rm xz}$, the integrand is y; using Key Idea 13.7.1, we have $y = \rho \sin \varphi \sin \theta$. This gives:

$$M_{xz} = \iiint_{D} y \, dm$$

= $\int_{0}^{\pi/6} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{4} \left((\rho \sin(\varphi) \sin(\theta)) \rho^{2} \sin(\varphi) \right) d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi$
= $\int_{0}^{\pi/6} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{4} \left(\rho^{3} \sin^{2}(\varphi) \sin(\theta) \right) d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi$
= 0,

which we also expected as we expect $\overline{y} = 0$.

To compute $M_{\rm xy}$, the integrand is z; using Key Idea 13.7.1, we have $z = \rho \cos \varphi$. This gives:

$$M_{xy} = \iiint_{D} z \, dm$$

= $\int_{0}^{\pi/6} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{4} \left((\rho \cos(\varphi)) \rho^{2} \sin(\varphi) \right) d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi$
= $\int_{0}^{\pi/6} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{4} \left(\rho^{3} \cos(\varphi) \sin(\varphi) \right) d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi$
= $16\pi \approx 50.266.$

Thus the center of mass is $(0, 0, M_{xy}/M) \approx (0, 0, 2.799)$, as indicated in Figure 13.7.8.

This section has provided a brief introduction into two new coordinate systems useful for identifying points in space. Each can be used to define a variety

of surfaces in space beyond the canonical surfaces graphed as each system was introduced.

However, the usefulness of these coordinate systems does not lie in the variety of surfaces that they can describe nor the regions in space these surfaces may enclose. Rather, cylindrical coordinates are mostly used to describe cylinders and spherical coordinates are mostly used to describe spheres. These shapes are of special interest in the sciences, especially in physics, and computations on/inside these shapes is difficult using rectangular coordinates. For instance, in the study of electricity and magnetism, one often studies the effects of an electrical current passing through a wire; that wire is essentially a cylinder, described well by cylindrical coordinates.

This chapter investigated the natural follow—on to partial derivatives: iterated integration. We learned how to use the bounds of a double integral to describe a region in the plane using both rectangular and polar coordinates, then later expanded to use the bounds of a triple integral to describe a region in space. We used double integrals to find volumes under surfaces, surface area, and the center of mass of lamina; we used triple integrals as an alternate method of finding volumes of space regions and also to find the center of mass of a region in space.

Integration does not stop here. We could continue to iterate our integrals, next investigating "quadruple integrals" whose bounds describe a region in 4– dimensional space (which are very hard to visualize). We can also look back to "regular" integration where we found the area under a curve in the plane. A natural analogue to this is finding the "area under a curve," where the curve is in space, not in a plane. These are just two of many avenues to explore under the heading of "integration."

Exercises 13.7

Terms and Concepts

- Explain the difference between the roles r, in cylindrical coordinates, and ρ, in spherical coordinates, play in determining the location of a point.
- 2. Why are points on the *z*-axis not determined uniquely when using cylindrical and spherical coordinates?
- 3. What surfaces are naturally defined using cylindrical coordinates?
- 4. What surfaces are naturally defined using spherical coordinates?

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 6, points are given in either the rectangular, cylindrical or spherical coordinate systems. Find the coordinates of the points in the other systems.

- 5. (a) Points in rectangular coordinates: $(2,2,1) \text{ and } (-\sqrt{3},1,0)$
 - (b) Points in cylindrical coordinates: $(2, \pi/4, 2)$ and $(3, 3\pi/2, -4)$
 - (c) Points in spherical coordinates: $(2,\pi/4,\pi/4) \text{ and } (1,0,0)$
- 6. (a) Points in rectangular coordinates: (0,1,1) and (-1,0,1)
 - (b) Points in cylindrical coordinates: $(0, \pi, 1)$ and $(2, 4\pi/3, 0)$
 - (c) Points in spherical coordinates: $(\mathbf{2},\pi/\mathbf{6},\pi/\mathbf{2}) \text{ and } (\mathbf{3},\pi,\pi)$

In Exercises 7 – 8, describe the curve, surface or region in space determined by the given bounds.

7. Bounds in cylindrical coordinates:

(a)
$$r = 1$$
, $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$, $0 \le z \le 1$

(b) $1 \le r \le 2$, $0 \le \theta \le \pi$, $0 \le z \le 1$


Bounds in spherical coordinates:

- (c) $\rho = 3$, $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$, $0 \le \varphi \le \pi/2$ (d) $2 \le \rho \le 3$, $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$, $0 \le \varphi \le \pi$
- 8. Bounds in cylindrical coordinates:
 - (a) $1 \le r \le 2$, $\theta = \pi/2$, $0 \le z \le 1$ (b) r = 2, $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$, z = 5


Bounds in spherical coordinates:

(c) $0 \le \rho \le 2$, $0 \le \theta \le \pi$, $\varphi = \pi/4$ (d) $\rho = 2$, $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$, $\varphi = \pi/6$ In Exercises 9 - 10, standard regions in space, as defined by cylindrical and spherical coordinates, are shown. Set up the triple integral that integrates the given function over the graphed region.

9. Cylindrical coordinates, integrating $h(r, \theta, z)$:



10. Cylindrical coordinates, integrating $h(\rho, \theta, \varphi)$:



In Exercises 11 - 16, a triple integral in cylindrical coordinates is given. Describe the region in space defined by the bounds of the integral.

11.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{2} r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta$$

12.
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{3}^{4} \int_{0}^{5} r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta$$

13.
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1-r} r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta$$

14.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2-r} r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta$$

15.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{3} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{9-r^{2}}} r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta$$

16.
$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^a \int_0^{\sqrt{a^2 - r^2} + b} r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta$$

In Exercises 17 – 22, a triple integral in spherical coordinates is given. Describe the region in space defined by the bounds of the integral.

17.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \rho^{2} \sin(\varphi) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi$$

18.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{1}^{1.1} \rho^{2} \sin(\varphi) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi$$

19.
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/4} \int_{0}^{2} \rho^{2} \sin(\varphi) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi$$

20.
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{\pi/6}^{\pi/4} \int_{0}^{2} \rho^{2} \sin(\varphi) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi$$

21.
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/6} \int_{0}^{\sec\varphi} \rho^{2} \sin(\varphi) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi$$

22.
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/6} \int_{0}^{\csc\varphi} \rho^{2} \sin(\varphi) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi$$

In Exercises 23 – 26, a solid is described along with its density function. Find the mass of the solid using cylindrical coordinates.

- 23. Bounded by the cylinder $x^2 + y^2 = 4$ and the planes z = 0and z = 4 with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + 1$.
- 24. Bounded by the cylinders $x^2 + y^2 = 4$ and $x^2 + y^2 = 9$, between the planes z = 0 and z = 10 with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = z$.
- 25. Bounded by $y \ge 0$, the cylinder $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, and between the planes z = 0 and z = 4 y with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = 1$.
- 26. The upper half of the unit ball, bounded between z = 0 and $z = \sqrt{1 x^2 y^2}$, with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = 1$.

In Exercises 27 – 30, a solid is described along with its density function. Find the center of mass of the solid using cylindrical coordinates. (Note: these are the same solids and density functions as found in Exercises 23 through 26.)

- 27. Bounded by the cylinder $x^2 + y^2 = 4$ and the planes z = 0and z = 4 with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + 1$.
- 28. Bounded by the cylinders $x^2 + y^2 = 4$ and $x^2 + y^2 = 9$, between the planes z = 0 and z = 10 with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = z$.
- 29. Bounded by $y \ge 0$, the cylinder $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, and between the planes z = 0 and z = 4 y with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = 1$.

30. The upper half of the unit ball, bounded between z = 0 and $z = \sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}$, with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = 1$.

In Exercises 31 - 34, a solid is described along with its density function. Find the mass of the solid using spherical coordinates.

- 31. The upper half of the unit ball, bounded between z = 0 and $z = \sqrt{1 x^2 y^2}$, with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = 1$.
- 32. The spherical shell bounded between $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 16$ and $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 25$ with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$.
- 33. The conical region bounded above $z = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and below the sphere $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = z$.
- 34. The cone bounded above $z = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and below the plane z = 1 with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = z$.

In Exercises 35 – 38, a solid is described along with its density function. Find the center of mass of the solid using spherical coordinates. (Note: these are the same solids and density functions as found in Exercises 31 through 34.)

- 35. The upper half of the unit ball, bounded between z = 0 and $z = \sqrt{1 x^2 y^2}$, with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = 1$.
- 36. The spherical shell bounded between $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 16$ and $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 25$ with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$.
- 37. The conical region bounded above $z = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and below the sphere $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = z$.
- 38. The cone bounded above $z = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and below the plane z = 1 with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = z$.

In Exercises 39 - 42, a region is space is described. Set up the triple integrals that find the volume of this region using rectangular, cylindrical and spherical coordinates, then comment on which of the three appears easiest to evaluate.

- 39. The region enclosed by the unit sphere, $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$.
- 40. The region enclosed by the cylinder $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ and planes z = 0 and z = 1.
- 41. The region enclosed by the cone $z = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and plane z = 1.
- 42. The cube enclosed by the planes x = 0, x = 1, y = 0, y = 1, z = 0 and z = 1. (Hint: in spherical, use order of integration $d\rho \ d\varphi \ d\theta$.)

14: VECTOR ANALYSIS

In previous chapters we have explored a relationship between vectors and integration. Our most tangible result: if $\vec{v}(t)$ is the vector–valued velocity function of a moving object, then integrating $\vec{v}(t)$ from t = a to t = b gives the displacement of that object over that time interval.

This chapter explores completely different relationships between vectors and integration. These relationships will enable us to compute the work done by a magnetic field in moving an object along a path and find how much air moves through an oddly–shaped screen in space, among other things.

Our upcoming work with integration will benefit from a review. We are not concerned here with techniques of integration, but rather what an integral "does" and how that relates to the notation we use to describe it.

Integration Review

Recall from Section 13.1 that when *R* is a region in the *x*-*y* plane, $\iint_R dA$ gives the area of the region *R*. The integral symbols are "elongated esses" meaning "sum" and *dA* represents "a small amount of area." Taken together, $\iint_R dA$ means "sum up, over *R*, small amounts of area." This sum then gives the total area of *R*. We use two integral symbols since *R* is a two–dimensional region.


Now let z = f(x, y) represent a surface. The double integral $\iint_R f(x, y) dA$ means "sum up, over R, function values (heights) given by f times small amounts of area." Since "height \times area = volume," we are summing small amounts of volume over R, giving the total signed volume under the surface z = f(x, y) and above the x-y plane.

This notation does not directly inform us *how* to evaluate the double integrals to find an area or a volume. With additional work, we recognize that a small amount of area dA can be measured as the area of a small rectangle, with one side length a small change in x and the other side length a small change in y. That is, dA = dx dy or dA = dy dx. We could also compute a small amount of area by thinking in terms of polar coordinates, where $dA = r dr d\theta$. These understandings lead us to the iterated integrals we used in Chapter 13.

Let us back our review up farther. Note that $\int_{1}^{3} dx = x \Big|_{1}^{3} = 3 - 1 = 2$. We have simply measured the length of the interval [1, 3]. We could rewrite the above integral using syntax similar to the double integral syntax above:

$$\int_{1}^{3} dx = \int_{I} dx, \quad \text{where } I = [1, 3].$$

We interpret " $\int_{I} dx$ " as meaning "sum up, over the interval *I*, small changes in *x*." A change in *x* is a length along the *x*-axis, so we are adding up along *I* small



lengths, giving the total length of *I*. We could also write $\int_{1}^{3} f(x) dx$ as $\int_{I} f(x) dx$, interpreted as "sum up, over I, heights given by y = f(x) times small changes in x." Since "height × length = area," we are summing up areas and finding the total signed area between y = f(x) and the x-axis.

This method of referring to the process of integration can be very powerful. It is the core of our notion of the Riemann Sum. When faced with a quantity to compute, if one can think of a way to approximate its value through a sum, the one is well on their way to constructing an integral (or, double or triple integral) that computes the desired quantity. We will demonstrate this process throughout this chapter, starting with the next section.

14.1 Introduction to Line Integrals

We first used integration to find "area under a curve." In this section, we learn to do this (again), but in a different context.

Consider the surface and curve shown in Figure 14.1.1(a). The surface is given by $f(x, y) = 1 - \cos(x) \sin(y)$. The dashed curve lies in the x-y plane and is the familiar $y = x^2$ parabola from $-1 \le x \le 1$; we'll call this curve C. The curve drawn with a solid line in the graph is the curve in space that lies on our surface with x and y values that lie on C.

The question we want to answer is this: what is the area that lies below the curve drawn with the solid line? In other words, what is the area of the region above C and under the the surface f? This region is shown in Figure 14.1.1(b).

We suspect the answer can be found using an integral, but before trying to figure out what that integral is, let us first try to approximate its value.

In Figure 14.1.1(c), four rectangles have been drawn over the curve C. The bottom corners of each rectangle lie on C, and each rectangle has a height given by the function f(x, y) for some (x, y) pair along C between the rectangle's bottom corners.

As we know how to find the area of each rectangle, we are able to approximate the area above C and under f. Clearly, our approximation will be an approximation. The heights of the rectangles do not match exactly with the surface f, nor does the base of each rectangle follow perfectly the path of C.

In typical calculus fashion, our approximation can be improved by using more rectangles. The sum of the areas of these rectangles gives an approximate value of the true area above C and under f. As the area of each rectangle is "height \times width", we assert that the

area above
$$C \approx \sum$$
 (heights \times widths).

When first learning of the integral, and approximating areas with "heights imes

Figure 14.1.1: Finding area under a curve in space.

widths", the width was a small change in x: dx. That will not suffice in this context. Rather, each width of a rectangle is actually approximating the arc length of a small portion of C. In Section 11.5, we used s to represent the arc–length parameter of a curve. A small amount of arc length will thus be represented by ds.

The height of each rectangle will be determined in some way by the surface f. If we parametrize C by s, an s-value corresponds to an (x, y) pair that lies on the parabola C. Since f is a function of x and y, and x and y are functions of s, we can say that f is a function of s. Given a value s, we can compute f(s) and find a height. Thus

area under
$$f$$
 and above $C \approx \sum$ (heights \times widths);
area under f and above $C = \lim_{||\Delta s|| \to 0} \sum f(c_i) \Delta s_i$
 $= \int_C f(s) \, ds.$ (14.1)

Here we have introduce a new notation, the integral symbol with a subscript of *C*. It is reminiscent of our usage of \iint_R . Using the train of thought found in the Integration Review preceding this section, we interpret " $\iint_C f(s) ds$ " as meaning "sum up, along a curve *C*, function values $f(s) \times \text{small arc lengths."}$ It is understood here that *s* represents the arc–length parameter.

All this leads us to a definition. The integral found in Equation 14.1 is called a **line integral**. We formally define it below, but note that the definition is very abstract. On one hand, one is apt to say "the definition makes sense," while on the other, one is equally apt to say "but I don't know what I'm supposed to do with this definition." We'll address that after the definition, and actually find an answer to the area problem we posed at the beginning of this section.

Definition 14.1.1 Line Integral Over A Scalar Field

Let *C* be a smooth curve parametrized by *s*, the arc–length parameter, and let *f* be a continuous function of *s*. A **line integral** is an integral of the form

$$\int_{C} f(s) \, ds = \lim_{||\Delta s|| \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(c_i) \Delta s_i,$$

where $s_1 < s_2 < \ldots < s_n$ is any partition of the *s*-interval over which *C* is defined, c_i is any value in the *i*th subinterval, Δs_i is the width of the *i*th subinterval, and $||\Delta s||$ is the length of the longest subinterval in the partition.

Note: Definition 14.1.1 uses the term **scalar field** which has not yet been defined. Its meaning is discussed in the paragraph preceding Definition 14.3.1 when it is compared to a **vector field**.

When *C* is a **closed** curve, i.e., a curve that ends at the same point at which it starts, we use

$$\oint_C f(s) \ ds \quad \text{instead of} \quad \int_C f(s) \ ds.$$

The definition of the line integral does not specify whether C is a curve in the plane or space (or hyperspace), as the definition holds regardless. For now, we'll assume C lies in the x-y plane.

This definition of the line integral doesn't really say anything new. If C is a curve and s is the arc–length parameter of C on $a \le s \le b$, then

$$\int_C f(s) \, ds = \int_a^b f(s) \, ds.$$

The real difference with this integral from the standard " $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ " we used in the past is that of context. Our previous integrals naturally summed up values over an interval on the *x*-axis, whereas now we are summing up values over a curve. *If* we can parametrize the curve with the arc–length parameter, we can evaluate the line integral just as before. Unfortunately, parametrizing a curve in terms of the arc–length parameter is usually very difficult, so we must develop a method of evaluating line integrals using a different parametrization.

Given a curve *C*, find any parametrization of *C*: x = g(t) and y = h(t), for continuous functions *g* and *h*, where $a \le t \le b$. We can represent this parametrization with a vector-valued function, $\vec{r}(t) = \langle g(t), h(t) \rangle$.

In Section 11.5, we defined the arc-length parameter in Equation 11.1 as

$$s(t) = \int_0^t ||\vec{r}'(u)|| \, du$$

By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, $ds = || \vec{r}'(t) || dt$. We can substitute the right hand side of this equation for ds in the line integral definition.

We can view f as being a function of x and y since it is a function of s. Thus f(s) = f(x, y) = f(g(t), h(t)). This gives us a concrete way to evaluate a line integral:

$$\int_C f(s) \, ds = \int_a^b f\bigl(g(t), h(t)\bigr) || \, \vec{r}'(t) \, || \, dt.$$

We restate this as a theorem, along with its three–dimensional analogue, followed by an example where we finally evaluate an integral and find an area.

Theorem 14.1.1 Evaluating a Line Integral Over A Scalar Field

Let C be a curve parametrized by r
 i(t) = ⟨g(t), h(t)⟩, a ≤ t ≤ b, where g and h are continuously differentiable, and let z = f(x, y), where f is continuous over C. Then

$$\int_{C} f(s) ds = \int_{a}^{b} f(g(t), h(t)) || \vec{r}'(t) || dt$$

• Let *C* be a curve parametrized by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle g(t), h(t), k(t) \rangle$, $a \le t \le b$, where *g*, *h* and *k* are continuously differentiable, and let w = f(x, y, z), where *f* is continuous over *C*. Then

$$\int_{C} f(s) \, ds = \int_{a}^{b} f(g(t), h(t), k(t)) || \, \vec{r}'(t) \, || \, dt.$$

To be clear, the first point of Theorem 14.1.1 can be used to find the area under a surface z = f(x, y) and above a curve *C*. We will later give an understanding of the line integral when *C* is a curve in space.

Let's do an example where we actually compute an area.

Example 14.1.1 Evaluating a line integral: area under a surface over a curve. Find the area under the surface $f(x, y) = \cos(x) + \sin(y) + 2$ over the curve *C*, which is the segment of the line y = 2x + 1 on $-1 \le x \le 1$, as shown in Figure 14.1.2.

SOLUTION Our first step is to represent *C* with a vector-valued function. Since *C* is a simple line, and we have a explicit relationship between *y* and *x* (namely, that *y* is 2x+1), we can let x = t, y = 2t+1, and write $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, 2t+1 \rangle$ for $-1 \le t \le 1$.

We find the values of f over $C \operatorname{as} f(x, y) = f(t, 2t+1) = \cos(t) + \sin(2t+1) + 2$. We also need $||\vec{r}'(t)||$; with $\vec{r}'(t) = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$, we have $||\vec{r}'(t)|| = \sqrt{5}$. Thus $ds = \sqrt{5} dt$.

The area we seek is

$$\int_{C} f(s) \, ds = \int_{-1}^{1} \left(\cos(t) + \sin(2t+1) + 2 \right) \sqrt{5} \, dt$$
$$= \sqrt{5} \left(\sin(t) - \frac{1}{2} \cos(2t+1) + 2t \right) \Big|_{-1}^{1}$$
$$\approx 14.418 \text{ units}^{2}.$$




Figure 14.1.2: Finding area under a curve in Example 14.1.1.




Figure 14.1.3: Finding area under a curve in Example 14.1.2.

We will practice setting up and evaluating a line integral in another example, then find the area described at the beginning of this section.

Example 14.1.2 Evaluating a line integral: area under a surface over a curve. Find the area over the unit circle in the *x*-*y* plane and under the surface $f(x, y) = x^2 - y^2 + 3$, shown in Figure 14.1.3.

SOLUTION The curve *C* is the unit circle, which we will describe with the parametrization $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t \rangle$ for $0 \le t \le 2\pi$. We find $||\vec{r}'(t)|| = 1$, so ds = 1dt.

We find the values of *f* over *C* as $f(x, y) = f(\cos t, \sin t) = \cos^2 t - \sin^2 t + 3$. Thus the area we seek is (note the use of the $\oint f(s)ds$ notation):

$$\oint_C f(s) ds = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\cos^2 t - \sin^2 t + 3\right) dt$$
$$= 6\pi.$$

(Note: we may have approximated this answer from the start. The unit circle has a circumference of 2π , and we may have guessed that due to the apparent symmetry of our surface, the average height of the surface is 3.)

We now consider the example that introduced this section.

Example 14.1.3 Evaluating a line integral: area under a surface over a curve. Find the area under $f(x, y) = 1 - \cos(x) \sin(y)$ and over the parabola $y = x^2$, from $-1 \le x \le 1$.

Solution We parametrize our curve C as $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t^2 \rangle$ for $-1 \le t \le 1$; we find $||\vec{r}'(t)|| = \sqrt{1 + 4t^2}$, so $ds = \sqrt{1 + 4t^2} dt$.

Replacing x and y with their respective functions of t, we have $f(x, y) = f(t, t^2) = 1 - \cos(t) \sin(t^2)$. Thus the area under f and over C is found to be

$$\int_{C} f(s) \, ds = \int_{-1}^{1} \left(1 - \cos(t) \sin\left(t^{2}\right) \right) \sqrt{1 + t^{2}} \, dt.$$

This integral is impossible to evaluate using the techniques developed in this text. We resort to a numerical approximation; accurate to two places after the decimal, we find the area is

We give one more example of finding area.

Example 14.1.4 Evaluating a line integral: area under a curve in space. Find the area above the *x*-*y* plane and below the helix parametrized by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, 2 \sin t, t/\pi \rangle$, for $0 \le t \le 2\pi$, as shown in Figure 14.1.4.

SOLUTION Note how this is problem is different than the previous examples: here, the height is not given by a surface, but by the curve itself.

We use the given vector-valued function $\vec{r}(t)$ to determine the curve *C* in the *x*-*y* plane by simply using the first two components of $\vec{r}(t)$: $\vec{c}(t) = \langle \cos t, 2 \sin t \rangle$. Thus $ds = ||\vec{c}'(t)|| dt = \sqrt{\sin^2 t + 4 \cos^2 t} dt$.

The height is not found by evaluating a surface over *C*, but rather it is given directly by the third component of $\vec{r}(t)$: t/π . Thus

$$\oint_C f(s) \, ds = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{t}{\pi} \sqrt{\sin^2 t + 4\cos^2 t} \, dt \approx 9.69$$

where the approximation was obtained using numerical methods.

Note how in each of the previous examples we are effectively finding "area under a curve", just as we did when first learning of integration. We have used the phrase "area *over* a curve *C* and under a surface," but that is because of the important role *C* plays in the integral. The figures show how the curve *C* defines another curve on the surface z = f(x, y), and we are finding the area under that curve.

Properties of Line Integrals

Many properties of line integrals can be inferred from general integration properties. For instance, if k is a scalar, then $\int_C kf(s)ds = k \int_C f(s)ds$.

One property in particular of line integrals is worth noting. If C is a curve composed of subcurves C_1 and C_2 , where they share only one point in common (see Figure 14.1.5(a)), then the line integral over C is the sum of the line integrals over C_1 and C_2 :

$$\int_C f(s) ds = \int_{C_1} f(s) ds + \int_{C_2} f(s) ds.$$

This property allows us to evaluate line integrals over some curves *C* that are not smooth. Note how in Figure 14.1.5(b) the curve is not smooth at *D*, so by our definition of the line integral we cannot evaluate $\int_C f(s) ds$. However, one can evaluate line integrals over C_1 and C_2 and their sum will be the desired quantity.




Figure 14.1.4: Finding area under a curve in Example 14.1.4.




Figure 14.1.5: Illustrating properties of line integrals.

A curve *C* that is composed of two or more smooth curves is said to be **piecewise smooth**. In this chapter, any statement that is made about smooth curves also holds for piecewise smooth curves.

We state these properties as a theorem.

Theorem 14.1.2 Properties of Line Integrals Over Scalar Fields

 Let C be a smooth curve parametrized by the arc-length parameter s, let f and g be continuous functions of s, and let k₁ and k₂ be scalars. Then

$$\int_C \left(k_1 f(s) + k_2 g(s)\right) ds = k_1 \int_C f(s) ds + k_2 \int_C g(s) ds.$$

 Let C be piecewise smooth, composed of smooth components C₁ and C₂. Then

$$\int_C f(s) \, ds = \int_{C_1} f(s) \, ds + \int_{C_2} f(s) \, ds.$$

Mass and Center of Mass

We first learned integration as a method to find area under a curve, then later used integration to compute a variety of other quantities, such as arc length, volume, force, etc. In this section, we also introduced line integrals as a method to find area under a curve, and now we explore one more application.

Let a curve *C* (either in the plane or in space) represent a thin wire with variable density $\delta(s)$. We can approximate the mass of the wire by dividing the wire (i.e., the curve) into small segments of length Δs_i and assume the density is constant across these small segments. The mass of each segment is density of the segment \times its length; by summing up the approximate mass of each segment we can approximate the total mass:

Total Mass of Wire
$$=\sum \delta(s_i)\Delta s_i$$
.

By taking the limit as the length of the segments approaches 0, we have the definition of the line integral as seen in Definition 14.1.1. When learning of the line integral, we let f(s) represent a height; now we let $f(s) = \delta(s)$ represent a density.

We can extend this understanding of computing mass to also compute the center of mass of a thin wire. (As a reminder, the center of mass can be a useful

piece of information as objects rotate about that center.) We give the relevant formulas in the next definition, followed by an example. Note the similarities between this definition and Definition 13.6.4, which gives similar properties of solids in space.

Definition 14.1.2 Mass, Center of Mass of Thin Wire

Let a thin wire lie along a smooth curve *C* with continuous density function $\delta(s)$, where *s* is the arc length parameter.

- 1. The **mass** of the thin wire is $M = \int_{C} \delta(s) \, ds$.
- 2. The moment about the *y*-*z* plane is $M_{yz} = \int_C x \delta(s) \, ds$.
- 3. The moment about the *x*-*z* plane is $M_{xz} = \int_{C} y \delta(s) ds$.
- 4. The moment about the *x*-*y* plane is $M_{xy} = \int_C z\delta(s) ds$.
- 5. The center of mass of the wire is

$$(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \left(\frac{M_{yz}}{M}, \frac{M_{xz}}{M}, \frac{M_{xy}}{M}\right)$$

Example 14.1.5 Evaluating a line integral: calculating mass.

A thin wire follows the path $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 1 + \cos t, 1 + \sin t, 1 + \sin(2t) \rangle$, $0 \le t \le 2\pi$. The density of the wire is determined by its position in space: $\delta(x, y, z) = y + z$ gm/cm. The wire is shown in Figure 14.1.6, where a light color indicates low density and a dark color represents high density. Find the mass and center of mass of the wire.

SOLUTION We compute the density of the wire as

$$\delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = \delta(1 + \cos t, 1 + \sin t, 1 + \sin(2t)) = 2 + \sin t + \sin(2t).$$

We compute ds as

$$ds = ||\vec{r}'(t)|| dt = \sqrt{\sin^2 t + \cos^2 t + 4\cos^2(2t)} dt = \sqrt{1 + 4\cos^2(2t)} dt.$$

Thus the mass is

$$M = \oint_C \delta(s) \, ds = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(2 + \sin t + \sin(2t) \right) \sqrt{1 + 4\cos^2(2t)} \, dt \approx 21.08 \text{gm}.$$




Figure 14.1.6: Finding the mass of a thin wire in Example 14.1.5.

We compute the moments about the coordinate planes:

$$M_{yz} = \oint_C x\delta(s) \, ds = \int_0^{2\pi} (1 + \cos t) \left(2 + \sin t + \sin(2t)\right) \sqrt{1 + 4\cos^2(2t)} \, dt \approx 21.08.$$
$$M_{xz} = \oint_C y\delta(s) \, ds = \int_0^{2\pi} (1 + \sin t) \left(2 + \sin t + \sin(2t)\right) \sqrt{1 + 4\cos^2(2t)} \, dt \approx 26.35$$
$$M_{xy} = \oint_C z\delta(s) \, ds = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(1 + \sin(2t)\right) \left(2 + \sin t + \sin(2t)\right) \sqrt{1 + 4\cos^2(2t)} \, dt \approx 25.40$$

Thus the center of mass of the wire is located at

$$(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \left(\frac{M_{yz}}{M}, \frac{M_{xz}}{M}, \frac{M_{xy}}{M}\right) \approx (1, 1.25, 1.20),$$

as indicated by the dot in Figure 14.1.6. Note how in this example, the curve C is "centered" about the point (1, 1, 1), though the variable density of the wire pulls the center of mass out along the y and z axes.

We end this section with a callback to the Integration Review that preceded this section. A line integral looks like: $\int_C f(s) ds$. As stated before the definition of the line integral, this means "sum up, along a curve *C*, function values $f(s) \times$ small arc lengths." When f(s) represents a height, we have "height \times length = area." When f(s) is a density (and we use $\delta(s)$ by convention), we have "density (mass per unit length) \times length = mass."

In the next section, we investigate a new mathematical object, the *vector field*. The remaining sections of this chapter are devoted to understanding integration in the context of vector fields.

Exercises 14.1

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Explain how a line integral can be used to find the area under a curve.
- 2. How does the evaluation of a line integral given as $\int_C f(s) ds$ differ from a line integral given as $\oint_C f(s) ds$?
- 3. Why are most line integrals evaluated using Key Idea 14.1.1 instead of "directly" as $\int_{C} f(s) ds$?
- 4. Sketch a closed, piecewise smooth curve composed of three subcurves.

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 10, a planar curve *C* is given along with a surface *f* that is defined over *C*. Evaluate the line integral $\int f(s) ds$.

- 5. C is the line segment joining the points (-2, -1) and (1, 2); the surface is $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + 2$.
- 6. *C* is the segment of y = 3x + 2 on [1, 2]; the surface is f(x, y) = 5x + 2y.
- 7. *C* is the circle with radius 2 centered at the point (4, 2); the surface is f(x, y) = 3x y.
- 8. *C* is the curve given by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t + t \sin t, \sin t t \cos t \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$; the surface is f(x, y) = 5.
- 9. *C* is the piecewise curve composed of the line segments that connect (0, 1) to (1, 1), then connect (1, 1) to (1, 0); the surface is $f(x, y) = x + y^2$.
- 10. *C* is the piecewise curve composed of the line segment joining the points (0, 0) and (1, 1), along with the quartercircle parametrized by $\langle \cos t, -\sin t + 1 \rangle$ on $[0, \pi/2]$ (which

starts at the point (1, 1) and ends at (0, 0); the surface is $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$.

In Exercises 11 – 14, a planar curve *C* is given along with a surface *f* that is defined over *C*. Set up the line integral $\int_{C} f(s) ds$, then approximate its value using technology.

- 11. *C* is the portion of the parabola $y = 2x^2 + x + 1$ on [0, 1]; the surface is $f(x, y) = x^2 + 2y$.
- 12. *C* is the portion of the curve $y = \sin x$ on $[0, \pi]$; the surface is f(x, y) = x.
- 13. *C* is the ellipse given by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2 \cos t, \sin t \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$; the surface is $f(x, y) = 10 x^2 y^2$.
- 14. *C* is the portion of $y = x^3$ on [-1, 1]; the surface is f(x, y) = 2x + 3y + 5.

In Exercises 15 – 18, a parametrized curve C in space is given. Find the area above the x-y plane that is under C.

15. *C*:
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle 5t, t, t^2 \rangle$$
 for $1 \le t \le 2$.

- 16. C: $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t, \sin(2t) + 1 \rangle$ for $0 \le t \le 2\pi$.
- 17. *C*: $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3 \cos t, 3 \sin t, t^2 \rangle$ for $0 \le t \le 2\pi$.

18. *C*:
$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3t, 4t, t \rangle$$
 for $0 \le t \le 1$.

In Exercises 19 – 20, a parametrized curve *C* is given that represents a thin wire with density δ . Find the mass and center of mass of the thin wire.

- 19. *C*: $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t, t \rangle$ for $0 \le t \le 4\pi$; $\delta(x, y, z) = z$.
- 20. C: $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t t^2, t^2 t^3, t^3 t^4 \rangle$ for $0 \le t \le 1$; $\delta(x, y, z) = x + 2y + 2z$. Use technology to approximate the value of each integral.

14.2 Vector Fields

We have studied functions of two and three variables, where the input of such functions is a point (either a point in the plane or in space) and the output is a number.

We could also create functions where the input is a point (again, either in the plane or in space), but the output is a *vector*. For instance, we could create the following function: $\vec{F}(x, y) = \langle x + y, x - y \rangle$, where $\vec{F}(2, 3) = \langle 5, -1 \rangle$. We are to think of \vec{F} assigning the vector $\langle 5, -1 \rangle$ to the point (2,3); in some sense, the vector $\langle 5, -1 \rangle$ lies at the point (2,3).

Such functions are extremely useful in any context where magnitude and direction are important. For instance, we could create a function \vec{F} that represents the electromagnetic force exerted at a point by a electromagnetic field, or the velocity of air as it moves across an airfoil.

Because these functions are so important, we need to formally define them.

Definition 14.2.1 Vector Field

1. A vector field in the plane is a function $\vec{F}(x, y)$ whose domain is a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 and whose output is a two–dimensional vector:

$$\vec{F}(x,y) = \langle M(x,y), N(x,y) \rangle.$$

2. A vector field in space is a function $\vec{F}(x, y, z)$ whose domain is a subset of \mathbb{R}^3 and whose output is a three–dimensional vector:

$$\vec{F}(x, y, z) = \langle M(x, y, z), N(x, y, z), P(x, y, z) \rangle$$

This definition may seem odd at first, as a special type of function is called a "field." However, as the function determines a "field of vectors", we can say the field is *defined by* the function, and thus the field *is* a function.

Visualizing vector fields helps cement this connection. When graphing a vector field in the plane, the general idea is to draw the vector $\vec{F}(x, y)$ at the point (x, y). For instance, using $\vec{F}(x, y) = \langle x + y, x - y \rangle$ as before, at (1, 1) we would draw $\langle 2, 0 \rangle$.

In Figure 14.2.1(a), one can see that the vector (2, 0) is drawn starting from the point (1, 1). A total of 8 vectors are drawn, with the *x*- and *y*-values of -1, 0, 1. In many ways, the resulting graph is a mess; it is hard to tell what this field "looks like."

In Figure 14.2.1(b), the same field is redrawn with each vector $\vec{F}(x, y)$ drawn centered on the point (x, y). This makes for a better looking image, though the




Figure 14.2.1: Demonstrating methods of graphing vector fields.

long vectors can cause confusion: when one vector intersects another, the image looks cluttered.

A common way to address this problem is limit the length of each arrow, and represent long vectors with thick arrows, as done in Figure 14.2.2(a). Usually we do not use a graph of a vector field to determine exactly the magnitude of a particular vector. Rather, we are more concerned with the relative magnitudes of vectors: which are bigger than others? Thus limiting the length of the vectors is not problematic.

Drawing arrows with variable thickness is best done with technology; search the documentation of your favorite graphing program for terms like "vector fields" or "slope fields" to learn how. Technology obviously allows us to plot many vectors in a vector field nicely; in Figure 14.2.2(b), we see the same vector field drawn with many vectors, and finally get a clear picture of how this vector field behaves. (If this vector field represented the velocity of air moving across a flat surface, we could see that the air tends to move either to the upper-right or lower-left, and moves very slowly near the origin.)

We can similarly plot vector fields in space, as shown in Figure 14.2.3, though it is not often done. The plots get very busy very quickly, as there are lots of arrows drawn in a small amount of space. In Figure 14.2.3 the field $\vec{F} = \langle -y, x, z \rangle$ is graphed. If one could view the graph from above, one could see the arrows point in a cirlce about the *z*-axis. One should also note how the arrows far from the origin are larger than those close to the origin.

It is good practice to try to visualize certain vector fields in one's head. For instance, consider a point mass at the origin and the vector field that represents the gravitational force exerted by the mass at any point in the room. The field would consist of arrows pointing toward the origin, increasing in size as they near the origin (as the gravitational pull is strongest near the point mass).

Vector Field Notation and Del Operator

Definition 14.2.1 defines a vector field \vec{F} using the notation

$$\vec{F}(x,y) = \langle M(x,y), N(x,y) \rangle$$
 and $\vec{F}(x,y,z) = \langle M(x,y,z), N(x,y,z), P(x,y,z) \rangle$.

That is, the components of \vec{F} are each functions of x and y (and also z in space). As done in other contexts, we will drop the "of x, y and z" portions of the notation and refer to vector fields in the plane and in space as

$$\vec{F} = \langle M, N \rangle$$
 and $\vec{F} = \langle M, N, P \rangle$,

respectively, as this shorthand is quite convenient.

Another item of notation will become useful: the "del operator." Recall in Section 12.6 how we used the symbol ∇ (pronounced "del") to represent the




Figure 14.2.2: Demonstrating methods of graphing vector fields.




Figure 14.2.3: Graphing a vector field in space.

gradient of a function of two variables. That is, if z = f(x, y), then "del $f'' = \nabla f = \langle f_x, f_y \rangle$.

We now define ∇ to be the "del operator." It is a vector whose components are partial derivative operations.

In the plane,
$$\nabla = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right\rangle$$
; in space, $\nabla = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right\rangle$

With this definition of ∇ , we can better understand the gradient ∇f . As f returns a scalar, the properties of scalar and vector multiplication gives

$$\nabla f = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right\rangle f = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x}f, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}f \right\rangle = \langle f_x, f_y \rangle.$$

Now apply the del operator ∇ to vector fields. Let $\vec{F} = \langle x + \sin y, y^2 + z, x^2 \rangle$. We can use vector operations and find the dot product of ∇ and \vec{F} :

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{F} = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle x + \sin y, y^2 + z, x^2 \right\rangle$$
$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x + \sin y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (y^2 + z) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (x^2)$$
$$= \mathbf{1} + 2y.$$

We can also compute their cross products:

$$\nabla \times \vec{F} = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (x^2) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (y^2 + z), \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (x + \sin y) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x^2), \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (y^2 + z) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (x + \sin y) \right\rangle$$
$$= \langle -1, -2x, -\cos y \rangle.$$

We do not yet know why we would want to compute the above. However, as we next learn about properties of vector fields, we will see how these dot and cross products with the del operator are quite useful.

Divergence and Curl

Two properties of vector fields will prove themselves to be very important: divergence and curl. Each is a special "derivative" of a vector field; that is, each measures an instantaneous rate of change of a vector field.

If the vector field represents the velocity of a fluid or gas, then the **divergence** of the field is a measure of the "compressibility" of the fluid. If the divergence is negative at a point, it means that the fluid is compressing: more fluid is going into the point than is going out. If the divergence is positive, it means the fluid is expanding: more fluid is going out at that point than going in. A divergence of zero means the same amount of fluid is going in as is going out. If the divergence is zero at all points, we say the field is **incompressible**.

It turns out that the proper measure of divergence is simply $\nabla \cdot \vec{F}$, as stated in the following definition.

Definition 14.2.2 Divergence of a Vector Field The divergence of a vector field \vec{F} is $\operatorname{div} \vec{F} = \nabla \cdot \vec{F}$. • In the plane, with $\vec{F} = \langle M, N \rangle$, $\operatorname{div} \vec{F} = M_x + N_y$. • In space, with $\vec{F} = \langle M, N, P \rangle$, $\operatorname{div} \vec{F} = M_x + N_y + P_z$.

Curl is a measure of the spinning action of the field. Let \vec{F} represent the flow of water over a flat surface. If a small round cork were held in place at a point in the water, would the water cause the cork to spin? No spin corresponds to zero curl; counterclockwise spin corresponds to positive curl and clockwise spin corresponds to negative curl.

In space, things are a bit more complicated. Again let \vec{F} represent the flow of water, and imagine suspending a tennis ball in one location in this flow. The water may cause the ball to spin along an axis. If so, the curl of the vector field is a *vector* (not a *scalar*, as before), parallel to the axis of rotation, following a right hand rule: when the thumb of one's right hand points in the direction of the curl, the ball will spin in the direction of the curling fingers of the hand.

In space, it turns out the proper measure of curl is $\nabla \times \vec{F}$, as stated in the following definition. To find the curl of a planar vector field $\vec{F} = \langle M, N \rangle$, embed it into space as $\vec{F} = \langle M, N, 0 \rangle$ and apply the cross product definition. Since M and N are functions of just x and y (and not z), all partial derivatives with respect to z become 0 and the result is simply $\langle 0, 0, N_x - M_y \rangle$. The third component is the measure of curl of a planar vector field.

Definition 14.2.3 Curl of a Vector Field

- Let $\vec{F} = \langle M, N \rangle$ be a vector field in the plane. The **curl** of \vec{F} is curl $\vec{F} = N_x M_y$.
- Let $\vec{F} = \langle M, N, P \rangle$ be a vector field in space. The **curl** of \vec{F} is curl $\vec{F} = \nabla \times \vec{F} = \langle P_y N_z, M_z P_x, N_x M_y \rangle$.

We adopt the convention of referring to curl as $\nabla \times \vec{F}$, regardless of whether

 \vec{F} is a vector field in two or three dimensions. We now practice computing these quantities.

Example 14.2.1 Computing divergence and curl of planar vector fields For each of the planar vector fields given below, view its graph and try to visually determine if its divergence and curl are 0. Then compute the divergence and curl.

- 1. $\vec{F} = \langle y, 0 \rangle$ (see Figure 14.2.4(a))
- 2. $\vec{F} = \langle -y, x \rangle$ (see Figure 14.2.4(b))
- 3. $\vec{F} = \langle x, y \rangle$ (see Figure 14.2.5(a))
- 4. $\vec{F} = \langle \cos y, \sin x \rangle$ (see Figure 14.2.5(b))

SOLUTION

 The arrow sizes are constant along any horizontal line, so if one were to draw a small box anywhere on the graph, it would seem that the same amount of fluid would enter the box as exit. Therefore it seems the divergence is zero; it is, as

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{F} = \nabla \cdot \vec{F} = M_x + N_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(0) = 0.$$

At any point on the *x*-axis, arrows above it move to the right and arrows below it move to the left, indicating that a cork placed on the axis would spin clockwise. A cork placed anywhere above the *x*-axis would have water above it moving to the right faster than the water below it, also creating a clockwise spin. A clockwise spin also appears to be created at points below the *x*-axis. Thus it seems the curl should be negative (and not zero). Indeed, it is:

$$\operatorname{curl} \vec{F} = \nabla \times \vec{F} = N_x - M_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(0) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(y) = -1.$$

2. It appears that all vectors that lie on a circle of radius *r*, centered at the origin, have the same length (and indeed this is true). That implies that the divergence should be zero: draw any box on the graph, and any fluid coming in will lie along a circle that takes the same amount of fluid out. Indeed, the divergence is zero, as

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{F} = \nabla \cdot \vec{F} = M_x + N_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (-y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (x) = 0.$$




Figure 14.2.4: The vector fields in parts (a) and (b) in Example 14.2.1.

Clearly this field moves objects in a circle, but would it induce a cork to spin? It appears that yes, it would: place a cork anywhere in the flow, and the point of the cork closest to the origin would feel less flow than the point on the cork farthest from the origin, which would induce a counterclockwise flow. Indeed, the curl is positive:

$$\operatorname{curl} \vec{F} = \nabla \times \vec{F} = N_x - M_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(-y) = 1 - (-1) = 2.$$

Since the curl is constant, we conclude the induced spin is the same no matter where one is in this field.

3. At the origin, there are many arrows pointing out but no arrows pointing in. We conclude that at the origin, the divergence must be positive (and not zero). If one were to draw a box anywhere in the field, the edges farther from the origin would have larger arrows passing through them than the edges close to the origin, indicating that more is going from a point than going in. This indicates a positive (and not zero) divergence. This is correct:

div
$$\vec{F} = \nabla \cdot \vec{F} = M_x + N_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(y) = 1 + 1 = 2.$$

One may find this curl to be harder to determine visually than previous examples. One might note that any arrow that induces a clockwise spin on a cork will have an equally sized arrow inducing a counterclockwise spin on the other side, indicating no spin and no curl. This is correct, as

$$\operatorname{curl} \vec{F} = \nabla \times \vec{F} = N_x - M_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(y) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(x) = 0.$$

4. One might find this divergence hard to determine visually as large arrows appear in close proximity to small arrows, each pointing in different directions. Instead of trying to rationalize a guess, we compute the divergence:

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{F} = \nabla \cdot \vec{F} = M_x + N_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\cos y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\sin x) = 0.$$

Perhaps surprisingly, the divergence is 0.

Will all the loops of different directions in the field, one is apt to reason the curl is variable. Indeed, it is:

$$\operatorname{curl} \vec{F} = \nabla \times \vec{F} = N_x - M_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\sin x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\cos y) = \cos x + \sin y.$$

Depending on the values of x and y, the curl may be positive, negative, or zero.




Figure 14.2.5: The vector fields in parts (c) and (d) in Example 14.2.1.

Example 14.2.2 Computing divergence and curl of vector fields in space Compute the divergence and curl of each of the following vector fields.

1.
$$\vec{F} = \langle x^2 + y + z, -x - z, x + y \rangle$$

2. $\vec{F} = \langle e^{xy}, \sin(x+z), x^2 + y \rangle$

SOLUTION We compute the divergence and curl of each field following the definitions.

1. div
$$\vec{F} = \nabla \cdot \vec{F} = M_x + N_y + P_z = 2x + 0 + 0 = 2x$$
.
curl $\vec{F} = \nabla \times \vec{F} = \langle P_y - N_z, M_z - P_x, N_x - M_y \rangle$
 $= \langle 1 - (-1), 1 - 1, -1 - (1) \rangle = \langle 2, 0, -2 \rangle$

For this particular field, no matter the location in space, a spin is induced with axis parallel to $\langle 2, 0, -2 \rangle$.

2. div
$$\vec{F} = \nabla \cdot \vec{F} = M_x + N_y + P_z = ye^{xy} + 0 + 0 = ye^{xy}$$
.
curl $\vec{F} = \nabla \times \vec{F} = \langle P_y - N_z, M_z - P_x, N_x - M_y \rangle$
 $= \langle 1 - \cos(x+z), -2x, \cos(x+z) - xe^{xy} \rangle$.

Example 14.2.3 Creating a field representing gravitational force

The force of gravity between two objects is inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the objects. Locate a point mass at the origin. Create a vector field \vec{F} that represents the gravitational pull of the point mass at any point (x, y, z). Find the divergence and curl of this field.

SOLUTION The point mass pulls toward the origin, so at (x, y, z), the force will pull in the direction of $\langle -x, -y, -z \rangle$. To get the proper magnitude, it will be useful to find the unit vector in this direction. Dividing by its magnitude, we have

$$\vec{u} = \left\langle \frac{-x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}, \frac{-y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}, \frac{-z}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \right\rangle$$

The magnitude of the force is inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the two points. Letting *k* be the constant of proportionality, we have the magnitude as $\frac{k}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$. Multiplying this magnitude by the unit vector above, we have the desired vector field:

$$\vec{F} = \left\langle \frac{-kx}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}, \frac{-ky}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}, \frac{-kz}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} \right\rangle.$$

We leave it to the reader to confirm that div $\vec{F} = 0$ and curl $\vec{F} = \vec{0}$.

The analogous planar vector field is given in Figure 14.2.6. Note how all arrows point to the origin, and the magnitude gets very small when "far" from the origin.

A function z = f(x, y) naturally induces a vector field, $\vec{F} = \nabla f = \langle f_x, f_y \rangle$. Given what we learned of the gradient in Section 12.6, we know that the vectors of \vec{F} point in the direction of greatest increase of f. Because of this, f is said to be the **potential function** of \vec{F} . Vector fields that are the gradient of potential functions will play an important role in the next section.

Example 14.2.4 A vector field that is the gradient of a potential function Let $f(x, y) = 3 - x^2 - 2y^2$ and let $\vec{F} = \nabla f$. Graph \vec{F} , and find the divergence and curl of \vec{F} .

SOLUTION Given *f*, we find $\vec{F} = \nabla f = \langle -2x, -4y \rangle$. A graph of \vec{F} is given in Figure 14.2.7(a). In part (b) of the figure, the vector field is given along with a graph of the surface itself; one can see how each vector is pointing in the direction of "steepest uphill", which, in this case, is not simply just "toward the origin."

We leave it to the reader to confirm that div $\vec{F} = -6$ and curl $\vec{F} = 0$.

There are some important concepts visited in this section that will be revisited in subsequent sections and again at the very end of this chapter. One is: given a vector field \vec{F} , both div \vec{F} and curl \vec{F} are measures of rates of change of \vec{F} . The divergence measures how much the field spreads (diverges) at a point, and the curl measures how much the field twists (curls) at a point. Another important concept is this: given z = f(x, y), the gradient ∇f is also a measure of a rate of change of f. We will see how the integrals of these rates of change produce meaningful results.

This section introduces the concept of a vector field. The next section "applies calculus" to vector fields. A common application is this: let \vec{F} be a vector field representing a force (hence it is called a "force field," though this name has a decidedly comic-book feel) and let a particle move along a curve *C* under the influence of this force. What work is performed by the field on this particle? The solution lies in correctly applying the concepts of line integrals in the context of vector fields.




Figure 14.2.6: A vector field representing a planar gravitational force.




Figure 14.2.7: A graph of a function z = f(x, y) and the vector field $\vec{F} = \nabla f$ in Example 14.2.4.

Exercises 14.2

Terms and Concepts

- 1. Give two quantities that can be represented by a vector field in the plane or in space.
- 2. In your own words, describe what it means for a vector field to have a negative divergence at a point.
- 3. In your own words, describe what it means for a vector field to have a negative curl at a point.
- 4. The divergence of a vector field \vec{F} at a particular point is 0. Does this mean that \vec{F} is incompressible? Why/why not?

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 8, sketch the given vector field over the rectangle with opposite corners (-2, -2) and (2, 2), sketching one vector for every point with integer coordinates (i.e., at (0, 0), (1, 2), etc.).

- 5. $\vec{F} = \langle x, 0 \rangle$
- 6. $\vec{F} = \langle 0, x \rangle$
- 7. $\vec{F} = \langle \mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{1} \rangle$

8. $\vec{F} = \langle y^2, 1 \rangle$

In Exercises 9 – 18, find the divergence and curl of the given vector field.

9.
$$\vec{F} = \langle x, y^2 \rangle$$

10.
$$\vec{F} = \langle -y^2, x \rangle$$

11.
$$\vec{F} = \langle \cos(xy), \sin(xy) \rangle$$

12.
$$\vec{F} = \left\langle \frac{-2x}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}, \frac{-2y}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} \right\rangle$$

13.
$$\vec{F} = \langle x + y, y + z, x + z \rangle$$

14.
$$\vec{F} = \langle x^2 + z^2, x^2 + y^2, y^2 + z^2 \rangle$$

15.
$$\vec{F} = \nabla f$$
, where $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{3}y^3$.

16. $\vec{F} = \nabla f$, where $f(x, y) = x^2 y$.

17.
$$\vec{F} = \nabla f$$
, where $f(x, y, z) = x^2 y + \sin z$.

18.
$$\vec{F} = \nabla f$$
, where $f(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$

14.3 Line Integrals over Vector Fields

Suppose a particle moves along a curve *C* under the influence of an electromagnetic force described by a vector field \vec{F} . Since a force is inducing motion, work is performed. How can we calculate how much work is performed?

Recall that when moving in a straight line, if \vec{F} represents a constant force and \vec{d} represents the direction and length of travel, then work is simply $W = \vec{F} \cdot \vec{d}$. However, we generally want to be able to calculate work even if \vec{F} is not constant and *C* is not a straight line.

As we have practiced many times before, we can calculate work by first approximating, then refining our approximation through a limit that leads to integration.

Assume as we did in Section 14.1 that *C* can be parametrized by the arc length parameter *s*. Over a short piece of the curve with length *ds*, the curve is approximately straight and our force is approximately constant. The straight–line direction of this short length of curve is given by \vec{T} , the unit tangent vector; let $\vec{d} = \vec{T} ds$, which gives the direction and magnitude of a small section of *C*. Thus work over this small section of *C* is $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{d} = \vec{F} ds$.

Summing up all the work over these small segments gives an approximation of the work performed. By taking the limit as *ds* goes to zero, and hence the number of segments approaches infinity, we can obtain the exact amount of work. Following the logic presented at the beginning of this chapter in the Integration Review, we see that

$$W = \int_C \vec{F} \cdot \vec{T} \, ds,$$

a line integral.

This line integral is beautiful in its simplicity, yet is not so useful in making actual computations (largely because the arc length parameter is so difficult to work with). To compute actual work, we need to parametrize *C* with another parameter *t* via a vector–valued function $\vec{r}(t)$. As stated in Section 14.1, $ds = ||\vec{r}'(t)|| dt$, and recall that $\vec{T} = \vec{r}'(t)/||\vec{r}'(t)||$. Thus

$$W = \int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{T} \, ds = \int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot \frac{\vec{r}'(t)}{||\vec{r}'(t)||} ||\vec{r}'(t)|| \, dt = \int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{r}'(t) \, dt = \int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r},$$
(14.2)

where the final integral uses the differential $d\vec{r}$ for $\vec{r}'(t) dt$.

These integrals are known as **line integrals over vector fields**. By contrast, the line integrals we dealt with in Section 14.1 are sometimes referred to as **line integrals over scalar fields**. Just as a vector field is defined by a function that returns a vector, a scalar field is a function that returns a scalar, such as z = f(x, y). We waited until now to introduce this terminology so we could contrast the concept with vector fields.

We formally define this line integral, then give examples and applications.

Definition 14.3.1 Line Integral Over A Vector Field

Let \vec{F} be a vector field with continuous components defined on a smooth curve *C*, parametrized by $\vec{r}(t)$, and let \vec{T} be the unit tangent vector of $\vec{r}(t)$. The **line integral over** \vec{F} **along** *C* is

$$\int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_C \vec{F} \cdot \vec{T} \, ds.$$

In Definition 14.3.1, note how the dot product $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{T}$ is just a scalar. Therefore, this new line integral is really just a special kind of line integral found in Section 14.1; letting $f(s) = \vec{F}(s) \cdot \vec{T}(s)$, the right–hand side simply becomes $\int_C f(s) ds$, and we can use the techniques of that section to evaluate the integral. We combine those techniques, along with parts of Equation (14.2), to clearly state how to evaluate a line integral over a vector field in the following Key Idea.

Key Idea 14.3.1 Evaluating a Line Integral Over A Vector Field

Let \vec{F} be a vector field with continuous components defined on a smooth curve C, parametrized by $\vec{r}(t)$, $a \le t \le b$, where \vec{r} is continuously differentiable. Then

$$\int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{T} \, ds = \int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_{a}^{b} \vec{F}(\vec{r}(t)) \cdot \vec{r}'(t) \, dt.$$

An important concept implicit in this Key Idea: we can use any continuously differentiable parametrization $\vec{r}(t)$ of *C* that preserves the orientation of *C*: there isn't a "right" one. In practice, choose one that seems easy to work with.

Notation note: the above Definition and Key Idea implicitly evaluate \vec{F} along the curve *C*, which is parametrized by $\vec{r}(t)$. For instance, if $\vec{F} = \langle x + y, x - y \rangle$ and $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2, \cos t \rangle$, then evaluating \vec{F} along *C* means substituting the *x*- and *y*-components of $\vec{r}(t)$ in for *x* and *y*, respectively, in \vec{F} . Therefore, along *C*, $\vec{F} = \langle x + y, x - y \rangle = \langle t^2 + \cos t, t^2 - \cos t \rangle$. Since we are substituting the *output* of $\vec{r}(t)$ for the *input* of \vec{F} , we write this as $\vec{F}(\vec{r}(t))$. This is a slight abuse of notation as technically the input of \vec{F} is to be a *point*, not a *vector*, but this shorthand is useful.

We use an example to practice evaluating line integrals over vector fields.

Example 14.3.1 Evaluating a line integral over a vector field: computing work

Two particles move from (0,0) to (1,1) under the influence of the force field $\vec{F} = \langle x, x + y \rangle$. One particle follows C_1 , the line y = x; the other follows C_2 , the curve $y = x^4$, as shown in Figure 14.3.1. Force is measured in newtons and distance is measured in meters. Find the work performed by each particle.

SOLUTION To compute work, we need to parametrize each path. We use $\vec{r}_1(t) = \langle t, t \rangle$ to parametrize y = x, and let $\vec{r}_2(t) = \langle t, t^4 \rangle$ parametrize $y = x^4$; for each, $0 \le t \le 1$.

Along the straight–line path, $\vec{F}(\vec{r}_1(t)) = \langle x, x + y \rangle = \langle t, t + t \rangle = \langle t, 2t \rangle$. We find $\vec{r}'_1(t) = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$. The integral that computes work is:

$$\int_{C_1} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_0^1 \langle t, 2t \rangle \cdot \langle 1, 1 \rangle \, dt$$
$$= \int_0^1 3t \, dt$$
$$= \frac{3}{2} t^2 \Big|_0^1 = 1.5 \text{ joules.}$$




Figure 14.3.1: Paths through a vector field in Example 14.3.1.

Along the curve $y = x^4$, $\vec{F}(\vec{r}_2(t)) = \langle x, x + y \rangle = \langle t, t + t^4 \rangle$. We find $\vec{r}'_2(t) = \langle 1, 4t^3 \rangle$. The work performed along this path is

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathcal{C}_2} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} &= \int_0^1 \left\langle t, t + t^4 \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle 1, 4t^3 \right\rangle \, dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(t + 4t^4 + 4t^7 \right) \, dt \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{4}{5}t^5 + \frac{1}{2}t^8 \right) \Big|_0^1 = 1.8 \text{ joules.} \end{split}$$

Note how differing amounts of work are performed along the different paths. This should not be too surprising: the force is variable, one path is longer than the other, etc.

Example 14.3.2 Evaluating a line integral over a vector field: computing work

Two particles move from (-1, 1) to (1, 1) under the influence of a force field $\vec{F} = \langle y, x \rangle$. One moves along the curve C_1 , the parabola defined by $y = 2x^2 - 1$. The other particle moves along the curve C_2 , the bottom half of the circle defined by $x^2 + (y - 1)^2 = 1$, as shown in Figure 14.3.2. Force is measured in pounds




Figure 14.3.2: Paths through a vector field in Example 14.3.2.

and distances are measured in feet. Find the work performed by moving each particle along its path.

SOLUTION We start by parametrizing C_1 : the parametrization $\vec{r}_1(t) = \langle t, 2t^2 - 1 \rangle$ is straightforward, giving $\vec{r}'_1 = \langle 1, 4t \rangle$. On C_1 , $\vec{F}(\vec{r}_1(t)) = \langle y, x \rangle = \langle 2t^2 - 1, t \rangle$.

Computing the work along C_1 , we have:

$$\int_{C_1} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}_1 = \int_{-1}^1 \left\langle 2t^2 - 1, t \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle 1, 4t \right\rangle dt$$
$$= \int_{-1}^1 \left(2t^2 - 1 + 4t^2 \right) dt = 2 \text{ ft-lbs.}$$

For C_2 , it is probably simplest to parametrize the half circle using sine and cosine. Recall that $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t \rangle$ is a parametrization of the unit circle on $0 \le t \le 2\pi$; we add 1 to the second component to shift the circle up one unit, then restrict the domain to $\pi \le t \le 2\pi$ to obtain only the lower half, giving $\vec{r}_2(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t + 1 \rangle$, $\pi \le t \le 2\pi$, and hence $\vec{r}'_2(t) = \langle -\sin t, \cos t \rangle$ and $\vec{F}(\vec{r}_2(t)) = \langle y, x \rangle = \langle \sin t + 1, \cos t \rangle$.

Computing the work along C_2 , we have:

$$\int_{C_2} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}_2 = \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} \langle \sin t + 1, \cos t \rangle \cdot \langle -\sin t, \cos t \rangle \, dt$$
$$= \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} \left(-\sin^2 t - \sin t + \cos^2 t \right) \, dt = 2 \text{ ft-lbs.}$$

Note how the work along C_1 and C_2 in this example is the same. We'll address why later in this section when *conservative fields* and *path independence* are discussed.

Properties of Line Integrals Over Vector Fields

Line integrals over vector fields share the same properties as line integrals over scalar fields, with one important distinction. The orientation of the curve *C* matters with line integrals over vector fields, whereas it did not matter with line integrals over scalar fields.

It is relatively easy to see why. Let *C* be the unit circle. The area under a surface over *C* is the same whether we traverse the circle in a clockwise or counterclockwise fashion, hence the line integral over a scalar field on *C* is the same irrespective of orientation. On the other hand, if we are computing work done by a force field, direction of travel definitely matters. Opposite directions create

opposite signs when computing dot products, so traversing the circle in opposite directions will create line integrals that differ by a factor of -1.

Theorem 14.3.1 Properties of Line Integrals Over Vector Fields

1. Let \vec{F} and \vec{G} be vector fields with continuous components defined on a smooth curve *C*, parametrized by $\vec{r}(t)$, and let k_1 and k_2 be scalars. Then

$$\int_C \left(k_1 \vec{F} + k_2 \vec{G} \right) \cdot d\vec{r} = k_1 \int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} + k_2 \int_C \vec{G} \cdot d\vec{r}.$$

 Let C be piecewise smooth, composed of smooth components C₁ and C₂. Then

$$\int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_{C_1} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} + \int_{C_2} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}.$$

3. Let C^* be the curve *C* with opposite orientation, parametrized by \vec{r}^* . Then

$$\int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = - \int_{C^*} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}^*.$$

We demonstrate using these properties in the following example.

Example 14.3.3 Using properties of line integrals over vector fields

Let $\vec{F} = \langle 3(y - 1/2), 1 \rangle$ and let *C* be the path that starts at (0, 0), goes to (1, 1) along the curve $y = x^3$, then returns to (0, 0) along the line y = x, as shown in Figure 14.3.3. Evaluate $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$.

SOLUTION As *C* is piecewise smooth, we break it into two components C_1 and C_2 , where C_1 follows the curve $y = x^3$ and C_2 follows the curve y = x. We parametrize C_1 with $\vec{r}_1(t) = \langle t, t^3 \rangle$ on $0 \le t \le 1$, with $\vec{r}'_1(t) = \langle 1, 3t^2 \rangle$.

We will use $\vec{F}(\vec{r}_1(t)) = \langle 3(t^3 - 1/2), 1 \rangle$.

While we always have unlimited ways in which to parametrize a curve, there are 2 "direct" methods to choose from when parametrizing C_2 . The parametrization $\vec{r}_2(t) = \langle t, t \rangle$, $0 \le t \le 1$ traces the correct line segment but with the wrong orientation. Using Property 3 of Theorem 14.3.1, we can use this parametrization and negate the result.

Another choice is to use the techniques of Section 10.5 to create the line with the orientation we desire. We wish to start at (1,1) and travel in the




Figure 14.3.3: The vector field and curve in Example 14.3.3.

 $\vec{d} = \langle -1, -1 \rangle$ direction for one length of \vec{d} , giving equation $\vec{\ell}(t) = \langle 1, 1 \rangle + t \langle -1, -1 \rangle = \langle 1 - t, 1 - t \rangle$ on $0 \le t \le 1$.

Either choice is fine; we choose $\vec{r}_2(t)$ to practice using line integral properties. We find $\vec{r}'_2(t) = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{F}(\vec{r}_2(t)) = \langle 3(t-1/2), 1 \rangle$.

Evaluating the line integral (note how we subtract the integral over C_2 as the orientation of $\vec{r}_2(t)$ is opposite):

$$\begin{split} \oint_{C} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} &= \int_{C_{1}} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}_{1} - \int_{C_{2}} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}_{2} \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} \left\langle 3(t^{3} - 1/2), 1 \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle 1, 3t^{2} \right\rangle dt - \int_{0}^{1} \left\langle 3(t - 1/2), 1 \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle 1, 1 \right\rangle dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} \left(3t^{3} + 3t^{2} - 3/2 \right) dt - \int_{0}^{1} \left(3t - 1/2 \right) dt \\ &= (1/4) - (1) \\ &= -3/4. \end{split}$$

If we interpret this integral as computing work, the negative work implies that the motion is mostly *against* the direction of the force, which seems plausible when we look at Figure 14.3.3.

Example 14.3.4 Evaluating a line integral over a vector field in space

Let $\vec{F} = \langle -y, x, 1 \rangle$, and let *C* be the portion of the helix given by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t, t/(2\pi) \rangle$ on $[0, 2\pi]$, as shown in Figure 14.3.4. Evaluate $\int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$.

SOLUTION A parametrization is already given for *C*, so we just need to find $\vec{F}(\vec{r}(t))$ and $\vec{r}'(t)$.

We have $\vec{F}(\vec{r}(t)) = \langle -\sin t, \cos t, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{r}'(t) = \langle -\sin t, \cos t, 1/(2\pi) \rangle$. Thus

$$\int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \langle -\sin t, \cos t, 1 \rangle \cdot \langle -\sin t, \cos t, 1/(2\pi) \rangle dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\sin^{2} t + \cos^{2} t + \frac{1}{2\pi} \right) dt$$
$$= 2\pi + 1 \approx 7.28.$$

The Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals

We are preparing to make important statements about the value of certain line integrals over special vector fields. Before we can do that, we need to define some terms that describe the domains over which a vector field is defined.




Figure 14.3.4: The graph of $\vec{r}(t)$ in Example 14.3.4.

A region in the plane is **connected** if any two points in the region can be joined by a piecewise smooth curve that lies entirely in the region. In Figure 14.3.5, sets R_1 and R_2 are connected; set R_3 is not connected, though it is composed of two connected subregions.

A region is **simply connected** if every simple closed curve that lies entirely in the region can be continuously deformed (shrunk) to a single point without leaving the region. (A curve is **simple** if it does not cross itself.) In Figure 14.3.5, only set R_1 is simply connected. Region R_2 is not simply connected as any closed curve that goes around the "hole" in R_2 cannot be continously shrunk to a single point. As R_3 is not even connected, it cannot be simply connected, though again it consists of two simply connected subregions.

We have applied these terms to regions of the plane, but they can be extended intuitively to domains in space (and hyperspace). In Figure 14.3.6(a), the domain bounded by the sphere (at left) and the domain with a subsphere removed (at right) are both simply connected. Any simple closed path that lies entirely within these domains can be continuously deformed into a single point. In Figure 14.3.6(b), neither domain is simply connected. A left, the ball has a hole that extends its length and the pictured closed path cannot be deformed to a point. At right, two paths are illustrated on the torus that cannot be shrunk to a point.

We will use the terms connected and simply connected in subsequent definitions and theorems.

Recall how in Example 14.3.2 particles moved from A = (-1, 1) to B = (1, 1) along two different paths, wherein the same amount of work was performed along each path. It turns out that regardless of the choice of path from A to B, the amount of work performed under the field $\vec{F} = \langle y, x \rangle$ is the same. Since our expectation is that differing amounts of work are performed along different paths, we give such special fields a name.

Definition 14.3.2 Conservative Field, Path Independent

Let \vec{F} be a vector field defined on an open, connected domain D in the plane or in space containing points A and B. If the line integral $\int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$ has the same value for all choices of paths C starting at A and ending at B, then

- \vec{F} is a **conservative field** and
- The line integral $\int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$ is **path independent** and can be written as

$$\int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_A^B \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}.$$




Figure 14.3.5: R_1 is simply connected; R_2 is connected, but not simply connected; R_3 is not connected.






Figure 14.3.6: The domains in (a) are simply connected, while the domains in (b) are not.

When \vec{F} is a conservative field, the line integral from points A to B is sometimes written as $\int_{A}^{B} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$ to emphasize the independence of its value from the choice of path; all that matters are the beginning and ending points of the path.

How can we tell if a field is conservative? To show a field \vec{F} is conservative using the definition, we need to show that *all* line integrals from points *A* to *B* have the same value. It is equivalent to show that *all* line integrals over closed paths *C* are 0. Each of these tasks are generally nontrivial.

There is a simpler method. Consider the surface defined by z = f(x, y) = xy. We can compute the gradient of this function: $\nabla f = \langle f_x, f_y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$. Note that this is the field from Example 14.3.2, which we have claimed is conservative. We will soon give a theorem that states that a field \vec{F} is conservative if, and only if, it is the gradient of some scalar function f. To show \vec{F} is conservative, we need to determine whether or not $\vec{F} = \nabla f$ for some function f. (We'll later see that there is a yet simpler method). To recognize the special relationship between \vec{F} and f in this situation, f is given a name.

Definition 14.3.3 Potential Function

Let *f* be a differentiable function defined on a domain *D* in the plane or in space (i.e., z = f(x, y) or w = f(x, y, z)) and let $\vec{F} = \nabla f$, the gradient of *f*. Then *f* is a **potential function** of \vec{F} .

We now state the Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals, which connects conservative fields and path independence to fields with potential functions.

Theorem 14.3.2 Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals

Let \vec{F} be a vector field whose components are continuous on a connected domain *D* in the plane or in space, let *A* and *B* be any points in *D*, and let *C* be any path in *D* starting at *A* and ending at *B*.

- 1. \vec{F} is conservative if and only if there exists a differentiable function f such that $\vec{F} = \nabla f$.
- 2. If \vec{F} is conservative, then

$$\int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_A^B \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = f(B) - f(A).$$

Once again considering Example 14.3.2, we have A = (-1, 1), B = (1, 1)

and $\vec{F} = \langle y, x \rangle$. In that example, we evaluated two line integrals from A to B and found the value of each was 2. Note that f(x, y) = xy is a potential function for \vec{F} . Following the Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals, consider f(B) - f(A):

$$f(B) - f(A) = f(1, 1) - f(-1, 1) = 1 - (-1) = 2,$$

the same value given by the line integrals.

We practice using this theorem again in the next example.

Example 14.3.5 Using the Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals

Let $\vec{F} = \langle 3x^2y + 2x, x^3 + 1 \rangle$, A = (0, 1) and B = (1, 4). Use the first part of the Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals to show that \vec{F} is conservative, then choose any path from A to B and confirm the second part of the theorem.

SOLUTION To show \vec{F} is conservative, we need to find z = f(x, y) such that $\vec{F} = \nabla f = \langle f_x, f_y \rangle$. That is, we need to find f such that $f_x = 3x^2y + 2x$ and $f_y = x^3 + 1$. As all we know about f are its partial derivatives, we recover f by integration:

$$\int \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \, dx = f(x, y) + C(y).$$

Note how the constant of integration is more than "just a constant": it is anything that acts as a constant when taking a derivative with respect to x. Any function that is a function of y (containing no x's) acts as a constant when deriving with respect to x.

Integrating f_x in this example gives:

$$\int \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \, dx = \int (3x^2y + 2x) \, dx = x^3y + x^2 + C(y).$$

Likewise, integrating f_y with respect to y gives:

$$\int \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \, dy = \int (x^3 + 1) \, dy = x^3 y + y + C(x)$$

These two results should be equal with appropriate choices of C(x) and C(y):

$$x^3y + x^2 + C(y) = x^3y + y + C(x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad C(x) = x^2 \quad \text{and} \quad C(y) = y.$$

We find $f(x, y) = x^3y + x^2 + y$, a potential function of \vec{F} . (If \vec{F} were not conservative, no choice of C(x) and C(y) would give equality.)

By the Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals, regardless of the path from *A* to *B*,

$$\int_{A}^{B} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = f(B) - f(A)$$

= f(1, 4) - f(0, 1)
= 9 - 1 = 8.

To illustrate the validity of the Fundamental Theorem, we pick a path from *A* to *B*. The line between these two points would be simple to construct; we choose a slightly more complicated path by choosing the parabola $y = x^2 + 2x + 1$. This leads to the parametrization $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t^2 + 2t + 1 \rangle$, $0 \le t \le 1$, with $\vec{r}'(t) = \langle t, 2t + 2 \rangle$. Thus

$$\int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_{C} \vec{F}(\vec{r}(t)) \cdot \vec{r}'(t) dt$$

= $\int_{0}^{1} \langle 3(t)(t^{2} + 2t + 1) + 2t, t^{3} + 1 \rangle \cdot \langle t, 2t + 2 \rangle dt$
= $\int_{0}^{1} (5t^{4} + 8t^{3} + 3t^{2} + 4t + 2) dt$
= $(t^{5} + 2t^{4} + t^{3} + 2t^{2} + 2t) \Big|_{0}^{1}$
= 8,

which matches our previous result.

The Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals states that we can determine whether or not \vec{F} is conservative by determining whether or not \vec{F} has a potential function. This can be difficult. A simpler method exists if the domain of \vec{F} is simply connected (not just connected as needed in the Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals), which is a reasonable requirement. We state this simpler method as a theorem.

Theorem 14.3.3 Curl of Conservative Fields

Let \vec{F} be a vector field whose components are continuous on a simply connected domain D in the plane or in space. Then \vec{F} is conservative if and only if curl $\vec{F} = 0$ or $\vec{0}$, respectively.

In Example 14.3.5, we showed that $\vec{F} = \langle 3x^2y + 2x, x^3 + 1 \rangle$ is conservative by finding a potential function for \vec{F} . Using the above theorem, we can show that \vec{F} is conservative much more easily by computing its curl:

curl
$$\vec{F} = N_x - M_y = 3x^2 - 3x^2 = 0$$
.

Exercises 14.3

Terms and Concepts

- T/F: In practice, the evaluation of line integrals over vector fields involves computing the magnitude of a vector-valued function.
- 2. Let $\vec{F}(x, y)$ be a vector field in the plane and let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a two–dimensional vector–valued function. Why is " $\vec{F}(\vec{r}(t))$ " an "abuse of notation"?
- 3. T/F: The orientation of a curve *C* matters when computing a line integral over a vector field.
- 4. T/F: The orientation of a curve *C* matters when computing a line integral over a scalar field.
- 5. Under "reasonable conditions," if curl $\vec{F} = \vec{0}$, what can we conclude about the vector field \vec{F} ?
- 6. Let \vec{F} be a conservative field and let *C* be a closed curve. Why are we able to conclude that $\oint_{C} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = 0$?

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 12, a vector field \vec{F} and a curve *C* are given. Evaluate $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$.

- 7. $\vec{F} = \langle y, y^2 \rangle$; *C* is the line segment from (0, 0) to (3, 1).
- 8. $\vec{F} = \langle x, x + y \rangle$; *C* is the portion of the parabola $y = x^2$ from (0,0) to (1,1).
- F = ⟨y,x⟩; C is the top half of the unit circle, beginning at (1,0) and ending at (-1,0).
- 10. $\vec{F} = \langle xy, x \rangle$; *C* is the portion of the curve $y = x^3$ on $-1 \le x \le 1$.
- 11. $\vec{F} = \langle z, x^2, y \rangle$; C is the line segment from (1, 2, 3) to (4, 3, 2).
- 12. $\vec{F} = \langle y + z, x + z, x + y \rangle$; *C* is the helix $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t, t/(2\pi) \rangle$ on $0 \le t \le 2\pi$.

In Exercises 13 – 16, find the work performed by the force field \vec{F} moving a particle along the path *C*.

- 13. $\vec{F} = \langle y, x^2 \rangle$ N; C is the segment of the line y = x from (0, 0) to (1, 1), where distances are measured in meters.
- 14. $\vec{F} = \langle y, x^2 \rangle$ N; C is the portion of $y = \sqrt{x}$ from (0,0) to (1,1), where distances are measured in meters.
- 15. $\vec{F} = \langle 2xy, x^2, 1 \rangle$ lbs; *C* is the path from (0, 0, 0) to (2, 4, 8) via $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t^2, t^3 \rangle$ on $0 \leq t \leq 2$, where distance are measured in feet.
- 16. $\vec{F} = \langle 2xy, x^2, 1 \rangle$ lbs; *C* is the path from (0, 0, 0) to (2, 4, 8) via $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, 2t, 4t \rangle$ on $0 \leq t \leq 2$, where distance are measured in feet.

In Exercises 17 – 20, a conservative vector field \vec{F} and a curve *C* are given.

- **1.** Find a potential function *f* for \vec{F} .
- **2.** Compute curl \vec{F} .
- **3.** Evaluate $\int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$ directly, i.e., using Key Idea 14.3.1.
- 4. Evaluate $\int_{c} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$ using the Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals.
- 17. $\vec{F} = \langle y + 1, x \rangle$, *C* is the line segment from (0, 1) to (1, 0).
- 18. $\vec{F} = \langle 2x + y, 2y + x \rangle$, *C* is curve parametrized by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2 t, t^3 t \rangle$ on $0 \le t \le 1$.
- 19. $\vec{F} = \langle 2xyz, x^2z, x^2y \rangle$, *C* is curve parametrized by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2t+1, 3t-1, t \rangle$ on $0 \le t \le 2$.
- 20. $\vec{F} = \langle 2x, 2y, 2z \rangle$, *C* is curve parametrized by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t, \sin(2t) \rangle$ on $0 \le t \le 2\pi$.
- 21. Prove part of Theorem 14.3.3: let $\vec{F} = \langle M, N, P \rangle$ be a conservative vector field. Show that curl $\vec{F} = 0$.




Figure 14.4.1: Illustrating the principles of flow and flux.




Figure 14.4.2: Determining "counterclockwise" is not always simple without a good definition.

14.4 Flow, Flux, Green's Theorem and the Divergence Theorem

Flow and Flux

Line integrals over vector fields have the natural interpretation of computing work when \vec{F} represents a force field. It is also common to use vector fields to represent velocities. In these cases, the line integral $\int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$ is said to represent flow.

Let the vector field $\vec{F} = \langle 1, 0 \rangle$ represent the velocity of water as it moves across a smooth surface, depicted in Figure 14.4.1. A line integral over *C* will compute "how much water is moving *along* the path *C*."

In the figure, "all" of the water above C_1 is moving along that curve, whereas "none" of the water above C_2 is moving along that curve (the curve and the flow of water are at right angles to each other). Because C_3 has nonzero horizontal and vertical components, "some" of the water above that curve is moving along the curve.

When *C* is a closed curve, we call flow **circulation**, represented by $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$.

The "opposite" of flow is **flux**, a measure of "how much water is moving *across* the path *C*." If a curve represents a filter in flowing water, flux measures how much water will pass through the filter. Considering again Figure 14.4.1, we see that a screen along C_1 will not filter any water as no water passes across that curve. Because of the nature of this field, C_2 and C_3 each filter the same amount of water per second.

The terms "flow" and "flux" are used apart from velocity fields, too. Flow is measured by $\int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$, which is the same as $\int_C \vec{F} \cdot \vec{T} \, ds$ by Definition 14.3.1. That is, flow is a summation of the amount of \vec{F} that is *tangent* to the curve *C*.

By contrast, flux is a summation of the amount of \vec{F} that is orthogonal to the direction of travel. To capture this orthogonal amount of \vec{F} , we use $\int_C \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds$ to measure flux, where \vec{n} is a unit vector orthogonal to the curve C. (Later, we'll measure flux across surfaces, too. For example, in physics it is useful to measure the amount of a magnetic field that passes through a surface.)

How is \vec{n} determined? We'll later see that if *C* is a closed curve, we'll want \vec{n} to point to the outside of the curve (measuring how much is "going out"). We'll also adopt the convention that closed curves should be traversed counterclockwise.

(If *C* is a complicated closed curve, it can be difficult to determine what "counterclockwise" means. Consider Figure 14.4.2. Seeing the curve as a whole, we know which way "counterclockwise" is. If we zoom in on point *A*, one might incorrectly choose to traverse the path in the wrong direction. So we offer this definition: *a closed curve is being traversed counterclockwise if the outside is to the right of the path and the inside is to the left.*)

When a curve C is traversed counterclockwise by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle f(t), g(t) \rangle$, we rotate \vec{T} clockwise 90° to obtain \vec{n} :

$$ec{T} = rac{\langle f'(t), g'(t)
angle}{|| ec{r}'(t) ||} \quad \Rightarrow \quad ec{n} = rac{\langle g'(t), -f'(t)
angle}{|| ec{r}'(t) ||}.$$

Letting $\vec{F} = \langle M, N \rangle$, we calculate flux as:

$$\int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds = \int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot \frac{\langle g'(t), -f'(t) \rangle}{||\vec{r}'(t)||} ||\vec{r}'(t)|| \, dt$$
$$= \int_{C} \langle M, N \rangle \cdot \langle g'(t), -f'(t) \rangle \, dt$$
$$= \int_{C} \left(M g'(t) - N f'(t) \right) dt$$
$$= \int_{C} M g'(t) \, dt - \int_{C} N f'(t) \, dt.$$

As the x and y components of $\vec{r}(t)$ are f(t) and g(t) respectively, the differentials of x and y are dx = f'(t)dt and dy = g'(t)dt. We can then write the above integrals as:

$$=\int_C M\,dy-\int_C N\,dx.$$

This is often written as one integral (not incorrectly, though somewhat confusingly, as this one integral has two "d's"):

$$=\int_C M\,dy-N\,dx.$$

We summarize the above in the following definition.




Figure 14.4.3: Illustrating the curves and vector fields in Example 14.4.1. In (a) the vector field is \vec{F}_1 , and in (b) the vector field is \vec{F}_2 .

Definition 14.4.1 Flow, Flux

Let $\vec{F} = \langle M, N \rangle$ be a vector field with continuous components defined on a smooth curve *C*, parametrized by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle f(t), g(t) \rangle$, let \vec{T} be the unit tangent vector of $\vec{r}(t)$, and let \vec{n} be the clockwise 90° degree rotation of \vec{T} .

• The **flow** of \vec{F} along C is

$$\int_C \vec{F} \cdot \vec{T} \, ds = \int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}.$$

• The **flux** of \vec{F} across *C* is

$$\int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds = \int_{C} M \, dy - N \, dx = \int_{C} \left(M \, g'(t) - N f'(t) \right) dt.$$

This definition of flow also holds for curves in space, though it does not make sense to measure "flux across a curve" in space.

Measuring flow is essentially the same as finding work performed by a force as done in the previous examples. Therefore we practice finding only flux in the following example.

Example 14.4.1 Finding flux across curves in the plane

Curves C_1 and C_2 each start at (1, 0) and end at (0, 1), where C_1 follows the line y = 1 - x and C_2 follows the unit circle, as shown in Figure 14.4.3. Find the flux across both curves for the vector fields $\vec{F}_1 = \langle y, -x + 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{F}_2 = \langle -x, 2y - x \rangle$.

SOLUTION We begin by finding parametrizations of C_1 and C_2 . As done in Example 14.3.3, parametrize C_1 by creating the line that starts at (1,0) and moves in the $\langle -1, 1 \rangle$ direction: $\vec{r}_1(t) = \langle 1, 0 \rangle + t \langle -1, 1 \rangle = \langle 1 - t, t \rangle$, for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. We parametrize C_2 with the familiar $\vec{r}_2(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t \rangle$ on $0 \leq t \leq \pi/2$. For reference later, we give each function and its derivative below:

$$ec{r}_1(t) = \langle 1-t,t \rangle, \quad ec{r}_1'(t) = \langle -1,1 \rangle.$$

$$ec{r}_2(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t \rangle, \quad ec{r}_2'(t) = \langle -\sin t, \cos t \rangle$$

When $\vec{F} = \vec{F}_1 = \langle y, -x + 1 \rangle$ (as shown in Figure 14.4.3(a)), over C_1 we have M = y = t and N = -x + 1 = -(1 - t) + 1 = t. Using Definition 14.4.1, we compute the flux:

$$\int_{C_1} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds = \int_{C_1} \left(M g'(t) - N f'(t) \right) dt$$
$$= \int_0^1 \left(t(1) - t(-1) \right) dt$$
$$= \int_0^1 2t \, dt$$
$$= 1.$$

Over C_2 , we have $M = y = \sin t$ and $N = -x + 1 = 1 - \cos t$. Thus the flux across C_2 is:

$$\int_{C_1} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds = \int_{C_1} \left(M \, g'(t) - N f'(t) \right) \, dt$$

= $\int_0^{\pi/2} \left((\sin t) (\cos t) - (1 - \cos t) (-\sin t) \right) \, dt$
= $\int_0^{\pi/2} \sin t \, dt$
= 1.

Notice how the flux was the same across both curves. This won't hold true when we change the vector field.

When $\vec{F} = \vec{F}_2 = \langle -x, 2y - x \rangle$ (as shown in Figure 14.4.3(b)), over C_1 we have M = -x = t - 1 and N = 2y - x = 2t - (1 - t) = 3t - 1. Computing the flux across C_1 :

$$\int_{C_1} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds = \int_{C_1} \left(M \, g'(t) - N f'(t) \right) dt$$
$$= \int_0^1 \left((t-1)(1) - (3t-1)(-1) \right) dt$$
$$= \int_0^1 (4t-2) \, dt$$
$$= 0.$$

Over C_2 , we have $M = -x = -\cos t$ and $N = 2y - x = 2\sin t - \cos t$. Thus the flux across C_2 is:

$$\int_{C_1} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds = \int_{C_1} \left(M \, g'(t) - N f'(t) \right) dt$$

= $\int_0^{\pi/2} \left((-\cos t) (\cos t) - (2\sin t - \cos t) (-\sin t) \right) dt$
= $\int_0^{\pi/2} \left(2\sin^2 t - \sin t \cos t - \cos^2 t \right) dt$
= $\pi/4 - 1/2 \approx 0.285.$

We analyze the results of this example below.

In Example 14.4.1, we saw that the flux across the two curves was the same when the vector field was $\vec{F}_1 = \langle y, -x + 1 \rangle$. This is not a coincidence. We show why they are equal in Example 14.4.6. In short, the reason is this: the divergence of \vec{F}_1 is 0, and when div $\vec{F} = 0$, the flux across any two paths with common beginning and ending points will be the same.

We also saw in the example that the flux across C_1 was 0 when the field was $\vec{F}_2 = \langle -x, 2y - x \rangle$. Flux measures "how much" of the field crosses the path from left to right (following the conventions established before). Positive flux means most of the field is crossing from left to right; negative flux means most of the field is crossing from right to left; zero flux means the same amount crosses from each side. When we consider Figure 14.4.3(b), it seems plausible that the same amount of \vec{F}_2 was crossing C_1 from left to right as from right to left.

Green's Theorem

There is an important connection between the circulation around a closed region R and the curl of the vector field inside of R, as well as a connection between the flux across the boundary of R and the divergence of the field inside R. These connections are described by Green's Theorem and the Divergence Theorem, respectively. We'll explore each in turn.

Green's Theorem states "the counterclockwise circulation around a closed region *R* is equal to the sum of the curls over *R*."

Theorem 14.4.1 Green's Theorem

Let *R* be a closed, bounded region of the plane whose boundary *C* is composed of finitely many smooth curves, let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a counterclockwise parametrization of *C*, and let $\vec{F} = \langle M, N \rangle$ where N_x and M_y are continuous over *R*. Then

$$\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \iint_R \operatorname{curl} \vec{F} \, dA.$$

We'll explore Green's Theorem through an example.

Example 14.4.2 Confirming Green's Theorem

Let $\vec{F} = \langle -y, x^2 + 1 \rangle$ and let *R* be the region of the plane bounded by the triangle with vertices (-1, 0), (1, 0) and (0, 2), shown in Figure 14.4.4. Verify Green's Theorem; that is, find the circulation of \vec{F} around the boundary of *R* and show that is equal to the double integral of curl \vec{F} over *R*.

SOLUTION The curve *C* that bounds *R* is composed of 3 lines. While we need to traverse the boundary of *R* in a counterclockwise fashion, we may start anywhere we choose. We arbitrarily choose to start at (-1, 0), move to (1, 0), etc., with each line parametrized by $\vec{r_1}(t)$, $\vec{r_2}(t)$ and $\vec{r_3}(t)$, respectively.

We leave it to the reader to confirm that the following parametrizations of the three lines are accurate:

The circulation around C is found by summing the flow along each of the sides of the triangle. We again leave it to the reader to confirm the following computations:

$$\int_{C_1} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}_1 = \int_0^1 \langle 0, (2t-1)^2 + 1 \rangle \cdot \langle 2, 0 \rangle dt = 0,$$

$$\int_{C_2} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}_2 = \int_0^1 \langle -2t, (1-t)^2 + 1 \rangle \cdot \langle -1, 2 \rangle dt = 11/3, \text{and}$$

$$\int_{C_3} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}_3 = \int_0^1 \langle 2t - 2, t^2 + 1 \rangle \cdot \langle -1, -2 \rangle dt = -5/3.$$

The circulation is the sum of the flows: 2.

We confirm Green's Theorem by computing $\iint_R \operatorname{curl} \vec{F} \, dA$. We find $\operatorname{curl} \vec{F} = 2x+1$. The region *R* is bounded by the lines y = 2x+2, y = -2x+2 and y = 0. Integrating with the order $dx \, dy$ is most straightforward, leading to

$$\int_0^2 \int_{y/2-1}^{1-y/2} (2x+1) \, dx \, dy = \int_0^2 (2-y) \, dy = 2$$

which matches our previous measurement of circulation.

Example 14.4.3 Using Green's Theorem

Let $\vec{F} = \langle \sin x, \cos y \rangle$ and let *R* be the region enclosed by the curve *C* parametrized by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2\cos t + \frac{1}{10}\cos(10t), 2\sin t + \frac{1}{10}\sin(10t) \rangle$ on $0 \le t \le 2\pi$, as shown in Figure 14.4.5. Find the circulation around *C*.




Figure 14.4.4: The vector field and planar region used in Example 14.4.2.




Figure 14.4.5: The vector field and planar region used in Example 14.4.3.

SOLUTION Computing the circulation directly using the line integral looks difficult, as the integrand will include terms like "sin $(2 \cos t + \frac{1}{10} \cos(10t))$."

Green's Theorem states that $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \iint_R \operatorname{curl} \vec{F} \, dA$; since $\operatorname{curl} \vec{F} = 0$ in this example, the double integral is simply 0 and hence the circulation is 0.

Since curl $\vec{F} = 0$, we can conclude that the circulation is 0 in two ways. One method is to employ Green's Theorem as done above. The second way is to recognize that \vec{F} is a conservative field, hence there is a function z = f(x, y) wherein $\vec{F} = \nabla f$. Let *A* be any point on the curve *C*; since *C* is closed, we can say that *C* "begins" and "ends" at *A*. By the Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals, $\oint_C \vec{F} d\vec{r} = f(A) - f(A) = 0$.

One can use Green's Theorem to find the area of an enclosed region by integrating along its boundary. Let *C* be a closed curve, enclosing the region *R*, parametrized by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle f(t), g(t) \rangle$. We know the area of *R* is computed by the double integral $\iint_R dA$, where the integrand is 1. By creating a field \vec{F} where curl $\vec{F} = 1$, we can employ Green's Theorem to compute the area of *R* as $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$.

One is free to choose any field \vec{F} to use as long as curl $\vec{F} = 1$. Common choices are $\vec{F} = \langle 0, x \rangle$, $\vec{F} = \langle -y, 0 \rangle$ and $\vec{F} = \langle -y/2, x/2 \rangle$. We demonstrate this below.

Example 14.4.4 Using Green's Theorem to find area

Let *C* be the closed curve parametrized by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t - t^3, t^2 \rangle$ on $-1 \leq t \leq 1$, enclosing the region *R*, as shown in Figure 14.4.6. Find the area of *R*.

SOLUTION We can choose any field \vec{F} , as long as curl $\vec{F} = 1$. We choose $\vec{F} = \langle -y, 0 \rangle$. We also confirm (left to the reader) that $\vec{r}(t)$ traverses the region R in a counterclockwise fashion. Thus

Area of
$$R = \iint_R dA$$

$$= \oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$$

$$= \int_{-1}^1 \langle -t^2, 0 \rangle \cdot \langle 1 - 3t^2, 2t \rangle dt$$

$$= \int_{-1}^1 (-t^2)(1 - 3t^2) dt$$

$$= \frac{8}{15}.$$




Figure 14.4.6: The region *R*, whose area is found in Example 14.4.4.

The Divergence Theorem

Green's Theorem makes a connection between the circulation around a closed region R and the sum of the curls over R. The Divergence Theorem makes a somewhat "opposite" connection: the total flux across the boundary of R is equal to the sum of the divergences over R.

Theorem 14.4.2 The Divergence Theorem (in the plane)

Let *R* be a closed, bounded region of the plane whose boundary *C* is composed of finitely many smooth curves, let $\vec{r}(t)$ be a counterclockwise parametrization of *C*, and let $\vec{F} = \langle M, N \rangle$ where M_x and N_y are continuous over *R*. Then

 $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds = \iint_R \operatorname{div} \vec{F} \, dA.$

Example 14.4.5 Confirming the Divergence Theorem

Let $\vec{F} = \langle x - y, x + y \rangle$, let *C* be the circle of radius 2 centered at the origin and define *R* to be the interior of that circle, as shown in Figure 14.4.7. Verify the Divergence Theorem; that is, find the flux across *C* and show it is equal to the double integral of div \vec{F} over *R*.

SOLUTION We parametrize the circle in the usual way, with $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2\cos t, 2\sin t \rangle$, $0 \le t \le 2\pi$. The flux across *C* is

$$\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds = \oint_C \left(Mg'(t) - Nf'(t) \right) \, dt$$

= $\int_0^{2\pi} \left((2\cos t - 2\sin t)(2\cos t) - (2\cos t + 2\sin t)(-2\sin t) \right) \, dt$
= $\int_0^{2\pi} 4 \, dt = 8\pi.$

We compute the divergence of \vec{F} as div $\vec{F} = M_x + N_y = 2$. Since the divergence is constant, we can compute the following double integral easily:

$$\iint_{R} \operatorname{div} \vec{F} \, dA = \iint_{R} 2 \, dA = 2 \iint_{R} dA = 2 (\operatorname{area of} R) = 8\pi$$

which matches our previous result.

Example 14.4.6 Flux when div $\vec{F} = 0$ Let \vec{F} be any field where div $\vec{F} = 0$, and let C_1 and C_2 be any two nonintersecting




Figure 14.4.7: The region *R* used in Example 14.4.5.




Figure 14.4.8: As used in Example 14.4.6, the vector field has a divergence of 0 and the two paths only intersect at their initial and terminal points.

paths, except that each begin at point A and end at point B (see Figure 14.4.8). Show why the flux across C_1 and C_2 is the same.

SOLUTION By referencing Figure 14.4.8, we see we can make a closed path *C* that combines C_1 with C_2 , where C_2 is traversed with its opposite orientation. We label the enclosed region *R*. Since div $\vec{F} = 0$, the Divergence Theorem states that

$$\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds = \iint_R \operatorname{div} \vec{F} \, dA = \iint_R 0 \, dA = 0.$$

Using the properties and notation given in Theorem 14.3.1, consider:

$$0 = \oint_C \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds$$
$$= \int_{C_1} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds + \int_{C_2^*} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds$$

(where C_2^* is the path C_2 traversed with opposite orientation)

$$= \int_{C_1} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds - \int_{C_2} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds.$$
$$\int_{C_2} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds = \int_{C_1} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds.$$

Thus the flux across each path is equal.

In this section, we have investigated flow and flux, quantities that measure interactions between a vector field and a planar curve. We can also measure flow along spatial curves, though as mentioned before, it does not make sense to measure flux across spatial curves.

It does, however, make sense to measure the amount of a vector field that passes across a surface in space – i.e, the flux across a surface. We will study this, though in the next section we first learn about a more powerful way to describe surfaces than using functions of the form z = f(x, y).

Exercises 14.4

Terms and Concepts

- Let \$\vec{F}\$ be a vector field and let \$C\$ be a curve. Flow is a measure of the amount of \$\vec{F}\$ going ______ C; flux is a measure of the amount of \$\vec{F}\$ going ______ C.
- 2. What is circulation?
- Green's Theorem states, informally, that the circulation around a closed curve that bounds a region *R* is equal to the sum of ______ across *R*.
- The Divergence Theorem states, informally, that the outward flux across a closed curve that bounds a region *R* is equal to the sum of ______ across *R*.
- 5. Let \vec{F} be a vector field and let C_1 and C_2 be any nonintersecting paths except that each starts at point *A* and ends at point *B*. If ______= 0, then $\int_{C_1} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{T} \, ds = \int_{C_2} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{T} \, ds$.
- 6. Let \vec{F} be a vector field and let C_1 and C_2 be any nonintersecting paths except that each starts at point A and ends at point B. If _____= 0, then $\int_{C_1} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds = \int_{C_2} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds$.

Problems

In Exercises 7 – 12, a vector field \vec{F} and a curve *C* are given. Evaluate $\int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds$, the flux of \vec{F} over *C*.

- 7. $\vec{F} = \langle x + y, x y \rangle$; *C* is the curve with initial and terminal points (3, -2) and (3, 2), respectively, parametrized by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3t^2, 2t \rangle$ on $-1 \le t \le 1$.
- 8. $\vec{F} = \langle x + y, x y \rangle$; *C* is the curve with initial and terminal points (3, -2) and (3, 2), respectively, parametrized by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3, t \rangle$ on $-2 \le t \le 2$.
- 9. $\vec{F} = \langle x^2, y + 1 \rangle$; C is line segment from (0, 0) to (2, 4).
- 10. $\vec{F} = \langle x^2, y+1 \rangle$; *C* is the portion of the parabola $y = x^2$ from (0,0) to (2,4).
- 11. $\vec{F} = \langle y, 0 \rangle$; *C* is the line segment from (0, 0) to (0, 1).
- 12. $\vec{F} = \langle y, 0 \rangle$; *C* is the line segment from (0, 0) to (1, 1).

In Exercises 13 – 16, a vector field \vec{F} and a closed curve *C*, enclosing a region *R*, are given. Verify Green's Theorem by eval-

uating $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$ and $\iint_R \operatorname{curl} \vec{F} dA$, showing they are equal.

- 13. $\vec{F} = \langle x y, x + y \rangle$; *C* is the closed curve composed of the parabola $y = x^2$ on $0 \le x \le 2$ followed by the line segment from (2, 4) to (0, 0).
- 14. $\vec{F} = \langle -y, x \rangle$; *C* is the unit circle.
- 15. $\vec{F} = \langle 0, x^2 \rangle$; C the triangle with corners at (0, 0), (2, 0) and (1, 1).
- 16. $\vec{F} = \langle x + y, 2x \rangle$; *C* the curve that starts at (0, 1), follows the parabola $y = (x 1)^2$ to (3, 4), then follows a line back to (0, 1).

In Exercises 17 – 20, a closed curve *C* enclosing a region *R* is given. Find the area of *R* by computing $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$ for an appropriate choice of vector field \vec{F} .

- 17. *C* is the ellipse parametrized by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 4 \cos t, 3 \sin t \rangle$ on $0 \le t \le 2\pi$.
- 18. C is the curve parametrized by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin(2t) \rangle$ on $-\pi/2 \le t \le \pi/2$.
- 19. C is the curve parametrized by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin(2t) \rangle$ on $0 \le t \le 2$.
- 20. C is the curve parametrized by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2 \cos t + \frac{1}{10} \cos(10t), 2 \sin t + \frac{1}{10} \sin(10t) \rangle$ on $0 \le t \le 2\pi$.

In Exercises 21 – 24, a vector field \vec{F} and a closed curve *C*, enclosing a region *R*, are given. Verify the Divergence Theorem by evaluating $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds$ and $\iint_R \operatorname{div} \vec{F} \, dA$, showing they are equal.

- 21. $\vec{F} = \langle x y, x + y \rangle$; *C* is the closed curve composed of the parabola $y = x^2$ on $0 \le x \le 2$ followed by the line segment from (2, 4) to (0, 0).
- 22. $\vec{F} = \langle -y, x \rangle$; *C* is the unit circle.
- 23. $\vec{F} = \langle 0, y^2 \rangle$; C the triangle with corners at (0, 0), (2, 0) and (1, 1).
- 24. $\vec{F} = \langle x^2/2, y^2/2 \rangle$; *C* the curve that starts at (0, 1), follows the parabola $y = (x 1)^2$ to (3, 4), then follows a line back to (0, 1).

Note: We use the letter *S* to denote Surface Area. This section begins a study into surfaces, and it is natural to label a surface with the letter "S". We distinguish a surface from its surface area by using a calligraphic S to denote a surface: S. When writing this letter by hand, it may be useful to add serifs to the letter, such as: S

Note: A function is *one to one* on its domain if the function never repeats an output value over the domain. In the case of $\vec{r}(u, v)$, \vec{r} is one to one if $\vec{r}(u_1, v_1) \neq \vec{r}(u_2, v_2)$ for all points $(u_1, v_1) \neq (u_2, v_2)$ in the domain of \vec{r} .

14.5 Parametrized Surfaces and Surface Area

Thus far we have focused mostly on 2-dimensional vector fields, measuring flow and flux along/across curves in the plane. Both Green's Theorem and the Divergence Theorem make connections between planar regions and their boundaries. We now move our attention to 3-dimensional vector fields, considering both curves and surfaces in space.

We are accustomed to describing surfaces as functions of two variables, usually written as z = f(x, y). For our coming needs, this method of describing surfaces will prove to be insufficient. Instead, we will *parametrize* our surfaces, describing them as the set of terminal points of some vector-valued function $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle f(u, v), g(u, v), h(u, v) \rangle$. The bulk of this section is spent practicing the skill of describing a surface S using a vector-valued function. Once this skill is developed, we'll show how to find the surface area S of a parametrically-defined surface S, a skill needed in the remaining sections of this chapter.

Definition 14.5.1 Parametrized Surface

Let $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle f(u, v), g(u, v), h(u, v) \rangle$ be a vector-valued function that is continuous and one to one on the interior of its domain *R* in the *u*-*v* plane. The set of all terminal points of \vec{r} (i.e., the *range* of \vec{r}) is the **surface** S, and \vec{r} along with its domain *R* form a **parametrization** of S.

This parametrization is **smooth** on *R* if \vec{r}_u and \vec{r}_v are continuous and $\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v$ is never $\vec{0}$ on the interior of *R*.

Given a point (u_0, v_0) in the domain of a vector-valued function \vec{r} , the vectors $\vec{r}_u(u_0, v_0)$ and $\vec{r}_v(u_0, v_0)$ are tangent to the surface S at $\vec{r}(u_0, v_0)$ (a proof of this is developed later in this section). The definition of smoothness dictates that $\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v \neq \vec{0}$; this ensures that neither \vec{r}_u nor \vec{r}_v are $\vec{0}$, nor are they ever parallel. Therefore smoothness guarantees that \vec{r}_u and \vec{r}_v determine a plane that is tangent to S.

A surface S is said to be **orientable** if a field of normal vectors can be defined on S that vary continuously along S. This definition may be hard to understand; it may help to know that orientable surfaces are often called "two sided." A sphere is an orientable surface, and one can easily envision an "inside" and "outside" of the sphere. A paraboloid is orientable, where again one can generally envision "inside" and "outside" sides (or "top" and "bottom" sides) to this surface. Just about every surface that one can imagine is orientable, and we'll assume all surfaces we deal with in this text are orientable.

It is enlightening to examine a classic non-orientable surface: the Möbius

band, shown in Figure 14.5.1. Vectors normal to the surface are given, starting at the point indicated in the figure. These normal vectors "vary continuously" as they move along the surface. Letting each vector indicate the "top" side of the band, we can easily see near any vector which side is the "top".

However, if as we progress along the band, we recognize that we are labeling "both sides" of the band as the top; in fact, there are not two "sides" to this band, but one. The Möbius band is a non-orientable surface.

We now practice parameterizing surfaces.

Example 14.5.1 Parameterizing a surface over a rectangle

Parametrize the surface $z = x^2 + 2y^2$ over the rectangular region *R* defined by $-3 \le x \le 3, -1 \le y \le 1$.

SOLUTION There is a straightforward way to parametrize a surface of the form z = f(x, y) over a rectangular domain. We let x = u and y = v, and let $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, v, f(u, v) \rangle$. In this instance, we have $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, v, u^2 + 2v^2 \rangle$, for $-3 \le u \le 3, -1 \le v \le 1$. This surface is graphed in Figure 14.5.2.

Example 14.5.2 Parameterizing a surface over a circular disk

Parametrize the surface $z = x^2 + 2y^2$ over the circular region *R* enclosed by the circle of radius 2 that is centered at the origin.

SOLUTION We can parametrize the circular boundary of *R* with the vector–valued function $\langle 2 \cos u, 2 \sin u \rangle$, where $0 \le u \le 2\pi$. We can obtain the interior of *R* by scaling this function by a variable amount, i.e., by multiplying by *v*: $\langle 2v \cos u, 2v \sin u \rangle$, where $0 \le v \le 1$.

It is important to understand the role of v in the above function. When v = 1, we get the boundary of R, a circle of radius 2. When v = 0, we simply get the point (0,0), the center of R (which can be thought of as a circle with radius of 0). When v = 1/2, we get the circle of radius 1 that is centered at the origin, which is the circle *halfway* between the boundary and the center. As v varies from 0 to 1, we create a series of concentric circles that fill out all of R.

Thus far, we have determined the x and y components of our parametrization of the surface: $x = 2v \cos u$ and $y = 2v \sin u$. We find the z component simply by using $z = f(x, y) = x^2 + 2y^2$:

$$z = (2v\cos u)^2 + 2(2v\sin u)^2 = 4v^2\cos^2 u + 8v^2\sin^2 u$$

Thus $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle 2v \cos u, 2v \sin u, 4v^2 \cos^2 u + 8v^2 \sin^2 u \rangle$, $0 \le u \le 2\pi$, $0 \le v \le 1$, which is graphed in Figure 14.5.3. The way that this graphic was generated highlights how the surface was parametrized. When viewing from above, one can see lines emanating from the origin; they represent different values of u as




Figure 14.5.1: A Möbius band, a non-orientable surface.




Figure 14.5.2: The surface parametrized in Example 14.5.1.




Figure 14.5.3: The surface parametrized in Example 14.5.2.




Figure 14.5.4: Part (a) shows a graph of the region *R*, and part (b) shows the surface over *R*, as defined in Example 14.5.3.

u sweeps from an angle of 0 up to 2π . One can also see concentric circles, each corresponding to a different value of *v*.

Examples 14.5.1 and 14.5.2 demonstrate an important principle when parameterizing surfaces given in the form z = f(x, y) over a region *R*: if one can determine *x* and *y* in terms of *u* and *v*, then *z* follows directly as z = f(x, y).

In the following two examples, we parametrize the same surface over triangular regions. Each will use v as a "scaling factor" as done in Example 14.5.2.

Example 14.5.3 Parameterizing a surface over a triangle

Parametrize the surface $z = x^2 + 2y^2$ over the triangular region *R* enclosed by the coordinate axes and the line y = 2 - 2x/3, as shown in Figure 14.5.4(a).

SOLUTION We may begin by letting x = u, $0 \le u \le 3$, and y = 2-2u/3. This gives only the line on the "upper" side of the triangle. To get all of the region R, we can once again scale y by a variable factor, v.

Still letting x = u, $0 \le u \le 3$, we let y = v(2 - 2u/3), $0 \le v \le 1$. When v = 0, all y-values are 0, and we get the portion of the x-axis between x = 0 and x = 3. When v = 1, we get the upper side of the triangle. When v = 1/2, we get the line y = 1/2(2 - 2u/3) = 1 - u/3, which is the line "halfway up" the triangle, shown in the figure with a dashed line.

Letting $z = f(x, y) = x^2 + 2y^2$, we have $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, v(2 - 2u/3), u^2 + 2(v(2 - 2u/3))^2 \rangle$, $0 \le u \le 3$, $0 \le v \le 1$. This surface is graphed in Figure 14.5.4(b). Again, when one looks from above, we can see the scaling effects of v: the series of lines that run to the point (3,0) each represent a different value of v.

Another common way to parametrize the surface is to begin with y = u, $0 \le u \le 2$. Solving the equation of the line y = 2 - 2x/3 for x, we have x = 3 - 3y/2, leading to using x = v(3 - 3u/2), $0 \le v \le 1$. With $z = x^2 + 2y^2$, we have $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle v(3 - 3u/2), u, (v(3 - 3u/2))^2 + 2v^2 \rangle$, $0 \le u \le 2$, $0 \le v \le 1$.

Example 14.5.4 Parameterizing a surface over a triangle

Parametrize the surface $z = x^2 + 2y^2$ over the triangular region *R* enclosed by the lines y = 3 - 2x/3, y = 1 and x = 0 as shown in Figure 14.5.5(a).

SOLUTION While the region *R* in this example is very similar to the region *R* in the previous example, and our method of parameterizing the surface is fundamentally the same, it will feel as though our answer is much different than before.

We begin with letting x = u, $0 \le u \le 3$. We may be tempted to let y = v(3 - 2u/3), $0 \le v \le 1$, but this is incorrect. When v = 1, we obtain the upper

line of the triangle as desired. However, when v = 0, the *y*-value is 0, which does not lie in the region *R*.

We will describe the general method of proceeding following this example. For now, consider y = 1 + v(2 - 2u/3), $0 \le v \le 1$. Note that when v = 1, we have y = 3 - 2u/3, the upper line of the boundary of *R*. Also, when v = 0, we have y = 1, which is the lower boundary of *R*. With $z = x^2 + 2y^2$, we determine $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, 1 + v(2 - 2u/3), u^2 + 2(1 + v(2 - 2u/3))^2 \rangle$, $0 \le u \le 3$, $0 \le v \le 1$.

The surface is graphed in Figure 14.5.5(b).

Given a surface of the form z = f(x, y), one can often determine a parametrization of the surface over a region R in a manner similar to determining bounds of integration over a region R. Using the techniques of Section 13.1, suppose a region R can be described by $a \le x \le b$, $g_1(x) \le y \le g_2(x)$, i.e., the area of Rcan be found using the iterated integral

$$\int_a^b \int_{g_1(x)}^{g_2(x)} dy \, dx.$$

When parameterizing the surface, we can let x = u, $a \le u \le b$, and we can let $y = g_1(u) + v(g_2(u) - g_1(u))$, $0 \le v \le 1$. The parametrization of x is straightforward, but look closely at how y is determined. When v = 0, $y = g_1(u) = g_1(x)$. When v = 1, $y = g_2(u) = g_2(x)$.

As a specific example, consider the triangular region *R* from Example 14.5.4, shown in Figure 14.5.5(a). Using the techniques of Section 13.1, we can find the area of *R* as

$$\int_0^3 \int_1^{3-2x/3} dy \, dx.$$

Following the above discussion, we can set x = u, where $0 \le u \le 3$, and set y = 1 + v(3 - 2u/3 - 1) = 1 + v(2 - 2u/3), $0 \le v \le 1$, as used in that example.

One can do a similar thing if *R* is bounded by $c \le y \le d$, $h_1(y) \le x \le h_2(y)$, but for the sake of simplicity we leave it to the reader to flesh out those details. The principles outlined above are given in the following Key Idea for reference.




Figure 14.5.5: Part (a) shows a graph of the region *R*, and part (b) shows the surface over *R*, as defined in Example 14.5.4.




Figure 14.5.6: The cylinder parametrized in Example 14.5.5.




Figure 14.5.7: The elliptic cone as described in Example 14.5.6.

Key Idea 14.5.1 Parameterizing Surfaces

Let a surface S be the graph of a function z = f(x, y), where the domain of f is a closed, bounded region R in the x-y plane. Let R be bounded by $a \le x \le b$, $g_1(x) \le y \le g_2(x)$, i.e., the area of R can be found using the iterated integral $\int_a^b \int_{g_1(x)}^{g_2(x)} dy \, dx$, and let $h(u, v) = g_1(u) + v(g_2(u) - g_1(u))$.

 ${\mathcal S}$ can be parametrized as

$$\vec{r}(u,v) = \langle u, h(u,v), f(u,h(u,v)) \rangle, \quad a \le u \le b, \ 0 \le v \le 1$$

Example 14.5.5 Parameterizing a cylinderical surface

Find a parametrization of the cylinder $x^2 + z^2/4 = 1$, where $-1 \le y \le 2$, as shown in Figure 14.5.6.

SOLUTION The equation $x^2 + z^2/4 = 1$ can be envisioned to describe an ellipse in the *x*-*z* plane; as the equation lacks a *y*-term, the equation describes a cylinder (recall Definition 10.1.2) that extends without bound parallel to the *y*-axis. This ellipse has a vertical major axis of length 4, a horizontal minor axis of length 2, and is centered at the origin. We can parametrize this ellipse using sines and cosines; our parametrization can begin with

$$\vec{r}(u,v) = \langle \cos u, ???, 2 \sin u \rangle, \quad 0 \le u \le 2\pi,$$

where we still need to determine the *y* component.

While the cylinder $x^2 + z^2/4 = 1$ is satisfied by any y value, the problem states that all y values are to be between y = -1 and y = 2. Since the value of y does not depend at all on the values of x or z, we can use another variable, v, to describe y. Our final answer is

$$\vec{r}(u,v) = \langle \cos u, v, 2 \sin u \rangle, \quad 0 \le u \le 2\pi, \quad -1 \le v \le 2\pi$$

Example 14.5.6 Parameterizing an elliptic cone

Find a parametrization of the elliptic cone $z^2 = \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{y^2}{9}$, where $-2 \le z \le 3$, as shown in Figure 14.5.7.

SOLUTION One way to parametrize this cone is to recognize that given a *z* value, the cross section of the cone at that *z* value is an ellipse with equation $\frac{x^2}{(2z)^2} + \frac{y^2}{(3z)^2} = 1$. We can let z = v, for $-2 \le v \le 3$ and then parametrize the above ellipses using sines, cosines and *v*.

We can parametrize the *x* component of our surface with $x = 2z \cos u$ and the *y* component with $y = 3z \sin u$, where $0 \le u \le 2\pi$. Putting all components together, we have

$$\vec{r}(u,v) = \langle 2v \cos u, 3v \sin u, v \rangle, \quad 0 \le u \le 2\pi, \quad -2 \le v \le 3$$

When v takes on negative values, the radii of the cross–sectional ellipses become "negative," which can lead to some surprising results. Consider Figure 14.5.8, where the cone is graphed for $0 \le u \le \pi$. Because v is negative below the x-y plane, the radii of the cross–sectional ellipses are negative, and the opposite side of the cone is sketched below the x-y plane.

Example 14.5.7 Parameterizing an ellipsoid

Find a parametrization of the ellipsoid $\frac{x^2}{25} + y^2 + \frac{z^2}{4} = 1$ as shown in Figure 14.5.9(a).

SOLUTION Recall Key Idea 10.2.1 from Section 10.2, which states that all unit vectors in space have the form $\langle \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta \rangle$ for some angles θ and φ . If we choose our angles appropriately, this allows us to draw the unit sphere. To get an ellipsoid, we need only scale each component of the sphere appropriately.

The *x*-radius of the given ellipsoid is 5, the *y*-radius is 1 and the *z*-radius is 2. Substituting *u* for θ and *v* for φ , we have $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle 5 \sin u \cos v, \sin u \sin v, 2 \cos u \rangle$, where we still need to determine the ranges of *u* and *v*.

Note how the x and y components of \vec{r} have $\cos v$ and $\sin v$ terms, respectively. This hints at the fact that ellipses are drawn parallel to the x-y plane as v varies, which implies we should have v range from 0 to 2π .

One may be tempted to let $0 \le u \le 2\pi$ as well, but note how the *z* component is $2 \cos u$. We only need $\cos u$ to take on values between -1 and 1 once, therefore we can restrict u to $0 \le u \le \pi$.

The final parametrization is thus

 $\vec{r}(u,v) = \langle 5 \sin u \cos v, \sin u \sin v, 2 \cos u \rangle, \quad 0 \le u \le \pi, \quad 0 \le v \le 2\pi.$

In Figure 14.5.9(b), the ellipsoid is graphed on $\frac{\pi}{4} \le u \le \frac{2\pi}{3}$, $\frac{\pi}{4} \le v \le \frac{3\pi}{2}$ to demonstrate how each variable affects the surface.

Parametrization is a powerful way to represent surfaces. One of the advantages of the methods of parametrization described in this section is that the domain of $\vec{r}(u, v)$ is always a rectangle; that is, the bounds on u and v are constants. This will make some of our future computations easier to evaluate.

Just as we could parametrize curves in more than one way, there will always be multiple ways to parametrize a surface. Some ways will be more "natural"




Figure 14.5.8: The elliptic cone as described in Example 14.5.6 with restricted domain.






Figure 14.5.9: An ellipsoid in (a), drawn again in (b) with its domain restricted, as described in Example 14.5.7.





(c)

Figure 14.5.10: Illustrating the process of finding surface area by approximating with planes.

than others, but these other ways are not incorrect. Because technology is often readily available, it is often a good idea to check one's work by graphing a parametrization of a surface to check if it indeed represents what it was intended to.

Surface Area

It will become important in the following sections to be able to compute the surface area of a surface S given a smooth parametrization $\vec{r}(u, v)$, $a \le u \le b$, $c \le v \le d$. Following the principles given in the integration review at the beginning of this chapter, we can say that

Surface Area of
$$S = S = \iint_S dS$$
,

where *dS* represents a small amount of surface area. That is, to compute total surface area *S*, add up lots of small amounts of surface area *dS* across the entire surface S. The key to finding surface area is knowing how to compute *dS*. We begin by approximating.

In Section 13.5 we used the area of a plane to approximate the surface area of a small portion of a surface. We will do the same here.

Let *R* be the region of the *u*-*v* plane bounded by $a \le u \le b$, $c \le v \le d$ as shown in Figure 14.5.10(a). Partition *R* into rectangles of width $\Delta u = \frac{b-a}{n}$ and height $\Delta v = \frac{d-c}{n}$, for some *n*. Let $p = (u_0, v_0)$ be the lower left corner of some rectangle in the partition, and let *m* and *q* be neighboring corners as shown.

The point *p* maps to a point $P = \vec{r}(u_0, v_0)$ on the surface S, and the rectangle with corners *p*, *m* and *q* maps to some region (probably not rectangular) on the surface as shown in Figure 14.5.10(b), where $M = \vec{r}(m)$ and $Q = \vec{r}(q)$. We wish to approximate the surface area of this mapped region.

Let $\vec{u} = M - P$ and $\vec{v} = Q - P$. These two vectors form a parallelogram, illustrated in Figure 14.5.10(c), whose area *approximates* the surface area we seek. In this particular illustration, we can see that parallelogram does not particularly match well the region we wish to approximate, but that is acceptable; by increasing the number of partitions of *R*, Δu and Δv shrink and our approximations will become better.

From Section 10.4 we know the area of this parallelogram is $|| \vec{u} \times \vec{v} ||$. If we repeat this approximation process for each rectangle in the partition of *R*, we can sum the areas of all the parallelograms to get an approximation of the surface area *S*:

Surface area of
$$\mathcal{S} = \mathsf{S} pprox \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n || \ ec{u}_{i,j} imes ec{\mathsf{v}}_{i,j} \, || \, ,$$

where $\vec{u}_{i,j} = \vec{r}(u_i + \Delta u, v_j) - \vec{r}(u_i, v_j)$ and $\vec{v}_{i,j} = \vec{r}(u_i, v_j + \Delta v) - \vec{r}(u_i, v_j)$.

From our previous calculus experience, we expect that taking a limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ will result in the exact surface area. However, the current form of the above double sum makes it difficult to realize what the result of that limit is. The following rewriting of the double summation will be helpful:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} || \vec{u}_{i,j} \times \vec{v}_{i,j} || = \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} || \left(\vec{r}(u_i + \Delta u, v_j) - \vec{r}(u_i, v_j) \right) \times \left(\vec{r}(u_i, v_j + \Delta v) - \vec{r}(u_i, v_j) \right) || = \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} || \frac{\vec{r}(u_i + \Delta u, v_j) - \vec{r}(u_i, v_j)}{\Delta u} \times \frac{\vec{r}(u_i, v_j + \Delta v) - \vec{r}(u_i, v_j)}{\Delta v} || \Delta u \Delta v \end{split}$$

We now take the limit as $n \to \infty$, forcing Δu and Δv to 0. As $\Delta u \to 0$,

$$\frac{\vec{r}(u_i + \Delta u, v_j) - \vec{r}(u_i, v_j)}{\Delta u} \rightarrow \vec{r}_u(u_i, v_j) \text{ and}$$
$$\frac{\vec{r}(u_i, v_j + \Delta v) - \vec{r}(u_i, v_j)}{\Delta v} \rightarrow \vec{r}_v(u_i, v_j).$$

(This limit process also demonstrates that $\vec{r}_u(u, v)$ and $\vec{r}_v(u, v)$ are tangent to the surface S at $\vec{r}(u, v)$. We don't need this fact now, but it will be important in the next section.)

Thus, in the limit, the double sum leads to a double integral:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{i=1}^n||\vec{u}_{i,j}\times\vec{v}_{i,j}||=\int_c^d\int_a^b||\vec{r}_u\times\vec{r}_v||\ du\ dv.$$

Theorem 14.5.1 Surface Area of Parametrically Defined Surfaces

Let $\vec{r}(u, v)$ be a smooth parametrization of a surface S over a closed, bounded region R of the u-v plane.

- The surface area differential *dS* is: $dS = || \vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v || dA$.
- The surface area S of S is

$$S = \iint_{S} dS = \iint_{R} || \vec{r}_{u} \times \vec{r}_{v} || dA.$$

Example 14.5.8 Finding the surface area of a parametrized surface

Using the parametrization found in Example 14.5.2, find the surface area of $z = x^2 + 2y^2$ over the circular disk of radius 2, centered at the origin.

SOLUTION In Example 14.5.2, we parametrized the surface as $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle 2v \cos u, 2v \sin u, 4v^2 \cos^2 u + 8v^2 \sin^2 u \rangle$, for $0 \le u \le 2\pi$, $0 \le v \le 1$. To find the surface area using Theorem 14.5.1, we need $||\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v||$. We find:

$$\vec{r}_u = \langle -2v \sin u, 2v \cos u, 8v^2 \cos u \sin u \rangle$$
$$\vec{r}_v = \langle 2\cos u, 2\sin v, 8v \cos^2 u + 16v \sin^2 u \rangle$$
$$\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v = \langle 16v^2 \cos u, 32v^2 \sin u, -4v \rangle$$
$$||\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v || = \sqrt{256v^4 \cos^2 u + 1024v^4 \sin^2 u + 16v^2}.$$

Thus the surface area is

$$S = \iint_{S} dS = \iint_{R} || \vec{r}_{u} \times \vec{r}_{v} || dA$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sqrt{256v^{4} \cos^{2} u + 1024v^{4} \sin^{2} u + 16v^{2}} du dv \approx 53.59.$$

There is a lot of tedious work in the above calculations and the final integral is nontrivial. The use of a computer-algebra system is highly recommended.

In Section 14.1, we recalled the arc length differential $ds = ||\vec{r}'(t)|| dt$. In subsequent sections, we used that differential, but in most applications the " $||\vec{r}'(t)||$ " part of the differential canceled out of the integrand (to our benefit, as integrating the square roots of functions is generally difficult). We will find a similar thing happens when we use the surface area differential dS in the following sections. That is, our main goal is not to be able to compute surface area; rather, surface area is a tool to obtain other quantities that are more important and useful. In our applications, we will use dS, but most of the time the " $||\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v||$ " part will cancel out of the integrand, making the subsequent integration easier to compute.

Exercises 14.5

Terms and Concepts

- 1. In your own words, describe what an orientable surface is.
- 2. Give an example of a non-orientable surface.

Problems

In Exercises 3 – 4, parametrize the surface defined by the function z = f(x, y) over each of the given regions *R* of the *x*-*y* plane.

3. $z = 3x^2y$;

- (a) R is the rectangle bounded by $-1 \le x \le 1$ and $0 \le y \le 2$.
- (b) *R* is the circle of radius 3, centered at (1, 2).
- (c) *R* is the triangle with vertices (0, 0), (1, 0) and (0, 2).
- (d) *R* is the region bounded by the *x*-axis and the graph of $y = 1 x^2$.

4. $z = 4x + 2y^2$;


- (a) R is the rectangle bounded by $1 \le x \le 4$ and $5 \le y \le 7$.
- (b) R is the ellipse with major axis of length 8 parallel to the x-axis, and minor axis of length 6 parallel to the y-axis, centered at the origin.
- (c) *R* is the triangle with vertices (0, 0), (2, 2) and (0, 4).
- (d) *R* is the annulus bounded between the circles, centered at the origin, with radius 2 and radius 5.

In Exercises 5 – 8, a surface S in space is described that cannot be defined in terms of a function z = f(x, y). Give a parametrization of S.


- S is the rectangle in space with corners at (0, 0, 0), (0, 2, 0), (0, 2, 1) and (0, 0, 1).
- 6. ${\cal S}$ is the triangle in space with corners at (1,0,0), (1,0,1) and (0,0,1).
- 7. S is the ellipsoid $\frac{x^2}{9} + \frac{y^2}{4} + \frac{z^2}{16} = 1$.
- 8. S is the elliptic cone $y^2 = x^2 + \frac{z^2}{16}$, for $-1 \le y \le 5$.

In Exercises 9 – 16, a domain *D* in space is given. Parametrize each of the bounding surfaces of *D*.


9. D is the domain bounded by the planes $z = \frac{1}{2}(3-x)$, x = 1, y = 0, y = 2 and z = 0.




10. *D* is the domain bounded by the planes z = 2x + 4y - 4, x = 2, y = 1 and z = 0.




11. *D* is the domain bounded by z = 2y, $y = 4 - x^2$ and z = 0.




12. *D* is the domain bounded by $y = 1 - z^2$, $y = 1 - x^2$, x = 0, y = 0 and z = 0.




13. *D* is the domain bounded by the cylinder $x + y^2/9 = 1$ and the planes z = 1 and z = 3.




14. *D* is the domain bounded by the cone $x^2 + y^2 = (z - 1)^2$ and the plane z = 0.



15. *D* is the domain bounded by the cylinder $z = 1 - x^2$ and the planes y = -1, y = 2 and z = 0.



16. *D* is the domain bounded by the paraboloid $z = 4 - x^2 - 4y^2$ and the plane z = 0.



In Exercises 17 – 20, find the surface area S of the given surface S. (The associated integrals are computable without the assistance of technology.)

- 17. S is the plane z = 2x + 3y over the rectangle $-1 \le x \le 1$, $2 \le v \le 3$.
- 18. S is the plane z = x + 2y over the triangle with vertices at (0,0), (1,0) and (0,1).
- 19. S is the plane z = x + y over the circular disk, centered at the origin, with radius 2.
- 20. S is the plane z = x + y over the annulus bounded by the circles, centered at the origin, with radius 1 and radius 2.

In Exercises 21 – 24, set up the double integral that finds the surface area S of the given surface S, then use technology to approximate its value.

- 21. S is the paraboloid $z = x^2 + y^2$ over the circular disk of radius 3 centered at the origin.
- 22. S is the paraboloid $z = x^2 + y^2$ over the triangle with vertices at (0, 0), (0, 1) and (1, 1).
- 23. S is the plane z = 5x y over the region enclosed by the parabola $y = 1 x^2$ and the x-axis.
- 24. S is the hyperbolic paraboloid $z = x^2 y^2$ over the circular disk of radius 1 centered at the origin.

14.6 Surface Integrals

Consider a smooth surface S that represents a thin sheet of metal. How could we find the mass of this metallic object?

If the density of this object is constant, then we can find mass via "mass= density \times surface area," and we could compute the surface area using the techniques of the previous section.

What if the density were not constant, but variable, described by a function $\delta(x, y, z)$? We can describe the mass using our general integration techniques as

$$\mathsf{mass} = \iint_{\mathcal{S}} dm,$$

where *dm* represents "a little bit of mass." That is, to find the total mass of the object, sum up lots of little masses over the surface.

How do we find the "little bit of mass" dm? On a small portion of the surface with surface area ΔS , the density is approximately constant, hence $dm \approx \delta(x, y, z)\Delta S$. As we use limits to shrink the size of ΔS to 0, we get $dm = \delta(x, y, z)dS$; that is, a little bit of mass is equal to a density times a small amount of surface area. Thus the total mass of the thin sheet is

mass =
$$\iint_{\mathcal{S}} \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \, d\mathbf{S}.$$
 (14.3)

To evaluate the above integral, we would seek $\vec{r}(u, v)$, a smooth parametrization of S over a region R of the u-v plane. The density would become a function of u and v, and we would integrate $\iint_R \delta(u, v) || \vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v || dA$.

The integral in Equation (14.3) is a specific example of a more general construction defined below.

Definition 14.6.1 Surface Integral

Let G(x, y, z) be a continuous function defined on a surface S. The **surface integral of** G **on** S is

$$\iint_{\mathcal{S}} G(x,y,z) \, dS$$

Surface integrals can be used to measure a variety of quantities beyond mass. If G(x, y, z) measures the static charge density at a point, then the surface integral will compute the total static charge of the sheet. If *G* measures the amount of fluid passing through a screen (represented by S) at a point, then the surface integral gives the total amount of fluid going through the screen.




Figure 14.6.1: The surface whose mass is computed in Example 14.6.1.

Example 14.6.1 Finding the mass of a thin sheet

Find the mass of a thin sheet modeled by the plane 2x + y + z = 3 over the triangular region of the *x*-*y* plane bounded by the coordinate axes and the line y = 2-2x, as shown in Figure 14.6.1, with density function $\delta(x, y, z) = x^2 + 5y + z$, where all distances are measured in cm and the density is given as gm/cm².

SOLUTION We begin by parameterizing the planar surface S. Using the techniques of the previous section, we can let x = u and y = v(2 - 2u), where $0 \le u \le 1$ and $0 \le v \le 1$. Solving for z in the equation of the plane, we have z = 3 - 2x - y, hence z = 3 - 2u - v(2 - 2u), giving the parametrization $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, v(2 - 2u), 3 - 2u - v(2 - 2u) \rangle$.

We need $dS = || \vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v || dA$, so we need to compute \vec{r}_u , \vec{r}_v and the norm of their cross product. We leave it to the reader to confirm the following:

$$\vec{r}_u = \langle 1, -2v, 2v-2 \rangle, \quad \vec{r}_v = \langle 0, 2-2u, 2u-2 \rangle,$$

$$ec{r}_u imesec{r}_v=\langle 4-4u,2-2u,2-2u
angle$$
 and $||ec{r}_u imesec{r}_v||=2\sqrt{6}\sqrt{(u-1)^2}.$

We need to be careful to not "simplify" $|| \vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v || = 2\sqrt{6}\sqrt{(u-1)^2}$ as $2\sqrt{6}(u-1)$; rather, it is $2\sqrt{6}|u-1|$. In this example, u is bounded by $0 \le u \le 1$, and on this interval |u-1| = 1 - u. Thus $dS = 2\sqrt{6}(1-u)dA$.

The density is given as a function of x, y and z, for which we'll substitute the corresponding components of \vec{r} (with the slight abuse of notation that we used in previous sections):

$$\delta(x, y, z) = \delta(\vec{r}(u, v))$$

= $u^2 + 5v(2 - 2u) + 3 - 2u - v(2 - 2u)$
= $u^2 - 8uv - 2u + 8v + 3.$

Thus the mass of the sheet is:

$$M = \iint_{\mathcal{S}} dm$$

= $\iint_{\mathcal{R}} \delta(\vec{r}(u, v)) || \vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v || dA$
= $\int_0^1 \int_0^1 (u^2 - 8uv - 2u + 8v + 3) (2\sqrt{6}(1-u)) du dv$
= $\frac{31}{\sqrt{6}} \approx 12.66 \text{ gm}.$

Flux

Let a surface S lie within a vector field \vec{F} . One is often interested in measuring the *flux* of \vec{F} across S; that is, measuring "how much of the vector field passes across S." For instance, if \vec{F} represents the velocity field of moving air and S represents the shape of an air filter, the flux will measure how much air is passing through the filter per unit time.

As flux measures the amount of \vec{F} passing across S, we need to find the "amount of \vec{F} orthogonal to S." Similar to our measure of flux in the plane, this is equal to $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{n}$, where \vec{n} is a unit vector normal to S at a point. We now consider how to find \vec{n} .

Given a smooth parametrization $\vec{r}(u, v)$ of S, the work in the previous section showing the development of our method of computing surface area also shows that $\vec{r}_u(u, v)$ and $\vec{r}_v(u, v)$ are tangent to S at $\vec{r}(u, v)$. Thus $\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v$ is orthogonal to S, and we let

$$\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v}{||\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v||},$$

which is a unit vector normal to S at $\vec{r}(u, v)$.

The measurement of flux across a surface is a surface integral; that is, to measure total flux we sum the product of $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{n}$ times a small amount of surface area: $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, dS$.

A nice thing happens with the actual computation of flux: the $||\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v||$ terms go away. Consider:

$$Flux = \iint_{S} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, dS$$

=
$$\iint_{R} \vec{F} \cdot \frac{\vec{r}_{u} \times \vec{r}_{v}}{||\vec{r}_{u} \times \vec{r}_{v}||} ||\vec{r}_{u} \times \vec{r}_{v}|| \, dA$$

=
$$\iint_{R} \vec{F} \cdot (\vec{r}_{u} \times \vec{r}_{v}) \, dA.$$

The above only makes sense if S is orientable; the normal vectors \vec{n} must vary continuously across S. We assume that \vec{n} does vary continuously. (If the parametrization \vec{r} of S is smooth, then our above definition of \vec{n} will vary continuously.)

Definition 14.6.2 Flux over a surface

Let \vec{F} be a vector field with continuous components defined on an orientable surface S with normal vector \vec{n} . The **flux** of \vec{F} across S is

$$Flux = \iint_{\mathcal{S}} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, dS$$

If S is parametrized by $\vec{r}(u, v)$, which is smooth on its domain R, then

$$\mathsf{Flux} = \iint_{R} \vec{F}(\vec{r}(u,v)) \cdot (\vec{r}_{u} \times \vec{r}_{v}) \, dA.$$

Since S is orientable, we adopt the convention of saying one passes from the "back" side of S to the "front" side when moving across the surface parallel to the direction of \vec{n} . Also, when S is closed, it is natural to speak of the regions of space "inside" and "outside" S. We also adopt the convention that when S is a closed surface, \vec{n} should point to the outside of S. If $\vec{n} = \vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v$ points inside S, use $\vec{n} = \vec{r}_v \times \vec{r}_u$ instead.

When the computation of flux is positive, it means that the field is moving from the back side of S to the front side; when flux is negative, it means the field is moving opposite the direction of \vec{n} , and is moving from the front of S to the back. When S is not closed, there is not a "right" and "wrong" direction in which \vec{n} should point, but one should be mindful of its direction to make full sense of the flux computation.

We demonstrate the computation of flux, and its interpretation, in the following examples.

Example 14.6.2 Finding flux across a surface

Let S be the surface given in Example 14.6.1, where S is parametrized by $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, v(2-2u), 3-2u-v(2-2u) \rangle$ on $0 \le u \le 1, 0 \le v \le 1$, and let $\vec{F} = \langle 1, x, -y \rangle$, as shown in Figure 14.6.2. Find the flux of \vec{F} across S.

SOLUTION Using our work from the previous example, we have $\vec{n} = \vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v = \langle 4 - 4u, 2 - 2u, 2 - 2u \rangle$. We also need $\vec{F}(\vec{r}(u, v)) = \langle 1, u, -v(2 - 2u) \rangle$.




Figure 14.6.2: The surface and vector field used in Example 14.6.2.

Thus the flux of \vec{F} across S is:

$$Flux = \iint_{\mathcal{S}} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, dS$$

=
$$\iint_{R} \langle 1, u, -v(2-2u) \rangle \cdot \langle 4-4u, 2-2u, 2-2u \rangle \, dA$$

=
$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \left(-4u^{2}v - 2u^{2} + 8uv - 2u - 4v + 4 \right) \, du \, dv$$

=
$$5/3.$$

To make full use of this numeric answer, we need to know the direction in which the field is passing across S. The graph in Figure 14.6.2 helps, but we need a method that is not dependent on a graph.

Pick a point (u, v) in the interior of R and consider $\vec{n}(u, v)$. For instance, choose (1/2, 1/2) and look at $\vec{n}(1/2, 1/2) = \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle / \sqrt{6}$. This vector has positive x, y and z components. Generally speaking, one has *some* idea of what the surface S looks like, as that surface is for some reason important. In our case, we know S is a plane with z-intercept of z = 3. Knowing \vec{n} and the flux measurement of positive 5/3, we know that the field must be passing from "behind" S, i.e., the side the origin is on, to the "front" of S.

Example 14.6.3 Flux across surfaces with shared boundaries

Let S_1 be the unit disk in the *x*-*y* plane, and let S_2 be the paraboloid $z = 1 - x^2 - y^2$, for $z \ge 0$, as graphed in Figure 14.6.3. Note how these two surfaces each have the unit circle as a boundary.

Let $\vec{F}_1 = \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{F}_2 = \langle 0, 0, z \rangle$. Using normal vectors for each surface that point "upward," i.e., with a postive *z*-component, find the flux of each field across each surface.

SOLUTION We begin by parameterizing each surface.

The boundary of the unit disk in the *x*-*y* plane is the unit circle, which can be described with $\langle \cos u, \sin u, 0 \rangle$, $0 \le u \le 2\pi$. To obtain the interior of the circle as well, we can scale by *v*, giving

 $\vec{r}_1(u,v) = \langle v \cos u, v \sin u, 0 \rangle, \quad 0 \le u \le 2\pi \quad 0 \le v \le 1.$

As the boundary of S_2 is also the unit circle, the *x* and *y* components of \vec{r}_2 will be the same as those of \vec{r}_1 ; we just need a different *z* component. With $z = 1 - x^2 - y^2$, we have

$$\vec{r}_2(u,v) = \langle v \cos u, v \sin u, 1 - v^2 \cos^2 u - v^2 \sin^2 u \rangle = \langle v \cos u, v \sin u, 1 - v^2 \rangle,$$

where $0 \le u \le 2\pi$ and $0 \le v \le 1$.




Figure 14.6.3: The surfaces used in Example 14.6.3.

We now compute the normal vectors $\vec{n_1}$ and $\vec{n_2}$. For $\vec{n_1}$: $\vec{r_{1u}} = \langle -v \sin u, v \cos u, 0 \rangle$, $\vec{r_{1v}} = \langle \cos u, \sin u, 0 \rangle$, so

$$\vec{n}_1 = \vec{r}_{1u} \times \vec{r}_{1v} = \langle \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, -\mathbf{v} \rangle.$$

As this vector has a negative z-component, we instead use

$$\vec{n}_1 = \vec{r}_{1\nu} \times \vec{r}_{1\mu} = \langle 0, 0, \nu \rangle.$$

Similarly, \vec{n}_2 : $\vec{r}_{2u} = \langle -v \sin u, v \cos u, 0 \rangle$, $\vec{r}_{2v} = \langle \cos u, \sin u, -2v \rangle$, so

$$\vec{n}_2 = \vec{r}_{2u} \times \vec{r}_{2v} = \langle -2v^2 \cos u, -2v^2 \sin u, -v \rangle.$$

Again, this normal vector has a negative z-component so we use

$$\vec{n}_2 = \vec{r}_{2\nu} \times \vec{r}_{2u} = \langle 2\nu^2 \cos u, 2\nu^2 \sin u, \nu \rangle.$$

We are now set to compute flux. Over field $ec{F}_1 = \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle$:

Flux across
$$S_1 = \iint_{S_1} \vec{F}_1 \cdot \vec{n}_1 \, dS$$

= $\iint_R \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle \cdot \langle 0, 0, v \rangle \, dA$
= $\int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} (v) \, du \, dv$
= π .

Flux across
$$S_2 = \iint_{S_2} \vec{F}_1 \cdot \vec{n}_2 \, dS$$

= $\iint_R \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle \cdot \langle 2v^2 \cos u, 2v^2 \sin u, v \rangle \, dA$
= $\int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} (v) \, du \, dv$
= π .

These two results are equal and positive. Each are positive because both normal vectors are pointing in the positive *z*-directions, as does \vec{F}_1 . As the field passes through each surface in the direction of their normal vectors, the flux is measured as positive.

We can also intuitively understand why the results are equal. Consider \vec{F}_1 to represent the flow of air, and let each surface represent a filter. Since \vec{F}_1 is

constant, and moving "straight up," it makes sense that all air passing through S_1 also passes through S_2 , and vice–versa.

If we treated the surfaces as creating one piecewise–smooth surface S, we would find the total flux across S by finding the flux across each piece, being sure that each normal vector pointed to the outside of the closed surface. Above, \vec{n}_1 does not point outside the surface, though \vec{n}_2 does. We would instead want to use $-\vec{n}_1$ in our computation. We would then find that the flux across S_1 is $-\pi$, and hence the total flux across S is $-\pi + \pi = 0$. (As 0 is a special number, we should wonder if this answer has special significance. It does, which is briefly discussed following this example and will be more fully developed in the next section.)

We now compute the flux across each surface with $\vec{F}_2 = \langle 0, 0, z \rangle$:

Flux across
$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \iint_{\mathcal{S}_1} ec{F}_2 \cdot ec{n}_1 \, dS$$

Over S_1 , $\vec{F}_2 = \vec{F}_2(\vec{r}_2(u, v)) = \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$. Therefore,

$$= \iint_{R} \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle \cdot \langle 0, 0, v \rangle \, dA$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (0) \, du \, dv$$
$$= 0.$$

Flux across
$$\mathcal{S}_2 = \iint_{\mathcal{S}_2} \vec{F}_2 \cdot \vec{n}_2 \, dS.$$

Over \mathcal{S}_2 , $\vec{F}_2 = \vec{F}_2(\vec{r}_2(u, v)) = \langle 0, 0, 1 - v^2 \rangle$. Therefore,

$$= \iint_{R} \langle 0, 0, 1 - v^{2} \rangle \cdot \langle 2v^{2} \cos u, 2v^{2} \sin u, v \rangle \, dA$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (v^{3} - v) \, du \, dv$$
$$= \pi/2.$$

This time the measurements of flux differ. Over S_1 , the field \vec{F}_2 is just $\vec{0}$, hence there is no flux. Over S_2 , the flux is again positive as \vec{F}_2 points in the positive *z* direction over S_2 , as does \vec{n}_2 .

In the previous example, the surfaces S_1 and S_2 form a closed surface that is piecewise smooth. That the measurement of flux across each surface was the same for some fields (and not for others) is reminiscent of a result from Section 14.4, where we measured flux across curves. The quick answer to why the flux was the same when considering \vec{F}_1 is that div $\vec{F}_1 = 0$. In the next section, we'll see the second part of the Divergence Theorem which will more fully explain this occurrence. We will also explore Stokes' Theorem, the spatial analogue to Green's Theorem.

Exercises 14.6

Terms and Concepts

- In the plane, flux is a measurement of how much of the vector field passes across a _____; in space, flux is a measurement of how much of the vector field passes across a
- 2. When computing flux, what does it mean when the result is a negative number?
- 3. When S is a closed surface, we choose the normal vector so that it points to the ______ of the surface.
- 4. If S is a plane, and \vec{F} is always parallel to S, then the flux of \vec{F} across S will be ______.

Problems


In Exercises 5 – 6, a surface ${\cal S}$ that represents a thin sheet of material with density δ is given. Find the mass of each thin sheet.

- 5. S is the plane f(x, y) = x + y on $-2 \le x \le 2, -3 \le y \le 3$, with $\delta(x, y, z) = z$.
- 6. S is the unit sphere, with $\delta(x, y, z) = x + y + z + 10$.


In Exercises 7 – 14, a surface S and a vector field \vec{F} are given. Compute the flux of \vec{F} across S. (If S is not a closed surface, choose \vec{n} so that it has a positive *z*-component, unless otherwise indicated.)

- 7. S is the plane f(x, y) = 3x + y on $0 \le x \le 1$, $1 \le y \le 4$; $\vec{F} = \langle x^2, -z, 2y \rangle$.
- 8. S is the plane f(x, y) = 8 x y over the triangle with vertices at (0, 0), (1, 0) and (1, 5); $\vec{F} = \langle 3, 1, 2 \rangle$.
- 9. S is the paraboloid $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$ over the unit disk; $\vec{F} = \langle 1, 0, 0 \rangle$.

- 10. S is the unit sphere; $\vec{F} = \langle y z, z x, x y \rangle$.
- 11. *S* is the square in space with corners at (0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1) and (0, 0, 1) (choose \vec{n} such that it has a positive *y*-component); $\vec{F} = \langle 0, -z, y \rangle$.
- 12. *S* is the disk in the *y*-*z* plane with radius 1, centered at (0, 1, 1) (choose \vec{n} such that it has a positive *x*-component); $\vec{F} = \langle y, z, x \rangle$.
- 13. S is the closed surface composed of S_1 , whose boundary is the ellipse in the *x*-*y* plane described by $\frac{x^2}{25} + \frac{y^2}{9} = 1$ and S_2 , part of the elliptical paraboloid $f(x, y) = 1 - \frac{x^2}{25} - \frac{y^2}{9}$ (see graph); $\vec{F} = \langle 5, 2, 3 \rangle$.



14. S is the closed surface composed of S_1 , part of the unit sphere and S_2 , part of the plane z = 1/2 (see graph); $\vec{F} = \langle x, -y, z \rangle$.



Note: the term "outer unit normal vector" used in Theorem 14.7.1 means \vec{n} points to the outside of S.




Figure 14.7.1: The surfaces used in Example 14.7.1.

14.7 The Divergence Theorem and Stokes' Theorem

The Divergence Theorem

Theorem 14.4.2 gives the Divergence Theorem in the plane, which states that the flux of a vector field across a closed *curve* equals the sum of the divergences over the region enclosed by the curve. Recall that the flux was measured via a line integral, and the sum of the divergences was measured through a double integral.

We now consider the three-dimensional version of the Divergence Theorem. It states, in words, that the flux across a closed *surface* equals the sum of the divergences over the domain enclosed by the surface. Since we are in space (versus the plane), we measure flux via a surface integral, and the sums of divergences will be measured through a triple integral.

Theorem 14.7.1 The Divergence Theorem (in space)

Let *D* be a closed domain in space whose boundary is an orientable, piecewise smooth surface S with outer unit normal vector \vec{n} , and let \vec{F} be a vector field whose components are differentiable on *D*. Then

$$\iint_{\mathcal{S}} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, dS = \iiint_{D} \operatorname{div} \vec{F} \, dV.$$

Example 14.7.1 Using the Divergence Theorem in space

Let *D* be the domain in space bounded by the planes z = 0 and z = 2x, along with the cylinder $x = 1 - y^2$, as graphed in Figure 14.7.1, let *S* be the boundary of *D*, and let $\vec{F} = \langle x + y, y^2, 2z \rangle$.

Verify the Divergence Theorem by finding the total outward flux of \vec{F} across S, and show this is equal to $\iiint \sigma \vec{F} dV$.

SOLUTION The surface S is piecewise smooth, comprising surfaces S_1 , which is part of the plane z = 2x, surface S_2 , which is part of the cylinder $x = 1 - y^2$, and surface S_3 , which is part of the plane z = 0. To find the total outward flux across S, we need to compute the outward flux across each of these three surfaces.

We leave it to the reader to confirm that surfaces S_1 , S_2 and S_3 can be pa-

rameterized by \vec{r}_1 , \vec{r}_2 and \vec{r}_3 respectively as

$$\begin{split} \vec{r}_{1}(u,v) &= \left\langle v(1-u^{2}), u, 2v(1-u^{2}) \right\rangle, \\ \vec{r}_{2}(u,v) &= \left\langle (1-u^{2}), u, 2v(1-u^{2}) \right\rangle, \\ \vec{r}_{3}(u,v) &= \left\langle v(1-u^{2}), u, 0 \right\rangle, \end{split}$$

where $-1 \le u \le 1$ and $0 \le v \le 1$ for all three functions.

We compute a unit normal vector \vec{n} for each as $\frac{\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v}{||\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v||}$, though recall that as we are integrating $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, dS$, we actually only use $\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v$. Finally, in previous flux computations, it did not matter which direction \vec{n} pointed as long as we made note of its direction. When using the Divergence Theorem, we need \vec{n} to point to the outside of the closed surface, so in practice this means we'll either use $\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v$ or $\vec{r}_v \times \vec{r}_u$, depending on which points outside of the closed surface S.

We leave it to the reader to confirm the following cross products and integrations are correct.

For S_1 , we need to use $\vec{r}_{1\nu} \times \vec{r}_{1u} = \langle 2(u^2 - 1), 0, 1 - u^2 \rangle$. (Note the *z*-component is nonnegative as $u \leq 1$, therefore this vector always points up, meaning to the outside, of S.) The flux across S_1 is:

Flux across
$$S_1 := \iint_{S_1} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n}_1 \, dS$$

 $= \int_0^1 \int_{-1}^1 \vec{F} (\vec{r}_1(u, v)) \cdot (\vec{r}_{1v} \times \vec{r}_{1u}) \, du \, dv$
 $= \int_0^1 \int_{-1}^1 \langle v(1 - u^2) + u, u^2, 4v(1 - u^2) \rangle \cdot \langle 2(u^2 - 1), 0, 1 - u^2 \rangle \, du \, dv$
 $= \int_0^1 \int_{-1}^1 (2u^4v + 2u^3 - 4u^2v - 2u + 2v) \, du \, dv$
 $= \frac{16}{15}.$

For S_2 , we use $\vec{r}_{2u} \times \vec{r}_{2v} = \langle 2(1-u^2), 4u(1-u^2), 0 \rangle$. (Note the *x*-component is always nonnegative, meaning this vector points outside S.) The flux across S_2

Flux across
$$S_2$$
: = $\iint_{S_2} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n}_2 \, dS$
= $\int_0^1 \int_{-1}^1 \vec{F}(\vec{r}_2(u, v)) \cdot (\vec{r}_{2u} \times \vec{r}_{2v}) \, du \, dv$
= $\int_0^1 \int_{-1}^1 \langle 1 - u^2 + u, u^2, 4v(1 - u^2) \rangle \cdot \langle 2(1 - u^2), 4u(1 - u^2), 0 \rangle \, du \, dv$
= $\int_0^1 \int_{-1}^1 (4u^5 - 2u^4 - 2u^3 + 4u^2 - 2u - 2) \, du \, dv$
= $\frac{32}{15}$.

For S_3 , we use $\vec{r}_{3u} \times \vec{r}_{3v} = \langle 0, 0, u^2 - 1 \rangle$. (Note the z-component is never positive, meaning this vector points down, outside of S.) The flux across S_3 is:

Flux across
$$S_3 := \iint_{S_3} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n}_3 \, dS$$

 $= \int_0^1 \int_{-1}^1 \vec{F} (\vec{r}_3(u, v)) \cdot (\vec{r}_{3u} \times \vec{r}_{3v}) \, du \, dv$
 $= \int_0^1 \int_{-1}^1 \langle v(1 - u^2) + u, u^2, 0 \rangle \cdot \langle 0, 0, u^2 - 1 \rangle \, du \, dv$
 $= \int_0^1 \int_{-1}^1 0 \, du \, dv$
 $= 0.$

Thus the total outward flux, measured by surface integrals across all three component surfaces of S, is 16/15 + 32/15 + 0 = 48/15 = 16/5 = 3.2. We now find the total outward flux by integrating div \vec{F} over D.

Following the steps outlined in Section 13.6, we see the bounds of x, y and z can be set as (thinking "surface to surface, curve to curve, point to point"):

$$0 \le z \le 2x; \quad 0 \le x \le 1 - y^2; \quad -1 \le y \le 1.$$

With div $\vec{F} = 1 + 2y + 2 = 2y + 3$, we find the total outward flux of \vec{F} over S as:

Flux =
$$\iiint_D \operatorname{div} \vec{F} \, dV = \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^{1-y^2} \int_0^{2x} (2y+3) \, dz \, dx \, dy = 16/5,$$

the same result we obtained previously.

Notes:

is:

In Example 14.7.1 we see that the total outward flux of a vector field across a closed surface can be found two different ways because of the Divergence Theorem. One computation took far less work to obtain. In that particular case, since S was comprised of three separate surfaces, it was far simpler to compute one triple integral than three surface integrals (each of which required partial derivatives and a cross product). In practice, if outward flux needs to be measured, one would choose only one method. We will use both methods in this section simply to reinforce the truth of the Divergence Theorem.

We practice again in the following example.

Example 14.7.2 Using the Divergence Theorem in space

Let S be the surface formed by the paraboloid $z = 1 - x^2 - y^2$, $z \ge 0$, and the unit disk centered at the origin in the x-y plane, graphed in Figure 14.7.2, and let $\vec{F} = \langle 0, 0, z \rangle$. (This surface and vector field were used in Example 14.6.3.)

Verify the Divergence Theorem; find the total outward flux across S and evaluate the triple integral of div \vec{F} , showing that these two quantities are equal.

SOLUTION We find the flux across S first. As S is piecewise–smooth, we decompose it into smooth components S_1 , the disk, and S_2 , the paraboloid, and find the flux across each.

In Example 14.6.3, we found the flux across S_1 is 0. We also found that the flux across S_2 is $\pi/2$. (In that example, the normal vector had a positive *z* component hence was an outer normal.) Thus the total outward flux is $0 + \pi/2 = \pi/2$.

We now compute $\iiint_D \operatorname{div} \vec{F} dV$. We can describe *D* as the domain bounded by (think "surface to surface, curve to curve, point to point"):

$$0 \le z \le 1 - x^2 - y^2$$
, $-\sqrt{1 - x^2} \le y \le \sqrt{1 - x^2}$, $-1 \le x \le 1$

This description of *D* is not very easy to integrate. With polar, we can do better. Let *R* represent the unit disk, which can be described in polar simply as *r*, where $0 \le r \le 1$ and $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$. With $x = r \cos \theta$ and $y = r \sin \theta$, the surface S_2 becomes

$$z = 1 - x^2 - y^2 \Rightarrow 1 - (r\cos\theta)^2 - (r\sin\theta)^2 \Rightarrow 1 - r^2.$$

Thus *D* can be described as the domain bounded by:

$$0 \le z \le 1 - r^2, \quad 0 \le r \le 1, \quad 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi.$$

With div $\vec{F} = 1$, we can integrate, recalling that $dV = r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta$:

$$\iiint_D \operatorname{div} \vec{F} \, dV = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \int_0^{1-r^2} r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta = \frac{\pi}{2},$$

which matches our flux computation above.




Figure 14.7.2: The surfaces used in Example 14.7.2.




Figure 14.7.3: The cube used in Example 14.7.3.

Example 14.7.3 A "paradox" of the Divergence Theorem and Gauss's Law The magnitude of many physical quantities (such as light intensity or electromagnetic and gravitational forces) follow an "inverse square law": the magnitude of the quantity at a point is inversely proportional to the square of the distance to the source of the quantity.

Let a point light source be placed at the origin and let \vec{F} be the vector field which describes the intensity and direction of the emanating light. At a point (x, y, z), the unit vector describing the direction of the light passing through that point is $\langle x, y, z \rangle / \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$. As the intensity of light follows the inverse square law, the magnitude of \vec{F} at (x, y, z) is $k/(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$ for some constant k. Taken together,

$$\vec{F}(x,y,z) = \frac{k}{(x^2+y^2+z^2)^{3/2}} \langle x,y,z\rangle.$$

Consider the cube, centered at the origin, with sides of length 2a for some a > 0 (hence corners of the cube lie at (a, a, a), (-a, -a, -a), etc., as shown in Figure 14.7.3). Find the flux across the six faces of the cube and compare this to $\iint_{D} \operatorname{div} \vec{F} dV$.

Let \mathcal{S}_1 be the "top" face of the cube, which can be parametrized SOLUTION by $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, v, a \rangle$ for $-a \leq u \leq a, -a \leq v \leq a$. We leave it to the reader to confirm that $\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v = \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle$, which points outside of the cube.

The flux across this face is:

Flux =
$$\iint_{S_1} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, dS$$

=
$$\int_{-a}^{a} \int_{-a}^{a} \vec{F}(\vec{r}(u, v)) \cdot (\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v) \, du \, dv$$

=
$$\int_{-a}^{a} \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{k \, a}{(u^2 + v^2 + a^2)^{3/2}} \, du \, dv.$$

This double integral is not trivial to compute, requiring multiple trigonometric substitutions. This example is not meant to stress integration techniques, so we leave it to the reader to confirm the result is

$$=\frac{2k\pi}{3}.$$

Note how the result is independent of *a*; no matter the size of the cube, the flux through the top surface is always $2k\pi/3$.

An argument of symmetry shows that the flux through each of the six faces is $2k\pi/3$, thus the total flux through the faces of the cube is $6 \times 2k\pi/3 = 4k\pi$.

It takes a bit of algebra, but we can show that div $\vec{F} = 0$. Thus the Divergence Theorem would seem to imply that the total flux through the faces of the cube should be

$$Flux = \iiint_D \operatorname{div} \vec{F} \, dV = \iiint_D 0 \, dV = 0,$$

but clearly this does not match the result from above. What went wrong?

Revisit the statement of the Divergence Theorem. One of the conditions is that the components of \vec{F} must be differentiable on the domain enclosed by the surface. In our case, \vec{F} is *not* differentiable at the origin – it is not even defined! As \vec{F} does not satisfy the conditions of the Divergence Theorem, it does not apply, and we cannot expect $\iint_S \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, dA = \iiint_D \text{div} \vec{F} \, dV$.

Since \vec{F} is differentiable everywhere except the origin, the Divergence Theorem does apply over any domain that does not include the origin. Let S_2 be any surface that encloses the cube used before, and let \hat{D} be the domain *between* the cube and S_2 ; note how \hat{D} does not include the origin and so the Divergence Theorem does apply over this domain. The total outward flux over \hat{D} is thus $\iint_{\hat{D}} \operatorname{div} \vec{F} \, dV = 0$, which means the amount of flux coming out of S_2 is the same as the amount of flux coming out of the cube. The conclusion: the flux across *any* surface enclosing the origin will be $4k\pi$.

This has an important consequence in electrodynamics. Let q be a point charge at the origin. The electric field generated by this point charge is

$$ec{E}=rac{q}{4\piarepsilon_0}rac{\langle x,y,z
angle}{(x^2+y^2+z^2)^{3/2}}$$

i.e., it is \vec{F} with $k = q/(4\pi\varepsilon_0)$, where ε_0 is a physical constant (the "permittivity of free space"). Gauss's Law states that the outward flux of \vec{E} across any surface enclosing the origin is q/ε_0 .

Our interest in the Divergence Theorem is twofold. First, it's truth alone is interesting: to study the behavior of a vector field across a closed surface, one can examine properties of that field within the surface. Secondly, it offers an alternative way of computing flux. When there are multiple methods of computing a desired quantity, one has power to select the easiest computation as illustrated next.

Example 14.7.4 Using the Divergence Theorem to compute flux Let S be the cube bounded by the planes $x = \pm 1$, $y = \pm 1$, $z = \pm 1$, and let $\vec{F} = \langle x^2 y, 2yz, x^2 z^3 \rangle$. Compute the outward flux of \vec{F} over S.

SOLUTION We compute div $\vec{F} = 2xy + 2z + 3x^2z^2$. By the Divergence Theorem, the outward flux is the triple integral over the domain *D* enclosed by

 \mathcal{S} :

Outward flux:
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} (2xy + 2z + 3x^2z^2) dz dy dx = \frac{8}{3}$$

The direct flux computation requires six surface integrals, one for each face of the cube. The Divergence Theorem offers a much more simple computation.

Stokes' Theorem

Just as the spatial Divergence Theorem of this section is an extension of the planar Divergence Theorem, Stokes' Theorem is the spatial extension of Green's Theorem. Recall that Green's Theorem states that the circulation of a vector field around a closed curve in the plane is equal to the sum of the curl of the field over the region enclosed by the curve. Stokes' Theorem effectively makes the same statement: given a closed curve that lies on a surface S, the circulation of a vector field around that curve is the same as the sum of "the curl of the field" across the enclosed surface. We use quotes around "the curl of the field" to signify that this statement is not quite correct, as we do not sum curl \vec{F} , but curl $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{n}$, where \vec{n} is a unit vector normal to S. That is, we sum the portion of curl \vec{F} that is orthogonal to S at a point.

Green's Theorem dictated that the curve was to be traversed counterclockwise when measuring circulation. Stokes' Theorem will follow a right hand rule: when the thumb of one's right hand points in the direction of \vec{n} , the path *C* will be traversed in the direction of the curling fingers of the hand (this is equivalent to traversing counterclockwise in the plane).

Theorem 14.7.2 Stokes' Theorem

Let S be a piecewise smooth, orientable surface whose boundary is a piecewise smooth curve C, let \vec{n} be a unit vector normal to S, let C be traversed with respect to \vec{n} according to the right hand rule, and let the components of \vec{F} have continuous first partial derivatives over S. Then

$$\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \iint_S (\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS.$$

In general, the best approach to evaluating the surface integral in Stokes' Theorem is to parametrize the surface S with a function $\vec{r}(u, v)$. We can find a unit normal vector \vec{n} as

$$\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v}{||\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v||}$$

Since $dS = || \vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v || dA$, the surface integral in practice is evaluated as

$$\iint_{\mathcal{S}} (\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}) \cdot (\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v) \, dA,$$

where $\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v$ may be replaced by $\vec{r}_v \times \vec{r}_u$ to properly match the direction of this vector with the orientation of the parameterization of *C*.

Example 14.7.5 Verifying Stokes' Theorem

Considering the planar surface f(x, y) = 7 - 2x - 2y, let *C* be the curve in space that lies on this surface above the circle of radius 1 and centered at (1, 1) in the *x*-*y* plane, let *S* be the planar region enclosed by *C*, as illustrated in Figure 14.7.4, and let $\vec{F} = \langle x+y, 2y, y^2 \rangle$. Verify Stoke's Theorem by showing $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \iint_S (\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS$.

SOLUTION We begin by parameterizing *C* and then find the circulation. A unit circle centered at (1, 1) can be parametrized with $x = \cos t + 1$, $y = \sin t + 1$ on $0 \le t \le 2\pi$; to put this curve on the surface *f*, make the *z* component equal f(x, y): $z = 7 - 2(\cos t + 1) - 2(\sin t + 1) = 3 - 2\cos t - 2\sin t$. All together, we parametrize *C* with $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t + 1, \sin t + 1, 3 - 2\cos t - 2\sin t \rangle$.

The circulation of \vec{F} around C is

$$\oint_{C} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \vec{F}(\vec{r}(t)) \cdot \vec{r}'(t) dt$$

= $\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(2\sin^{3}t - 2\cos t \sin^{2}t + 3\sin^{2}t - 3\cos t \sin t \right) dt$
= 3π .

We now parametrize S. (We reuse the letter "r" for our surface as this is our custom.) Based on the parametrization of C above, we describe S with $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle v \cos u + 1, v \sin u + 1, 3 - 2v \cos u - 2v \sin u \rangle$, where $0 \le u \le 2\pi$ and $0 \le v \le 1$.

We leave it to the reader to confirm that $\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v = \langle 2v, 2v, v \rangle$. As $0 \le v \le 1$, this vector always has a non-negative *z*-component, which the right–hand rule requires given the orientation of *C* used above. We also leave it to the reader to confirm curl $\vec{F} = \langle 2y, 0, -1 \rangle$.

The surface integral of Stokes' Theorem is thus

$$\iint_{\mathcal{S}} (\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS = \iint_{\mathcal{S}} (\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}) \cdot (\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v) \, dA$$
$$= \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \langle 2v \sin u + 2, 0, -1 \rangle \cdot \langle 2v, 2v, v \rangle \, du \, dv$$
$$= 3\pi,$$

which matches our previous result.




Figure 14.7.4: As given in Example 14.7.5, the surface S is the portion of the plane bounded by the curve.




Figure 14.7.5: As given in Example 14.7.6, the surface S is the portion of the plane bounded by the curve.

One of the interesting results of Stokes' Theorem is that if two surfaces S_1 and S_2 share the same boundary, then $\iint_{S_1} (\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS = \iint_{S_2} (\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS$. That is, the value of these two surface integrals is somehow independent of the interior of the surface. We demonstrate this principle in the next example.

Example 14.7.6 Stokes' Theorem and surfaces that share a boundary

Let *C* be the curve given in Example 14.7.5 and note that it lies on the surface $z = 6 - x^2 - y^2$. Let *S* be the region of this surface bounded by *C*, and let $\vec{F} = \langle x + y, 2y, y^2 \rangle$ as in the previous example. Compute $\iint_{S} (\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS$ to show it equals the result found in the previous example.

SOLUTION We begin by demonstrating that *C* lies on the surface $z = 6 - x^2 - y^2$. We can parametrize the *x* and *y* components of *C* with $x = \cos t + 1$, $y = \sin t + 1$ as before. Lifting these components to the surface *f* gives the *z* component as $z = 6 - x^2 - y^2 = 6 - (\cos t + 1)^2 - (\sin t + 1)^2 = 3 - 2\cos t - 2\sin t$, which is the same *z* component as found in Example 14.7.5. Thus the curve *C* lies on the surface $z = 6 - x^2 - y^2$, as illustrated in Figure 14.7.5.

Since *C* and \vec{F} are the same as in the previous example, we already know that $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = 3\pi$. We confirm that this is also the value of $\iint_S (\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS$. We parametrize S with

$$\vec{r}(u,v) = \langle v \cos u + 1, v \sin u + 1, 6 - (v \cos u + 1)^2 - (v \sin u + 1)^2 \rangle,$$

where $0 \le u \le 2\pi$ and $0 \le v \le 1$, and leave it to the reader to confirm that

$$\vec{r}_u imes \vec{r}_v = \langle 2v(v \cos u + 1), 2v(v \sin u + 1), v \rangle$$

which also conforms to the right–hand rule with regard to the orientation of *C*. With curl $\vec{F} = \langle 2y, 0, -1 \rangle$ as before, we have

$$\iint_{S} (\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS =$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \langle 2v \sin u + 2, 0, -1 \rangle \cdot \langle 2v (v \cos u + 1), 2v (v \sin u + 1), v \rangle \, du \, dv =$$

$$3\pi.$$

Even though the surfaces used in this example and in Example 14.7.5 are very different, because they share the same boundary, Stokes' Theorem guarantees they have equal "sum of curls" across their respective surfaces.

A Common Thread of Calculus

We have threefold interest in each of the major theorems of this chapter: the Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals, Green's, Stokes' and the Divergence Theorems. First, we find the beauty of their truth interesting. Second, each provides two methods of computing a desired quantity, sometimes offering a simpler method of computation.

There is yet one more reason of interest in the major theorems of this chapter. These important theorems also all share an important principle with the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, introduced in Chapter 5.

Revisit this fundamental theorem, adopting the notation used heavily in this chapter. Let *I* be the interval [a, b] and let y = F(x) be differentiable on *I*, with F'(x) = f(x). The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus states that

$$\int_{I} f(x) \, dx = F(b) - F(a)$$

That is, the sum of the rates of change of a function *F* over an interval *I* can also be calculated with a certain sum of *F* itself on the boundary of *I* (in this case, at the points x = a and x = b).

Each of the named theorems above can be expressed in similar terms. Consider the Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals: given a function z = f(x, y), the gradient ∇f is a type of rate of change of f. Given a curve C with initial and terminal points A and B, respectively, this fundamental theorem states that

$$\int_C \nabla f \, ds = f(B) - f(A)$$

where again the sum of a rate of change of *f* along a curve *C* can also be evaluated by a certain sum of *f* at the boundary of *C* (i.e., the points *A* and *B*).

Green's Theorem is essentially a special case of Stokes' Theorem, so we consider just Stokes' Theorem here. Recalling that the curl of a vector field \vec{F} is a measure of a rate of change of \vec{F} , Stokes' Theorem states that over a surface S bounded by a closed curve C,

$$\iint_{\mathcal{S}} \left(\operatorname{curl} \vec{F} \right) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS = \oint_{C} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r},$$

i.e., the sum of a rate of change of \vec{F} can be calculated with a certain sum of \vec{F} itself over the boundary of S. In this case, the latter sum is also an infinite sum, requiring an integral.

Finally, the Divergence Theorems state that the sum of divergences of a vector field (another measure of a rate of change of \vec{F}) over a region can also be computed with a certain sum of \vec{F} over the boundary of that region. When the

region is planar, the latter sum of \vec{F} is an integral; when the region is spatial, the latter sum of \vec{F} is a double integral.

The common thread among these theorems: the sum of a rate of change of a function over a region can be computed as another sum of the function itself on the boundary of the region. While very general, this is a very powerful and important statement.

Exercises 14.7


Terms and Concepts

- 1. What are the differences between the Divergence Theorems of Section 14.4 and this section?
- 2. What property of a vector field does the Divergence Theorem relate to flux?
- 3. What property of a vector field does Stokes' Theorem relate to circulation?
- 4. Stokes' Theorem is the spatial version of what other theorem?


Problems

In Exercises 5 – 8, a closed surface S enclosing a domain Dand a vector field \vec{F} are given. Verify the Divergence Theorem on S; that is, show $\iint_S \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, dS = \iiint_D \operatorname{div} \vec{F} \, dV$.


5. S is the surface bounding the domain D enclosed by the plane z = 2 - x/2 - 2y/3 and the coordinate planes in the first octant; $\vec{F} = \langle x^2, y^2, x \rangle$.




6. *S* is the surface bounding the domain *D* enclosed by the cylinder $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ and the planes z = -3 and z = 3; $\vec{F} = \langle -x, y, z \rangle$.



7. *S* is the surface bounding the domain *D* enclosed by z = xy(3-x)(3-y) and the plane z = 0; $\vec{F} = \langle 3x, 4y, 5z + 1 \rangle$.




8. S is the surface composed of S_1 , the paraboloid $z = 4 - x^2 - y^2$ for $z \ge 0$, and S_2 , the disk of radius 2 centered at the origin; $\vec{F} = \langle x, y, z^2 \rangle$.




In Exercises 9 – 12, a closed curve *C* that is the boundary of a surface S is given along with a vector field \vec{F} . Verify Stokes' Theorem on *C*; that is, show $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \iint_S (\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS$.


C is the curve parametrized by r
(t) = ⟨cos t, sin t, 1⟩ and S is the portion of z = x² + y² enclosed by C; F = ⟨z, -x, y⟩.




10. *C* is the curve parametrized by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t, e^{-1} \rangle$ and S is the portion of $z = e^{-x^2 - y^2}$ enclosed by *C*; $\vec{F} = \langle -y, x, 1 \rangle$.



11. *C* is the curve that follows the triangle with vertices at (0, 0, 2), (4, 0, 0) and (0, 3, 0), traversing the the vertices in that order and returning to (0, 0, 2), and *S* is the portion of the plane z = 2 - x/2 - 2y/3 enclosed by *C*; $\vec{F} = \langle y, -z, y \rangle$.




12. *C* is the curve whose *x* and *y* coordinates follow the parabola $y = 1 - x^2$ from x = 1 to x = -1, then follow the line from (-1, 0) back to (1, 0), where the *z* coordinates of *C* are determined by $f(x, y) = 2x^2 + y^2$, and *S* is the portion of $z = 2x^2 + y^2$ enclosed by *C*; $\vec{F} = \langle y^2 + z, x, x^2 - y \rangle$.




In Exercises 13 – 16, a closed surface S and a vector field \vec{F} are given. Find the outward flux of \vec{F} over S either through direct computation or through the Divergence Theorem.


13. *S* is the surface formed by the intersections of z = 0 and $z = (x^2 - 1)(y^2 - 1); \vec{F} = \langle x^2 + 1, yz, xz^2 \rangle.$




14. S is the surface formed by the intersections of the planes $z = \frac{1}{2}(3-x), x = 1, y = 0, y = 2$ and $z = 0; \vec{F} = \langle x, y^2, z \rangle$.



15. S is the surface formed by the intersections of the planes z = 2y, $y = 4 - x^2$ and z = 0; $\vec{F} = \langle xz, 0, xz \rangle$.




16. S is the surface formed by the intersections of the cylinder $z = 1 - x^2$ and the planes y = -2, y = 2 and z = 0; $\vec{F} = \langle 0, y^3, 0 \rangle$.




In Exercises 17 – 20, a closed curve *C* that is the boundary of a surface *S* is given along with a vector field \vec{F} . Find the circulation of \vec{F} around *C* either through direct computation or through Stokes' Theorem.


17. *C* is the curve whose *x*- and *y*-values are determined by the three sides of a triangle with vertices at (-1, 0), (1, 0) and (0, 1), traversed in that order, and the *z*-values are determined by the function z = xy; $\vec{F} = \langle z - y^2, x, z \rangle$.




18. *C* is the curve whose *x*- and *y*-values are given by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2 \cos t, 2 \sin t \rangle$ and the *z*-values are determined by the function $z = x^2 + y^3 - 3y + 1$; $\vec{F} = \langle -y, x, z \rangle$.



19. *C* is the curve whose *x*- and *y*-values are given by $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, 3 \sin t \rangle$ and the *z*-values are determined by the function $z = 5 - 2x - y; \vec{F} = \langle -\frac{1}{3}y, 3x, \frac{2}{3}y - 3x \rangle$.



20. *C* is the curve whose *x*- and *y*-values are sides of the square with vertices at (1, 1), (-1, 1), (-1, -1) and (1, -1), traversed in that order, and the *z*-values are determined by the function z = 10 - 5x - 2y; $\vec{F} = \langle 5y^2, 2y^2, y^2 \rangle$.



Exercises 21 - 24 are designed to challenge your understanding and require no computation.

21. Let S be any closed surface enclosing a domain D. Consider $\vec{F}_1 = \langle x, 0, 0 \rangle$ and $\vec{F}_2 = \langle y, y^2, z - 2yz \rangle$.

These fields are clearly very different. Why is it that the total outward flux of each field across S is the same?

- 22. (a) Green's Theorem can be used to find the area of a region enclosed by a curve by evaluating a line integral with the appropriate choice of vector field \vec{F} . What condition on \vec{F} makes this possible?
 - (b) Likewise, Stokes' Theorem can be used to find the surface area of a region enclosed by a curve in space by evaluating a line integral with the appropriate choice of vector field \vec{F} . What condition on \vec{F} makes this possible?
- 23. The Divergence Theorem establishes equality between a particular double integral and a particular triple integral. What types of circumstances would lead one to choose to evaluate the triple integral over the double integral?
- 24. Stokes' Theorem establishes equality between a particular line integral and a particular double integral. What types of circumstances would lead one to choose to evaluate the double integral over the line integral?

Chapter 1

1. Answers will vary.

Section 1.1

3. F

5. Answers will vary. 7. -1 9. Limit does not exist 11. 1.5 13. Limit does not exist. 15. 1 f(a+h)-f(a)h h -0.1 -7 The limit seems to be exactly 7. 17. -0.01-7 0.01 -7 0.1 -7 $\underline{f(a+h)-f(a)}$ h -0.1 4.9 19. The limit is approx. 5. -0.014.99 0.01 5.01 0.1 5.1 f(a+h)-f(a)h 29.4 -0.121. The limit is approx. 29. -0.0129.04 0.01 28.96 0.1 28.6 f(a+h)-f(a)h -0.1 -0.998334 -0.999983 The limit is approx. -1. 23. -0.010.01 -0.9999830.1 -0.998334

Section 1.2

- $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{1. } \varepsilon \mbox{ should be given first, and the restriction } |x-a| < \delta \mbox{ implies } \\ |f(x)-\mathcal{K}| < \varepsilon, \mbox{ not the other way around.} \end{array}$
- 3. T
- 5. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We wish to find $\delta > 0$ such that when $|x 4| < \delta$, $|f(x) 13| < \varepsilon$. Consider $|f(x) - 13| < \varepsilon$:

$$|f(x) - 13| < \varepsilon$$

$$|(2x + 5) - 13| < \varepsilon$$

$$|2x - 8| < \varepsilon$$

$$2|x - 4| < \varepsilon$$

$$-\varepsilon/2 < x - 4 < \varepsilon/2.$$

This implies we can let $\delta=\varepsilon/{\rm 2.}$ Then:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{4}| &< \delta \\ -\delta &< \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{4} &< \delta \\ -\varepsilon/2 &< \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{4} &< \varepsilon/2 \\ -\varepsilon &< 2\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{8} &< \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon &< (2\mathbf{x} + 5) - 13 &< \varepsilon \\ |(2\mathbf{x} + 5) - 13| &< \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

_

7. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We wish to find $\delta > 0$ such that when $|x - 3| < \delta$, $|f(x) - 6| < \varepsilon$. Consider $|f(x) - 6| < \varepsilon$, keeping in mind we want to make a statement about |x - 3|:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)-6| &< \varepsilon \\ |x^2-3-6| &< \varepsilon \\ |x^2-9| &< \varepsilon \\ |x-3| \cdot |x+3| &< \varepsilon \\ |x-3| &< \varepsilon / |x+3| \end{aligned}$$

Since x is near 3, we can safely assume that, for instance, 2 < x < 4. Thus

$$2+3 < x+3 < 4+3$$
$$5 < x+3 < 7$$
$$\frac{1}{7} < \frac{1}{x+3} < \frac{1}{5}$$
$$\frac{\varepsilon}{7} < \frac{\varepsilon}{x+3} < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}$$

Let $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{7}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{3}| < \delta \\ |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{3}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{7} \\ |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{3}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{3}} \\ |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{3}| \cdot |\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{3}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{3}} \cdot |\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{3}| \end{aligned}$$

Assuming x is near 3, x + 3 is positive and we can drop the absolute value signs on the right.

$$\begin{aligned} |x-3|\cdot|x+3| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{x+3} \cdot (x+3) \\ |x^2-9| &< \varepsilon \\ |(x^2-3)-6| &< \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

9. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We wish to find $\delta > 0$ such that when $|x - 1| < \delta$, $|f(x) - 6| < \varepsilon$. Consider $|f(x) - 6| < \varepsilon$, keeping in mind we want to make a statement about |x - 1|:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - 6| &< \varepsilon \\ |(2x^2 + 3x + 1) - 6| &< \varepsilon \\ |2x^2 + 3x - 5| &< \varepsilon \\ |2x + 5| \cdot |x - 1| &< \varepsilon \\ |x - 1| &< \varepsilon / |2x + 5| \end{aligned}$$

Since x is near 1, we can safely assume that, for instance, 0 < x < 2. Thus

$$0+5 < 2x+5 < 4+$$

$$5 < 2x+5 < 9$$

$$\frac{1}{9} < \frac{1}{2x+5} < \frac{1}{5}$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{9} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2x+5} < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}$$

5

Let $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{q}$. Then:

$$|x-1| < \delta$$

$$|x-1| < \frac{\varepsilon}{9}$$

$$|x-1| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2x+5}$$

$$|x-1| \cdot |2x+5| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2x+5} \cdot |2x+5|$$

Assuming x is near 1, 2x + 5 is positive and we can drop the absolute value signs on the right.

$$\begin{aligned} |x-1|\cdot|2x+5| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2x+5} \cdot (2x+1)\\ |2x^2+3x-5| &< \varepsilon\\ |(2x^2+3x+1)-6| &< \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

5)

which is what we wanted to prove.

- 11. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We wish to find $\delta > 0$ such that when $|x 2| < \delta$, $|f(x) 5| < \varepsilon$. However, since f(x) = 5, a constant function, the latter inequality is simply $|5 5| < \varepsilon$, which is always true. Thus we can choose any δ we like; we arbitrarily choose $\delta = \varepsilon$.
- 13. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We wish to find $\delta > 0$ such that when $|x 1| < \delta$, $|f(x) 1| < \varepsilon$. Consider $|f(x) - 1| < \varepsilon$, keeping in mind we want to make a statement about |x - 1|:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - 1| &< \varepsilon \\ |1/x - 1| &< \varepsilon \\ |(1 - x)/x| &< \varepsilon \\ |x - 1|/|x| &< \varepsilon \\ |x - 1| &< \varepsilon \cdot |x| \end{aligned}$$

Since x is near 1, we can safely assume that, for instance, 1/2 < x < 3/2. Thus $\varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon \cdot x$. Let $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} |x-1| < \delta \\ |x-1| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ |x-1| < \varepsilon \cdot x \\ |x-1| / x < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Assuming x is near 1, x is positive and we can bring it into the absolute value signs on the left.

$$\begin{aligned} |(x-1)/x| < \varepsilon \\ |1-1/x| < \varepsilon \\ |(1/x)-1| < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

Section 1.3

- 1. Answers will vary.
- 3. Answers will vary.
- 5. As x is near 1, both f and g are near 0, but f is approximately twice the size of g. (I.e., $f(x) \approx 2g(x)$.)
- 7.9

9.0

11. 3

- 13. 3 15. 1 17. 0 19. 7 21. 1/223. Limit does not exist 25. 2 27. $\frac{\pi^2 + 3\pi + 5}{5\pi^2 - 2\pi - 3} \approx 0.6064$ 29. -831. 10
- 33. -3/2
- 35. 0
- 37. 1
- 39. 3
- 41. 1
- 43. (a) Apply Part 1 of Theorem 1.3.1.
 - (b) Apply Theorem 1.3.6; $g(x) = \frac{x}{x}$ is the same as g(x) = 1everywhere except at x = 0. Thus $\lim_{x \to 0} g(x) = \lim_{x \to 0} 1 = 1$.
 - (c) The function f(x) is always 0, so g(f(x)) is never defined as g(x) is not defined at x = 0. Therefore the limit does not exist.
 - (d) The Composition Rule requires that $\lim_{x\to 0} g(x)$ be equal to g(0). They are not equal, so the conditions of the Composition Rule are not satisfied, and hence the rule is not violated.

Section 1.4

1. The function approaches different values from the left and right; the function grows without bound; the function oscillates.

3. F

- 5. (a) 2
 - (b) 2
 - (c) 2
 - (d) 1
 - (e) As f is not defined for x < 0, this limit is not defined.
 - (f) 1
- 7. (a) Does not exist.
 - (b) Does not exist.
 - (c) Does not exist.
 - (d) Not defined.
 - (e) 0
 - (f) 0

9. (a) 2

(b) 2 (c) 2

(d) 2

- 11. (a) 2
 - (b) 2
 - (c) 2
 - (d) 0
 - (e) 2
 - (f) 2

(g) 2 (h) Not defined (a) 2 13. (b) -4 (c) Does not exist. (d) 2 15. (a) 0 (b) 0 (c) 0 (d) 0 (e) 2 (f) 2 (g) 2 (h) 2 17. (a) $1 - \cos^2 a = \sin^2 a$ (b) sin² a (c) sin² a (d) sin² a 19. (a) 4 (b) 4 (c) 4 (d) 3 21. (a) —1 (b) 1 (c) Does not exist (d) 0 23. 2/3 25. -9 Section 1.5 1. Answers will vary. 3. A root of a function f is a value c such that f(c) = 0. 5. F 7. T 9. F 11. No; $\lim_{x \to 1} f(x) = 2$, while f(1) = 1. 13. No; f(1) does not exist. 15. Yes 17. (a) No; $\lim_{x \to -2} f(x) \neq f(-2)$ (b) Yes (c) No; f(2) is not defined. 19. (a) Yes (b) Yes 21. (a) Yes (b) Yes 23. $(-\infty,\infty)$ 25. [-2, 2] 27. $(-\infty, -\sqrt{6}]$ and $[\sqrt{6}, \infty)$ **29**. $(-\infty, \infty)$

31.	(0,	∞)
JT.	(0,	∞

- 33. $(-\infty, 0]$
- 35. Yes, by the Intermediate Value Theorem.
- 37. We cannot say; the Intermediate Value Theorem only applies to function values between -10 and 10; as 11 is outside this range, we do not know.
- 39. Approximate root is x = 1.23. The intervals used are: [1, 1.5] [1, 1.25] [1.125, 1.25] [1.1875, 1.25] [1.21875, 1.25] [1.234375, 1.25] [1.234375, 1.2421875] [1.234375, 1.2382813]
- 41. Approximate root is x = 0.69. The intervals used are:
 [0.65, 0.7] [0.675, 0.7] [0.6875, 0.7]
 [0.6875, 0.69375] [0.690625, 0.69375]
- 43. (a) 20
 - (b) 25
 - (c) Limit does not exist

(d) 25

45. Answers will vary.

Section 1.6

- 1. F
- 3. F
- 5. T
- 7. Answers will vary.
- 9. (a) ∞
 - (b) ∞
- 11. (a) 1
 - (b) 0
 - (c) 1/2
 - (d) 1/2
- 13. (a) Limit does not exist
 - (b) Limit does not exist
- 15. Tables will vary.

(a)
$$\frac{x \quad f(x)}{2.9 \quad -15.1224}$$
(b)
$$\frac{x \quad f(x)}{2.99 \quad -159.12}$$
(c) It seems $\lim_{x \to 3^{-}} f(x) = -\infty$
(c) It seems $\lim_{x \to 3^{-}} f(x) = -\infty$
(c) It seems $\lim_{x \to 3^{-}} f(x) = \infty$

(b) 3.01 10.8024 It seems
$$\lim_{x\to 3^+} f(x) = \infty$$
.
3.001 1600.88

(c) It seems $\lim_{x\to 3} f(x)$ does not exist.

17. Tables will vary.

(a)
$$\frac{x \quad f(x)}{2.9 \quad 132.857}$$
 It seems $\lim_{x \to 3^{-}} f(x) = \infty$.
2.99 12124.4
(b)
$$\frac{x \quad f(x)}{3.1 \quad 108.039}$$
 It seems $\lim_{x \to 3^{+}} f(x) = \infty$.
3.01 11876.4

(c) It seems $\lim_{x\to 3} f(x) = \infty$.

- 19. Horizontal asymptote at y = 2; vertical asymptotes at x = -5, 4.
- 21. Horizontal asymptote at y = 0; vertical asymptotes at x = -1, 0.
- 23. No horizontal or vertical asymptotes.
- 25. ∞

27. $-\infty$

- 29. Solution omitted.
- Yes. The only "questionable" place is at x = 3, but the left and right limits agree.

Chapter 2

Section 2.1

- 1. T
- 3. Answers will vary.
- 5. Answers will vary.
- 7. f'(x) = 0
- 9. f'(t) = -3
- 11. $h'(x) = 3x^2$
- 13. $r'(x) = \frac{-1}{x^2}$
- 15. (a) y = 6
 - (b) x = -2
- 17. (a) y = -3x + 4


(b)
$$y = 1/3(x - 7) - 17$$

19. (a) y = 48(x - 4) + 64(b) $y = -\frac{1}{49}(x - 4) + 64$

21. (a)
$$y = -1/4(x+2) - 1/2$$

(b) $y = 4(x+2) - 1/2$

- 23. y = 8.1(x 3) + 16
- 25. $y = 7.77(x 2) + e^2$, or y = 7.77(x 2) + 7.39.
- (a) Approximations will vary; they should match (c) closely.
 (b) f'(x) = 2x
 - (c) At (-1,0), slope is -2. At (0, -1), slope is 0. At (2,3), slope is 4.



- 31.
- 33. (a) Approximately on (-2, 0) and $(2, \infty)$.
 - (b) Approximately on $(-\infty, -2)$ and (0, 2).
 - (c) Approximately at $x = 0, \pm 2$.
 - (d) Approximately on $(-\infty,-1)$ and $(1,\infty).$
 - (e) Approximately on (-1, 1).
 - (f) Approximately at $x = \pm 1$.

- 35. $\lim_{h\to 0^+} \frac{f(0+h)-f(0)}{h} = 0; \text{ note also that } \lim_{x\to 0^+} f'(x) = 0. \text{ So } f$ is differentiable at x = 0. $\lim_{h\to 0^-} \frac{f(1+h)-f(1)}{h} = -\infty; \text{ note also that}$ $\lim_{x\to 1^-} f'(x) = -\infty. \text{ So } f \text{ is not differentiable at } x = 1.$ f is differentiable on [0, 1), not its entire domain.
- 37. Approximately 24.
- 39. (a) $(-\infty,\infty)$
 - (b) $(-\infty, -1) \cup (-1, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$ (c) $(-\infty, 5]$
 - (d) $[-\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{5}]$

Section 2.2

- 1. Velocity
- 3. Linear functions.
- 5. -17
- 7. f(10.1) is likely most accurate, as accuracy is lost the farther from x = 10 we go.
- 9.6
- 11. ft/s²
- 13. (a) thousands of dollars per car
 - (b) It is likely that P(0) < 0. That is, negative profit for not producing any cars.
- 15. f(x) = g'(x)
- 17. Either g(x) = f'(x) or f(x) = g'(x) is acceptable. The actual answer is g(x) = f'(x), but is very hard to show that $f(x) \neq g'(x)$ given the level of detail given in the graph.
- 19. f'(x) = 10x
- 21. $f'(\pi) \approx 0$.

Section 2.3

- 1. Power Rule.
- 3. One answer is $f(x) = 10e^x$.
- 5. *g*(*x*) and *h*(*x*)
- 7. One possible answer is f(x) = 17x 205.
- 9. f'(x) is a velocity function, and f''(x) is acceleration.
- 11. f'(x) = 14x 5
- 13. $m'(t) = 45t^4 \frac{3}{8}t^2 + 3$
- 15. $f'(r) = 6e^{r}$
- 17. $f'(x) = \frac{2}{x} 1$
- 19. $h'(t) = e^t \cos t + \sin t$
- 21. f'(t) = 0
- 23. $g'(x) = 24x^2 120x + 150$
- 25. f'(x) = 18x 12
- 27. $f'(x) = 6x^5 f''(x) = 30x^4 f'''(x) = 120x^3 f^{(4)}(x) = 360x^2$
- 29. $h'(t) = 2t e^t h''(t) = 2 e^t h'''(t) = -e^t h^{(4)}(t) = -e^t$
- 31. $f'(\theta) = \cos \theta + \sin \theta f''(\theta) = -\sin \theta + \cos \theta$ $f'''(\theta) = -\cos \theta - \sin \theta f^{(4)}(\theta) = \sin \theta - \cos \theta$
- 33. Tangent line: y = 2(x 1)Normal line: y = -1/2(x - 1)
- 35. Tangent line: y = x 1Normal line: y = -x + 1
- 37. Tangent line: $y = \sqrt{2}(x \frac{\pi}{4}) \sqrt{2}$ Normal line: $y = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}(x - \frac{\pi}{4}) - \sqrt{2}$


```
39. The tangent line to f(x) = e^x at x = 0 is y = x + 1; thus e^{0.1} \approx y(0.1) = 1.1.
```

Section 2.4

1. F 3. T 5. F 7. (a) $f'(x) = (x^2 + 3x) + x(2x + 3)$ (b) $f'(x) = 3x^2 + 6x$ (c) They are equal. (a) h'(s) = 2(s+4) + (2s-1)(1)9 (b) h'(s) = 4s + 7(c) They are equal. (a) $f'(x) = \frac{x(2x) - (x^2 + 3)1}{x^2}$ 11. (b) $f'(x) = 1 - \frac{3}{x^2}$ (c) They are equal. 13. (a) $h'(s) = \frac{4s^3(0) - 3(12s^2)}{16s^6}$ (b) $h'(s) = -9/4s^{-4}$ (c) They are equal. 15. $f'(x) = \sin x + x \cos x$ 17. $f'(x) = e^x \ln x + e^x \frac{1}{x}$ 19. $g'(x) = \frac{-12}{(x-5)^2}$ 21. $h'(x) = -\csc^2 x - e^x$ 23. h'(t) = 14t + 625. $f'(x) = (6x+8)e^x + (3x^2+8x+7)e^x$ 27. f'(x) = 729. $f'(x) = \frac{\sin^2(x) + \cos^2(x) + 3\cos(x)}{(\cos(x) + 3)^2}$ 31. $f'(x) = \frac{-x \sin x - \cos x}{x^2} + \frac{\tan x - x \sec^2 x}{\tan^2 x}$ 33. $g'(t) = 12t^2e^t + 4t^3e^t - \cos^2 t + \sin^2 t$ 35. $f'(x) = 2xe^x \tan x = x^2e^x \tan x + x^2e^x \sec^2 x$ 37. Tangent line: y = 2x + 2Normal line: y = -1/2x + 239. Tangent line: y = 4Normal line: x = 241. x = 3/243. f'(x) is never 0. 45. $f''(x) = 2\cos x - x\sin x$ 47. $f''(x) = \cot^2 x \csc x + \csc^3 x$ 6 4 -2 -1 2

> -4 -6

49.



1. T 3. F 5. T 7. $f'(x) = 10(4x^3 - x)^9 \cdot (12x^2 - 1) = (120x^2 - 10)(4x^3 - x)^9$ 9. $g'(\theta) = 3(\sin \theta + \cos \theta)^2(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)$ 11. $f'(x) = 3(\ln x + x^2)2(\frac{1}{x} + 2x)$ 13. $f'(x) = 4(x + \frac{1}{x})^3(1 - \frac{1}{x^2})$ 15. $g'(x) = 5 \sec^2(5x)$ 17. $g'(t) = \cos\left(t^5 + \frac{1}{t}\right)\left(5t^4 - \frac{1}{t^3}\right)$ 19. $p'(t) = -3\cos^2(t^2 + 3t + 1)\sin(t^2 + 3t + 1)(2t + 3)$ 21. f'(x) = 2/x23. $q'(r) = \ln 4 \cdot 4^r$ 25. g'(t) = 027. $f'(x) = \frac{(3^t+2)((\ln 2)2^t) - (2^t+3)((\ln 3)3^t)}{(3^t+2)^2}$ 29. $f'(x) = \frac{2^{x^2} (\ln 3 \cdot 3^{x^2} 2x + 1) - (3^{x^2} + x) (\ln 2 \cdot 2^{x^2} 2x)}{2^{x^2}}$ 31. f'(x) = $5(x^{2} + x)^{4}(2x + 1)(3x^{4} + 2x)^{3} + 3(x^{2} + x)^{5}(3x^{4} + 2x)^{2}(12x^{3} + 2)$ 33. $f'(x) = 3\cos(3x+4)\cos(5-2x) + 2\sin(3x+4)\sin(5-2x)$ 35. $f'(x) = \frac{4(5x-9)^3 \cos(4x+1)-15 \sin(4x+1)(5x-9)^2}{(5x-9)^6}$ 37. Tangent line: y = 0Normal line: x = 039. Tangent line: $y = -3(\theta - \pi/2) + 1$ Normal line: $y = 1/3(\theta - \pi/2) + 1$ 41. In both cases the derivative is the same: 1/x. (a) ° F/mph 43. (b) The sign would be negative; when the wind is blowing at

10 mph, any increase in wind speed will make it feel colder, i.e., a lower number on the Fahrenheit scale.

Section 2.6

1. Answers will vary. 3. T 5. $f'(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{2}x^{-3/2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x^3}}$ 7. $f'(t) = \frac{-t}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}$ 9. $h'(x) = 1.5x^{0.5} = 1.5\sqrt{x}$ 11. $g'(x) = \frac{\sqrt{x}(1) - (x+7)(1/2x^{-1/2})}{x} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} - \frac{7}{2\sqrt{x^3}}$ 13. $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{-4x^3}{2y+1}$ 15. $\frac{dy}{dx} = \sin(x) \sec(y)$ 17. $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{y}{x}$ 19. $\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{2\sin(y)\cos(y)}{x}$

21.
$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{1}{2y+2}$$

23. If one takes the derivative of the equation, as shown, using the Quotient Rule, one finds $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{-\cos(x)(x+\cos(y))+\sin(x)+y}{\sin(y)(\sin(x)+y)+x+\cos(y)}$. If one first clears the denominator and writes $\sin(x) + y = \cos(y) + x$ then takes the derivative of both sides, one finds $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{1-\cos(x)}{1+\sin(y)}$. These expressions, by themselves, are not equal. However, for

values of x and y that satisfy the original equation (i.e, for x and y such that $\frac{\sin(x)+y}{\cos(y)+x} = 1$), these expressions are equal.

25.
$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{2x+y}{2y+x}$$

27. (a) $y = 0$
(b) $y = -1.859(x - 0.1) + 0.281$
29. (a) $y = 4$
(b) $y = 0.93(x - 2) + \sqrt[4]{108}$

31. (a)
$$y = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(x - \frac{7}{2}) + \frac{6+3\sqrt{3}}{2}$$

(b) $y = \sqrt{3}(x - \frac{4+3\sqrt{3}}{2}) + \frac{3}{2}$

33.
$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \frac{(2y+1)(-12x^2)+4x^3\left(2\frac{-4x^3}{2y+1}\right)}{(2y+1)^2}$$

35. $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \frac{\cos x \cos y + \sin^2 x \tan y}{\cos^2 y}$

37.
$$y' = (1+x)^{1/x} \left(\frac{1}{x(x+1)} - \frac{\ln(1+x)}{x^2}\right)$$

Tangent line: $y = (1 - 2 \ln 2)(x - 1) + 2$

39.
$$y' = \frac{x^{x}}{x+1} \left(\ln x + 1 - \frac{1}{x+1} \right)$$

Tangent line: $y = (1/4)(x-1) + 1/2$

41.
$$y' = \frac{x+1}{x+2} \left(\frac{1}{x+1} - \frac{1}{x+2} \right)$$

Tangent line: $y = 1/9(x-1) + 2/3$

Section 2.7

1. F

- 3. The point (10, 1) lies on the graph of $y = f^{-1}(x)$ (assuming *f* is invertible).
- 5. Compose f(g(x)) and g(f(x)) to confirm that each equals x.
- 7. Compose f(g(x)) and g(f(x)) to confirm that each equals x.

9.
$$(f^{-1})'(20) = \frac{1}{f'(2)} = 1/5$$

11. $(f^{-1})'(\sqrt{3}/2) = \frac{1}{f'(\pi/6)} = 1$

13.
$$(f^{-1})'(1/2) = \frac{1}{f'(1)} = -2$$

15.
$$h'(t) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1-4t^2}}$$

17.
$$g'(x) = \frac{2}{1+4x^2}$$

19.
$$g'(t) = \cos^{-1}(t)\cos(t) - \frac{\sin(t)}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}$$

21.
$$h'(x) = \frac{\sin^{-1}(x) + \cos^{-1}(x)}{\sqrt{1 - x^2} \cos^{-1}(x)^2}$$

23.
$$f'(x) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$$

25. (a)
$$f(x) = x$$
, so $f'(x) = 1$
(b) $f'(x) = \cos(\sin^{-1} x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} = 1$.

27.
$$y = \sqrt{2}(x - \sqrt{2}/2) + \pi/4$$

 $29. \quad \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{y(y-2x)}{x(x-2y)}$

^{31.} 3x² + 1 **Chapter 3**

Section 3.1

- 1. Answers will vary.
- 3. Answers will vary.
- 5. F
- A: none; the function isn't defined here. B: abs. max & rel. max C: rel. min D: none; the function isn't defined here. E: none F: rel. min G: rel. max
- 9. f'(0) = 0

11.
$$f'(\pi/2) = 0f'(3\pi/2) = 0$$

- 13. f'(2) is not defined f'(6) = 0
- 15. f'(0) = 0
- 17. min: (-0.5, 3.75) max: (2, 10)
- 19. min: $(\pi/4, 3\sqrt{2}/2)$ max: $(\pi/2, 3)$
- 21. min: $(\sqrt{3}, 2\sqrt{3})$ max: (5, 28/5)
- 23. min: $(\pi, -e^{\pi})$

max:
$$(\pi/4, \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{\pi/4}}{2})$$

- 25. min: (1,0) max: (*e*, 1/*e*)
- 27. $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{y(y-2x)}{x(x-2y)}$
- 29. $3x^2 + 1$

Section 3.2

- 1. Answers will vary.
- 3. Any c in [-1, 1] is valid.
- 5. c = -1/2
- 7. Rolle's Thm. does not apply.
- 9. Rolle's Thm. does not apply.
- 11. *c* = 0
- 13. $c = 3/\sqrt{2}$
- 15. The Mean Value Theorem does not apply.
- 17. $c = \pm \sec^{-1}(2/\sqrt{\pi})$
- 19. $c = \frac{5-7\sqrt{7}}{6}$
- 21. Max value of 19 at x = -2 and x = 5; min value of 6.75 at x = 1.5.
- 23. They are the odd, integer valued multiples of $\pi/2$ (such as $0,\pm\pi/2,\pm3\pi/2,\pm5\pi/2,$ etc.)

Section 3.3

- 1. Answers will vary.
- 3. Answers will vary; graphs should be steeper near x = 0 than near x = 2.
- 5. False; for instance, $y = x^3$ is always increasing though it has a critical point at x = 0.
- 7. Graph and verify.
- 9. Graph and verify.

- 11. Graph and verify.
- 13. Graph and verify.

15. domain: $(-\infty, \infty)$ c.p. at c = -1; decreasing on $(-\infty, -1)$; increasing on $(-1, \infty)$; rel. min at x = -1.

- 17. domain= $(-\infty, \infty)$ c.p. at $c = \frac{1}{6}(-1 \pm \sqrt{7});$ decreasing on $(\frac{1}{6}(-1 - \sqrt{7}), \frac{1}{6}(-1 + \sqrt{7})));$
 - increasing on $(-\infty, \frac{1}{6}(-1-\sqrt{7}))$ and $(\frac{1}{6}(-1+\sqrt{7}), \infty)$; rel. min at $x = \frac{1}{6}(-1+\sqrt{7})$;
 - rel. max at $x = \frac{1}{6}(-1 \sqrt{7})$.
- 19. domain= $(-\infty, \infty)$ c.p. at c = 1; decreasing on $(1, \infty)$ increasing on $(-\infty, 1)$; rel. max at x = 1.
- 21. domain= $(-\infty, -2) \cup (-2, 4) \cup (4, \infty)$ no c.p.; decreasing on entire domain, $(-\infty, -2)$, (-2, 4) and $(4, \infty)$

23. domain= $(-\infty, \infty)$ c.p. at $c = -3\pi/4, -\pi/4, \pi/4, 3\pi/4;$

decreasing on $(-3\pi/4, -\pi/4)$ and $(\pi/4, 3\pi/4)$; increasing on $(-\pi, -3\pi/4)$, $(-\pi/4, \pi/4)$ and $(3\pi/4, \pi)$; rel. min at $x = -\pi/4, 3\pi/4$; rel. max at $x = -3\pi/4, \pi/4$.

25. c = 1/2

Section 3.4

- 1. Answers will vary.
- 3. Yes; Answers will vary.
- 5. Graph and verify.
- 7. Graph and verify.
- 9. Graph and verify.
- 11. Graph and verify.
- 13. Graph and verify.
- 15. Possible points of inflection: none; concave up on $(-\infty,\infty)$
- 17. Possible points of inflection: x= 0; concave down on $(-\infty,0);$ concave up on $(0,\infty)$
- 19. Possible points of inflection: x=-2/3,0; concave down on (-2/3,0); concave up on $(-\infty,-2/3)$ and $(0,\infty)$
- 21. Possible points of inflection: x = 1; concave up on $(-\infty, \infty)$
- 23. Possible points of inflection: $x = \pm 1/\sqrt{3}$; concave down on $(-1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3})$; concave up on $(-\infty, -1/\sqrt{3})$ and $(1/\sqrt{3}, \infty)$
- 25. Possible points of inflection: $x = -\pi/4, 3\pi/4$; concave down on $(-\pi/4, 3\pi/4)$ concave up on $(-\pi, -\pi/4)$ and $(3\pi/4, \pi)$
- 27. Possible points of inflection: $x = 1/e^{3/2}$; concave down on $(0, 1/e^{3/2})$ concave up on $(1/e^{3/2}, \infty)$
- 29. min: *x* = 1
- 31. max: $x = -1/\sqrt{3}$ min: $x = 1/\sqrt{3}$
- 33. min: *x* = 1
- 35. min: *x* = 1

- 37. max: *x* = 0
- 39. max: $x = \pi/4$; min: $x = -3\pi/4$
- 41. min: $x = 1/\sqrt{e}$
- 43. f' has no maximal or minimal value.
- 45. f' has a minimal value at x = 0
- 47. Possible points of inflection: x = -2/3, 0; f' has a relative min at: x = 0; relative max at: x = -2/3
- 49. f' has no relative extrema
- 51. f' has a relative max at $x = -1/\sqrt{3}$; relative min at $x = 1/\sqrt{3}$
- 53. f' has a relative min at $x = 3\pi/4$; relative max at $x = -\pi/4$
- 55. *f* ' has a relative min at $x = 1/\sqrt{e^3} = e^{-3/2}$

Section 3.5

- 1. Answers will vary.
- 3. T
- 5. T
- 7. A good sketch will include the x and y intercepts and draw the appropriate line.
- 9. Use technology to verify sketch.
- 11. Use technology to verify sketch.
- 13. Use technology to verify sketch.
- 15. Use technology to verify sketch.
- 17. Use technology to verify sketch.
- 19. Use technology to verify sketch.
- 21. Use technology to verify sketch.
- 23. Use technology to verify sketch.
- 25. Use technology to verify sketch.
- 27. Critical point: x = 0 Points of inflection: $\pm b/\sqrt{3}$
- 29. Critical points: $x = \frac{n\pi/2-b}{a}$, where *n* is an odd integer Points of inflection: $(n\pi b)/a$, where *n* is an integer.
- 31. $\frac{dy}{dx} = -x/y$, so the function is increasing in second and fourth quadrants, decreasing in the first and third quadrants.

 $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = -1/y - x^2/y^3$, which is positive when y < 0 and is negative when y > 0. Hence the function is concave down in the first and second quadrants and concave up in the third and fourth quadrants.

Chapter 4

Section 4.1

1. F

- 3. $x_0 = 1.5, x_1 = 1.5709148, x_2 = 1.5707963, x_3 = 1.5707963, x_4 = 1.5707963, x_5 = 1.5707963$
- 5. $x_0 = 0, x_1 = 2, x_2 = 1.2, x_3 = 1.0117647, x_4 = 1.0000458, x_5 = 1$
- 7. $x_0 = 2, x_1 = 0.6137056389, x_2 = 0.9133412072, x_3 = 0.9961317034, x_4 = 0.9999925085, x_5 = 1$
- 9. roots are: *x* = -5.156, *x* = -0.369 and *x* = 0.525
- 11. roots are: *x* = -1.013, *x* = 0.988, and *x* = 1.393
- 13. x = ±0.824,
- 15. $x = \pm 0.743$
- 17. The approximations alternate between x = 1 and x = 2.

Section 4.2

1. T

3. (a) $5/(2\pi) \approx 0.796$ cm/s

- (b) $1/(4\pi) pprox 0.0796$ cm/s
- (c) $1/(40\pi) pprox 0.00796$ cm/s
- 5. 63.14mph
- Due to the height of the plane, the gun does not have to rotate very fast.
 - (a) 0.0573 rad/s
 - (b) 0.0725 rad/s
 - (c) In the limit, rate goes to 0.0733 rad/s
- 9. (a) 0.04 ft/s
 - (b) 0.458 ft/s
 - (c) 3.35 ft/s
 - (d) Not defined; as the distance approaches 24, the rates approaches $\infty.$
- 11. (a) 50.92 ft/min
 - (b) 0.509 ft/min
 - (c) 0.141 ft/min
 - As the tank holds about 523.6ft³, it will take about 52.36 minutes.
- 13. (a) The rope is 80ft long.
 - (b) 1.71 ft/sec
 - (c) 1.84 ft/sec
 - (d) About 34 feet.
- 15. The cone is rising at a rate of 0.003ft/s.

Section 4.3

1. T

- 3. 2500; the two numbers are each 50.
- 5. There is no maximum sum; the fundamental equation has only 1 critical value that corresponds to a minimum.
- 7. Area = 1/4, with sides of length $1/\sqrt{2}$.
- 9. The radius should be about 3.84cm and the height should be 2r = 7.67cm. No, this is not the size of the standard can.
- The height and width should be 18 and the length should be 36, giving a volume of 11, 664in³.
- 13. $5 10/\sqrt{39} \approx 3.4$ miles should be run underground, giving a minimum cost of \$374,899.96.
- 15. The dog should run about 19 feet along the shore before starting to swim.
- 17. The largest area is 2 formed by a square with sides of length $\sqrt{2}$.

Section 4.4

1. T

3. F

- 5. Answers will vary.
- 7. Use $y = x^2$; $dy = 2x \cdot dx$ with x = 2 and dx = 0.05. Thus dy = .2; knowing $2^2 = 4$, we have $2.05^2 \approx 4.2$.
- 9. Use $y = x^3$; $dy = 3x^2 \cdot dx$ with x = 5 and dx = 0.1. Thus dy = 7.5; knowing $5^3 = 125$, we have $5.1^3 \approx 132.5$.
- 11. Use $y = \sqrt{x}$; $dy = 1/(2\sqrt{x}) \cdot dx$ with x = 16 and dx = 0.5. Thus dy = .0625; knowing $\sqrt{16} = 4$, we have $\sqrt{16.5} \approx 4.0625$.

- 13. Use $y = \sqrt[3]{x}$; $dy = 1/(3\sqrt[3]{x^2}) \cdot dx$ with x = 64 and dx = -1. Thus $dy = -1/48 \approx 0.0208$; we could use $-1/48 \approx -1/50 = -0.02$; knowing $\sqrt[3]{64} = 4$, we have $\sqrt[3]{63} \approx 3.98$.
- 15. Use $y = \sin x$; $dy = \cos x \cdot dx$ with $x = \pi$ and $dx \approx -0.14$. Thus dy = 0.14; knowing $\sin \pi = 0$, we have $\sin 3 \approx 0.14$.
- 17. dy = (2x + 3)dx
- 19. $dy = \frac{-2}{4x^3} dx$
- 21. $dy = (2xe^{3x} + 3x^2e^{3x})dx$
- 23. $dy = \frac{2(\tan x + 1) 2x \sec^2 x}{(\tan x + 1)^2} dx$
- 25. $dy = (e^x \sin x + e^x \cos x) dx$
- 27. $dy = \frac{1}{(x+2)^2} dx$
- 29. $dy = (\ln x)dx$
- 31. $dV = \pm 0.157$
- 33. $\pm 15\pi/8 \approx \pm 5.89 \text{in}^2$
- 35. (a) 297.8 feet
 - (b) ±62.3 ft
 - (c) ±20.9%
- 37. (a) 298.9 feet
 - (b) \pm 8.67 ft
 - (c) ±2.9%

39. 1%

Chapter 5

Section 5.1

- 1. Answers will vary.
- 3. Answers will vary.
- 5. Answers will vary.
- 7. velocity
- 9. $3/4x^4 + C$
- 11. $10/3x^3 2x + C$
- 13. *s* + *C*
- 15. -3/(t) + C
- 17. tan $\theta + C$
- 19. $\sec x \csc x + C$
- 21. $3^t / \ln 3 + C$
- 23. $4/3t^3 + 6t^2 + 9t + C$
- 25. $x^6/6 + C$
- 27. ax + C
- 29. $-\cos x + 3$
- 31. $x^4 x^3 + 7$
- 33. $7^{x}/\ln7 + 1 49/\ln7$
- 35. $\frac{7x^3}{6} \frac{9x}{2} + \frac{40}{3}$
- 37. $\theta \sin(\theta) \pi + 4$
- 39. 3*x* − 2
- 41. $dy = (2xe^x \cos x + x^2e^x \cos x x^2e^x \sin x)dx$

Section 5.2

1. Answers will vary.

3.	0	19. 1045
5.	(a) 3	218525
	(b) 4	23. 5050
	(c) 3	25. 155
	(d) 0	27. 24
	(e) —4	29. 19
	(f) 9	31. $\pi/3 + \pi/(2\sqrt{3}) \approx 1.954$
7.	(a) 4	33. 0.388584
	(b) 2	35. (a) Exact expressions will vary; $\frac{(1+n)^2}{4n^2}$.
	(c) 4	(b) 121/400, 10201/40000, 1002001/4000000
	(d) 2	(c) 1/4
	(e) 1	37. (a) 8.
	(f) 2	(b) 8, 8, 8
9.	(a) π	(c) 8
	(b) π	39. (a) Exact expressions will vary; $100 - 200/n$.
	(c) 2π	(b) 80, 98, 499/5
11	(d) 10π	(c) 100
11.	(a) -59	41. $F(x) = 5 \tan x + 4$
	(b) -48 (c) -27	43. $G(t) = 4/6t^6 - 5/4t^4 + 8t + 9$
	(c) -27 (d) -33	45. $G(t) = \sin t - \cos t - 78$
13.	(a) 4	Section 5.4
15.	(b) 4	1. Answers will vary.
	(c) -4	3. Т
	(d) -2	5. 20
15.	(a) 2ft/s	7.0
	(b) 2ft	9. 1
	(c) 1.5ft	11. $(5 - 1/5)/\ln 5$
17.	(a) 64ft/s	134
	(b) 64ft	15. 16/3
	(c) <i>t</i> = 2	17. 45/4
	(d) $t=2+\sqrt{7}pprox4.65$ seconds	19. 1/2
19.	2	21. 1/2
21.	16	23. 1/4
23.	24	25. 8
25.	-7	27. 0
27.	$1/4x^4 - 2/3x^3 + 7/2x^2 - 9x + C$	29. Explanations will vary. A sketch will help.
29.	$3/4t^{4/3} - 1/t + 2^t/\ln 2 + C$	31. $c = 2/\sqrt{3}$
Se	ction 5.3	33. $c = \ln(e - 1) \approx 0.54$
		35. 2/π
1.	limits	37. 2
	Rectangles.	39. 16
5.	$2^2 + 3^2 + 4^2 = 29$	41300ft
7.	0 - 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 = 0	43. 30ft
9.	1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + 1/4 + 1/5 = 137/60	45. —1ft
11.	1/2 + 1/6 + 1/12 + 1/20 = 4/5	47. –64ft/s
13.	Answers may vary; $\sum_{i=1}^{5} 3i$	49. 2ft/s
15.	Answers may vary; $\sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{i}{i+1}$	51. 27/2
	$5 \cdot 10 = 50$	53. 9/2

A.9

55.
$$F'(x) = (3x^2 + 1)\frac{1}{x^3 + x}$$

57. $F'(x) = 2x(x^2 + 2) - (x + 2)$

Section 5.5

1. F

- 3. They are superseded by the Trapezoidal Rule; it takes an equal amount of work and is generally more accurate.
- 5. (a) 3/4
 - (b) 2/3
 - (c) 2/3
- 7. (a) $\frac{1}{4}(1+\sqrt{2})\pi \approx 1.896$ (b) $\frac{1}{6}(1+2\sqrt{2})\pi \approx 2.005$
 - (c) 2
- 9. (a) 38.5781
 - (b) 147/4 pprox 36.75
 - (c) $147/4 \approx 36.75$
- 11. (a) O
 - (b) 0
 - (c) 0
- 13. Trapezoidal Rule: 0.9006 Simpson's Rule: 0.90452
- 15. Trapezoidal Rule: 13.9604 Simpson's Rule: 13.9066
- 17. Trapezoidal Rule: 1.1703 Simpson's Rule: 1.1873
- 19. Trapezoidal Rule: 1.0803 Simpson's Rule: 1.077
- 21. (a) n = 161 (using max (f''(x)) = 1) (b) n = 12 (using max $(f^{(4)}(x)) = 1$)
- 23. (a) n = 1004 (using max (f''(x)) = 39)
 - (b) n = 62 (using max $(f^{(4)}(x)) = 800)$
- 25. (a) Area is 30.8667 cm².
 (b) Area is 308, 667 yd².

Chapter 6

Section 6.1

- 1. Chain Rule. 3. $\frac{1}{8}(x^3 - 5)^8 + C$ 5. $\frac{1}{18}(x^2 + 1)^9 + C$ 7. $\frac{1}{2}\ln|2x + 7| + C$ 9. $\frac{2}{3}(x + 3)^{3/2} - 6(x + 3)^{1/2} + C = \frac{2}{3}(x - 6)\sqrt{x + 3} + C$ 11. $2e^{\sqrt{x}} + C$ 13. $-\frac{1}{2x^2} - \frac{1}{x} + C$ 15. $\frac{\sin^3(x)}{3} + C$ 17. $-\frac{1}{6}\sin(3 - 6x) + C$ 19. $\frac{1}{2}\ln|\sec(2x) + \tan(2x)| + C$ 21. $\frac{\sin(x^2)}{2} + C$
- 25. $\frac{1}{2}e^{3x-1}+C$ 27. $\frac{1}{2}e^{(x-1)^2} + C$ 29. $\ln(e^{x} + 1) + C$ 31. $\frac{27^{x}}{\ln 27} + C$ 33. $\frac{1}{2} \ln^2(x) + C$ 35. $\frac{3}{2}(\ln x)^2 + C$ 37. $\frac{x^2}{2} + 3x + \ln|x| + C$ 39. $\frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x^2}{2} + x - 2 \ln |x + 1| + C$ 41. $\frac{3}{2}x^2 - 8x + 15 \ln |x + 1| + C$ 43. $\sqrt{7} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{7}} \right) + C$ 45. $14 \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{5}} \right) + C$ 47. $\frac{5}{4} \sec^{-1}(|x|/4) + C$ 49. $\frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{x-1}{\sqrt{7}}\right)}{\sqrt{7}} + C$ 51. $3\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{x-4}{5}\right) + C$ 53. $-\frac{1}{3(x^3+3)}+C$ 55. $-\sqrt{1-x^2} + C$ 57. $-\frac{2}{5}\cos^{\frac{3}{2}}(x) + C$ 59. $\ln |x-5| + C$ 61. $\frac{3x^2}{2}$ + ln $|x^2 + 3x + 5| - 5x + C$ 63. $3 \ln |3x^2 + 9x + 7| + C$ 65. $\frac{1}{18} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x^2}{9} \right) + C$ 67. $\sec^{-1}(|2x|) + C$ 69. $\frac{3}{2} \ln |x^2 - 2x + 10| + \frac{1}{3} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x-1}{3}\right) + C$ 71. $\frac{15}{2} \ln \left| x^2 - 10x + 32 \right| + x + \frac{41 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x-5}{\sqrt{7}} \right)}{\sqrt{7}} + C$ 73. $\frac{x^2}{2} + 3 \ln \left| x^2 + 4x + 9 \right| - 4x + \frac{24 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x+2}{\sqrt{5}} \right)}{\sqrt{5}} + C$ 75. $tan^{-1}(sin(x)) + C$ 77. $3\sqrt{x^2-2x-6}+C$ 79. – In 2 81. 2/3 83. (1-e)/2**85**. π/2 Section 6.2

23. The key is to rewrite $\cot x \operatorname{as} \cos x / \sin x$, and let $u = \sin x$.

1. T

- Determining which functions in the integrand to set equal to "u" and which to set equal to "dv".
- 5. $\sin x x \cos x + C$
- 7. $-x^2 \cos x + 2x \sin x + 2 \cos x + C$
- 9. $1/2e^{x^2} + C$

11.
$$-\frac{1}{2}xe^{-2x} - \frac{e^{-2x}}{4} + C$$

- 13. $1/5e^{2x}(\sin x + 2\cos x) + C$
- 15. $1/10e^{5x}(\sin(5x) + \cos(5x)) + C$

17.
$$\sqrt{1 - x^2} + x \sin^{-1}(x) + C$$

19. $\frac{1}{2}x^2 \tan^{-1}(x) - \frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1}(x) + C$
21. $\frac{1}{2}x^2 \ln |x| - \frac{x^2}{4} + C$
23. $-\frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{1}{2}x^2 \ln |x - 1| - \frac{x}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \ln |x - 1| + C$
25. $\frac{1}{3}x^3 \ln |x| - \frac{x^3}{9} + C$
27. $2(x + 1) + (x + 1) (\ln(x + 1))^2 - 2(x + 1) \ln(x + 1) + C$
29. $\ln |\sin(x)| - x \cot(x) + C$
31. $\frac{1}{3}(x^2 - 2)^{3/2} + C$
33. $x \sec x - \ln |\sec x + \tan x| + C$
35. $1/2x(\sin(\ln x) - \cos(\ln x)) + C$
37. $2 \sin(\sqrt{x}) - 2\sqrt{x}\cos(\sqrt{x}) + C$
39. $2\sqrt{x}e^{\sqrt{x}} - 2e^{\sqrt{x}} + C$
41. π
43. 0
45. $1/2$
47. $\frac{3}{4e^2} - \frac{5}{4e^4}$
49. $1/5 (e^{\pi} + e^{-\pi})$

Section 6.3

1. F
3. F
5.
$$-\frac{1}{5}\cos^{5}(x) + C$$

7. $\frac{1}{5}\cos^{5}x - \frac{1}{3}\cos^{3}x + C$
9. $\frac{1}{11}\sin^{11}x - \frac{2}{9}\sin^{9}x + \frac{1}{7}\sin^{7}x + C$
11. $\frac{x}{8} - \frac{1}{32}\sin(4x) + C$
13. $\frac{1}{2}(-\frac{1}{8}\cos(8x) - \frac{1}{2}\cos(2x)) + C$
15. $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{4}\sin(4x) - \frac{1}{10}\sin(10x)) + C$
17. $\frac{1}{2}(\sin(x) + \frac{1}{3}\sin(3x)) + C$
19. $\frac{\tan^{5}(x)}{5} + C$
21. $\frac{\tan^{6}(x)}{6} + \frac{\tan^{4}(x)}{4} + C$
23. $\frac{\sec^{5}(x)}{5} - \frac{\sec^{3}(x)}{3} + C$
25. $\frac{1}{3}\tan^{3}x - \tan x + x + C$
27. $\frac{1}{2}(\sec x \tan x - \ln |\sec x + \tan x|) + C$
29. $\frac{2}{5}$
31. 32/315
33. 2/3
35. 16/15

Section 6.4

1. backwards

3. (a)
$$\tan^2 \theta + 1 = \sec^2 \theta$$

(b) $9 \sec^2 \theta$.
5. $\frac{1}{2} \left(x \sqrt{x^2 + 1} + \ln |\sqrt{x^2 + 1} + x| \right) + C$
7. $\frac{1}{2} \left(\sin^{-1} x + x \sqrt{1 - x^2} \right) + C$
9. $\frac{1}{2} x \sqrt{x^2 - 1} - \frac{1}{2} \ln |x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}| + C$

11.
$$x\sqrt{x^2+1/4} + \frac{1}{4}\ln|2\sqrt{x^2+1/4} + 2x| + C = \frac{1}{2}x\sqrt{4x^2+1} + \frac{1}{4}\ln|\sqrt{4x^2+1} + 2x| + C$$

- 13. $4\left(\frac{1}{2}x\sqrt{x^2-1/16}-\frac{1}{32}\ln|4x+4\sqrt{x^2-1/16}|\right)+C=\frac{1}{2}x\sqrt{16x^2-1}-\frac{1}{8}\ln|4x+\sqrt{16x^2-1}|+C$
- 15. $3\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{7}}\right) + C$ (Trig. Subst. is not needed)
- 17. $\sqrt{x^2 11} \sqrt{11} \sec^{-1}(x/\sqrt{11}) + C$
- 19. $\sqrt{x^2 3} + C$ (Trig. Subst. is not needed)
- 21. $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2+9}} + C$ (Trig. Subst. is not needed)

23.
$$\frac{1}{18} \frac{x+2}{x^2+4x+13} + \frac{1}{54} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{x+2}{2}\right) + C$$

25.
$$\frac{1}{7}\left(-\frac{\sqrt{5-x^2}}{x}-\sin^{-1}(x/\sqrt{5})\right)+C$$

- 27. $\pi/2$
- 29. $2\sqrt{2} + 2\ln(1+\sqrt{2})$
- 31. $9 \sin^{-1}(1/3) + \sqrt{8}$ Note: the new lower bound is $\theta = \sin^{-1}(-1/3)$ and the new upper bound is $\theta = \sin^{-1}(1/3)$. The final answer comes with recognizing that $\sin^{-1}(-1/3) = -\sin^{-1}(1/3)$ and that $\cos(\sin^{-1}(1/3)) = \cos(\sin^{-1}(-1/3)) = \sqrt{8}/3$.

Section 6.5

- 1. rational 3. $\frac{A}{x} + \frac{B}{x-3}$ 5. $\frac{A}{x-\sqrt{7}} + \frac{B}{x+\sqrt{7}}$ 7. $3 \ln |x - 2| + 4 \ln |x + 5| + C$ 9. $\frac{1}{3}(\ln|x+2| - \ln|x-2|) + C$ 11. $\ln |x+5| - \frac{2}{x+5} + C$ 13. $\frac{5}{x+1} + 7 \ln |x| + 2 \ln |x+1| + C$ 15. $-\frac{1}{5}\ln|5x-1| + \frac{2}{3}\ln|3x-1| + \frac{3}{7}\ln|7x+3| + C$ 17. $\frac{x^2}{2} + x + \frac{125}{9} \ln |x-5| + \frac{64}{9} \ln |x+4| - \frac{35}{2} + C$ 19. $\frac{1}{6}\left(-\ln |x^2+2x+3|+2\ln |x|-\sqrt{2}\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{x+1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right)+C$ 21. $\ln |3x^2 + 5x - 1| + 2 \ln |x + 1| + C$ 23. $\frac{9}{10} \ln \left| x^2 + 9 \right| + \frac{1}{5} \ln \left| x + 1 \right| - \frac{4}{15} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{3} \right) + C$ 25. 3 $\left(\ln \left| x^2 - 2x + 11 \right| + \ln \left| x - 9 \right| \right) + 3\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x - 1}{\sqrt{10}} \right) + C$ 27. $ln(2000/243) \approx 2.108$ 29. $-\pi/4 + \tan^{-1} 3 - \ln(11/9) \approx 0.263$ Section 6.6
 - 1. Because cosh x is always positive.

3.
$$\operatorname{coth}^{2} x - \operatorname{csch}^{2} x = \left(\frac{e^{x} + e^{-x}}{e^{x} - e^{-x}}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{2}{e^{x} - e^{-x}}\right)^{2}$$
$$= \frac{(e^{2x} + 2 + e^{-2x}) - (4)}{e^{2x} - 2 + e^{-2x}}$$
$$= \frac{e^{2x} - 2 + e^{-2x}}{e^{2x} - 2 + e^{-2x}}$$
$$= 1$$
A.11

5.
$$\cosh^{2} x = \left(\frac{e^{x} + e^{-x}}{2}\right)^{2}$$
$$= \frac{e^{2x} + 2 + e^{-2x}}{4}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{e^{2x} + e^{-2x}}{2} + 1\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{e^{2x} + e^{-2x}}{2} + 1\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{e^{2x} + e^{-2x}}{2} + 1\right)$$
$$= \frac{\cosh 2x + 1}{2}.$$
7.
$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[\operatorname{sech} x\right] = \frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{2}{e^{x} + e^{-x}}\right]$$
$$= -\frac{2(e^{x} - e^{-x})}{(e^{x} + e^{-x})^{2}}$$
$$= -\frac{2(e^{x} - e^{-x})}{(e^{x} + e^{-x})(e^{x} + e^{-x})^{2}}$$
$$= -\frac{2}{e^{x} + e^{-x}} \cdot \frac{e^{x} - e^{-x}}{e^{x} + e^{-x}}$$
$$= -\operatorname{sech} x \tanh x$$
9.
$$\int \tanh x \, dx = \int \frac{\sinh x}{\cosh x} \, dx$$
$$= \int \frac{1}{u} \, du$$
$$= \ln |u| + C$$
$$= \ln(\cosh x) + C.$$
11.
$$2 \cosh 2x$$
13.
$$2x \sec^{2}(x^{2})$$
15.
$$\sinh^{2} x + \cosh^{2} x$$
17.
$$\frac{-2x}{(x^{2})\sqrt{1 - x^{4}}}$$
19.
$$\frac{4x}{\sqrt{4x^{4} - 1}}$$
21.
$$-\operatorname{csc} x$$
23.
$$y = x$$
25.
$$y = \frac{9}{25}(x + \ln 3) - \frac{4}{5}$$
27.
$$y = x$$
29.
$$1/2 \ln(\cosh(2x)) + C$$
31.
$$1/2 \sinh^{2} x + C \operatorname{or} 1/2 \cosh^{2} x + C$$
33.
$$x \cosh(x) - \sinh(x) + C$$
35.
$$\cosh^{-1} x/3 + C = \ln(x + \sqrt{x^{2} - 9}) + C$$

- 37. $\cosh^{-1}(x^2/2) + C = \ln(x^2 + \sqrt{x^4 4}) + C$ 39. $\frac{1}{16} \tan^{-1}(x/2) + \frac{1}{32} \ln |x-2| + \frac{1}{32} \ln |x+2| + C$ 41. $\tan^{-1}(e^x) + C$ 43. $x \tanh^{-1} x + 1/2 \ln |x^2 - 1| + C$ 45. 0 47. 2 Section 6.7 1. $0/0, \infty/\infty, 0 \cdot \infty, \infty - \infty, 0^0, 1^\infty, \infty^0$
- 3. F 5. derivatives; limits 7. Answers will vary. 9.3

27. -2 29. 0 31. 0 **33**. ∞ 35. oo 37. 0 39. 1 41. 1 43. 1 45. 1 47. 1 49. 2

 $+ e^{-x}$

11. -1 13. 5 15. 2/3

17. ∞ 19. 0

21. 0 23. oo 25. 0

51. – ∞ 53. 0

Section 6.8

1. The interval of integration is finite, and the integrand is continuous on that interval. 3. converges; could also state < 10. 5. p > 1 7. $e^5/2$ 9. 1/3 11. 1/ln 2 13. diverges 15. 1 17. diverges 19. diverges 21. diverges 23. 1 25. 0 27. -1/4 29. diverges 31. 1 33. 1/2 35. diverges; Limit Comparison Test with 1/x. 37. diverges; Limit Comparison Test with 1/x. 39. converges; Direct Comparison Test with e^{-x} . 41. converges; Direct Comparison Test with $1/(x^2 - 1)$. 43. converges; Direct Comparison Test with $1/e^x$.

Chapter 7

Section 7.1

- 1. T
- 3. Answers will vary.
- 5. $4\pi + \pi^2 \approx 22.436$
- **7**. π
- 9. 1/2
- 11. 1/ ln 4
- 13. 4.5
- 15. $2 \pi/2$
- 17. 1/6
- All enclosed regions have the same area, with regions being the reflection of adjacent regions. One region is formed on [π/4, 5π/4], with area 2√2.
- 21. 1
- 23. 9/2

25. $1/12(9-2\sqrt{2}) \approx 0.514$

- 27. 1
- 29.4
- 31. 219,000 ft²

Section 7.2

- 1. T
- Recall that "dx" does not just "sit there;" it is multiplied by A(x) and represents the thickness of a small slice of the solid. Therefore dx has units of in, giving A(x) dx the units of in³.
- 5. $48\pi\sqrt{3}/5$ units³
- 7. $\pi^2/4 \text{ units}^3$
- 9. $9\pi/2 \text{ units}^3$
- 11. $\pi^2 2\pi$ units³
- 13. (a) π/2
 - (b) $5\pi/6$
 - (c) $4\pi/5$
 - (d) $8\pi/15$
- 15. (a) 4π/3
 - (b) $2\pi/3$
 - (c) $4\pi/3$
 - (d) π/3
- 17. (a) $\pi^2/2$
 - (b) $\pi^2/2 4\pi \sinh^{-1}(1)$
 - (c) $\pi^2/2 + 4\pi \sinh^{-1}(1)$
- 19. Placing the tip of the cone at the origin such that the *x*-axis runs through the center of the circular base, we have $A(x) = \pi x^2/4$. Thus the volume is $250\pi/3$ units³.
- 21. Orient the cone such that the tip is at the origin and the x-axis is perpendicular to the base. The cross–sections of this cone are right, isosceles triangles with side length 2x/5; thus the cross–sectional areas are $A(x) = 2x^2/25$, giving a volume of 80/3 units³.

Section 7.3

- 1. T
- 3. F
- 5. $9\pi/2 \text{ units}^3$
- 7. $\pi^2 2\pi$ units³
- 9. $48\pi\sqrt{3}/5$ units³
- 11. $\pi^2/4 \text{ units}^3$
- 13. (a) $4\pi/5$
 - (b) $8\pi/15$
 - (c) π/2 (d) 5π/6
 - (a) 4π/3
 - (b) π/3

15.

- (c) 4π/3
- (d) 2π/3
- 17. (a) $2\pi(\sqrt{2}-1)$
- (b) $2\pi(1-\sqrt{2}+\sinh^{-1}(1))$

Section 7.4

- 1. T
- 3. $\sqrt{2}$
- 5. 4/3
- 7. 109/2
- 9. 12/5
- 11. $-\ln(2-\sqrt{3}) \approx 1.31696$
- 13. $\int_0^1 \sqrt{1+4x^2} \, dx$
- 15. $\int_0^1 \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{4x}} \, dx$
- 17. $\int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{1 + \frac{x^2}{1 x^2}} dx$
- 19. $\int_{1}^{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{x^4}} \, dx$
- 21. 1.4790
- 23. Simpson's Rule fails, as it requires one to divide by 0. However, recognize the answer should be the same as for $y = x^2$; why?
- 25. Simpson's Rule fails.
- 27. 1.4058
- 29. $2\pi \int_0^1 2x\sqrt{5} \, dx = 2\pi\sqrt{5}$
- 31. $2\pi \int_0^1 x^3 \sqrt{1+9x^4} \, dx = \pi/27(10\sqrt{10}-1)$

33.
$$2\pi \int_0^1 \sqrt{1-x^2} \sqrt{1+x/(1-x^2)} \, dx = 4\pi$$

Section 7.5

- 1. In SI units, it is one joule, i.e., one Newton–meter, or $kg\cdot m/s^2\cdot m.$ In Imperial Units, it is ft–lb.
- 3. Smaller.
- 5. (a) 500 ft–lb

(b) $100 - 50\sqrt{2} pprox 29.29$ ft–lb

- 7. (a) $\frac{1}{2} \cdot d \cdot l^2$ ft–lb
 - (b) 75 %
 - (c) $\ell(1-\sqrt{2}/2) \approx 0.2929\ell$
- 9. (a) 756 ft-lb
 - (b) 60,000 ft-lb
 - (c) Yes, for the cable accounts for about 1% of the total work.

- 11. 575 ft-lb
- 13. 0.05 J
- 15. 5/3 ft-lb
- 17. *f* · *d*/2 J
- 19. 5 ft–lb
- 21. (a) 52,929.6 ft-lb
 - (b) 18,525.3 ft-lb
 - (c) When 3.83 ft of water have been pumped from the tank, leaving about 2.17 ft in the tank.
- 23. 212,135 ft-lb
- 25. 187,214 ft-lb
- 27. 4,917,150 J

Section 7.6

- 1. Answers will vary.
- 3. 499.2 lb
- 5. 6739.2 lb
- 7. 3920.7 lb
- 9. 2496 lb
- 11. 602.59 lb
- 13. (a) 2340 lb (b) 5625 lb
- 15. (a) 1597.44 lb
- (b) 3840 lb
- 17. (a) 56.42 lb
 - (b) 135.62 lb

19. 5.1 ft

Chapter 8

Section 8.1

- 1. Answers will vary.
- 3. Answers will vary.
- 5. $2, \frac{8}{3}, \frac{8}{3}, \frac{32}{15}, \frac{64}{45}$
- 7. $-\frac{1}{3}, -2, -\frac{81}{5}, -\frac{512}{3}, -\frac{15625}{7}$
- 9. $a_n = 3n + 1$
- 11. $a_n = 10 \cdot 2^{n-1}$
- 13. 1/7
- 15. 0
- 17. diverges
- 19. converges to 0
- 21. diverges
- 23. converges to e
- 25. converges to 0
- 27. converges to 2
- 29. bounded
- 31. bounded
- 33. neither bounded above or below
- 35. monotonically increasing

- 37. never monotonic
- 39. Let $\{a_n\}$ be given such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} |a_n| = 0$. By the definition of the limit of a sequence, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a *m* such that for all n > m, $||a_n| 0| < \varepsilon$. Since $||a_n| 0| = |a_n 0|$, this directly implies that for all n > m, $|a_n 0| < \varepsilon$, meaning that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$.
- 41. A sketch of one proof method:

Let any $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ converge, there exists an N > 0 such that for all $n \ge N$, both a_n and b_n are within $\varepsilon/2$ of L; we can conclude that they are at most ε apart from each other. Since $a_n \le c_n \le b_n$, one can show that c_n is within ε of L, showing that $\{c_n\}$ also converges to L.

Section 8.2

- 1. Answers will vary.
- One sequence is the sequence of terms {a_n}. The other is the sequence of nth partial sums, {S_n} = {∑_{i=1}ⁿ a_i}.
- 5. F
- 7. (a) $-1, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{5}{6}, -\frac{7}{12}, -\frac{47}{60}$ (b) Plot omitted
- 9. (a) -1, 0, -1, 0, -1
 (b) Plot omitted
- 11. (a) $1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{41}{24}, \frac{103}{60}$
- (b) Plot omitted
- 13. (a) -0.9, -0.09, -0.819, -0.1629, -0.75339
 (b) Plot omitted
- 15. $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 3$; by Theorem 8.2.4 the series diverges.
- 17. $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \infty$; by Theorem 8.2.4 the series diverges.
- 19. $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 1/2$; by Theorem 8.2.4 the series diverges.
- 21. Converges; *p*-series with p = 5.
- 23. Diverges; geometric series with r = 6/5.
- 25. Diverges; fails n^{th} term test
- 27. F
- 29. Diverges; by Theorem 8.2.3 this is half the Harmonic Series, which diverges by growing without bound. "Half of growing without bound" is still growing without bound.
- 31. (a) $S_n = \frac{1 (1/4)^n}{3/4}$ (b) Converges to 4/3.
- 33. (a) $S_n = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^2$ (b) Diverges
- 35. (a) $S_n = 5 \frac{1-1/2^n}{1/2}$
 - (b) Converges to 10.
- 37. (a) $S_n = \frac{1 (-1/3)^n}{4/3}$
 - (b) Converges to 3/4.
- 39. (a) With partial fractions, $a_n = \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n+2} \right)$. Thus $S_n = \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+2} \right)$. (b) Converges to 9/4
- 41. (a) $S_n = \ln (1/(n+1))$
 - (b) Diverges (to $-\infty$).

- 43. (a) $a_n = \frac{1}{n(n+3)}$; using partial fractions, the resulting telescoping sum reduces to $S_n = \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+2} - \frac{1}{n+3} \right)$
 - (b) Converges to 11/18.
- 45. (a) With partial fractions, $a_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} \frac{1}{n+1} \right)$. Thus $S_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(3/2 - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right)$.
 - (b) Converges to 3/4.
- 47. (a) The n^{th} partial sum of the odd series is $1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2n-1}$. The n^{th} partial sum of the even series is $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2n}$. Each term of the even series is less than the corresponding term of the odd series, giving us our result.
 - (b) The n^{th} partial sum of the odd series is $1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2n-1}$. The n^{th} partial sum of 1 plus the even series is $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2(n-1)}$. Each term of the even series is now greater than or equal to the corresponding term of the odd series, with equality only on the first term. This gives us the result.
 - (c) If the odd series converges, the work done in (a) shows the even series converges also. (The sequence of the nth partial sum of the even series is bounded and monotonically increasing.) Likewise, (b) shows that if the even series converges, the odd series will, too. Thus if either series converges, the other does.
 Similarly, (a) and (b) can be used to show that if either series diverges, the other does, too.
 - (d) If both the even and odd series converge, then their sum would be a convergent series. This would imply that the Harmonic Series, their sum, is convergent. It is not. Hence each series diverges.

Section 8.3

- 1. continuous, positive and decreasing
- The Integral Test (we do not have a continuous definition of n! yet) and the Limit Comparison Test (same as above, hence we cannot take its derivative).
- 5. Converges
- 7. Diverges
- 9. Converges
- 11. Converges
- 13. Converges; compare to $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$, as $1/(n^2 + 3n 5) \le 1/n^2$ for all n > 1.
- 15. Diverges; compare to $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$, as $1/n \le \ln n/n$ for all $n \ge 3$.

17. Diverges; compare to
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$$
. Since $n = \sqrt{n^2} > \sqrt{n^2 - 1}$,
 $1/n \le 1/\sqrt{n^2 - 1}$ for all $n \ge 2$.

19. Diverges; compare to
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$$
:
 $\frac{1}{n} = \frac{n^2}{n^3} < \frac{n^2 + n + 1}{n^3} < \frac{n^2 + n + 1}{n^3 - 5},$

for all $n \ge 1$.

21. Diverges; compare to
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$$
. Note that $\frac{n}{n} = \frac{n^2}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n}$

$$n^2 - 1 - n^2 - 1 - n^2$$

as $\frac{n^2}{n^2 - 1} > 1$, for all $n \ge 2$.

23. Converges; compare to
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$$

25. Diverges; compare to
$$\sum_{n=1}^{n} \frac{\ln n}{n}$$

27. Diverges; compare to
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n}$$

29. Diverges; compare to
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$$
. Just as $\lim_{n \to 0} \frac{\sin n}{n} = 1$,
 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sin(1/n)}{1/n} = 1$.

31. Converges; compare to
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}$$
.

- 33. Converges; Integral Test
- 35. Diverges; the *n*th Term Test and Direct Comparison Test can be used.
- 37. Converges; the Direct Comparison Test can be used with sequence $1/3^n$.
- Diverges; the nth Term Test can be used, along with the Integral Test.
- 41. (a) Converges; use Direct Comparison Test as $\frac{a_n}{n} < n$.
 - (b) Converges; since original series converges, we know $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$. Thus for large n, $a_n a_{n+1} < a_n$.
 - (c) Converges; similar logic to part (b) so $(a_n)^2 < a_n$.
 - (d) May converge; certainly $na_n > a_n$ but that does not mean it does not converge.
 - (e) Does not converge, using logic from (b) and n^{th} Term Test.

Section 8.4

- 1. algebraic, or polynomial.
- 3. Integral Test, Limit Comparison Test, and Root Test
- 5. Converges
- 7. Converges
- 9. The Ratio Test is inconclusive; the *p*-Series Test states it diverges.
- 11. Converges
- 13. Converges; note the summation can be rewritten as $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n n!}{3^n n!}$, to which the Ratio Test or Geometric Series Test can be applied.
- 15. Converges
- 17. Converges
- 19. Diverges
- 21. Diverges. The Root Test is inconclusive, but the n^{th} -Term Test shows divergence. (The terms of the sequence approach e^2 , not 0, as $n \to \infty$.)
- 23. Converges
- 25. Diverges; Limit Comparison Test with 1/n.
- 27. Converges; Ratio Test or Limit Comparison Test with $1/3^n$.
- 29. Diverges; *n*th-Term Test or Limit Comparison Test with 1.
- 31. Diverges; Direct Comparison Test with 1/n

33. Converges; Root Test

Section 8.5

- The signs of the terms do not alternate; in the given series, some terms are negative and the others positive, but they do not necessarily alternate.
- 3. Many examples exist; one common example is $a_n = (-1)^n/n$.
- 5. (a) converges
 - (b) converges (p-Series)
 - (c) absolute
- 7. (a) diverges (limit of terms is not 0)
 - (b) diverges
 - (c) n/a; diverges
- 9. (a) converges
 - (b) diverges (Limit Comparison Test with 1/n)
 - (c) conditional
- 11. (a) diverges (limit of terms is not 0)
 - (b) diverges
 - (c) n/a; diverges
- 13. (a) diverges (terms oscillate between \pm 1)
 - (b) diverges
 - (c) n/a; diverges
- 15. (a) converges
 - (b) converges (Geometric Series with r = 2/3)
 - (c) absolute
- 17. (a) converges
 - (b) converges (Ratio Test)
 - (c) absolute
- 19. (a) converges
 - (b) diverges (*p*-Series Test with p = 1/2)
 - (c) conditional

21.
$$S_5 = -1.1906; S_6 = -0.6767;$$

 $-1.1906 \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\ln(n+1)} \le -0.6767$

23. $S_6 = 0.3681; S_7 = 0.3679;$ $0.3681 \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \le 0.3679$

- 25. *n* = 5
- 27. Using the theorem, we find n = 499 guarantees the sum is within 0.001 of $\pi/4$. (Convergence is actually faster, as the sum is within ε of $\pi/24$ when $n \ge 249$.)

Section 8.6

1. 1

3. 5

- 5. $1 + 2x + 4x^2 + 8x^3 + 16x^4$
- 7. $1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{6} + \frac{x^4}{24}$
- 9. (a) $R = \infty$
- (b) $(-\infty,\infty)$

(b) (2,4]

(b) (-2, 2)15. (a) R = 1/5(b) (4/5, 6/5)17. (a) R = 1(b) (-1, 1)

19. (a) $R = \infty$

(a) *R* = 2

13.

- (b) $(-\infty,\infty)$
- 21. (a) *R* = 1
- (b) [-1,1]

25. (a)
$$f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 x^{n-1};$$
 (-1, 1)

(b)
$$\int f(x) dx = C + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n+1} x^{n+1};$$
 (-1, 1)

27. (a)
$$f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{2^n} x^{n-1};$$
 (-2,2)

(b)
$$\int f(x) dx = C + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)2^n} x^{n+1}; \quad [-2, 2]$$

29. (a)
$$f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!};$$

 $(-\infty,\infty)$
(b) $\int f(x) \, dx = C + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!};$ $(-\infty,\infty)$

31.
$$1 + 3x + \frac{9}{2}x^2 + \frac{9}{2}x^3 + \frac{27}{8}x^4$$

33. $1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4$
35. $0 + x + 0x^2 - \frac{1}{6}x^3 + 0x^4$

Section 8.7

- The Maclaurin polynomial is a special case of Taylor polynomials. Taylor polynomials are centered at a specific x-value; when that x-value is 0, it is a Maclauring polynomial.
- 3. $p_2(x) = 6 + 3x 4x^2$.

5.
$$p_3(x) = 1 - x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{6}x^3$$

7.
$$p_5(x) = x + x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x^3 + \frac{1}{6}x^4 + \frac{1}{24}x^5$$

9.
$$p_4(x) = \frac{2x^4}{3} + \frac{4x^3}{3} + 2x^2 + 2x + 1$$

11.
$$p_4(x) = x^4 - x^3 + x^2 - x + 1$$

13.
$$p_4(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(-1+x) - \frac{1}{8}(-1+x)^2 + \frac{1}{16}(-1+x)^3 - \frac{5}{128}(-1+x)^4$$

15. $p_6(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{-\frac{\pi}{4} + x}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{(-\frac{\pi}{4} + x)^2}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{(-\frac{\pi}{4} + x)^3}{6\sqrt{2}} + \frac{(-\frac{\pi}{4} + x)^4}{24\sqrt{2}} - \frac{(-\frac{\pi}{4} + x)^5}{120\sqrt{2}} - \frac{(-\frac{\pi}{4} + x)^6}{720\sqrt{2}}$

17.
$$p_5(x) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{x-2}{4} + \frac{1}{8}(x-2)^2 - \frac{1}{16}(x-2)^3 + \frac{1}{32}(x-2)^4 - \frac{1}{64}(x-2)^5$$

19.
$$p_3(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1+x}{2} + \frac{1}{4}(1+x)^2$$

- 21. $p_3(x) = x \frac{x^3}{6}$; $p_3(0.1) = 0.09983$. Error is bounded by $\pm \frac{1}{4!} \cdot 0.1^4 \approx \pm 0.000004167$.
- 23. $p_2(x) = 3 + \frac{1}{6}(-9+x) \frac{1}{216}(-9+x)^2; p_2(10) = 3.16204.$ The third derivative of $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ is bounded on (8, 11) by 0.003. Error is bounded by $\pm \frac{0.003}{3!} \cdot 1^3 = \pm 0.0005.$

- 25. The *n*th derivative of $f(x) = e^x$ is bounded by 3 on intervals containing 0 and 1. Thus $|R_n(1)| \le \frac{3}{(n+1)!} 1^{(n+1)}$. When n = 7, this is less than 0.0001.
- 27. The *n*th derivative of $f(x) = \cos x$ is bounded by 1 on intervals containing 0 and $\pi/3$. Thus $|R_n(\pi/3)| \le \frac{1}{(n+1)!}(\pi/3)^{(n+1)}$. When n = 7, this is less than 0.0001. Since the Maclaurin polynomial of $\cos x$ only uses even powers, we can actually just use n = 6.
- 29. The n^{th} term is $\frac{1}{n!}x^n$.

31. The *n*th term is: when *n* even, 0; when *n* is odd,
$$\frac{(-1)^{(n-1)/2}}{n!}x^n$$

33. The n^{th} term is $(-1)^n x^n$.

35.
$$1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{24}x^3$$

37. $1 + 2x - 2x^2 + 4x^3 - 10x^4$

Section 8.8

- A Taylor polynomial is a **polynomial**, containing a finite number of terms. A Taylor series is a **series**, the summation of an infinite number of terms.
- 3. All derivatives of e^x are e^x which evaluate to 1 at x = 0. The Taylor series starts $1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{3!}x^3 + \frac{1}{4!}x^4 + \cdots$; the Taylor series is $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$
- 5. The *n*th derivative of 1/(1 x) is $f^{(n)}(x) = (n)!/(1 x)^{n+1}$, which evaluates to n! at x = 0. The Taylor series starts $1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \cdots$;

the Taylor series is
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$$

- 7. The Taylor series starts $0 - (x - \pi/2) + 0x^2 + \frac{1}{6}(x - \pi/2)^3 + 0x^4 - \frac{1}{120}(x - \pi/2)^5;$ the Taylor series is $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x - \pi/2)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}$
- 9. $f^{(n)}(x) = (-1)^n e^{-x}$; at $x = 0, f^{(n)}(0) = -1$ when *n* is odd and $f^{(n)}(0) = 1$ when *n* is even. The Taylor series starts $1 - x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{3!}x^3 + \cdots$; the Taylor series is $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^n}{n!}$.
- 11. $f^{(n)}(x) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{n!}{(x+1)^{n+1}}$; at $x = 1, f^{(n)}(1) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{n!}{2^{n+1}}$ The Taylor series starts $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}(x-1) - \frac{1}{8}(x-1)^2 + \frac{1}{16}(x-1)^3 \cdots$; the Taylor series is $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x-1)^n}{2^{n+1}}$.
- 13. Given a value x, the magnitude of the error term $R_n(x)$ is bounded by

$$|R_n(x)| \leq \frac{\max |f^{(n+1)}(z)|}{(n+1)!} |x^{(n+1)}|,$$

where z is between 0 and x.

If x > 0, then z < x and $f^{(n+1)}(z) = e^z < e^x$. If x < 0, then x < z < 0 and $f^{(n+1)}(z) = e^z < 1$. So given a fixed x value, let $M = \max\{e^x, 1\}; f^{(n)}(z) < M$. This allows us to state

$$\left|R_n(x)\right| \leq \frac{M}{(n+1)!} \left|x^{(n+1)}\right|$$

For any x, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{M}{(n+1)!} |x^{(n+1)}| = 0$. Thus by the Squeeze

Theorem, we conclude that $\lim_{n \to \infty} R_n(x) = 0$ for all x, and hence

$$e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$
 for all x .

15. Given a value x, the magnitude of the error term $R_n(x)$ is bounded by

$$|R_n(x)| \leq \frac{\max |f^{(n+1)}(z)|}{(n+1)!} |(x-1)^{(n+1)}|$$

where z is between 1 and x.

Note that $|f^{(n+1)}(x)| = \frac{n!}{x^{n+1}}$. Per the statement of the problem, we only consider the case

1 < x < 2.

If 1 < x < 2, then 1 < z < x and $f^{(n+1)}(z) = \frac{n!}{z^{n+1}} < n!$. Thus

$$|R_n(x)| \le \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} |(x-1)^{(n+1)}| = \frac{(x-1)^{n+1}}{n+1} < \frac{1}{n+1}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |R_n(x)| < \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} = 0,$$

hence

$$\ln x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x-1)^n}{n} \text{ on } (1,2).$$

17. Given
$$\cos x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!}$$
,
 $\cos(-x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(-x)^{2n}}{(2n)!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!} = \cos x$, as all powers in the series are even.

19. Given
$$\sin x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}$$
,
 $\frac{d}{dx} (\sin x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \right) =$
 $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(2n+1)x^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!} = \cos x.$ (The summation still starts at $n = 0$ as there was no constant term in the expansion of $\sin x$).

21.
$$1 + \frac{x}{2} - \frac{x^2}{8} + \frac{x^3}{16} - \frac{5x^4}{128}$$

23. $1 + \frac{x}{3} - \frac{x^2}{9} + \frac{5x^3}{81} - \frac{10x^4}{243}$
25. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(x^2)^{2n}}{(2n)!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{4n}}{(2n)!}$.
27. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(2x+3)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}$.
29. $x + x^2 + \frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x^5}{30}$


31.
$$\int_{0}^{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin(x^2) dx \approx \int_{0}^{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(x^2 - \frac{x^5}{6} + \frac{x^{10}}{120} - \frac{x^{14}}{5040} \right) dx = 0.8877$$

Chapter 9

Section 9.1

- When defining the conics as the intersections of a plane and a double napped cone, degenerate conics are created when the plane intersects the tips of the cones (usually taken as the origin). Nondegenerate conics are formed when this plane does not contain the origin.
- 3. Hyperbola


- 5. With a horizontal transverse axis, the x^2 term has a positive coefficient; with a vertical transverse axis, the y^2 term has a positive coefficient.
- 7. $y = \frac{1}{2}(x-3)^2 + \frac{3}{2}$
- 9. $x = -\frac{1}{4}(y-5)^2 + 2$
- 11. $y = -\frac{1}{4}(x-1)^2 + 2$
- 13. $y = 4x^2$
- 15. focus: (0, 1); directrix: y = -1. The point *P* is 2 units from each.




45. The sound originated from a point approximately 31m to the left of *B* and 1340m above it.

Section 9.2

- 1. T
- 3. rectangular





- 19. (a) Traces the parabola $y = x^2$, moves from left to right.
 - (b) Traces the parabola $y = x^2$, but only from $-1 \le x \le 1$; traces this portion back and forth infinitely.
 - (c) Traces the parabola $y = x^2$, but only for 0 < x. Moves left to right.

(d) Traces the parabola $y = x^2$, moves from right to left.

- 21. y = -1.5x + 8.5
- 23. $\frac{(x-1)^2}{16} + \frac{(y+2)^2}{9} = 1$
- 25. y = 2x + 3
- 27. $y = e^{2x} 1$
- 29. $x^2 y^2 = 1$
- 31. $y = \frac{b}{a}(x x_0) + y_0$; line through (x_0, y_0) with slope b/a.
- 33. $\frac{(x-h)^2}{a^2} + \frac{(y-k)^2}{b^2} = 1$; ellipse centered at (h, k) with horizontal axis of length 2a and vertical axis of length 2b.
- 35. x = (t + 11)/6, $y = (t^2 97)/12$. At t = 1, x = 2, y = -8. y' = 6x - 11; when x = 2, y' = 1.
- 37. $x = \cos^{-1} t$, $y = \sqrt{1 t^2}$. At t = 1, x = 0, y = 0. $y' = \cos x$; when x = 0, y' = 1.
- 39. $t = \pm 1$
- 41. $t = \pi/2, 3\pi/2$
- 43. *t* = −1
- 45. $t = \ldots \pi/2, \ 3\pi/2, \ 5\pi/2, \ \ldots$
- 47. $x = 4t, y = -16t^2 + 64t$
- 49. $x = 10t, y = -16t^2 + 320t$
- 51. $x = 3\cos(2\pi t) + 1$, $y = 3\sin(2\pi t) + 1$; other answers possible
- 53. $x = 5 \cos t$, $y = \sqrt{24} \sin t$; other answers possible
- 55. $x = 2 \tan t$, $y = \pm 6 \sec t$; other answers possible

Section 9.3

- 1. F
- 3. F

- 5. (a) $\frac{dy}{dx} = 2t$ (b) Tangent line: y = 2(x - 1) + 1; normal line: y = -1/2(x - 1) + 1
- 7. (a) $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{2t+1}{2t-1}$

(b) Tangent line: y = 3x + 2; normal line: y = -1/3x + 2

- 9. (a) $\frac{dy}{dx} = \csc t$ (b) $t = \pi/4$: Tangent line: $y = \sqrt{2}(x - \sqrt{2}) + 1$; normal line: $y = -1/\sqrt{2}(x - \sqrt{2}) + 1$
- 11. (a) $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{\cos t \sin(2t) + \sin t \cos(2t)}{-\sin t \sin(2t) + 2 \cos t \cos(2t)}$ (b) Tangent line: $y = x - \sqrt{2}$; normal line: $y = -x - \sqrt{2}$

(b) Tangent line:
$$y = x - \sqrt{2}$$
; normal line: $y = -x - \sqrt{2}$


- 13. t = 0
- 15. t = -1/2
- 17. The graph does not have a horizontal tangent line.
- 19. The solution is non-trivial; use identities $\sin(2t) = 2 \sin t \cos t$ and $\cos(2t) = \cos^2 t \sin^2 t$ to rewrite $g'(t) = 2 \sin t(2 \cos^2 t \sin^2 t)$. On $[0, 2\pi]$, $\sin t = 0$ when $t = 0, \pi, 2\pi$, and $2 \cos^2 t \sin^2 t = 0$ when $t = \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{2}), \ \pi \pm \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{2}), \ 2\pi \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{2})$.
- 21. $t_0 = 0$; $\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{dy}{dx} = 0$.
- 23. $t_0 = 1$; $\lim_{t \to 1} \frac{dy}{dx} = \infty$.
- 25. $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = 2$; always concave up
- 27. $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = -\frac{4}{(2t-1)^3}$; concave up on $(-\infty, 1/2)$; concave down on $(1/2, \infty)$.
- 29. $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = -\cot^3 t$; concave up on $(-\infty, 0)$; concave down on $(0, \infty)$.
- 31. $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \frac{4(13+3\cos(4t))}{(\cos t+3\cos(3t))^3}$, obtained with a computer algebra system; concave up on $(-\tan^{-1}(\sqrt{2}/2), \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{2}/2))$, concave down on $(-\pi/2, -\tan^{-1}(\sqrt{2}/2)) \cup (\tan^{-1}(\sqrt{2}/2), \pi/2)$
- 33. $L = 6\pi$
- 35. $L = 2\sqrt{34}$
- 37. $L \approx 2.4416$ (actual value: L = 2.42211)
- 39. $L \approx 4.19216$ (actual value: L = 4.18308)
- 41. The answer is 16π for both (of course), but the integrals are different.
- 43. SA \approx 8.50101 (actual value SA = 8.02851)


Section 9.4


1. Answers will vary.


3. T
5.
$$B \xrightarrow{D} A$$


- 7. $A = P(2.5, \pi/4)$ and $P(-2.5, 5\pi/4)$; $B = P(-1, 5\pi/6)$ and $P(1, 11\pi/6)$; $C = P(3, 4\pi/3)$ and $P(-3, \pi/3)$; $D = P(1.5, 2\pi/3)$ and $P(-1.5, 5\pi/3)$;
- 9. $A = (\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2})$ $B = (\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2})$ $C = P(\sqrt{5}, -0.46)$ $D = P(\sqrt{5}, 2.68)$




















- 53. $P(\sqrt{2}/2, \pi/12), P(-\sqrt{2}/2, 5\pi/12), P(\sqrt{2}/2, 3\pi/4)$
- 55. For all points, $r = 1; \theta = \pi/12, 5\pi/12, 7\pi/12, 11\pi/12, 13\pi/12, 17\pi/12, 19\pi/12, 23\pi/12.$
- 57. Answers will vary. If m and n do not have any common factors, then an interval of $2n\pi$ is needed to sketch the entire graph.

Section 9.5


- 1. Using $x = r \cos \theta$ and $y = r \sin \theta$, we can write $x = f(\theta) \cos \theta$, $y = f(\theta) \sin \theta$.
- 3. (a) $\frac{dy}{dx} = -\cot\theta$
 - (b) tangent line: $y = -(x \sqrt{2}/2) + \sqrt{2}/2$; normal line: y = x
- 5. (a) $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{\cos\theta(1+2\sin\theta)}{\cos^2\theta \sin\theta(1+\sin\theta)}$
 - (b) tangent line: $x = 3\sqrt{3}/4$; normal line: y = 3/4
- 7. (a) $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{\theta \cos \theta + \sin \theta}{\cos \theta \theta \sin \theta}$
 - (b) tangent line: $y = -2/\pi x + \pi/2$; normal line: $y = \pi/2x + \pi/2$
- 9. (a) $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{4\sin(\theta)\cos(4\theta) + \sin(4\theta)\cos(\theta)}{4\cos(\theta)\cos(4\theta) \sin(\theta)\sin(4\theta)}$
 - (b) tangent line: $y = 5\sqrt{3}(x + \sqrt{3}/4) 3/4$; normal line: $y = -1/5\sqrt{3}(x + \sqrt{3}/4) - 3/4$


11. horizontal: $\theta = \pi/2, 3\pi/2;$ vertical: $\theta = 0, \pi, 2\pi$ 13. horizontal: $\theta = \tan^{-1}(1/\sqrt{5}), \pi/2, \pi - \tan^{-1}(1/\sqrt{5}), \pi +$ tan⁻¹(1/ $\sqrt{5}$), $3\pi/2$, $2\pi - \tan^{-1}(1/\sqrt{5})$; vertical: $\theta = 0$, $\tan^{-1}(\sqrt{5})$, $\pi - \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{5})$, π , $\pi + \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{5})$, $2\pi - \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{5})$ 15. In polar: $\theta = 0 \cong \theta = \pi$ In rectangular: y = 017. area = 4π 19. area = $\pi/12$ 21. area = $3\pi/2$ 23. area = $2\pi + 3\sqrt{3}/2$ 25. area = 1 27. area = $\frac{1}{32}(4\pi - 3\sqrt{3})$ **29**. 4π 31. area = $\sqrt{2}\pi$ 33. $L \approx 2.2592$; (actual value L = 2.22748) 35. $SA = 16\pi$ 37. $SA = 32\pi/5$ 39. $SA = 36\pi$

Chapter 10

Section 10.1


- 1. right hand
- 3. curve (a parabola); surface (a cylinder)
- 5. a hyperboloid of two sheets
- 7. $||\overline{AB}|| = \sqrt{6}$; $||\overline{BC}|| = \sqrt{17}$; $||\overline{AC}|| = \sqrt{11}$. Yes, it is a right triangle as $||\overline{AB}||^2 + ||\overline{AC}||^2 = ||\overline{BC}||^2$.
- 9. Center at (4, -1, 0); radius = 3
- 11. Interior of a sphere with radius 1 centered at the origin.
- The first octant of space; all points (x, y, z) where each of x, y and z are non-negative. (Analogous to the first quadrant in the plane.)





Section 10.2

- 1. Answers will vary.
- 3. A vector with magnitude 1.
- 5. Their respective unit vectors are parallel; unit vectors \vec{u}_1 and \vec{u}_2 are parallel if $\vec{u}_1 = \pm \vec{u}_2$.
- 7. $\vec{PQ} = \langle 1, 6 \rangle = 1\vec{i} + 6\vec{j}$
- 9. $\vec{PQ} = \langle 6, -1, 6 \rangle = 6\vec{i} \vec{j} + 6\vec{k}$
- 11. (a) $\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \langle 2, -1 \rangle; \vec{u} \vec{v} = \langle 0, -3 \rangle; 2\vec{u} 3\vec{v} = \langle -1, -7 \rangle.$ (c) $\vec{x} = \langle 1/2, 2 \rangle.$



17. $||\vec{u}|| = \sqrt{5}, ||\vec{v}|| = \sqrt{13}, ||\vec{u} + \vec{v}|| = \sqrt{26}, ||\vec{u} - \vec{v}|| = \sqrt{10}$

19.
$$||\vec{u}|| = \sqrt{5}, ||\vec{v}|| = 3\sqrt{5}, ||\vec{u} + \vec{v}|| = 2\sqrt{5}, ||\vec{u} - \vec{v}|| = 4\sqrt{5}$$

- 21. When \vec{u} and \vec{v} have the same direction. (Note: parallel is not enough.)
- 23. $\vec{u} = \langle 0.6, 0.8 \rangle$
- 25. $\vec{u} = \langle 1/\sqrt{3}, -1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3} \rangle$
- 27. $\vec{u} = \langle \cos 120^\circ, \sin 120^\circ \rangle = \langle -1/2, \sqrt{3}/2 \rangle.$
- 29. The force on each chain is $100/\sqrt{3} \approx 57.735$ lb.
- 31. The force on the chain with angle θ is approx. 45.124lb; the force on the chain with angle φ is approx. 59.629lb.
- 33. $\theta = 45^{\circ}$; the weight is lifted 0.29 ft (about 3.5in).
- 35. $\theta = 45^{\circ}$; the weight is lifted 2.93 ft.

Section 10.3

- 1. Scalar
- By considering the sign of the dot product of the two vectors. If the dot product is positive, the angle is acute; if the dot product is negative, the angle is obtuse.
- 5. -22
- 7. 3
- 9. not defined
- 11. Answers will vary.
- 13. $\theta = 0.3218 \approx 18.43^{\circ}$
- 15. $\theta = \pi/4 = 45^{\circ}$
- 17. Answers will vary; two possible answers are $\langle -7,4\rangle$ and $\langle 14,-8\rangle.$
- 19. Answers will vary; two possible answers are $\langle 1,0,-1\rangle$ and $\langle 4,5,-9\rangle.$
- 21. proj $_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} = \langle -1/2, 3/2 \rangle$.
- 23. proj_{\vec{v}} $\vec{u} = \langle -1/2, -1/2 \rangle$.
- 25. proj_{\vec{v}} $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$.
- 27. $\vec{u} = \langle -1/2, 3/2 \rangle + \langle 3/2, 1/2 \rangle$.
- 29. $\vec{u} = \langle -1/2, -1/2 \rangle + \langle -5/2, 5/2 \rangle.$
- 31. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle + \langle 0, 3, -2 \rangle$.

- 35. 141.42ft-lb
- 37. 500ft-lb
- 39. 500ft-lb

Section 10.4

1. vector

- 3. "Perpendicular" is one answer.
- 5. Torque
- 7. $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \langle 12, -15, 3 \rangle$
- 9. $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \langle -5, -31, 27 \rangle$
- 11. $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \langle 0, -2, 0 \rangle$
- 13. $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \langle 0, 0, ad bc \rangle$
- 15. $\vec{i} \times \vec{k} = -\vec{j}$
- 17. Answers will vary.

19. 5

- 21. 0
- 23. $\sqrt{14}$
- 25. 3
- 27. $5\sqrt{2}/2$
- 29. 1
- 31. 7
- 33. 2
- 35. $\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \langle 1, 1, -2 \rangle$
- 37. $\langle 0, \pm 1, 0 \rangle$
- 39. 87.5ft-lb
- 41. $200/3\approx 66.67 \text{ft-lb}$
- 43. With $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$, we have

$$\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) = \langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle \cdot (\langle u_2 v_3 - u_3 v_2, -(u_1 v_3 - u_3 v_1), u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1 \rangle)$$

= $u_1(u_2 v_3 - u_3 v_2) - u_2(u_1 v_3 - u_3 v_1) + u_3(u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1)$
= 0.

Section 10.5

- 1. A point on the line and the direction of the line.
- 3. parallel, skew
- 5. vector: $\ell(t) = \langle 2, -4, 1 \rangle + t \langle 9, 2, 5 \rangle$ parametric: x = 2 + 9t, y = -4 + 2t, z = 1 + 5tsymmetric: (x - 2)/9 = (y + 4)/2 = (z - 1)/5
- 7. Answers can vary: vector: $\ell(t) = \langle 2, 1, 5 \rangle + t \langle 5, -3, -1 \rangle$ parametric: x = 2 + 5t, y = 1 - 3t, z = 5 - tsymmetric: (x - 2)/5 = -(y - 1)/3 = -(z - 5)
- 9. Answers can vary; here the direction is given by $\vec{d}_1 \times \vec{d}_2$: vector: $\ell(t) = \langle 0, 1, 2 \rangle + t \langle -10, 43, 9 \rangle$ parametric: x = -10t, y = 1 + 43t, z = 2 + 9tsymmetric: -x/10 = (y - 1)/43 = (z - 2)/9
- 11. Answers can vary; here the direction is given by $\vec{d}_1 \times \vec{d}_2$: vector: $\ell(t) = \langle 7, 2, -1 \rangle + t \langle 1, -1, 2 \rangle$ parametric: x = 7 + t, y = 2 - t, z = -1 + 2tsymmetric: x - 7 = 2 - y = (z + 1)/2
- 13. vector: $\ell(t) = \langle 1, 1 \rangle + t \langle 2, 3 \rangle$ parametric: x = 1 + 2t, y = 1 + 3tsymmetric: (x - 1)/2 = (y - 1)/3
- 15. parallel
- 17. intersecting; $\vec{l}_1(3) = \vec{l}_2(4) = \langle 9, -5, 13 \rangle$

- 19. skew
- 21. same
- 23. $\sqrt{41}/3$
- 25. $5\sqrt{2}/2$
- 27. $3/\sqrt{2}$
- 29. Since both *P* and *Q* are on the line, \vec{PQ} is parallel to \vec{d} . Thus $\vec{PQ} \times \vec{d} = \vec{0}$, giving a distance of 0.
- 31. (a) The distance formula cannot be used because since \vec{d}_1 and \vec{d}_2 are parallel, \vec{c} is $\vec{0}$ and we cannot divide by $||\vec{0}||$.
 - (b) Since \vec{d}_1 and \vec{d}_2 are parallel, $\overline{P_1P_2}$ lies in the plane formed by the two lines. Thus $\overline{P_1P_2} \times \vec{d}_2$ is orthogonal to this plane, and $\vec{c} = (\overline{P_1P_2} \times \vec{d}_2) \times \vec{d}_2$ is parallel to the plane, but still orthogonal to both \vec{d}_1 and \vec{d}_2 . We desire the length of the projection of $\overline{P_1P_2}$ onto \vec{c} , which is what the formula provides.
 - (c) Since the lines are parallel, one can measure the distance between the lines at any location on either line (just as to find the distance between straight railroad tracks, one can use a measuring tape anywhere along the track, not just at one specific place.) Let $P = P_1$ and $Q = P_2$ as given by the equations of the lines, and apply the formula for distance between a point and a line.

Section 10.6

- A point in the plane and a normal vector (i.e., a direction orthogonal to the plane).
- 3. Answers will vary.
- 5. Answers will vary.
- 7. Standard form: 3(x 2) (y 3) + 7(z 4) = 0general form: 3x - y + 7z = 31
- 9. Answers may vary; Standard form: 8(x - 1) + 4(y - 2) - 4(z - 3) = 0general form: 8x + 4y - 4z = 4
- 11. Answers may vary; Standard form: -7(x - 2) + 2(y - 1) + (z - 2) = 0general form: -7x + 2y + z = -10
- 13. Answers may vary; Standard form: 2(x - 1) - (y - 1) = 0general form: 2x - y = 1
- 15. Answers may vary; Standard form: 2(x - 2) - (y + 6) - 4(z - 1) = 0general form: 2x - y - 4z = 6
- 17. Answers may vary; Standard form: (x - 5) + (y - 7) + (z - 3) = 0general form: x + y + z = 15
- 19. Answers may vary; Standard form: 3(x + 4) + 8(y - 7) - 10(z - 2) = 0general form: 3x + 8y - 10z = 24
- 21. Answers may vary: $\int x = 14t$

$$\ell = \begin{cases} y = -1 - 10t \\ z = 2 - 8t \end{cases}$$

- 23. (-3, -7, -5)
- 25. No point of intersection; the plane and line are parallel.
- 27. $\sqrt{5/7}$
- 29. $1/\sqrt{3}$


If P is any point in the plane, and Q is also in the plane, then PQ
 lies parallel to the plane and is orthogonal to n
 , the normal vector.
 Thus n
 , PQ


 = 0, giving the distance as 0.


Chapter 11

Section 11.1

- 1. parametric equations
- 3. displacement








- 17. $||\vec{r}(t)|| = \sqrt{t^2 + t^4} = |t|\sqrt{t^2 + 1}.$
- 19. $||\vec{r}(t)|| = \sqrt{4\cos^2 t + 4\sin^2 t + t^2} = \sqrt{t^2 + 4}$.
- 21. Answers may vary, though most direct solution is $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2 \cos t + 1, 2 \sin t + 2 \rangle.$
- 23. Answers may vary, though most direct solution is $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 1.5 \cos t, 5 \sin t \rangle.$
- 25. Answers may vary, though most direct solutions are $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, 5(t-2) + 3 \rangle$ and $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t+2, 5t+3 \rangle$.
- 27. Specific forms may vary, though most direct solutions are $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle + t \langle 3, 3, 3 \rangle$ and $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3t + 1, 3t + 2, 3t + 3 \rangle$.
- 29. Answers may vary, though most direct solution is $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 2 \cos t, 2 \sin t, 2t \rangle$.
- 31. $\langle 1,0\rangle$
- 33. $\langle 0,0,1\rangle$

Section 11.2

- 1. component
- 3. It is difficult to identify the points on the graphs of $\vec{r}(t)$ and $\vec{r}'(t)$ that correspond to each other.
- 5. $\langle 11, 74, \sin 5 \rangle$
- 7. $\langle 1, e \rangle$
- 9. $(-\infty, 0) \bigcup (0, \infty)$
- 11. $\vec{r}'(t) = \langle -\sin t, e^t, 1/t \rangle$
- 13. $\vec{r}'(t) = (2t) \langle \sin t, 2t+5 \rangle + (t^2) \langle \cos t, 2 \rangle = \langle 2t \sin t + t^2 \cos t, 6t^2 + 10t \rangle$
- 15. $\vec{r}'(t) = \langle 2t, 1, 0 \rangle \times \langle \sin t, 2t+5, 1 \rangle + \langle t^2 + 1, t-1, 1 \rangle \times \langle \cos t, 2, 0 \rangle = \langle -1, \cos t 2t, 6t^2 + 10t + 2 + \cos t \sin t t \cos t \rangle$



- 25. $t = 2n\pi$, where *n* is an integer; so $t = ... 4\pi, -2\pi, 0, 2\pi, 4\pi, ...$
- 27. $\vec{r}(t)$ is not smooth at $t = 3\pi/4 + n\pi$, where *n* is an integer
- 29. Both derivatives return $\langle 5t^4, 4t^3 3t^2, 3t^2 \rangle$.
- 31. Both derivatives return $\langle 2t e^t 1, \cos t 3t^2, (t^2 + 2t)e^t (t 1)\cos t \sin t \rangle$.
- 33. $\left\langle \frac{1}{4}t^4, \sin t, te^t e^t \right\rangle + \vec{C}$
- 35. $\langle -2, 0 \rangle$
- 37. $\vec{r}(t) = \left\langle \frac{1}{2}t^2 + 2, -\cos t + 3 \right\rangle$
- 39. $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t^4/12 + t + 4, t^3/6 + 2t + 5, t^2/2 + 3t + 6 \rangle$
- 41. $2\sqrt{13}\pi$
- 43. $\frac{1}{54}$ ((22)^{3/2} 8)
- 45. As $\vec{r}(t)$ has constant length, $\vec{r}(t)\cdot\vec{r}(t)=c^2$ for some constant c. Thus

$$\vec{r}(t) \cdot \vec{r}(t) = c^2$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\vec{r}(t) \cdot \vec{r}(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}(c^2)$$

$$\vec{r}'(t) \cdot \vec{r}(t) + \vec{r}(t) \cdot \vec{r}'(t) = 0$$

$$2\vec{r}(t) \cdot \vec{r}'(t) = 0$$


$$\vec{r}(t) \cdot \vec{r}'(t) = 0.$$


Section 11.3


- 1. Velocity is a vector, indicating an objects direction of travel and its rate of distance change (i.e., its speed). Speed is a scalar.
- The average velocity is found by dividing the displacement by the time traveled – it is a vector. The average speed is found by dividing the distance traveled by the time traveled – it is a scalar.
- 5. One example is traveling at a constant speed *s* in a circle, ending at the starting position. Since the displacement is $\vec{0}$, the average velocity is $\vec{0}$, hence $||\vec{0}|| = 0$. But traveling at constant speed *s* means the average speed is also s > 0.

7.
$$\vec{v}(t) = \langle 2, 5, 0 \rangle, \vec{a}(t) = \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$$

9. $\vec{v}(t) = \langle -\sin t, \cos t \rangle, \vec{a}(t) = \langle -\cos t, -\sin t \rangle$







- 15. $|| \vec{v}(t) || = \sqrt{4t^2 + 1}$. Min at t = 0; Max at $t = \pm 1$.
- 17. $||\vec{v}(t)|| = 5$. Speed is constant, so there is no difference between min/max
- 19. $||\vec{v}(t)|| = |\sec t|\sqrt{\tan^2 t + \sec^2 t}.$ min: t = 0; max: $t = \pi/4$
- 21. $||\vec{v}(t)|| = 13$. speed is constant, so there is no difference between min/max
- 23. $||\vec{v}(t)|| = \sqrt{4t^2 + 1 + t^2/(1 t^2)}$. min: t = 0; max: there is no max; speed approaches ∞ as $t \to \pm 1$
- 25. (a) $\vec{r}_1(1) = \langle 1, 1 \rangle; \vec{r}_2(1) = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$ (b) $\vec{v}_1(1) = \langle 1, 2 \rangle; || \vec{v}_1(1) || = \sqrt{5}; \vec{a}_1(1) = \langle 0, 2 \rangle$ $\vec{v}_2(1) = \langle 2, 4 \rangle; || \vec{v}_2(1) || = 2\sqrt{5}; \vec{a}_2(1) = \langle 2, 12 \rangle$
- 27. (a) $\vec{r}_1(2) = \langle 6, 4 \rangle; \vec{r}_2(2) = \langle 6, 4 \rangle$ (b) $\vec{v}_1(2) = \langle 3, 2 \rangle; || \vec{v}_1(2) || = \sqrt{13}; \vec{a}_1(2) = \langle 0, 0 \rangle$ $\vec{v}_2(2) = \langle 6, 4 \rangle; || \vec{v}_2(2) || = 2\sqrt{13}; \vec{a}_2(2) = \langle 0, 0 \rangle$

29.
$$\vec{v}(t) = \langle 2t+1, 3t+2 \rangle, \vec{r}(t) = \langle t^2+t+5, 3t^2/2+2t-2 \rangle$$

- 31. $\vec{v}(t) = \langle \sin t, \cos t \rangle, \vec{r}(t) = \langle 1 \cos t, \sin t \rangle$
- 33. Displacement: $(0, 0, 6\pi)$; distance traveled: $2\sqrt{13}\pi \approx 22.65$ ft; average velocity: (0, 0, 3); average speed: $\sqrt{13} \approx 3.61$ ft/s
- 35. Displacement: (0, 0); distance traveled: $2\pi \approx 6.28$ ft; average velocity: (0, 0); average speed: 1ft/s
- 37. At *t*-values of $\sin^{-1}(9/30)/(4\pi) + n/2 \approx 0.024 + n/2$ seconds, where *n* is an integer.
- (a) Holding the crossbow at an angle of 0.013 radians,
 ≈ 0.745° will hit the target 0.4s later. (Another solution exists, with an angle of 89°, landing 18.75s later, but this is impractical.)

- (b) In the .4 seconds the arrow travels, a deer, traveling at 20mph or 29.33ft/s, can travel 11.7ft. So she needs to lead the deer by 11.7ft.
- 41. The position function is $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 220t, -16t^2 + 1000 \rangle$. The y-component is 0 when t = 7.9; $\vec{r}(7.9) = \langle 1739.25, 0 \rangle$, meaning the box will travel about 1740ft horizontally before it lands.

Section 11.4

1. 1

3. $\vec{T}(t)$ and $\vec{N}(t)$.

5.
$$\vec{T}(t) = \left\langle \frac{4t}{\sqrt{20t^2 - 4t + 1}}, \frac{2t - 1}{\sqrt{20t^2 - 4t + 1}} \right\rangle; \vec{T}(1) = \left\langle 4/\sqrt{17}, 1/\sqrt{17} \right\rangle$$

7. $\vec{T}(t) = \frac{\cos t \sin t}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t \sin^2 t}} \langle -\cos t, \sin t \rangle$. (Be careful; this cannot be simplified as just $\langle -\cos t, \sin t \rangle$ as $\sqrt{\cos^2 t \sin^2 t} \neq \cos t \sin t$, but rather $|\cos t \sin t|$.) $\vec{T}(\pi/4) = \langle -\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{2}/2 \rangle$

9.
$$\ell(t) = \langle 2, 0 \rangle + t \langle 4/\sqrt{17}, 1/\sqrt{17} \rangle$$
; in parametric form,

$$\ell(t) = \begin{cases} x = 2 + 4t/\sqrt{17} \\ y = t/\sqrt{17} \end{cases}$$

- 11. $\ell(t) = \left\langle \sqrt{2}/4, \sqrt{2}/4 \right\rangle + t \left\langle -\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{2}/2 \right\rangle; \text{ in parametric form,} \\ \ell(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{rrr} x &=& \sqrt{2}/4 \sqrt{2}t/2 \\ y &=& \sqrt{2}/4 + \sqrt{2}t/2 \end{array} \right.$
- 13. $\vec{T}(t) = \langle -\sin t, \cos t \rangle; \vec{N}(t) = \langle -\cos t, -\sin t \rangle$

15.
$$\vec{T}(t) = \left\langle -\frac{\sin t}{\sqrt{4\cos^2 t + \sin^2 t}}, \frac{2\cos t}{\sqrt{4\cos^2 t + \sin^2 t}} \right\rangle;$$

 $\vec{N}(t) = \left\langle -\frac{2\cos t}{\sqrt{4\cos^2 t + \sin^2 t}}, -\frac{\sin t}{\sqrt{4\cos^2 t + \sin^2 t}} \right\rangle$

17. (a) Be sure to show work
(b)
$$\vec{N}(\pi/4) = \langle -5/\sqrt{34}, -3/\sqrt{34} \rangle$$

19. (a) Be sure to show work (b) $\vec{N}(0) = \left\langle -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \right\rangle$

21.
$$\vec{T}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \langle 2, \cos t, -\sin t \rangle; \vec{N}(t) = \langle 0, -\sin t, -\cos t \rangle$$

23.
$$\vec{T}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \langle -a \sin t, a \cos t, b \rangle; \vec{N}(t) = \langle -\cos t, -\sin t, 0 \rangle$$

- 25. $a_{\rm T} = \frac{4t}{\sqrt{1+4t^2}}$ and $a_{\rm N} = \sqrt{4 \frac{16t^2}{1+4t^2}}$ At t = 0, $a_{\rm T} = 0$ and $a_{\rm N} = 2$; At t = 1, $a_{\rm T} = 4/\sqrt{5}$ and $a_{\rm N} = 2/\sqrt{5}$. At t = 0, all acceleration comes in the form of changing the direction of velocity and not the speed; at t = 1, more acceleration comes in changing the speed than in changing direction.
- 27. $a_T = 0$ and $a_N = 2$ At t = 0, $a_T = 0$ and $a_N = 2$; At $t = \pi/2$, $a_T = 0$ and $a_N = 2$. The object moves at constant speed, so all acceleration comes from changing direction, hence $a_T = 0$. $\vec{a}(t)$ is always parallel to $\vec{N}(t)$, but twice as long, hence $a_N = 2$.
- 29. $a_T = 0$ and $a_N = a$ At t = 0, $a_T = 0$ and $a_N = a$; At $t = \pi/2$, $a_T = 0$ and $a_N = a$. The object moves at constant speed, meaning that a_T is always 0. The object "rises" along the *z*-axis at a constant rate, so all acceleration comes in the form of changing direction circling the *z*-axis. The greater the radius of this circle the greater the acceleration, hence $a_N = a$.

Section 11.5

- 1. time and/or distance
- 3. Answers may include lines, circles, helixes
- 5. *ĸ*

7.
$$s = 3t$$
, so $\vec{r}(s) = \langle 2s/3, s/3, -2s/3 \rangle$
9. $s = \sqrt{13}t$, so $\vec{r}(s) = \langle 3\cos(s/\sqrt{13}), 3\sin(s/\sqrt{13}), 2s/\sqrt{13} \rangle$
11. $\kappa = \frac{|6s|}{(1+(3x^2-1)^2)^{3/2}};$
 $\kappa(0) = 0, \kappa(1/2) = \frac{192}{17\sqrt{17}} \approx 2.74.$

13.
$$\kappa = \frac{|\cos x|}{(1+\sin^2 x)^{3/2}};$$

 $\kappa(0) = 1, \kappa(\pi/2) = 0$

15.
$$\kappa = \frac{|2\cos t\cos(2t) + 4\sin t\sin(2t)|}{(4\cos^2(2t) + \sin^2 t)^{3/2}};$$

 $\kappa(0) = 1/4, \kappa(\pi/4) = 8$

17.
$$\kappa = \frac{|6t^2+2|}{(4t^2+(3t^2-1)^2)^{3/2}};$$

 $\kappa(0) = 2, \kappa(5) = \frac{19}{1394\sqrt{1394}} \approx 0.0004$

19.
$$\kappa = 0;$$

 $\kappa(0) = 0, \kappa(1) = 0$

21.
$$\kappa = \frac{3}{13};$$


 $\kappa(0) = 3/13, \kappa(\pi/2) = 3/13$

- 23. maximized at $x = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\frac{4}{5}}$
- 25. maximized at t = 1/4
- 27. radius of curvature is $5\sqrt{5}/4$.
- 29. radius of curvature is 9.
- 31. $x^2 + (y 1/2)^2 = 1/4$, or $\vec{c}(t) = \langle 1/2 \cos t, 1/2 \sin t + 1/2 \rangle$
- 33. $x^2 + (y+8)^2 = 81$, or $\vec{c}(t) = \langle 9\cos t, 9\sin t 8 \rangle$


Chapter 12

Section 12.1


- 1. Answers will vary.
- 3. topographical
- 5. surface
- 7. domain: \mathbb{R}^2 range: $z \ge 2$
- 9. domain: \mathbb{R}^2 range: \mathbb{R}
- 11. domain: \mathbb{R}^2 range: $0 < z \leq 1$
- 13. domain: $\{(x, y) | x^2 + y^2 \le 9\}$, i.e., the domain is the circle and interior of a circle centered at the origin with radius 3. range: $0 \le z \le 3$
- 15. Level curves are lines y = (3/2)x c/2.




17. Level curves are parabolas $x = y^2 + c$.



19. When $c \neq 0$, the level curves are circles, centered at (1/c, -1/c) with radius $\sqrt{2/c^2 - 1}$. When c = 0, the level curve is the line y = x.



21. Level curves are ellipses of the form $\frac{x^2}{c^2} + \frac{y^2}{c^2/4} = 1$, i.e., a = c and b = c/2.



- domain: x + 2y 4z ≠ 0; the set of points in R³ NOT in the domain form a plane through the origin. range: R
- 25. domain: $z \ge x^2 y^2$; the set of points in \mathbb{R}^3 above (and including) the hyperbolic paraboloid $z = x^2 y^2$. range: $[0, \infty)$
- 27. The level surfaces are spheres, centered at the origin, with radius $\sqrt{c}.$
- 29. The level surfaces are paraboloids of the form $z = \frac{x^2}{c} + \frac{y^2}{c}$; the larger *c*, the "wider" the paraboloid.
- 31. The level curves for each surface are similar; for $z = \sqrt{x^2 + 4y^2}$ the level curves are ellipses of the form $\frac{x^2}{c^2} + \frac{y^2}{c^2/4} = 1$, i.e., a = cand b = c/2; whereas for $z = x^2 + 4y^2$ the level curves are ellipses of the form $\frac{x^2}{c} + \frac{y^2}{c/4} = 1$, i.e., $a = \sqrt{c}$ and $b = \sqrt{c}/2$. The first set of ellipses are spaced evenly apart, meaning the function grows at a constant rate; the second set of ellipses are more closely spaced together as *c* grows, meaning the function grows faster and faster as *c* increases.

The function $z = \sqrt{x^2 + 4y^2}$ can be rewritten as $z^2 = x^2 + 4y^2$, an elliptic cone; the function $z = x^2 + 4y^2$ is a paraboloid, each matching the description above.

Section 12.2

- 1. Answers will vary.
- 3. Answers will vary. One possible answer: $\{(x, y)|x^2 + y^2 \le 1\}$
- 5. Answers will vary. One possible answer: $\{(x, y)|x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$
- (a) Answers will vary. interior point: (1, 3) boundary point: (3, 3)
 - (b) S is a closed set
 - (c) S is bounded
- 9. (a) Answers will vary. interior point: none boundary point: (0, -1)
 - (b) S is a closed set, consisting only of boundary points
 - (c) S is bounded
- 11. (a) $D = \{(x, y) | 9 x^2 y^2 \ge 0\}.$
 - (b) D is a closed set.
 - (c) D is bounded.
- 13. (a) $D = \{(x, y) | y > x^2\}.$
 - (b) D is an open set.
 - (c) D is unbounded.
- 15. (a) Along y = 0, the limit is 1.
 - (b) Along x = 0, the limit is -1.

Since the above limits are not equal, the limit does not exist.

17. (a) Along
$$y = mx$$
, the limit is $\frac{mx(1-m)}{m^2x+1} = 0$ for all m .
(b) Along $x = 0$, the limit is -1 .

Since the above limits are not equal, the limit does not exist.

19. (a) Along y = 2, the limit is:

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(1,2)} \frac{x+y-3}{x^2-1} = \lim_{x\to 1} \frac{x-1}{x^2-1}$$
$$= \lim_{x\to 1} \frac{1}{x+1}$$
$$= 1/2.$$

(b) Along y = x + 1, the limit is:

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(1,2)} \frac{x+y-3}{x^2-1} = \lim_{x\to 1} \frac{2(x-1)}{x^2-1}$$
$$= \lim_{x\to 1} \frac{2}{x+1}$$
$$= 1.$$

Since the limits along the lines y = 2 and y = x + 1 differ, the overall limit does not exist.

Section 12.3

- A constant is a number that is added or subtracted in an expression; a coefficient is a number that is being multiplied by a nonconstant function.
- 3. *f_x*
- 5. $f_x = 2xy 1, f_y = x^2 + 2$ $f_x(1, 2) = 3, f_y(1, 2) = 3$

- 7. $f_x = -\sin x \sin y$, $f_y = \cos x \cos y$ $f_x(\pi/3, \pi/3) = -3/4$, $f_y(\pi/3, \pi/3) = 1/4$
- 9. $f_x = 2xy + 6x, f_y = x^2 + 4$ $f_{xx} = 2y + 6, f_{yy} = 0$ $f_{xy} = 2x, f_{yx} = 2x$
- 11. $f_x = 1/y, f_y = -x/y^2$ $f_{xx} = 0, f_{yy} = 2x/y^3$ $f_{xy} = -1/y^2, f_{yx} = -1/y^2$
- 13. $f_x = 2xe^{x^2+y^2}, f_y = 2ye^{x^2+y^2}$ $f_{xx} = 2e^{x^2+y^2} + 4x^2e^{x^2+y^2}, f_{yy} = 2e^{x^2+y^2} + 4y^2e^{x^2+y^2}$ $f_{xy} = 4xye^{x^2+y^2}, f_{yx} = 4xye^{x^2+y^2}$
- 15. $f_x = \cos x \cos y, f_y = -\sin x \sin y$ $f_{xx} = -\sin x \cos y, f_{yy} = -\sin x \cos y$ $f_{xy} = -\sin y \cos x, f_{yx} = -\sin y \cos x$
- $\begin{array}{l} 17. \ f_{\chi} = -5y^3 \sin(5xy^3), f_{y} = -15xy^2 \sin(5xy^3) \\ f_{\chi\chi} = -25y^6 \cos(5xy^3), \\ f_{\gamma\gamma} = -225x^2y^4 \cos(5xy^3) 30xy \sin(5xy^3) \\ f_{\chi\gamma} = -75xy^5 \cos(5xy^3) 15y^2 \sin(5xy^3), \\ f_{\gamma\chi} = -75xy^5 \cos(5xy^3) 15y^2 \sin(5xy^3) \end{array}$

19.
$$f_{X} = \frac{2y^{2}}{\sqrt{4xy^{2}+1}}, f_{Y} = \frac{4xy}{\sqrt{4xy^{2}+1}}$$
$$f_{XX} = -\frac{4y^{4}}{\sqrt{4xy^{2}+1}^{3}}, f_{YY} = -\frac{16x^{2}y^{2}}{\sqrt{4xy^{2}+1}^{3}} + \frac{4x}{\sqrt{4xy^{2}+1}}$$
$$f_{XY} = -\frac{8xy^{3}}{\sqrt{4xy^{2}+1}^{3}} + \frac{4y}{\sqrt{4xy^{2}+1}}, f_{YX} = -\frac{8xy^{3}}{\sqrt{4xy^{2}+1}^{3}} + \frac{4y}{\sqrt{4xy^{2}+1}}$$

21.
$$f_{x} = -\frac{2x}{(x^{2}+y^{2}+1)^{2}}, f_{y} = -\frac{2y}{(x^{2}+y^{2}+1)^{2}}$$
$$f_{xx} = \frac{8x^{2}}{(x^{2}+y^{2}+1)^{3}}, -\frac{2}{(x^{2}+y^{2}+1)^{2}}, f_{yy} = \frac{8y^{2}}{(x^{2}+y^{2}+1)^{3}} - \frac{2}{(x^{2}+y^{2}+1)^{2}}$$
$$f_{xy} = \frac{8y}{(x^{2}+y^{2}+1)^{3}}, f_{yx} = \frac{8y}{(x^{2}+y^{2}+1)^{3}}$$

23.
$$f_x = 6x, f_y = 0$$

 $f_{xx} = 6, f_{yy} = 0$
 $f_{xy} = 0, f_{yx} = 0$

25.
$$f_X = \frac{1}{4xy}, f_Y = -\frac{\ln x}{4y^2}$$

 $f_{XX} = -\frac{1}{4x^2y}, f_{YY} = \frac{\ln x}{2y^3}$
 $f_{XY} = -\frac{1}{4xy^2}, f_{YX} = -\frac{1}{4xy^2}$

- 27. $f(x, y) = x \sin y + x + C$, where C is any constant.
- 29. $f(x, y) = 3x^2y 4xy^2 + 2y + C$, where *C* is any constant.

31.
$$f_x = 2xe^{2y-3z}, f_y = 2x^2e^{2y-3z}, f_z = -3x^2e^{2y-3z}$$

 $f_{yz} = -6x^2e^{2y-3z}, f_{zy} = -6x^2e^{2y-3z}$
33. $f_x = \frac{3}{7y^2z}, f_y = -\frac{6x}{7y^3z}, f_z = -\frac{3x}{7y^2z^2}$
 $f_{yz} = \frac{6x}{7y^3z^2}, f_{zy} = \frac{6x}{7y^3z^2}$

Section 12.4

1. T

- 3. T
- 5. $dz = (\sin y + 2x)dx + (x\cos y)dy$

7. dz = 5dx - 7dy

- 9. $dz = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y}} dx + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x^2 + y}} dy$, with dx = -0.05 and dy = .1. At (3,7), dz = 3/4(-0.05) + 1/8(.1) = -0.025, so $f(2.95, 7.1) \approx -0.025 + 4 = 3.975$.
- 11. $dz = (2xy y^2)dx + (x^2 2xy)dy$, with dx = 0.04 and dy = 0.06. At (2, 3), dz = 3(0.04) + (-8)(0.06) = -0.36, so $f(2.04, 3.06) \approx -0.36 6 = -6.36$.
- 13. The total differential of volume is $dV = 4\pi dr + \pi dh$. The coefficient of dr is greater than the coefficient of dh, so the volume is more sensitive to changes in the radius.

- 15. Using trigonometry, $\ell = x \tan \theta$, so $d\ell = \tan \theta dx + x \sec^2 \theta d\theta$. With $\theta = 85^\circ$ and x = 30, we have $d\ell = 11.43dx + 3949.38d\theta$. The measured length of the wall is much more sensitive to errors in θ than in x. While it can be difficult to compare sensitivities between measuring feet and measuring degrees (it is somewhat like "comparing apples to oranges"), here the coefficients are so different that the result is clear: a small error in degree has a much greater impact than a small error in distance.
- 17. $dw = 2xyz^3 dx + x^2z^3 dy + 3x^2yz^2 dz$
- 19. dx = 0.05, dy = -0.1. dz = 9(.05) + (-2)(-0.1) = 0.65. So $f(3.05, 0.9) \approx 7 + 0.65 = 7.65$.
- 21. dx = 0.5, dy = 0.1, dz = -0.2. dw = 2(0.5) + (-3)(0.1) + 3.7(-0.2) = -0.04, so $f(2.5, 4.1, 4.8) \approx -1 - 0.04 = -1.04$.

Section 12.5

- 1. Because the parametric equations describe a level curve, z is constant for all t. Therefore $\frac{dz}{dt} = 0$.
- 3. $\frac{dx}{dt}$, and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$
- 5. F
- 7. (a) $\frac{dz}{dt} = 3(2t) + 4(2) = 6t + 8.$ (b) At t = 1, $\frac{dz}{dt} = 14.$
- 9. (a) $\frac{dz}{dt} = 5(-2\sin t) + 2(\cos t) = -10\sin t + 2\cos t$ (b) At $t = \pi/4$, $\frac{dz}{dt} = -4\sqrt{2}$.
- 11. (a) $\frac{dz}{dt} = 2x(\cos t) + 4y(3\cos t).$ (b) At $t = \pi/4$, $x = \sqrt{2}/2$, $y = 3\sqrt{2}/2$, and $\frac{dz}{dt} = 19$.
- 13. t = -4/3; this corresponds to a minimum
- 15. $t = \tan^{-1}(1/5) + n\pi$, where *n* is an integer
- 17. We find that

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = 38 \cos t \sin t$$
.

Thus $\frac{dz}{dt} = 0$ when $t = \pi n$ or $\pi n + \pi/2$, where *n* is any integer.

- 19. (a) $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = 2xy(1) + x^2(2) = 2xy + 2x^2;$ $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = 2xy(-1) + x^2(4) = -2xy + 4x^2$ (b) With s = 1, t = 0, x = 1 and y = 2. Thus $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = 6$ and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = 0$
- 21. (a) $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = 2x(\cos t) + 2y(\sin t) = 2x\cos t + 2y\sin t;$ $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = 2x(-s\sin t) + 2y(s\cos t) = -2xs\sin t + 2ys\cos t$
 - (b) With s = 2, $t = \pi/4$, $x = \sqrt{2}$ and $y = \sqrt{2}$. Thus $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = 4$ and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = 0$

23.
$$f_x = 2x \tan y, f_y = x^2 \sec^2 y;$$
$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{2 \tan y}{x \sec^2 y}$$

25.
$$f_x = \frac{(x+y^2)(2x) - (x^2+y)(1)}{(x+y^2)^2},$$
$$f_y = \frac{(x+y^2)(1) - (x^2+y)(2y)}{(x+y^2)^2},$$
$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{2x(x+y^2) - (x^2+y)}{x+y^2 - 2y(x^2+y)}$$
27.
$$\frac{dz}{dt} = 2(4) + 1(-5) = 3.$$

29.
$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = -4(5) + 9(-2) = -38$$

 $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = -4(7) + 9(6) = 26.$

Section 12.6

 A partial derivative is essentially a special case of a directional derivative; it is the directional derivative in the direction of x or y, i.e., (1,0) or (0,1).

3.
$$\vec{u} = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$$

5. maximal, or greatest

7.
$$\nabla f = \left\langle -2xy + y^2 + y, -x^2 + 2xy + x \right\rangle$$

9.
$$\nabla f = \left\langle \frac{-2x}{(x^2+y^2+1)^2}, \frac{-2y}{(x^2+y^2+1)^2} \right\rangle$$

- 11. $\nabla f = \langle 2x y 7, 4y x \rangle$
- 13. $\nabla f = \langle -2xy + y^2 + y, -x^2 + 2xy + x \rangle$; $\nabla f(2, 1) = \langle -2, 2 \rangle$. Be sure to change all directions to unit vectors.

(a)
$$2/5 \ (\vec{u} = \langle 3/5, 4/5 \rangle)$$

(b) $-2/\sqrt{5} \ (\vec{u} = \langle -1/\sqrt{5}, -2/\sqrt{5} \rangle)$

15. $\nabla f = \left\langle \frac{-2x}{(x^2+y^2+1)^2}, \frac{-2y}{(x^2+y^2+1)^2} \right\rangle; \nabla f(1,1) = \langle -2/9, -2/9 \rangle.$ Be sure to change all directions to unit vectors.

(a)
$$0 (\vec{u} = \left< 1/\sqrt{2}, -1/\sqrt{2} \right>)$$

(b)
$$2\sqrt{2}/9$$
 ($\vec{u} = \langle -1/\sqrt{2}, -1/\sqrt{2} \rangle$

- 17. $\nabla f = \langle 2x y 7, 4y x \rangle$; $\nabla f(4, 1) = \langle 0, 0 \rangle$.
 - (a) 0
 - (b) 0

19.
$$\nabla f = \langle -2xy + y^2 + y, -x^2 + 2xy + x \rangle$$

(a) $\nabla f(2,1) = \langle -2,2 \rangle$ (b) $|| \nabla f(2,1) || = || \langle -2,2 \rangle || = \sqrt{8}$ (c) $\langle 2,-2 \rangle$ (d) $\langle 1/\sqrt{2},1/\sqrt{2} \rangle$

21.
$$\nabla f = \left\langle \frac{-2x}{(x^2+y^2+1)^2}, \frac{-2y}{(x^2+y^2+1)^2} \right\rangle$$

(a)
$$\nabla f(1,1) = \langle -2/9, -2/9 \rangle.$$

- (b) $||\nabla f(1,1)|| = ||\langle -2/9, -2/9 \rangle|| = 2\sqrt{2}/9$
- (c) $\langle 2/9, 2/9 \rangle$

(d)
$$\left< 1/\sqrt{2}, -1/\sqrt{2} \right>$$

$$23. \ \nabla f = \langle 2x - y - 7, 4y - x \rangle$$

(a)
$$\nabla f(4, 1) = \langle 0, 0 \rangle$$

- (b) 0
- (c) $\langle 0,0
 angle$
- (d) All directions give a directional derivative of 0.
- (a) $\nabla F(x, y, z) = \left< 6xz^3 + 4y, 4x, 9x^2z^2 6z \right>$

(b)
$$113/\sqrt{3}$$

27. (a)
$$\nabla F(x, y, z) = \langle 2xy^2, 2y(x^2 - z^2), -2y^2z \rangle$$

25.

Section 12.7

1. Answers will vary. The displacement of the vector is one unit in the *x*-direction and 3 units in the *z*-direction, with no change in *y*. Thus along a line parallel to \vec{v} , the change in *z* is 3 times the change in *x* – i.e., a "slope" of 3. Specifically, the line in the *x*-*z* plane parallel to *z* has a slope of 3.

3. T

5. (a)
$$\ell_x(t) = \begin{cases} x = 2 + t \\ y = 3 \\ z = -48 - 12t \end{cases}$$

(b)
$$\ell_y(t) = \begin{cases} x = 2\\ y = 3 + t\\ z = -48 - 40t \end{cases}$$

(c) $\ell_{\vec{u}}(t) = \begin{cases} x = 2 + t/\sqrt{10}\\ y = 3 + 3t/\sqrt{10}\\ z = -48 - 66\sqrt{2/5}t \end{cases}$
7. (a) $\ell_x(t) = \begin{cases} x = 4 + t\\ y = 2\\ z = 2 + 3t \end{cases}$
(b) $\ell_y(t) = \begin{cases} x = 4 + t\\ y = 2 + t\\ z = 2 - 5t \end{cases}$
(c) $\ell_{\vec{u}}(t) = \begin{cases} x = 4 + t/\sqrt{2}\\ y = 2 + t/\sqrt{2}\\ z = 2 - \sqrt{2}t \end{cases}$
9. $\ell_{\vec{n}}(t) = \begin{cases} x = 2 - 12t\\ y = 3 - 40t\\ z = -48 - t \end{cases}$
11. $\ell_{\vec{n}}(t) = \begin{cases} x = 4 + 3t\\ y = 2 - 5t\\ z = 2 - t \end{cases}$

- 13. (1.425, 1.085, -48.078), (2.575, 4.915, -47.952)
- 15. (5.014, 0.31, 1.662) and (2.986, 3.690, 2.338)

17. -12(x-2) - 40(y-3) - (z+48) = 0

19. 3(x-4) - 5(y-2) - (z-2) = 0 (Note that this tangent plane is the same as the original function, a plane.)

21.
$$\nabla F = \langle x/4, y/2, z/8 \rangle$$
; at *P*, $\nabla F = \langle 1/4, \sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{6}/8 \rangle$

(a)
$$\ell_{\vec{n}}(t) = \begin{cases} x = 1 + t/4 \\ y = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2}t/2 \\ z = \sqrt{6} + \sqrt{6}t/8 \end{cases}$$

(b) $\frac{1}{4}(x-1) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(y-\sqrt{2}) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{8}(z-\sqrt{6}) = 0.$

23. $\nabla F = \langle y^2 - z^2, 2xy, -2xz \rangle$; at $P, \nabla F = \langle 0, 4, 4 \rangle$

(a)
$$\ell_{\vec{n}}(t) = \begin{cases} x = 2\\ y = 1 + 4t\\ z = -1 + 4t \end{cases}$$

(b) $4(y - 1) + 4(z + 1) = 0$

Section 12.8

- 1. F; it is the "other way around."
- 3. T
- 5. One critical point at (-4, 2); $f_{xx} = 1$ and D = 4, so this point corresponds to a relative minimum.
- One critical point at (6, -3); D = -4, so this point corresponds to a saddle point.
- Two critical points: at (0, -1); f_{xx} = 2 and D = -12, so this point corresponds to a saddle point; at (0, 1), f_{xx} = 2 and D = 12, so this corresponds to a relative minimum.
- 11. There are infinite critical points, whenever x = 0 or y = 0. With $D = -12x^2y^2$, at each critical point D = 0 and the test is inconclusive. (Some elementary thought shows that each is an absolute minimum.)
- 13. One critical point: $f_x = 0$ when x = 3; $f_y = 0$ when y = 0, so one critical point at (3, 0), which is a relative maximum, where

 $f_{xx} = \frac{y^2 - 16}{(16 - (x - 3)^2 - y^2)^{3/2}}$ and $D = \frac{16}{(16 - (x - 3)^2 - y^2)^2}$. Both f_x and f_y are undefined along the circle $(x - 3)^2 + y^2 = 16$; at any point along this curve, f(x, y) = 0, the absolute minimum of the function. 15. The triangle is bound by the lines y = -1, y = 2x + 1 and y = -2x + 1. Along y = -1, there is a critical point at (0, -1). Along y = 2x + 1, there is a critical point at (-3/5, -1/5). Along y = -2x + 1, there is a critical point at (3/5, -1/5). The function f has one critical point, irrespective of the constraint, at (0, -1/2). Checking the value of f at these four points, along with the three vertices of the triangle, we find the absolute maximum is at

(0, 1, 3) and the absolute minimum is at (0, -1/2, 3/4).
17. The region has no "corners" or "vertices," just a smooth edge.

To find critical points along the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 4$, we solve for y^2 : $y^2 = 4 - x^2$. We can go further and state $y = \pm \sqrt{4 - x^2}$.

We can rewrite f as $f(x) = x^{2} + 2x + (4 - x^{2}) + 2\sqrt{4 - x^{2}} = 2x + 4 + 2\sqrt{4 - x^{2}}.$ (We will return and use $-\sqrt{4 - x^{2}}$ later.) Solving f'(x) = 0, we get $x = \sqrt{2} \Rightarrow y = \sqrt{2}$. f'(x) is also undefined at $x = \pm 2$, where y = 0.

Using $y = -\sqrt{4 - x^2}$, we rewrite f(x, y) as $f(x) = 2x + 4 - 2\sqrt{4 - x^2}$. Solving f'(x) = 0, we get $x = -\sqrt{2}$, $y = -\sqrt{2}$. Again, f'(x) is undefined at $x = \pm 2$.

The function z = f(x, y) itself has a critical point at (-1, -1). Checking the value of f at (-1, -1), $(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2})$, $(-\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2})$, (2, 0) and (-2, 0), we find the absolute maximum is at $(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}, 4 + 4\sqrt{2})$ and the absolute minimum is at (-1, -1, -2).

Chapter 13

Section 13.1

- C(y), meaning that instead of being just a constant, like the number 5, it is a function of y, which acts like a constant when taking derivatives with respect to x.
- 3. curve to curve, then from point to point
- 5. (a) $18x^2 + 42x 117$
 - (b) —108
- 7. (a) $x^4/2 x^2 + 2x 3/2$
 - (b) 23/15
- 9. (a) sin² y

(b) $\pi/2$


11.
$$\int_{1}^{4} \int_{-2}^{1} dy dx$$
 and $\int_{-2}^{1} \int_{1}^{4} dx dy$.
area of $R = 9u^{2}$

13. $\int_{2}^{4} \int_{x-1}^{7-x} dy \, dx$. The order $dx \, dy$ needs two iterated integrals as x is bounded above by two different functions. This gives:

$$\int_{1}^{3}\int_{2}^{y+1}\,dx\,dy+\int_{3}^{5}\int_{2}^{7-y}\,dx\,dy.$$

area of $R = 4u^2$

15.
$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{x^{4}}^{\sqrt{x}} dy \, dx$$
 and $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{y^{2}}^{\sqrt[4]{y}} dx \, dy$
area of $R = 7/15u^{2}$






1. volume

3. The double integral gives the signed volume under the surface. Since the surface is always positive, it is always above the *x*-*y* plane and hence produces only "positive" volume.

5. 6;
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{1}^{2} \left(\frac{x}{y} + 3\right) dy dx$$

7. 112/3; $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{4-2y} (3x^{2} - y + 2) dx dy$
9. 16/5; $\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{0}^{1-x^{2}} (x + y + 2) dy dx$



A.30

(c) 0

- 19. Integrating e^{x^2} with respect to x is not possible in terms of elementary functions. $\int_0^2 \int_0^{2x} e^{x^2} dy \, dx = e^4 1.$
- 21. Integrating $\int_{y}^{1} \frac{2y}{x^{2} + y^{2}} dx$ gives $\tan^{-1}(1/y) \pi/4$; integrating $\tan^{-1}(1/y)$ is hard. $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{2y}{x^{2} + y^{2}} dy dx = \ln 2.$
- 23. average value of f = 6/2 = 3
- 25. average value of $f = \frac{112/3}{4} = 28/3$

Section 13.3

1. $f(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta), r\,dr\,d\theta$

3.
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{1} (3r\cos\theta - r\sin\theta + 4)r \, dr \, d\theta = 4\pi$$

5.
$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{\cos\theta}^{3\cos\theta} (8 - r\sin\theta)r \, dr \, d\theta = 16\pi$$

7.
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{1}^{2} (\ln(r^{2}))r \, dr \, d\theta = 2\pi (\ln 16 - 3/2)$$

9.
$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{6} (r^{2}\cos^{2}\theta - r^{2}\sin^{2}\theta)r \, dr \, d\theta = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{6} (r^{2}\cos(2\theta))r \, dr \, d\theta = 0$$

11.
$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{5} (r^{2}) \, dr \, d\theta = 125\pi/3$$

13.
$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/4} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{8}} (r\cos\theta + r\sin\theta)r \, dr \, d\theta = 16\sqrt{2}/3$$

13.
$$\int_{0} \int_{0} (r \cos \theta + r \sin \theta) r \, dr \, d\theta = 16\sqrt{2/3}$$

15. (a) This is impossible to integrate with rectangular co

- 15. (a) This is impossible to integrate with rectangular coordinates as e^{-(x²+y²)} does not have an antiderivative in terms of elementary functions.
 - (b) $\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^a re^{r^2} dr d\theta = \pi (1 e^{-a^2}).$
 - (c) $\lim_{a\to\infty} \pi(1-e^{-a^2}) = \pi$. This implies that there is a finite volume under the surface $e^{-(x^2+y^2)}$ over the entire x-y plane.

Section 13.4

- 1. Because they are scalar multiples of each other.
- 3. "little masses"
- M_x measures the moment about the x-axis, meaning we need to measure distance from the x-axis. Such measurements are measures in the y-direction.
- 7. $\bar{x} = 5.25$
- 9. $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (0, 3)$
- 11. *M* = 150gm;
- 13. *M* = 2lb
- 15. $M=16\pipprox$ 50.27kg
- 17. $M = 54\pi \approx 169.65$ lb
- 19. M = 150gm; $M_y = 600$; $M_x = -75$; $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (4, -0.5)$
- 21. M = 2lb; $M_y = 0$; $M_x = 2/3$; $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (0, 1/3)$

23.
$$M = 16\pi \approx 50.27$$
kg; $M_y = 4\pi$; $M_x = 4\pi$; $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (1/4, 1/4)$

25. $M = 54\pi \approx 169.65$ lb; $M_y = 0$; $M_x = 504$; $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (0, 2.97)$

Section 13.5

- 1. arc length
- 3. surface areas
- 5. Intuitively, adding *h* to *f* only shifts *f* up (i.e., parallel to the *z*-axis) and does not change its shape. Therefore it will not change the surface area over *R*.

Analytically, $f_x = g_x$ and $f_y = g_y$; therefore, the surface area of each is computed with identical double integrals.

7.
$$SA = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sqrt{1 + \cos^2 x \cos^2 y + \sin^2 x \sin^2 y} \, dx \, dy$$

9. $SA = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{1 + 4x^2 + 4y^2} \, dx \, dy$
11. $SA = \int_{0}^{3} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{1 + 9 + 49} \, dx \, dy = 6\sqrt{59} \approx 46.09$

13. This is easier in polar:

$$SA = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^4 r\sqrt{1 + 4r^2 \cos^2 t + 4r^2 \sin^2 t} \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^4 r\sqrt{1 + 4r^2} \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{6} (65\sqrt{65} - 1) \approx 273.87$$

15.

$$SA = \int_0^2 \int_0^{2x} \sqrt{1 + 1 + 4x^2} \, dy \, dx$$
$$= \int_0^2 \left(2x\sqrt{2 + 4x^2} \right) \, dx$$
$$= \frac{26}{3}\sqrt{2} \approx 12.26$$

17. This is easier in polar:

$$SA = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{5} r \sqrt{1 + \frac{4r^{2} \cos^{2} \theta + 4r^{2} \sin^{2} \theta}{r^{2} \sin^{2} \theta + r^{2} \cos^{2} \theta}} \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{5} r \sqrt{5} \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= 25\pi \sqrt{5} \approx 175.62$$

19. Integrating in polar is easiest considering R:

$$SA = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 r\sqrt{1 + c^2 + d^2} \, dr \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{1 + c^2 + d^2}\right) \, d\theta$$
$$= \pi \sqrt{1 + c^2 + d^2}.$$

The value of h does not matter as it only shifts the plane vertically (i.e., parallel to the *z*-axis). Different values of h do not create different ellipses in the plane.

Section 13.6

- 1. surface to surface, curve to curve and point to point
- 3. Answers can vary. From this section we used triple integration to find the volume of a solid region, the mass of a solid, and the center of mass of a solid.

5.
$$V = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} (8 - x^2 - y^2 - (2x + y)) dx dy = 88/3$$

7. $V = \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{x} (\cos x \sin y + 2 - \sin x \cos y) dy dx = \pi^2 - \pi \approx 6.728$

A.31

9.
$$dz \, dy \, dx$$
: $\int_{0}^{3} \int_{0}^{1-x/3} \int_{0}^{2-2x/3-2y} dz \, dy \, dx$
 $dz \, dx \, dy$: $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{3-3y} \int_{0}^{2-2x/3-2y} dz \, dx \, dy$
 $dy \, dz \, dx$: $\int_{0}^{3} \int_{0}^{2-2x/3} \int_{0}^{1-x/3-z/2} dy \, dz \, dx$
 $dy \, dx \, dz$: $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{3-3z/2} \int_{0}^{1-x/3-z/2} dy \, dx \, dz$
 $dx \, dz \, dy$: $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2-2y} \int_{0}^{3-3y-3z/2} dx \, dz \, dy$
 $dx \, dy \, dz$: $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{1-z/2} \int_{y^{2}/2}^{3-3y-3z/2} dx \, dz \, dy$
 $dx \, dy \, dz$: $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{-2}^{0} \int_{y^{2}/2}^{y-y} dz \, dy \, dx$
 $dz \, dy \, dz$: $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{-2}^{0} \int_{y^{2}/2}^{-2z/3-2y} dz \, dy \, dx$
 $dz \, dx \, dy$: $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{-2}^{0} \int_{-\sqrt{2z}}^{y-2} dz \, dy \, dx$
 $dz \, dx \, dy$: $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{-2}^{0} \int_{-\sqrt{2z}}^{-2z} dy \, dz \, dx$
 $dy \, dx \, dz$: $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{-\sqrt{2z}}^{0} \int_{-\sqrt{2z}}^{2} dy \, dz \, dx$
 $dy \, dx \, dz$: $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{-\sqrt{2z}}^{-z} dy \, dz \, dx \, dy$
 $dx \, dy \, dz$: $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{-\sqrt{2z}}^{-z} dy \, dz \, dx \, dy$
 $dx \, dy \, dz$: $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{-\sqrt{2z}}^{-z} dy \, dz \, dx \, dy$
 $dx \, dy \, dz$: $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{-\sqrt{2z}}^{2z} \int_{0}^{2x+4y-4} dz \, dy \, dx$
 $dz \, dx \, dy$: $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{-\sqrt{2z}}^{2} \int_{0}^{2x+4y-4} dz \, dy \, dx$
 $dz \, dx \, dy$: $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{2-2y}^{2} \int_{0}^{2x+4y-4} dz \, dx \, dy$
 $dy \, dz \, dx$: $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{-2}^{2} \int_{z/2}^{2} dy \, dz \, dx = 4/3$.
13. $dz \, dy \, dx$: $\int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{z/2}^{2} \int_{z/4-x/2+1}^{2} dy \, dz \, dx$
 $dy \, dx \, dz$: $\int_{0}^{4} \int_{z/2}^{2} \int_{z/4-x/2+1}^{2} dx \, dz \, dy$
 $dx \, dy \, dz$: $\int_{0}^{4} \int_{z/4}^{2} \int_{z/2-2y+2}^{2} dx \, dz \, dy$
 $dx \, dy \, dz$: $\int_{0}^{4} \int_{z/4}^{2} \int_{z/2-2y+2}^{2} dx \, dy \, dz$
 $y = \int_{0}^{4} \int_{z/4}^{1} \int_{z/2-2y+2}^{2} dx \, dy \, dz \, dx$
 $dy \, dx \, dz$: $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1-x/2} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{1-y}} dz \, dx \, dy$
 $dz \, dy \, dx$: $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1-x/2} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{1-y}} dz \, dx \, dy$
 $dz \, dy \, dx$: $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1-x/2} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{1-y}} dx \, dz \, dy$
 $dy \, dz \, dx$: $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1-x/2} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{1-y}} dx \, dz \, dy$
 $dx \, dy \, dz$: $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1-x/2} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{1-y}} dx \, dy \, dz$
 $dz \, dy \, dx \, dz$: $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{$

Answers will vary. Neither order is particularly "hard." The order *dz dy dx* requires integrating a square root, so powers can be messy; the order *dy dz dx* requires two triple integrals, but each uses only polynomials.

17. 8

19. π

- 21. $M = 10, M_{yz} = 15/2, M_{xz} = 5/2, M_{xy} = 5;$ $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = (3/4, 1/4, 1/2)$
- 23. $M = 16/5, M_{yz} = 16/3, M_{xz} = 104/45, M_{xy} = 32/9;$ $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = (5/3, 13/18, 10/9) \approx (1.67, 0.72, 1.11)$

Section 13.7

- In cylindrical, *r* determines how far from the origin one goes in the *x-y* plane before considering the *z*-component. Equivalently, if on projects a point in cylindrical coordinates onto the *x-y* plane, *r* will be the distance of this projection from the origin. In spherical, *ρ* is the distance from the origin to the point.
- 3. Cylinders (tubes) centered at the origin, parallel to the *z*-axis; planes parallel to the *z*-axis that intersect the *z*-axis; planes parallel to the *x*-*y* plane.
- 5. (a) Cylindrical: $(2\sqrt{2}, \pi/4, 1)$ and $(2, 5\pi/6, 0)$ Spherical: $(3, \pi/4, \cos^{-1}(1/3))$ and $(2, 5\pi/6, \pi/2)$
 - (b) Rectangular: $(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}, 2)$ and (0, -3, -4)Spherical: $(2\sqrt{2}, \pi/4, \pi/4)$ and $(5, 3\pi/2, \pi - \tan^{-1}(3/4))$
 - (c) Rectangular: $(1, 1, \sqrt{2})$ and (0, 0, 1)Cylindrical: $(\sqrt{2}, \pi/4, \sqrt{2})$ and (0, 0, 1)
- (a) A cylindrical surface or tube, centered along the z-axis of radius 1, extending from the x-y plane up to the plane z = 1 (i.e., the tube has a length of 1).
 - (b) This is a region of space, being half of a tube with "thick" walls of inner radius 1 and outer radius 2, centered along the z-axis with a length of 1, where the half "below" the x-z plane is removed.
 - (c) This is upper half of the sphere of radius 3 centered at the origin (i.e., the upper hemisphere).
 - (d) This is a region of space, where the ball of radius 2, centered at the origin, is removed from the ball of radius 3, centered at the origin.

9.
$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \int_{z_1}^{z_2} h(r,\theta,z) r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta$$

- 11. The region in space is bounded between the planes z = 0 and z = 2, inside of the cylinder $x^2 + y^2 = 4$, and the planes $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi/2$: describes a "wedge" of a cylinder of height 2 and radius 2; the angle of the wedge is $\pi/2$, or 90°.
- 13. Bounded between the plane z = 1 and the cone $z = 1 \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$: describes an inverted cone, with height of 1, point at (0, 0, 1) and base radius of 1.
- 15. Describes a quarter of a ball of radius 3, centered at the origin; the quarter resides above the *x*-*y* plane and above the *x*-*z* plane.
- 17. Describes the portion of the unit ball that resides in the first octant.
- 19. Bounded above the cone $z = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and below the sphere $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 4$: describes a shape that is somewhat "diamond"-like; some think of it as looking like an ice cream cone (see Figure 13.7.8). It describes a cone, where the side makes an angle of $\pi/4$ with the positive *z*-axis, topped by the portion of the ball of radius 2, centered at the origin.
- 21. The region in space is bounded below by the cone $z = \sqrt{3}\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and above by the plane z = 1: it describes a cone, with point at the origin, centered along the positive *z*-axis, with height of 1 and base radius of $\tan(\pi/6) = 1/\sqrt{3}$.
- 23. In cylindrical coordinates, the density is $\delta(\mathbf{r},\theta,\mathbf{z})=\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{1}.$ Thus mass is

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^2 \int_0^4 (r+1)r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta = 112\pi/3.$$

25. In cylindrical coordinates, the density is $\delta(r, \theta, z) = 1$. Thus mass is

$$\int_0^{\pi} \int_0^1 \int_0^{4-r \sin \theta} r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta = 2\pi - 2/3 \approx 5.617$$

27. In cylindrical coordinates, the density is $\delta(r,\theta,z)=r+$ 1. Thus mass is

$$M = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^2 \int_0^4 (r+1)r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta = 112\pi/3$$

We find $M_{yz}=$ 0, $M_{xz}=$ 0, and $M_{xy}=$ 224 $\pi/$ 3, placing the center of mass at (0, 0, 2).

29. In cylindrical coordinates, the density is $\delta(r, \theta, z) = 1$. Thus mass is $c\pi - c1 - c4 - r\sin\theta$

$$\int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{1} \int_0^{4-r \sin \theta} r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta = 2\pi - 2/3 \approx 5.617.$$

We find $M_{yz} = 0$, $M_{xz} = 8/3 - \pi/8$, and $M_{xy} = 65\pi/16 - 8/3$, placing the center of mass at $\approx (0, 0.405, 1.80)$.

31. In spherical coordinates, the density is $\delta(\rho, \theta, \varphi) = 1$. Thus mass is

$$\int_{0}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \rho^{2} \sin(\varphi) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi = 2\pi/3$$

33. In spherical coordinates, the density is $\delta(\rho,\theta,\varphi)=\rho\cos\varphi.$ Thus mass is

$$\int_0^{\pi/4} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \left(\rho \cos(\varphi)\right) \rho^2 \sin(\varphi) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi = \pi/8$$

35. In spherical coordinates, the density is $\delta(\rho,\theta,\varphi)=$ 1. Thus mass is

$$\int_{0}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \rho^{2} \sin(\varphi) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi = 2\pi/3.$$

We find $M_{yz} = 0$, $M_{xz} = 0$, and $M_{xy} = \pi/4$, placing the center of mass at (0, 0, 3/8).

37. In spherical coordinates, the density is $\delta(\rho,\theta,\varphi)=\rho\cos\varphi.$ Thus mass is

$$\int_0^{\pi/4} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \left(\rho \cos(\varphi)\right) \rho^2 \sin(\varphi) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi = \pi/8.$$

We find $M_{yz} = 0$, $M_{xz} = 0$, and $M_{xy} = (4 - \sqrt{2})\pi/30$, placing the center of mass at $(0, 0, 4(4 - \sqrt{2})/15)$.

39. Rectangular: $\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}^{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} \int_{-\sqrt{1-x^{2}-y^{2}}}^{\sqrt{1-x^{2}-y^{2}}} dz \, dy \, dx$ Cylindrical: $\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}^{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}} r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta$

Spherical: $\int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \rho^2 \sin(\varphi) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi$ Spherical appears simplest, avoiding the integration of square-roots and using techniques such as Substitution; all bounds are constants.

41. Rectangular: $\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-\sqrt{1-x^2}}^{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \int_{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}^{1} dz \, dy \, dx$ Cylindrical: $\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{r}^{1} r \, dz \, dr \, d\theta$

Spherical: $\int_0^{\pi/4} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\sec\varphi} \rho^2 \sin(\varphi) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi$ Cylindrical appears simplest, avoiding the integration of square-roots that rectangular uses. Spherical is not difficult, though it requires Substitution, an extra step.

Chapter 14

Section 14.1

 When C is a curve in the plane and f is a surface defined over C, then ∫_C f(s) ds describes the area under the spatial curve that lies on f, over C. 3. The variable *s* denotes the arc-length parameter, which is generally difficult to use. The Key Idea allows one to parametrize a curve using another, ideally easier-to-use, parameter.

7. 40π

 Over the first subcurve of C, the line integral has a value of 3/2; over the second subcurve, the line integral has a value of 4/3. The total value of the line integral is thus 17/6.

11.
$$\int_0^1 (5t^2 + t + 2)\sqrt{(4t + 1)^2 + 1} dt \approx 17.071$$

13.
$$\oint_0^{2\pi} (10 - 4\cos^2 t - \sin^2 t) \sqrt{\cos^2 t + 4\sin^2 t} \, dt \approx 74.986$$


15.
$$7\sqrt{26}/3$$

17.
$$8\pi^3$$


19. $M = 8\sqrt{2}\pi^2$; center of mass is $(0, -1/(2\pi), 8\pi/3)$.

Section 14.2

- Answers will vary. Appropriate answers include velocities of moving particles (air, water, etc.); gravitational or electromagnetic forces.
- 3. Specific answers will vary, though should relate to the idea that the vector field is spinning clockwise at that point.
- 5. Correct answers should look similar to



7. Correct answers should look similar to



- 9. div $\vec{F} = 1 + 2y$ curl $\vec{F} = 0$
- 11. div $\vec{F} = x \cos(xy) y \sin(xy)$ curl $\vec{F} = y \cos(xy) + x \sin(xy)$
- 13. div $\vec{F} = 3$ curl $\vec{F} = \langle -1, -1, -1 \rangle$
- 15. div $\vec{F} = 1 + 2y$ curl $\vec{F} = 0$
- 17. div $\vec{F} = 2y \sin z$ curl $\vec{F} = \vec{0}$

Section 14.3

- 1. False. It is true for line integrals over scalar fields, though.
- 3. True.

- 5. We can conclude that \vec{F} is conservative.
- 7. 11/6. (One parametrization for *C* is $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 3t, t \rangle$ on $0 \le t \le 1$.)
- 9. 0. (One parametrization for C is $\vec{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t \rangle$ on $0 \le t \le \pi$.)
- 11. 12. (One parametrization for C is $\vec{r}(t) = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle + t \langle 3, 1, -1 \rangle$ on $0 \le t \le 1$.)
- 13. 5/6 joules. (One parametrization for C is $\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t \rangle$ on $0 \le t \le 1$.)
- 15. 24 ft-lbs.
- 17. (a) f(x, y) = xy + x
 - (b) curl $\vec{F} = 0$.
 - (c) 1. (One parametrization for C is $ec{r}(t)=\langle t,-1t
 angle$ on $0\leq t\leq$ 1.)
 - (d) 1 (with A = (0, 1) and B = (1, 0), f(B) f(A) = 1.)
- 19. (a) $f(x, y) = x^2 yz$
 - (b) curl $\vec{F} = \vec{0}$.
 - (c) 250.
 - (d) 250 (with A = (1, -1, 0) and B = (5, 5, 2), f(B) f(A) = 250.)
- 21. Since \vec{F} is conservative, it is the gradient of some potential function. That is, $\nabla f = \langle f_x, f_y, f_z \rangle = \vec{F} = \langle M, N, P \rangle$. In particular, $M = f_x$, $N = f_y$ and $P = f_z$. Note that $\operatorname{curl} \vec{F} = \langle P_y - N_z, M_z - P_x, N_x - M_y \rangle = \langle f_{zy} - f_{yz}, f_{xz} - f_{zx}, f_{yx} - f_{xy} \rangle$,
 - which, by Theorem 12.3.1, is $\langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$.

Section 14.4

- 1. along, across
- 3. the curl of \vec{F} , or curl \vec{F}
- 5. $\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}$
- 7. 12
- 9. -2/3
- 11. 1/2
- 13. The line integral $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$, over the parabola, is 38/3; over the line, it is -10. The total line integral is thus 38/3 10 = 8/3. The double integral of curl $\vec{F} = 2$ over *R* also has value 8/3.
- 15. Three line integrals need to be computed to compute $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$. It does not matter which corner one starts from first, but be sure to proceed around the triangle in a counterclockwise fashion. From (0,0) to (2,0), the line integral has a value of 0. From (2,0) to (1, 1) the integral has a value of 7/3. From (1, 1) to (0,0) the line integral has a value of -1/3. Total value is 2. The double integral of curl \vec{F} over *R* also has value 2.
- 17. Any choice of \vec{F} is appropriate as long as curl $\vec{F} = 1$. When $\vec{F} = \langle -y/2, x/2 \rangle$, the integrand of the line integral is simply 6. The area of *R* is 12π .
- Any choice of *F* is appropriate as long as curl *F* = 1. The choices of *F* = ⟨-y, 0⟩, ⟨0, x⟩ and ⟨-y/2, x/2⟩ each lead to reasonable integrands. The area of *R* is 16/15.
- 21. The line integral $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds$, over the parabola, is -22/3; over the line, it is 10. The total line integral is thus -22/3 + 10 = 8/3. The double integral of div $\vec{F} = 2$ over *R* also has value 8/3.
- 23. Three line integrals need to be computed to compute $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, ds$. It does not matter which corner one starts from first, but be sure to proceed around the triangle in a counterclockwise fashion. From (0,0) to (2,0), the line integral has a value of 0. From (2,0) to (1,1) the integral has a value of 1/3. From (1,1) to (0,0) the line integral has a value of 1/3. Total value is 2/3. The double integral of div \vec{F} over R also has value 2/3.

Section 14.5

3

- Answers will vary, though generally should meaningfully include terms like "two sided".
 - (a) $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, v, 3u^2v \rangle$ on $-1 \le u \le 1, 0 \le v \le 2$. (b) $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle 3v \cos u + 1, 3v \sin u + 2, 3(3v \cos u + 1)^2(3v \sin u + 2) \rangle$, on $0 \le u \le 2\pi$, $0 \le v \le 1$.
 - (c) $\vec{r}(u,v) = \langle u, v(2-2u), 3u^2v(2-2u) \rangle$ on $0 \leq u, v \leq 1$.
 - $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(d)} & \vec{r}(u,v)=\langle u,v(1-u^2), 3u^2v(1-u^2)\rangle \text{ on } -1\leq u\leq 1,\\ & 0\leq v\leq 1. \end{array}$
- 5. $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle 0, u, v \rangle$ with $0 \le u \le 2$, $0 \le v \le 1$.
- 7. $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle 3 \sin u \cos v, 2 \sin u \sin v, 4 \cos u \rangle$ with $0 \le u \le \pi$, $0 \le v \le 2\pi$.
- 9. Answers may vary. For $z = \frac{1}{2}(3 - x)$: $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, v, \frac{1}{2}(3 - u) \rangle$, with $1 \le u \le 3$ and $0 \le v \le 2$. For x = 1: $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle 0, u, v \rangle$, with $0 \le u \le 2, 0 \le v \le 1$ For y = 0: $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, 0, v/2(3 - u) \rangle$, with $1 \le u \le 3$, $0 \le v \le 1$ For y = 2: $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, 2, v/2(3 - u) \rangle$, with $1 \le u \le 3$, $0 \le v \le 1$ For z = 0: $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, v, 0 \rangle$, with $1 \le u \le 3, 0 \le v \le 2$
- 11. Answers may vary.

For $z = 2y : \vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, v(4 - u^2), 2v(4 - u^2) \rangle$ with $-2 \le u \le 2$ and $0 \le v \le 1$. For $y = 4 - x^2 : \vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, 4 - u^2, 2v(4 - u^2) \rangle$ with $-2 \le u \le 2$ and $0 \le v \le 1$. For z = 0: $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, v(4 - u^2), 0 \rangle$ with $-2 \le u \le 2$ and $0 \le v \le 1$.

13. Answers may vary.

For $x + y^2/9 = 1$: $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle \cos u, 3 \sin u, v \rangle$ with $0 \le u \le 2\pi$ and $1 \le v \le 3$. For z = 1: $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle v \cos u, 3v \sin u, 1 \rangle$ with $0 \le u \le 2\pi$ and $0 \le v \le 1$. For z = 3: $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle v \cos u, 3v \sin u, 3 \rangle$ with $0 \le u \le 2\pi$ and $0 \le v \le 1$.

15. Answers may vary.

For $z = 1 - x^2$: $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, v, 1 - u^2 \rangle$ with $-1 \le u \le 1$ and $-1 \le v \le 2$. For y = -1: $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, -1, v(1 - u^2) \rangle$ with $-1 \le u \le 1$ and $0 \le v \le 1$. For y = 2: $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, 2, v(1 - u^2) \rangle$ with $-1 \le u \le 1$ and $0 \le v \le 1$. For z = 0: $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle u, v, 0 \rangle$ with $-1 \le u \le 1$ and $-1 \le v \le 2$.

- 17. $S = 2\sqrt{14}$.
- 19. $S = 4\sqrt{3}\pi$

21.
$$S = \int_0^3 \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{v^2 + 4v^4} \, du \, dv = (37\sqrt{37} - 1)\pi/6 \approx 117.319.$$

23. $S = \int_0^1 \int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{(5u^2 - 2uv - 5)^2 + u^4 + (1 - u^2)^2} \, du \, dv \approx$ 7.084.

Section 14.6

- 1. curve; surface
- 3. outside
- 240√3
- 7. 24

9. 0

- 11. -1/2
- 13. 0; the flux over S_1 is -45π and the flux over S_2 is 45π .

Section 14.7

- Answers will vary; in Section 14.4, the Divergence Theorem connects outward flux over a closed curve in the plane to the divergence of the vector field, whereas in this section the Divergence Theorem connects outward flux over a closed surface in space to the divergence of the vector field.
- 3. Curl.
- 5. Outward flux across the plane z = 2 x/2 2y/3 is 14; across the plane z = 0 the outward flux is -8; across the planes x = 0and y = 0 the outward flux is 0. Total outward flux: 14. $\int \int_{D} \operatorname{div} \vec{F} \, dV = \int_{0}^{4} \int_{0}^{3-3x/4} \int_{0}^{2-x/2-2y/3} (2x + 2y) \, dz \, dy \, dx = 14.$
- 7. Outward flux across the surface z = xy(3 x)(3 y) is 252; across the plane z = 0 the outward flux is -9. Total outward flux: 243.

$$\iint_D \operatorname{div} \vec{F} \, dV = \int_0^3 \int_0^3 \int_0^{xy(3-x)(3-y)} 12 \, dz \, dy \, dx = 243.$$

- 9. Circulation on C: $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \pi$ $\iint_S (\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS = \pi.$
- 11. Circulation on *C*: The flow along the line from (0, 0, 2) to (4, 0, 0) is 0; from (4, 0, 0) to (0, 3, 0) it is −6, and from (0, 3, 0) to (0, 0, 2) it is 6. The total circulation is 0 + (-6) + 6 = 0. $\iint_{S} (\text{curl } \vec{F}) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS = \iint_{S} 0 \, dS = 0.$
- 13. 128/225
- 15. $8192/105 \approx 78.019$
- 17. 5/3
- **19**. 23π
- 21. Each field has a divergence of 1; by the Divergence Theorem, the total outward flux across S is $\iint_D 1 \, dS$ for each field.
- 23. Answers will vary. Often the closed surface S is composed of several smooth surfaces. To measure total outward flux, this may require evaluating multiple double integrals. Each double integral requires the parametrization of a surface and the computation of the cross product of partial derivatives. One triple integral may require less work, especially as the divergence of a vector field is generally easy to compute.

Index

!. 405 Absolute Convergence Theorem, 456 absolute maximum, 129 absolute minimum, 129 Absolute Value Theorem, 410 acceleration, 77, 651 Alternating Harmonic Series, 427, 454, 467 Alternating Series Test, 450 a_N, 669, 679 analytic function, 488 angle of elevation, 656 antiderivative, 197 of vector-valued function, 646 arc length, 379, 527, 553, 648, 673 arc length parameter, 673, 675 asymptote horizontal, 50 vertical, 48 a_T, 669, 679 average rate of change, 635 average value of a function, 777 average value of function, 244 **Binomial Series**, 489 **Bisection Method**, 42 boundary point, 690 bounded sequence, 412 convergence, 413 bounded set, 690 center of mass, 791-793, 795, 822 Chain Rule, 101 multivariable, 721, 724 notation, 107 circle of curvature, 678 circulation, 870 closed, 690 closed disk, 690 concave down, 151 concave up, 151 concavity, 151, 524 inflection point, 152 test for, 152 conic sections, 498 degenerate, 498 ellipse, 501 hyperbola, 504 parabola, 498 connected, 865 simply, 865 conservative field, 865, 866, 868

Constant Multiple Rule of derivatives, 84 of integration, 201 of series, 427 constrained optimization, 754 continuous function, 37, 696 properties, 40, 697 vector-valued, 638 contour lines, 684 convergence absolute, 454, 456 Alternating Series Test, 450 conditional, 454 **Direct Comparison Test**, 437 for integration, 347 Integral Test, 434 interval of, 462 Limit Comparison Test, 438 for integration, 349 nth-term test, 429 of geometric series, 422 of improper int., 342, 347, 349 of monotonic sequences, 416 of p-series, 423 of power series, 461 of sequence, 408, 413 of series, 419 radius of, 462 Ratio Comparison Test, 443 Root Comparison Test, 446 coordinates cylindrical, 828 polar, 533 spherical, 831 critical number, 131 critical point, 131, 749-751 cross product and derivatives, 643 applications, 605 area of parallelogram, 606 torque, 608 volume of parallelepiped, 607 definition, 601 properties, 603, 604 curl. 853 of conservative fields, 868 curvature, 675 and motion, 679 equations for, 677 of circle, 677, 678 radius of, 678

curve parametrically defined, 511 rectangular equation, 511 smooth, 517 curve sketching, 159 cusp, 517 cycloid, 633 cylinder, 563 cylindrical coordinates, 828 decreasing function, 142 finding intervals, 143 definite integral, 209 and substitution, 278 of vector-valued function, 646 properties, 211 del operator, 851 derivative acceleration, 78 as a function, 66 at a point, 62 basic rules, 82 Chain Rule, 101, 107, 721, 724 Constant Multiple Rule, 84 Constant Rule, 82 differential, 189 directional, 729, 731, 732, 735, 736 exponential functions, 107 First Deriv. Test, 145 Generalized Power Rule, 102 higher order, 85 interpretation, 86 hyperbolic funct., 324 implicit, 111, 726 interpretation, 75 inverse function, 122 inverse hyper., 327 inverse trig., 125 logarithmic differentiation, 118 Mean Value Theorem, 138 mixed partial, 704 motion, 78 multivariable differentiability, 713, 718 normal line, 63 notation, 66, 85 parametric equations, 521 partial, 700, 708 Power Rule, 82, 95, 116 power series, 465 Product Rule, 89 Quotient Rule, 92 second, 85 Second Deriv. Test, 155 Sum/Difference Rule, 84 tangent line, 62 third, 85 trigonometric functions, 94 vector-valued functions, 639, 640, 643 velocity, 78 differentiable, 62, 713, 718

differential, 189 notation, 189 **Direct Comparison Test** for integration, 347 for series, 437 directional derivative, 729, 731, 732, 735, 736 directrix, 498, 563 Disk Method, 364 displacement, 238, 634, 648 distance between lines, 619 between point and line, 619 between point and plane, 628 between points in space, 560 traveled, 659 divergence, 852, 853 Alternating Series Test, 450 Direct Comparison Test, 437 for integration, 347 Integral Test, 434 Limit Comparison Test, 438 for integration, 349 nth-term test, 429 of geometric series, 422 of improper int., 342, 347, 349 of p-series, 423 of sequence, 408 of series, 419 Ratio Comparison Test, 443 Root Comparison Test, 446 **Divergence Theorem** in space, 900 in the plane, 877 dot product and derivatives, 643 definition, 588 properties, 589, 590 double integral, 770, 771 in polar, 781 properties, 774 eccentricity, 503, 507 elementary function, 248 ellipse definition, 501 eccentricity, 503 parametric equations, 517 reflective property, 504 standard equation, 502 extrema absolute, 129, 749 and First Deriv. Test, 145 and Second Deriv. Test, 155 finding, 132 relative, 130, 749, 750 Extreme Value Theorem, 130, 754 extreme values, 129

factorial, 405 First Derivative Test, 145 first octant, 560 floor function, 38 flow, 870, 872 fluid pressure/force, 397, 399 flux, 870, 872, 893, 894 focus, 498, 501, 504 Fubini's Theorem, 771 function of three variables, 687 of two variables, 683 vector-valued, 631 Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, 236, 237 and Chain Rule, 240 Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals, 864, 866 Gabriel's Horn, 384 Gauss's Law, 904 Generalized Power Rule, 102 geometric series, 421, 422 gradient, 731, 732, 735, 736, 746 and level curves, 732 and level surfaces, 746 Green's Theorem, 874 Harmonic Series, 427 Head To Tail Rule, 578 Hooke's Law, 390 hyperbola definition, 504 eccentricity, 507 parametric equations, 517 reflective property, 507 standard equation, 505 hyperbolic function definition, 321 derivatives, 324 identities, 324 integrals, 324 inverse, 325 derivative, 327 integration, 327 logarithmic def., 326 implicit differentiation, 111, 726 improper integration, 342, 345 incompressible vector field, 852 increasing function, 142 finding intervals, 143 indefinite integral, 197 of vector-valued function, 646 indeterminate form, 2, 49, 335, 336 inflection point, 152 initial point, 574 initial value problem, 202 Integral Test, 434 integration arc length, 379 area, 209, 762, 763 area between curves, 241, 354 average value, 244 by parts, 283

by substitution, 265 definite, 209 and substitution, 278 properties, 211 Riemann Sums, 232 displacement, 238 distance traveled, 659 double, 770 fluid force, 397, 399 Fun. Thm. of Calc., 236, 237 general application technique, 353 hyperbolic funct., 324 improper, 342, 345, 347, 349 indefinite, 197 inverse hyper., 327 iterated, 761 Mean Value Theorem, 243 multiple, 761 notation, 198, 209, 237, 761 numerical, 248 Left/Right Hand Rule, 248, 255 Simpson's Rule, 253, 255, 256 Trapezoidal Rule, 251, 255, 256 of multivariable functions, 759 of power series, 465 of trig. functions, 271 of trig. powers, 294, 299 of vector-valued function, 646 of vector-valued functions, 646 partial fraction decomp., 314 Power Rule, 202 Sum/Difference Rule, 202 surface area, 383, 529, 554 trig. subst., 305 triple, 808, 819-821 volume cross-sectional area, 362 Disk Method, 364 Shell Method, 371, 375 Washer Method, 366, 375 with cylindrical coordinates, 829 with spherical coordinates, 833 work, 387 interior point, 690 Intermediate Value Theorem, 42 interval of convergence, 462 iterated integration, 761, 770, 771, 808, 819-821 changing order, 765 properties, 774, 814 L'Hôpital's Rule, 332, 334 lamina, 787 Left Hand Rule, 218, 223, 248 Left/Right Hand Rule, 255 level curves, 684, 732 level surface, 688, 746 limit Absolute Value Theorem, 410 at infinity, 50 definition, 10

difference quotient, 6 does not exist, 4, 32 indeterminate form, 2, 49, 335, 336 L'Hôpital's Rule, 332, 334 left handed, 30 of infinity, 46 of multivariable function, 691, 692, 698 of sequence, 408 of vector-valued functions, 637 one sided, 30 properties, 18, 692 pseudo-definition, 2 right handed, 30 Squeeze Theorem, 22 Limit Comparison Test for integration, 349 for series, 438 line integral Fundamental Theorem, 864, 866 over scalar field, 841, 843, 859 over vector field, 860 path independent, 865, 866 properties over a scalar field, 846 properties over a vector field, 863 lines, 612 distances between, 619 equations for, 614 intersecting, 615 parallel, 615 skew, 615 logarithmic differentiation, 118 Möbius band, 881 Maclaurin Polynomial, see Taylor Polynomial definition, 474 Maclaurin Series, see Taylor Series definition, 485 magnitude of vector, 574 mass, 787, 788, 822, 847 center of, 791, 847 maximum absolute, 129, 749 and First Deriv. Test, 145 and Second Deriv. Test, 155 relative/local, 130, 749, 752 Mean Value Theorem of differentiation, 138 of integration, 243 Midpoint Rule, 218, 223 minimum absolute, 129, 749 and First Deriv. Test, 145, 155 relative/local, 130, 749, 752 moment, 793, 795, 822 monotonic sequence, 414 multiple integration, see iterated integration multivariable function, 683, 687 continuity, 696-698, 714, 719 differentiability, 713, 714, 718, 719 domain, 683, 687

level curves, 684 level surface, 688 limit, 691, 692, 698 range, 683, 687 Newton's Method, 168 norm, 574 normal line, 63, 521, 742 normal vector, 623 nth-term test, 429 numerical integration, 248 Left/Right Hand Rule, 248, 255 Simpson's Rule, 253, 255 error bounds, 256 Trapezoidal Rule, 251, 255 error bounds, 256 octant first, 560 one to one, 880 open, 690 open ball, 698 open disk, 690 optimization, 181 constrained, 754 orientable, 880 orthogonal, 592, 742 decomposition, 596 orthogonal decomposition of vectors, 596 orthogonal projection, 594 osculating circle, 678 outer unit normal vector, 900 p-series, 423 parabola definition, 498 general equation, 499 reflective property, 501 parallel vectors, 582 Parallelogram Law, 578 parametric equations arc length, 527 concavity, 524 definition, 511 finding $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$, 525 finding $\frac{dy}{dx}$, 521 normal line, 521 of a surface, 880 surface area, 529 tangent line, 521 parametrized surface, 880 partial derivative, 700, 708 high order, 708 meaning, 702 mixed, 704 second derivative, 704 total differential, 712, 718 partition, 225 size of, 225 path independent, 865, 866

perpendicular, see orthogonal piecewise smooth curve, 846 planes coordinate plane, 562 distance between point and plane, 628 equations of, 624 introduction, 562 normal vector, 623 tangent, 745 point of inflection, 152 polar coordinates, 533 function arc length, 553 gallery of graphs, 540 surface area, 554 functions, 536 area, 549 area between curves, 551 finding $\frac{dy}{dx}$, 546 graphing, 536 polar coordinates, 533 plotting points, 533 potential function, 857, 866 **Power Rule** differentiation, 82, 89, 95, 116 integration, 202 power series, 460 algebra of, 491 convergence, 461 derivatives and integrals, 465 projectile motion, 656, 657, 670 quadric surface definition, 566 ellipsoid, 568 elliptic cone, 567 elliptic paraboloid, 567 gallery, 567-569 hyperbolic paraboloid, 569 hyperboloid of one sheet, 568 hyperboloid of two sheets, 569 sphere, 568 trace, 566 Quotient Rule, 92 **R**, 574 radius of convergence, 462 radius of curvature, 678 **Ratio Comparison Test** for series, 443 rearrangements of series, 455, 456 related rates, 174 Riemann Sum, 218, 222, 225 and definite integral, 232 Right Hand Rule, 218, 223, 248 right hand rule of Cartesian coordinates, 560 of the cross product, 605 Rolle's Theorem, 138

Root Comparison Test for series, 446 saddle point, 751, 752 Second Derivative Test, 155, 752 sensitivity analysis, 717 sequence Absolute Value Theorem, 410 positive, 437 sequences boundedness, 412 convergent, 408, 413, 416 definition, 405 divergent, 408 limit, 408 limit properties, 411 monotonic, 414 series absolute convergence, 454 Absolute Convergence Theorem, 456 alternating, 449 Approximation Theorem, 452 Alternating Series Test, 450 Binomial, 489 conditional convergence, 454 convergent, 419 definition, 419 Direct Comparison Test, 437 divergent, 419 geometric, 421, 422 Integral Test, 434 interval of convergence, 462 Limit Comparison Test, 438 Maclaurin, 485 nth-term test, 429 p-series, 423 partial sums, 419 power, 460, 461 derivatives and integrals, 465 properties, 427 radius of convergence, 462 Ratio Comparison Test, 443 rearrangements, 455, 456 Root Comparison Test, 446 Taylor, 485 telescoping, 424, 425 Shell Method, 371, 375 signed area, 209 signed volume, 770, 771 simple curve, 865 simply connected, 865 Simpson's Rule, 253, 255 error bounds, 256 smooth, 642 curve, 517 surface, 880 smooth curve piecewise, 846 speed, 651 sphere, 561

spherical coordinates, 831 Squeeze Theorem, 22 Stokes' Theorem, 906 Sum/Difference Rule of derivatives, 84 of integration, 202 of series, 427 summation notation, 219 properties, 221 surface, 880 smooth, 880 surface area, 800 of parametrized surface, 886, 887 solid of revolution, 383, 529, 554 surface integral, 891 surface of revolution, 564, 565 tangent line, 62, 521, 546, 641 directional, 739 tangent plane, 745 **Taylor Polynomial** definition, 474 Taylor's Theorem, 477 **Taylor Series** common series, 491 definition. 485 equality with generating function, 487 Taylor's Theorem, 477 telescoping series, 424, 425 terminal point, 574 torque, 608 total differential, 712, 718 sensitivity analysis, 717 total signed area, 209 trace, 566 Trapezoidal Rule, 251, 255 error bounds, 256 triple integral, 808, 819-821 properties, 814 unbounded sequence, 412 unbounded set, 690 unit normal vector a_N, 669 and acceleration, 668, 669 and curvature, 679 definition, 666 in \mathbb{R}^2 , 668 unit tangent vector and acceleration, 668, 669 and curvature, 675, 679 a_T, 669 definition, 664 in \mathbb{R}^2 , 668 unit vector, 580 properties, 582 standard unit vector, 584 unit normal vector, 666 unit tangent vector, 664

vector field, 850 conservative, 865, 866 curl of, 853 divergence of, 852, 853 over vector field, 860 potential function of, 857, 866 vector-valued function algebra of, 632 arc length, 648 average rate of change, 635 continuity, 638 definition, 631 derivatives, 639, 640, 643 describing motion, 651 displacement, 634 distance traveled, 659 graphing, 631 integration, 646 limits, 637 of constant length, 645, 655, 656, 665 projectile motion, 656, 657 smooth, 642 tangent line, 641 vectors, 574 algebra of, 577 algebraic properties, 580 component form, 575 cross product, 601, 603, 604 definition, 574 dot product, 588-590 Head To Tail Rule, 578 magnitude, 574 norm, 574 normal vector, 623 orthogonal, 592 orthogonal decomposition, 596 orthogonal projection, 594 parallel, 582 Parallelogram Law, 578 resultant, 578 standard unit vector, 584 unit vector, 580, 582 zero vector, 578 velocity, 77, 651 volume, 770, 771, 806

Washer Method, 366, 375 work, 387, 599

Differentiation Rules

1.
$$\frac{d}{dx}(cx) = c$$

10. $\frac{d}{dx}(a^{x}) = \ln a \cdot a^{x}$
19. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sin^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}$
28. $\frac{d}{dx}(\operatorname{sech} x) = -\operatorname{sech} x \tanh x$
2. $\frac{d}{dx}(u \pm v) = u' \pm v'$
11. $\frac{d}{dx}(\ln x) = \frac{1}{x}$
20. $\frac{d}{dx}(\cos^{-1}x) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}$
29. $\frac{d}{dx}(\operatorname{sech} x) = -\operatorname{sech} x \operatorname{coth} x$
3. $\frac{d}{dx}(u \cdot v) = uv' + u'v$
12. $\frac{d}{dx}(\log_{a} x) = \frac{1}{\ln a} \cdot \frac{1}{x}$
21. $\frac{d}{dx}(\operatorname{csc}^{-1}x) = \frac{-1}{|x|\sqrt{x^{2}-1}}$
30. $\frac{d}{dx}(\operatorname{coth} x) = -\operatorname{csch}^{2} x \operatorname{coth} x$
4. $\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{u}{v}\right) = \frac{vu' - uv'}{v^{2}}$
13. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sin x) = \cos x$
22. $\frac{d}{dx}(\operatorname{sec}^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{|x|\sqrt{x^{2}-1}}$
31. $\frac{d}{dx}(\operatorname{cosh}^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^{2}-1}}$
5. $\frac{d}{dx}(u(v)) = u'(v)v'$
14. $\frac{d}{dx}(\cos x) = -\sin x$
23. $\frac{d}{dx}(\tan^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{1+x^{2}}$
32. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sinh^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^{2}+1}}$
6. $\frac{d}{dx}(c) = 0$
15. $\frac{d}{dx}(\operatorname{csc} x) = -\operatorname{csc} x \cot x$
24. $\frac{d}{dx}(\operatorname{cot}^{-1}x) = \frac{-1}{1+x^{2}}$
33. $\frac{d}{dx}(\operatorname{sech}^{-1}x) = \frac{-1}{|x|\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}$
7. $\frac{d}{dx}(x) = 1$
16. $\frac{d}{dx}(\operatorname{sec} x) = \operatorname{sec} x \tan x$
25. $\frac{d}{dx}(\operatorname{cosh} x) = \sinh x$
34. $\frac{d}{dx}(\operatorname{csch}^{-1}x) = \frac{-1}{|x|\sqrt{1+x^{2}}}$
8. $\frac{d}{dx}(x^{n}) = nx^{n-1}$
17. $\frac{d}{dx}(\tan x) = \operatorname{sec}^{2} x$
26. $\frac{d}{dx}(\sinh x) = \cosh x$
35. $\frac{d}{dx}(\tanh^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{1-x^{2}}$
9. $\frac{d}{dx}(e^{x}) = e^{x}$
18. $\frac{d}{dx}(\cot x) = -\operatorname{csc}^{2} x$
27. $\frac{d}{dx}(\tanh x) = \operatorname{sech}^{2} x$
36. $\frac{d}{dx}(\coth^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{1-x^{2}}$

Integration Rules

$$1. \int c \cdot f(x) \, dx = c \int f(x) \, dx \qquad 12. \int \tan x \, dx = -\ln |\cos x| + C \qquad 23. \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}} \, dx = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) + C$$

$$2. \int f(x) \pm g(x) \, dx = \qquad 13. \int \sec x \, dx = \ln |\sec x + \tan x| + C \qquad 24. \int \frac{1}{x\sqrt{x^2 - a^2}} \, dx = \frac{1}{a} \sec^{-1}\left(\frac{|x|}{a}\right) + C$$

$$\int f(x) \, dx \pm \int g(x) \, dx \qquad 14. \int \csc x \, dx = -\ln |\csc x + \cot x| + C \qquad 25. \int \cosh x \, dx = \sinh x + C$$

$$3. \int 0 \, dx = C \qquad 15. \int \cot x \, dx = \ln |\sin x| + C \qquad 26. \int \sinh x \, dx = \cosh x + C$$

$$4. \int 1 \, dx = x + C \qquad 16. \int \sec^2 x \, dx = -\cot x + C \qquad 27. \int \tanh x \, dx = \ln |\sinh x| + C$$

$$5. \int x^n \, dx = \frac{1}{n+1} x^{n+1} + C, n \neq -1 \qquad 17. \int \csc^2 x \, dx = -\cot x + C \qquad 28. \int \coth x \, dx = \ln |\sinh x| + C$$

$$6. \int e^x \, dx = e^x + C \qquad 18. \int \sec x \tan x \, dx = \sec x + C \qquad 29. \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 - a^2}} \, dx = \ln |x + \sqrt{x^2 - a^2}| + C$$

$$7. \int \ln x \, dx = x \ln x - x + C \qquad 19. \int \csc x \cot x \, dx = -\csc x + C \qquad 30. \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + a^2}} \, dx = \ln |x + \sqrt{x^2 + a^2}| + C$$

$$8. \int a^x \, dx = \frac{1}{\ln a} \cdot a^x + C \qquad 21. \int \sin^2 x \, dx = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{4}\sin(2x) + C \qquad 31. \int \frac{1}{a^2 - x^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{a} \ln \left(\frac{x}{a + \sqrt{a^2 - x^2}}\right) + C$$


$$10. \int \cos x \, dx = \sin x + C \qquad 22. \int \frac{1}{x^2 + a^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{a} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{a}\right) + C \qquad 33. \int \frac{1}{x\sqrt{x^2 + a^2}} \, dx = \frac{1}{a} \ln \left|\frac{x}{a + \sqrt{x^2 + a^2}}\right| + C$$

$$11. \int \sin x \, dx = -\cos x + C$$

The Unit Circle

у t (0, 1)π/2 $\pi/3$ 90° 60° π/4 $3\pi/4$ 120° $5\pi/6$ 135° 150° 45° - (-1,0) $-\pi$ 180° - $(1,0) \rightarrow x$ $0^{\circ} - 0 330^{\circ}$ 210° 315° ¹¹π/6 $7\pi/6$ 225° $5\pi/4$ $^{240^{\circ}}$ $4\pi/3$ 300° $7\pi/4$ $\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right)$ 270° I $3\pi/2$ (0, -1)

Definitions of the Trigonometric Functions



Common Trigonometric Identities

Pythagorean Identities

 $\sin^2 x + \cos^2 x = 1$ $\tan^2 x + 1 = \sec^2 x$ $1 + \cot^2 x = \csc^2 x$

Cofunction Identities

$\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - x\right) = \cos x \qquad \csc\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - x\right) = \sec x$ $\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - x\right) = \sin x \qquad \sec\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - x\right) = \csc x$ $\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - x\right) = \cot x \qquad \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - x\right) = \tan x$

Double Angle Formulas

 $\sin 2x = 2 \sin x \cos x$ $\cos 2x = \cos^2 x - \sin^2 x$ $= 2\cos^2 x - 1$ $= 1 - 2 \sin^2 x$ $\tan 2x = \frac{2\tan x}{1-\tan^2 x}$

Sum to Product Formulas

$$\sin x + \sin y = 2\sin\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\cos\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)$$
$$\sin x - \sin y = 2\sin\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)\cos\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$$
$$\cos x + \cos y = 2\cos\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\cos\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)$$
$$\cos x - \cos y = -2\sin\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\sin\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)$$

Product to Sum Formulas

$$\sin x \sin y = \frac{1}{2} \big(\cos(x - y) - \cos(x + y) \big)$$
$$\cos x \cos y = \frac{1}{2} \big(\cos(x - y) + \cos(x + y) \big)$$
$$\sin x \cos y = \frac{1}{2} \big(\sin(x + y) + \sin(x - y) \big)$$

Power–Reducing Formulas

$\sin^2 x = \frac{1 - \cos 2x}{1 - \cos 2x}$					
5111 X —	2				
$\cos^2 x =$	$1 + \cos 2x$				
	2				
$\tan^2 x =$	$1 - \cos 2x$				
	$1 + \cos 2x$				

Even/Odd Identities

 $\sin(-x) = -\sin x$ $\cos(-x) = \cos x$ $\tan(-x) = -\tan x$ $\csc(-x) = -\csc x$ $\sec(-x) = \sec x$ $\cot(-x) = -\cot x$

Angle Sum/Difference Formulas

$$sin(x \pm y) = sin x cos y \pm cos x sin y$$
$$cos(x \pm y) = cos x cos y \mp sin x sin y$$
$$tan(x \pm y) = \frac{tan x \pm tan y}{1 \mp tan x tan y}$$

Areas and Volumes

Triangles

 $h = a \sin \theta$ Area = $\frac{1}{2}bh$


Parallelograms

Area = bh

Trapezoids

Area = $\frac{1}{2}(a+b)h$

Area = $\frac{1}{2}bh$ Law of Cosines: $c^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2ab\cos\theta$



h

b

Right Circular Cone Volume = $\frac{1}{3}\pi r^2 h$

Surface Area = $\pi r \sqrt{r^2 + h^2} + \pi r^2$



Right Circular Cylinder Volume = $\pi r^2 h$ Surface Area = $2\pi rh + 2\pi r^2$

Sphere


Volume = $\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3$ Surface Area = $4\pi r^2$





Circles Area = πr^2

Circumference = $2\pi r$



а

b

h


General Cone Area of Base = A Volume = $\frac{1}{3}Ah$



Sectors of Circles


 θ in radians Area = $\frac{1}{2}\theta r^2$

 $s = r\theta$



General Right Cylinder

Area of Base = A Volume = Ah



Algebra

Factors and Zeros of Polynomials

Let $p(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$ be a polynomial. If p(a) = 0, then *a* is a zero of the polynomial and a solution of the equation p(x) = 0. Furthermore, (x - a) is a *factor* of the polynomial.

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

An nth degree polynomial has n (not necessarily distinct) zeros. Although all of these zeros may be imaginary, a real polynomial of odd degree must have at least one real zero.

Quadratic Formula

If $p(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$, and $0 \le b^2 - 4ac$, then the real zeros of p are $x = (-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac})/2a$

Special Factors

 $\begin{aligned} x^{2} - a^{2} &= (x - a)(x + a) & x^{3} - a^{3} &= \\ x^{3} + a^{3} &= (x + a)(x^{2} - ax + a^{2}) & x^{4} - a^{4} &= \\ (x + y)^{n} &= x^{n} + nx^{n-1}y + \frac{n(n-1)}{2!}x^{n-2}y^{2} + \dots + nxy^{n-1} + y^{n} \\ (x - y)^{n} &= x^{n} - nx^{n-1}y + \frac{n(n-1)}{2!}x^{n-2}y^{2} - \dots \pm nxy^{n-1} \mp y^{n} \end{aligned}$ $\begin{array}{l} x^3 - a^3 = (x - a)(x^2 + ax + a^2) \\ x^4 - a^4 = (x^2 - a^2)(x^2 + a^2) \end{array}$

Binomial Theorem

 $\begin{array}{l} (x+y)^2 = x^2 + 2xy + y^2 & (x-y)^2 = x^2 - 2xy + y^2 \\ (x+y)^3 = x^3 + 3x^2y + 3xy^2 + y^3 & (x-y)^3 = x^3 - 3x^2y + 3xy^2 - y^3 \\ (x+y)^4 = x^4 + 4x^3y + 6x^2y^2 + 4xy^3 + y^4 & (x-y)^4 = x^4 - 4x^3y + 6x^2y^2 - 4xy^3 + y^4 \end{array}$

Rational Zero Theorem

If $p(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$ has integer coefficients, then every rational zero of p is of the form x = r/s, where *r* is a factor of a_0 and *s* is a factor of a_n .

Factoring by Grouping

 $acx^{3} + adx^{2} + bcx + bd = ax^{2}(cs + d) + b(cx + d) = (ax^{2} + b)(cx + d)$

Arithmetic Operations

Antimetic Operations		
ab + ac = a(b + c)	$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad + bc}{bd}$	$\frac{a+b}{c} = \frac{a}{c} + \frac{b}{c}$
$\frac{\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)}{\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)} = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)\left(\frac{d}{c}\right) = \frac{ad}{bc}$	$\frac{\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)}{c} = \frac{a}{bc}$	$\frac{a}{\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)} = \frac{ac}{b}$
$a\left(rac{b}{c} ight)=rac{ab}{c}$	$\frac{a-b}{c-d}=\frac{b-a}{d-c}$	$\frac{ab+ac}{a}=b+c$

Exponents and Radicals

$$a^{0} = 1, \quad a \neq 0 \qquad (ab)^{x} = a^{x}b^{x} \qquad a^{x}a^{y} = a^{x+y} \qquad \sqrt{a} = a^{1/2} \qquad \frac{a^{x}}{a^{y}} = a^{x-y} \qquad \sqrt[n]{a} = a^{1/n}$$
$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{x} = \frac{a^{x}}{b^{x}} \qquad \sqrt[n]{a^{m}} = a^{m/n} \qquad a^{-x} = \frac{1}{a^{x}} \qquad \sqrt[n]{ab} = \sqrt[n]{a}\sqrt[n]{b} \qquad (a^{x})^{y} = a^{xy} \qquad \sqrt[n]{\frac{a}{b}} = \frac{\sqrt[n]{a}}{\sqrt[n]{b}}$$

Additional Formulas

Summation Formulas:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c = cn \qquad \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{2} = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} \qquad \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{3} = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^{2}$$

Trapezoidal Rule:

 $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \approx \frac{\Delta x}{2} [f(x_{1}) + 2f(x_{2}) + 2f(x_{3}) + \dots + 2f(x_{n}) + f(x_{n+1})]$ with Error $\leq \frac{(b-a)^{3}}{12n^{2}} [\max |f''(x)|]$

Simpson's Rule:

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx \approx \frac{\Delta x}{3} \big[f(x_{1}) + 4f(x_{2}) + 2f(x_{3}) + 4f(x_{4}) + \dots + 2f(x_{n-1}) + 4f(x_{n}) + f(x_{n+1}) \big]$$

with Error $\leq \frac{(b-a)^{5}}{180n^{4}} \big[\max \big| f^{(4)}(x) \big| \big]$

Arc Length:

$$L = \int_a^b \sqrt{1 + f'(x)^2} \, dx$$

Surface of Revolution:

$$S = 2\pi \int_a^b f(x) \sqrt{1 + f'(x)^2} \, dx$$
 (where $f(x) \ge 0$)

$$S=2\pi\int_a^b x\sqrt{1+f'(x)^2}~~dx$$
 (where $a,b\geq 0$)

Work Done by a Variable Force:

 $W = \int_a^b F(x) \, dx$

$$F = \int_a^b w \, d(y) \, \ell(y) \, dy$$

Taylor Series Expansion for f(x):

$$p_n(x) = f(c) + f'(c)(x-c) + \frac{f''(c)}{2!}(x-c)^2 + \frac{f'''(c)}{3!}(x-c)^3 + \dots + \frac{f^{(n)}(c)}{n!}(x-c)^n$$

Maclaurin Series Expansion for f(x), where c = 0: $p_n(x) = f(0) + f'(0)x + \frac{f''(0)}{2!}x^2 + \frac{f'''(0)}{3!}x^3 + ... + \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!}x^n$

Summary of Tests for Series:

Test	Series	Condition(s) of Convergence	Condition(s) of Divergence	Comment
<i>n</i> th-Term	$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$		$\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n\neq 0$	This test cannot be used to show convergence.
Geometric Series	$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n$	<i>r</i> < 1	$ r \ge 1$	$Sum = \frac{1}{1-r}$
Telescoping Series	$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(b_n - b_{n+a} \right)$	$\lim_{n\to\infty}b_n=L$		$Sum = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{a} b_n\right) - L$
<i>p</i> -Series	$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(an+b)^p}$	ho > 1	$p\leq 1$	
Integral Test	$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$	$\int_{1}^{\infty} a(n) dn$ is convergent	$\int_{1}^{\infty} a(n) dn$ is divergent	$a_n = a(n)$ must be continuous
Direct Comparison	$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$	$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$ converges and $0 \leq a_n \leq b_n$	$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$ diverges and $0 \le b_n \le a_n$	
Limit Comparison	$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$	$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$ converges and $\lim_{n o \infty} a_n/b_n \geq 0$	$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$ diverges and $\lim_{n o\infty} a_n/b_n > 0$	Also diverges if $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n/b_n = \infty$
Ratio Test	$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$	$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}<1$	$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}>1$	$\{a_n\}$ must be positive Also diverges if $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_{n+1}/a_n = \infty$
Root Test	$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$	$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(a_n\right)^{1/n}<1$	$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(a_n\right)^{1/n}>1$	$\{a_n\}$ must be positive Also diverges if $\lim_{n \to \infty} (a_n)^{1/n} = \infty$